PAA-77.10 REPORT NO. FAA-RD-76-204

. . .

J.

BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF THE AUTOMATED FLOW CONTROL FUNCTION OF THE AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS COMMAND CENTER

J. Richards

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Transportation Systems Center Kendall Square Cambridge MA 02142



JUNE 1977

FINAL REPORT

.

DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE U.S. PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22161

Prepared for

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION Systems Research and Development Service Washington DC 20590

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

....

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report to:	Government Accessi	on Na. 3. Rec	ipient's Catalog No.	
FAA-RD-76-204				
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Rep	ort Date	
BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF THE A	ΠΤΟΜΔΤΕΒ	T,	une 1977	
FLOW CONTROL FUNCTION OF	THE AIR	6. Per	forming Organization	Code
TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS C	OMMAND CEN	TER		
		8. Per	forming Organization	Report No.
7. Author's)		DOT	- TSC - FAA - 77	7-10
J. Richards				
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			ork Unit No. (TRAIS)	
U.S. Department of Transp	ortation		A-706/R7143	5
Transportation Systems Ce	nter	11. Ca	ontract or Grant No.	
Kendall Square				
Cambridge MA 02142		13. Ту	pe of Report and Per	ried Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		F	inal Report	t l
U.S. Department of Transp	ortation	J	uly 1975-No	ov. 1976
Federal Aviation Administ	ration	11-0		
Systems Research and Deve	lopment Se	rvice (4. Sp	oonsoring Agency Coo	pe
Washington DC 20590				
15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Abstract			Cit analys	ie etudy
This report summariz	es the fin	dings of a bene	fit analys	is study and Center
of the present and propos	sed Air Tra	ffic Control Sy	Stems Comm	and center
automation systems. The	benefits a	nalyzed were th	Actual d	atta on
Fuel Advisory Departure a	and Quota P	10w procedures.	Renefits	were
reduced arrival capacity	conditions	were analyzeu.	ntrol proc	edures
then derived based on the had been utilized. Using	e suppositi	on that flow co	s benefit	s for both
the present and proposed	g fulure de	wand prediction	imated thr	ough 1990.
the present and proposed	advanced s	ystems were ese		8
The study indicated	+hat the c	nly henefit tha	t could be	appropri-
ately quantified was fuel	l cavings d	ue to the imple	mentation	of Fuel
ately quantified was fuel	durae			
Advisory Departure Proces	uies.			
		18. Distribution Statement		
17. Kay Words				
Air Traffic Control		DOCUMENT IS AVA	LABLE TO THE U.S	S. PUBLIC
Central Flow Control	Facility	THROUGH THE NAT	VICE, SPRINGFIEL	D,
Flow Control VIRGI		VIRGINIA 22161		
Fuel Advisory Depart	ure Pro-			
<u>Cedures</u> 19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Clas	sif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclass		134	
0110100011100			1.74	ł

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

L

Ð

0

è.

Reproduction of completed page authorized

PREFACE

Ð

9

ļ

The operational requirements for flow control automation were proposed without quantification of anticipated benefits. The purpose of this report is to assess the benefits of the present flow control automation systems and to ascertain any additional or increased benefits due to a more accurate future system.

Appreciation is expressed to John R. Coonan, Paul M. MacDonald, and Manuel F. Madeiros for their contribution to this study.

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

	Approximate Co	oversions to Metri	. Measures				Approximete Conv	ersions from Me	tric Measures	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol		Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol
								LENGTH	_	
		LENGTH								
						ran -	milimaters	0.04	inches	17
						C11.	centimeters	0.4	inches	:8
in ft	inches	2.5	Contimetors	CIT.		n m	meters	3.3	feet	ft
n Yđ	fect	30	contimeters	CIT.		in in the second	meters	1,1	yards	the state
TL (1)	yards.	0.9	meters	m			kilometors	0.6	miles	(P)
	miles	1.6	ki fometers	ium						
		AREA					_	AREA		
-					<u> </u>	cm ²				
18 ²	square inches	6.5	square centimeters	ر سا		m ²	square continuetors	0.16	square inches	т <mark>и</mark> 2
tt ²	square feet	0.09	square meters	m ²		100 km²	square meters	1.2	square yards	402 m2
yd ² m ²	square yards	0.8	square motors	<u>~</u> 2		tus .	Square kilometers hectares (10,000 m ²	0.4	square miles	m+*
ຕາ້	square miles	2.6	square kilometers	•m2		ne i	necores (10.000 m)	^r) 2.5	acres	
	acres	0.4	hectares	ha						
	h	AASS (weight)						MASS (weight)	_	
					<u> </u>					
50	ounces	28	grams	9		9	grams	0.035	CURCOS	62
Ib	pounds	0.45	kilograms	kg		kg .	kilograms	2.2	pounds	ю
	shart tans (2000 ib)	0,9	tonnes	ĩ		1	tonnes (1000 kg)	1.1	short tons	
		_								
		VOLUME						VOLUME	_	
tsp	teespoons	5	mililiters	mi		mi	militers			
Thep	tablespoons	15	milititers			1	itters	0.03 2.1	fluid ounces pints	fisz
ficz	fluid cunces	30	milliters	mi	<u> </u>		liters	1.05	quarts	pi qt
¢	cups	0.24	liters	1		i i	liters	0.26	gellons	
pt	pints	0.47	liters	i		ໍ່ມີ	cubic meters	35	cubic feet	gal h ³
q1	quarts	0.95	liters	1		۳,	Cubic meters	1.3	cubic yards	
gal tr ³	gallons	3.8	liters	1						**
ft" Vd ³	cubic feet	0.03	cubic meters	#3						
4g.	Cubic yards	0.75	cubic meters	۳,	* *		TEM	PERATURE (exact	<u>u</u>	
	TEMP	ERATURE (exact)				°c	Celsus	9/5 (then	- Fahronheit	•,
۰,							temporature	add 32)	temperature	
•	Fahrachait temperature	5/9 (attor	Celsius	۰c						
		subtracting 32)	Temperature		=				• • •	
		3C)				e,	32	98.6	22	
						-4	0 0 40	80 120	160 200	
					; - <u>=</u>		╞╺┺╺╋╶┺╻╧╺╋╻┺╺╋╶┺╺╋	╺╘╺╋╸╺╘╻╘╺╋╻╺╘╸╘	╶╅╺╘╓╞╼╡╻╇╺╡	
							0 -20 0	20 40 37	60 80 100 C	
					<u> </u>	•	ç	37	-6	

ره رو ه

TABLE OF CONTENTS

0

-

Ð

· •••

۳,

SECTION	P	AGE
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	SYSTEMS DESCRIPTION	Л
	2.1 AIRS I Operational Systems2.2 Advanced Central Flow Control System	4 4
3.	BENEFITS OF THE AUTOMATED FLOW CONTROL FUNCTION	6
	 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Benefits of Fuel Advisory Departure (FAD) and Quota Flow Control (QFLOW) Procedures in Reducing Aircraft Operating Expenses 	6 6
	3.2.1 Flying Operations	7
	3.2.1.1 Crew Costs	7 8
	3.2.2Maintenance3.2.3Conclusions	9 9
	3.3 Flight Disruptions	10
4.	QUANTIFICATION OF FAD PROCEDURE BENEFITS	11
	4.1 Introduction4.2 Simulation Development	11 11
	4.2.1Modification of AIRS I4.2.2Development of the Benefit Analysis Simulation (BAS)	11 12
	 4.3 Selection of Cases to be Simulated 4.4 Simulation Runs 4.5 FAD Procedures Fuel Saving Benefit Results 4.6 Sensitivity Analysis 	13 13 18 27
	4.6.1 Sensitivity to Airborne Holding Conditions Simulation Assumptions	27
	4.6.2 Sensitivity to Ground Holding Conditions Simulation Assumptions	28
	 4.6.3 Sensitivity of Fuel Saving Benefits to Future Demand Predictions 4.6.4 Sensitivity of Fuel Saving Benefits 4.6.4 Sensitivity Conscity During Nonedverse 	30
	to Arrival Capacity During Nonadverse Conditions	30

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

£

Ì

d.

1

Ż

SECTION
4.7 Conclusions 39
APPENDIX A - SIMULATION RESULTS 43
APPENDIX B - SIMULATION METHODOLOGY 109
APPENDIX C - FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ADVANCED SYSTEM 117
APPENDIX D - FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THE CURRENT AIRS I SYSTEM 119
المعادية المحادية ال المحادية المحادية الم الأول المحادية المحاد المحادية المحادية المح
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} + 1$

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1.	1975 SIMULATED FADP RUNS WITH CAUSES OF CAPACITY REDUCTION (JANUARY THROUGH NOVEMBER)	14
2.	FUTURE DIURNAL DEMAND DISTRIBUTION SCENARIOS FOR FAD BENEFIT ANALYSIS	19
3.	PERCENT OF ARRIVALS ORIGINATING WITHIN 2.5 HOURS AND WITHIN CONUS	20
4.	FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS AS FUNCTIONS OF FUTURE DEMAND DISTRIBUTION SCENARIOS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT CRITERION	21
5.	FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR SCENARIO A DEMAND DISTRIBUTION	23
6.	FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR SCENARIO D DEMAND DISTRIBUTION	24
7.	THIRTY-MINUTE FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR ALL AIRCRAFT DEPARTING WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL U.S. FOR IMPACTED AIRPORT	25
8.	FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR 15-MINUTE FADP CRITERION INITIATED IN 1985	26
9.	THIRTY-MINUTE FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR ALL AIRCRAFT DEPARTING WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL U.S. FOR IMPACTED AIRPORTS WITH FUTURE DEMAND INCREASED BY 20%	31
10.	THIRTY-MINUTE FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR ALL AIRCRAFT DEPARTING WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL U.S. FOR IMPACTED AIRPORT WITH FUTURE DEMAND DECREASED BY 20%	32
11.	FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR FUTURE DEMAND INCREASED BY 20%	33
12.	FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR FUTURE DEMAND DECREASED BY 20%	34
13.	SENSITIVITY OF FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS TO FUTURE DEMAND FOR 1977 THROUGH 1990	35

vii

Þ,

199

ō

.38

3

ď

LIST OF TABLES (CONT'D.)

TABLE		<u>PAGE</u>
14.	COMPARISON OF AIRPORT ARRIVAL CAPACITY ESTIMATES DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS	37
15.	FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT, SCENARIO A DEMAND DISTRIBUTION, AND ATCSCC IFR CAPACITIES DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS	38
16.	COMPARISON OF PRESENT VALUE OF BENEFITS FOR INDIVIDUAL AIRPORTS AS A FUNCTION OF NONADVERSE ARRIVAL CAPACITIES FOR 48-MINUTE, 2.5-HOUR FADP CRITERION	40
A-1.	ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A	44
A-2.	ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B	45
A-3.	ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C	46
A-4.	ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D	47
A-5.	JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A	48
A-6.	JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B	50
A-7.	JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C	52
A-8.	JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D	54
A-9.	LGA FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A	56
A-10.	LGA FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B	57
A-11.	LGA FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C	58
A-12.	LGA FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D	59
A-13.	ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A	60
A-14.	ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B	62
A-15.	ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C	64
A-16.	ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D	66
A-17.	ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND D DECREASED BY 20%	68

7

÷

m

ŧ.

Ż

LIST OF TABLES (CONT'D.)

9

M

.**B**

1

2

Ň

TABLE	AGE
A-18. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20%	69
A-19. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20%	71
A-20. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20%	72
A-21. ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%	74
A-22. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%	75
A-23. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%	77
A-24. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%	78
A-25. ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%	80
A-26. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%	81
A-27. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%	83
A-28. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%	84
A-29. ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%	. 86 [;]
A-30. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%	87
A-31. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%	89
A-32. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%	90
A-33. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 53 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS)	92

LIST OF TABLES (CONCLUDED)

£

?

ā

Ţ

4

ABLE	PAGE
A-34. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 70 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS)	93
A-35. LGA FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 32 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS)	94
A-36. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 35 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE HOURS)	95
A-37. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 30 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE HOURS)	97
A-38. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 66 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE HOURS)	99
A-39. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 54 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE HOURS)	101
A-40. ATL OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS	103
A-41. JFK OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS	104
A-42. LGA OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS	106
A-43. ORD OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS	107

х

.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ъ

17

ø

1

75

This report presents the results of a benefit analysis of the present and planned automated systems supporting Central Flow Control operations in the Air Traffic Control Systems Command Center (ATCSCC) of the FAA.

The present and potential benefits of the systems were analyzed and are described in this report. It was determined that the basic quantifiable benefits of the systems are fuel savings resulting from the implementation of Fuel Advisory Departure (FAD) procedures.

In order to quantify fuel saving benefits the delays experienced by arrival traffic at the major airports in 1975 were examined in detail. The actual data for specific days, which would have been applicable to FAD procedures, were then gathered. The data were for four of the major airports (Atlanta, J.F. Kennedy, LaGuardia, and O'Hare).

The presently operational Airport Information Retrieval System (AIRS I) is a computer program which predicts future demand, landing delays, air hold counts, quota flow assignments, and FAD assignments in selected terminal areas. A version of this program was modified at the Transportation Systems Center and used as a simulation tool to derive estimates of the potential fuel savings that would have accrued if FAD procedures had been used throughout the year.

A Benefit Analysis Simulation (BAS) was then developed to quantify the potential long term benefits of AIRS I and the advanced operational system. Traffic forecast data were used in estimating the FAD procedure benefits through 1990. Because major delays are primarily caused by random unforeseen incidents (e.g., snow on runways, winds, thunderstorms, accidents) it was assumed that the number of delay days per year was constant through 1990.

Fuel saving benefits in both gallons of fuel saved and their dollar value were then derived. The dollar value of the benefits

xi

was derived using standard present value techniques, with a discount rate of 10 percent.

Three different FAD procedure criteria were simulated to derive the benefits:

I. The present AIRS I, which detains aircraft on the ground so their airborne delays will not exceed 48 minutes. This is imposed on all aircraft departing within 2.5 hours of the impacted airport.

2. An advanced system option the same as AIRS I except the airborne delay time is reduced to 30 minutes.

5. An advanced system option with the 30-minute criterion covering all aircraft within the continental United States (CONUS).

The estimated benefits of the above for 1977 through 1990 are shown below.

000'729'657	000'†29'02	CONUS (3) 30 Min,
292,777,000	000'925'29	,πim 05 (2) 2.5 Hr
000'056'7TS	000'85£'TS	, nim 84 (I) 7H 2.2
TOTAL GALLONS OF FUEL SAVED	PRESENT VALUE OF FUEL SAVING BENEFITS IN DOLLARS	FADP CRITERIA

2

کھر

2

6

\$

1. INTRODUCTION

٠.

Ŗ.

Q

2

۰.

The National Airspace System (NAS) is a semiautomated system installed in 20 Air Route Traffic Control Centers (ARTCC's). The computation and communication cost of this system are in the million's of dollars. Prior to 1970, each center had a tendency to act in an independent manner during adverse conditions, imposing restrictions on each other which at times had a detrimental effect on the entire system.

In order to alleviate this problem, the FAA established the Air Traffic Control System Command Center (ATCSCC) in 1970 to oversee the flow of aircraft among the centers. Its primary objective is the balancing of national air traffic flow to minimize delays without exceeding controller and airport/airspace capacity.

During the past 5 years, an evolutionary process of increasing flow control automation has occurred at the ATCSCC. In the fall of 1970 automation for data retrieval at 14 airports was initiated. The data retrieved were typical daily arrival scheduled times derived from the Reuben H. Donnelley Corp.-<u>Official Airline Guide</u> tapes. The first operational implementation of the Airport Information Retrieval System (AIRS I) was in January 1972. This system was implemented on a time-shared computer. The system was initially limited to the retrieval of the actual daily arrival scheduled times from the Rueben H. Donnelley Corp.-<u>Official Airline Guide</u> tapes. Evolutionary additions and improvements to AIRS I have continued, the major ones being:

a. Advanced Flow Control Procedures (AFCP), implemented in July 1972

b. Quota Flow, implemented in February 1973

c. Fuel Advisory Delay (FAD) procedures, implemented in February 1974

With the installation and constant improvements to the AIRS I flow control system the ATCSCC personnel have been able to assume more responsibility in managing traffic flow between adjacent

centers and to react more rapidly and efficiently in the solution of flow control problems.

3

M

P

2

The next major step will be the implementation of an advanced flow control system on a dedicated 9020A computer. This system will provide a real time interface to the ATCSCC and the 20 ARTCC's. The result will be timely, complete dynamic data on key position reports of enroute aircraft, reroute information, and diversions and cancellations of flights. These automation enhancements will result in a more accurate and efficient ATCSCC flow control system and an increased credibility with the users of the ATC system.

The purpose of this study was to define the benefits of the present AIRS I and the advanced flow control system and to quantify these benefits where possible.

It was determined that there were two areas where the benefits of the automated could possibly be quantified:

1. Fuel savings which would occur if FAD procedures were imposed.

2. Savings to the users due to the reduction in the number of flight disruptions (cancellations, diversions, and overflights).

It was decided that only the benefits of fuel savings due to the imposition of FAD would be quantified. The reasons for this were twofold:

1. Although a strong case can be made that flight disruptions would be lessened because of the imposition of either Fuel Advisory Departure of Quota Flow procedures, no credible number could be quantified.

2. The fuel saving benefits due to the imposition of FAD are high enough to justify the expenditures involved in the operation of AIRS I and the development/operation of the advanced system, thereby satisfying the objectives of the study.

In order to quantify the fuel saving benefits the AIRS I program was selected as the simulation tool, since it is the most timely source of delay predictions; it has valid, readily available

data and can predict delays under flow control procedures. The AIRS I program was modified to include an option for the calculation of fuel consumption savings when FAD procedures are imposed. Because of the large number of simulation runs required, a new Benefit Analysis Simulation (BAS) was developed and verified from cases run using AIRS I as the simulation tool.

а,

P.

8

2

In order to quantify the fuel saving benefits actual data were collected from the ATCSCC for the most serious arrival delay conditions during the first 11 months of 1975 for specific days and airports. These data were then reviewed, and a total of 68 cases at 4 airports were deemed applicable for the simulation of FAD procedures.

The above data were then utilized for the capacity and demand information inputs to the two simulations. Information from airlines and manufacturers was used to determine the actual fuel consumption rates for various categories of aircraft. These data were entered into the benefit analysis option of the AIRS I and the BAS Programs.

FAA demand predictions were used in order to quantify the FAD benefits through 1990. Simulation runs were made for both systems through 1990. Simulation runs were also made to determine the sensitivity of the results to future demand predictions, diurnal distribution of traffic, and capacity.

2. SYSTEMS DESCRIPTION

÷.

ŋ

2

A brief description of the present AIRS I operational system and the advanced system is presented below. More detailed information appears in Appendixes C and D.

2.1 AIRS I OPERATIONAL SYSTEM

The AIRS I system is a computer program based upon an airport traffic information data base and designed for use by the FAA's Central Flow Control (CFC) Facility. The program is on a timeshared PDP-10 computer system. The biweekly <u>Official Airline</u> <u>Guide</u> (OAG) data tape from the R.H. Donnelley Corporation constitutes the main data base. This data base is enhanced by operator input from the ATCSCC. This input consists of flight schedule updates, Airport Reservation Office updates, and airport operational data such as landing capacity estimates, departure delays, and general aviation estimates as a percentage of scheduled traffic.

The AIRS I programs utilize this data base to compute the future demand, landing delay, air hold counts, quota flow assignments, and FAD assignments in the selected terminal areas. The FAD threshold used with AIRS I is 48 minutes of airborne holding; that is, for any predicted delay greater than 48 minutes the delay time in excess of 48 minutes is assigned as a ground delay.

2.2 ADVANCED CENTRAL FLOW CONTROL SYSTEM

The computer for the advanced flow control system will be an IBM 9020A computing system located at the Jacksonville (JAX) ARTCC. It will provide data processing support to the ATCSCC located in Federal Office Building 10A in Washington, D.C.

A dedicated communication interface between the input/output devices at the ATCSCC and the JAX CFC computer will provide for rapid exchange of CFC message queries and responses. Data communication channels between the CFC computer and the NAS en route center computers will also be established using a store and forward concept.

The basis CFC reference data base is the same OAG tape as used in AIRS I. An off-line configuration of the CFC computer system (batch processing) will be used for the biweekly update. The NAS en route computing system will augment this OAG-derived data base in real-time with flight-related messages via the store and forward communication system. These messages are simply position and flight progress reports by flight identification. The FAD threshold used with the advanced system will be 30 minutes of airborne holding.

٩,

Ø

3. BENEFITS OF THE AUTOMATED FLOW CONTROL FUNCTION

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The present AIRS I and the advanced automated systems capabilities have been reviewed and a number of benefits identified. Many of the benefits cannot be directly quantified, but they nevertheless contribute to the enhancement of the National Aviation System. The major enhancements are:

a. Equitable and timely distribution of both air and ground delay times.

b. Predictive tool to alert users of delays and their length

c. Distribution of airborne holding aircraft for more efficient stacking and sequencing and to permit en route aircraft to "fly through."

d. Smoothing of traffic, resulting in a distribution of traffic peaks.

e. Reducing cancellations and diversions due to holding aircraft on the ground and giving the user the option to cancel or divert flights when alerted to the length of the delay.

The benefits of the automated systems are discussed in detail below.

3.2 BENEFITS OF FUEL ADVISORY DEPARTURE (FAD) AND QUOTA FLOW CONTROL (QFLOW) PROCEDURES IN REDUCING AIRCRAFT OPERATING EXPENSES

The most obvious benefits of the automated system are in the implementation of FAD and QFLOW procedures. The procedures presently in use are delineated in "Flow Control Procedures," Federal Aviation Administration Order 7210-7C, Jan. 8, 1976.

The imposition of these procedures is predicated on an actual airport constraint, such as equipment failure, weather phenomena, or other factors, that significantly reduces the airport's acceptance rate with delays predicted for an extended period of time. **1** 1 1

Briefly, FAD procedures are designed to reduce aircraft operating expenses by absorbing a portion of the predicted delay on the ground; QFLOW procedures are designed to saturate safely the arrival center and adjacent center airspace to keep a constant demand pressure at the arrival airport. QFLOW procedures can also involve the imposition of ground delays.

This study quantified only the reduction of aircraft operating expenses due to the imposition of FAD procedures, since both FAD and QFLOW procedures are applicable to the same delay conditions, and FAD procedures result in higher benefits.

The Civil Aeronautics Board (CAB) publishes operating costs of aircraft operated by the U.S. certified route carriers for 12month periods. The operating costs are tabulated by block hours and are divided into the categories of flying operations, maintenance, and depreciation/rentals. Only the first two categories have potential as cost saving, because of the implementation of FAD procedures. In order to determine the potential benefits to be derived from the application of FAD procedures to these pertinent costs, discussions were held with airlines, user organizations, an aircraft manufacturer, and an engine manufacturer. The results are discussed below.

3.2.1 Flying Operations

1

Ø

2

The dominant costs of flying operations are those of crew and fuel. In 1974 crew and fuel costs constituted more than 98 percent of flying operation costs. By 1975 trade publications indicated this figure had increased to 99 percent.

3.2.1.1 Crew Costs - Telephone discussions were held with representatives of the Air Transport Association, the Airline Pilots Association, and various airlines. The general consensus was that crew costs should be considered the same whether an aircraft is holding in the air or at the originating airport. Therefore, no differentiation was made between crew costs while holding on the ground or in the air in this study.

3.2.1.2 Fuel Costs - Fuel costs obviously are the major cost differential between holding on the ground and in the air. Telephone conversations were held with several airlines in order to obtain typical holding fuel consumption rates. At O'Hare one airline stated that they used 15,000 ft. as their typical holding altitude for fuel consumption estimations. The estimated holding fuel consumption rates for a second airline were slightly higher.

Ŋ

.

P

ĵ

A review was then made of aircraft's holding performance curves and charts. These data indicated that the holding fuel consumption rates obtained from the airlines were approximately at the various aircrafts' gross weight midpoints.

For consistency in this study all fuel consumption rates were extracted from the performance data at a holding altitude of 15,000 ft and at the midpoint gross weights of performance curves or charts. To be conservative the minimum holding fuel consumption rates delineated were used. The selection of the midpoint gross weight for each aircraft type appears to be the logical choice for the following reasons:

a. It agrees with the data obtained from the airlines.

b. The stage lengths of the flights will be of various lengths, resulting in various aircraft gross weights in the terminal area.

c. The aircraft loads (passenger and cargo) will vary, resulting in different gross weights.

d. The aircraft gross weight will vary dependent on whether a scheduled refueling is to be made at the terminal airport.

For the purpose of this study it was assumed that the taxi/ idle ground fuel consumptions were equivalent whether the aircraft had an imposed FAD ground delay or takeoff occurred at the original scheduled time. This assumes that the taxiway queue times at the end of the FAD ground delay and the originally scheduled time frames were the same, and the aircraft remained at the gate.

Therefore, the decrease in airborne holding time will result in the FAD fuel saving benefits when multiplied by the holding fuel consumption rates.

3.2.2 Maintenance

ŧ.

ø

•

Ń

A study was made to determine if a difference between maintenance costs while airborne holding vs. holding on the ground could be determined. Several airlines were contacted. The concensus was that there would be no difference between maintenance costs based on whether an aircraft was holding in the air or on the ground.

If any appreciable maintenance cost differential existed between holding in the air or on the ground it would be in engine maintenance costs. As a further check discussions were held with representatives of an engine manufacturer. They stated that the major maintenance costs associated with engines are in the takeoff, climb, and cruise portion of a flight, with the first two phases predominant as far as engine life. They estimated that the descent and holding phases contribute less than 1 percent of engine maintenance costs; idling on the ground contributes less than 0.5 percent. In addition, engines are no longer cycled for maintenance on the basis of airborne hours. A variety of engine parameters are constantly monitored, and these parameters determine when an engine should have major maintenance. Therefore, it was their recommendation that no engine maintenance cost differential be used in this study.

As a result, maintenance costs differentials are not considered as quantifiable benefits of FAD.

3.2.3 Conclusions

The only quantifiable benefit of aircraft operating costs is the reduction of fuel consumption due to holding aircraft on the ground during FAD.

3.3 FLIGHT DISRUPTIONS

In order to determine the effects of flow control on cancellations, diversions, and overflights an attempt was made to develop an algorithm based on such factors as fuel reserves, accumulated flight time, and delay time. In October 1975 the author visited the central operations/dispatching offices of two airlines. The development of such an algorithm was discussed and their representatives did not consider such an algorithm feasible. Flight disruption decisions were made by experienced airline dispatchers. The decisions to order a flight disruption were multilateral in nature. Some of the factors involved included

R.

¥

a. What is the amount of fuel reserve?

b. What is the predicted length of the delay?

c. What is the need of the aircraft on other routes?

d. Is the crew scheduled to take a new flight from the destination airport?

e. Because of the announced delay will the scheduled passengers have switched to a competing airline for the next flight stage?

f. Can other flights be rescheduled for more efficient use?

If present flow control procedures were in common use it is felt that a reduction in the number of flight disruptions would occur. With the implementation of the advanced flow control a further reduction could be expected because of its greater accuracy, and in turn, more credibility to the users. Since no actual data exist on the reduction of flight disruptions due to flow control procedures and considering the factors discussed above, the reduction in flight disruption have not been considered as a quantifiable benefit in this study.

4. QUANTIFICATION OF FAD PROCEDURE BENEFITS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The first step in quantifying the benefits of FAD procedures was the development of a simulation tool. The present AIRS I system was modified and used for the original simulation runs. Because of the number of runs required and the costs and time involved in utilizing AIRS I as a simulation tool, a Benefit Analysis Simulation (BAS) was developed. Selections of cases to be run and sensitivities of the simulations to input parameters were determined. The BAS was verified and used as the basic simulation tool. Parametric runs were than made as functions of FAD procedure criteria, and finally, sensitivity runs were performed. The results are presented in the following sections.

