

Publication No. FHWA-RD-93-109 July 1994

Testing of Small and Large Sign Support Systems FOIL Test Number: 92F022



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

Research and Development Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, Virginia 22101-2296

> REPROZ.CED BY U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

1 1 1

. .

~

		Techni
1. Report No.	2.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
FHWA-RD-93-109	PB94-186327	
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
TESTING OF SMALL AND L FOIL TEST NUMBER	ARGE SIGN SUPPORT SYSTEMS R: 92F022	8. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s) Christopher M. Brown		8. Performing Organization Report No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Ac Advanced Technology &	^{ddress} Research Corp.	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 3A5f3142
Laurel, MD 20707		11. Contract or Grant No. DTFH61-91-Z-00002
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Addre	95	13. Type of Report and Period Covered
Office of Safety and	Traffic Operations R&D	Test Report, August 1992
Federal Highway Admin 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296	istration	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes		
Contracting Officer/-	Tachaiaal Daawaaatatiwa (60	TD) Bishand King USD 20
18. Abstract This test report conta Impact Laboratory (FO) support system at 20 r 1084 Honda Civia The	ains the results of a crash to IL) in McLean, Virginia. The ni/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F022.	est performed at the Federal Outdoor test was performed on a small sign The vehicle used for this test was
18. Abstract This test report conta Impact Laboratory (FO support system at 20 r 1984 Honda Civic. The performance of a trip u-channel sign support requirements for break Register dated January change in velocity mus stub height remaining be no occupant compart (3.72 kg/m) u-channel for roadside safety approximately app	ains the results of a crash to IL) in McLean, Virginia. The mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F022. e purpose of this test was to le legged steel 2.5 lb/ft (3. t. The performance evaluatio kaway supports as specified i y 5, 1989. These criteria sp st be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or le after impact be no more than tment intrusion. The test re sign support system does not opurtenances in weak soil spe	est performed at the Federal Outdoor test was performed on a small sign The vehicle used for this test was evaluate the low-speed safety 72 kg/m), 8-in (203.2-mm) splice, n was based on the latest n Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal ecify, in part, that the occupant ss, that the significant test articl 4 in (101.6 mm), and that there can sults indicate that the 2.5 lb/ft meet all of the applicable criteria cified by the FHWA.
 18. Abstract This test report conta Impact Laboratory (FOI support system at 20 r 1984 Honda Civic. The performance of a trip u-channel sign support requirements for break Register dated January change in velocity mus stub height remaining be no occupant compart (3.72 kg/m) u-channel for roadside safety ap 17. Key Words Acceleration, occupant weak soil, u-channel, 	ains the results of a crash to IL) in McLean, Virginia. The mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F022. e purpose of this test was to le legged steel 2.5 lb/ft (3. t. The performance evaluatio kaway supports as specified i y 5, 1989. These criteria sp st be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or le after impact be no more than tment intrusion. The test re sign support system does not opurtenances in weak soil spe 18. t impact velocity, vehicle, FOIL.	est performed at the Federal Outdoor test was performed on a small sign The vehicle used for this test was evaluate the low-speed safety 72 kg/m), 8-in (203.2-mm) splice, n was based on the latest n Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal ecify, in part, that the occupant ss, that the significant test articl 4 in (101.6 mm), and that there can sults indicate that the 2.5 lb/ft meet all of the applicable criteria cified by the FHWA.

.

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade and manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the object of the document.

