

Publication No. FHWA-RD-93-104 July 1994

# Testing of Small and Large Sign Support Systems FOIL Test Number: 92F016



U.S. Department of Transportation

### Federal Highway Administration

Research and Development Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, Virginia 22101-2296

> REFRONCED BY U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

.

.

									<u> </u>
			DERN ME	I HIC)	CONVER	APPROXIMATE CO	NVERSIONS E		
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
					<u></u>				
				ſ				<u>`</u>	
្រា	inches	25.4	millimeters	ጣጠ	mm	millimeters	0.039	Inches tool	(f) 14
n n	leet	Q.305	meters			motors	3 28	varde	n vet
yu mi	yaros	1.61	meters	m (	km	kilometers	0.621	miles	, yu
	111102		KIIOIIIG(0)S	Km					
		AHEA		ľ					
in <sup>2</sup>	souare inches	645.2	square millimeters		mm²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	10 <sup>7</sup>
111	square leet	0.093	square meters	m² }	m²	square meters	10.764	square leet	ft²
y d <sup>e</sup>	square yards	0.836	square meters	m² ]	n a	square meters	1,195	square yards	ac
ac	acres	0.405	hectares	ha	, ha	hectares	2.47	acres	mi²
mr²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km²	) km²	square kilometers	0,386	square miles	
		VOLUME	•	l)			VOLUME	-	
	fluid ounces	29.57		_		milliliers	0.034	fluid ounces	fi oz
	Aalloos	3 785	IN MANAGIS			liters	0 264	gallons	gal
	cubic feet	0 028	cubic motors		run l	cubic meters	35.71	cubic feet	ĮL,
y d <sup>o</sup>	cubic yards	0 765	cubic motors	m,	u, m,	cubic meters	1.307	cubic yards	yď
NOTE: N	Volumes greater than 100	00 I shall be shown in	י m <sup>a</sup> .		}				
		MASS					MASS	-	
07	 	28.35	ດເວດເຮ		a	grams	0 035	ounces	02
i ib	pounds	0 454	kilograms	ka	kg	kilograms	2.202	pounds	lb
T	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams	Mg	Mg	megagrams	1.103	short tons (2000	lb) T
	TEMPER	RATURE (exact)				TEMPI	ERATURE (exa	ct)	
۴	Fahrenheit	5(F 32)/9 pr (F-32)/1 B	Celcius	°C	°C	Celcius temperature	1.8C + 32	Fahronheit tomporature	۴
	ILL	JMINATION	Billiperatore		ļ	IL	LUMINATION	·	
(				. 8			0.0929	loot-candles	te
tc	foot-candles	10.76	lux	· · · · · ·	00	wx candola/m²	0 2919	loot Lamberts	1C 11
) n	loot-Lamberts	J.420	candela/m*	co/m•				STDECC	
j,	FORCE and Pl	RESSURE or ST	RESS			FUNCE and	FRESSURE OF	-	
1 161	poundlorce	4 45	newtons	N	N	newlons	0 225	poundtorce	lbf
ps)	poundlorce per square inch	6 89	kilopascals	kPa	kPa	kilopascals	0 145	poundlorce per square inch	psi

(Rovisod August 1992)

1:

·

.

#### Table of Contents.

.

1.	SCOPE	• •	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
2.	TEST MATRIX	• •	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
3.	VEHICLE	• •	•	•	•	•	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
4.	SIGN SUPPORT		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	3
5.	TEST RESULTS	-	20	MB	[/H	(1	3.9	M	/S)	).	TE	EST	· •	)2F	:01	16	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
6.	CONCLUSION	•••	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
7.	REFERENCES		• •	•	•	•			•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			•	16

•

## List of Figures.

<u>Figure</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Sketch of bogie honeycomb configuration	. 2
2.	Sketch of small sign support	. 5
3.	Test photographs during impact, test 92F016	. 6
4.	Summary of test 92F016	. 7
5.	Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F016	. 8
6.	Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F016	. 9
7.	Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F016	. 10
8.	Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F016	. 11
9.	Pretest photographs of test 92F016	. 12
10.	Additional pretest photographs of test 92F016	. 13
11.	Post-test photographs of test 92F016	. 14
12.	Additional post-test photographs of test 92F016	. 15

## List of Tables.

## <u>Table No.</u>

_																										-
1.	Test matrix	 •	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	l

#### 1. SCOPE

This test report contains the results of a crash test performed at the Federal Outdoor Impact Laboratory (FOIL) in McLean, Virginia. The test was performed on a small sign support system at 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F016. The vehicle used for this test was the FOIL's reusable bogie vehicle. The purpose of this test was to evaluate the low speed safety performance of a wooden 5 inch (12.7 cm) diameter post sign support. The performance evaluation was based on the latest requirements for breakaway supports as specified in Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal Register dated January 5, 1989. These criteria specify, in part, that the occupant change in velocity must be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or less, that the significant test article stub height remaining after impact be no more than 4 inches (102 mm), and that there can be no occupant compartment intrusion.

