

Publication No. FHWA-RD-93-097 July 1994

Testing of Small and Large Sign Support Systems FOIL Test Numbers: 92F009 and 92F010



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

Research and Development Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, Virginia 22101-2296

> National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

L . , . Ł 1 1 1 Ł 1

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			
1. Report No.	2.		3.		
FHWA-RD-93-097	PB94-1865	33		···	
4. Title and Subtitle	5. Report Date July 1994				
TESTING OF SMALL AND LARGE S FOIL TEST NUMBERS: 92F009 A	S	6. Performing Organization	Code		
7. Author(s) Christopher M. Brown	8. Performing Organization	Report No.			
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Advanced Technology & Research Corp.			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 3A5f3142		
Burtonsville, MD 20866			11. Contract or Grant No. DTFH61-91-Z-00	002	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report and Pe	riod Covered	
Office of Safety and Traffic	: Operations R&D		Test Report, M	ay 1992	
6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296	on		14. Sponsoring Agency	Code	
15. Supplementary Notes					
Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR) - Richard King, HSR-20 🔬 🛁					
16. Abstract					
This test report contains the results of two crash tests performed at the Federal Outdoor Impact Laboratory (FOIL) in McLean, Virginia. The tests were performed on a small sign support system at 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F009 and 60 mi/h (26.8 m/s), test 92F010. The vehicles used for these tests were 1985 Honda Civics. The purpose of these tests was to evaluate the low- and high-speed safety performance of a dual 4-in by 6-in (102-mm by 152-mm) pressure treated wood post sign support. The performance evaluation was based on the latest requirements for breakaway supports as specified in Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal Register dated January 5, 1989. These criteria specify, in part, that the occupant change in velocity must be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or less, that the significant test article stub height remaining after impact be no more than 4 in (102 mm), and that there can be no occupant compartment intrusion. The test results indicate that the dual 4-in by 6-in (102-mm by 152-mm) wood post sign support system meets all of the applicable performance criteria for roadside safety appurtenances specified by the FHWA.					
17. Key Words Acceleration, occupant impact velocity, weak soil, vehicle, FOIL. National Technical Service Springfiel			tement ctions. This docu to the public the Technical Informa pringfield, Virgi	ument is rough the tion nia 22161	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this Unclassified	: page) 	21. No. of Pages 31	22. Price	

Reproduction of completed page authorized

1

.

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade and manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the object of the document.

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS									
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS									
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When You Know	Mulliply By	To Find	Symbol
		LENGTH					LENGTH		
n fi	inches feet	25.4 0.305	millimeters meters	mm m	mm m	millimeters melers	0 039 3.28	- inches feet	in ft
yo mi	yards miles	1.61	meters kilometers	m l km	m km	kilometers	1.09 0.621	yaros miles	ya i mi
		AREA					AREA		
in² ft² yơ² ac mi²	square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	645.2 0.093 0.836 0.405 2.59 VOLUME	square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilomotors	mm² m² m² ha km²	mm² m² m² ha km²	square millimoters square meters square meters hectaros square kilometers	0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME		ın² tt² ac mı²
fioz gal ft ^s yd ^u NOTE: V	fluid ounces gallons cubic leet cubic yards /olumes greater than 10	29.57 3 785 0.028 0.765 00 l shall be shown ir	milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters a m ^a .	ma I MI	w, I MI	milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters	0 034 0.264 35 71 1 307	- fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards	fioz gal it ¹ yơ ³
	5	MASS					MASS		ł
oz Ib T	ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb)	28.35 0 454 0.907	grams kilograms megagrams	g kg Mg	g kg Mg	grams kilograms megagrams	0.035 2.202 1.103	- ounces pounds short lons (2000	oz Ib Ib) T
	TEMPER	RATURE (exact)				TEMPI	ERATURE (exac	t)	
٩F	Fahrenheit temperature	5(F-32)/9 or (F 32)/1.8	Celcius tomperaturo	°C	°C	Celcius temporaturo	1.8C + 32	Fahrenheit temperature	٥F
		JMINATION			ĺ	IL	LUMINATION		ĺ
fc fi	foot candlos foot Lamberts	10.76 3.426	lux candela/m²	l cd/m²	lx cd/m²	lux candela/m²	0 0929 0.2919	foot-candles foot-Lamberts	fc fl
	FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS				FORCE and	PRESSURE or S	TRESS		
lbf psi	poundforce poundforce per square inch	4 45 6 89	newtons kilopascals	N kPa	N kPa	newtons kilopascals	0 225 0 145	poundforce poundforce per square inch	Ibf psi

* SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380

(Revised August 1992)

i.