		SI* (MO	DERN MET	r RIC)	CONVER	ISION FACTO	RS		
	APPROXIMATE COI	NVERSIONS TC) SI UNITS			APPROXIMATE CO	NVERSIONS FF	STINU IS MOR	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
		LENGTH					LENGTH		
. <u>2</u> .	inches	25.4	millimeters	E	E E	millimeters	6E0.0	inches	5 1
<u>ب</u>	feet varde	0.305	meters	E {	E 8	meters	60 I	yards	, pA
Z Ē	miles	1.61	kilometers	5	km	kilometers	0.621	miles	Ē
		AREA					AREA	1	
2 10	square inches	645.2	souare millimeters	mm²	mm²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in ²
Ł	square feet	0.093	square melers	Ē	a²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ž
ъ	square yards	0 836	square meters	۳Ę	۽ 12 ع	square meters bootcos	1.195	square yards ecres	ac mi ²
S L	acres scuare miles	0.40 2.59	hectares source kilometers		kn²	square kilometers	0.386	square miles	
	>	OLUME					VOLUME	I	
-					Ī		0 03A		l o l
	fluid ounces gallans	16.62 3 785	milititers	Ē_		hitters Afters	0.264	gallons	lag
32	gauons cubic feet	0.028	ruhic meters	. Ê	Ê.	cubic meters	35.71	cubic feet	E
yď	cubic yards	0.765	cubic meters	Ê	Ê	cubic meters	1.307	cubic yards	yď ^u
NOTE: \	Volumes greater than 1000	0 I shall be shown in	, E						
		MASS					MASS	1	
20	ounces	28.35	Orams	0	8	grams	0.035	ounces	70
<u>ب</u> ھ ا	pounds	0.454	kikograms	, <u>p</u> :	by S	kikograms megagrams	2.202 1.103	pounds short tons (2000	 ସ (ସ
_	short tons (2000 lb)	106.0	megagrams	Bw	F	cum Robou			
	TEMPER	ATURE (exact)				TEMPE	ERATURE (exac	F I	
¥	Fahrenheit temoerahire	5(F-32)/9 or (F-32)/1 B	Celcius Iemocrahire	ပ္	ပ္	Celcius temperature	1.8C + 32	Fahrenheit temperature	Ļ.
		MINATION				ב	LUMINATION		
		37 Q1	1		×	lux I	6760.0	foot-candles	lc I
2 =	fool-candies fool-Lamberts	3.426	ux candela/m²	col/m²	cd/m²	candela/m ²	0.2919	foot-Lamberts	H
	FORCE and PR	RESSURE or ST	RESS			FORCE and I	PRESSURE or S	STRESS	
2	and local	4 45		=== z	z	newtons	0.225	poundforce	lhf
lo İst	poundiorce per	68.9	kilopascals	kPa	kPa	kulopascals	0 145	poundiorce per souare inch	psi
	square incr								

.

- -

Si is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380.

•

i i

Table of Contents.

.

. ·

-

1.	SCOPE		• •			• •	•••	••	•••	•••	•	•	•	•	•	1
2.	TEST MATRIX		•••	•••	•••			• •	•••	••	-			•		1
3.	VEHICLE					• •				•••	•	•			•	1
4.	SIGN SUPPORT		•••					• •	••		,	•		•	•	1
5.	TEST RESULTS - TES	F 92F022	•		•••	• •	• •	•••	•••	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	2
6.	CONCLUSION		•••		• •	• •	••	• •	• •	• •	•	•	•••	•	•	3
7.	REFERENCES				•••		• •									17

-

L	i	st	of	Fi	qures.
_				•••	3

<u>Figure No</u>	<u>0.</u>	Page
1. 5	Sketch of small sign support	4
2. 9	Sketch of small sign, splice detail	5
3.	Test photographs during impact, test 92F022	6
4. 9	Summary of test 92F022	7
5. <i>i</i>	Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F022	8
6. \	Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F022	. 9
7. I	Force versus displacement, X-axis, test 92F022	. 10
8. ()	Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F022	. 11
9. 1	Pretest photographs of test 92F022	. 12
10.	Additional pretest photographs of test 92F022	. 13
11.	Post-test photographs of test 92F022	. 14
12.	Additional post-test photographs of test 92F022	. 15
13.	Sketch of vehicle crush, test 92F022	. 16

List of Tables.

