DEPARTMENT OF COLLERGE

DUREAU OF AIR COM TERCE

SAFETY AND PLANNING DIVISION

CONTIDENTIAL NOTE NO. 6

PRILIMENARY ANALYSIS OTACCIDENTS IN SCHEDULED ATA COMPARCE

Бу

Rogers Humphreys, Chief J. C. Edgerton R. W. Knight

R. R. DeVore

of the

Air Transport Section

Λpril 1938

The views empressed in this report are those of the authors and not necessarily of the Bureau of Air Cornerce or the Department of Commerce.

TABLE OF CHITIS

	Page
SUMFINEY	1
INTERODUCTION	2
PLRTINGER DATA Tlight Crews Dispatchers Maintenance Crews Aircraft Weather Air Navigation Facilities Terrain Night Flying Collision	3 4 5 6 7 7 7 8 8
DISCUSSION Flight Crews Dispatchers Maintenance Crews Aircraft Weather Air Navigation Facilities Terrain Night Flying Collision	24 25 25 26
CONCLUSIONS	23
מוא בעל מונים אות מונים את מונים אות מונים את מונים אות מונים את מונים את מונים את מונים את מונים את מונים אות מונים אות מונים אות מונים אות מונים אות מונים את מונים אות מונים את מונים אות מונים אות מונים אות מונים אות מונים אות מונים את מונים	25 - 6

, .

FREDTAINARY AUAIYSIS OF ACCIDATES IN SCHEDULED AIR COMMERCE

SUMMARY

An analysis of accidents which have occurred in scheduled air commerce between January 1, 1934 and February 28, 1938 indicates that a majority of them have occurred by reason of causes other than failures in the mechanical factors involved in aviation. It has been the authors' purpose to develop, where possible, not only the direct but the underlying causes of these accidents to the end that proper remedial measures may be adopted.

Tabulated and analyzed herein are the following factors involved in the accidents: personnel, aircraft (including accessories), weather, air nevigation facilities, terrain (including airports), night flying, and collision. Pertinent data are presented with reference to these factors followed by discussion, opinions and, in some instances, the recommendations of the authors.

It is concluded that aviation material and the attending complexities of its operation have progressed so rapidly within the past few years that personnel, particularly flight crews, have found it exceedingly difficult and on occasions have been unable to adjust themselves to such complexities. This has been aggrevated by the many added duties imposed upon flight crews and the more difficult kinds of flying attempted which have contributed to their physical fatigue or emotional stress.

Numerous tables are appended in support of the data, discussion and conclusions.

4 .

INTRODUCTION

The period chosen for this analysis begins January 1, 1934 and ends February 38, 1938. This is regarded as a critical period in aviation history in view of the cancellations of air mail contracts which occurred early in 1934, immediately followed by reorganization of all the domestic air lines. Also, during this period, important and startling changes in the design, size, and performance of aircraft were initiated.

During these 50 months there occurred 112 accidents in scheduled airline operations (not including accidents involving ferry trips or test flights) within the continental limits of the United States which, in the opinion of the authors, were of sufficient importance to be used as the basis of this analysis. Many minor accidents involving no injuries and insignificant damage to the aircraft have been omitted from this analysis.

These 112 accidents may be classified as follows:

(2)	Accidents	${\tt involving}$	fetalities	28
(b)	Accidents	<pre>involvin;</pre>	injuries only	24
(c)	Accidents injurie		no fatalities or	60
	_		Total	112

Another breakdown of the same total which is considered important is as follows:

(d)	Accidents involving fatalities	28
(e)	Accidents involving no fatalities but which were potentially serious	34
(f)	Finor accidents, some of which involved personal injuries; all of which involved various degrees of danage to aircraft	50
	Total	112

Fatalities resulting from group (a) above were distributed as follows:

(g)	Passengers		122*
(h)	Pilots		45*
(i)	Stewardesses		10
		Total	177

Accident Boards selected from within the Bureau of Air Commerce and augmented, on occasions, by technical advisors from without the Bureau rendered conscientious opinions as to the probable causes of these accidents and their findings are available to the public. In view of the obvious value of an analysis of the entire situation in the light of present knowledge these 112 accidents have been reviewed.

PERTINENT DATA

The factors involved in the causes of these accidents divide themselves into seven groups, as follows:

^{*}Pilots and co-pilots who met with fatal accidents while riding as passengers are included in group (g) above.

- (a) Personnel
- (b) Aircraft (including accessories)
- (c) Weather
- (d) Air Navigation Facilities
- (e) Terrain (including sirports)
- (f) Night flying
- (g) Collision

In this subject there is presented factual information and data not subject to discussion.

Personnel

Reference to table A emphasizes the relative importance of this factor which divides itself into the following sub-groups:

- I. Flight crews
- II. Dispatchers
- III. Maintenance crews

Flight Crews

The average number of flying hours accumulated by first pilots involved in the accidents was 6,203, (co-pilots, when involved, 2,075). This roughly represents three quarters of a million miles of flying. Breaking this down further by separating fatal accidents, 28, from non-fatal accidents, 34, the flying time of first pilots in the first group was 6,443 hours and in the second groups, 6,108 hours.

Average with reference to all airline first pilots compiled late in 1937 was 6,710 hours: co-pilots 2,200 hours. Table F shows the ratio

of accident frequency to milots! emperience.

The average age of first pilots involved in 112 accidents was 33.3 years, (co-pilots, when involved, 29). Breaking this down further by separating 28 fatal accidents from 84 non-fatal accidents, the average age of the first pilots in the first group was 35 years and in the second group, 32.3 years. Average with reference to all airline first pilots compiled late in 1937 was 54 years; co-pilots, 29 years. Table 3 shows the ratio of accident frequency to pilots' ages.

In 112 accidents 63 flights were manned with co-pilots and in 28 fatal accidents 18 flights were manned with co-pilots. In four instances the co-pilot was the senior from the standpoint of age.

Mable J shows the ratio of accident frequency to the number of flying hours accumulated by the first pilots within the 90 day period preceding the accident in which they were involved. It will be noted that 50 out of 112 accidents occurred to aircraft operated by first pilots who had logged from 230 to 260 hours within the 90 day period.