.

à

Table of Contents.

1.	SCOPE	1
2.	TEST MATRIX	1
3.	VEHICLE	1
4.	SIGN SUPPORT	1
5.	TEST RESULTS - 20 MI/H (8.9 M/S), TEST 92F009	2
6.	TEST RESULTS - 60 MI/H (26.8 M/S), TEST 92F010	.5
7.	CONCLUSION	15
8.	REFERENCES	27

.

List of Figures.

<u>Figure</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Sketch of small sign support	3
2.	Sketch of small sign support attachment detail	. 4
3.	Test photographs during impact, test 92F009	5
4.	Summary of test 92F009	. 6
5.	Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F009	. 7
6.	Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F009	. 8
7.	Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F009	. 9
8.	Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F009	. 10
9.	Pretest photographs of test 92F009	. 11
10.	Post-test photographs of test 92F009	. 13
11.	Test photographs during impact, test 92F010	. 16
12.	Summary of test 92F010	. 17
13.	Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F010	. 18
14.	Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F010	. 19
15.	Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F010	. 20
16.	Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F010	. 21
17.	Pretest photographs of test 92F010	. 22
18.	Post-test photographs of test 92F010	. 24
19.	Sketch of vehicle crush, test 92F010	. 26

List of Tables.

<u>Table No.</u>

1. Test matrix

1. SCOPE

This test report contains the results of two crash tests performed at the Federal Outdoor Impact Laboratory (FOIL) in McLean, Virginia. The tests were performed on a small sign support system, one at 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F009, and one at 60 mi/h (26.8 m/s), test 92F010. The vehicle used for these tests were Honda Civics. The purpose of these tests was to evaluate the low-speed and high-speed safety performance of a dual-legged wooden 4-by-6 sign support. The performance evaluation was based on the latest requirements for breakaway supports as specified in Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal Register dated January 5, 1989. These criteria specify, in part, that the occupant change in velocity must be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or less, that the significant test article stub height remaining after impact be no more than 4 in (102 mm), and that there can be no occupant compartment intrusion.

2. TEST MATRIX

Two tests were performed on a small sign support system. The test speeds for the tests were 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s) and 60 mi/h (26.8 m/s). The sign was buried in NCHRP Report Number 230, S-2 weak soil.⁽¹⁾ A summary of the test conditions are presented in table 1.

Table 1. Test matrix.					
Test Number	Test Vehicle	Test Weight (1b)	Test Speed (mi/h)	Test Article Description	Impact Location
92F009	'86 Honda Civic	1850	20	2 leg wood 4x6	center
92F010	'85 Honda Civic	1860	60	2 leg wood 4x6	center

3. VEHICLE

The test vehicles were a 1986 and a 1985 Honda Civic two door hatchbacks with manual transmissions. Prior to the tests, the vehicles' fluids were drained and their inertial measurements measured. The vehicles were stripped of certain components which made space for the installation of test equipment. The vehicles were ballasted with data acquisitions systems, transducers, a brake system and weight plates (if necessary) to bring their inertial weights to approximately 1850 lb (839 kg). The actual weights of the test vehicles were 1850 lb (839 kg) and 1860 lb (844 kg). After ballasting, the vehicles' inertial properties were remeasured.

4. SIGN SUPPORT

The sign support system consisted of two 4-in by 6-in (102-mm by 152-mm) wooden legs 15 ft (4.6 m) long. The actual dimensions of the sign legs were 3.5 in by 5.5 in (89 mm by 140 mm). Three feet (0.9 m) of each leg was buried in NCHRP Report 230 S-2 weak soil (sand). Attached to the 2 legs was a 4-ft high by 10-ft (1.2-m by 3.0-m) wide aluminum sign panel. The final panel was assembled from four 1-ft by 10-ft (0.3-m by 3.0 m) extruded aluminum panels and was installed 7 ft (2.1 m) above ground. Two 1.5-in (30-mm) holes were drilled in each sign leg. The holes were drilled 4 in (0.102 m) and 18 in (0.457 m) above ground level. The two legs were installed 3.5 ft

(1.1 m) apart. The whole sign support system was assembled and inserted in a hole in the weak soil. The hole was backfilled in 6-in (0.152-m) lifts and compacted until the final grade was reached. Figure 1 is a drawing of the sign support system. Figure 2 is the attachment detail for the sign panel.

5. TEST RESULTS - 20 MI/H (8.9 M/S), TEST 92F009

The test vehicle was accelerated to 20.1 mi/h (29.5 ft/s (9.0 m/s)) prior to impacting the sign support. The centerline of the test vehicle was aligned with the mid point between the two sign legs.

