

Publication No. FHWA-RD-93-103 July 1994

# Testing of Small and Large Sign Support Systems FOIL Test Number: 92F015



U.S. Department of Transportation

## Federal Highway Administration

Research and Development Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, Virginia 22101-2296

> Network of Commerce U.S. Department of Commerce Network Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

Technical Report Documentation Page

		restitiest hepere boedicitederon rug				
1. Report No.		3.				
FHWA-RD-93-103	PB94-186541					
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date JUJY 1994				
TESTING OF SMALL AND LARGE S FOIL TEST NUMBER: 92F015	SIGN SUPPORT SYSTEMS	6. Performing Organization Code				
7. Author(s) Christopher M. Brown		8. Performing Organization Report No.				
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Advanced Technology & Resear	rch Corp.	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 3A5f3142				
15210 Dino Drive Burtonsville, MD 20866	11. Contract or Grant No. DTFH61-91-Z-00002					
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered				
Office of Safety and Traffic	c Operations R&D	Test Report, June 1992				
Federal Highway Administrati 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296	ion	14. Sponsoring Agency Code				
15. Supplementary Notes						
Contracting Officer's Techni	ical Representative (COTR) - R <sup>.</sup>	ichard King, HSR-20				
16. Abstract						
This test report contains th Impact Laboratory (FOIL) in	ne results of a crash test perm McLean, Virginia. The test w	formed at the Federal Outdoor as performed on a small sign				

Impact Laboratory (FOIL) in McLean, Virginia. The test was performed on a small sign support system at 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F015. The vehicle used for these test was a 1985 Honda Civic. The purpose of this test was to evaluate the low-speed safety performance of a dual post sign support with concrete foundations in weak soil. The posts were made from 4-in by 4-in (102-mm by 102-mm) pressure treated wood and were spaced 3.5 ft (1.1 m) apart. The performance evaluation was based on the latest requirements for breakaway supports as specified in Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal Register dated January 5, 1989. These criteria specify, in part, that the occupant change in velocity must be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or less, that the significant test article stub height remaining after impact be no more than 4 in (102 mm), and that there can be no occupant compartment intrusion. The test results indicate that the dual wood post sign support with concrete foundations in weak soil does not meet all of the applicable performance criteria for roadside safety appurtenances specified by the FHWA.

17. Key Words Acceleration, occupant impac weak soil, vehicle, FOIL.	t velocity,	18. Distribution Sta No restri available National Service S	terment ctions. This do to the public Technical Inform Springfield, Virg	ocument is through the mation ginia 22161
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this Unclassified	page)	21. No. of Pages 21	22. Price

Reproduction of completed page authorized

### NOTICE

·---.

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade and manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the object of the document.

		SIX /MC			CONVER									
l		SI (IVIC		CONVER	APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SUBJUSTS									
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol	nbol When You Know Multiply By To Find									
<b></b>														
l(		LENGTH		8		<del></del>	LENGTH	_						
- N	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm	mm	millimeters	0 039	inches	<b>K1</b>					
n n	teet	0 305	motors	m		meters	3.28	leet	ft					
yd	yards	0.914	melers	m [	n	meters	1.09	yards	yd					
I) (II)	miles	1.61	kilometers	km	km	kilométérs	0.621	miles	(UL)					
l		AREA		}		<b>-</b>	AREA							
in <sup>a</sup>	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	1000 tom	നന്മ	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in²					
( H <sup>2</sup>	square feet	0.093	square meters	m'	°m	square meters	10.764	square feet	h²					
y dP	square yards	0.836	square meters	m²	m,	square meters	1.195	square yards	ac					
ac	acros	0.405	hectares	ha i	ha	hectares	2 47	acres	ការ					
1 mr <sup>2</sup>	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km²	km²	square kilometers	0 386	square miles						
		VOLUME		ļ			VOLUME	-						
floz	fluid ounces	29.57	millihters	പ	mi	milliliters	0 034	fluid ounces	fi oz					
gai	galions	3.785	litors	- I - 1		hlers	0.264	gallons	gal					
) h <sup>2</sup>	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters		, mo	cubic meters	35 71	cubic feet	It,					
yo <sup>n</sup>	cubic yards	0 765	cubic moters	m,	, m	cubic meters	1,307	cubic yards	yct'					
NOTE. V	/olumes greater than 100	00 I shall be shown in	m <sup>3</sup> .	1										
		MASS		1	l.		MASS							
02	ounces	28.35	grams	9	9	grams	0.035	ounces	υz					
lb	pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg	kg	kilograms	2 202	pounds	lb N. T					
Т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams	Mg	Mg	megagrams	1 103	short tons (2000	10) 1					
	TEMPER	RATURE (exact)		ļ		TEMPERATURE (exact)								
٩F	Fahrenheit temperature	5(F-32)/9 or (F-32)/1.8	Celcius temperature	℃	°C	Celcius Iemperature	1.8C + 32	Fahronheit temperature	٩۴					
	ILLU	MINATION				IL	LUMINATION							
	toot-candles	10.76	her	. 8	Ix	lux	0 0929	loot candles	lc					
ĥ	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m²	cd/m²	cd/m²	candela/m²	0.2919	loot Lamberts	ŧ					
<u>j</u>	FORCE and PI	RESSURE or ST	RESS	ł		FORCE and I	PRESSURE or S	TRESS						
			_	N.	N		0.225	ooundlorce	ibt (					
li lbf	poundiorce	4 40 6 80	newions	N kDa	kPa	kilonascals	0 145	poundiorce per	psi					
psi I	square inch	U V3		кга				square inch	· · ·					