Fourteen of the first pilots involved in 112 accidents had been granted waivers covering slight physical defects. Thirteen of these waivers covered minor eye defects.

In 112 accidents, 65 occurred when the pilot in command of the flight was returning to his base as against 47, "outbound". In 28 fatal accidents, 18 occurred "inbound" as against 10 "outbound".

Dispatchers

Discussed on page 18.

Maintenance Crews

Discussed on page 20.

Aircraft

Table A shows that failure of aircraft and aircraft parts including engines, accessories, and radio have accounted for at least 25 of 112 accidents. Charged to "weather" rather than "aircraft" are the occasions when severe static conditions rendered radios unintelligible.

Foremost among aircraft failures are engine and propeller failures, 21, and landing gears and brakes, 8*. In 28 fatal accidents aircraft failures accounted for at least 3: (a) propeller breakage; (b) faulty aileron design; (c) faulty structural design which caused sufficient flutter to detach parts of the tail surfaces while in flight.

The average age of eircraft involved in 112 accidents was 25.2 months. The average age of those in 28 fatal accidents was 22.2 months and in this group, nine were less than a year old. Table L shows the ratio of accident frequency to age of aircraft. Table K shows the make and model of the 112 aircraft involved. Table P shows the make and model of aircraft involved in each accident.

^{*}The master table from which these figures are taken is not appended since it duplicates much information contained in other tables.

Weather

. .

Table M shows weather conditions which prevailed at the scene of 112 accidents.

This factor is discussed on page 24.

Air Havigation Facilities

Discussed on page 25.

Terrain

Table II shows the type of terrain involved in 110 accidents. Two accidents occurred without the aircraft making contact with the ground or water. Both Let unusually turbulent air conditions which resulted in injuries to some of the occupants before the pilots could proceed to an area of safety.

No fatal accidents have occurred on airports. Seven occurred in the vicinity of or immediately contiguous to airports but were in no way attributable to the airport or the condition thereof. In the 12 that occurred in mountainous terrain, 10 involved the element of weather.

In 34 scrious accidents involving no fatalities 10 occurred on airports and in 50 minor accidents, 27 occurred on airports.

In 34 serious accidents involving no fatalities 3 occurred in mountainous terrain and in 50 minor accidents, 5 occurred in mountainous terrain.

Hight Flying

In 38 fatal accidents 19 occurred at night. In 34 serious accidents involving no fatalities, 21 occurred at night. In 50 minor accidents, 25 occurred at night. In the 112 accidents 65 occurred at night.

Collision

No aircraft collisions aloft have been experienced but one aircraft flew into three wild swans over a water-way during a migration season. This occurred at night over rough country and in view of the damage done to the aircraft could easily have proven more serious.

DISCUSSION

In this section the authors express their views concerning the factors reported on in the previous section. These views reflect composite opinions reached through individual, detailed study.

Personnel

Flight Crews

Table A shows a distribution of the direct causes of 112 accidents of which 64 are attributed to pilots.

It is well recognized that airline pilots as a group represent a high standard of American manhood, physically and mentally. In technical efficiency and aeronautical knowledge

they excel everage pilots by a wide margin. From past performance records they are well qualified and they possess a high degree of physical courage. But mistakes made by them include poor judgment and, on occasions, foulty technique. This might indicate that present methods of evaluating their capabilities and limitations are inadequate. Superior aircraft, and air navigation facilities are but of quastionable value in the absence of properly qualified nersonnel comable of operating such facilities. The inability of some pilots to cope with unusual and difficult situations may mean that the olter tion of design and the increase in the complexities surrounding the operation of modern aircraft has not been satisfactorily coordinated with the capabilities of many of the pilots and further that insufficient consideration has been given to the proper selection, development, evaluation and maintenance of the men who have flown the aircraft and used the airwajs.

The authors believe that emotional instability influencing judgment which in turn effects performance is the foremost cause of pilots' mistakes; that fatigue, mental and/or
physical, is a contributing factor and that complemities of the
eircraft coupled with the crowing demands made upon pilots with
reference to various kinds of calculations to be made and records
to be kept during flights have a decided bearing on the problem.

In addition to the actual handling of the aircraft while in the air pilots' duties include:*

Radio

- 1. Test all three radio receivers and transmitter prior to start of trip.
- 2. Work field control tower on 278 kc for testing and to secure orders concerning departure.
- 3. Maintain constant watch on company frequency.
- 4. Maintain constant watch on Department of Commerce radio range stations.
- 5. Report to company over all check points.
- 6. Contact Department of Commerce stations when passing over their markers.
- 7. Report to Airways Control when over inner marker.
- 8. Report to company when over inner marker.
- 9. Report weather for each 1000 ft. level during ascent.
- 10. Report to company estimated time over next check point.
- 11. Report time, altitude, weather over check point.
- 12. Receive and record weather for next station on route.
- 13. Change radio receiver frequency for cross range fixes.
- 14. Report to company any change in flight plan.

^{*}The list which follows enumerates actual duties imposed upon the pilot and co-pilot. Definite allocations of duties cannot be made. The list was compiled by an airline pilot with more than 12,000 hours of flying experience.

- 15. Relay messages to assist other sircraft in making radio contacts.
- 16. Change company frequency to work traffic control through field control tower.
- 17. Change Department of Commerce receiver frequency to work field control.
- 19. Handle miscellaneous messages.

Calculations

- 19. Calculate true airspeed.
- 20. Calculate ground speed.
- 21. Calculate wind direction.
- 22. Calculate wind velocity.
- 23. Check wind direction and velocity with drift.
- 34. Estimate time over next check point.
- 25. Calculate course for next leg of flight.
- 26. Calculate horse power required on next leg of course.
- 27. Calculate fuel consumption per hour.
- 28. Check airspeed point to point.
- 29. Estimate fuel required at next refueling stop.

Recordings in Flight

- 30. Enter time of departure.
- 31. Enter time off ground.
- 33. Enter time outween check points.
- 35. Inter airspeed between check points.

٠.

