

FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CRAFCO 'ROADSAVER' SELF-LEVELING SEALANT

Final Report October, 1998

Michael E. Sawyer Transportation Specialist II

TP988 .F45 1998 C. 2 OKDOT Library

Materials and Research Division Oklahoma Department of Transportation 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 (405) 521-2671 FAX (405) 521-6948 TP988, FUS 1998

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT NO.	2. GOVERN	MENT ACCESSION NO.	3. RE	CIPIENT'S CATALOG NO.			
FHWA/OK 98(08)							
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	(D - 1	Calf lavaling		PORT DATE			
"Field Performance Evaluation of Craft	ver Sen-levening		per 1998				
Sealant ^{**}			6. PE	REFORMING ORGANIZATION C	ODE		
7. AUTHOR(S)			8. PEI	RFORMING ORGANIZATION R	EPORT		
Michael E. Sawyer							
			10. W	ORK UNIT NO.			
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION ADDRESS			11. CC	INTRACT OR GRANT NO.			
Oklahoma Department of Transportati	on		Item	2700			
Materials and Research Division					COVERED		
200 NE 21st Street, Room 2A2			13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final Report				
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105				1995- August, 1998			
				ONSORING AGENCY CODE			
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS			14. SF	ONSORING AGENC 1 CODE			
U.S. Department of Transportation							
Federal Highway Administration	700						
715 South Metropolitan Avenue, Suite	2 /00						
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73108							
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Performed in cooperation with the U.S.	Departme	nt of Transportation Fed	leral Hi	ghway Administration.			
Performed in cooperation with the U.S.	. Departine	int of Transportation, Tec		<i>S</i>			
16. ABSTRACT On July 24, 1995, joints in a 61 m (20	00 ft.) test s	ection on project STP-32	4(78) v	vere sealed with Crafco	Roadsaver. Crafco		
Dandania a salf lavaling silicone sea	lant Loint	s in the remainder of the i	project	were sealed with an app	of Over Schi-ic veining		
sealant. A 61 m (200 ft.) control secti	on, with io	nts sealed with the appro	oved sea	alant, was selected for c	omparison.		
According to contractor's employees wh	o applied the	ne sealant, there was no r	oticeab	ole difference in the diff	iculty of application		
between the two sealants.	11						
The Crafco Roadsaver sealant appear	red to have	good adhesion to the s	ides of	the joints. Bubbles for	ormed in the Cratco		
Roadsaver sealant over most (approx	imately 60°	%) of the test section but	were la	ter found to be only a s	urface problem.		
Both the test and control sections wer	e monitored	d over a three year evalua	tion per	riod. Both sealants per	formed well and had		
no failures during the evaluation period	The conc	lusion of this author is th	at no re	eason can be found for t	he specifications for		
use of self-leveling silicone sealants n	ot to be rev	written to include Crafco	Roadsa	ver Sealant.			
17. KEY WORDS		18. DISTRIBUTION STATEME	NT		N. (
Joints, Sealant, Crafco, Roadsaver, Dow, Corning.		No restrictions. This publication is available from the Materials and					
		Research Division,					
		Oklahoma DOT.					
19. SECURITY CLASSIF.(OF THIS REPORT)	1	RITY CLASSIF.(OF THIS PAGE)		21. NO. OF PAGES	2. PRICE		
Unclassified	Unclass	ified		15			

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Oklahoma Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. While trade names may be used in this report, it is not intended as an endorsement of any machine, contractor, process, or product.