(Revised August 1992)

.

j

## Table of Contents.

•

1.	SCOPE	1
2.	TEST MATRIX	1
3.	VEHICLE	1
4.	SIGN SUPPORT	1
5.	TEST RESULTS - 20 MI/H (8.9 M/S), TEST 92F015	2
6.	CONCLUSION	3
7.	REFERENCES	17

1

•

List of	Figures.
---------	----------

E	igure	<u>No.</u>	<u>Page</u>
	1.	Sketch of small sign support	4
	2.	Sketch of small sign support, attachment detail	5
	3.	Test photographs during impact, test 92F015	6
	4.	Summary of test 92F015	. 7
	5.	Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F015	. 8
	6.	Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F015	. 9
	7.	Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F015	. 10
	8.	Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F015	. 11
	9.	Pretest photographs of test 92F015	. 12
	10.	Additional pretest photographs of test 92F015	. 13
	11.	Post-test photographs of test 92F015	. 14
	12.	Additional post-test photographs of test 92F015	. 15
	13.	Sketch of vehicle crush, test 92F015	. 16

# List of Tables.

<u>Table N</u>	0.																		
1.	Test	matrix							•	•	•			•	•			•	1

•

#### 1. SCOPE

This test report contains the results of a crash test performed at the Federal Outdoor Impact Laboratory (FOIL) in McLean, Virginia. The test was performed on a small sign support system at 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F015. The vehicle used for this test was a 1985 Honda Civic. The purpose of this test was to evaluate the low speed safety performance of a dual legged wooden 4x4 sign support. The performance evaluation was based on the latest requirements for breakaway supports as specified in Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal Register dated January 5, 1989. These criteria specify, in part, that the occupant change in velocity must be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or less, that the significant test article stub height remaining after impact be no more than 4 inches (102 mm), and that there can be no occupant compartment intrusion.

#### 2. TEST MATRIX

The test was performed on a small sign support system. The test speed was 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s). The sign was buried in NCHRP Report Number 230, S-2 weak soil<sup>(1)</sup>. A summary of the test conditions is presented in table 1.

Table 1. Test matrix.											
Test Number	Test Vehicle	Test Weight (1b)	Test Speed (mi/h)	Test Article Description	Impact Location						
92F015	'85 Honda Civic	1860	20	2 leg wood 4x4	center						

#### 3. VEHICLE

The test vehicle was a 1985 Honda Civic two door hatchback with a manual transmission. Prior to the test, the vehicles' fluids were drained and its inertial properties measured. The vehicle was stripped of certain components which made space for the installation of test equipment. The vehicle was ballasted with a data acquisitions system, transducers, a brake system and weight plates (if necessary) to bring its inertial weight to approximately 1850 pounds (839 kg). The actual weight of the test vehicle was 1860 pounds (844 kg). After ballasting, the vehicles' inertial properties were remeasured.

#### 4. SIGN SUPPORT

The sign support system consisted of two 4-in by 4-in (102-mm by 102-mm) wooden legs 13 feet (4.0 m) long. The actual dimensions of the sign legs were 3.5-in by-3.5 in (89-mm by 89-mm). The wooden legs were made from pressure treated southern yellow pine. Two ft (0.9 m) of each leg was inserted inside a steel sleeve which was cast inside an 18-in (0.457-m) diameter concrete footer. The footers were 2.5 ft (0.8 m) deep and were buried in NCHRP Report 230 S-2 weak soil (sand). Attached to the 2 legs was a 4-ft high by 5-ft 8-in (1.2-m by 1.7-m) wide aluminum sheet sign panel 1/8 in (3 mm) thick. The sign panel was installed 7 ft (2.1 m) above ground. The two legs were installed 3.5 ft (1.1 m) apart. The whole sign support system was assembled and the concrete footers cast. The concrete footers were inserted in a hole in the

weak soil. The hole was backfilled in 6-in (0.152-m) lifts and compacted until the final grade was reached. The sign support was then inserted inside the footers. Figure 1 and figure 2 are drawings of the sign support system.

#### 5. TEST RESULTS - 20 MI/H (8.9 M/S), TEST 92F015

The test vehicle was accelerated to 21.6 mi/h (31.7 ft/s (9.7 m/s)) prior to impacting the sign support. The centerline of the test vehicle was aligned with the mid point between the two sign legs.