- 34. Enter wind direction and velocity between points.
- 35. Enter ground speed between check points.
- 36. Entter altitude flown between check points.
- 37. Enter time over check points.
- 38. Enter true course flown between check points.
- 39. Enter manifold pressure used.
- 40. Enter fuel consumption per hour.
- 41. Enter horse power used each leg of flight.
- 42. Enter outside air temperature.
- 43. Enter carburetor air temperature.
- 44. Enter distance from last check.
- 45. Enter magnetic course.
- 46. Enter amount of crab from course.
- 47. Enter corrected sirsneed.
- 43. Enter carbureter manifold pressure between check points.
- 49. Enter engine RBM between check points.
- 50. Enter cloud formations and levels between check points.

Recordings in Airplane & Ingine Log

- 51. Enter departure time.
- 52. Enter time off ground.
- 55. Enter gir time.
- 54. Enter arrival time.
- 55. Enter fuel on board at place of departure.
- 56. Entér oil on board at place of departure.

- 57. Enter fuel taken on board at each refueling point.
- 56. Enter oil taken on board at each refueling point.
- 59. Enter average head temperature.
- 60. Enter average oil temperatures.
- 61. Enter average oil pressure.
- 62. Enter average gasoline pressure.
- 63. Inter average carburetor intalte temperature.
- 64. Enter average cruising manifold pressure.
- 65. Enter average craising engine R.P.M.
- 66. Enter average vacuum pump readings.
- 67. Enter simplene structure remarks.
- 68. Enter any assfunctioning of controls.
- 69. Enter any melfunctioning of instruments.
- 70. Enter any malfunctioning of engines.
- 71. Enter any melfunctioning of radio.

Other Clerical Duties

- 72. Enter time and pouches on P. O. form No. 2702.
- 73. Check all P. O. forms No. 2715.
- 74. Balance No. 2702 before arrival each stop showing arrival departure, pouches on and off.
- 75. Check empress waybills on and off against airplanes manifest.
- 76. Check company material.
- 77. Keep airplanes cargo manifest in balance.
- 79. Prepare company form for station agent showing cargo off.

٠.

- 79. Prepare weather summary for trip.
- 80. Fill out trip discrepancy report.

Miscellaneous

- 81. Check de-icer equipment at intervals.
- 82. Chec't slinger ring operation.
- 33. Check windshield de-icer.
- 84. Regulate ventilation.
- 35. Regulate heat supply for cabin.
- 36. Make frequent checks on hydraulic gear.
- 87. Keep radios tuned.

Many of the airlines do not supply the services of stewardesses or stewards. When such is the case a generous share of the co-pilot's time, during flight, is required in the passengers' compartment. Not infrequently it is necessary for a co-pilot to absent himself from the pilots' compartment for several minutes at a time. When his duties involve the serving of meals aloft, as is often the case, such periods are necessarily longer.

It should be remembered that these added duties are not performed while comfortably seated at a desk in an office. Pilots' compartments do not lend themselves as places where clerical work may be done to the best advantage. Sometimes the windows leak rain; sometimes they are open for ventilating

purposes; and frequently the temperature is not conducive to writing. And somebody must fly the aircraft! Automatic pilots mitigate actual flight duties but they do not "take over" as is assumed by some.

Considerable stress has been laid on the probability of fatigue contributing both directly and indirectly to many of these accidents. To just what extent physical fatigue influences mental fatigue and vice versa is not known. The study is a profound one which requires meticulous and consistent investigation.

An experimental station, under the direction of an experienced flight surgeon, is being established by the Bureau of Air Commerce in Lenses City. Studies will be conducted in aviation medicine and fatigue as well as experimental performance flight tests. This project includes study with reference to ground personnel involved in dispatching and maintenance.

Lack of experience from the standpoint of accumulated hours can scarcely be assigned as causes for these accidents (refer to pages 4 and 5 and tables F & 3). The spreads between 6,710 hours, the average of all airline pilots, 6,202 hours, the average of first pilots in 112 accidents and 6,443 hours, the average of first pilots involved in the 38 fatal accidents, do not seem to be wide enough to be conclusive. Opinions expressed by some (not the authors) are that many years and

many hours of experience sometimes contribute to an element of danger rather than of safety. These claimants state that some pilots who have been successful in avoiding accidents for a long period of time occasionally evolve an attitude of contempt for the fundamental hazards of flying. This is not definitely supported in tables F and G.

It is felt that the "age of pilots" element fails to indicate any significant trends. Reference is made to Table G.

More than half of the first pilots involved in 112 accidents had accumulated upwards of 320 hours in the 90 day period immediately preceding the accident; the average for this group being 343 hours. Since this figure is appreciably in excess of 194 hours which is the time accumulated by average airline pilots within 90 day periods it is indicated that fatigue may have contributed as a cause of the 57 accidents used in arriving at this 243 hour average. Table J provides a breakdown of hours accumulated in 90 day periods.

Careful investigation fails to show that any of the 14 pilots who had been granted waivers suffered accidents by reason of the physical defect which had been waived.

Prior to April 30, 1936, co-pilots were not required to hold instrument ratings. Reference to table H shows that in 41 of the 67 accidents in which co-pilots were involved the

accumulated time of these co-pilots was below the average of all co-pilots. This may or may not be significant but it is well known that in the past many co-pilots have been employed who not only failed to possess instrument ratings but who were actually unable to safely take-off and land the type of aircraft in which they served. Under Civil Air Regulations, effective April 30, these potential deficiencies are corrected.

The position of first pilot and co-pilot with respect to age or nows has, on occasions, been reversed. This generally is due to an older first pilot having been placed on the status of co-pilot, either termorarily or permanently. No significance is attached to this.

On at least three occasions, and perhaps more, accidents have occurred as a result of confusion between pilots as to their respective duties. The amotional stress engendered during trying cituations has been the cause. Contributing to this has, no doubt, been the many added futies required of both pilots due to the complexities of modern sircraft.

Opinions have been advanced that a contributing cause of accidents may be the desire on the part of pilots to terminate a honeward bound trip as quickly as possible and, consequently to assume greater risks when proceeding toward their home ports than when departing therefrom. In 28 fatal accidents, 18 occurred "inbound" against 10 "outbound". This ratio of

9:5 might support the contention although the ratio of 11:8 which provailed in 112 accidents does not seem so serious. Should the trend continue as above some significance should necessarily be attached thereto. Pilots' schedules are frequently arranged to permit them to terminate their trip at their home and at night. The fact that the majority of fatal and serious accidents have occurred at night may have influenced the above ratios. The subject of night flying is further discussed on page 26.