4.2 SIMULATION DEVELOPMENT

2

2

*

4.2.1 Modification of AIRS I

The presently operational Airport Information Retrieval System (AIRS I) was modified to include an option for the calculation of fuel saving benefits due to the imposition of FAD procedures. Briefly, the initial program retrieves the actual hourly scheduled aircraft and estimates of general aviation traffic, including Airport Reservation Office (ARO) updates, from its data base. Estimated hourly airport arrival capacity and an allowable terminal area delay time are entered. The program then calculates the estimated terminal delays for all aircraft. Based on the estimated time of departures from the originating airports, ground delays for each individual aircraft are calculated so each aircraft will be delayed in the terminal area by the entered hourly terminal delay. The additional option calculates the fuel saving benefits for eight categories of aircraft. The outputs include by aircraft category the number of aircraft delayed, the total delay time, the ground delay during the FAD period, the fuel savings in gallons, the dollar savings, the number of aircraft delayed on the ground

during the FAD period, and the number of aircraft delayed (air and ground) during the FAD period. Aggregations of these figures are also included.

Ş?

¥.

3

The fuel consumption rates used are listed below:

CATEGORY	GALLONS PER MINUTE
4-Engine Wide Body Jet	50.3
3-Engine Wide Body Jet	29.0
4-Engine Regular Body-Stretched Jet	25.3
4-Engine Regular Body Jet	22.8
3-Engine Regular Body Jet	16.8
2-Engine Regular Body Jet	11.0
Turboprop	3.7
All Others	1.0

For simplicity in running simulations one fuel consumption rate is used for the majority of the general aviation aircraft. The total savings for this category contribute approximately 0.1 percent of the total fuel savings, a figure of essentially no significance. Also, General Aviation two-engine jets have been included with the two-engine airline jet category. In a typical run this entire category contributes about 20 percent of the total fuel saved. The number of small general aviation jets included has very slight significance on the results because of the percent included in the category and the offsetting result of higher-fuel prices vs. lower fuel consumption.

Cost savings for the first seven categories were based on a fuel cost of \$0.31 per gallon. The "All Other" category fuel savings was based on a fuel cost of \$0.70 per gallon.

4.2.2 Development of the Benefit Analysis Simulation (BAS)

A number of simulation runs were performed using the AIRS I as the simulation tool. The BAS was then developed in order that a large amount of runs could be simulated in a rapid and efficient manner. A description of the BAS and its verification as a simulation tool are contained in Appendix B.

The BAS was used in generating all the results presented in the following sections.

4.3 SELECTION OF CASES TO BE SIMULATED

N.

٤

One of the major problems in the study was in determining the number of cases that FAD procedures could be applied in future years. It was decided that the most reasonable way would be to review the ATCSCC Daily Résumés and RECAP sheets for problem days during 1975. The first 11 months of 1975 were reviewed. The FAA AAT-12 Performance Summary Profile (PSP) sheets were then collected for all days where the number of 30-minute arrival delays exceeded approximately 50 aircraft. The case studies were limited to ATL, JFK, LGA, and ORD, since PSP data were available for these airports. These four airports account for approximately 80 percent of all IFR delays greater than 30 minutes. There were several days at other airports which could not be simulated because data were not available. In addition, one PSP was missing from ORD, so this day was not simulated.

The advantage of using the PSP data was that the actual hourly arrival capacities during adverse conditions are recorded. The cases simulated and the reasons for capacity reduction are shown in Table 1. A study of the reasons for reduced capacity indicates that the implementation of the UG3RD would have little effect on the cases selected. Therefore, the same cases were deemed appliable for future years.

4.4 SIMULATION RUNS

The simulation runs were made by utilizing the data on the PSP's. Capacity was equated to the PSP actual hourly arrival data. In the cases where these data were not recorded either of two assumptions were made: (1) capacity was assumed to equal the normal capacity of the airports or (2) if the PSP data indicated

TABLE 1. 1975 SIMULATED FADP RUNS WITH CAUSES OF CAPACITY REDUCTION (JANUARY THROUGH NOVEMBER)

AIRPORT	DATE	CAUSES OF CAPACITY REDUCTION
ORD ORD ORD ORD ORD ORD ORD ORD ORD ORD	DATE 1-8 1-9 1-10 1-29 2-5 2-15 2-23 2-25 3-24 3-27 4-2 4-3 4-19 5-30 6-12 6-17 8-20 8-22 9-11 10-17 10-22 10-23 10-24 10-31 11-2 11-9 11-13 11-26	CAUSES OF CAPACITY REDUCTION Low Ceiling and Visibility Volume, Heavy Jet Mix Thunderstorms, High Shifting Winds Winds Snow Snow, Below Minimums Localizer Failure Winds, Low Ceiling, Snow Decreased Visibility, Snow Decreased Visibility, Snow Winds, Icing, Demand, ILS Loss Snow, Visibilities, Two ILS Failures Snow Thunderstorms, Demand Winds, Runway Closure for "Soil Evaluation" Thunderstorms Winds, Reduced Visibility Thunderstorms Thunderstorms Winds, Aircraft Emergency Winds Winds, Demand Runway Closed, Winds, Computer Outage Weather Winds Demand Demand Winds Snow, Low Ceiling and Visibility

 ${}^{\rm a}$

TABLE 1. 1975 SIMULATED FADP RUNS WITH CAUSES OF CAPACITY REDUCTION (CONTINUED)

1 11

đ

AIRPORT	DATE	CAUSES OF CAPACITY REDUCTIONS
JFK JFK JFK JFK JFK JFK	$ \begin{array}{r} 1-11\\ 1-18\\ 2-5\\ 3-19\\ 4-24\\ 6-12 \end{array} $	Below Minimums Winds Winds, Snow Removal Weather, Departures, Volume, Runway Configuration IFR Weather, "Scan" Failure, Weather Below Minimums One Runway for Arrivals Combined with Low Ceiling, Low Visibility and Traffic Volume
JFK	6-15	Low Ceiling, No Visual Approaches Demand Exceeded Capacity for One Landing Runway with IFR Weather
JFK	6-16	Airport Accident
JFK	6-24 6-28	Domand Weather
JFK JFK	7-13	Thunderstorms, Runway Closed for Inspection
JFK	8-4	Woather
JFK	8-24	Thunderstorms, Runway Configuration, Demand
JFK	8-25	Below Minimums
JFK	8-26	Low Ceiling, Low Visibility Alternating Approaches with LGA, Runway Change, Weather
JFK	10-25	Alternating Approaches with LGA, Kunway charge, we
JFK	11-12	Crash, Weather, ILS Failure
JFK	11-13	Winds Disabled Aircrafts Caused Single Runway Operation
JFK	11-14	Thunderstorms, High Winds
JFK JFK	11-21 11-30	Strong Winds, Low Visibility
LGA LGA LGA LGA LGA LGA LGA	$ \begin{array}{r} 1-13\\ 1-20\\ 1-25\\ 3-12\\ 3-14\\ 3-19\\ 5-4 \end{array} $	Weather, Sanding of Runway IFR Weather, Icy Runway Caused Poor Breaking Action Weather Below Minimum, Glide Slope Out of Service Strong Gusty Winds Weather Below Minimums, Runway Closed for Sanding Weather, Sanding of Runway Weather, One Runway Operation Due to JFK ILS Approaches, Conflicting Flow Between LGA and TEB

۰,

TABLE 1. 1975 SIMULATED FADP RUNS WITH CAUSES OF CAPACITY REDUCTION (CONCLUDED)

AIRPORT	DATE	CAUSES OF REDUCED CAPACITY
LGA LGA LGA LGA LGA	8-4 9-26 11-21 11-24 11-30	Weather Winds, ILS Failure Winds, Wet Runways Winds Winds, Visibility
ATL ATL ATL ATL ATL ATL ATL	2-1 2-19 2-23 3-12 9-7 11-24	Weather Below Minimum Low Ceiling, Runway Localizer Inoperative Weather Below Minimum Low Ceiling, Low Visibility, and RVR Low Ceiling, Low Visibility, Airport Below User Minimums Below Minimums, Runway Repairs, Winds

.

4

- .

142

.

mi, is

delays had occurred in the missing actual arrival periods, capacity figures were estimated by graphical extrapolation using the hourly demand and the time and magnitude of the delays. In order to determine the benefits of future years, preliminary FAA demand data predictions were used. The ratio of future yearly data to 1975 data is shown below:

YEAR	ATL	JFK	LGA	ORD
1975	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
1980	1.175	1.206	1.062	1.079
1985	1.275	1.347	1.121	1.091
1990	1.285	1.458	1.150	1.101

The normal values of arrival capacities used in the simulations were:

AIRPORT	ARRIVAL CAPACITY PER HOUR
ATL	50
JFK	4 5
LGA	40
ORD	65

The BAS calculated the fuel saving benefits for 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990. Linear interpolation was then used to calculate the benefits for the years between the four data points. Because the data base only contained 11 months of data these results were multiplied by 12/11 to arrive at the actual yearly benefits.

In the simulation runs a determination had to be made as to how future demand increases would be treated on an hourly basis. At the present time actual hourly demand sometimes exceeds normal capacity during peak demand hours. It was decided to conduct simulation runs at four different future diurnal demand distribution scenarios ranging from future hourly demand increased proportional to the increase in yearly demand to all future hourly

٩

demand greater than hourly capacity redistributed to other hours. (The redistribution algorithm is defined in Appendix B.) Table 2 delineates the four diurnal demand distributions used in the simulations.

\$

تج

ñ

Simulation runs were made for the following conditions:

a. 48-minute FAD for all aircraft within 2.5 hours of impacted airport criterion (the present AIRS I).

b. 30-minute FAD for all aircraft within 2.5 hours of impacted airport criterion for one option of the advanced system.

c. 15-minute FAD for all aircraft within 2.5 hours of impacted airport criterion for second option of the advanced system for use in future years in conjunction with UG3RD improvements. Sensitivity runs were also performed as functions of future demand and capacity. The results of these runs are contained in Appendix A.

Another option of the advanced system is to implement FAD Procedures for all aircraft within CONUS departing for the impacted airport. Since the AIRS I and BAS were only able to simulate the 2.5-hour cases this condition was handled as follows:

The AIRS I system was used to determine both the daily number of aircraft arriving at the four airports that departed within 2.5 hours flight time of the airports and also the daily number of aircraft arriving at the impacted airports that departed from within CONUS. These results are shown in Table 3. The ratios of the number of flights departing within CONUS to the number of flights departing within 2.5 hours flight time of the airports were then calculated.

These ratios were used to adjust the simulation results so that the fuel saving benefits for all aircraft departing within CONUS could be calculated.

4.5 FAD PROCEDURES FUEL SAVING BENEFIT RESULTS

The initial simulations were run for the four future diurnal demand distributions. The results are shown in Table 4. These

TABLE 2. FUTURE DIURNAL DEMAND DISTRIBUTION SCENARIOS FOR FAD BENEFIT ANALYSIS

ي.

đ

SCENARIO	STEP 1	STEP 2
A	Future hourly demand increased pro- portionally to 1975 hourly demand as a function of future yearly demand predictions divided by 1975 demand.	No redistribution
В	Same as Scenario A	All future hourly demand greater than 120 percent of hourly capa- city redistributed to other hours.
с	Same as Scenario A	All future hourly demand greater than 110 percent of hourly capa- city redistributed to other hours.
D	Same as Scenario A	All future hourly demand greater than hourly capacity redistributed to other hours.

.

4

.

TABLE 3.	PERCENT O	F ARRIVALS	ORIGINATING	WITHIN	2.5	HOURS	AND	WITHIN	CONUS
----------	-----------	------------	-------------	--------	-----	-------	-----	--------	-------

AIRPORT/ITEMS	8/14/76	'	T	1	T	r	r
	SAT.	SUN.	MON.	TUE.	WED.	THU.	FRI.
ORD				1	1		
24 hr. Total Arrivals	895	821	931	975	980	983	977
Arr Within 2 1/2 hrs.	750	676	790	821	827	829	823
ann Mithin Course	84%	82%	85%	848	848	84%	84%
Arr Within CONUS	861	790	897	942	948	948	945
6	96%	96%	96%	97%	97%	96%	97%
JFK							
24 hr. Total Arrivals	388	370	357	387	394	403	396
Arr Within 2 1/2 hrs.	209	194	202	218	225	224	223
8	54%	52%	57%	56%	57%	56%	56%
Arr Within CONUS	248	225	235	263	269	270	266
8	64%	61%	66%	68%	68%	67%	67%
LGA			ŀ				
24 hr. Total Arrivals	311	296	374	375	374	375	375
Arr Within 2 1/2 hrs.	294	279	356	357	356	357	357
8	95%	948	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Arr Within CONUS	300	285	362	363	362	363	363
8	96%	96%	978	978	97%	97%	97%
ATL							
24 hr. Total Arrivals	604	580	601	611	612	615	615
Arr Within 2 1/2 hrs.	588	562	583	595	597	598	615 598
8	97%	978	97%	97%	988	978	598 978
Arr Within CONUS	599	573	594	605	606	609	978 609
9 1	99%	998	998	998	99%	99%	998
						550	276

т.,

.

46)

.

1 ~ 30

TABLE 4. FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS AS FUNCTIONS OF FUTURE DEMAND DISTRIBUTION SCENARIOS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT CRITERION

đ

SCENARIO	1980		1985		1990		
SCENARIO	48 Minute FADP	30 Minute FADP	48 Minute FADP	30 Minute FADP	48 Minute FADP	30 Minute FADP	
			IS IN THOUSANDS	OF DOLLARS			
А	5941	7818	8251	10,351	9808	12,046	
В	5922	7802	8224	10,326	9 7 87	12,029	
с	5848	7755	8130	10,262	9641	11,915	
D	5393	7210	7480	9506	8807	10,943	
		BENEFI	I TS RELATIVE TO	SCENARIO A			
А	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
В	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
c	0.98	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.98	0.99	
D	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.92	0.90	0.91	

results show that the fuel saving benefits of Scenarios A, B, and C are approximately the same. Therefore, the remaining simulation runs were only run for Scenarios A and D.

FAD procedure fuel saving benefits were then calculated for the time period 1977 through 1990. For the advanced system cases it was assumed that the system would become operational in 1979. Therefore, the 1977 and 1978 benefits for the advanced system are the same as the AIRS I 48-minute, 2.5-hour criterion.

Tables 5 and 6 show the dollar benefits for the 48-minute and 30-minute FAD procedure system applied to all aircraft within 2.5 hours of the impacted airports for Scenarios A and D future demand distributions, respectively. Table 7 shows the dollar benefits for the advanced system for the 30-minute, CONUS FAD criterion. The present value of the benefits were calculated using a 10 percent discount rate.

As stated previously the Scenario A diurnal demand distribution proportionally increases hourly traffic as a function of yearly increases in demand forecasts; the Scenario D diurnal demand distribution redistributes any future hourly traffic exceeding hourly capacity to other hours. It is beyond the scope of this report to determine how future traffic will be regulated. It was decided to use the average values of the benefits of the two scenarios, since some compromise between the two appear reasonable. The results for the average values are shown below.

s?

SYSTEM	PRESENT VALUE OF BENEFITS (IN DOLLARS)	TOTAL FUEL SAVINGS (IN GALLONS)
48-Minute 2.5-Hour	51,358,000	314,950,000
30-Minute 2.5-Hour	63,536,000	392,777,000
30-Minute CONUS	70,634,000	439,624,000

Table 8 shows the dollar benefits for the advanced system if the 30-minute criterion is reduced to 15 minutes in 1985 for Scenario A demand distribution for both aircraft within 2.5 hours and within

TABLE 5. FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR SCENARIO A DEMAND DISTRIBUTION

4

YEAR	48 Minute FADP Criterion Yearly Benefits	<u>30 Minute FADP Criterion</u> Yearly Benefits
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	Yearly Benefits 3967 4625 5283 5941 6403 6865 7327 7789 8251 8562 8874 9185	3967 4625 7018 7818 8325 8831 9338 9844 10351 10690 11029 11368 11707
1989 1990'	9497 9808	11707 12046
PRESENT VALUE	53758	66157

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

t

TABLE 6. FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR SCENARIO D DEMAND DISTRIBUTION

YEAR	48 Minute FADP Criterion Yearly Benefits	30 Minute FADP Criterion Yearly Benefits
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985	3748 4296 4845 5393 5810 6228 6645 7063 7480	Yearly Benefits 3748 4296 6532 7210 7669 8128 8588 9047
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	7746 8011 8277 8542 8808	9506 9793 10081 10368 10656 10943
PRESENT VALUE	48957	67729

*شع*ر

-16

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

TABLE 7. THIRTY-MINUTE FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR ALL AIRCRAFT DEPARTING WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL U.S. FOR IMPACTED AIRPORT

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

4

đ

YEAR	Scenario A Demand Distribution Yearly Benefits	Scenario D Demand Distribution Yearly Benefits
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989	3967 4625 7842 8848 9409 9969 10530 11090 11651 12043 12434 12826 13217 13609	3748 4296 7289 8157 8668 9179 9690 10201 10712 11046 11380 11713 12047 12381
PRESENT	73538	67729

TABLE 8. FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR 15-MINUTE FADP CRITERION INITIATED IN 1985

YEAR	Aircraft Departing Within 2.5 Hours for Impacted Airport	Airport Departing Within Conus for Impacted Airport	
1977	3967		
1978	4625	3967 4625	
1979	7018	7842	
1980	7818	8848	
1981	8325	9409	
1982	8831	9969	
1983	9338	10530	
1984	9844	11090	
1985	11586	13025	
1986	11938	13431	
1987	12290	13837	
1988	12643	14244	
1989	12995	14650	
1990	13347	15056	
PRESENT VALUE	68981	76680	

Le ;

\$

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

26

164

CONUS. The increase in benefits from implementing the 15-minute criterion are shown below.

FADP CRITERIA	INCREASE IN PRESENT VALUE OF DOLLAR BENEFITS	INCREASE IN GALLONS OF FUEL SAVED
2.5 Hours	5,445,000	41,304,000
CONUS	6,045,000	45,934,000

4.6 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

k

4.6.1 <u>Sensitivity to Airborne Holding Conditions Simulation</u> Assumptions

The fuel consumption savings were calculated on the basis that the airborne delays would occur at an average holding altitude of 15,000 ft and at the midpoint gross weight as shown on the manufacturers' holding curves and charts. There are an unlimited number of combinations of altitudes and aircraft gross weights. Because of limitations in data and for brevity it was decided that fuel consumption sensitivity would be calculated as follows:

a. Holding altitude fuel consumption rates at 5000 ft and 25,000 ft were compared to the 15,000 ft holding fuel consumption rates with the midpoint gross weights used in all calculations.

b. The minimum and maximum holding gross weight fuel consumption rates were compared to the midpoint gross weights with a 15,000 ft holding altitude used in all calculations.

Fuel consumption rates' sensitivities are shown below for the five major aircraft types.

AIRCRAFT TYPE	PERCENT D HOLDING		ROM REFEREN GROSS	CE VALUES WEIGHT
	5000 FT	25,000 FT	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
4-Engine Wide Body	+5.8	-2.9	-24.9	+27.8
3-Engine Wide Body	+5.1	-1.7	-23.1	+34.2
4-Engine Reg. Body-S	+4.8	-3.9	-35.9	+30.1
3-Engine Reg. Body	+6.9	-4.0	-19.7	+12.8
2-Engine Reg. Body	+8.5	-5.4	-13.4	+15.8

The above data were then applied to the ORD simulation run for 2-5-75, which was the run of maximum impact. The above five aircruft categories accounted for over 95 percent of the fuel savings. This results were as follows:

a. The effects of a 10,000 ft difference in holding altitude resulted in less than a 5.2 percent change in overall FAD fuel saving benefits.

\$

₹

ð.

b. The effects of excursions in aircraft gross weight from the midpoint to either the minimum or maximum values resulted in less than a 20 percent change in overall FAD fuel saving benefits.

These results indicate that the selection of 15,000 ft as a reference holding altitude had a relatively minimum effect on the FAD simulation results. The minimum and maximum aircraft gross weights have considerably more effect on the results. However, as previously discussed, the midpoint gross weight seems to be the most reasonable figure to use in the simulation. Also, there appears to be a slight probability that many aircraft would be holding at the minimum or maximum holding gross weights delineated by the manufacturers. Therefore, the practical effects of aircraft gross weights would be considerably less than shown in the previous table.

4.6.2 <u>Sensitivity to Ground Holding Conditions Simulation</u> <u>Assumptions</u>

The FAD procedure simulation benefit calculation was made with the assumption that an equivalent amount of fuel will be consumed on the ground during the imposed ground delays as would have been the case if the aircraft had departed as scheduled. This is obviously the most desirable condition, since it results in the maximum fuel saving benefits. However, if this assumption is not true the ground fuel consumption for the imposed ground delays could be higher for the following reasons:

a. Gate congestion requiring that aircraft be moved away from their gates.

b. Imposed ground departure delays having aircraft being scheduled for takeoffs during higher departure demand periods causing higher taxiway queues with resulting higher engine idle time. (By the same token, the opposite effect could occur.)

2

,

No actual data were available for analysis. However, the sensitivity of any possible increase in ground fuel consumption due to the imposition of FAD Procedures has been considered in this study. The following typical fuel consumption rates for aircraft while at idle were used:

	ТҮРЕ	GALLONS PER MINUTE
		19.6
	4-Engine Wide Body	
	3-Engine Wide Body	9.4
	4-Engine Regular Body Stretched	10.7
i	4-Engine Regular Body	10.3
	3-Engine Regular Body	7.4
	2-Engine Regular Body	4.8
	Turbo	3.0

The sensitivity of FAD fuel saving benefits to running the aircraft engines during a portion of the FAD-imposed ground delay was determined by applying the above data to the simulation results for 2-5-75. The FAD-imposed ground delays average 125 minutes over a time span of 16 hours. These results indicated that if the aircraft engines were running for 20 percent of the FAD-imposed ground delay the decrease in FAD benefits would have been less than 9 percent. The 20 percent figure says that all aircraft could have had their engines at taxi/idle for 25 minutes during the imposed ground delay.

During this time frame aircraft could have experienced reduced as well as increased takeoff queue times. Therefore, the differences between taxiway waiting times could even possibly have a zero average over the entire period, resulting in no additional taxi/ idle fuel consumption on the taxiway. Also, over the entire FAD

period it is not probable that gate demand would require all the aircraft to be moved from their departure gate to a holding area, necessitating additional taxi fuel consumption.

د

Ś

4.6.3 <u>Sensitivity of Fuel Saving Benefits to Future Demand</u> <u>Predictions</u>

In order to determine the sensitivity of fuel saving benefits to demand, simulation runs were made at plus and minus 20 percent of the future demand predictions. The results of these runs are shown in Tables 9 through 13. Table 13 shows the sensitivity of the benefits to future demand.

For future demand Scenario A, the ratios of percent change in benefits to percent change in demand extend from 1.0 to 0.8. For future demand Scenario D these ratios range from 0.8 to 0.65. It would be expected that Scenario D would be less sensitive to demand changes, since all traffic above normal capacity is spread to off peak hours.

4.6.4 <u>Sensitivity of Fuel Saving Benefits to Arrival Capacity</u> During Nonadverse Conditions

Table 14 shows three sets of capacity estimates for the four airports. The capacities shown are (1) the TSC baseline estimates which were constant through 1990 and (2) the ATCSCC IFR 1976 capacity estimates, and (3) UG3RD capacity estimates for 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990.

Table 15 shows the benefits for Scenario A demand distribution for aircraft within 2.5 hours of impacted airports for the 48- and 30-minute criteria with the ATCSCC IFR capacity estimates.

Comparing these results to the results with the TSC capacities shows the following fuel saving benefits (present value):

	PRESENT VALUE OF FUEL SAVING BENEFITS IN DOLLARS	
	TSC CAPACITIES	ATCSCC CAPACITIES
48-Min FADP	53,758,000	55,051,000
30-Min FADP	66,157,000	67,888,000

TABLE 9. THIRTY-MINUTE FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR ALL AIRCRAFT DEPARTING WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL U.S. FOR IMPACTED AIRPORTS WITH FUTURE DEMAND INCREASED BY 20%

YEAR	Scenario A Demand Distribution Yearly Benefits	Scenario D Demand Distribution Yearly Benefits
1977	4393	4064
1978	5265	4770
1979	8910	8079
1980	10183	9144
1981	10891	9736
1982	11599	10328
1983	12306	10919
1984	13014	11511
1985	13722	12103
1986	14228	12506
1987	14733	12909
1988	15239	13311
1989	15744	13714
1990	16250	14117
PRESENT VALUE	85638	76131

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

31

.

¢

1

e

YEAR	Scenario A Demand Distribution Yearly Benefits	Scenario D Demand Distribution Yearly Benefits
1977	3575	3436
1978	4037	3829
1979	6814	6462
1980	7563	7123
1981	7986	7520
1982	8408	
1983	8831	7918
1984	9253	8315
1985	9676	8712
1986	9961	9110
1987	10246	9360
1988	10531	9609
1989	10817	9859
1990	11102	10109
PRESENT		10358
VALUE	62009	58427

(۱):

4

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

TABLE 10. THIRTY-MINUTE FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR ALL AIRCRAFT DEPARTING WITHIN THE

CONTINENTAL U.S. FOR IMPACTED AIRPORT WITH FUTURE DEMAND DECREASED BY 20%

16

.

.

× +

TABLE 11. FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR FUTURE DEMAND INCREASED BY 20%

...

1

YEAR	Demand Scen Yearly Bene	ario A fits	Demand Scenar Yearly Benefi	tio D
	48 Minute	30 Minute	48 Minute	30 Minute
	FADP	FADP	FADP	FADP
1977	4393	4393	4064	4064
	5265	5265	4770	4770
1978 1979	6137	7980	5477 6183	7243 8099
1980 1981	7008 7598	9020 9658	6670	8628
1982	8188	10297	7157	9157
	8779	10935	7643	9686
1983	9369	11574	8130	10215
1984		12212	8617	10744
1985	9959	12653	8943	11092
1986	10371		9269	11440
1987 1988	10784 11196	13094 13534	9595	11788
1989	11609	13976	9921	12136
	12021	14416	10247	12484
1990 PRESENT VALUE	63860	77136	55936	68500

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

33

.

e

TABLE 12. FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS FOR AIRCRAFT WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT FOR FUTURE DEMAND DECREASED BY 20%

YEAR		Demand Scenario A Yearly Benefits		rio D its
	48 Minute FADP	30 Minute FADP	48 Minute FADP	30 Minute FADP
1977	3575	3575	2425	
1978	4037	4037	3436	3436
1979	4499	6121	3829	3829
1980	4961	6696	4221	5809
1981	5260	7076	4614	6306
1982	5638	7457	4930	6663
1983	5977	7837	5246	7020
1984	6315	8218	5562	7378
1985	6654		5878	7735
1986	6876	8598	6194	8092
1987		8848	6389	8306
1988	7098	9090	6584	8521
1989	7321	9335	6779	8735
1990	7543	9581	6974	8950
	7765	9827	7169	9164
PRESENT VALUE	44374	55877	41464	52650

*

(Benefits in Thousands of Dollars)

34

fb;

.

TABLE 13. SENSITIVITY OF FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS TO FUTURE DEMAND FOR 1977 THROUGH 1990

.