#### 2. TEST MATRIX

The test was performed on a small sign support system. The test speed was 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s). The sign was buried in NCHRP Report Number 230, S-2 weak soil<sup>(1)</sup>. A summary of the test conditions is presented in table 1.

	Table 1. Test matrix.													
Test Number	Test Vehicle	Test Weight (1b)	Test Speed (mi/h)	Test Article Description	Impact Location									
92F016	FOIL bogie	1850	20	wood post in soilcrete	center									

#### 3. VEHICLE

The test vehicle was FOIL's reusable breakaway bogie. Frontal crush of the bogie vehicle which simulates the crush of an actual vehicle was accomplished using multiple cartridges of an expendable aluminum honevcomb material in a sliding nose. After the test, the honeycomb material is replaced and the vehicle reused. The honeycomb was set up to represent the crush characteristics of a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit's left quarter point.<sup>(2)</sup> Figure 1 is a sketch of the 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s) honeycomb configuration used for test 92F016. A sweeper plate was attached to bogie vehicle such that it would hang down to a height of 4 inches above the ground. The sweeper plate was constructed of a section of steel angle welded to a guarter inch steel plate then attached to the bogie using two 3/8 inch (0.9 cm) bolts. The sweeper plate was designed as a sacrificial element to simulate the performance of an automobile's undercarriage. The function of the sweeper plate is to determine stub height compliance by the test article. Four wooden 6 foot (1.8 m) four by fours were attached to the bogie vehicle to protect it from damage. The bogie vehicle was ballasted with a data acquisitions system, transducers, a brake system and weight plates (if necessary) to bring its inertial weight to approximately 1850 pounds (839 kg). The actual weight of the bogie was 1850 pounds (839 kg).





Cartridge <u>Number</u>	<u>Size (in) / punch (in<sup>2</sup>)</u>	Static Crush <u>Strength (psi)</u>
1	2-3/4 x 16 x 3	130
2	4 x 5 x 2	25
3	8 x 8 x 3 / 21	130
4	8 x 8 x 3 / 15	230
5	8 x 8 x 3 / 6	230
6	8 x 8 x 3	230
7	8 x 8 x 3 / 21	400
8	8 x 8 x 3 / 12	400
9	8 x 8 x 3	400
10	8 x 10 x 3	400
<del>.</del> -		

Spacers are made of fiberglass and are 0.25 in thick.

## 1 in = 25.4 mm

Figure 1. Sketch of bogie honeycomb configuration.

#### 4. SIGN SUPPORT

The sign support system consisted of one 5-in (0.127-m) diameter wood post 15 ft 6 in (4.7 m) long. The post dimension was taken from the tapered tip of the sign post. The actual diameter of the sign post at the impact height was 7.0 in (0.178-m). The wood post was made from pressure treated southern yellow pine. Two 2-in (51-mm) holes were drilled in the sign post, one at 6 in (152.4 mm) and one at 18 in (457.2 mm) above ground level. A gain was cut from 4-ft 3-in (1.3 m) above ground to the top of the post. The gain provided a flat area for sign panel attachment. The sign panel used was 1/8-in ((3-mm) thick aluminum sheet measuring 5 ft high by 4 ft (1.5 m by 1.2 m) wide. Three feet six inches (1.1 m) of the sign post was cast in an 18-in (0.457-m) diameter soilcrete foundation. The soilcrete foundation was embedded 3 ft 6 in (1.1 m) deep in NCHRP Report 230 S-2 weak soil (sand). Soilcrete is a mixture of 9 parts native soil and one part portland cement. Because the test was performed in weak soil (sand), sand was used as the native soil. The sign panel was installed 7 ft (2.1 m) above ground. The whole sign support system was assembled and a hole was dug in the weak soil. An 18-in (0.457-m) form was placed in the hole and the sign post was inserted in the form. A 12-in (0.305-m) long 2 by 4 was nailed to the base of the sign post to inhibit the sign post from rotating inside the soilcrete. The soilcrete mixture was placed inside the form in 6-in (0.152-m) lifts and compacted simultaneously with the hole in the weak soil being backfilled in 6-in (0.152-mm) lifts and compacted until the final grade was reached. Figure 2 is a drawing of the sign support system.