Dispatchers

Mistakes made by dispatchers ordinarily consist of giving permission to flights to proceed in the face of too uncertain weather or in cases when the condition of the air navigation facilities or flight personnel involved in the flight is questionable.

In distributing causes of 112 accidents none have been directly charged to dispatchers since in no instances have they had the final authority to order a flight to proceed when the pilot elected otherwise. In at least 23 out of 112 accidents poor judgment on the part of dispatchers has contributed to these accidents.

It may be that lack of sufficient information with reference to meteorological conditions is not the only cause of their errors. Complaints have been made by pilots that

sometimes dispatchers have unduly influenced flight crews to originate or to proceed when conditions were questionable, for the purpose of getting a particular flight past a particular station, for the purpose of conveniently placing the aircraft along the company's route with a view to subsequent operations or in order to avoid the additional work and expense of "training" passengers. If such practice exists it is obvious that some dispatchers have been employed without proper consideration being given to their judgment, experience, or character.

On the other hand conscientious dispatchers have on many occasions erred, not through carelessness or ignorance, but because inaccurate or inadequate moteorological information has influenced their judgment. Many flights have been cleared on the basis of inaccurate weather information which have carried through successfully because the error was offset by exceptional ability or courage on the part of the flight crews involved.

Experts in this field are none too numerous. Some of the cirlines are filling these important positions with old pilots with successful piloting careers behind them. There has been just criticism in the past that on too many occasions these posts were manned by personnel with insufficient acronautical experience. The authors arge that all available steps be taken to the end that only competent dispatchers

participate in the clearance and movement of aircraft and that operators establish procedures which will provide for complete understanding between dispatchers and flight crews.

Maintenance Crews

Mistakes made by maintenance crews are due, ordinarily, to poor supervision, imperfect or careless inspection and sometimes to inexperience.

It is suggested, in the interest of safety, that servicing stops enroute be of sufficient duration to permit servicing crews to adequately inspect and refuel the aircraft. Facts concerning some of the reviewed accidents suggest that errors were committed because ground crews were in too much of a hurry to accomplish their worlt.

Careful selection and training of maintenance crews by the company employing them is of paramount importance. It is the opinion of the authors that inefficient mechanics have not only been the direct cause of at least 4 accidents; that they have contributed to not less than 10; and that on many more occasions accidents as a result of their mistakes have been avoided only by the excellent technique of pilots.

Aircraft

With the exception of those accidents mentioned on page 6, only five other structural failures occurred; (a) one in which a

gas tank support gave way in the air; (b) one in which the ply wood wing covering cracked and opened from spar to spar due to turbulent air, (both of these involved non-metal aircraft not now in use as passenger carriers); (c) one which suffered an accident because of breekage of stops on upper quadrants of landing gear making it impossible to lower the gear; (d) one which resulted in considerable damage to the aircraft by reason of landing gear breakage, and (e) one which suffered extreme damage due to failure of tail wheel assembly in normal landing and which caused the aircraft to ground loop.

In addition to 21 power plant (including propeller) failures distributed as direct causes (see page 6) there were 18 other occasions when they contributed to the accidents. In these 39 cases propoller breakage occurred 8 times. This specific propeller deficiency has been corrected and considerable progress has been made with reference to the complex fundamentals of propeller vibration.

Ice in carburetors which in the early portion of the period accounted for several engine failures has been overcome.

Aircraft accessories, including instruments, have made substantial advancement to the point where they no longer contribute materially to danger in flying. No instrument failures seem to have caused any of the accidents reviewed. It is true that as they have increased in number the complexities of operating and interpreting

them have likewise increased. Experiments are under way which should improve this situation. Relief from undue complexity by means of instrument simplification is badly needed and the work progressing along that line should be accelerated if possible.

Although phenomenal strides have been made in radio there remains much to be desired in this field. Operations are so dependent upon reliable radio that the importance of utilizing all resources in the further development of this aid cannot be overestimated. Inability to receive radio signals under conditions of precipitation static have not only contributed to accidents but on numerous occasions have placed the flight in precarious positions. Work is progressing in this specific field and all resources are being marshalled in solving this important problem. The application of ultra high frequency promises a great deal. Experiments are being conducted almost daily looking to its general application.

Of particular interest is the inverse ratio of accident frequency to "age of sircroft". (See table L). This goes far in supporting the contention of those who hold that older aircraft well tested by time and in connection with which the operating and maintenance problems have been more nearly solved, offer less of a hazard than newer equipment with which the flight and maintenance crews are not so familiar. It may be that the policy generally and voluntarily employed by some operators of retiring their aircraft at a relatively early age by reason of supposed obsolescence not only militates against

economy but, to a degree, against safety. Or, it may mean that longer test periods are needed before new sireraft are placed in line operation.

The type of mircraft alters, of course, as progress is made in the industry. A preponderance of "twin engine" accidents in the past four years has undoubtedly occurred because there has been a preponderance of "twin engine" aircraft in popular use. Distribution is as follows:

	l engine	2 engines	3 engines	Total
1934 1935 1936	11 11 6	7 13 25	7 5 6	25 29 3 7
193 7) 1938)	2	<u>19</u>	0	21
Total	30	6년	1.8	112

For further breakdown reference is made to table K.

The suthors believe that the trend of aircraft design has resulted in equipment that requires much more technical shill, concentration, and aerongutical knowledge on the part of the pilots than were required of them a few years ago. As against this factor speed and pay-load have increased in ratio to operations cost. The flying characteristics of currently popular airline aircraft, particularly when operating under adverse methodogical conditions do not appear to be as satisfactory, from the standpoint of safety, as those characteristics found in aircraft of less spectromlar performance. The opinion is held by many in the industry that possibly the traveling public and the operators are paying too high a price for speed and luxury. There is evidence available from accidents reviewed herein and from numerous

experiences not resulting in accidents but reported by pilots and others to support this.