<u>Table No.</u>

1.	Test matrix		•						•	•					•		•	•			•		•	1
•••		•	•	•	-	•	-	•	•	•	-	-	-		-	•			-					

1. SCOPE

This test report contains the results of a crash test performed at the Federal Outdoor Impact Laboratory (FOIL) in McLean, Virginia. The test was performed on a small sign support system at 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s), test 92F022. The vehicle used for this test was a 1984 Honda Civic. The purpose of this test was to evaluate the low-speed safety performance of the sign support system. The sign support was a triple post 2.5 lb/ft u-channel sign support with an 8-in (203.2-mm) splice-joint. The performance evaluation was based on the latest requirements for breakaway supports as specified in Volume 54, Number 3 of the Federal Register dated January 5, 1989. These criteria specify, in part, that the occupant change in velocity must be 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) or less, that the significant test article stub height remaining after impact be no more than 4 in (101.6 mm), and that there can be no occupant compartment intrusion.

2. TEST MATRIX

The test was performed on a small sign support system. The test speed was 20 mi/h (8.9 m/s). The sign was buried in NCHRP Report Number 230, S-2 weak soil⁽¹⁾. A summary of the test conditions is presented in table 1.

		Tab	le l. Te	<u>st matrix</u>		
Test Number	Test Date	Test Vehicle	Test Weight (1b)	Test Speed (mi/h)	Test Article Description	Impact Location
92F022	8-06-92	'84 Honda Civic	1850	20	3 leg steel 2.5 lb/ft	center

3. VEHICLE

The test vehicle was a 1984 Honda Civic two door hatchback with a manual transmission. Prior to the test, the vehicles' fluids were drained and its inertial properties measured. The vehicle was stripped of certain components which made space for the installation of test equipment. The vehicle was ballasted with a data acquisitions system, transducers, a brake system and weight plates (if necessary) to bring its inertial weight to approximately 1850 lb (839 kg). The actual weight of the test vehicle was 1850 lb (839 kg). After ballasting, the vehicles' inertial properties were remeasured.

4. SIGN SUPPORT

The sign support system consisted of three 2.5 lb/ft (3.72 kg/m) steel u-channel posts with a sign blank attached. Each post was constructed from two pieces of u-channel. One section, the stub, was 3 ft 4 in (1.02 m) in length and the other section was 13 ft 4 in (4.1 m) long. The two sections were overlapped 8 in (203.2 mm) and attached with two 3/8-in (9.5-mm)diameter grade-2 bolts. Between the sections of u-channel were 5/8 in (15.9 mm) long spacers (washers). The two pieces of u-channel were connected such that the upper post was behind the stub post. The three two-piece posts were assembled and attached to a 6-ft by 6-ft 3-in (1.8-m by 1.9-m) aluminum

ţ

sign blank such that the panel was 7 ft (2.1 m) above ground. The three legs were installed 1.7 ft (0.5 m) apart. The whole sign support system was assembled and inserted 3 ft (0.9 m) in NCHRP S-2 weak soil. The hole around the sign support was backfilled in 6-in (152.4-mm) lifts and compacted until the final grade was reached. Figure 1 and figure 2 are drawings of the sign support system.

5. TEST RESULTS - TEST 92F022

The test vehicle was accelerated to 21.8 mi/h (32 ft/s (9.8 m/s)) prior to impacting the sign support. The centerline of the test vehicle was aligned with the center sign post.

The bumper made contact with all three sign posts and began to collapse. The u-channel legs began to bow away from the vehicle and wrap around the front end of the vehicle. The vehicle continued forward; pushing the u-channel legs through the weak soil. The required force to break the six grade-2 splice bolts or flatten the u-channel was higher than the resisting force of the weak soil therefore the weak soil gave way before the bolts and the vehicle forced the u-channel to plow through the sand. Once the u-channel had pushed through the sand as far as possible the flattening or breakaway force required still could not be obtained because to much energy was consumed plowing through the weak soil. The splice bolts did not break, however the left post did break approximately 15 in (381.0 mm) above ground. The post 0.054 s into the crash event. Two posts bent backwards but never flattened or broke. The u-channel legs pushed through the sand approximately 2.7 ft (0.8 m). The u-channel began pushing through the weak soil upon impact and continued to push through the weak until the vehicle had come to a stop 0.834 s after impact. The sign system remained in the weak soil leaning back 60 degrees. The vehicle came to rest on the broken post's stub and the other two leaning posts. The u-channel was later pulled from the ground and a bend in each u-channel post was recorded 12 in (304.8 mm) below the ground line.