The bumper made contact with both sign legs and began to collapse. The bumper did not crush significantly during the impact event. The breakaway force was low enough not to cause severe damage to the front end of the The left leg of the sign fractured at the hole 4 in (0.102 m) above vehicle. ground 0.016 s after initial contact. The left leg never fractured at the hole 18 in (0.457 m) above ground level. The right leg fractured 0.036 s after impact. The fracture occurred at the upper hole and not the lower hole. Because the right leg took longer to fracture than the left leg, the vehicle yawed clockwise approximately 15 degrees. The vehicle continued to pass over the remaining section of the right leg. The remaining piece broke 12 in (0.305 m) below ground level as the vehicle passed over. A second significant impact occurred at 0.412 s, as the sign fell on top of the vehicle with the center of the panel striking the roof/windshield sill. The impact was enough to dent the roof and cause the windshield to crack. The sign panel with the broken legs attached remained on the hood of the vehicle for the remainder of the runout time. The brakes were applied and the sign slid off the vehicle as the vehicle came to rest.

Damage to the vehicle consisted of minor damage to the bumper and a dent approximately 0.5 in (13 mm) deep along the roof/windshield sill. Since no considerable damage was inflicted on the front end of the vehicle no crush measurements were recorded. The damage to the roof was slight and the windshield was cracked but did not shatter. None of the sign components impaled the occupant compartment.

Damage to the sign support consisted of two fractured wooden legs. The upper portions remained attached to the sign panel. The embedded 3-ft (0.9-m) sections of the sign legs remained buried in the weak soil. Strands of wood fibers remained intact on the right leg between the buried section and length of leg up to the upper hole. The panel was in good condition after the test.

The occupant impact velocity using the 2-ft (0.6-m) flail space model outlined NCHRP Report Number 230, was determined to be 8.6 ft/s (2.6 m/s). The occupant impact velocity was reached 0.286 s into the crash event. The ridedown acceleration was 3.4 g's. The ridedown acceleration was attributed to the secondary impact between vehicle and sign panel as the sign fell on top of the vehicle. The peak force (300 Hz data) for the impact event was 16.5 g's (30.7 kips (136 kN)). Because the sign remained in contact with vehicle the vehicle change in velocity was calculated to be 14.3 ft/s (4.4 m/s).

Photographs during the impact event are presented in figure 3. A summary of the impact conditions and the test results is presented in figure 4. Figures 5 through 8 are plots of data collected during the test. Pre and post-test photographs of the vehicle and sign support system are presented in figures 9 through 12. Because no residual crush was recorded a sketch depicting the crush was omitted from this report.



Figure 1. Sketch of small sign support.



Figure 2. Sketch of small sign support attachment detail.



Figure 3. Test photographs during impact, test 92F009.

0.870 s

ć

Ż

C.W. P.M.

ېر ۲

à

. H

1

0.416 s

0.546 s

5



_	Test number	Vehicle analysis:	Observed	<u>Design/Limit</u>
0	Date May 1, 1992 Test vehicle	Longitudinal: Occupant Delta V at 2 ft Ridedown Acceleration	8.6 ft/s 3.4 g's	≤16 ft/s 15/20 g's
	Vehicle weight	Lateral: Occupant Delta V at 1 ft	no contact	no spec
	Test articleSmall Sign Support	Ridedown Acceleration	no contact	no spec
	Material	Peak 50 msec acceleration Longitudinal Lateral		3.4 g′s NA
	Panel type	Vehicle Damage (TAD) (VDI)		12-FC-1 12FDAU1
	Height11 feet	Vehicle crush	no 1	residual crush
		Vehicle velocity change	••••••	14.3 ft/s
	Impact speed29.5 ft/s (8.9 m/s)	Exit angle		15 degrees
	Impact angle0 degrees			
	Impact locationHead-on, centerline			

Figure 4. Summary of test 92F009.



Figure 5. Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F009.

7



Figure 6. Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F009.

ω

Velocity (ft/s)



Figure 7. Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F009.

Q



Figure 8. Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F009.

10

.





Figure 9. Pretest photographs of test 92F009 (continued).



Figure 10. Post-test photographs of test 92F009.



Figure 10. Post-test photographs of test 92F009 (continued).

6. TEST RESULTS - 60 MI/H (26.8 M/S), TEST 92F010

The test vehicle was accelerated to 58.6 mi/h (85.9 ft/s (26.2 m/s)) prior to impacting the sign support. The centerline of the test vehicle was aligned with the mid point between the two sign legs.