Weather

The accurate and consistent forecasting of weather is a problem not yet satisfactorily solved. Progress in this field has been good but much is to be desired. Since this element plays such an important part in sirline activities it is impossible to anticipate the day when flight cancellations and interruptions will not be the major consideration insofar as operations are concerned.

Weather has been a factor in many accidents and many bitter experiences have been forced upon aviation by reason of it. The inevitable conclusion has been reached by most operators that an ultraconservative policy in this regard is necessary.

During the years 1936 and 1937, thirteen fatal accidents occurred in which 117 persons lost their lives. Then of these 13 occurred in bad weather. In the entire 28 fatal accidents involving 177 deaths, bad weather prevailed on 31 occasions. Over the entire period reviewed but 7 accidents (with resultant fatalities of 46) occurred in which weather was not a factor.

Available de-icing equipment has not completely solved the problem of icing while in flight and this is a matter for major consideration.

The Weather Bureau and other agencies are conducting constructive experiments by means of radiotelemeters suspended to free balloons. These flights usually reach altitudes of more than 50,000 ft. and automatically transmit by high frequency radio signals, pressure, temperature and humidity readings, at thirty second intervals. During the current year several additional Weather Bureau stations will begin daily flights of this kind and it is believed that the data so secured will be of great benedit in permitting more accurate forecasts.

Additional means of disseminating available weather information should be encouraged.

Air Mavigation Facilities

Failure of sids have been assigned as contributory causes in 7 accidents. There is reason to believe that on many more occasions only luck or skill have prevented accidents because of such failures. Two accidents, not included above, which occurred on the same route might have been avoided had not the teletype weather reporting facility been discontinued on that particular airway, presumably as an economy measure. This facility was again requisitioned and is now operative.

It is recognized that occasional interruptions of any mechanical device is to be expected and due allowances made therefor, but improvement in the cirrors which includes additions and modernization of existing tids is needed. It is hoped that the application of ultrahigh radio frequencies will materially improve conditions.

Progress in this field is being made as additional funds are available.

It should be remembered, however, that the absence of sids in certain locations, no matter how bodly needed, cannot be reasonably assigned as a cause for any accident in view of the futility of placing dependence on aids which are nonexistent.

One pilot who survived a serious accident, complained that when he switched to the airport localizer, expecting to receive the range signal, he heard voice. This cannot be assigned as a facility failure.

Terrain (Including Airports)

Conclusions on page 33.

Night Flying

Air transport appears to be relatively less subject to the vicissitudes of night operation than other forms of transportation. This may be due to the mathematical improbability of collision accidents in the air as opposed to surface transportation which generally flows over predetermined, narrow lanes. A ratio of 65:47, night accidents vs. day accidents, does not seem to be higher than could be normally expected in view of the fact that night flying is admittedly more exacting than day flying.

In 65 accidents that occurred at night, 43 involved bad weather. In 47 accidents that occurred during daylight, 25 involved bad weather. If it can be assumed for a moment that weather, rather than time of day was the more important contributing factor the

following brief table is of interest.

	<u>Ni_sht</u>	Day
Total	65	47
Less weather	43 Less weather	25
Remainder	23	22

Such an assumption might also indicate (a) bad weather is more frequently encountered at night, (b) flying from the pilots' standpoint becomes more difficult when bad weather is encountered at night, or (c) weather reporting and dispatching is less efficient at night as compared to daylight.

The accurate liquids are in reflectely evailable as to the ratio of night flying to day flying, but estimates load the authors to believe that such ratio is about 40:60.

Collision

On a few occasions slight damage to hircraft has resulted by reason of collision with birds. The problem appears to some to be trivial but the authors do not feel so and a project is under way looking to means of safeguarding against this hazard. The accident involving wild swans, mentioned on page 3, took place at an altitude more than 8,000 ft. above sea level and more than 4,000 ft. above the terrain, much higher than was generally believed possible.

CONCLUSIONS

The authors feel that this preliminary analysis, covering a period of more than 4 years and 112 accidents constitutes a basis for intelligent findings from which conclusions may be drawn with reasonable accuracy.

Conclusions both positive and negative have, therefore, been reached with reference to the several factors discussed in the preceding section of this analysis and are presented below in corresponding order. In some cases recommendations are made which involve, in the opinion of the authors, desirable changes in existing Civil Air Regulations.

Personnel

Flight Crews

- Pilots' accumulated hours of flying time does not appear to be an important factor in the review of these accidents.
- 2. Pilots' ages in 112 occidents fail to indicate any significant trend in view of the general age average.
- 3. The everage hours (243) accumulated by pilots within the 90 day period preceding a majority of these accidents may have contributed to the fatigue element. Flight Time Limitations (CAR 61.513) takes no cognizance of the type of flying conducted. Since there is considerably more than a mathematical

difference between 40 hours of flying under entirely favorable conditions and 20 hours of flying under unfovorable conditions, it is recommended that this factor be hade the subject of a specific study with the view of revising CAR 61.518 to more adequately provide precautionary limitations under varying conditions.

- 4. Since the waivers granted to milets involved in accidents have in no wise contributed to the accidents, it seems plausible that present minimum requirements may be higher than necessary. It is recommended that this factor be made the subject of a specific study with the view of revising pilets! physical requirements, particularly with reference to vision.
- 5. Efforts should continue to progress in the establishing of procedures which will eliminate the possibility of confusion between pilots with reference to individual and respective duties.
- 6. Further and consistent analyses should be made with the view of ascertaining if the accident trend indicates a greater number of them occurring while pilots are "inbound".
- 7. Accidents sometimes hinge on obscure events the perception of which requires mental alertness. Pilots

busily engaged with tedious and exacting clerical duties, subject to audit, are obviously handicapped in the performance of flight duties. Such duties should be simplified.

- 6. The practice of constantly introducing unnecessary changes in the familiar arrangement of instruments and controls definitely militates against pilot efficiency.
- 9. It is not known to what extent anoxia (oxygen deprivation) contributes to fatigue and accidents. The pilot medical study being conducted in Kansas City by the Bureau should, therefore, be vigorously supported.
- 10. It is recommended that operating companies undertake a more complete and detailed study of the avocational and social environments of flight personnel.