1

	FADP	FUTURE DEMAND	PRESENT VALUE	TOTAL FUEL SAVINGS
DEMAND SCENARIO	CRITERION	PREDICTION	(Thousands of Dollars)	(Thousands of Gallons
A	48 Minute	Baseline	53758	330248
	2.5 Hours			
А	"	120% of Baseline	63860 (+19%)	395732 (+20%)
A	11	80% of Baseline	44374 (-17%)	269416 (-18%)
A	30 Minute	Baseline	66157	409539
	2.5 Hours			
А		120% of Baseline	77136 (+17%)	480668 (+17%)
A		80% of Baseline	55877 (-16%)	342890 (-16%)
A	30 Minute	Baseline	73538	458258
	CONUS			
А		120% of Baseline	85638 (+16%)	537022 (+17%)
A	**	80% of Baseline	62009 (-16%)	383226 (-16%)
D	48 Minute	Baseline	48957	299652
	2.5 Hours			
D	11	120% of Baseline	55936 (+14%)	344148 (+15%)
D	11	80% of Baseline	41464 (-15%)	250984 (-16%)
U				

(Percent Change From Baseline Prediction in Parenthesis)

4 .

TABLE 13. SENSITIVITY OF FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS TO FUTURE DEMAND PREDICTIONS FOR 1977 THROUGH 1990 (CONTINUED)

DEMAND SCENARIO	FADP CRITERION	FUTURE DEMAND PREDICTION	PRESENT VALUE	TOTAL FUEL SAVINGS
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Thousands of Dollars)	(Thousands of Gallons
D	30 Minute 2.5 Hours	Baseline	60916	376016
D	59	120% of Baseline	68500 (+12%)	424342 (+13%)
D	· · · · ·	80% of Baseline	52650 (-14%)	322400 (-14%)
D	30 Minute CONUS	Baseline	67729	420990
D	"	120% of Baseline	76131 (+12%)	474874 (+13%)
D	"	80% of Baseline	58427 (-14%)	360387 (~14%)

٠**.**.

.

ç,

-1

. .

147 - K

AIRPORT	YEAR	TSC BASELINE	ATCSCC IFR	UGRRD E	STIMATES*
				IFR	VFR
ATL	1975 (76)	50	53	54	65
	1980	50		54	65
:	1985	50		56	71
	1990	50		56	70
JFK	1975 (76)	45	35	30	37
	1980	45		30	37
	1985	45		30	37
	1990	45		30	37
LGA	1975 (76)	40	32	30	38
	1980	40		29	37
	1985	40		29	36
	1990	40		28	38
ORD	1975 (76)	65	66	59	68
· ·	1980	65		54	68
	1985	65		54	67
	1990	65		53	65

TABLE 14. COMPARISON OF AIRPORT ARRIVAL CAPACITY ESTIMATES DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS

....

à

*AVERAGE VALUES

37

ø .

YEAR	48 Minute FADP Criterion Yearly Benefits	30 Minute FADP Criterion Yearly Benefits
1977	3990	3990
1978	4622	4622
1979	5295	7138
1980	5947	7957
1981	6467	8500
1982	6986	9043
1983	7506	9587
1984	8025	10130
1985	8545	10673
1986	8905	11059
1987	9265	11445
1988	9626	11830
1989	9986	12216
1990	10346	12602
PRESENT VALUE	55051	67888

5

.

TABLE 15. FADP FUEL SAVING BENEFITS WITHIN 2.5 HOURS OF IMPACTED AIRPORT, SCENARIO A DEMAND DISTRIBUTION, AND ATCSCC IFR CAPACITIES DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS

ه,

.8

-0

The results show that the differences between the capacity estimates are within 2.6 percent for both cases.

Table 16 illustrates the sensitivity of capacity estimates to the present value of fuel saving benefits for the four airports. Comparing Table 16 with Table 14 shows that the TSC baseline capacities were the highest capacity estimates for JFK and LGA and within 5 percent for ORD. From this it can be concluded that this report's fuel saving benefits are conservative.

What capacity estimates during nonadverse conditions should be used on the simulation runs is open for conjecture. In the case where low visibility was the cause of excessive delays IFR capacity estimates would appear to be the most logical. In the case of winds being the factor for delays VFR capacities should possibly be used.

The reason for the relative insensitivity of the results to the capacities used during "normal" conditions is that the FAD period generally extended through the peak traffic hours. At the end of the FAD period the "normal" capacity is greater than the demand, so the stack of arrival aircraft could land without a direct propagation of delays to the aircraft scheduled to arrive at this time.

4.7 CONCLUSIONS

D

Since this report only pertains to the benefits of the ATCSCC Automated Flow Control Function and does not include any cost analysis, no conclusions can be made as to the economic justification of the systems analyzed.

However, it should be emphasized that there are considerable benefits to both the present and advanced systems. A continuation of the present system through 1990 will result in an estimated \$51 million (present value) of benefits attributed to a total fuel saving of 315 million gallons.

Development of the advanced system would result in an estimated \$71 million (present value) of benefits attributed to a total fuel savings of 440 million gallons.

TABLE 16. COMPARISON OF PRESENT VALUE OF BENEFITS FOR INDIVIDUAL AIRPORTS AS A FUNCTION OF NONADVERSE ARRIVAL CAPACITIES FOR 48-MINUTE, 2.5-HOUR FADP CRITERION

AIRPORT	Capacity Values Used in Simulation Runs		Percent Difference in Net Present Values of Benefits
	High	Low	
ATL	70	50	
	(40%)		24%
JFK	45	30	
	(50%)		17%
LGA	40	. 32	
	(25%)		7.6%
ORD	66	54	
	(22%)		16%

(Thousands of Dollars)

.

40

(46

The above benefits should be considered as conservative estimates, since only benefits which could be directly quantified were included.

÷

ADDENDIX A SIMULATION RESULTS

2

B

2

This appendix contains the simulation results which were made in order to calculate the fuel saving benefits if Fuel Advisory Delay procedures were utilized. These computer outputs are based on 11 months of 1975 data. All benefits delineated in the body of this report were derived by multiplying these computer output results by 12/11 for calculating full years of benefits.

This appendix contains runs made for different future diurnal demand distribution scenarios. The scenarios are defined as follows:

- SCENARIO A: Future hourly demand increased proportionally to 1975 demand as a function of future yearly demand predictions divided by 1975 demand.
 - SCENARIO B: Same as Scenario A, but with all future hourly demand greater than 120 percent of capacity redistributed to other hours.
- SCENARIO C: Same as Scenario A, but with all future hourly demand greater than 100 percent of hourly capacity redistributed to other hours.
- SCENARIO D: Same as Scenario A, but with all future hourly demand greater than hourly capacity redistributed to other hours.

The simulations were performed for ATL, JFK, LGA, and ORD.

Tables A-1 through A-16 show the fuel and equivalent cost savings as a function of the future diurnal demand.

Tables A-17 through A-23 show the sensitivity of the fuel savings benefits to future demand predictions.

Tables A-33 through A-39 show the sensitivity of the fuel saving benefits to landing capacities during nonadverse conditions.

Tables A-40 through A-43 show the benefits for an "optimal" system (i.e., 15-minute and 0-minute FADP criteria).

TABLE A-1. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A

FUR 43 TH. FAR BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975 FUEL DULLARS	1930 FUEL DULLARS	1985	1990
	TOLE DOLLARS	FORE COLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01/75 ATL 2/19/75 ATL 2/23/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 9/17/75 ATL 11/24/75	15036 4661 0 0 179726 55715 117352 36847 11456 3551 150363 46614	534135 135591	459697 142506 603634 199676 927967 257675 1013195 314090 592165 163571	650164 201550 964505 298996 1043993 325139 64/300 200663
TJINLS	475443		4054012	934555 305212 4307490 1490320

44

٠

.

FUR 30 MIN. FADE DEALETT APALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	T⊋75	1230	1985	I990
	≓UEL DULLA9S	FJEL DULLARS	FUEL OULLARS	FU⊆L DULLARS
ATL 2701775 ATL 2719775 ATL 2723775 ATL 3712775 ATL 3712775 ATL 9717775 ATL 11724775	36513 11320 17901 5549 242737 75245 205503 63705 30073 9322 234145 72584	733237 228353 356612 113649	616510 191118 769743 233620 1054726 326965 1164330 367142 762522 236400 1120602 347336	311273 251494 1093393 333951 1220132 373240 517717 25240
TUTALS	766377	3033932	5503493	5771230
	237725	956031	1707631	178909 3

• •

.

-

.

OP 1133 0.17 2LAPSED 11 38 26.96

TABLE A-2. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B

¥ (#

ø

۰.

FUR 43 / IN. FAUP DEVEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01/75	15036 4661	164689 51053	453265 1 42062	
ATL 2/19/75	с о	213379 66147	607917 183454	651596 201994
ATL 2/23/75	179726 55715	429079 154714	830729 273025	905074 280572
ATL 3/12/75	113362 36847	532140 130463	975246 302326	997443 309207
ATL 9/17/75	11 456 3551	214312 66591	579276 179575	610066 189120
ATL 11/24/75	150368 46614	539394 167367	916531 284124	935864 290117
TUTALS	475448	2213993		4609863
	1 47383	636335	1369566	1 42 905 4

FOR 30 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1980	1985	1990
11166	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
ATL 2/01//5 ATL 2/19/75 ATL 2/23/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 9/17/75 ATL 9/17/75 ATL 11/24/75	36518 11320 17901 5549 242737 75243 205503 63705 30073 9322 234145 72534	361600 112095 537152 132017 736305 228409 363748 112761	1002456 310761 1146380 355377 749693 232404	816285 253048 1027517 318530 1168577 362258 730483 241949
TJTALS	765377 237728	3036723 941381	5371014 1665012	5549356 1726497

CPU TIME: 0.49 ELAPSED TIME: 26.65 HJ EXECUTION ECHDRS DETECTED

EXIT

45

. .

TABLE A-3. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C

FUR 43 HA. FADE BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

.

TITLE	1975 FUEL DULLARS	1930 FUEL DULLARS	1985 FUEL DULLARS	1990 FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01/75 ATL 2/19/75 ATL 2/23/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 9/17/75 ATL 11/24/75	15036 4661 0 0 179726 55715 113362 36347 11456 3551 150363 46614	163269 52163 215528 66313 514832 159597 530708 480019 217676 67479 533462 156923	457549 141840 604337 187344 912234 282792 1011043 313424 590016 182904 950135 294557	1047566 324745 645152 199997
TUTALS	475748 1473 <i>°</i> 3	2235475 692994	4525369 1 402861	4779564

FUR 30 HIG. FADE BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1960	1985	1.290
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
ATL 2/01/75 ATL 2/17/75 ATL 2/23/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 9/11/75 ATL 11/24/75	36518 11320 17901 5549 242737 75243 205503 63705 30073 9322 234145 72584	604337 137344 735373 227965 365396 113427	1035393 320971 1132182 366476 760434 235734	80/693 250384
TUTALS	766377 237723	3053192 946485	5479135 1698529	5741923 1779993

¥

102

HL.

CPU TIME: 0.49 ELAPSED TIME: 28.05 AU EXECUTION ELARDRS DETECTED

EXIT

٠

TABLE A-4. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D

ø

FUR 45 MIN. FADP DENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

.

· ...

4

47

TITLE	1775	1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
ATL 2/01//5	15036 4661	164639 51053 214095 66369	409574 126967 552732 171362	445376 138066 586436 181795
ATL 2/19/75 ATL 2/23/75	0 0 179726 55715	471154 146057	791224 245279	809125 250328
ATL 3/12/75 ATL 9/17/75	118362 36847 11456 3551	537746 166701 133313 58373	875000 271250 436191 150719	888605 275467 487623 151163
ATL 11/24/75	150368 46614	495499 153604	817001 253270	830606 257487
TUTALS	475 48	2071501	3931772	40 47 77 1
	147383	6 4 2 1 6 2	1218847	1254306

. . .

FUR 30 MIN. FADP BEREFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1980	1985	1990
	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01/75 ATL 2/19/75 ATL 2/23/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 9/17/75 ATL 11/24/75	36518 11320 17901 5549 242737 75248 205503 63705 30073 9322 234145 72534	557079 172694 689546 213759 332242 102995	911518 282570 1033258 321859 655892 203326	751125 232348 929419 298119 1054010 326743 653040 203992
TUTALS	766377	2387737	437 4798	4994374
	237728	875211	1511134	1548253

CPU TIME: 0.49 ELAPSED TIME: 37.27 NU EXECUTION EPRORS DETECTED

EXIT

TABLE A-5. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A

FUR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	19	75	1930)	1935		1 770)
		FUEL	DULLARS	FUEL D	ULLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS		ULLARS
JFK	1/11/75	2234.			113534	49 4725	153364	ó14339	190445
JFK	1/18/75	401.		176224	54629	305683	94761	422 356	130930
JFK	2/05/75	826				465669	144357	661104	
JFK	3/19/75	15945	20 49 441	324394	100717	469616	145580	609416	
JFK	4/24/15	3397	53 10523	151115	468 45	276147	85605	390359	
JFK	6/12/75	4626		183112	56764	334244	103615	477000	
JFK	6/15/75	343	36 1065	112725	34944	236766	73397	376086	
JFK	6/16/75	3493	33 10830	171301	53103	310126	96139	460746	
JFK	6/24/75	19025	2 59207	325374	100365	476010	1 47 56 3	618271	191664
JFK	6/23/75	246	51 762	119118	36926	249563	71366	379043	
JFK	7/13/75	761a	30 23801	213066	67600	356396	110482	499647	
JFK	8/04/75	736	53 2437	150620	46692	291906	90 490	444012	
JEX	3/24/75	255	छ 7932	152601	47305	235513	P8509	425803	
JFK	3/25/75	3396		140295	43491	244150	75686	3 4458 4	
JFK	3/26/13	8122			6 4395	326364	101172	441551	136880
JFK	10/25/75	333			29906	201823	62566	301256	
JFK	11/12/75	2 4760	02 76756	493734	153057	679494	216843	880161	272349
	11713775	7876	52 24416	239723	7 431 4	337402	120094	545422	
	11/14/75	6004	46 18614	135574	57527	311596	96594	425803	
	11/21/75	6939	0 21512	199346	61952	329816	102242	444012	
JFK	11730775	3001	5 9304	1 48 65 4	46082	264336	31944	365250	
Ť	ITALS	155147	13	448431		7317355	,	0126231	
			430946		1379004				2120122
			4 70 7 40		1317004	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2268369	•	3139122

λ.

101

-4

TABLE A-5. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (CONTINUED)

14

· •

A

٠

FOR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		199	0
			JLLARS		DILARS		JLLARS	FUEL	DOLLARS
									. –
JFK	1711775	263341	81790	410545	127263	545917	169234		3 209827
JFK	1/13/75	79737	24718	<u>223484</u>	69280	363780	112771	43831	
JFK	2/05/75	130449	40439	344039	106667	538038	176791	74379	
JFK	3/19/75	197335	61189	372534	115516	529663	164195	67783	
JFK	4/24/75	60046	18614	201332	62412	338176	104834		
JFK	5/12/75	72348	22582	229332	71103	397247	123146		
JFK	6/15/75	19590	6103	150140	46543	294367	91253		
JFK	6/16/75	62012	19223	215605	~6837	369677	114509		
JFK	6/24/75	219057	67907	367216	113836	525731	162976		
JFK	6/23/75	25093	7773	1634?2	50460	311546	96594		
JFK	7/13/75	107302	33418	260377	30875	419399	130013	-	
JFK	3/04/75	32712	10221	197335	51189	350978	108803		
JFK	3724/75	.50201	15562	204239	63327	349507	108347		
JFK	3/25/75	56610	17549	132617	56611	293794	92626		
JEX	3/26/75	106316	32957	247602	76753	330018	117805		
JFK	10/25/75	29040	9 002	1 42261	44160	260404	80725		
JFK	11/12/75	289940	39781	548379	159997	761027	235918	9 4907	
JFK	11713775	112230	34 791	235017	ി8355	446474	138406	61483	4 190598
JFK	11/14/75	93530	28994	230353	71569	363780	112771	43684	
JFK	11/21/75	102.34	31739	2425/9	75230	332975	118722	50457	0 156416
JFK	11/30/75	60046	15614	191457	59360	320946	99493	42924	4 133065
T,	JTALS	2171229		5411332		8543494		1156116	5
			673071		1677501		2650022		3583951

49

.

TABLE A-6. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B

FUR 43 MID. FADR BEDEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1955		1 990	
		PUEL DI	JULARS	FUEL D	ULLARS				LLARS
									•
JFK	1/11/75	228407	70305	365745	113380	494725	153364	616300	191208
JFK	1/13/75	45/31	15106	176224	5462 -	305203	94612	422351	131033
JEK	2705775	82694	25635	235017	38355	465669	144357	652095	2052 49
JEK	3/17/15	159490	49441	324328	100563	469615	1.45530	611377	139631
JFK	4/24/15	33263	10523	1 466 38	45473	273129	86219	394786	122383
$J_F \lesssim$	6712775	46259	14343	1/96/6	55699	331782	162852	470592	145883
JFK	6/15/75	3436	1065	109753	34023	233825	72 435	368702	114297
JFK	6/15/75	34233	10830	1 533 40	5234)	303640	95673	4 49 430	139323
JFK	6/24/15	190292	59207	324394	100717	475034	147260		192124
JFK	6/23/75	2461	162	113623	36773	249073	77212	346241	113534
JFK	7/13/15	16730	23801	217571	67441	356396	110432		155653
JFK	3/04/75	7363	2 43 7	1 456 38	45473	273949	89574		137950
JEK	3/24/15	25588	7932	148159	45927	286488	88811		132912
JFK	3/25/75	33463	10523	139779	43337	2 4 4 1 5 0	75636		107277
JFK	3/26/15	81223	25177	207230	64241	326364	101172		137341
JFK	10/25/75	2354	2744	95991	29757	179366	61303	293299	92472
JFK	11712775	2 47602	76756	493239	152904	679494	216843		273613
JFK	11/13/75	73752	24416	239723	74314	356907	112941		163624
JFK	11/14/75	60046	13614	1355/4	57527	310126	96139		130013
JFK	11/21//5	69396	21512	109366	61803	330792	102545	438594	
JFK	11/30/75	30015	9304	140604	46082	264336	81944	363207	
					-				
Tu	TALS	15514/3		4421367		7305064	1	0099157	
			430946	1	3/0771		264559		130723

.

*

9**4**

*

.

r,

TABLE A-6. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B (CONTINUED)

.

a

FUR 30 (IN. FADE DE EFTT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1775	1950	1935	1995)
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL OULLARS
162 FA1120	525231 01 70.		545917 169234	679324 210590
JEK 1/11/15	263341 31790			
JFR 1713775	79737 24713			423311 151531
JFK 2705775	130449 40439		538035 176791	744789 230884
JFK 3719775	- 197335 - 61139	- 372139 115363	529463 164195	630299 210892
JFA 4724775	64.046 - 1361 -	- 126205 - 510 40	340142 105444	454193 143901
JFL 5/12/75	/2343 22532	225111 70195	394756 122333	542465 163164
JER 6/15/15	19520 6103	46ء43 (1501 <i>4</i> 0)	293972 91100	433114 135315
J _{FK} 6/15/75	62012 19223	213539 66223	362611 114579	521799 161757
JER 6/24/15	219057 - 67907	355736 113683	525731 172975	672323 210436
Jrk 5/23/15	25093 7713	162927 50507	311596 96594	439090 136117
JER 1/13/13	10/302 33413	230404 30/25	419399-130013	574462 173033
JFX 3704775	32272 10221	195419 - 30579	349937 102495	521304 161604
JFK 3724775	50201 15562	199346 61952	350482 105649	503084 155956
JEK 3725775	56510 17549	132137 - 56462	293794 92626	413005 123031
JFK 3/26/15	106316 32957	247107 76603	330013 117305	505545 156713
JFK 10725775	29040 9002	1 41 7 55 - 43 9 47	257943 7-962	364755 113074
JER 11/12/75	267240 59361	54/333 169343	761027 235918	951540 294977
JEK 11/13/75	112230 34791	235973 38657	4464/4 136406	613343 190137
JFK 11714775	¥3530 - 28994	230363 71562	365745 113330	452393 149693
JFK 11/21/75	102534 31739		38 4 4 4 5 11 91 77	502539 155802
JEK 11730775	60046 - 1861 -		320946 (9493	432201 133932
TUTALS	21/1229	5391542	8547076	115.2440
101/120	573071		2649853	3578149

51

TABLE A-7. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C

. RUN BAS

FUR 43 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1980	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DUILARS	FUEL DOLLARS
1	228407 70806	366241 113534	494725 153364	614339 190445
JEN 1/11/75				
JFK 1/13/75	48/31 15106		305633 94761	420385 130474
JFK 2705775	32694 25635		465669 144357	662095 2052 49
JFK 3/19/75	159490 49441	324394 100717	469616 145580	
JEN 4/24/75	33263 10523	151115 46345	270745 83930	338888 120555
JEK 6712775	46269 14343	182617 56611	329816 102242	473548 146799
JFK 6716775	3436 1065	110759 34235	236766 73397	376581 116740
JES 6/16/75	34933 10830	171301 53103	306674 95068	458780 142221
JEN 6/24/15	190792 59207	325374 100365	476010 147563	618271 191664
JEC 6/23/75	2451 762		243593 77063	378052 117196
J.K. 7/13/15	76730 23801	218066 67600	356396 110482	499647 154890
JFX 3/04/75	7363 2437		287479 89118	444503 137797
JFK 3/24/75	25583 7932		280095 86329	423826 131386
JFK 5/25/15	33263 10523		244150 75686	344584 106821
JFK 3/26/75	81223 25179		326364 101172	441551 136830
JFR 10/25/75	3354 2744		201828 62566	299290 92779
JFK 11/12/15	247502 76756		699494 216843	880161 2728 49
JFK 11/13/15	16/62 24416		337897 120248	544926 168927
JFK 11/14/75	60.46 18614		312092 96748	426783 132302
JFK 11/21/75	69396 21512		329816 102242	445003 137950
	30015 9304		264336 81944	
JFK 11730775	20010 2004		207000 01997	3.9290 113221
TUTALS	1551473	4445490	1294244	10116384
	430946		2261203	

52

.

ie.

4

.

TABLE A-7. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C (CONTINUED)

. 4

.

(ar

FUR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

.

	TITLE	197	5	1930		1985		1990	
			DULLARS		JLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS	FUEL D	OLLARS
1 - /		2 2 1 1 1	1	41/3 05	127263	545917	169234	676863	209827
JEX	1/11//5	26334		410545					
JFK	1/13/75	7973		223434	6928)	363750	112771	436346	150922
JEX	2705775	13044	9 40.439	3 440 3 9	106667	533033	166791	744789	230884
JFK	3/17/15	19733	5 61189	372634	115516	529663	164195	677838	210129
JEK	4/24/75	6004	6 18614	201332	- 62412	332753	103154	458285	1 42068
JFN	6/12/75	7234	8 22532	228902	70957	392820	121774	5 45 422	169080
JFK	6/15/75	1969	0 6103	1 476 45	46387	294863	91407	445973	138253
JEK	6/15/75	6201		215505	66337	367711	113990	531149	164656
JFK	5/24/15	21905	7 57907	367216	113335	525731	162976	677342	209976
JFK	6/23/75	2509		153422	5066)	310606	96287	450901	139779
JFK	1/13/15	10730		260399	30873	419399	130013	572001	177320
JEK	3/04/15	3297		197335	51182	343516	108039	520313	161297
Jek	3/24/15	5020		204239	63327	344069	106667	498161	154429
JFK	5/25/75	5651		132617	56611	293794	92626	410545	127268
JF-K	3/26/75	10631	6 32957	247602	16755	330019	117805	503084	155956
JEK	10/25/75	2904	S006 0	142261	441 ()	260404	80725	365745	113390
JEK	11712775	28924	0 39831	546319	169997	761027	235913	9 49078	29 42 1 4
JEK	11/13/75	11223	0 34791	235993	28657	446969	133560	614339	190445
Jen	11714775	9353	-	230553	/1569	364275	112925	490282	151937
JES	11/21//5	10233		242679	75230	392975	118722	506041	156872
JES	11/30/75	6004		191437	59360	320946	99493	429244	1 3 3 0 6 5
	· · · · ·								
Τu	TALS	217122	y	5411333		8529299		11554246	
			673071	i	1577500	ć	26.44072		3581807

CPU TIE: 1.33 ELAPSED TIME: 59.90 AU AXACUTIO: EPODRS DETECTED

EXIT

53

.4

.

TABLE A-8. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D

FUR 48 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990)
			ILLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL DU	JLLARS	FUEL	OLLARS
								_	
JFK	1711775	228497	70806	365745	11 3380	49 4725	153364	621723	
JFK	1713775	48/31	15106	177215	54935	306178	94915	426288	
JFK	2/05/15	82694	25635	234522	38201	468130	145120	659139	
JFK	3/19/75	159490	49 441	32 439 3	100563	470592	145983	616800	
JFK	4/24/75	33763	10528	149645	46389	283531	87894		
JFK	6/12/15	46269	14343	176719	54782	328330	101732	468626	
JFK	6/15/75	3436	1065	735701	ز3326	226921	70345	358362	
JFK	6/16/75	34938	10830	165983	51423	299785	42Y33	437124	
JFK	6/24/75	190992	59207	32 439 4	100717	473543	1467.99	619757	
JFK	6/23/15	2461	762	115632	35861	237261	73550	35393	
JFK	7/13/75	76790	23801	217571	67441	356891	110636	507031	
JFK	8/04/75	1363	2 43 1	144722	44863	239454	89 420	438114	4 135815
JFK	3/24/15	25538	7932	151611	46999	287479	89118	429244	
JFK	3/25/75	33963	10528	139799	43337	244150	75686	350978	
JFK	8/26/15	81223	25179	207230	542.41	326364	101172	44500.	
JFK	10/25/75	3354	2744	95000	29450	199376	61496	309135	
JFK	11/12/75	2 47602	76756	493239	152904	700485	217150	88754	5 275138
JFK	11/13/75	78762	24416	238252	73853	335436	119485	552800	5 171369
JFK	11/14/75	60046	18614	135574	57527	305203	94612	419899	
JFK	11/21/75	69396	21512	200837	62259	323403	100256	43613	3 135201
	11/30/75	30015	9304	1 4865 4		267293	82860	368702	2 11 42 97
						7070640		10101010	· ·
Ti	ITALS	1551473		4414479		7272540		10121310	
			480945		1368484		2254476		3137596

4

.