The bumper made contact with both sign legs and began to collapse. The brunt of the impact occurs to outside edge of the bumper supports on either side of the test vehicle. The bumper had collapsed to the headlight socket 0.034 s into the event. During the collapse of the bumper, the wooden legs were bowed outward away from the vehicle. At 0.036 s the wooden legs began to fracture. The right leg fractured approximately 3 ft (0.9 m) above ground. The left leg began to fracture 6 in (0.152 m) above ground. Neither leg broke completely. Each leg split vertically in the center. Seventy four milliseconds into the event, the right leg had split vertically approximately 4 ft (1.2 m) above ground. Wood fibers held together throughout the event never completely tearing apart. The same action occurred on the left leg. The leg split vertically for approximately 5 ft (1.5 m). The wood never yielded completely. The vehicle continued to push the remaining wood fibers of the left leg and eventually bent the leg backwards to the ground. The left leg took longer to bend backward thus causing the vehicle to yaw counterclockwise approximately 20 degrees. No secondary impact occurred between the vehicle and the sign support. The remainder of the wood legs with the panel attached fell backwards away from the vehicle.

Damage to the vehicle consisted of damage to the bumper and headlights. The damage was to plastic bumper parts and not to any structural members. The sign legs did penetrate the bumper into the headlight sockets which is where the maximum crush occurred. The maximum crush measured after the test was recorded to be 10.5 in (0.267 m). None of the sign components impaled the occupant compartment.

Damage to the sign consisted of two fractured wooden legs. The legs were split vertically with wood fiber holding the wood inside the footers to the upper sections of the legs. The upper sections of the legs remained attached to the sign panel. Two feet (0.6 m) of each leg remained inside the concrete footers. The sign panel was in good condition after the test.

The occupant impact velocity using the 2-ft (0.6-m) flail space model outlined in NCHRP Report Number 230, was determined to be 17.4 ft/s (5.3 m/s). The occupant impact velocity was reached 0.185 s into the crash event. The 10 ms ridedown acceleration was determined to be 2.3 g's. The peak force (300 Hz data) for the impact event was 11.6 g's (21.5 kips (95 kN)). Because the sign stopped the vehicle, the vehicle change in velocity was equal to the impact velocity. The actual vehicle change in velocity was calculated to be 29.0 ft/s (8.8 m/s). Photographs during the impact event are presented in figure 3. A summary of the impact conditions and the test results is presented in figure 4. Figures 5 through 8 are plots of data collected during the test. Pre and post-test photographs of the vehicle and sign support system are presented in figures 9 through 12. Figure 13 is sketch of the vehicle static crush recorded after the test.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

The results indicate that the small sign support system does not meet all of the applicable criteria for the low-speed test in weak soil. There was no occupant compartment intrusion and no significant stub remaining after the test, however the occupant impact velocity was  $17.4 \, \text{ft/s}$  (5.3 m/s) which is not less than or equal to the 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) limit specified by the FHWA.







Figure 2. Sketch of small sign support, attachment detail.

сл



Figure 3. Test photographs during impact, test 92F015.



.

Test number	
Date June 17, 1992	
Test vehicle1985 Honda Civic	
Vehicle weight	
Test articleSmall Sign Support	
Materia)4 inch by 4 inch wood 2-leg 2-Hit	
Embedment depth2.5 feet	
Panel type4 foot by 5 foot 8 inch alum. sheet	
Height11 feet	
Foundation18 inch dia. concrete footers in S-2 Weak Soil	
Impact speed	
Impact angle0 degrees	
Impact locationHead-on, centerline	

Vehicle analysis:	Observed	<u>Design/Limit</u>
Longitudinal: Occupant Delta V at 2 ft Ridedown Acceleration	17.4 ft/s 2.3 gʻs	≤l6 ft/s 15/20 gʻs
Lateral: Occupant Delta V at 1 ft Ridedown Acceleration	no contact no contact	no spec no spec
Peak 50 msec acceleration Longitudinal Lateral		5.8 g's
Vehicle Damage (TAD) (VDI)		12-FC-2 12FDEN2
Vehicle crush		.10.5 inches
Vehicle velocity change		29.0 ft/s
Exit angle		na exit

Figure 4. Summary of test 92F015.

-



Figure 5. Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F015.

(3,6)

Acceleration



Figure 6. Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F015.

9

Velocity (ft/s)



Figure 7. Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F015.



.

Figure 8. Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F015.

disp (ft)





Figure 10. Additional pretest photographs of test 92F015.



Post-test photographs of test 92F015. Figure 11.



Figure 12. Additional post-test photographs of test 92F015.



Max = 10.5"

----- Post test

1 in = 2.54 cm

Figure 13. Sketch of vehicle crush, test 92F015.

## 8. REFERENCES

.

(1) Michie, Jarvis D., "Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Appurtenances," National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report Number 230, March 1981.

ł ł . ł ł ł ł ł . . ł ł ł ł ł ł ł