Dispatchers

- 1. Lack of proper and sufficient weather information has, on occasions, influenced dispatchers in clearing flights under conditions which were actually hazardous and these errors have been contributing causes of several accidents.
- 2. It is felt that some dispetchers have had too much regard for the economics rather than the safety of air transport and that at times the "crowding" of pilots has been the indirect causes of some accidents. Greater care in the selection of men for these positions is necessary as is

the establishment of procedures looking to better coordination between flight crews and ground crews.

Maintenance Crews

- 1. Servicing stops should be established which will permit mechanics to adequately perform their duties without too much haste.
- 2. More efficient, better trained and better supervised maintenance crews will tend to reduce aircraft failures with attending accidents.

Aircraft

- 1. Structural ferture resulting in fatal or serious accidents occur only on rare occasions.
- 2. Engine and accessories failures constitute the principal mechanical hazard. The general application of "featherin; propellers" should be made the subject of special study. The need for this improvement is pressing.
- 3. Presently existing instrument complexities militates against efficiency and contributes to fatigue. Every effort should be made toward simplification.
- 4. The problem of radio ineffectiveness under certain meteorological conditions is of major concern.
- 5. Age and use of sircraft within reasonable limitations do not appear to contribute to accidents. The fact that

- so many accidents involve comparatively new equipment indicates the desirability of longer test periods before such aircraft are placed in line service.
- 6. Presently popular sirline aircraft require better technique and aeronautical knowledge on the part of flight crews than was required a few years ago.
- 7. When operating under certain unfavorable meteorological conditions modern sircraft do not seem to possess as favorable flying characteristics as is found in aircraft of less speed and loss load carrying ability.
- 3. De-icing equipment has not been perfected.
- 9. Manufacturers should give more consideration to the item of "pilot comfort" in designing aircraft. This bears directly on efficiency. Elimination of glare, noise, the elements, etc.; visibility and comfortable seating arrangement are important.

Weather

- A substantial majority of accidents occur under conditions of bad or questionable weather.
- 2. Hore accurate weather forecasting and additional aids for disseminating meather information are needed.
- 3. The experiments under way employing the use of radiotelemeters should be encouraged.

Air Navigation Facilities

- 1. Failures of these aids occur but rarely and have been assigned as contributory causes only in 7 accidents.
- 2. Additional aids are needed and should be installed as rapidly as funds will permit.
- 5. The development of ultre-high frequency redic transmission may materially improve the utilization of such facilities. This project should be fostered in every way.
- 4. Inability of pilots to identify their positions is a frequent contributing cause of accidents. The perfecting of a procedure whereby accurate fixes may be had by means of radio-triangulation, both from ground and aircraft, should serve a useful purpose.

Terrain

Operations over mountainous or hilly terrain entail some additional hazard and require a continued policy of conservatism particularly in bea or questionable weather.

Night Flying

- 1. Night operations, in conducted with full consideration as to weather, appear to involve only slightly more risk than day operations.
- 2. Fifty-eight percent of the reviewed accidents occurred at night and 66% of these involved bad or questionable weather.

Collision

No collisions have occurred between air transports in flight and the probability of such can be minimized through proper application of presently operating Air Traffic Control.

ACCIDENT SYMOPSIS

		PROBABLE ACCIDENT	CAUSES		FATALITIES RESULTING			
	Pers	sonnel	Other (Causes	{			
Accident	• • •	- <i>-</i> -	Air-		Passen -		Steward-	
Hunber	Pilots	Haintenance	craft	Hisel.	gers	Pilots	esses	
401	X			_	5	2	1	
402			17 21		0	0	0	
403		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>	0		
404	<u></u>				00	0	0	
405	X			·	4	2	<u>l</u>	
<u>.</u> 40ý						<u> </u>	0'	
4.07	_ 				0	0	<u> </u>	
408	<u>v</u>					0	<u> </u>	
409	pa				<u> </u>		QQ	
410	<u> </u>				4	1	<u> </u>	
411	Ă.				0	0	0 ,	
412			2.		0	0	0	
412 413				X	j	0		
414					0	0	0	
415	3				0	0	0 .	
41.6					0	0	0	
417	X				0	Ō	0 .	
41.6	X				1	1	0	
419			X		0	0		
420			7.		0	0	0 ;	
421	X				0	1.	0	
422				X		0	Ö	
422 423 424	<u> </u>	· 				<u></u>	0	
424				τ _σ	0	Ó	0	
425	X				0	0	0	
	15		7	3	14	٤	2	

Accident Number	Pilots	Haintenance	<i>hir</i> ⊷ craft	iscl.	Fassen⊷ gers	Pilots	Steward- esses
1700	1 22000	11001100	01 01 0	#20#	2010	1 22000	6969
Carried fu	d. 15		7	3	14	8	2
501			47 4		0	0	0
50%	77				0	<u>_</u>	Q
503	X				0	CC	0
504	X				Ŭ.	0	0
505	77				0	<u> </u>	0
506	77 4-				0	0	0
507 500	77			•	0	0	0
<u> 5</u> 00			<u>-</u> - <u></u>		0	0	0
509			77		0	0	0
510.	X				0	1	0
511 512 513	23.				3	2	0
512					0	1	0
513					1	1	0
51	Annual Control of the			X	0	0	0
515	77. 27.				0	0	<u> </u>
516	41.				0	0	
517					0	0	0
518			7÷		2	2	0
519	32				Ö	0	0
520					0	2	1
521			77		0	0	0
522	<u> </u>				9	2	1
523			I.		0	Ö	0
524	X		 		0	0	0
525			X		0	0	0
524 525 526			X		0	0	0
527		Λ			0	0	0
528			77		0	0	0
529			X		0	0	0
	31.	2	17	۲,	29	20	4