•

*

54

TABLE A-8. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D (CONTINUED)

3

٣

¥

•

ž

-

FUR 30 MIN. FADP DEMEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

o DULLARS	212116 152601 229967 212418 150159 158164 132609 157942 211603809 156372 19663309 156372 192573 192573 1925339 1925339 134135 134135	
1 990 FUEL DO	634245 492264 741832 685222 685222 685222 685222 685222 622465 427774 502493 633256 427774 427774 502493 5129493 5179385 5179385 517929 517929 517929 5179385 517929 517929 5179385 517929 517929 5179385 5179385 5179385 5179385 5179385 517729 5179385 5179385 5177385 5179385 5177385 5179385 5177385 5179385 5179385 5177385 5179385 5177385 5177385 5177385 5179385 5177385 5177385 5179385 5177385 5177385 5179385 5177385 5177385 5177385 5179385 5179385 5179385 5179385 5177385 5179385 5177385 5179385 5179385 5179385 5179385 5179385 5177585 51775555 517755555 5177555555 517755555555	
5 JULLARS	169535 167554 167554 167554 164502 164502 164502 162976 92933 150320 109258 121620 92933 121620 121620 12055 121620 1221620 1222555 1222555 122255 1222555 1222555 1222555 1222555 1222555 1222555 1222555 1222555 1222555 12225555 12225555 1222555555 12225555555 12225555555555	
1985 FUEL Du	546892 546892 540499 530654 530654 345560 345560 345560 351315 269785 351473 351473 351473 351473 350823 351474 350823 351474 350823 350823 350795 350823 350795 350823 350795 350795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 350795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529795 3507390 3529785 3507390 3529785 350785	
	 127115 69582 69582 69582 70196 70196 70263 60264 60383 60384 60384 60384 60384 70255 70255 75537 59360 	
FUEL DULLARS	410049 224450 343594 372139 372139 20032735 149645 256445 151951 256735 247107 247107 161951 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247107 247700 247700 191457 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 191577 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 1915777 19157777 19157777 1915777777 19157777777777	
LLARS	31/90 24713 24713 61189 61189 61189 18614 22582 6103 7773 33413 19223 17549 33413 34791 28997 34791 28994 31739 31739 31739 31739	
FUEL DOLLARS	263341 797335 197335 60046 72343 19690 25993 107302 25993 107302 29940 29940 29940 105316 29940 102334 80046 60046	
TITLE	K 1/11/75 K 1/11/75 K 2/05/75 K 4/24/75 K 6/15/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75 K 1/12/75	
i.	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
		FUEL D	OLLARS	FUEL D	ULLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	JILARS
LGA	1713775	65262	20231	109619	33981	215071	66982	282606	87607
LGA	1/20/15	121556	37713	191350		302253	93698	372593	115503
LGA	1/25/75	89336	27694	139407	43215	205307	63645	236990	73466
LGA	3/12/75	3791	1175	17737	5493	68 431	21213	111515	34569
LGA	3/14/75	19010	5893	53289	18069	135603	42036	135 660	57554
LUA	3/19/75	129276	40072	171714	53231	233185	/2287	269933	83679
LGA	5/04/15	0	U	10127	3137	65899	20428	100751	31232
LGA	3/04/75	20905	6430	715 19	22195	198333	61433	2 661 42	82504
LGA	9/26/75	79331	24747	148276	45965	261064	80929	311122	96447
LGA	11/21//5	67158	20813	13/473	42624	257637	79557	328236	101753
LGA	11/24/75	0	0	9505	2945	89336	27694	1 43 9 1 2	46162
LJA	11/30/75	29774	9229	55757	17284	99473	30833	131798	40357
Τu	ITALS	625939		1120888		2131597		2746258	
			194052		347469		660790		851333

FUR 48 MIN. FAUP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

FUR 30 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
		FUEL DU	JLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D.(DLLARS
LGA	1713775	107037	33196	155249	48127	274374	d5055	3 14073	106664
LGA	1/20/75	190724	59124	265505	32305	380203	117862	456966	141628
LGA	1/25/75	130525	40 462	181219	561.77	256000	79,360	287684	89182
LGA	3/12/75	22173	6875	41811	12961	107724	33394	165377	51266
LGA	3/14/75	48734	15123	100114	ز3103	195165	60501	2 47 1 32	76610
LGA	3/19/75	166013	51464	215448	66783	276906	ช5840	316199	98021
LGA	5/04/75	6336	1964	40552	12571	110892	34376	152704	47:38
LGA	3/04/75	37333	11583	114060	35353	262973	51521	336 469	104305
LGA	9/26/75	144471	44706	217344	67376	337741	104699	338435	120414
LGA	11/21/75	126098	39090	2:00:229	6207:)	332041	102932	405550	125720
LGA	11/24/15	1259	390	37383	11583	150803	46750	217980	67573
LGA	11/30/75	62094	19249	76310	2985b	148912	46162	181219	561 77
T	TALS	1042952		1665224		2833739		3499693	
			323311		516213		378452	1	084898

•

FUR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

à

2

7

э

2

TITLE	197	'5	1980		1985		1990	
	FUEL	DULLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS
LGA 1/13			109619 191360	33981 59321	216071 302253	66982 93698	282606 372593	87607
LGA 1/20. LGA 1/25. LGA 3/12.	75 8933	6 27694	139407	43216	205307	63645	236990	73466 34569
LGA 3/12, LGA 3/14, LGA 3/19,	75 1901	0 5893	58289	18069	135603	42036	185 660	57554 83679
LGA 5/04	75	0 0	10127	3139	65899	20 42 3	100751	31232 82504
LGA 8/04. LGA 9/26.	/75 7933	31 24747	148276	45965	261064	80929 79557	311122	96447 101753
LGA 11/21. LGA 11/24	/75	0 0	137498	2945	257637 39336	27694		46162
LGA 11/30.	/75 2973	74 9229	55757	17284	<u>)</u> 9473	30838	131793	40857
TUTALS	6259	39 194052	11203338	347469	2131597	660790	2746253	851333

FUR 30 MIN. FAUP BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
LGA 1/13//5 LGA 1/20/75 LGA 1/25/75 LGA 3/12/75 LGA 3/14/75 LGA 3/19/75 LGA 5/04/75 LGA 8/04/75 LGA 8/04/75 LGA 9/26/75 LGA 11/21/75 LGA 11/24/75	107037 33196 190724 59124 130525 40462 22173 6875 48784 15123 166013 51464 6336 1964 37333 11533 144471 64785 126098 39090 1259 390	155249 48127 265505 32305 131219 56177 41311 12961 100114 31035 215443 66783 40552 12571 114060 35353 217344 67375 200229 62070 37333 11583	274374 65055 380203 117862 256000 79360 107724 33394 195165 60501 276906 85840 110892 54376 262973 81521 337741 104699 352041 102932 150803 46750	3 44073 106664 456366 141628 287684 89182 165377 51266 247132 76610 316199 98021 152704 47338 336469 104305 373435 120414 405550 125720 217980 67573
LGA 11/30/75	62094 19249	96310 29355	143912 46162	131219 561.77
TUTALS	1042752	1665224	2833739	3499693
	323311	516213	378452	1084898

TITLE	1975	1950	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
LGA 1/13/75 LGA 1/20/75	65262 2023 121656 3771.		216071 66982 302253 93698	
LGA 1/25//5	89336 2769			
LGA 3/12/75	3791 117			
LGA 3/14/75 LGA 3/19/75	19010 589: 129266 4007:		135603 42036 233185 72287	185660 57554 269933 83679
LGA 5/04/75		0 10127 3139		
LGA 3/04/15	20905 6430			
LGA 9/26/75 LGA 11/21/75	79331 2474 67158 20919			311122 96447
LGA 11/24/75	07156 20517			323236 101753 148912 46162
LGA 11/30/75	29774 922			
TUTALS	625939	1120703		2746253
	194052	2 347469	660790	851333

FUR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

e de la companya de l

FOR 30 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1965		1.290	
		RUEL DE	JELARS	EAEF DE	JLLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	Ener De	ULARS
LGA	1713775	107037	33196	1 552 49	48127	274374	85055	344075	105654
LUA	1/20/75	190724	59124	255505	32305	330203	117662	456366	141623
LGA	1725775	130525	40 46 2	131219	56177	255000	79360	237694	39132
LGA	3/12/75	22175	6375	41311	12961	107724	3.339.4	165377	51266
LGA	3/14/15	43/34	15123	100114	31035	195165	60501	247132	76610
LUA	3/19/15	166013	464 ا ت	215448	66753	216906	15340	315192	96021
LGA	5/04/15	6336	1964	40052	12571	110692	34376	152704	47333
LGA	3/04/75	37333	11535	114030	35350	202973	01521	336469	104305
LUA	9726775	44471	44736	2173:4	57575	3377-1	1045-9	3 33 435	120414
LGA	11/21/75	125099	30000	200229	52070	332041	102932	405550	125720
LUA	11/24/75	لاذلا	390	3/333	11523	150303	45750	217980	57513
LGA	11/30/75	62094	19249	26310	29356	143912	46162	131515	56177
Tu	TALS	1042952		1 46522 4		2.133739		3409693	
			323311		516213		575 452	1	064573

CPU]	LI IC I	J.33	ELAPSeD	fI?E:	41.33
-------	---------	------	---------	-------	-------

TABLE A-12. LGA FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D

ז ע פרה	STCYLANA	TTREFTS	ACV-1-11	1-08 43 N

89098		1665095		<u>(</u> 94746)		1.64025			
	2743739		1890.515		1150338		456529	S∃∀Ľ	7 I .
19807 99697	567161 74276	98808	81760	17284	15/59	6525	59174	57708711	Vอา
852101	32.1539	79575 79575	38339 527931	5040	9096 867781	0 50313	0 89178	11/21/12 11/21/12	701 104
79254 85204	310505 266142	80854 V153V	19761 19764	42622 55163	46617 71200	54747	19331 20205	87255775 8704775	VOT VOT
98018 61988	100114 593333	73237 73537	928755 82133	3135 23531	417171 75101	0 70075	0 95 262 I	5774078 274178	୪ନଅ ୪ନସ
797575 77575	132 209 110875		2099211 12729	(9081 8643	23536 17737	5689 5711	01061	3714775 3712775	VS⊐ VOT
99487 11 5603	534660	97959 86956	502301	43512	107661	27694	863390 151920	1/52/12	
70876	232605	25935	170815	18455	619401	50231	26225	51/81/1	VET
28A.LI	ou Tiena	ורדעצפ	го пран	SEVITI	e TERE	SHVDD	LUEL DC		
	0.661		596I		GLEL		9161	LITL	

FUR 30 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

4

í.

811480	1 SZ17628	SSSNV	5833103	817915	720 <u>599</u> 1	353311	1045385	SIVI	- 1
							0.00 02 07 1	5 I V JJ	ъł.
LL 199	131516	49195	148615	56922	01896	10540	62.094	51/02/11	νет
97578	511344	09297	120903	68911	51333	360	1526	11/57/12	VOT
125720	099907	105635	335041	62025	500556	30000	150039	11/51/12	ี ∀ถา
120217	661L8E	104406	1477.68	91319	511344	987.44	1/ +++ 1	615971F	V°.⊐
10 4302	69795	81354	595331	69696	09011	88911	31333	910-078	∀ฺคๅ
50110	150521	97545	110365	17851	40.925	1961	9229	S174075	VOT
63051	31916	05826	514908	63762	512443	7971S	E10991	31/61/8	VOT
01951	541135	10909	591961	31032	* 11 CC 1	12153	48784	31/71/8	VOT
61013	79L791	33364	101124	12621	11814	5189	52178	3/171/2	VOT
89182	291484	10380	S56000	11195	131515	40 495	130752	1152112	VOT
141953	99899 1	2987 <u>1</u> 1	39.0203	92309	505692	20154	100154	1/202/12	VOT
₱9990T	ST045 E	<u>99058</u>	774374	18151	1 225 16	33146	156201	5/78171	VO7
							750701		
SSALI		S£∀.1.1(SUVII		SHAJJU			
	0661		<u>986 </u>		0861		9161	LILFE	

6S

TABLE A-13. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A

2

.RUG BAS

FOR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1980	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
URD 1/03/75 URD 1/09/75 URD 1/10/75 URD 1/29/75 URD 2/05/75 URD 2/15/75 URD 2/23/75 URD 2/25/75 URD 2/25/75 URD 3/24/75 URD 3/27/75 URD 3/27/75 URD 3/27/75 URD 3/27/75 URD 3/27/75 URD 4/03/75 URD 4/19/75 URD 4/19/75 URD 4/19/75 URD 6/12/75 URD 6/12/75 URD 6/12/75 URD 8/20/75 URD 8/20/75 URD 8/20/75 URD 10/17/75 URD 10/23/75 URD 10/23/75 URD 11/02/75 URD 11/02/75 URD 11/02/75 URD 11/02/75 URD 11/13/75		FUEL DULLARS 729032 226015 274679 85150 594184 184197 653356 202695 1.770242 548775 951397 294933 19040 5902 405325 125650 195938 60756 77682 24081 496093 153790 453042 140443 260172 80653 115247 35726 106968 33160 169079 52414 143556 44502 69012 21393 62110 19254 191160 59259 97572 30247 39452 12230 71442 22147 575273 178334 124659 38644 3583 11123 75913 23533 432006 133921	FUEL DULLARS 759958 235586 291677 90419 639042 198103 683802 211978 1810185 561157 1007056 312187 32648 10120 433153 134277 215314 66747 95797 29697 502123 155658 464433 143974 283630 87925 138705 42998 119379 37007 195988 60756 157164 48720 89019 27595 86265 26742 211168 65462 112795 34966 52388 16240 93884 29104 600050 186015 131220 40678 51063 15829 100067 31020 463757 143764	FUEL DOLLARS 813831 252287 323630 100325 687351 213078 734832 227797 1887981 585274 1055294 327141 48300 14973 480009 148802 248443 77017 123636 38327 522446 161958 469797 145637 294678 91350 160786 49843 147680 45780 212545 65388 188468 58425 103518 32090 239468 74235 130794 40546 72800 22568 121786 37753 649616 201380 160744 49830 75217 2317 124903 38719 517590 160452 622397 192943
TUTALS	5185715	9731509	10394164	11322058
	1607560	3016757	3222178	3509825

TABLE A-13. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (CONTINUED)

FOR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

.

*

1

.

2

9

	TITLE	1975 FUEL D	OLLARS	1980 FUEL DU	DLLARS	1985 FUEL D)LLA RS	1990 FUEL DO	JLLARS
URD URD URD URD URD URD URD	1/08/75 1/09/75 1/10/75 1/29/75 2/05/75 2/15/75	580381 169978 438226 487158 1500429 793322	17.9918 52693 135850 151018	92 4459 399.778 735659 831 446 1979793 1128758	236582 123931 228054 257743 613735 349914	41 6776 783967 864780 2019737	296602 129200 243029 268081 626118 367169	1010656 456213 835045 921942 2097513 1233403	31 3303 1 41 426 25 88 63 28 58 02 65 02 30 38 23 5 4
URD ORD URD	2/23/75 2/25/75 3/24/75	4760 243050 115928 2584	1475 75345 35937 801	70756 566232 296751 176716	21934 175531 91992 54781	89810 596099 320905 194844	27841 184790 99480 60401	109536 647020 362313 225920	33956 200576 112317 70035
URD URD URD URD	3/27/75 4/02/75 4/03/75 4/13/75	476518 451705 235322	1 47720 1 40023 729 49	618810 526102 338840	191831 163091 105040 71453	626338 537492 366445 253952	194164 166622 113597 78725	225920 652686 542357 331625 276048	
URD URD URD ORD	4/19/75 5/30/75 6/12/75 6/17/75	75217 46235 82113 74158	23317 14332 25456 22983	230494 187014 260354 274376	57974 30864 85211	201512 298129 291886	62468 92419 90484 59043	218048 242223 320210 327950 210486	75089 99265 101664
URD ORD ORD ORD	8/20/75 8/22/75 9/11/75 10/17/75	37260 22762 1 42352 44984	7056 44284 13945	164016	51982 41717 91350 50844 30792		50913 97768 57281	132186 345060 209684	56477 106968
	10/22/75 10/23/75 10/24/75 10/31/75	10206 9520 477 <i>4</i> 90 81351	3163 2951 148021 25213 1707	99330 156492 665009 220441 122143	48512 206152 68336 37865	114982 191870 693147 229635 142156	35644 59479 214875 71186 44068	144242 238140 751409 264397 172530	73823 232936 81963
URD URD URD URD	11/02/75 11/09/75 11/13/75 11/23/75	5509 52 4 40 30 226 1 3 49 000	16256 93700	155277 565398	48135 175423 205705		44088 57974 185693 216797	•	66320 203024
Τs	JTALS	7312724		12955453		13715896		14768223	

2266929 4016484 4251911 455 4338

CPU TIME: 1.87 ELAPSED TIME: 1:25.52 NU EXECUTION ERGORS DETECTED

TABLE A-14. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B

FUR 48 HIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975 FUEL DULLARS	1930 FUEL DOLLARS	1985 FUEL DULLARS	1990 FUEL DOLLARS
	LUEL DOULYNG	LOST DOPPYUS	FOLL DOLLAND	FUEL DULLARD
uRD 1703775	403636 125142	724756 224677	757090 234697	809530 250954
JRD 1709775	76319 23813	270594 33384	238963 89573	313374 98850
URD 1710775	322964 100118	597635 135266	645943 200242	690801 214148
JRD 1729775	325906 101030		681072 211132	730744 226530
URD 2705775	1304909 404521	1766046 547474		1883771 583969
URD 2/15//5	630303 19554		1007056 312187	1055294 327141
URD 2/23/75	0		32648 10120	48300 14973
ard 2/25/75	137143 42514		430443 133437	475929 147537
J.() 3/24/75	57268 17753		223421 70810	263623 81723
ORD 3/27/75	0 (93213 29896	120399 37323
JRD 4/02/75	386131 11971:			522446 161958
JRD 4/03/75	379321 117589		464433 143974	469797 145637
1.10 4/18/75	156554 48562		230376 87071	291909 90491
ORD 4/19/75	6205 1923		138705 42993	160786 498 43
JRD 5/30/75	16557 5132		117320 36369	147680 45780
JRD 6/12/75	28236 876		188391 58401	203585 63111
JRD 6/17/75	2030 621		155120 48087	132336 56524
JRD 3/20/75	0 (77972 24171	89019 27595
JRD 3722775	<u>()</u> (53818 16683	57268 17753
J.RD 9711775	70339 21320		175284 54338	194611 60329
JRD 10/17/75	6920 214		68508 21237	37389 27245
URD 10/22/75	0 0		38094 11809	57148 17715
URD 10/23/75	0		92526 28683	128590 39362
DRD 10/24/75	395739 12269		566564 175634	620141 1922 43
URD 10/31/75	16402 508			156302 48608
JRD 11/02/75	0 (51759 16045	72462 22463
URD 11/09/75	8960 277			101444 31447
JRD 11/13/75	196684 60972		455479 141198	494813 153392
URD 11/26/75	255339 79310	537334 176573	569740 176619	617674 191478
TUTALS	5185715			11053665
	160 7 560	2955817	3157526	3426622

62

1 7

ы

۰.

74

.

(CONTINUED) TABLE A-14. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO B

FUR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

•

4235182	L76817 5	16503	5E	55,49556			
50015	.9 F I (9	00166561	23329173	l	7312724	SIAT	Ъ,Г
889888 1988	5 512645 1	2000 80 2005 20	6 5955 4 5	061801	346700	91797711	GSL
34010 104835		13263 69763		001201	305261	9//81/11	Cere
01010106833		6202/1 29227	20229	99291	07729	SL/60/11	G2D
		37865 1 423030	122148	29291	6059	11/02/15	USE USP
11334 23583 23440 31328		665661 33676 68742 233565	551321	2021	19818	9//18/01	GSL
53 440 31 36 4453		186838 18636		120841	06+774	91/77/01	Car
		978661 57794	9999091	1962	0266	97752701	020
24464 787343 16286 45343		50101 117506	48856 93834	1900	10200	10/22/75	USD
44016 50344		955681 /1988	12451	57681	75074	SL/L1/01	GSD
82956 0878		7777.85 - 28786 298661 - 1986	589336	50001	145.552	SL/11/6	030
		322 49 130427	698811	990L	52162	8/22/19	Gan
01111 64392		25625 191160	192691	05511	37260	3/202/8 3/207.12	Cac
92666 70920	• • • • • •	33744 50023	557072	52989	88147	51/11/9	GUD
158101 58485		32574 301705	266377	9245S	81128	51/21/9	CISIC
16161 E0719			516861	14335	96235	9270879	GZD
+L998 8+09/		296892 89712	530404	23317	11221	91/61/7	asin
- <u>599711 9956</u>		276435 364372		6762L	236322	51/11/1	CHO
999711 99901	• • • • • • •	2672692 16029		140023	502157	51/20/7	Cac
25686 202332		61831 626333		1 41120	815974	4105116	080
3334 60234		006261 09585	172340	108	7852	3/5//12	GEO
260811 54608		191955 33640	204405	100	826511	31/72/2	020 080
912661 9962		14267 593389		54527	543720	5/752/2	GUO
99688 98960		21934 89810	99101	S/71	09/7	5//52//2	GBD
33403 382354		1124211 +1664		542050	79 33 22	5/12/2	7.30
033325 848020		15430 5019652		761324	1200459	51.021.12	นัยก
1824 284234			2 835728	810191	891784	91/67/1	190
1097 17 18 16 17 1			2 099277	098951	43 8550	5770171	ฉียิด
196681 /9719		55969 414062		25973	866691	51/60/1	CND
996118 07890			020143 2	816621	182055	57760/1	นัยก
BAOLIC ONCAL	01 002 900	100630 14636		OTOOLI	100000	11.7 CO7 1	age
SAALDOLLARS	ANA SAAJO	TYBS LOEF D	ruer por	รยงวาต	FUEL DC		
0661		5861	0861		57.61	FITTE	
0001							

HO TXROBLION REVEAUED DELECTED CAN LI TRE 1:50 ETVERED LI TE: 1:50 ETVERED LI TE: 1:54*11

TIXE

ź

۶.

1

2

÷

TABLE A-15. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO C

FOR 43 MIN. FAR BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

II FLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
URD 1703775	403636 125142		75,9958 235586	
JRD 1709775	76319 23313			
BBD 1710775	322964 100113		639042 198103	
U.D. 1727775	325206 101030		633802 211978	
080 2/05/75	1304209-404521	17/02/42 548775		
un) 2/15/75	630303 195543			
(A) 2/23/15	0 0		32643 10120	
JRD 2725775	137143 42514			
UND 3/24/15	57233 17753			
UPD 3/27/75	0 0	11632 24081	95797 - 29697	
ure) 4/02/75	386131 119715	- 496098 153790		
uen 4/03/75	379321 117589			
Jia) 4/13/75	156654 49562			
330 - <u>-/17/15</u>	6205 1923			
JRD 5/30/75	16557 5132			
JRO 6/12/15	23236 9759			
JRD 5/17/75	2030 - 629			
J:0 3/20/75	0 0			
JED 3722775	O (
URD 9711775	70339 21820			
J75 10/17/75	6720 2145			123031 39689
JRD 10722775	0 0) 36050 11175		
JID 10/23/75	U) 59393 21513		
123 10/24/15	395739 122697	4 573251 177710		
uae 10/31/75	16402 5034			
URD 11702775	0 (
J.() 11/09/15	3260 2777	75913 23533		
020 11/13/75	196534 60972			
1.10 11/26/75	255332 79310) 541390 16733.	572 434 177 454	622397 192943
1 JTALS	5135715	9710902	10356030	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1607560	301036	3213456	3502628

9

64

4 7

•

n

FUR 30 MIM. FADP BEDEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	127	5	1930		1965		1 990	
			LARS	FUEL D		FUEL			ULLARS
URD.	1/03/75	58033	1 179918	92 4459	236582	956733	296602	1010656	31 3303
ChD	1/09/75	16997			123431	416776		456213	
0SD	1713775	43322	6 135850	735659		733967			
UKD	1/29/75	48715	3 151013	331446		86 4780		921942	285802
JBD	2/05/75	150042	¥ 465132	1979793	61 37 35	2019737	626113	2097513	
JRD	2/15/75	79.332	2 2 45 92 9	1123758	349914	1134417		12 33 403	
<u> ሀ</u> ዩን	2/23/75	476		70756	21934	39810	27841	109536	
ChC	2/25/75	24305	0 75345	566232	175531	596099		647020	200576
J KD	3/24/75	11592		297433		321587	99691	363690	112743
JiiD	3/27/75	258		176716		194844	60 40 1	225920	70035
(RD	4/02/75	47651		613810		626338		652686	202332
OSD	4/03/75	45170		526102		537492	1 466 22	5 42 857	168235
UND	4/13/75	23532		.33 2340		366 445	113597	381625	118303
URD	4/19/75	7521		230494		25.3952	78725	276043	85574
GUC	5/30/75	462,3		136332	5,7762	201512	62 463	2 44296	75731
ÚRD	6/12/75	3211		257403	79794	295360	91561	319514	99049
UНD	6/17/75	7 41 5		274376	35211	291286	90484	327950	101664
(<u>1</u> 41)	3/20/75	3726		169761	52625	192537	59686	211163	65462
USD USD	3/22/75	2276		134573	41717	164933	51129	173735	55407
URD DRD	9/11/75	1 42 35		239154	39637	305711	94770	340913	105633
(150	10/17/75	4493		160556	49772	132699	56636	209684	65002
CRC URD	10/22/75	1020		97236	30153	110222	34168	142193	44031
CRC	10/23/75	9520		15440	47573	159140	58633	235410	72977
CKC GKC	10/24/75	47749		663010		691135	214251	7 47 385	231689
URD	10/31/75	8135		220 441	68336	229635	71136	264397	81963
OSD	11/02/75	550	• • - •	122143	ز3736	141474	43856	172530	53434
UKD	11/09/75	52440		155277	48135	186332	57762	213937	<u>46320</u>
URD	11/13/75	30226		565598	175423	593331	185482	654918	203024
URD	11/26/75	34900	0108180	663566	205705	699347	216797	758074	235002
T I	TALS	131272	1 1	2940005	1	3693281	1	4774572	
	-		22.6692.9		4011385		4244399		4580105

CPU TIME: 1.89 ELAPSED TIME: 1:22.43 NO EXECUTION ERRORS DETECTED

EXIT

.

÷

.

ţ.

2

ţ.

TABLE A-16. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D

FOR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE		1975		1930		1985		1990	
•			OLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS	FUEL D	OLLARS	FUEL D	DILLARS
					222002	701570	245 227	704507	2 469 45
URD	1703775	403686		748473			245387		
URD	1709775	76319		224371	69555	225723	69975	227771	70609
URD	1/10/75	322964		412680	127930	415449		427874	132640
(IRL)	1729775	325906		672224	208389	713043		717822	222524
13 0	2/05/75	1304909		1789161	55 46 39	1841030		1927233	597442
URD	2/15/75	630303		951397	294933	1007056		1053813	32,6682
ORD	2/23/75	Ć		17682	5481	31290		46256	1 4339
JRD	2/25/75	137143		414325	128595	452851	1 40 38 3	457601	141856
ORD	3/24/15	57268		200816	62252	228421	70810	246370	76374
อกว	3/21/75	(43369	13444	44.661	13344	46606	1 44 47
URD	4/02/75	336131	119716	496093	153790	502123		522 44 6	161958
URD -	4/03/75	379321	117589	453042	1 40 4 4 3	464433		469797	1 45637
JRD	4/13/75	154354	48562	232567	72095	253952		270524	
JRD	4/19/75	6205	5 1923	115247	35726	138705		160105	49632
JRD	5/30/75	16557	5132	56537	17541	58660			19038
140	6/12/75	28280	8763	32 4 3 2	1 005 3	35883		36565	11335
J.(1)	6/17/75	2030) 629	94570	29316	94570		94570	29316
J.()	3/20/75	() ()	U U	0	U		0	0
(JRI)	3/22/75	() ()	U	J	0		0	0
(IRL	9/11/75	70335	21820	4323	1493	4623			1496
	10/17/75	6920) 2145	0		633		3460	1072
	10/22/75	() 0	0	÷	0		0	
	10/23/75	() 0	27216	8436	53746		91854	
	10/24/75	395739		445029	138383	466103		479502	
	10/31/75	16402	2 5034	26392		26892		26892	8336
JSD	11702775	() ()	10351	3203	23453		37956	11766
	11/09/75	8760	2777	3273	25.65	8278		8273	25.66
	11/13/75	196534	4 60972	333316	103327	336085			
	11/26/75	25533	79310	526542	153223	562989	174526	570420	176330
	TALS	5185719	;	3340993		8782499)	9131615	
11	1903	J 10 J 11	, 1607560		2535693		2722561		2830789

9

٠

66

.