#### 5. TEST RESULTS - 20 MI/H (8.9 M/S), TEST 92F016

The test vehicle was accelerated to 21.2 mi/h (31.1 ft/s (9.5 m/s)) prior to impacting the sign support. The centerline of the bogie vehicle was aligned with the centerline of the wood sign post.

The honeycomb nose made contact with the sign leg and began to collapse. The nose made contact 17.5 in (0.444 m) above ground on the upper hole. The wood post began to fracture at the lower hole 0.028 s in the impact event. The post begins to fracture vertically below the lower hole. The fourth cartridge of honeycomb had started to crush when the post began to fracture. The fourth cartridge of honeycomb requires approximately 14000 lb (62 kN) to initiate crush. The post had fractured completely 0.036 s after initial contact between the bogie and the sign post. The wood post did not fracture at the upper hole. The failure mechanism was fracture at the lower hole. The bogie vehicle continued forward and rotated the sign upwards. A second impact occurs between the bogie vehicle's sweeper plate and the remaining 6 in (0.152 m) of sign post protruding from the soilcrete foundation. The contact occurred 0.170 s after the initial contact. The sweeper plate continued to contact the stub for 0.044 s. A third impact occurred when the sign post and panel fell on top of the bogie vehicle. The sign fell on the protective 4 by 4's and did not cause further damage to the bogie. The contact between the sign post (and sign panel) and the bogie vehicle was not significant enough to cause occupant compartment intrusion during a full scale vehicle crash test. The sign post and panel remained on top of the bogie vehicle. The sign panel was in good condition after the test.

Damage to the bogie vehicle consisted of crushed honeycomb. The damage was to expendable material and not to structural members of the bogie. The measured honeycomb crush after the test was recorded to be 8.7 in (0.221 m). The sweeper plate was bent from contact with the sign stub. None of the sign components would have impaled an actual automobile's occupant compartment.

Damage to the sign consisted of a fractured wooden sign post. The soilcrete foundation did not move during the crash test. The sign panel was in good condition after the test.

The occupant impact velocity using the 2-ft (0.6 -m) flail space model outlined in NCHRP Report Number 230, was determined to be 5.3 ft/s (1.6 m/s). The occupant impact velocity was reached 0.442 s into the crash event. The 10-ms ridedown acceleration was determined to be 0.9 g's. The peak force (300 Hz data) for the impact event was 10.3 g's (19.1 kips (85 kN)). The sign post remained in contact with the bogie vehicle for the duration of the test. The vehicle change in velocity was calculated to be 7.2 ft/s (2.2 m/s). Photographs during the impact event are presented in figure 3. A summary of the impact conditions and the test results is presented in figure 4. Figures 5 through 8 are plots of data collected during the test. Pre- and post-test photographs of the vehicle and sign support system are presented in figures 9 through 12.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

The results indicate that the small sign support system meets all of the applicable criteria for the low-speed test in weak soil. There was no occupant compartment intrusion and the occupant impact velocity was 5.3 ft/s (1.6 m/s) which is less than or equal to the 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) limit specified by the FHWA. The stub remaining after the test was 6 in (0.152 m) which is higher than the 4-in (0.102 m) limit specified by the FHWA. However, the design of the sign support had changed before this test was conducted and was not incorporated in this installation. The change was to lower the 6-in (0.152 m) hole to 4 in (0.102 m) above ground. Because the lower hole is the primary failure mechanism for the sign support and is the location where breakaway occurred during test 92F016, the sign post would have passed the stub height criteria given the correct height of 4 in (0.102 m) for the lower hole.



Figure 2. Sketch of small sign support.

S



Figure 3. Test photographs during impact, test 92F016.