TABLE A-3

Accident Number	Pilots	Jaintenance	Air - cralt	Miscl.	Passen- gers	Pilots	Steward- csses
Carried fw	d. 31	2	17	4 ,	29	20	4
601	72				o	. 0	О
502	X				0	0	0
603	***				14	2	1
604					0	0	0
605		Λ			Û	0	
306	X				0	1	0
607	12				0	0	Ô
<u> </u>	<u></u>	 		<u> </u>	0	j j	0
309	X				0	0	0
610					0	0	0
511	<u>х</u>				0	0	0
612	X		 		0	0	0
613	Ā				0	0	0
514	37				0	0	0 -
615	X				0	0	0
616	$\frac{1}{\chi}$				1	1	₀
61.7			Ä		0	O	0
615	X				10	0 2	ŏ -
510			7		0		
520			Ž.		0	0 J	0
621			7.7 4%		0		0
622		X			0	0	0
623	X				0	0	
624			X		0	0	0
625			77	- 	0	0	
526	 	<u> </u>			<u>-</u>	2	0
627	77 25				0	0	0
628			47 7		0	0	0
<u>629</u>			X		0	0	0
	49	4	25	5	60	28	5

Accident Number	Pilots	Maintenance	Λir⊷ craft	Miscl.	Passen- gers	Pilots	Steward- esses !
Carried fw	d. 49	4	25	5	60	28	5
630			X		<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>
631			7.		0	0	0
632	77				0	0	0
633	77 11				0	0	0 1
634	7/					2	7.
63 5					0	2	0
636	X				0	0	0
637	λ				9	2	ī
701	X				5	i	0
702	X				0	0	0
703		·····			Ċ	0	0
704		- <u></u>	X		0	<u> </u>	0
705						2	<u>1</u>
706	X.		·	 	0	0	0
707			V.].Ŭ	2	1.
700				X	0	0	0
709			X		0	0	0 !
710	45					 0	<u></u>
711					- -	0	0 1
712				37	0	0	0
713			X		0	0	0
714			X			<u>_</u>	0 111
715			 	77. 23.	2	2	0
716	X				0	0	0
! <u></u> 717			X		0	0	0
718	X				16	2	1
7 19	X				0	<u>_</u>	
**************************************			X		8	2	0
<u> 602</u>	N A				0	0	0
Grand Tota	ls 64	5	35	8	122	45	10 = 177

	-	IRSBABLA AGGIDEN	CAUCES	IMPALTELY ALSUINTING			
	rers	omel	Other Janses			1	
Accident	} Pilots	aintenance	i Air- craît	 iscl.	Passen- gers	Pilots	: Stevard— eases
401	 				5	2	Ţ
4.05	4.				4	2	1
410	7-				4-	1	0
415	<u> </u>				1	1	0
21	Λ				0	1	Ū
42 <u>3</u> 502						1	Ö
502	4.				Ó	1.	0
510	4.				Ü	٠.	0
511.	77			-	3	2	Ō
512					0	1	0
51.3	4.				1	1	Ö
514	· - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		77		2	2	ņ
520					0	2	ĺ
522	4-				9	2	1
(03	I.				14	2	<u>1</u>
کُ0کُ					0	1	0
61.6	3− 2-,				1	1	0
61.6					10	2	<u>,</u>
<u>5</u> 26 634 635 637	y X				Ú	2	Ō
634					4	2	ì
<u> </u>	X				Ĉ	2	0
					9	2	1
701	2-				5	11	<u> </u>
	22		1		73	35	7

	Accident Thumber	Pilots	Laintenance	/ir- craft	Fiscl.	Passen- gers	Pilots	Stenard- esses
	Carried fud.	22		1		76	35	7
1	705		31			Ü	2	1
-	707			7		10	2_	1
1	715				-1- -1-	2	2	0
-	710	-7 ✓L				16	2	1
	S01			-1		Ö	2	Ō
	Grand Totals 28	23	1	3	ı	122	45	10 = 177

SERIOUS ACCIDENTS

		PRODEBLE ACCIDEN	T CAUSES		FAT	ALITIES LEL	JLTMIG
	Pers	sonnel	Ot'ier	Causes			∤
Accident Turber	Pilots	Tairtenance	Air- craft	Miscl.	Passen— gers	Pilots	Sterard- esses
406	-				0	1.	0
408	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		2	2	0
411	۷۱.				Ö	2	0
411 412			3		0	1	0
413					0	1	0
415	7.				0	1	Ū
413					0	1	0
419			3.		0	1	0
422				77	0	2	0
4,24					0	0	0
506					0	Ö	<u> </u>
508			Ţ		0	2	1
510	<u>}</u>				3	0	Ç
<i>5</i> 17		<u>\(\tilde{V} \) \(\tilde{V} \)</u>			0	0	0
51.9					0	0	0
524	77 - <u>1</u> 2		, · 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	С	
526					0	0	0
527				-	0	0	o -
526			-r Js		0	0	- C
529			77		0	1	Ö
301	I.				0	0	0
602	Z				Û	O	0
504					0	0	0
<u> </u>	77 24 77				0	1	0
611	<u>Y</u>				0	0	0
	15	2	E	2	5	13	1

Accident cunber	Pilots	Faintenance	Air - crait	inscl.	Passen- gers	Pilots	Steward- esses
Carried fud.	1.5	2	Ś	2	5	13	ı
622		<u> </u>			2	1	
623	<u> </u>				12	2	1
629			₹7 21.		Ö	1	
633	7.7 7.				Ö	1	0
<u> </u>	-2					2	0
706					0	0	0
710	7.				0	0	0
711					0	0	0
712					٤	0	0
i Grand Totals	5						
34	20	3	C	3	22	23	2 = 47
i					- <u>-</u> -		

LITEOL ACCIDANTS

		PRODABLE CAUSES		FATALITIES RESULTING				
	Per:	sonnel	Other (Causes				
Accident Number	¦ Pilots	Haintenance	Ai r- craft	liscl.	Passen- gers	Pilots	Steward- esses	
402			7 - 2 -		0	0	0	
403					0	1	0	
404	<u> </u>				0	. 2]	
407	7				0	0	0	
409			₹7 *		Ü	0	O	
414 415			<u> </u>		0	0	0	
45			<u>A</u>	··- · - ·		0	0	
420			4.		0	1	00	
425	- 				0	0	0	
501			77		0	0	0	
<u> 503 </u>	- _T		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	.0	0	
504	1.				00	00	0	
505					2	<u> </u>	0	
507	₹₽ 4				00	0	00	
509			Ţ.		0	00	0	
51/,				X	3	0	0	
515	72				0	0	0	
521			7 <u>.</u> 7.	·	0	0	0	
523	<u>-</u>		X		0	0	O .	
<u> 525 </u>					0	0	0	
	9		10	1	5	5	1	