. .

TABLE A-16. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D (CONTINUED)

FOR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975 FUEL DULLARS	1980 FUEL DOLLARS	1985 FUEL DULLARS	1990 FUEL DOLLARS
			•	
URD 1/08/75	580381 179918	943850 292593	988382 30639	3 <u>9</u> 93408 307956
URD 1/09/75	169978 5269			
URD 1/10/75	438226 135850	0 554155 171783	558301 17307	
URD 1/29/75	487158 151018		894726 27736	5 902202 279682
URD 2/05/75	1500429 46513			
URD 2/15/75	79 3322 24592	1128758 349914	118 4417 36716	9 1231922 381895
ORD 2/23/75	4760 147		39810 2794	
URD 2/25/75	243050 7534		625297 19384	
URD 3/24/75	115928 3593		355411 11017	7 378174 117233
URD 3/27/75	2584 80		140472 4354	6 42 41 7 441 49
URD 4/02/75	476518 14772		626338 19416	4 652686 202332
URD 4/03/75	451705 14002	3 526102 163091	537492 16662	2 542857 168285
URD 4/18/75	235322 7294		341609 10589	8 364372 112955
URD 4/19/75	75217 2331		253952 7872	5 275352 85359
URD 5/30/75	46235 1433		142156 4406	8 147680 45780
URD 6/12/75	82118 2545	6 93675 30589	02126 3165	9 102822 31874
URD 6/17/75	74158 2299		5 235410 7297	
URD 3/23/75	37260 1155		3450 106	
JAD 3/22/75	22162 705	60.	-	0 1377 426
URD 9/11/75	142352 4428	4 67620 20962	2 63316 2117	
USD 10/17/75	44734 1394	5 20064 6219) 21445 664	
URD 10/22/75	10206 316	3 26530 822-	4 26530 822	
URD 10/23/75	9520 295	1 153090 4745		
JRD 10/24/75	477490 14802	1 5371J3 16650	I 556519 17252	
0RD 10/31/75	81351 2521	8 105624 3274	3 105624 3274	
UKD 11/02/75	5509 170			
URD 11/09/75	52440 1625	6 73144 2267		
JRD 11713775	302261 9370			
URD 11/26/75	349000 10819	0 663970 20738) 714876 22161	1 725002 224750
TJTALS	7312724	11365319	11871025	12287131
IUIALO	22/692			3808994

CPU TIME: 1.92 ELAPSED TIME: 1:24.93 NU EXECUTIUNE: DRS DETECTED

EXIT

3

r

٠

*

ŧ,

·

TABLE A-17. ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND D DECREASED BY 20%

3

....

.RUA BAS

FOR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990	
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	
ATL 2/01/75	15036 4661	105257 32629	294292 91230	294292 91230	
ATL 2/19/75	0 0	126023 39067	390957 121196	390957 121196	
ATL 2/23/75	179726 55715	402 41 4 12 47 43	6100.66 189120	610066 189120	
ATL 3/12/75	118362 36847	445376 138066	699571 216867	699571 216867	
ATL 9/17/75	11456 3551	114556 35515	338686 104992	333.686 104992	
ATL 11/24/75	150368 46614	416019 128965	643016 200884	648016 200884	
TUTALS	475448	1609655	2981588	2991533	
	1 47363	498990	924289	92 4289	

FUR 30 MIN.	FADP BENEFIT ANAL	YSIS TABLE		
TITLE	1⊋75	1980	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01/75 ATL 2/19/75 ATL 2/23/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 9/17/75 ATL 11/24/75	36518 11320 17901 5549 242737 75248 205503 63705 30073 9322 234145 72584	253478 78573 481173 149165 595029 134453 248465 77024	446808 138510 544906 168920 703867 213198 859964 266588 498363 154492 809841 251050	544906 168920 703867 218198 859964 266598 498363 154492
TUTALS	766377	2350040	3863749	3863 7 49
	237728	72851J	1197758	1197758

CPU TIME: 0.48 ELAPSED TIME: 33.73 NU EXECUTION ERADRS DETECTED

EXIT

TABLE A-18. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20%

....

4

FOR 48 MIN. FAR BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990	
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DUTLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	
JEK 1/11//5	223407 7080	5 324393 100563	4262/43 132149	511954 153705	
JFK 1713775	43731 1510				
JFK 2/05/15	82594 2563		330513 117959	502109 155553	
JFK 3/19/75	159490 4944	273666 34342	333888 120555	485855 150615	
JEK 4/24/75	33753 10520	5 112230 34791	206255 63939	309630 95935	
JFK 6/12/73	46269 1434.	3 143159 45929	253515 78589	351473 108956	
JEK 6/15/75	3436 106	5 //771 24102		252525 73282	
JEK 6/16/75	34933 1033.	7 135352 42114			
JEK 6/24/75	190092 5920	1 230520 36982	391349 121313	488811 1515 3 1	
JFK 6723775	2461 763				
JEK 7713775	76780 2330	69331 52647	251070 87131	377557 117042	
JEK 3/04/75	7363 243		213639 66223	320451 99339	
JER 3/24/75	25513 7932			307169 95222	
JEK 3725775	33763 1052				
JEK 3/26/15	31223 25175			339662 105295	
JEK 10725775	0354 274 ·			221513 6867C	
JEK 11712775	247502 7675/		583332 1a0832	721646 223710	
JEK 11713775	73762 2441			417433 129404	
JEK 11/14/75	60.)46 [86]			32 439 4 1 00717	
JEK 11/21/75	69596 21512			342123 106058	
JEK 41730775	30015 930	4 11-3623 36773	204769 63473	273129 86219	
TUTALS	1551473	3612591	5755933	7713657	
	13094/		1 /84 331	2391224	

69

.

TABLE A-18. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

FOR 30 MIN. FAD2 BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TIFLE	1275		1980	1980		1985		1990	
		FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL DU	JLLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	
						177000				
JFK	1/11//5	263341	81790	366736	113683	477000	147870	566583	17564C	
JFK	1/13/75	79737	24718	190001	58900	283531	67894	383965	119025	
JFK	2/05/75	130449	40 43 9	278129	36219	445978	138253	574462	178083	
JFK	3/19/75	197385	61139	318930	<u>98983</u>	44 25 42	137188	546892	169536	
JFK	4/24/75	60046	18614	157524	48332	260899	60873	372139	115363	
JFK	6/12/75	72348	22582	191932	59514	308640	95678	416938	12925C	
JFK	6/15/75	19690	6103	116657	36163	226921	70345	314553	97511	
JFK	6/16/75	62012	19223	177624	55085	237479	89118	386907	119941	
JFK	6/24/75	219057	67907	315528	97813	434167	134591	541490	167861	
JFK	6/23/75	25093	7773	131920	40895	226441	70196	323903	100405	
JFK	7/13/75	107302	33413	211178	65465	334739	103769	442046	137034	
JFK	3/04/75	32772	10221	152106	47152	271720	84233	386907	119941	
JFK	8/24/75	50201	15562	161951	50204	271720	8 42 33	374600	116126	
JFK	3/25/75	56610	17549	143236	4403	234305	72634	312092	96748	
JEK	3/25/75	106316	32957	205760	53785	307665	95376	395281	122537	
JFK	10/25/75	29040	9002	115136	35707	193453	59970	291070	87131	
JFX	11/12/75	239940	39881	473053	146646	644865	199908	783179	242785	
JFK	11/13/75	112230	34791	243593	77063	363207	114144	479 462	148633	
JEK	11/14/75	93530	28994	200837	62257	293372	91100	383470	11 88 75	
JFK	11/21/75	102334	31739	214134	66381	313562	97204	402170	12 46 72	
	11/30/75	60046	18614	157524	48832	253995	78738	335219	103917	
ΤL	JTALS	2171229		4525709				9003323		
			673071	1	4.)3789	2	133 32 0	Ž	2791022	

70

1 9

(b

۲

TABLE A-19. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20%

FUR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

•

.

2

ŗ

7.

3

.

	TITLE	1975		1980		1985		1990	
			LLARS	FUEL DU	DLLARS	FUEL DU	JLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS
LGA	1713775	65262	20231	101337	31 429	172350	53429	216071	66982
LGA	1/20/75	121656	37713	138192	58339	279438	86625	302253	93698
LGA	1/25/75	89336	27694	111515	3 4569	168545	522 43	205307	63645
LGA	3/12/75	3791	1175	16464	5103	53225	16499	68 43 1	21213
LGA	3/14/75	19010	5893	54494	16890	117229	36340	135603	42036
LGA	3/19/75	129266	40072	161586	50091	211643	65609	233185	72287
LGA	5/04/75	0	0	3791	1175	43084	13356	65899	20428
LGA	3/04/15	20205	6430	51952	16105	133071	41252	197697	61296
LGA	9/26/75	79331	24747	1 330 / 1	41252	216707	67179	261064	80929
LGA	11/21//5	67158	20818	1292.56	40072	209734	65017	256637	79557
LGA	11/24/75	0	Ű	6959	2157	63367	19643	89336	27694
LGA	11/30/75	29774	9229	49421	15320	82377	25536	99 478	30838
Τċ	TALS	625739		1003038		1750770		2130961	
			194052		312502		542732		460593

FUR 30 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE 1975		1930	1985	1990	
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DUILARS	
LGA 1713775	107037 33196	1 47003 - 45570	230653 71502	274374 85055	
LGA 1720775	190724 59124	261701 - 31127	356115 110395	380203 117862	
LGA 1725775	130525 40462	153340 - 4 7 535	213539 66197	256000 79360	
LGA 3/12//5	22178 6875	40/352 12571		107724 33394	
LGA 3/14//5	43734 15123	96310 29356		195165 60501	
LGA 3/19/75	166013 51464	205307 63645	255364 79162	276906 35840	
LJA 5/04/75	6336 1964	25969 8050	33000 25730	110892 34376	
LGA 8/04/75	37333 11555	34909 26321	185660 57554	262337 81324	
LGA 9725775 LGA 11721775	144471 44735 126098 39090	191997 59519	289577 89769 232605 87607	337741 104699 332041 102932 150803 46750	
LGA 11/24/75	1259 390		119124 - 36928	150903 46750	
LGA 11/30/75	62094 19249		130525 - 40462	143912 46162	
TUTALS	1042952	1532777	2410393	2833103	
	323311	475156	747217	878255	

CPU FINE: 0.33 ELAPSED TIME: 42.52 NU EXECUTION ENGLAS DETECTED

۲

.

TABLE A-20. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20%

FUR 48 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
	PUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
JRD 1703775	403536 125142	655023 203073	694600 215326	743473 232026
URD 1/09/75	75319 23313		217572 67.447	224371 69555
JRD 1/13/75	322964 100115	403024 124937	407170 126222	412680 127930
JRD 1727775	325206 101030	572394 177597	613713 190252	672224 208389
JRD 2/05//5	1304909 404521	1352503 512275	1700867 527263	1739161 554639
JRD 2/15/75	630503 195548	347500 262725	906870 281129	
JRD 2/23/15	0 0		8834 2738	
JRD 2/25/75	137143 42514		365259 113230	414825 128595
Ji∛) 3/24/75	57268 17753		182185 56477	200816 62252
JAD 3/27/75	0 0		37535 11635	43369 13444
JR) 4/02/75	386131 119716		475016 147254	
uri) 4/03/15	379321 117589		439638 136237	453042 140443
URD 4/13/75	156654 48562		210486 65250	
URD 4/19/75	6205 1923		90397 28023	
URD 5/30/75	16557 5132		53813 16683	
URD 6/12//5	28286 8763		30359 9411	32 432 1 00 5 3
JRD 6/17/75	2030 629		92526 28683	-
JRD 8720775	0 0		0 0	
URD 3/22/75	O 0		0 0	
ORD 9/11//5	70389 21820		4828 1496	
URD 10/17/75	6920 2145	0 0	0 0	
080 10/22/75	0 0		0 0	
JRD 10/23/75	0 0		17010 5273	
URD 10/24/75	395739 122694		466108 144493	
JAD 10/31/75	16402 5034		24921 7725	
URD 11/02/75	0 0			10351 3208
URI) 11/09/75	8960 2777			
JRD 11/13/75	196534 60972		305711 94770	
JRD 11726775	255339 79310	439451 136229	473985 146904	526542 163228
TUTALS	5185715	1413577	7828273	8372466
	1607560	2298196	2426753	2595450

72

.

4 8

.

9

,

4

播

TABLE A-20. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: DEMAND SCENARIO D DECREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

f 🖌

.

(* *

FOR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

- T	TITLE	1975 FUEL D		1930 FUEL DU		1985 FUEL DU	JLLARS	1990 FUEL DO	DLLARS
URD URD URD URD URD URD URD URD URD URD	1/03/15 1/09/75 1/10/15 1/29/15 2/05/75 2/15/75 2/23/75 2/25/75 3/24/75 3/24/75	580331 169978 433226 487158 1500429 793322 4760 243050 115928 2534	170918 52693 135850 151013 465132 245929 1475 75345 35937 801	838262 342671 539671 749798 1359242 1023350 36736 435443 265000 116523	259861 106223 167293 232437 576365 317247 11393 150487 32150 36122	882070 352134 545876 791294 1910404 1084231 55790 526180 302261 133346	273441 109177 169221 245301 592225 336111 17294 163115 93700 41337	943350 358983 554155 849814 1993712 1128753 70756 534560 322283 139180	292593 111284 171783 263442 619600 349914 21934 181213 99907 43145
080 080 080 080 080 080 080 080 080 080	4/02/75 4/03/75 4/13/75 5/30/75 6/12/75 6/12/75 6/11/75 3/20/75 3/22/75	476518 451705 235322 75217 46235 82118 74158 37260 22762	147720 140023 72949 23317 14332 25456 22938 11550 7056	579666 504645 273602 136332 127372 91774 227934 3450 0	179695 156439 36423 57762 39573 28449 70559 1069 3	590953 512693 291909 205644 131804 93616 229292 3450	133196 158936 90491 63749 40859 2950 71080 1069 0	613310 526102 316759 230494 133024 93675 234052 3450 0	191331 163091 93195 71453 42797 30539 72556 1069 0
030- 1030 1030 1030 1030 1030 1030 1030	9/11/75 9/11/75 0/22/75 0/23/75 0/23/75 0/24/75 0/31/75 0/31/75 0/31/75 0/3775 0/2775	22762 142352 44934 10206 9520 477490 31351 5509 52440 302261 349000	44284 13945 3163 2951 145021 25213 1707 16256 93700	67620 15906 26530 97972 537103 101695 60561 69012 433334 554336	20962 4930 8224 30371 166501 31525 20323 21393 134347 174941	6 7620 1 6603 2 6530 1 31 992 55 55 1 9 1 0 3653 9 7 9 9 4 7 1 7 66 4 4 35 7 3 6 0 41 7 3	20962 5143 8224 40917 172520 32132 30373 22247 139059 187293	67620 20064 26530 153090 569913 105624 117320 73144 473937 663970	20962 6219 8224 47457 176673 32743 36369 22674 145470
ľ×f1	ALS	/312/24		10236120				11393629	35.33562

.

(*8

TABLE A-21. ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%

FUR 43 MIN. FADP BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL OUTLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01//5	15036 4661	109554 33961	303600 94116	303600 94116
ATL 2/19/75	0 0	133399 41503	395970 122750	395970 122750
ATL 2/23/75	1/9/26 55715	450339 139620	69 4553 215312	694553 215312
ATL 3/12/75	113362 36347	469006 145391	773323 239730	773323 239730
ATL 9/17/75	11456 3551	129603 40175	336661 119864	385661 119864
ATL 11/24/75	150368 46614	436068 135181	716756 222194	716756 222194
TOTALS	475448	1723519	3270863	3270363
	1 47 3 38	535337	1013966	1013966

74

6

A.

FUR 30 MIN. FADP DENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
ATL 2/01/75	36518 11320	212663 65925	449673 139398	449673 139398
ATL 2/19/75	17901 5549	256342 79466	546333 169364	546338 169364
ATL 2/23//5	2 42 / 37 752 43	537746 166701	799816 247942	799816 247942
ATL 3/12/75	205303 63705	618658 191783	933716 289451	933716 289451
ATL 9/17/75	30073 9322	259206 30353	546333 169364	546338 169364
ATL 11/24/75	234145 72534	5342 18 131129	883593 273913	883593 273913
TOTALS	766377	2463903	4159474	4159474
	237723	765357	1289 432	1289432

ł

4

TABLE A-22. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%

٠

(p

1.00

.RUN BAS

تے

10

FUR 40 MIN. FAD2 BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE		1975		1930		1985		1990	1990	
-		FUEL D			JILARS		JLLARS	FUEL 0	OLLARS	
									1 - 7 - 10	
JFK	1/11//5	223407	70806	324374		426288	132149	509493		
JEK	1713775	43731	15106	1 44227	44710	232834	72173	322 432		
Jrk	2/05/75	82694	25635	220528	68363	377061	116838	499647		
JFK	3/19/75	159490	49 441	2/4131	34798	333883	120555	482 418		
JFK	4/24/15	33763	10523	116657	36163	204769	63478	296829		
JEK	6/12/75	46269	14343	153577	47603	259909	80571	359832	111547	
JFK	6715775	3436	1065	32193	25481	177694	55085	261875		
JFK	6/16/75	34933	10830	140295	43491	239723	7 431.4	340142		
JFK	6/24/75	190992	59207	231070	37131	393315	121927	489802		
	6/28/15	2461	762	91548	28379	132617	56611	271720		
	7/13/75	76780	23601	170310	52795	281070	87131 87	374120	115977	
	8704775	7363	2437	117643	36470	22,0032	63209	325374	100865	
	3/24/15	2.55 ⁻³ 8	7932	114691	35554	211673	65618	311101	96441	
	3/25/15	33963		105341	32655	184599	57225	252525	78282	
	3726775	81223		170310	52796	261875	81181	338176	104834	
	0/25/75	3354		73314	22736	1 4 4 7 2 2	44863	221023	63517	
-	1712775	247602		416904	129860	583332	130832	718210	2226 45	
	1/13/75	13/62		204769	53473	318485	93730	421860	130776	
-	1/14/75	60046		157029	48673	247107	76603	331287	102698	
	1/21/75	69396		171351	53103	265327	82251	349507		
	1/30/75	30015		119118	36926	204769	63 473	276643	95759	
91 A 1	17 5 77 1 5	50015	200							
TJT	ALS	1551473		3651940		5806039		7754016		
			430946		1132091		1799877		2 40 37 34	

TABLE A-22. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

.

rt.

.

FUR 30 HIN. FADE BEJEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
		FUEL DL	JLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS	FUEL DU	JLLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS
				-					
Jek	1/11/75	263341	31790	367216	113335	477000	147870	563146	174575
JFK	1716775	79737	24713	19001	58900.	235017	88,355	393470	118375
JFA	2/05/75	130×49	40 43 9	279104	36522	442542	137188	572.001	177320
JEK	3/19/15	197335	61189	319476	<i>29031</i>	442542	137138	543456	163471
JES	4/24/75	6 00 46	18614	154935	49595	259413	80413	359352	111399
JEK	6/12/75	72343	22532	195419	60577	313562	97204	424317	131693
JEK	6/15/75	19690	6103	117643	3647.)	227912	70652	321937	998 00
JFK	6/16/75	62012	19223	130651	56001	290915	90183	401675	124519
JEK	6/24/75	219057	67907	316024	97967	435158	134899	540004	167401
JrK	6/28/75	25093	7773	134331	41653	235791	73095	335714	104071
JFK	7713775	107302	33413	2116/3	65613	33 47 39	103769	438594	135964
JEN	3704775	32972	10221	156033	48371	2 73 686	84842	389368	120704
JFK	3/24/15	50201	15562	164908	1121 ز	271225	84079	378547	117349
JFK	3/25/75	56510	17549	1 43 7 3 1	₹4555	234305	72634	308640	95678
JEK	3/25/75	106316	32757	206255	53939	307665	95376	391829	121466
JFK	10/25/75	29040	9002	115632	35861	196410	60387	280590	86982
JEK	11/12/75	239740	39881	473548	146799	644865	100903	779743	241720
JEX	11/13/75	112230	34791	247502	76756	370668	11 4907	432893	149693
JFK	11/14/75	93530	28994	1993-55	61803	295358	91560	386427	119792
JEN	11/21//5	102334	31739	214134	66381	315043	97664	406117	125396
	11/30/75	60046	18614	158004	48781	253515	73589	333748	103461
- <u>-</u>	TALS	2171229		4550346		6907336		9022073	
1-			573071		410751		2141266		2796834

¥

1

76

6

١**f**

.

FIGURE A-23. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%

FUR 48 MIN. FADE DENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

-

٠

<u>.</u>

4

3

2

	TITLE	197	5	1930		1985		1990	
		FUEL	DULLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	ULLARS	FUEL DE	MLARS
LGA	1/13/75	6526	2 20231	101337	31429	172350	53428	216071	66982
LGA	1/20/75	12155	6 37713	139192	58339	219433	86625	302253	93698
LGA	1725775	8933	6 27694	111515	3 45 69	163545	52243	205307	63645
LUA	3/12/15	3/9	1 1175	16464	5103	53225	16499	63 431	21213
LGA	3/14/75	1901	0 5393	5 448 4	16890	117229	36340	135603	42036
LGA	3/19/75	1292/	6 40072	161536	50091	211643	65609	233185	72287
LGA	5/04/75		0 0	3721	1175	43084	13355	65399	20423
LGA	3/04/75	2090	6430	51952	16105	133071	41252	198333	61483
LGA	9/26/15	7933	24747	133071	41252	216707	67179	261064	80929
LGA	11/21/75	6719	3 20813	1292.66	40072	209734	65017	256637	79557
LGA	11/24/75		0 0	6959	2157	63367	19643	39336	27674
LGA	11/30/75	2977	4 9229	49421	15320	52377	25536	99473	30333
11.13	CALC	62598	0	1008038		1750770		2131597	
tu	TALS	02590				· -		2131397	660790
			194052		312502		542732		001100

FUR 30 MIN. FADP DENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
	FUEL D	ULLARS	FUEL DO	ULLARS	FUEL DE	JLLARS	FUEL DO	JLLARS
							<u></u>	00000
LGA 1/13/7	5 107037	33196	147003	4557.)	230653	71502	274374	85055
LGA 1/20/7	5 190724	59124	261701	ਰ 1127	356115	110395	390203	117862
LGA 1/25/7	25ر 130_5	40 462	153340	47535	213539	66197	256000	79360
LGA 3/12/7	5 22178	6875	40552	12571	53714	27501	107724	33394
LGA 3/14/7	5 43/34	15123	96310	2985ò	175519	54410	195165	60501
LGA 3/19/1	5 166J13	51464	205307	63645	255364	79162	276906	85840
LGA 5/04/7	5 6336	1964	25969	8050	33000	25730	110392	3 43 76
LGA 8/04/7	5 37333	11533	34909	26321	135660	57554	262973	81521
LGA 9/26/7	5 144471	44786	202133	62 662	289579	89769	337741	104699
LGA 11/21/7	5 126098	39090	191997	59519	232606	87607	332041	102932
LGA 11/24/	5 1259	390	34215	10606	119124	36928	150303	46750
LGA 11/30/7	5 62094	19249	SA 330	27694	130525	40462	145912	46162
10.10.10	10.00.50				D 41 (12).1.1		0/ 2010 .	
TUTALS	1042952		1532777		2 41 0 3 9 8		2833739	
		323311		475156		747217		878452

-

TABLE A-24. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20%

FUR 43 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975	•	1980		1985		1990	
		FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	DLLARS	FUEL D	ULLARS	FUEL D	OLLARS
URD	1708775	403636	125142	637845	197731	6658.63	206419	720082	226015
URD	1/09/75	76319	23813	212801	45963	227771	70609	274679	85150
030	1/10/75	322964	100113	514321	159594	551400	170934		
18D	1/29/15	325906	101030	556556	172532	536502	181815	653856	
JRD	2/05/75	1304909	404521	1635639	507063	1672835	518573	17702 42	548775
JRD	2/15/75	630303	195543	847500	262725		281356	951397	294933
USD	2/23/75	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	2716	841	8834	2733	19040	5902
J RD	2/25/15	137143	42514	323352	100394	347615	107760	405325	125650
URD	3/24/75	57258	17753	146302	45353	1/0456	52841	195993	60756
URD	3/27/75	0	0	3639.5	11437	45954	14245	77682	2 408 1
JBD	4/02/75	386131	119716	405935	1 4 4 4 5 5	475016	1 47254		153790
URD	4/03/75	379321	117589	431579	133795	439633	136237	453042	1 40 4 3
URD .	4/13/75	156554	48552	237395	73592	2 4 4 9 9 2	75947	260172	80653
(181)	4/19/75	6205	1923	73144	22674	90397	28023	115247	35726
130	5/30/75	16557	5132	75217	23317	36946	26953	106963	33160
ÛRD	6/12/15	28236	8763	125230	39146	144925	44926	169079	52414
URD	6/17/75	2030		377.56	27207	101374	31425	1 43 556	44502
<u>Gri</u>	3/20/15	0	0	39334	12193	55891	17326	69012	21393
<u> 190</u>	3/22/15	Ō	Ő	33633	11977	50367	15613	62110	19254
(ایزن	9/11/75	10339	21820	153335	4770+	166310	51556	191160	59259
URD	10/17/75	6920	2145	66 42 9	20592	81652	25312	97572	30247
-URD	10/22/75	0	- Ō	14250	4425	26530	8224	39 452	12230
(IHC	10/23/75	0	С	34692	10754	53060	16 443	71442	22147
J RD	10/24/75	395739	122694	575273	178334	. 60.0050	186015	649616	201380
(INU	10/31//5	16402	5034	32012	25423	103005	31931	124659	38644
JRD	11/02/75	0	0	6901	2139	25531	7914	35383	11123
URD	11709775	8250	2771	552.)9	17114	66939	20750	75913	23533
(ISI)	11/13/75	196634	60972	356093	110383	376115	116595	432006	133921
UR')	11/26/75	255339	79310	446202	138322	474551	147110	541390	167830
Τι	TALS	5185715		3231312		8848125		9805852	
	-		1607560		2567190		2742904		3039803

۲

đ

÷

4

78

\$

TABLE A-24: ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A DECREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

FUR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

٥

ė

.