The bumper made contact with both sign legs and began to collapse. The contact between bumper and sign legs occurred to the outside edge of each bumper support. Damage was significant to the bumper and headlights only, the majority of the front end elements remained in good condition. The vehicle contacted both sign legs simultaneously and the legs fractured at the hole 18 in (0.457 m) above ground 0.016 s after initial contact. Both legs never fractured at the lower hole 4 in (0.102 m) above ground level. Instead each leg fractured 12 in (0.305 m) below ground level while the vehicle rolled over the remnants of each leg. The vehicle continued underneath the sign support, inducing a rotation in the sign support and vaulting the sign support upward. The vehicle passed underneath the sign support without making secondary contact. The sign support rotated 360 degrees and re-impaled itself in the weak soil briefly then fell backward with the sign panel facing up. The brakes were applied and the vehicle came to rest before making contact with the FOIL catch fence.

Damage to the vehicle consisted of damage to the bumper and other minor front end components (plastic parts). The contact between the legs and vehicle occurred outside of each bumper support. This area of the bumper was not as stiff as bumper supports. The legs caused damage to both headlights and light damage to each fender. The maximum residual crush was measured and recorded as 7.3 in (0.185 m). None of the sign components impaled the occupant compartment.

Damage to the sign support consisted of two fractured wooden legs with the fracture occurring at the upper hole. The upper portions above the upper hole remained attached to the sign panel. The embedded 3-ft (0.9-m) sections of the sign legs remained buried in the weak soil. A segment containing the lower drilled hole (not fractured) and approximately 18 in (0.457 m) in length was broken off each leg. The panel was in good condition after the test.

The occupant impact velocity using the 2 ft (0.6-m) flail space model outlined NCHRP Report Number 230, was determined to be 7.5 ft/s (2.3 m/s). The occupant impact velocity was reached 0.309 s into the crash event. The ridedown acceleration was 0.5 g's. The peak force (300 Hz data) for the impact event was 14.9 g's (27.5 kips (122 kN)). Because the sign/vehicle contact was brief the vehicle change in velocity was equal to the occupant impact velocity, 7.5 ft/s (2.3 m/s).

Photographs during the impact event are presented in figure 13. A summary of the impact conditions and the test results is presented in figure 14. Figures 15 through 18 are data plots of data collected during the test. Pre and post-test photographs of the vehicle and sign support system are shown in figures 19 through 22. Figure 23 depicts the measured vehicle crush for test 92F010.

7. CONCLUSION

The test results show that the occupant impact velocities of 8.6 ft/s (2.6 m/s) and 7.5 ft/s (2.3 m/s) for the low- and high-speed test respectively, are below the 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) criteria as specified by the FHWA. There was no occupant compartment intrusion and no significant stub remaining after the test. Therefore the dual legged 4-by-6 wood sign support system in weak soil meets all of the applicable criteria for the low- and high- speed tests.



Figure 11. Test photographs during impact, test 92F010.



lest number
Date May 6, 1992
Test vehicle
Vehicle weight
Test articleSmall Sign Support
Material
Embedment depth
Panel type4 foot by 10 feet extruded aluminum
Height11 feet
FoundationS-2 Weak Soil
<pre>Impact speed85.9 ft/s (26.2 m/s)</pre>
Impact angle0 degrees
Impact locationHead-on, centerline

17

Vehicle analysis:	Observed	<u>Design/Limit</u>
Longitudinal: Occupant Delta V at 2 ft Ridedown Acceleration	7.5 ft/s 0.5 g's	≤16 ft/s 15/20 gʻs
Lateral: Occupant Delta V at 1 ft Ridedown Acceleration	no contac	t no spec t no spec
Peak 50 msec acceleration Longitudinal Lateral		3.1 g's NA
Vehicle Damage (TAD) (VD1)		12-FC-2 12FDEN1
Vehicle crush		7.3 inches
Vehicle velocity change	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.5 ft/s
Exit angle		0 degrees

Figure 12. Summary of test 92F010.



Figure 13. Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F010.



Figure 14. Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F010.

19



ι

Figure 15. Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F010.



Figure 16. Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, test 92F010.

disp (ft)

۰ð

Occupant velocity (ft/s)





Figure 17. Pretest photographs of test 92F010 (continued).



Figure 18. Post-test photographs of test 92F010.



Figure 18. Post-test photographs of test 92F010 (continued).



1 in = 2.54 cm

Figure 19. Sketch of vehicle crush, test 92F010.

-

8. REFERENCES

.

. .

•

(1) Michie, Jarvis D., "Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Appurtenances," National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report Number 230, March 1981.

.

. ·