SI (METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS

			ns to SI Units	C	Combal	When you know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol
Symbol	When you know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When you know	LENGTH		
		LENGTH						inches	in
in	inches	25.40	millimeters	mm	mm	millimeters	0.0394		ft
ft	feet	0.3048	meters	m	m	meters	3.281	feet	
yd	yards	0.9144	meters	m	m	meters	1.094	yards 	yd
mi	miles	1.609	kilometers	km	km	kilometers	0.6214	miles	mi
		AREA					AREA		
in²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm	mm²	square millimeters	0.00155	square inches	in²
ft²	square feet	0.0929	square meters	m²	m²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft²
yd²	square yards	0.8361	square meters	m²	m²	square meters	1.196	square yards	yd²
ac	acres	0.4047	hectares	ha	ha	hectares	2.471	acres	ac
mi²	square miles	2.590	square kilometers	km²	km²	square kilometers	0.3861	square miles	mi²
	•	VOLUME					VOLUME		
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	mL	ml.	milliliters	0.0338	fluid ounces	fl oz
gal	gallons	3.785	liters	L	L	liters	0.2642	gallons	gal
ft ³	cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters	m.3	m ³	cubic meters	35.315	cubic feet	ft³
vd.3	cubic yards	0.7645	cubic meters	m ³	m ³	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards	yd ³
, -	,	MASS					MASS		
OZ	ounces	28.35	grams	g	g	grams	0.0353	ounces	OZ
lb	pounds	0.4536	kilograms	kg	kg	kilograms	2.205	pounds	lb
Т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams	Mg	Mg	megagrams	1.1023	short tons (2000 lb)	Т
	-	IPERATURE (exact)			TEMF	PERATURE (exact)	
٥F	degrees Fahrenheit	(°F-32)/1.8	degrees Celsius	°C	°C	degrees Celsius	9/5+32	degrees Fahrenheit	°F
		nd PRESSURE	or STRESS			FORCE an	d PRESSURE	or STRESS	
lbf	poundforce	4.448	Newtons	N	N	Newtons	0.2248	poundforce	lbf
lbf/in ²	poundforce per square inch	6.895	kilopascals	kľa	kľa	kilopascals	0.1450	poundforce per square inch	lbf/in

Field Performance Evaluation of Crafco 'Roadsaver' Self-leveling Sealant

FINAL REPORT

OCTOBER, 1998

Michael E. Sawyer Transportaion Specialist II

Materials and Research Division 200 N.E. 21st Street Rm 2A2 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 (405) 521-2671 FAX (405) 521-6948

Table of Contents

NTRODUCTION	1
PROJECT INFORMATION	
Site Location Map Diagram of Test Section Project Description	3
PREPARATION AND APPLICATION METHODS	5
MONITORING, EVALUATION, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
PROJECT PHOTOS	7

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Site Location Map	2
Figure 2.	Project Diagram	3
Figure 3.	Typical Section	4
Figure 4.	Joint Sealant Application	5
Figure 5.	Photo of dispensing machine	7
Figure 6.	Photo of sealant application	7
Figure 7	Photo of bubbles in sealant	8

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) has been sealing joints in Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) with self-leveling silicone sealants since 1987. Since that time, two specific low modulus silicone sealants have been used on almost all ODOT projects with joint sealing requirements. Both have demonstrated good field performance and, according to ODOT contractors responsible for joint sealing, both are relatively easy to apply.

The only drawback is that both sealants are made by the same corporation. In an effort to increase competition among suppliers that may result in lower prices in the future, ODOT Materials and Research Division agreed to evaluate a sealant produced by the Crafco Corporation called Roadsaver. The evaluation required two sections, a control section consisting of an already approved sealant (Dow/Corning 890SL) and a test section of Crafco Roadsaver. Each section consisted of 13 transverse and two longitudinal joints. The joints were inspected for failures at six month intervals (summer and winter).

After three years of evaluation, neither section has shown any failure. This performance leads to the conclusion that the Crafco product should be considered as a viable alternative to the Dow/Corning sealant.

In order to implement the results of this research, changes will need to be made to the current specifications, and both the changes and this report will need to be made available to field divisions.

Introduction

The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) has been sealing joints in Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) with self-leveling silicone sealants since 1987. Since that time, two specific low modulus silicone sealants have been used on almost all ODOT projects with joint sealing requirements. Both have demonstrated good field performance and, according to ODOT contractors responsible for joint sealing, both are relatively easy to apply.

Both sealants referred to above are produced by the same corporation. In an effort to increase competition among suppliers that may result in lower prices in the future, ODOT initiated an evaluation of CRAFCO ROADSAVER. The evaluation was scheduled to last three years and has been completed as of August 1998.