1

١

3

٠

	TITLE	1975		1980		1985		1990	
		FUEL D	OLLARS	FUEL DO	DILLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL DO	DLLARS
URD	1708775	580381	179918		254514		264534		
URD	1/09/75	169978	52693		103485	350827		399.773	123931
URD	1/10/75	438226		652845			214575	735659	228054
DRD	1/29/75	487158		7 33 460	227372	764073		831 446	257748
URD	2/05/75	1500429		1842428		1882372		1979793	613735
ORD	2/15/75	79 33 22		1023350	31 72 47	1034979		1128758	349914
uRD	2/23/75	4/60		37422	11600	56462		70 756	21934
URD	2/25/75	243050		469140	1 45 4 3 3	499022		566232	175531
UND	3/24/75	115⊋28		241542	74873	263451	83219	296751	91992
URD	3/27/75	2584		119107	36923	133999	41 539	176716	54791
URD	4/02/75	476513	1 47720	579666	179695	590953	183196	618810	191831
UКD	4/03/75	451705		504645	156439	512698		526102	163091
ORD	4/13/75	235322		316063	97979	323660	100334	333340	105040
JRD	4/19//5	75217		186332	57762	205644		2 30 49 4	71453
URD	5/30/75	46235		131122	40647	151130		137014	57974
JRD	6/12/75	82118		211168	65462	231871	71830	260854	80864
GRD	6/1//5	74153		210239	65173	22 45 32		274876	85211
JKD	8720775	37260		127672	39573	153085		167687	51982
üRD	3722775	22762		100067	3102.)	113002		134573	41717
URD	9/11//5	142352	· - ·	2 49 1 2 4	17223	276377		294679	91350
URD	10/17/75	44234		125636	39257	143254		164016	508 44
J[?])	10/22/75	10206	3153	69393	21513	5 436 4	26152	99 330	30792
JRD	10/23/75	9520	2951	97972	30371	127232	39441	1 56 492	43512
(<u>א</u> ר	10/24/15	477490	143021	565009	206152	693147	214375	751409	232936
- CRD	10/31/75	81351	25215	1 55544	51657	191578	59389	220.441	63336
ปหม	11702775	يون ذرا	1707	69012	21393	107650	33371	122140	37865
URD	11/09/75	52440	16256	121-52	37650	140053	43425	155277	43135
ารม	11/13/75	302261	¥3700	432353	149540	506542	157023	565393	175428
(זארי	11/26/75	349000	103199	553236	1/3062	5599991	152897	6635.66	205705
T	ITALS	7312724		112 470 41		11943305		13042853	
			2276929		3436567		3703959		4043268

CPU TIME: 1.35 ELAPSED TIME: 1:51.93 NU EXECUTION E MORS DETECTED

TABLE A-25. ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%

·

EURAT SISYAMA TIREFUS GCAS .KIE 84 SUS

1494150		996117	I	1266118		58.87.21			
	699.5474		1907757		15533312		8729/17	SIA'TS	DI.
596993	190896	273441	\$09546	133344	155409	t199t	695051	51772711	V.LT
017821	896119	870751	126967	C0618	1.233571	1005	96511	9112116	71.7.∀
280815	1059032	313454	1011048	501564	969199	17898	113395	3/12/15	₹Ĵ.∀
901005	960666	564332	697676	6948/1	969525	51722	1.171.50	51752775	ΠĽÝ
100961	- 632263	180530	979819	108241	2501 43	0	ία:	5/16175	JJ.V
104525	629535	115 415	696999	18661	860185	1997	95031	2/01/76	JJV
Saviio	000 I 1903 -	SHALL	Ener Dr Bael	SSALLI	ENET Dr	ורדענצ	00 TENE 5/61	~~~~	
, i	0001		1001		(P,C)		212.01	EITLE	

BUANT SISYJAMA TIRENEW SCAR .WIM OF RUF

1107921	0270171	1111415	237723	
2980395	1887888	3838510	LL 8 99 L	STVLOL
917095 5451511	1110300 340024	191399 539113	534142 35284	81742711 JTA
	861349 206878		30773 9322	SLILIZO TIV
1197218 371137	1182182 366476	311333 581119	205503 63705	ATL 3/12/75
1048380 337397			245131 75248	ATL 2/23/75
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	778335 241283		6469 10671	ATL 2/19/75
794083 246167	723916 224413	399550 123360	36519 11320	ATL 2/01/75
EGEL BOLLARS	EVEL DUCCARS	SSALJUC LEUS	SNALL DULLA	
0661	5891	0261	SZ61	BUTT

MO EXECUTION E34082 DELECTED C60 TIME: 0.43 ETVERED TIME: 28.12

LIXE

.« امت 63, User [5/04],|16]] Longed off TTY25 |553 7-Sep-76 Saved all files (42!50 blocks) Saved all files (42!50 blocks) Suntime 31.36 Sec d.51 AVERAGE K CURE

-

£

2

÷

TABLE A-26. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%

ņ

.

. .

10

FUR 43 WIN. PAOP BENEFIE ANALYSIS FAULE

TITLE	1975	1930	1965	1990
		FJEL DULLARS		
JEK 1711775	223407 70506	406397-126045	572496 177473	718705 222793
JEC 1/15/15	43/31 15105		374600 116126	524261 162520
Ji-K 2/05/75	32594 25635	330792 102545	571506 177166	814696 252555
JEK 3/19/15	159490 49441	366241 113531	560685 173312	734443 227673
Jrk 4/24/15	33963 10523	135035 58290	370173 114753	536072 166132
JFA 6/12/15	46269 14343	222494 38973	401675 124519	590716 183121
JEK 6715775	3436 1065	- 145217 - 45017	316024 97967	477496 143023
JER 6/16/75	34238 10530	202503 62363	- 37R547 - 117349	552310 171216
Jen 5/24/15	190792 - 59207	369377-114519	- 562156 17426s	735934 223139
JEK 6723775	2451 762	155053 46069	321937 99300	474044 146953
JFC 7/13/15	76730 - 23501	256952 (9655)	450901 139779	632063 195939
J.K. 5704775	1353 2431	159026 - 58593		
Jr. 3/24/15	255 8 7932			5567 3 3 172538
JFA 3725775	33253 10523	167349 52033	311101 96441	414012 137643
JEX 3725715	31723 - 25179	- 241209 - 74774	- 397247 - 120146	
JEC 10/25/75	354 2744	121034 - 37535	267753 - 03014	411040 127422
「FK 11712775	247552 76756	- 55+276 171825	614201 252402	
JEC 11713775	75762 - 24416	230095 36329		
JER 11/14/15	69046 - 13614	220523 68363	377557 117042	526722 163233
JFX 11721775	69396 21512	236756 - 73397	405622 125742	540499 167554
Jew 11730/15	30015 9304	179676 35692	323903 170409	4/0112 145734
FJIALS		5240777	9015650	12561994
	今日の246	1624693	2194641	389 42 06

81

......

TABLE A-26. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

FUR 30 MIN. FAUP BEREFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975)	1980		1985		1990	
			ULLARS		JLLARS	FUEL D	JILARS	FUEL D	DILLARS
	1 2 1 1 2 7 6	262 11	217.00	454253	140349	631568	195786	784666	2 432 46
JFK	1/11/75	263341		454353		-,			
JFK	1713775	79137	•	256302	32553	-3-3114	135915	597605	
JFK	2/05/75	13()445		376272	122844		201736		279261
JFK	3/19/15	107335	61187	419395	130167	625655	193953		249350
JEK	4/24/15	60046	5 13614	242619	75230	436133	135201	612373	189835
JFK	6/12/75	12:340	22532	277133	35912	472573	1 46 49 7	6/5376	209366
JFK	5/15/75	19.590		195914	60733	331009	118112	556738	172538
JES	6/15/75	62012		255431	791.09	44/960	138867	631568	195786
JEK	6/24/15	219,57		411520	127571	623689	193343	807312	2502 66
JEK	5/23/15	25093		208.221	64543	339863	120857	556733	172539
JFK	7/13/15	107302		303144	95524	51 88 43	160341	711321	220509
JFA	3/04/75	32972		2 42 1 3 4	75077	454353	140849	657652	2033 72
JFK	3/24/15	50201	15562	246132	76300	444012	137643	640423	193531
JFK	3/25/75	5651		21/571	57447	371659	115214	514395	159617
JFK	0/20/15	106310		281914	39271	457805	141919	617295	191361
JFK	10/25/75	29040		172292	53410	327355	101480	477496	148023
JEK	11/12/75	23994		613343	190137	833118	273766	1105612	3427 3 9
JFK	11713775	112230	34791	332278	103005	543874	170150	742823	230275
JFK	11/14//5	93530		267259	33475	440080	136424	600065	186020
Jriá	11/21//5	102334		232051	37433	465669	1 44357	609416	189918
	11/30/75	50040		226921	10345	332975	118722	540995	167708
r.,	CAT S	2171225	,	6395030		10392070		1 41 45568	
τu	TALS	2171323		1363434		10372010			4385116
			112011		1201001	•	261234		

CPU TIME: 1.36 ELAPSED TIME: 1:3.70 NU EXECUTIUA EMALAS DETECTED

:XD

82

TABLE A-27. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%

FUR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

9

8

3

2

TI	ГLЕ	1975		1930		1985		1 990	
		FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	ULLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL DU	DLLARS
	/13/75	65262 121656	20231 37713	122293 203397	37910 63053	253532 345351	801 44 107058	349773 422651	108 431 131021
LGA I	/25/75	89336	27694	143179	44391	224317	69538 31820	278315	86432 50284
LGA 3	/14/75	19010	5893 40072	62730	19445		52056 78769	235717	73072
LGA 5	/04/75	20905	0 6 430	10764	3336 26321	/2235	22392	136362	42427
LGA 9	/26//5	79331 6715a	24747	154613	47930	291489	90361	372593 401103	115503 124343
	/24/75 /30/75	0 29774	0 9229	24074 57633	7462	129266	40072	198333	61483
TJTAT	LS	625739		1219109		2439635		3378019	2
			194052		377913		771781	1	047130

FUR 30 MIN. FADE BENEFIT AMALYSIS FABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1935	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	PUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
LGA 1/13/75	107037 33196	171091 53033	318731 98306	423923 131416
LJA 1720/75	190724 59124	+ 230074 36322	423987 132985	506937 157150
LGA 1/25/15	130_25 40463	135024 57357	275010 85253	330132 102340
LGA 3712775	22178 6875	50593 15714	152704 47333	223680 69340
LGA 3714775	43/34 15123	106451 32999	229381 71108	302253 93698
LOA 3719775	160013 51464	218515 - 57770	297825 92325	359283 111377
LJA 5704775	5336 1964	43054 13355	119761 37125	191297 59519
LUA 3/04/15	37333 11513	120902 40267	290852 90164	420755 130434
LOA 9726775	144471 44786	6 223630 69340	363152 114127	449907 139471
LUA 11/21/13	126098 39090	1 219239 67964	373930 117463	434122 150077
LGA 11724775	1259 390	0 57653 17672	196433 60395	275010 85253
LUA 11/30/75	62094 19249	93342 30641	167286 51858	217980 67573
TUIALS	1042952	1/34349	322 4057	4155979
	323311	5531 42	999452	1297643

COUNTRY OF REPART TRADERS THE FAIL AND AR

TABLE A-28. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20%

. AU F BAS

FOR 45 MILL FADE BELERIT ADALYSIS TABLE

	TTLE	1275		1930		1925		1990	
		FUEL D	ILLANS	FU.L D	JULARS	HUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	JULARS
-130	1703775	403536	125142	196597	246245	803740	249171	303094	250509
13) ({:L	1707775	75319	23813	<u> 227771</u>	70502	23117J	/1/662	233199	15551
-J(?-)	1710775	3,12,164	1:00:11:3	42/5/4	13264)	432:06	133921	440931	136704
19 C	1729/15	325706	101030	117.22	22524	124625	224634	- 753700	225091
$-13^{(1)}$	2/05/15	1304209	404521	1927253	527442	1919051	613516	19 19392	616556
11 (11)	2/15/75	630303	195544	1053313	326002	1115093	346236	1151770	357.143
ាក	2/23/75	J	្រី	46256	14339	61236	18983	78913	2:44:54
13.5	2/23/13	137143	42514	457501	131555	464397)	143960	463469	145225
LIC)	3/24/15	57253	17753	2.6370	76371	250502	77655	251193	77571
(،)·(.)	3/2//75	0	.)	465.26	1444/	50452	15649	51733	16054
(1 3 .)	4702775	336131	119716	522 445	151953	536000	1 661 60	554620	171594
(، ل	4/03/75	379321	117589	169727	145637	-kala33	149377	493930	153118
(SU)	4/13/75	156654	43562	270324	43362	273279	64716	273302	36428
ربه ر	4/19/75	62.05	1923	150105	49632	173039	55192	209095	64317
-180	5/30/15	16557	5132	51415	19033		19254	57620	20962
())U	6712775	23235	8763	36265	11335	40015	12404	40711	12620
();?()	6/11/15	2030	529	94570	29315	9 4570	29316	94570	29316
ריאנ	7/20/75	0	Ú.	0)	0	0	· · · ·	0
GRU	3/22/75	0	0	0)	0	0	ა	0
000	9711775	70339	21320	-1323	1 495	4023	1495	4323	1496
)RD	10/17/75	6920	2145	3450	1072	5525	1712	8301	2573
JRD	10722775	0	.)	0	J	Ű	0	с С	.)
930	10/23/75	0	.)	91354	28474	11 22 66	34302	1 49 002	46190
ປາຍ	10/24/75	375737	122694	443029	132085	4461.03	1 44493	4/9502	143645
-	10/31/75	16402	508.4	26392	8335	26892	8335	26392	3036
	11702775	0	J	37956	11765	-70389	21320	/5913	23533
	11709775	8960	2777	8275	2565	8273	25.66	10351	3203
	11713775	195564	50972	3 45000	106963	346437	107395	349883	105465
<u>130</u>	11/26/75	255339	7931.)	570420	176330	550546	179969	230001	182397
тл	TALS	5185715		21/00/42		9401317		9636227	
			1607560		2321032		291 4395		2987219

84

.

TABLE A-28. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO D INCREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

FUR 30 MIR. FADE BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

.

n

ş

5

ł

ų

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
		FUEL DU	JILLARS	FUEL DU	DLLARS	FUEL DU	JLLARS	FUEL DU	DLLARS
מאט	1703775	580331	179913	993408	307956	1000591	310183	1004906	311520
ORD	1/09/75	169978	52693	362332	112333	365782	113392	369967	114658
Ghu	1/10/75	438226	135850	572103	177351	576250	178637	585210	181415
U ND	1/29/75	437153	151013	902202	279682	912408	232346	919212	284955
ORD	2/05/75	1500429	465132	2136770	662393	2192123		2204745	683470
URD.	2/15/75	79.3322	245929	1231922	331895	1300927	403287	1340263	415481
URD	2/23/15	4750	1475	103364	33747	131992	40917	153762	47666
JRD	2/25/75	2 43050	75345	630047	195314	636836	197419	640915	198683
ປລາງ	3/24/75	115923	35937	378174	117233	385771	119589	337148	120015
032	3/27/75	2584	801	1 42 417	44149	145293	45350	1 47 5 8 5	45751
JRD	4/02/75	476513	147720	652636	202332	67 000 4		694091	215168
URD	4/03/75	451705	1 4002 8	542857	168285	554909	172021	566975	175762
JRD	4/13/75	235322	72949	364372	112955	368513	114240	376797	116307
JRD	4/19/75	75.217	23317	275352	35352	293301	90923	325733	1 009 77
ារប្រ	5/30/75	46235	1 4 3 3 2	147690	45780	149057	46207	154581	47920
URD.	6/12/15	82118	25456	102322	31374	106272	32944	106969	33160
URD	6/17/75	7 41 58	22983	238140	73823	240856	74 665	2 42 9 00	75299
URD	3/20/75	37260	11550	3450	1069	3450	1069	3450	1069
URD	8/22/75	22762	7056	1377	42.5	2754	853	6205	1923
URD	9/11/75	1 42 3 52	44284	74521	23101	75217	23317	75217	23317
URD	10/17/75	44934	13945	2 4 2 2 2	7503	26287	8148	29063	9009
URD	10/22/75	10206	3163	26530	8224	26530	8224		8224
URD	10/23/75	9520	2951	246302	76353	271474	84156	310254	96178
GRG	10/24/75	477490	1 48021	537103	166501	556519	172520	569913	176673
JRD	10/31/75	81351	25218	106933	33149	103256	33 559	110875	34371
URD-	11/02/75	5509	1707	156654	48562	202389	62395	212545	65888
U RD	11/09/75	52 4 40	16256	79363	24602	8 00 45	24813	82814	25672
ປະກ	11/13/75	302261	93700	420566	152106	492044	152533	495494	153603
URD	11/26/75	349000	108190	725002	22475)	739503	228935		231363
Τı	JTALS	7312/24		12254321		12615863		12891966	
			2266929		3798822		3910902		3996497

CPU TIME: 1.88 FLAPSED TIME: 1:30.92

85

.

TABLE A-29. ATL DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%

FUR 43 JIN. FAOR DENERIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1-280	1935	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01/15	15036 4661	262736 31463	665917 206434	749693 232404
ATL 2/19/75	0 0	342267 106102	832754 258153	905074 280572
ATL 2/23/75	179726 55715	655392 203325	11 492 44 356265	1260230 390671
ATL 3/12/75	113362 36647	721052 223525	1237317 383568	1334698 413756
ATE 9/17/15	11456 3551	329378 102107	803409 250606	915815 283902
ATL 11724775	150358 46614	669497 207544	1163565 360705	1260946 390893
TUTALS	475443			6 42 6 456
	147,333	92 4063	1315731	1992198

FUR 30 MIN. FADE BEREFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	TITLE 1975		1985	1990	
	FUEL DOLLARS	FJEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	
ATL 2701775	36518 11320	404562 125414	833470 258375	917247 234346	
ATL 2/19/75	17201 5549	491203 152272	1006036 311871	1078356 334290	
ATL 2/23/75	242737 75243	754706 233753	1291020 400216	1405586 435731	
ATL 3/12/75	205503 63705	331445 273247	1403450 436619	1515140 469693	
ATE 9717775	30073 9322	439055 151607	933122 304767	1096973 340061	
ATL 11724775	234145 72584	331322 257709	1342575 416198	1 439956 - 446336	
TJTALS	766377	3352293	6854673	7453253	
	237723	1194207	2123046	2310507	

¥.

- is

.

.

*

CPU TIME: 0.43 ELAPSED TIME: 33.48 RU EXECUTION ELAURS DETECTED

86

ý.

TABLE A-30. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%

r

هي

60

ø

.

.RUN DAS

FOR 48 MIN. FAIR BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1 990
	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
JFK 1711775	225407 70606	405622 125742	557078 175794	/16244 222035
JFX 1/13/75	43/31 15106		369193 114451	521304 161604
JFK 2/05/75	02694 25635			822560 254993
JFK 3/19/75	159490 49441	365250 113227		725594 224934
JFK 4/24/75	33963 10525		351963 109110	512929 159007
JFK 6/12/15	45269 14343	226921 70345	407093 126193	603023 186937
JFK 6/15/75	3436 1065	1 491 49 462 36	323330 101782	504075 156263
JFK 6/16/75	34738 10830	210187 65157	396752 122993	590716 183121
JFK 6/24/75	190992 59207	3701/3 11 4753	561130 173965	733473 227376
JFK 6/23/15	2461 762		336705 104379	500623 155193
JFK 7/13/75	76730 23801	255977 79352	445003 137950	623193 193139
JFK 8/04/75	7363 2437		387402 120094	589726 182815
Jrk 8/24/15	25538 7932		375095 116279	563146 174575
JFK 8/25/75	33963 10523			435153 134898
JFK 8/26/15	81223 25179		395777 122690	
JFK 10/25/15	3354 2744		259413 80413	402665 124826
JFK 11/12/75	247502 16756	553301 171523	803233 250559	1021927 316797
JEK 11/13/75	75762 24416		431923 149396	664061 205358
JFK 11/14/75	60046 13614		331504 118266	539029 167098
JFC 11/21//5	69396 21512		404631 125435	551815 171062
JFK 11/30/75	30015 9304		323408 100256	4/4539 147107
TUTALS	1551473	5273956	9011734	12635308
		1604017		

430946 1634917 2793628 3916935

,

.

4,

TABLE A-30. JFK DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

FUR 30 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1935		1990	
		FUEL DU	LLARS	FUEL DU	JLLARS	FUEL D.			ULLARS
								TOLE IN	OLLAND
JFK	1/11/75	263341	31790	453352	140542	625655	193953	7.2204	242483
JFK	1/13/75	79737	24713	256191	32707	432696			184340
JEK	2705775	130449	40439	397743	12330.)		201127		231693
JEK	3/19//5	197335	61189	410934			192124		24 66 00
Jrk	4/24/75	60046	18614	241 539	74923		129.557		182661
JFK	6/12/75	72343	22532	279104	36522		148172		212265
JEK	6715775	19590	6103	194924	50425	393315	121927		174416
JFK	6/16/15	62,112	19223	253913	30264	456164	1 4451 ()		206775
JFK	5/24/75	219057	67907	412.015	127/24	619757	192124		247670
JFK	6/23/75	25073	77/3	211173	65465	404136	125232		130070
JFK	7/13/75	107302	33413	307159	72555	512929	159:007	702451	217759
JFK	3704775	32772	10221	245141	75993	45.681.4	141612		206924
JFK	3/24/75	50201	15562.	246132	7630)	445003	137950	644370	109754
JEK	3/25/75	56610	17547	216596	57141	365745	113330	506041	156372
JFK	0126110	106316	32957	236933	78964	451892	140086	603425	123611
JFK	10/25/75	29040	9002	1/4/53	54173	313980	99983	469121	145427
742	11/12/15	289940	59861	612373	139335	877205	271933	1096753	339994
	11/13/15	112230	34791	334244	103615	547883	169843	136909	223441
JEK	11/14/75	93530	28994	259754	33623	441551	136330	610407	189226
	11/21/15	102334	31739	230590	36982	461242	142935	615309	190900
JFK	11/30/75	60046	13614	226921	70345	372479	110553	545422	169030
ľ.	TALS	2171229		6335290	1	0367904	1	4185412	

TABLE A-31. LGA DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%

.

FOR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

2

•

3

÷

ŧ,

TITLE	1975	1980	1985	1990
	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
LGA 1/13/75	65262 20231	122293 37910	258532 30144	350 41 5 108628
LGA 1/20/75	121656 37713	203397 63053	345351 107058	422651 131021
LGA 1/25/75	89336 27694	143199 44391	224317 69538	278815 86432
LGA 3/12/75	3/91 1175	25346 7857	103283 32017	162209 50284
LGA 3/14/75	19010 5893	62730 19446	167923 52056	235717 73072
LGA 3/19/75	1292.66 40072	174882 54213	254091 78768	308590 95662
LGA 5/04/75	0 0	10764 3336	72872 22590	136862 42427
LGA 3/04/75	20905 6480	34909 26321	223680 69340	348519 108040
LGA 9/26/75	79331 24747	/ 1546H3 4793J	292111 90554	372593 115503
LGA 11/21/75	67158 20913	155249 48127	302253 93698	401745 124540
LGA 11/24/75	0 0	24074 7462	129902 40269	198333 61483
LGA 11/30/75	29774 9229	57653 17872	117852 36534	165377 51266
TUTALS	625939	1219109	2492167	3381826
	194052	377913	.772566	1048358

FUR 30 MIN. FADP DEVEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
	FUEL D	ÚLLARS	FUEL DU	ILLARS	FUEL DO	JLLARS	FUEL DL	JLLARS
LGA 1/13/7	5 107037	33195	171091	53033	318731	93806	42 4560	131613
LGA 1/20//			280074	86822	42/39/87	132985	506937	157150
LGA 1/25/7	5 130525	40 46 2	135024	57357	275010	85253	330132	102340
LGA 3/12/7	5 22173	6875	50693	15714	153340	47535	223680	69340
LGA 3/14/7	5 43734	15123	106 45 1	32999	229381	71103	302253	93698
LGA 3/19/7	5 166013	51464	213616	57770	297825	92325	359920	111575
LGA 570477	5 6336	1964	43054	1 335 5	120397	37323	191997	59519
LGA 3704/7	5 37333	11523	120902	40267	290852	90164	422014	130324
LJA 972577	5 144471	44705	223650	69340	363733	114324	449907	139471
LGA 11/21/7	5 126093	37070	219339	67964	373930	117468	484759	150275
LGA 11/24/7	5 1259	390	57653	17372	197061	61038	275010	35253
LJA 1173077	5 62.794	19249	23542	30641	167286	51853	218616	67770
TUTALS	1042952		1734349		32265%3		4139785	
		323311		5531 42		000237		293328

OPU TINE: 0.33 ELAPSED TINE: 41.95

TABLE A-32. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20%

FUR 43 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

0 DOLLARS	287695 121194 237470 263442 631983 357048 255388 181213 91350 55338 181213 181213 55338 171994 171994 171994 153118 171994 557752 355034 735355 7762 55736 55736 201330 55736 201330 56736 201330 201320 201330 201320 201330 201320 20120000000000	4061655
1990 FUEL DC	928050 390950 390950 849814 849814 2038656 1151770 294673 131897 584560 294673 131897 254820 294662 254464 154831 154533 154681 1545555 101374 165555 101374 165555 101374 165555 101374 165555 101374 1655555 101374 165555 101374 174555 101374 165555 101374 165555 101374 165555 101374 101374 165555 101374 165555 101374 165555 101374 1655555 101374 1655555 101374 101474 101474 101474 101474 101474 101474 101474 101474 101474 101474 10055555 10055555555555555555555555555	3102160
5 DüLLARS	269881 111497 223348 244671 606346 19612 19612 481723 494377 4986313 39578 39578 39578 39578 39578 44192 54915 54915 54915 54915 54915 54915 25098 25098 225098 2211359 2211359	1 37 47 185
1985 FUEL Dú	a705a6 359663 359663 720479 739264 1955957 1117626 532234 263266 532364 253623 155362 155362 24747 225204 15735 160736 247747 225504 127672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277672 1277772 1277772 1277777777	1208.7731
to DDLLARS	25,2287 100325 213075 22,7797 585274 77017 1,4973 1,4973 1,4973 1,4832 1,49843 49843 49843 49843 49843 49843 22563 32090 32090 32090 32090 32090 22563 1,7253 1,8334 40545 1,92943 22563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,225563 1,2556575 1,2556575 1,2556575 1,25565755755755755755755755755755755755755	1 3 <i>4</i> 8 <i>6</i> 77 <i>9</i>
1930 FUEL DC	813531 813531 323530 557351 734322 1887981 1055294 480009 248443 1055294 522457 5224573 150794 1507851 150794 15079518 130794 150795 150794 150795 150794 150795 150794 150795 150705 150795 150795 150705 10	11247715
	25142 23813 23813 100118 195543 17753 17753 42514 17753 17753 17753 17753 629 218562 21820 218562 21820 218562 21820 218562 21820 218562 79310	1 607560
1975 FUEL DULLARS	403686 76419 322964 322964 325906 630503 630503 630503 630503 630503 1379503 156554 15657 156564 15657 2332266 2330 6205 15657 156402 156402 156402 156402 156402 156402 1565739 156402 1565739 156402 1565739 156402 1565739 156402 1565739 1665739 1565759 156575759 1565759 1565759 1565759	5185/15
I TLE	1/08/75 1/09/75 1/10/75 1/29/75 2/15/75 2/15/75 2/25/75 5/1775 5/1775 5/1775 5/1775 5/1775 8/1775 11/02/75 11/02/75 11/02/75 11/02/75 11/02/75 11/02/75 11/02/75 11/02/75	TUTALS
1		IUI

2

ę

TABLE A-32. ORD DEMAND SENSITIVITY: FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A INCREASED BY 20% (CONTINUED)

HUR 30 MIN. FAOP DENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

.