Damage to the vehicle consisted of damage to the bumper and grill. The right side of the vehicle sustained the maximum crush due to the superficial plastic parts which were damaged. The center of the bumper sustained a 4-in (101.6-mm) dent. The occupant compartment was intact after the test.

Damage to the sign system consisted of two bent and twisted u-channel posts. The third post broke 15 in (381.0 mm) above ground during the test. The three splice joints were intact after the test. The sign posts were removed from the ground after the test and a bend was recorded 12 in (304.8 mm) below the ground-line. The panel was in good condition after the test. No sign components impaled the occupant compartment.

The occupant impact velocity using the 2-ft (0.6-m) flail space model outlined in NCHRP Report Number 230, was determined to be 20.9 ft/s (6.4 m/s). The occupant impact velocity was reached 0.172 s into the crash event. The ridedown acceleration was 2.2 g's. The peak acceleration (300 Hz data) for the impact event was 9.4 g's (peak force 17.5 kips (77.7 kN)). Because the sign system stopped the vehicle, the vehicle change in velocity is equal to the impact velocity. The actual vehicle velocity change calculated by integration of the on-board accelerometers was 29.4 ft/s (9.0 m/s).

Photographs during the impact event are presented in figure 3. A summary of the impact conditions and the test results is presented in figure 4. Figures 5 through 8 are plots of data collected during the test. Pre- and

post-test photographs of the vehicle and sign support system are presented in figures 9 through 12. Figure 13 depicts a sketch of the measured vehicle crush.

6. CONCLUSION

÷.,

The test results indicate that the small sign support system does not meet all of the applicable criteria for the low-speed test in weak soil. There was no occupant compartment intrusion; however, the stub from the left sign post remaining after the test was 15 in (381.0 mm) high which is higher than the 4-in (101.6-mm) limit specified by the FHWA. In addition to the stub height, the occupant impact velocity was 20.9 ft/s (6.4 m/s) which is not less than or equal to the 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) limit specified by the FHWA.









-





Summary of test 92F022. Figure 4.

Jest number 92F022	Vehicle analysis: <u>Observed</u> <u>Design/Lim</u> it	-11
Date August 6, 1992	Longitudinal:	
Test vehicle	uccupant velta vat čitt	
Vehicle weight	Lateral:	
Test article	Occupant Delta V at 1 ftno contact no spec Ridedown Accelerationon contact no spec	
Material	Peak 50 msec acceleration	
5-nit Embedment depth3 feet	Longi tuai nai	
Panel typesheet	Vehicle Damage (IAD)12-FC-2	
Helaht	(VDI)12FUENZ	
	Vehicle crush10 in	
Foundation	Vehicle velocity change29.4 ft/s	
<pre>Impact speed</pre>	Exit angleno exit	
Impact angle0 degrees		
Impact location	lin = 25.4 mm lft = 0.305 m llb = 0	.454 kg



- +

VEHICLE ROLLED UP SIGN

7



Acceleration (g's)

Figure 5. Acceleration versus time, X-axis, test 92F022.



Velocity (ft/s)

Figure 6. Velocity versus time, X-axis, test 92F022.

1 ft = 0.305 m



(Thousands) Force (Lb)

10

I lbf = 4.45 N I ft = 0.305 m



92F022

TEST NO.

Occupant velocity (ft/s) & disp (ft)

Figure 8. Occupant velocity and relative displacement versus time, X-axis, test 92F022. l ft = 0.305 m





Figure 10. Additional pretest photographs of test 92F022.



Figure 11. Post-test photographs of test 92F022.



Figure 12. Additional post-test photographs of test 92F022.



1 in = 2.54 cm

٠

.

Figure 13. Sketch of vehicle crush, test 92F022.

8. REFERENCES

 Jarvis D. Michie, Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Appurtenances, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report Number 230, March 1981.

-**•

.

. •

.

·