Figure 1. Site Location Map

Joints have been sealed with CRAFCO Roadsaver in a 61 meter (200 foot) test section on project STP-324(78) (Figure 1). STP-324(78) is located on U.S. Highway 412, east of the City of Woodward, beginning at the intersection of Lakeview drive and extending west (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Project Diagram

Highway 412 in this area has four travel lanes and a turning lane in the center. The control and test sections are located in the two eastbound lanes and comprise 13 transverse and two longitudinal joints each. Both longitudinal joints (L1 and L2) are located in the driving area. Curbs make up the outside edges of the roadway (Fig. 3). The area between the control and test sections was sealed with a mixture of Crafco and Dow/ Corning sealants. The Control Section starts at Sta. 550+92 and ends at Sta. 552+72. The Test Section starts at Sta. 553+94 and ends at Sta. 555+74.

Project Description

Project STP- 324(78) consisted of grading, drainage, and surfacing of U.S. 412. Figure 3 shows the typical section in the area where the Crafco Roadsaver Test Section and the Control Section (sealed with Dow/Corning 890-SL) are located. 890-SL is a self-leveling sealant which has been accepted for use on ODOT projects. The sawing and sealing operations were conducted by employees of the general contractor.




Figure 3. Typical Section

Joint Preparation and Sealant Application

Joint Preparation:

Before Roadsaver is applied, the manufacturer requires that the joints to be sealed be sawed and flushed with water immediately after the sawing operation. The contractor did this three days prior to the sealing operation. Crafco also requires the joints to be sandblasted and cleaned with compressed air before the backer rod is placed. The contractor placed the backer rod immediately after the sandblasting and tooled it into place. After the backer rod was in place the contractor used compressed air to clean the joint and backer rod. The sealant was then applied.

Sealant Application:

Crafco Roadsaver is a one component, low modulus, self-leveling silicone sealant. The manufacturer recommends that application be made in temperatures above 40° F. The temperature at the time of application, on July 24, 1995, was 29°C (85°F). Proper joint sealant application can be found in the plans (Fig. 4).

The contractor did not clear the pump and hose of the Dow 890-SL before sealing with the Crafco sealant. Because of this, five transverse joints between the control and test sections were sealed with a mixture of both sealants. These joints were not included in either section.




Figure 4. Joint sealant application

During the sealing operation, one worker used a high pressure hose to clean the joints while

another worker followed with the sealant applicator wand. The sealant was applied to the joint using a Pyles air powered bulk dispensing machine (Fig. 5) with a hand held wand (Fig. 6) at a slow walk.

The contractor stated that he thought the Roadsaver sealant will work well and had no problems with applying it. He also said that it was thicker than the Dow 890-SL that he had been using.

Post Application Inspection:

The day after the sealant was installed a survey of the test joints was made. The sealant seemed to have good adhesion to the pavement. Locations where bubbles had formed were present through most of the test section (Fig. 7). No bubbles had formed in the control section.

Monitoring, Evaluation, Conclusions, and Recommendations

Monitoring:

Failure location, type of failure (adhesion or cohesion), size of the failure, and any other information considered significant by the investigator was recorded. None were found.

Evaluation:

Test and control sections were surveyed at six month intervals for three years. No failures occured during this time. The bubbles noticed after construction were surface in nature and did not seem to cause any problems with the overall performance of the Crafco product. Performance of the two sealants have been compared on the basis of the number, type, and size of failures occurring and both appear to be suitable for highway joint sealing operations.

Conclusions:

No failures were recorded in either section during the three year evaluation. The performance of Crafco Roadsaver has proven that there are other products available for joint sealant work. This should allow for a more competitive market, provided that ODOT specifications are changed to allow the use of this product.

Recommendations:

This author recommends that all applicable specifications be changed to allow a product with the characteristics of Crafco Roadsaver to be used in highway joint sealant work.

Project Photos



Figure 5. Pyles air powered bulk dispensing machine



Figure 6. Sealant application



Figure 7. Bubbles in sealant



FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CRAFCO 'ROADSAVER' SELF-LEVELING SEALANT

Final Report October, 1998

Michael E. Sawyer Transportation Specialist II

TP988 .F45 1998 C. 2 OKDOT Library

Materials and Research Division Oklahoma Department of Transportation 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 2A2 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 (405) 521-2671 FAX (405) 521-6948