Test number
Date June 23, 1992
Test vehicle
Vehicle weight
Test articleSmall Sign Support
Material5 inch diameter wood 1-Leg, 1-Hit
Embedment depth
Panel typesheet
Height12 feet
Foundation18 inch dia. soilcrete footer in S-2 Weak Soil
Impact speed
Impact angle0 degrees
Impact location

1

Vehicle analysis:	<u>Observed</u>	<u>Design/limit</u>
Longitudinal: Occupant Delta V at 2 ft Ridedown Acceleration	5.3 ft/s 0.9 gʻs	≤16 ft/s 15/20 gʻs
Lateral: Occupant Delta V at 1 ft Ridedown Acceleration	no contact no contact	no spec no spec
Peak 50 msec acceleration Longitudinal Lateral		2.6 g′s NA
Vehicle Damage (TAD) (VDI)		NA NA
Honeycomb crush		8.7 inches
Vehicle velocity change		7.2 ft/s
Exit angle		0 degrees

Figure 4. Summary of test 92F016.

•



Figure 5. Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F016.

œ

Acceleration (g's)



Figure 6. Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F016.

Velocity (ft/s)



Figure 7. Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F016.

5

Force (lbs) (Thousands)



Figure 8. Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F016.

disp (ft)

ک

(ft/s)

velocity

Occupant



Figure 9. Pretest photographs of test 92F016.



Figure 10. Additional pretest photographs of test 92F016.



Figure 11. Post-test photographs of test 92F016.



Figure 12. Additional post-test photographs of test 92F016.

#### 8. REFERENCES

- (1) Michie, Jarvis D., "Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Appurtenances," National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report Number 230, March 1981.
- (2) Hott, Charles R., Brown, Christopher M., Totani, Nick and Hansen, Allen G., "Crush Characteristics of the 'Breakaway' Bogie," Federal Highway Administration, Report No. FHWA-RD-89-107, July 1990.

1

.

Technical Report Docu ..... Π.

<u> </u>				lechnical Report Docu	mentation Page
1. Report *	lo.	2.	<b>al (21) 23</b>	3. Rec	
FHWA	-RD-93-104	<u>PB94-186</u>	<u>558</u>		- <u></u>
4. Title	and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
TEST FOIL	ING OF SMALL AND LARGE S TEST NUMBER: 92F016	SIGN SUPPORT SYSTEM	5	6. Performing Organization	Code
7. Author(s Chri	stopher M. Brown			8. Performing Organization	Report No.
9. Performi Adva	ng Organization Name and Address nced Technology & Resear	ch Corp.		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 3A5f3142	
1521 Burt	0 Dino Drive onsville, MD 20866			11. Contract or Grant No. DTFH61-91-Z-000	002
- 12. Sponso	ring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report and Per	iod Covered
Offi	ce of Safety and Traffic	COperations R&D		Test Report, Ju	ine 1992
Fede 6300 McLe	ral Highway Administrati Georgetown Pike an, VA 22101-2296	ion		14. Sponsoring Agency	Code
15. Supplen	nentary Notes				
Cont	racting Officer's Techni	cal Representative	(COTR) - Rici	hard King, HSR-20	
Impa supp the post Soil sign eval Volu spec less than resu weak appu	ct Laboratory (FOIL) in ort system at 20 mi/h (8 FOIL breakaway bogie. T ormance of a single post was made from a single crete consists of nine p post was tested in weak uation was based on the me 54, Number 3 of the f ify, in part, that the c , that the significant t 4 in (102 mm), and that lts indicate that the si soil meets all of the a rtenances specified by t	McLean, Virginia. 3.9 m/s), test 92F0 The purpose of this t sign support with 5-in (127-mm) diam barts native soil m c soil, sand was us Tatest requirement Federal Register da becupant change in test article stub h t there can be no o ingle wood timber s applicable performa the FHWA.	The test was l6. The vehi- test was to a soilcrete eter timber w ixed with one ed as the nat s for breakaw ted January 5 velocity must eight remaini ccupant compa ign support i nce criteria	performed on a sm cle used for these evaluate the low-s foundation in weak ith two 2-in (51-m part cement. Bec ive soil. The per ay supports as spe , 1989. These cri be 16 ft/s (4.9 m ng after impact be rtment intrusion. n a soilcrete four for roadside safet	hall sign e test was speed safety ( soil. The mm) holes. cause the formance ecified in iteria m/s) or e no more The test adation in ty
17. Key W Acce weak	ords leration, occupant impac soil, soilcrete, vehic`	ct velocity, le, FOIL.	18. Distribution Sta No restri available National Service S	tement ctions. This docu to the public the Technical Informa pringfield, Virgi	ument is rough the tion
					nia 22101