	TTTLE	17/5	•	[930		1935		1990	
		• • • •	JLLARS	JUEL DL	ILARS	FUEL DU	ILLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS
C ¹ SC.	1703775	580331	179913	1010656		1067396		1123453	
JitD	1709775	16:273	52693		141425	493345	154 49 3	533046	
(!?،ل	1/10/75	433226		- 335045		863152		919913	295174
JRD	1/29//5	48 /1 58	151013	221242		931806		1043042	
U SD	2/05/75	1500429	465132	2097513	65023)			2260118	700636
(JRD	2/15/75	79 3322		1233403	3-32354	1301675	403519	1340263	
GNL	2/23/15	4160	1 475	109536	33955	131,992	40917	153762	4/666
URD	2/25/75	243.)50	75345	647020		6499810		760415	
U RD	3/24/75	115728	35437	362313	112317	330943	118092	417522	
JRD	3/2///5	2534	301	225920	10035	265414		. 297731	92312
<u> DRD</u>	4/02/75	476518	147720	652636	202332	670004	207701	694091	215168
J SD	4/03/75	451705		542357	168285	554909	172021	546975	175762
URD	4/13/75	235322	72949	331625	118303	395427	122532	433226	
(اډال	4/19/75	75217	23317	276313	35574	293962	91134		
(RD)	5/30//5	46235	14332	242223	75089	252476	30437	273453	
USD (6712775	52118		320210	99265	362994	112528	400269	
03D	6/17/75	7 41 53	22933	327950	101664		113473	395990	
URD	3/20/75	37260		210456	65250		75304	2/6729	
JRD	8/22/75	22762	7056	132136	56477	205340	63965		73809
(JRD	9/11//5	142352		3 45060	106963	37 33 46	115737	403024	
JRD	10/17/75	44734		209634	6500 2		69725		
URD	10/22/75	10206		144242	44715		46512	197792	
URD	10/23/75	9520		233140	73323		80572	-	
	10/24/15	477470		665009	206152				
OPD	10/31/75	81351	25213	264377	31963		27043		
J HD	11/02/75	5509		172530			63322		
URD	11709775	52440			6632.)		72 307		79533
URD	11713775	302261	93700		203024		213073		
GЯD	11/26/75	349000	108190	758074	235002	320190	254258	834320	274139
71	ITALS	7312724		1 4701328		15651202		16300614	ŀ
			2266929		4557554		4 351 359	,	52081 77

CRU TIME: 1.34 ELAPSED TIME: 1:13.63 NU EXECUTION ENRORS DETECTED

EXIT

2

ÿ

5

.

2

91

1

.

. •

TABLE A-33. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 53 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS)

FUR 43 I. FAND DEVEFTY AMALYSIS FABLE

TITLE 1975		1200	1985	1990
	FUEL OULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
ATT. 2Z01Z75	15036 4661	166121 51497	431056 133627	40.3327 1493.31
ATE 2719775	υ	210515 65259	579992 179797	621522 192671
ATL 2/23/75	1/9/26 - 55715	480452 148943	796952 247055	830606 257437
ATL 3/12/15	112352 36347	564965 175136	951690 304323	1013912 314312
ATL 971775	11456 3551	214025 66362	564955 175136	618658 191783
AIL 11724775	145236 46170	517696 160485	911518 282570	9 43740 292559
THALS	474016	2153344	42/661/63	4511765
	1 46 9 4 4	457622	1322508	1 3986 43

92

4

4

.

FUR 30 IN. FADE BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1955 1990	
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DUILLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
AIL 2/01/15	36513 11320	233551 37900	591449 183349	643719 199552
ATL 2/19/75	17901 5549	346553 107434	744681 230851	786211 243725
ATL 2/23/75	242021 /5024	5708-3 176911	911513 232570	950185 294557
ATL 3/12/75	205503 63705	717472 222416	1147812 355821	1133614 366920
ATL 9717775	30073 9322	355371 110320	737521 223631	792656 245723
AIT. 11/24/75	233429 72362	673793 208375	1084064 336066	1116306 346054
TUTALS	765445	2947933	5217065	5472691
	237284	913355	1617233	1696531

¥

*'ei

14

CPU TIME: 0.43 ELAPSED VINE: 30.87 NO EXECUTION EPRORS DEFECTED TABLE A-34. ATL FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 70 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS)

. RUI BAS

5

÷

7

ž

.

•

FUR 43 (IR. FADE DE EFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

111L3 1975		1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DULLARS			FUEL DOLLARS
ATL 2/01/75 ATL 2/19/75 ATL 2/23/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 3/12/75 ATL 1/24/75	15J36 4661 U U 103109 31963 113362 36847 11456 3551 1443936 46170	198343 61485	360884 111874 476882 147833 451821 140064 842779 261261 475450 147339 753274 233514	394686 122972 505524 156712 462561 143393 571420 270140 517696 160495 774755 240174
TUTALS		1307998	3361090 1041935	3528642 1093376

FUR 30 HIN. FADE DEVEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

.

TITLE 1975		1930	1935	1990
• • • • •	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
ATL 2/01/75	36518 11320	269231 33461	501228 155380	542042 168033
ATL 2/19/75	17901 5549	315773 97889	636559 197333	673793 208375
ATL 2/23/75	148220 45943	375921 116535	536313 166257	549202 170252
ATL 3/12/75	205503 63705	637091 197777	1012480 313863	1041122 322747
ATL 9/17/75	30073 9322	330094 192329	634411 196667	665250 212427
ATL 11/24/75	223416 70303	600757 136234	915099 283630	943740 292559
TUTALS	666531	2529767	4236090	44 35 1 49
	206652	734225	1313185	1 37 48 93

CPU TIME: 0.47 ELAPSED TIME: 29.32 HD EXECUTION EPRORS DETECTED

EXIT

TABLE A-35.LGA FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A(ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 32 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE CONDITIONS)

066	586 I	1980	5761	TITLE
		LYSIS TABLE	ANA TIRENEM 9	FUR 48 MIN. FADE

651460 15484	066 STT 309 461 59	2813409 2 376152	5115384 885384	SIATUT
26963 101358 96239 42234 52196 78180 70698 100771 70698 100771 70698 100771 70698 100771 70698 100771 714 32605 717 32605 717 32605 71649 72949	324431 100573 4 2293852 90164 2 250852 90164 2 756023 65412 2 2560233 77786 2 72872 22590 1 149535 46355 3 250923 77786 2 72872 22590 1 149535 46355 3 77872 22590 1 149535 46355 3 77872 2 2260423 1 149535 46355 3 2260423 1 2260423 1 2260424044455555555555555555555555555555	152704 47333 152704 47333	57774 9229 0 0 0 13536 5430 0 20905 5430 0 21493 22401 7068 137493 42624 0 22301 7068 175 22301 7068 30090 126093 39090 0 126093 39090 0	LGA 11/30/75 LGA 11/20/75 LGA 11/21/75 LGA 11/21/75 LGA 3/12/75 LGA 1/25/75 LGA 1/25/75 LGA 1/25/75 LGA 1/25/75 LGA 1/25/75
EL DOLLARS	NET DOTTES EN	ENET DOFTVB2 H	FUEL DOLLARS	

EURAT SISYJAMA TIGENEM SCAR .NIM OF SOR

.

,

926731	83542575 1	476169	1-909005	049746	1122215	189148	1101363	STVL	T,T
22123 123320 123320 124331 100519 43152 102054	136462 557465 403004 176546 365310 403004 55310 403004	20090 58527 685211 685211 19978 19158 19158	10123454 342633 34263 34263563 34263563 34263563 34263563 3426356556556556556556556556556556556556556	30641 12175 69735 567435 767435 767435 76743 76745757 767457 767577 7675777777777777	8+9345 354623 354623 354623 45223 45225 552274 552274 552274	49240 49203 49203 49203 4921 59403 4921 49403	46029 6921 202141 200741 51662 9829 551971	57705711 27745711 27745711 27745712 2774572 2775772 277572 277572 277572 277572 2775772 2775772 27757777772 277577777777	V01 V01 V01 V01 V01 V01 V01
23523 24410 54423 150414 150414	987405 287405 287405 287405 287405	83091 34964 124931 96254 96254	203734 203734 403004 310499	67145 72145 72282 72425 7245 724	8018181 578787 748787 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 7487878 748787878 7487878 748787878 7487878787	26986 29986 29907 2755 2755 2755 2755 2755 2755 2755 27	124325 1246434 1232174 22174 22174 22174	3714712 3715775 1725775 1725775 1725775	¥01 ¥01 ¥01 ¥01 ¥01
SSAJI	even 1996	รย∀าาเ	10 JHNH 9861	ShAJJ(รยงาาต	DU TENE - SACI	FILL	

AD EXECUTION ERAJES DETECTED CPU TIAE: 0.32 ELARSED TIAE: 43.25

.

:

•

76

ĩ

a

a -

TABLE A-36. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 35 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE HOURS)

FOR 48 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

.

	TITLE 1975		1930		1985		1990		
		FUEL D		FUEL DU			JLLARS		JLLARS
JFK	1711775	251534	77975	42 431 7	131693	595144	184494	750702	232717
JEK	1713775	43731	15106	138059	53593	346550	107430	495700	153667
JFK	2/05/75	82694	25635	303717	94152	522279	161906	759557	235462
JES	3/19/75	170306	52949	364275	112925	543874	170150	718210	222645
JEK	4/24/13	36919	11444	173267	53712	32 /835	101623	431923	149396
JFK	6/12/75	46259	14343	204159	53473	394291	122230	580376	17.916
JEK	5/15/75	3436	1065	116161	36009	260404	d0725	432201	133982
JFK	6/16/75	34738	10330	1/26/6	55699	342123	106053	525236	162823
JFK	6/24/15	194144	60277	352,443	109253	533611	165419	6 9 9 4 9 4	216343
JFK	6/23/15	2461	762	122570	37996	273206	84693	434167	134591
JFK	1/13/75	30232	24371	236236	13243	407523	126352	536274	181744
JFK	5/04/15	7363	2437	161951	50204	32 / 355	101430	513425	159161
JFK	3/24/75	25533	7932	167349	52033	331782	102852	515391	159771
JFK	o/25/15	34736	10830	1 39 490	49441	291411	90337	4292 44	133065
JFK	8726775	34650	26244	225407	70805	373052	117196	525236	162823
JFK	10/25/75	12736	3963	136343	42421	278609	86368	406597	126045
JFK	11712775	270249	33777	547333	169690	775795	2 40 4 9 6	930085	303926
JFK	11713775	32198	25431	259413	30413	439535	136271	623193	193189
JEK	11/14/75	60046	13614	197315	- 51189	348516	103039	496195	153820
JFK	11/21/75	71358	22275	214614	66530	373625	115923	510463	1582 45
JFK	11/30/75	30015	9304	155033	48371	293376	90946	417929	129557
Τı	TALS	1632665		4896390		8390011		11281603	
.			506114	1	517871		2600893		3683238

95

....

.

ر∳ \⊅

....

TABLE A-36. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (CONTINUED)

FUR BO MIN. FADE BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

i	TITLE	1975		1930		1935		1990	
			J'LARS	RUEL DO	JLLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	DILLARS
		000.4		67 10 × 6	1 16 16 3	650763	201736	912225	251792
JEK	1711775	238747	39574	474()44					
dFx	1713775	81793	25327	233748	74011	409059	126808	564122	174877
JEK	2/05/15	132:115	4104.5	367711	113990	599571	185967	842251	261097
JEK	3/19/75	207230	64241	416933	129250	609912	189072	7 5 5 6 40	
JFK	4/24/15	62012	19223	.225450	69389	39 4736	122383	560190	173658
JFK	6/12/75	74314	23192	253795	78733	46 22 32	143291	657652	203872
JEK	6/15/75	19690	6103	157029	48675	32:09:46	99 49 3	502109	155653
JEK	6/16/75	62012	19223	225 450	59389	406597	126045	599571	185867
JFK	6/24/15	225441	70196	395231	122537	585298	181 442	759557	235462
JEK	5/23/75	25.)93	7773	165340	5234)	333176	104834	506536	157026
JEK	1/13/15	102/63	34025	234027	38043	475034	147260	562095	2052 49
JEK	3/04/75	32972	10221	210137	55157	392820	121774	598735	182507
JFX	3/24/15	50201	15562	221.998	62319	309709	123909	593173	183885
JFK	8/25/15	58576	18153	204769	53475	- 349987	108 495	498657	154583
JEK	3/20/75	109273	33874	273206	34693	436623	135354	590221	182968
	10/25/75	37,95	11747	186564	57834	336210	104225	469616	1 455 80
	11/12/75	317,014	98274	603023	136937	833319	259878	1049002	325190
	11/13/75	11 41 95	35400	307445	95376	493657	154583	690640	21 40 98
	11/14/75	95496	29603	2 14 5 45	7533)	407093	126193	561190	173965
	11/21/75	104350	32343	259909	30571	429740	133219	572001	177320
	11/30/75	60046	18614	270342	52105	351 473	108956	431 428	149242
21.97	117 307 13		1.2.1.1						
T: J	TALS	2270145		5919321		9693010		13346616	
			703734		1835133		3004922		4137439

96

/8

1,

. .

28

TABLE A-37. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 30 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE HOURS)

.

(4

FUR 48 MIN. FAOP BE EFFT ADALYSIS TABLE

	FTTLE	1275	5	1930		1985		1990	
		FUEL I		FUEL DI				FUEL DU	
		0.10.00	3 4 13 4 0			4 TH: 0 TH 4		054070	D & A7 & A
JFK	1/11/75	2/3636	–	432378			209366		264764
JEK	1/13/75	50597		203293	630.55		120704		172132
Jrk	2/05/75	84050) 26244	3239.03	1-040-2		177627	834387	
JFK	3/19/15	1/95/6	55699	405127	125589	610007	189374	801399	242433
JFA	4/24/15	38335	5 12054	193943	50123	362.479	118563	571026	177019
JES	6/12/15	43235	14952	226921	70345	454353	140349	664556	206012
JEX.	6/15/75	3436	5 1065	122075	37343	236953	28964	476505	147716
JFA	6/16/15	3423	10930	136530	58444	375095	116279	575453	178390
Jr.	6/24/15	2:00331	62251	379523	117652	579395	179609	762513	236379
JFC	5/23/15	2461	762	120097	39362	2 0735	92933	477495	148023
JIES	1/13/15	32193	25431	255711	79352	455824	141305	655191	203107
J	3/04/75	7563	8 2437	173763	53366	361814	112162	566103	175491
JEC	3/24/15	25533	7932	132517	57611	380018	117805	590716	183121
JFR	3/25/15	36719	11,444	1/5190	55233	340637	105597	502107	155653
JFK	5/25/15	36526	26354	250559	17673	425153	132302	592692	183731
JEK	10/25/75	19195	5 5950	175723	54475	359176	104334	434880	150312
JEN	11/12/15	23414) 39931	533332	130332	830440	257436	1051959	326106
JFK	11/13/75	34154		210501	36365	450437	143935	632760	211655
	11/14/15	60542	18763	210137	65157	339363	120704	554275	171825
	11721775	73339		230353	71569	409059	126803	561660	174114
	11/30/15	30015		1 32 447	50353	321442	09647	-	142221
1 -	TALS	171 44:00) .	5335497		9360701	1	13273795	
				1					

TABLE A-37. JFK FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (CONTINUED)

FUR 30 MIN. FADE BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
		FUEL D	ULLARS	HUEL OU	ILLARS	FUEL D.	JLLARS	FUEL D	OLLARS
••••		210-27	04001	530654	154502	731987	224016	016000	283987
JFK	1/11/75	312537	96901					,	
JFK	1/13/75	. 02074		254491	19392	451396	139932	622713	
JFK	2/05/75	133391	41351	333338	120555	643797	201127		283532
JrK	3/19/75	2175/1	57447	457310	141766	671445	208147	868350	269198
JFK	4/24/15	65950	20447	247107	76603	452337	1 40239	6 45 8 4 1	200210
JFK	5/12/75	73266	2 4 2 6 2	273129	36219	521799	161757	740957	229665
JER	6/15/75	19690	6103	162927	50507	343021	107386	544926	168927
JFK	6/15/13	62012	19223	235791	13095	436133	135201	648302	
Jrik	6/24/15	231343	/1371	422351	131083	629107	195023	822080	25 48 44
JEX	6/23/13	25.193		173257	53712	365250	113227	549354	170299
JFK	1/13/15	111239		304703	24459	521304	161604	/28550	225850
JFK	3/04/15	32912	10221	221513	58670	42 481 7	131693	634943	
JEK	3/24/75	50201	15562	230252	/3353	450901	139779	666027	206468
Jra	3/25/75	63003	19530	226441	70196	401675	12 45 1 9	569044	176403
JEK	5/26/15	113700	35247	296333	91363	43.3393	1 49 35 1	655191	203109
JFK	10/25/75	46259	14343	224955	69735	394796	122393	547883	169343
JFK	11/12/75	337200		539447	198228	890997	276209	1120380	
JFK	11/13/75	115136	35707	329316	1022 42	539029	167093	749215	232256
JFK	11/14/15	96471	29906	253913	30264	447464	130713	617791	191515
	11/21/75	105341	32 6 5 5	2 17633	36065	46 46 9 4	144055	621723	192734
	11/30/75	60.) 46			64701	390019	117805	521799	161757
Q1 IV									
T	JTALS	2360735		6378152		10655400		14710680	
• -			731819		1977217		3303163		4560300

- (\$

14

14

86

.

ía)

TABLE A-38. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (ARRIVAL CAPACITY = 66 PER HOUR DURING NONADVERSE HOURS)

. 0

...

5

.

FUR 48 MIN. FADP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

.

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
			ULLARS	EUEL DO	JLLARS	FUEL D	JTLARS	FUEL D	OLLARS
URD	1703775	397212	123135	720451	223339	748473	232026	802347	2 48727
ORD	1/01/15	76147	23605	212537	34517	289634	39786	321588	09692
URD	1/10/75	320705	99 480	590052	132916	634910	196822	633218	211797
USD .	1/22/15	321318	99763	647052	200585	676998	209369	728028	225699
URD	2/05/75	1297900	402349	1759730	545516	1799673	557898	1877469	
GSIL	2/15/75	62 33 32	193243	940998	291709	996657	308963	1044895	323917
JRD	2/23/75	0	.)	19040	5902	326 48	10120	48300	14973
UR9	2/25/15	1371 43	42514	403235	125013	431123	133649	477969	1 481 70
(S, C	3/24/15	57253	17753	195222	50540	214613	66531	247747	76301
:5.20	3/27/75	Û	0	11029	23373	95153	29493	122996	38128
(is,L	4/02/15	336131	119716	496093	15379.)	502123	155658	522 446	161953
.195	4/03/75	379321	117539	453042	140443	46 44 33	143974	469797	145637
URD	4/13/15	155277	48135	2530.29	30010	281 55 7	87282	272605	90707
$(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{R})$	4/19/15	6205	1923	114551	35510	13302.4	42787	160105	49632
(189)	5/30/75	16557	5132	105577	32723	117320	36369	1 45606	451 37
J,RD	6/12/75	23236	8763	163333	52193	195292	60540	211864	
(JRI)	6/17/75	2030	62 9	1 42 3 3 4	44294	156492	48512	196424	
(JRD	3/20/75	Û	0	64355	20103	34873	26310	.₀y371	30805
(1 <u>2</u> 1)	5/22/15	0	()	62110	19254	o5569	26526	102322	31374
(۲۱۲)	9/11/75	69693	21501	139037	58615	209095	64319	237395	73592
U3D	10/17/75	5525	1712	90651	28101	105874	32820	123873	
ы <i>к</i> :)	10/22/75	ن ن	0	36050	11175	43986	15185	69393	
	10/23/75	C	0	63040	21092	39310	27841	117026	
	10/24/75	393777	122070	571249	177087	· 593040	184772	645593	
	10/31/75	164.)2	5034	123977	38439	130553	40472	153773	
	11702775	0	Û	32432	1 005 3	47612	14759	71766	
	11709775	3260	2777	75217	23317	97994	30375	122330	
	11/13/75	194511	60329	427514	13254)	459611	142479	513443	
03D	11726775	2 551 59	79099	539362	157202	570420	176830	620 3 69	192314
	TALS	5149759		9645134		10301530		11226063	
10	1.20		1596414		2939973		3193475		3 48 00 66

66

.

TABLE A-38. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (CONTINUED)

FOR 30 IN. FADE BE HEAT AMALYSIS FAGLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
		FUEL DULLARS		FUEL DOLLARS
コマン 1703775	5/3222 177915	915342 233911	943176 293931	1002039 310632
ur) 1709775	169973 52693		415104 123992	
uR) 1710775	437530 135634		731894 242337	
JRD 1727775	485114 150335	327353 256420	35/973 265972	
GRO 2705775	1496233 463132	1972/35 611563	2012729 623945	
32) 2715775	1:31.30 244320		1176996 364863	
(1.1) 2 /23/75	4760 1475		69810 - 27841	109536 33956
- 現) - 2725775	243050 75345	- 565547 175312	595429 164532	646349 200353
JRD 3/24/15	115923 35937	296751 91992	320210 99265	361617 112101
URD 3/27/15	2534 301	1/6/16 54/81	194844 60401	225267 69332
JR) 4702775	- 4/6518 147720	51 - 310 - 191831	625338 194164	652686 202332
.17) 4/03/15	451705-140023	525102 153091	53/492 166622	542357 163235
uR) 4/13/75	23+040 12733	33153 104323	364372 112955	
JiC) 4/19/75	/5217 23317	230494 /1453	253952 73725	
a.2) 5/30/75	46235 14332	- 136332 - 57762	200316 62252	
Jib) 6/12/15	32118 25455	250354 30364	- 297433 - 72204	
司(2) 6747775	/4153 22933	274170 34795	291200 90272	
JRD 3/20/75	35137 10907	164933 51129	137709 58139	
-17) - 3722715	22762 7056		- 164237 - 50y13	
JRD 9711775	142352 44284		314686 97552	344364 106752
UBD 10/1///5	39444 12227	154318 47833	175060 54274	200000 62000
URD 10/22/75	3162 2530		11 22 66 34802	140154 43447
uri) 10/23/75	7476 2317	153090 47457	137110 58004	233366 72343
JRD 10/24/75	475+92 147402	663010 205533	652124 213623	747335 231639
JRD 10731775	61351 25213	217/23 - 69135	223973 70931	263749 81762
ug) 11702775	4132 1230	119379 - 37-07	139401 43214	
GRD 11709775	52440 16256	154531 47920	136332 57762	213241 66104
Jap 11/13/75	301579 - 93439	553325 174795	596953 185055	652345 202331
020 11/26/75	343319 107973	551 552 (2050s)	697319-216163	756060 234378
LUTALS	10/7/114	12394374	12614061	1 47 11:17.1
1.11.1.1.0	225536)	309724)	4225920	
		3 17 12 41	4225920	4560421

18

íð

0

4

100

. .

EXI1

ŧ

٠

٩.

ġ

.RUN ⊔AS

DAY: 1:23:49 RU1: 13.43 RD:2124 #R:260 BAS 9+11P RD: PC:403436

FUR 43 MIN. FADE BEREFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930 CILLE DOLLADS	1935 FUEL DULLARS	0990 2041100 - 12422
	FJEL DULLARS	FUEL OULLARS	FOUL DURKS	FORE NUERAD
JR) 1703775	466221 150750	370536 269 381	907211 281235	979041 303502
JED 1709/75	32261 25500	296434 91394	315131 98217	354226 102610
JRD 1/10//5	369214 114455		813473 253726	025764 277636
JRD 1/29/15	363774 114319	741362 236022	195302 240552	363422 267660
JR0 2705775	1374233 426027	1321435 536363	1935539 500043	2021136 626552
010 2/15/75	709459 210932	1070059 331969	1130967 350605	
JOD 2/23/75	0			70070 21721
JRD 2/23/15	1-0530 43566			
UPD 3/24/75	57263 17753		222097 - 59093	
JRD 3727775	о с			
司部 4702775	386131 119716			
司法) 4703775	379321 117557			459191 145637
司役) 4/15/75	175254 54333	-	312613 25210	
ago 4717775	5205 1923			
GRD 5730775	20703 6417		•	
JRD 6/12/75	420 33 13047			
GRD 6717775	476.) 1475			324543 100602
UR) 0/20/75				
(BD 3/55/12				1 10112 - 55034 234706 103759
Unit) 9/11/75	75713 23533			
J20 10Z17Z75	60200 19665			
JUL 10/22/15	Ú .			
GPD 10/23/75	्) । ५२३२२० 1343२३			
JR.) 10/24/75			• · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10/31/75	16472 503× 0			
- J? - (1702775 -				
JR) 11707775	10501 - 320 226343 - 70166			
うそう コエアトラブクラ しょわ コエノ2 5ブブラ	267313 3236			
0101 11720715	201010 32.55	יוז יוו איזינעייע ו	and the first state of the	
TOTALS	5692451	114542-2	12333321	13500035
T ALL T A DESCRIPTION	1754608		3324739	, <u>42923</u> /3

TABLE A-39. ORD FADP BENEFITS FOR FUTURE DEMAND SCENARIO A (CONTINUED)

.

.

÷

FUR 30 / IN. FAIP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

	TITLE	1975		1930		1985		1990	
		• • • •	LLARS		ULLARS	FUEL D	JLLARS	FUEL D	OLLARS
					.				
(AL)	1703775	6622 / 1	205305			1107627			
GHĿ	1709775	182205	56483	429:017		447414	139318	433352	
(13:)	1710775	494117	153176	920314		934793	305237	1068294	
(JRD	1/27/75	535472	165.996	943712		932 492	304572	1057336	
(190)	2705775	1573322	437729	2102436		2146575	66543ጓ	2234177	
CSD	2/15/75	377918	2/2154	1251956	338106	1312059	406733	1366237	
JBD	2/23/15	4750	1475	35050	26365	120423	37.33?	142193	44031
(jiii)	2/25/75	246144	76397	532521	130551	616463	191105	673507	208787
130	3/24/15	119579	37007	309258	96055	335369	103970	376797	116807
(3 2)	3/2///5	2534	801	131397	56383	203915	63213	239520	74251
(₆ , L	4/02/15	476513	147720	61/310	191331	626333	194164	652686	202332
J(2 ¹)	4/03/75	4517.05	140023	526102	163091	537492	1 66622	542357	163235
J.()	4/13/75	249320	71 444	370591	114333	309573	123867	415 449	128739
unt")	4/19/73	75217	23317	2 33945	12522	253099	8,0010	233630	
(1915)	5/30/75	51759	16045	236679	73376	253952	73725	307785	95413
ាម	5/12/75	106272	32944	334703	103759	3602.47	117876	420973	130501
(3)زر.	5/11/75	97972	30371	404333	125499	434.770	134773	494643	153340
1.70	3720775	37260	11550	239433	14235	235704	88568	318136	98622
J20	3/22/15	22/52	7056	202032	52395	245674	76158	232949	87714
GiC.	9/11/15	146302	45353	360239	111671	393873	123652	456160	1 41 409
a. 2)	10/17/75	123031	39639	341176	105764	383397	118853	445683	133161
(1:5)	10/22/75	10206	3163	1 442 42	4/15	173262	55261	232694	72135
	10/23/15	9520	2951	170100	52731	206150	63906	252420	73250
(187)	10/24/75	509539	157933	721934	223792	-750734	232727	814356	252450
ORO.	10/31/75	34531	26235	251937	78100	272929	84607	319513	99050
CMC -	11702775	31737	9333	236399	- 38783	322964	100118	335771	11.9589
020	11709775	55391	17326	2/32/9	34715	319514	99049	377 492	117022
JR)	11/13/75	331739	102901	703922	218215	750157	232543	827443	
	11725775	363157	112531	695972	215751	731752	226843	793355	2 46095
	TALS	1938326		4495200	,	15995751		17450886	
T . 1			2461023	• • • • • • • • • •	464853)		4953669		5409763

.

-6

14

102

~ O

.

TABLE A-40. ATL OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS

...

(0)

15 MIT. FAR BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1775	1940	1965	1990
	FULL DOLLARS	BUEL DULLAPS	FUEL DOLLARS	FULL DULLARS
ATL 2Z01Z75	43520 15023	350153 111652	679571 216867	157570 234346
A.L. 2710775	3 43 69 - 10 65 4	436734 135403		ov1469 276355
ATL 2723775	277323 - 36125	632336 211532	1102034 347830	1150700 359817
ATU 3712775	260533 30797			
ATL 9717775	52270 16203		946359 262371	901494 279463
ATL 11/24/75	273354 - 37454	776137-240617	1207243 374245	12 430 45 3853 43
THALS		3517136		6258902
	293325	1090325	1858128	1940257

BUR 0 18. FAR DEREFT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITL:	1975	1950	1935	1 09 0
	FJEL DULLARS	FUEL OULLARS	FUEL OUTARS	FUEL DOLLARS
AIL 2/01/15	102323 31741	472536 146501	529174 257043	57173 275023
ATE 2/19/75	33776 25770	- 557725-172215	932406 304545	1023233 318752
AT. 2/23/15	346563 107434	700433 241949	1237317 383563	1230279 396736
ATL 3/12/75	360163 111652	943024 272331	1403433 435065	1439240 446164
AIL 9717775	164552 - 23073	557103 175301	977394 302992	1034677 320749
MIL 11724/75	350217 117367	901494 279463	1345155 417303	1301957 423406
YJTALG	1379306			
	12 7737	13)9967	21(0521	2185980

CHARTINE: 0.43 ELARGER VINE: 30.00 CULTINE: ENDING DEFECTED

103

٠

TABLE A-41. JFK OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS

FUR 15 MIN. FAOP BENEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1935	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS
JEK 1711775	282951 - 37433	432696 134135	574953 178236	709340 219895
	99428 30622		394736 122333	
JFX 2/05/75	155543 48213		573487 177780	
JFK 3/19/75	215505 66837	399213 123755	560190 173658	
JFK 4/24/75	77276 23955		363702 114297	
JEK 5/12/75	37616 27160		429244 133065	536769 181898
JEK 6/15/75	30511 9453	175233 54322	325869 101019	480437 1439 35
JFK 6/16/75	76780 23601	2 421 5 4 75077	400204 124063	570530 176864
JEK 6/24/15	235296 72941	338393 120401	553301 171523	708860 219746
JFK 6/23/75	38385 12054	188530 58444	344584 106321	488311 151531
JPK 7/13/75	125527 38913		451396 139932	
JFK 3/04/15	46/65 14497		332975 118722	
JFA 3/24/75	68916 21363		332479 118568	536567 166235
JFK - 3725775	72348 22582		327835 101628	443037 137341
JFK 8/26/75	119118 36926	271225 34079	408083 126505	534586 165721
JFK 10/25/75	46/65 14497	168345 52186	290435 90034	
JFK 11/12/75	311101 96441	574958 178236	791053 245227	982066 304 40
JEK 11/13/75	129963 39976	311596 96594	477496 148023	649293 201280
JEK 11/14/75	113220 35093		395777 122690	522279 161906
JEC 11721775	119613 37080	263361 31641	411040 127422	537543 166638
JFK 11730775	76300 23653		349507 108347	461242 142985
TO PULA E CO	11 11 1 1 1 1 T	E-1 40-120	0102407	1 0000 (50
TUTALS	2523137	59,49362		12292659
	783712	18 141 36	2849943	3810715

.

.

é

1.4

104

10

¥

6

TABLE A-41. JFK OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

•

FOR 0 TA. FADE BENEFIT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1 990
•	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
T. T	311101 96441	473053 146646	621227 192580	761027 235918
JFA 1711775	311101 96441 131425 40741	238454 89420	443037 137341	576428 173692
JFK 1/13/75	195419 60579	426733 132302	633039 196242	853087 26 4456
JFX 2/05/75			610407 189226	
JFK 3/19/75	247107 76503		416933 129250	
JFK 4/24/75	109753 34023	2/0249 3377/		
JFr. 6/12/75	119613 37030	301751 93542		
JFK 6715775	55124 17033	217076 67293	373625 115823	
JFK 6716745	106316 32957	234522 38201	452882 140393	
JFK 6/24/15	262365 31433	426238 132147	604989 187546	
JEC 6/23/75	67926 21057	234305 72634	396272 122344	
JFK 7/13/75	157524 49332	334214 103615	502109 155653	
JEŠ 3704775	76300 23653	253763 33316	437124 135508	
JFK 8/24/15	103355 32195	277133 35912	434662 134745	598100 185411
JEK 3/25/75	102330 31892	2.47502 76755	372634 115516	493734 153057
JFK 3/26/75	150140 46543	311101 96441	454353 140849	590221 182968
JFK 10/25/75	73266 24262	211673 65613	339166 105141	455344 141156
JPK 11/12/75	344534 106821	621723 192731	843242 261405	
JFK 11/13/75	150455 49744		523193 163739	703 442 218067
JFK 11/14/75	144722 44853	293372 91100	444503 137797	
JFK 11/21/75	146533 45473		458780 142221	590716 183121
	107307 33265	254936 79045	325281 122537	
JFK 11/30/75	101201 22500	214930 19040	575201 122551	
TUTALS	3179395	66 44256	10243323	13434943
	935605		3176971	4180321

CPU TIME: 1.36 ELAPSED TIME: 1:3.05 AU EXECUTION ENADRS DETECTED

ΞХІГ

105

D

TABLE A-42. LGA OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS

0

3

2

2

9

FUR 15 TIM. FADP DETEFTT AMALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1275	1940	1935	1990
	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL OULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
LGA 1713775	143212 46162	204670 - 53447	335462 104305	415055 123667
LUA 1/20/75	251559 77933	330763 102533	451802 140053	525479 163328
LUA 1/25/75	1/1/14 3231	225749 70323	3047.15 94.403	337105 104502
LGA 3/12/75	43/20 13553	- 40326 - 21301	154613 47930	219376 63151
LOA 3/14/15	39235 27694	153340 47535	250300 77523	
LJA 3/19/15	203397 63053		315731 9:306	313083 112557
LUA 5/04/75	26515 5837	10512 24357	160949 49894	204034 63250
1.3A 3/04/75	66535 20625	132145 50481	325704 100963	491103 124343
Lav 9/26/15	205243 63842	211433 36625	405172 125913	456366 141623
LUA 11/21/75	136733 57942	254 (59 3210)	410472 12-146	477785 145113
LUA 11724775	27173 - 3642	35545 26515	214175 36394	
LUA 11/30/75	975342 - 30641	1400.0 13402	192633 - 59716	230017 71305
TALS	1523234	2250034	3516005	4222063
ar i farstansiji			1090204	

FOR O MILL FROM LEAFTY ALALYSIS	I AUL I
---------------------------------	---------

. .

.

1171.2	1275	1030	18.55	1.200
-	BEEL SULLAS	LET DOLTARS	ACT DURTABO	E FUL DOMARS
LIA 1713775	202130 62.552	234519 32102	403600 125123	406013 150465
1.0A 1/20//S	314226 - 97627	324135 122151	521505 141366	595183 135434
しぶ コノバノバシー	214312 - 66991	212413 34465	352310 109216	379071 120612
LOA 3712775	13572 2-357	111515 34567	21,2280 6,3904	27/315 86432
LJA 3/14/15	142575 44193	2034/5 - 54627	310497 55254	339425 114521
EVA 3/12/75	242354 75035	29/354 90942	367529 115933	411386 127684
1.3A - 5734/16	63-00 1933	154059 39969	212705 85774	255001 79340
131 1/04/13	115320 35742	24753 - 69735	395403 121675	475513 147719
1.04 2125/15	283326 31714	343412 106467	- 470176 1.69754	525311 162546
L31 11/21/15	247/54 70223	332041 102232	455217 145361	551294 170201
1.34 11/24/15	1.512 24321	199518 46143	232605 07607	352233 111377
1.54 (173)/75	13 (135 - 4202)	101019-56177	2-0) 03 - 144-3	27/313 36432
INTALC:	21.72.445	22/J2-13	4237931	493061 A
	51751	199571	1313721	1543735

TABLE A-43. ORD OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS

FUR 15 MIR. FADP DEWEFIT ANALYSIS TABLE

TITLE	1975	1930	1985	1990
	FUEL DOLLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DULLARS	FUEL DOLLARS
			1000001 00/010	1106194 342920
URD 1703775	668735 207307		1052321 326219	
JRD 1709/75	228442 70817		423167 151331	
JR9 1710775	502396 155742			
JRD 1729775	567448 17590J		953673 297190	
JRD 2/05/75	1592934 493809			
URD 2/15/75	371993 270317			
GRD 2/23/75	13368 5694		124502 38595	
URD 2/25/15	316378 98077			
URD 3/24/75	162359 50.436		363693 118946	
JRD 3/27/75	19420 6020	230449 71439		
URD 4/02/75	545031 168959			8 721183 223566 5 577028 1788 7 8
URD 4/03/15	435320 150625			
URD 4/13/75	277425 36001			
JRD 4/19/75	12 4903 3871 9			
URN 5/30/75	63316 21177			
JRD 6/12/75	120075 37223			
JRD 6/11/15	133350 41333			
URD 3/20/75	79363 24602			
JRD 3/22/75	45539 14117			
UR) 9/11/15	185636 57547	- 347119 10 7 606		
ago 10/17/75	71271 22094	+ 206223 63929		
JRD 10/22/75	29246 9066	5 133110 42814		
URD 10/23/75	28574 8857	/ 221130 68550		
JRD 10/24/75	520360 161311	719260 222970		
URD 10/31/75	123997 33435	> 274200 35219		
URD 11/02/75	33114 10265	5 173211 53693		
JR) 11/09/75	37542 27169			
URD 11/13/75	353362 11124			
JRD 11/26/75	403004 124931		770223 238770	0 832330 258022
A MONTAL C	3670571	1/-433/6	1 5555 484	1 663 6 44 7
· TUTALS	263786			5 5172786

.

107

.

TABLE A-43. ORD OPTIMAL FADP BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

ę

.0

.

2

2

6.40° 0 965	ADR BEREFIT ARAL	YGIS TAULS		
TITLE	1775	1930	1945	(1090
A A A desire	FUEL OULLARS		FUEL DUTLARS	
030 1Z03Z75		1175113 344294		
J30 1707775	330957 105335			
3回 1713775	625936 194040			106311 326665
327 1727715		1075704 283461		1167553 361242
urd) - 2703775	1743303 560513			2375044 736264 -
ult) - 2716775	1005375 311723			1476322 457314
JPD 2723775	58506 18136		202075 62643	
J.O. 2725775	446732 138436	/94352 246252		0360191274465
JR) 3/24/75	253795 30223		426190 153313	539671 167223
uBD 3727775	106313 33112	341791 105955	363009 112750	
JRD 4/02/75	657253 203965	300234 248070	507757 250404	335367 259173
JRO 4703775	5 421 31 11 53075	- 316573 191139	627962 194670	623333 195533
(J,?) →/15//5	352142 109317	433034 149755	512747 155251	529319 164023
URD - 4719775	217337 57362	336457 119605	414753 12:573	440235 136433
uii:) 5733775	145506 46137	349510-1010333	365763 110366	414753 124573
129 6712775	207717 64392	4340/9 134564	473413 146752	497563 154246
ふぶつ 5717775	246302 76353	476230 147645	493290 152919	- 529340 164095
120/15	109523 51344	323403 101331	356752 110604	376797 116307
JRD 3722775	102322 31.74	264304 31934	303652 94132	325415 101133
JR) 7/11/75	259328 33646	443054 137345	467591 145045	505165 156601
JRD 10717775	123031 39669	294127 91179	313349 98623	346032 107262
380 10722775	95256 29527	234733 72761	25.9910 00572	247332 92172
10/23/15	110394 34377	357210 110735	400064 124019	451094-13 <u>9539</u>
URD 10/24/75	605410 137677	818330 253697	843517 263040	909 452 - 281 930
JRD 10/31/15	204039 63252		373567 117359	413091 123237
399 11702775	11.6524 36153	283630 37225	311235 94432	347112 107606
JRD 11/02/15	155131 45939	23-630 27-25	321507 99691	354020 109746
u() 11/13/75	459511 142479		301916 242593	362355 269345
J.M. 11725775	512360 153631	364039 267361	002544 279795	v65327 209251
FUTALS		11025504		20095360
	3534460	5557694	353700	<u> 522 (54)</u>

DEU TIME: 1.35 (ELARSED TIME: 1:17.37) (UNEX FOUTIDE ERIDIS DETECTED

.

.

APPENDIX B SIMULATION METHODOLOGY

B.1 INTRODUCTION

The original simulation runs were made utilizing AIRS I as a simulation tool. Because of the number of simulation runs required and the combination of cost and time required in using AIRS I a Benefit Analysis Simulation (BAS) was developed and used to calculate the fuel saving benefits presented in this report. The BAS results were verified by comparison to the AIRS I simulation runs. This appendix delineates the simulation methodology used, a verification of the simulation tools, and a description of the BAS.

B.2 AIRS I SIMULATION

۵

The AIRS I primary and test systems' data bases contain demand data for the current, previous, and subsequent months. The only way to simulate the 1975 cases was to utilize this data base. However, the hourly demand for the 1975 cases differed from the available 1976 data. This problem was circumvented as follows:

a. For each problem day in 1975 the hourly demand and capacity were tabulated.

b. The hourly demand for the 1976 day used for simulation purposes was retrieved from the computer system.

c. The hourly differences between the 1976 day and each 1975 problem day were determined to obtain demand numbers.

d. The 1975 hourly capacity numbers were then adjusted by the demand numbers.

e. These adjusted capacity numbers were then entered into the AIRS I program and the simulation runs made.

The benefits of the problem days that occurred in October 1975 were simulated in 1975 using the actual days' AIRS I demand data. A verification run was made for the October 24, 1975, data, using the above methodology and compared to the original run. The results are delineated below for the ADP period.

\$

۳

C

ITEM	ORIGINAL RUN	<u>NEW RUN</u>	PERCENT DIFFERENCE
Predicted Delays- Minutes	52,507	52,410	0.2
Predicted Delays- No. of A/C	362	354	2.2
Percent of Delay Time Shifted to Ground	55	54	1.8
Percent of A/C Ground Delayed	8 5	80	6.2
Gallons of Fuel Saved	402,449	406,120	0.9

These results are considered to have an excellent correlation, and the same methodology was used for all runs made for the baseline simulation study.

B.3 ESTIMATION OF MISSING CAPACITY DATA

The Performance Summary Profiles generally had any reduced hourly capacity figures delineated. In several cases these figures were missing, but the time the delays reached 30 minutes were recorded. Using this recorded time the capacities were estimated as follows:

a. A graph was plotted of the hourly demand rate.

b. The time where the delays initially exceeded 30 minutes was plotted on the graph.

c. A line parallel to the time axis scaled for 30 minutes was plotted between the demand curve and the initial 30-minute delay.

d. A line drawn between the origin and the 30-minute delay line intersction yields the hourly capacity rate.

ъ

٩

An example of this is shown in Figure B-1.

B.4 BENEFIT ANALYSIS SIMULATION (BAS)

B.4.1 BAS General Description

The Benefit Analysis Simulation (BAS) is an hourly event simulation. It models arrival delay problems and the Fuel Advisory Departure (FAD) procedures for an airport on an hour-by-hour basis. It considers the arrivals during an hour and the aircraft holding from the previous hour in computing the landed flights for a given landing capacity. In the event that aircraft must be held (in the air) into the next hour, the BAS examines the stack situation in view of the modeled flow control procedures (FAD 48-minute destination hold limit or FAD 30-minute hold limit). The average destination hold stack size is computed based on the FAD hold limit and the projected landing capacity in the following hour. The aircraft holding in excess of this destination stack size are considered to be flow controlled. Since FAD does not ground delay every flow controlled flight (i.e., only those within a 2-1/2 hour flight time), the ground delayed flights are determined by applying a percentage ground factor derived from a series of AIRS I flow control simulations covering most of the problem days which occurred at the airport during 1975. Having the number of flights flow control ground delayed each hour and assuming that they are delayed during the entire hour, the number of ground delay minutes are computed by multiplying by 60. A simple multiplication of these ground delay minutes by the average holding fuel consumption rate of the fleet mix for that airport (derived also from the AIRS I 1975 problem day simulations) gives the projected FAD fuel savings in gallons. Multiplying the gallon savings by the cost per gallon gives the fuel dollar saving benefit. Summing up the savings for each hour in the day produces the total fuel and dollar savings for the simulated problem day.

NUMBER OF AIRCRAFT 0 DEMAND CURVE TIME (IN MINUTES) 30 ω. 30-MINUTE DELAY 2. 4 60 INITIAL 30-MINUTE DELAY TIME CAPACITY CURVE

.....

rei

112

i

B-1. GRAPHIC EXTRAPOLATION OF HOURLY CAPACITY

í b

14

FIGURE

16

fit.

In modeling a given problem day for an airport, two sets of data must be prepared: the hourly arrival traffic loads and the hourly landing capacities. The preparation of traffic loads starts with a given (exact) traffic load condition experienced during the airport's 1975 actual problem situation to be extrapolated into the future. A given percentage traffic increase (from third generation FAA system studies) is applied to these hourly traffic loads. To approximate a rescheduling of this traffic, if greatly over the normal landing capacity, a spread algorithm is employed. The algorithm is given an allowable percentage over normal landing capacity, and if the increased load exceeds this allowable schedule, it spreads the excess traffic into the nearest hours where no excess exists. The spread is divided uniformly, half being spread into earlier hours and half spread into later hours. Obviously, this traffic spread is controlled such that it does not overload any other hour. The hourly landing capacity is also obtained from the actual problem day in 1975, and the hours for which no data were recorded are given the normal IFR capacity value.

A

18

The BAS is constructed in such a way that it performs a batch of simulations in one run. It thus is capable of simulating hundreds of problem situations at one time, efficiently and timely. Specifically, it models several problem day profiles (a profile corresponds to one 1975 problem day). For each problem day profile, it simulates the traffic increases for 1980, 1985, and 1990. For each of these, it simulates the benefits from the 48-minute FADP procedure and from the 30-minute FAD. A spread factor can be entered for each batch run, and thus several runs would produce the results of rescheduling traffic peaks to various degrees.

B.4.2 BAS Specific Simulation Functional Description

The following paragraphs present the functional steps involved in performing the Benefit Analysis Simulation. The functional steps have been abstracted from the software design and are presented in a pseudo design language mode. This section is presented as an aid in understanding the BAS methodology and is not intended to give an accurate reproduction of the simulation program structure.

STEP NUMBER

1

4

6

7

8

9

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION

Initialize report tables for generation of two pages of output, one for each of two FAD criteria (e.g., 48-minute desired airborne stack). Each page must have four groups of two columns of date. Each group represents a prediction year (i.e., 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990), and each column represents the gallons saved and the fuel cost savings. Provide for column totals at the bottom of each page.

- 2 Read in the two FAD criteria (e.g., 48, 30 minutes)
 3 Process through Step 23 for one page of output for each of the two criterion.
 - Read in all airborne arrival counts and landing capacity data for all problem days for airport being analyzed.
- 5 Process through Step 21 for one days' problem (loop back for next problem).
 - Process through Step 20 for one years' arrival projections (loop back for next year until 1990 processed).
 - Process through Step 10 for each hour of the problem day.
 - Add growth factor to hours' arrivals count except for 1975 to determine the projected arrival increases.

۰D

۲

Ş

If a growth increment has to be added to the hours' arrival count and the new arrival counts exceed the spread threshold, the spreading algorithm will be used. The number of flights which exceed the capacity threshold will be spread into the nearest nonoverloaded arrival hours. Half of the excess arrivals will be moved forward in time and half backwards.

- 10 Loop back to Step 7 until each hour of the day has been processed.
- 11 Process through Step 16 for each hour of the problem day.

2

a

t

3

۵

🌢 . 🦼

- 12 Add this hours' arrival count to the arrival stack.
- 13 Subtract this hours' landing capacity from the arrival stack, and if negative, stack reset stack to zero.
- 14 Using the next hours' landing capacity, compute the number of aircraft to be held in the air in accordance with the FAD criterion (an empirically derived fraction based on AIRS I).
- 15 Add to the flow controlled aircraft count the number of aircraft in the arrival stack which exceed the FAD airborne holding count of Step 14.
- 16 Loop back to Step 11 until each hour of the day has been processed.
- 17 Using the empirically derived (from AIRS I) fraction of flow controlled aircraft which would be given ground delays, compute the number of aircraft grounded of the flow controlled aircraft count. The resulting count represents the number of aircraft hours of ground delay for the day.
- 18 Multiply the results of Step 17 by the average hourly fuel consumption for the traffic mix (derived emperically from AIRS I for the airport being processed). The resulting answer is the gallon savings for that day and for the year in question, and the FAD criterion of the page.
- 19 Similarly, multiply the gallon savings by the average cost per gallon of aviation fuel to give the days' dollar savings due to FAD ground delays.

- 20 Loop back to Step 6 until each of the 4 years has been processed.
- 21 Loop back to Step 5 until each problem day of the run has been processed.

A

2

- 22 Compute each of the column totals for the page (one FAD criterion).
- 23 Loop back to Step 3 until both FAD criteria have been processed.
- 24 Print both pages of data with appropriate headings and problem day identifications.

B.4.3 BAS Verification

For FY75, 53 AIRS I simulation runs were made. A total of 31 and 22 simulation runs were made for the 48- and 30-minute criteria, respectively. The same runs were then made with the BAS. The overall results were as follows:

For the 48-minute FADP criterion the overall BAS results were within 1.8 percent of the AIRS I results.

For the 30-minute FADP criterion the overall BAS results were within 2.2 percent of the AIRS I results.

For FY90, three 48-minute FADP criterion and four 30-minute FADP criterion AIRS I simulation runs were made. For these seven runs all the BAS results were within 6.1 percent of the AIRS I simulation results.

APPENDIX C FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ADVANCED SYSTEM

The description contained herein is based upon the June 28, 1976, Computer Program Functional Specification for the Advanced System. It is not intended to be a complete description.

3

t

There are four major service areas activated by input messages and producing an assortment of reports, listings, and flow control tables. These areas are defined as data count messages, data list messages, simulation messages, and data base update messages.

The first area, data count messages, provides the users with flight traffic loading information. The user is given the option of either an arrival traffic report or a departure traffic report. Each report can be obtained for any airport of interest or ARTCC of interest. Further selectivity is provided, reporting the activity of specific airlines, aircraft types, and specified time periods. The user is also given a report option to tabulate arrival traffic at a specified arrival fix associated with one of the pacing airports. There are about 10 to 20 pacing in the system and an estimated 200 fixes of interest.

The second service area, data list messages, supplies the users with individual flight information, airport landing capacity estimates (previously entered), and/or airport general aviation estimates (previously entered). The latter estimates are used in approximating future traffic loads attributed to the general aviation segment of the air traffic. The flight information listings may be obtained by selecting the airport or the ARTCC of interest and specifying the qualifiers similar to the data count messages. An additional option is provided to produce a listing of all flights with the same flight identification. This option is useful in retrieving multiple leg flights without specifying the airport involved. The flight listings are sorted by arrival or departure time corresponding to arrival listings or departure listings.

The third area concerns simulation messages. There are three types of simulation messages: airport arrival delay predictions, quota flow control procedures, and Fuel Advisory Departure (FAD) procedures. These simulations can be performed for any of the pacing airports, on the current day. The simulation products include a delay prediction report, a release rate table, an FADassigned delay table, and a listing of individual flight flow control assignments.

The last area deals with data base updating messages. The users can enter or cancel individual flight records from the centralized data base. They may also inhibit a pseudo cancellation, on a temporary basis, of a specified flight or an entire airline's flights. This inhibit message (and its converse activate message) provides a simple method of updating the data base, as might be required in anticipation of an airline strike. The user can also enter two types of data associated with an airport for use in generating the simulations: the hourly estimated landing capacity and the hourly general aviation estimates. These data entries provide the means to structure the problem environment for airport delay predictions and flow control simulations.

It must be noted that the Advanced System is designed to collect automatically flight status and position data from the NAS computers and to incorporate these data into its centralized data base to improve accuracy.

118

è

APPENDIX D

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THE CURRENT AIRS I SYSTEM

5

Ð

t

Ş

¢

The AIRS I automational features are described as they now exist on a commercial computer service (a DECsystem-10 computer system). The AIRS I system has been supporting the operations of the ATC Systems Command Center continuously since January 1971. Although AIRS I employs a free form command language, the messages can be discussed for convenience in the service area groupings used in the Advanced System description, Appendix C. These areas are: data count messages, data list messages, simulation messages, and data base update messages.

The first area, data count messages, provides the users with flight traffic loading information presentable in a variety of formats. The user can request either arrival or departure traffic reports or both in one report. Each report can be obtained for any airport or group of airports and/or for any ARTCC or group of ARTCC's. Further selectivity is provided, reporting only an airline or airlines flights and/or for an aircraft type or types, for a specified report time period. In addition, the reports may be specified to contain the traffic activity for airport pair and/or ARTCC pair relationships. This feature permits the user to obtain traffic loads between a specified set or sets of geographic locations. AIRS I has the option of negating any or all of the selectivity parameters to provide traffic loading reports for "all except" situations. For example, this can be used to report on all arrivals at an airport except for a specified airline which is on strike. The variety of formats supported by AIRS includes the standard reports, optional subdivision reports, and graphical (plotted) presentations. The data subdivision reports allow the user to obtain traffic loading information tabulated by any of the qualifiers or selectivity parameters. For example, it provides the users with optional tables of hourly traffic by airlines, origin airports, aircraft types, Estimated Time Enroute (ETE) ranges, and carrier classifications. The graphical presentation

options yield bar and line graphs showing traffic loading and landing capacity estimates by time. Last in this first area of reports is a traffic load report by fix association. This fix report can be obtained for any flow controlled airport with predefined zone structures. Zones and flow controls are supported for all airports in the AIRS I data base.

The second service area, data list messages, supplies the users with individual flight information, airport landing capacity estimates (previously entered), and/or airport general aviation estimates (previously entered). The flight information listings may be obtained in association with any or all of the data count messages and simulation messages. Either the entire traffic listing or any part thereof may be listed. Listings can be qualified by any of the selection parameters used in the data count service area messages. The listings are automatically sorted by time but have optional sorting choices using any of the listed data fields. Listings may be subsorted down to nine levels. For example, the user may request a list of flights sorted primarily by airline, subsorted by aircraft type. He may also request flight listing estimated time enroute and within that by ARTCC. AIRS I has an optional compressed format feature, which takes the normal listing and tabularly compacts the information for more efficient communication network transmission.

J

2

9

2

The third area concerns simulation messages. There are four types of simulation messages: airport arrival delay predictions, quota flow control procedures, Fuel Advisory Departure (FAD) procedures, and Advanced Flow Control Procedures (AFCP). These simulations can be performed on any airport known to the AIRS I data base of over 1200 airports. The simulation products include delay prediction reports, a release rate table, an FAD-assigned delay table, the previously mentioned individual flight (assignments) listings, a compressed FAD-assigned delay table for efficient transmission, a graphical plot selection covering eight parameters and having up to four per plot, and a Quota-Flow-mapbased display depicting directional traffic loadings and flow control data. The simulation products have the additional

capability of being produced for any date or day within the normal 45-day coverage supplied by the AIRS I data base. This enables advanced problem assessments and past problem reconstruction and analysis.

The last area deals with data base updating messages. The user can enter or cancel individual flight records from the centralized data base. The user can enter three types of data associated with an airport for use in generating simulation products and loading reports. The types are: hourly estimated landing capacities, hourly general aviation estimates, and hourly departure delay estimates. These data entries provide the means to structure the problem environment for airport delay predictions and flow control simulations.

It is noted that AIRS I has a subsystem which collects and summarizes flight status and position data from the NAS computers received in real time through the existing FAA Center B communication network. At the present time AIRS I does not have these data incorporated into the central data base used by the retrieval and simulation subsystems.

170 copies

3

....

! . .

Ż

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977--701-361--92