Accident			fir-	_	Passen-		Stever
rodmul.	Pilots	ainvenance	craīt	Tiscl.	gers	Pilots	esses
Carried fwd.	2		10	1	5	5	1
605		X			0	0	0
<u> </u>				-r	0	<u>)</u>	0
<u>(</u> 60 _]						0	
5 <u>1</u> 0					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
512	44.				0	0	00
013 517. 515		_			0		00
51,/	77 ∡-				0	0	0
515					0	0	0
617			<u> </u>		00	0	0
619 320 321 321 321					0	0	O _
			22		0	0	0
5;? <u>1</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ö	0	0
Cai,			2.h		0	0	
325			7.		U	0	0
627		#- (************************************	and the transmit of the transm		0	7	0
[525					0	0	
350)	Ū	0
531					0	0	0
625 627 620 630 631 632					9	O	0
702					0	0	0
703	***			The second secon	<u> </u>	0	0
704		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	47		0	0	<u> </u>
708			, g		0	Ú.	:)
709			77		0	<u> </u>	0
	19	1	21	3	5	5	1
						·	. -

14DLE D-3

Accident Number	Pilots	Faintenance	Air- craft	iscl.	Passen- gers	Pilots	Steward- esses
Carried fwd.	. 19	1	21	3	5	5	1
713						0	
714			X		00	0	
716	77				0	0	0i
717					0	0	0
719	<u> </u>				00	00	0
SOR		· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0	0	<u> </u>
Grand Totals	3						
50	22	1	24	3	5	5	1 = 11

TABIN I

ACCIDENT SUBMARIZATION

l.	Accidents	113
2.	Accidents involving no injuries or fatalities	60
S(a)	Accidents involving fatalities or injuries, passengers or crew	52
3.	Accidents - Fatal	23
4.	Accidents - Fatal, passengers only	Fone
5.	Accidents - Fatal, crew only	8
6.	Accidents - Fatal, passengers and crew	20
7.	Accidents - Injuries only	24
8.	Accidents - Injuries, passengers only	3
9.	Accidents - Injuries, crew only	16
LO.	Accidents - Injuries, passengers and crew.	5

ACCIDENT FREQUENCY VS. PILOT HOURS

Filet Experience Groups of 500 hrs.		Number of Accidents	Pilot Experience Groups of 500 hrs.
1,500 - 2,000	3	11	3,500 - 4,000
2,000 - 2,500	1	9	5,500 - 6,000
2,500 - 3,000	4	9	7,000 - 7,500
3,000 - 5,500	7	8	5,000 - 5,500
3,500 - 4,000	11	8	6,000 - 6,500
4,000 - 4,500	7	8	8,500 - 9,000
4,500 - 5,000	6	7	3,000 - 3,500
5,000 - 5,500	8	7	4,000 - 4,500
5,500 - 6,000	9	7	6,500 - 7,000
6,000 - 6,500	8	6	4,500 - 5,000
6,500 - 7,000	7	6	8,000 - 8,500
7,000 - 7,500	9	5 5	7,500 - 8,000
7,500 - 8,000	5	! A	2,500 - 3,000
8,000 - 3,500	€	Ŷ	9,000 - 9,500
8,500 - 9,000	6	3	9,500 - 10,000
9,000 - 9,500	4	2	1,500 - 2,000
9,500 - 10,000	Z	2	10,000 - 10,500
10,000 ~ 10,500	2	1	2,000 - 2,500
10,500 - 11,000	1	1	10,500 - 11,000
11,000 - 11,500	1	1	11,000 - 11,500
11,500 - 13,000	1	1	11,500 - 12,000
12,000 - 12,500	1	1	12,000 - 12,500
12,500 - 13,000	1	1	12,500 - 13,600

TABLU G

	First :	PILOTS		CO-PILOES			
Age	Acci- dents	Acci- dents	Age	i' ii Age	Acci- dents	Acci- dents	Age
25	1		,		 	! ! !	
26	1	16	33	19	2	11	29
27	5	15	30	21	Û	9	28
28	ધ	1.2	34	25	2	7	30
29	5	ਲ	37	3,4	3	6	27
30	13	8	28	35	3	4	26
31	4	6	32	26	4	3	37
32	6	6	33	27	6	5	35
53	16	6	28	, 50 	9	3	31
34	12	5	29	29	11 1	3	25
35	Ť	5	27	30	7	5	24
36	3	5	42	31	্র	2	3 8
3 7	3	4	31	32	ا م	2	36
38	6	4	35	33	3	2	34
39	6	<i>2</i>].	43	! : 34	ខ	2	32
40	1	3	36	i 36	Ω	5	23
41	2	2	41	37	S	2	21
42	5	1	25	33	2	۵	19
43	4	1	25	40	1 }	7	40
44	1	1	4:0		!		
		1	44	•	 		
			 		 		

TABLE H
ACCIDENT FEDGUENCY VS. CO-FILCT HOURS

Pilot Emperience, Grows of 300 hrs.		Number of Accidents	
O - F00	1	11	500 - 1,000
500 - 1,000	14	14	1,600 - 1,500
1,000 - 1,500	14	1:3	1,500 - 2,000
1,500 - 2,000	13	5	2,000 - 2,500
2,000 - 2,500	5	<u> </u>	2,500 - 3,000
2,500 - 3,000	5	<u></u>	3,000 ~ 3,500
3,000 - 3,500	Ť	3	3,500 - 4,000
3,500 - 4,000	3	3	4,000 - 4,500
4,000 - 4,500	r? G	:3	4,500 - 5,000
4,500 - 5,000	<i>5</i>	3	5,000 - 5,500
5,000 - 5,500	Ü	1	5,000 - 5,500
ā,500 - 6,000	0	1	0 - 500
6,000 - 6,500	()		
6,500 - 7,000	0	;	
7,000 - 7,500			

ACCIDENT FREQUENCY VS. FILOT HOURS IN LAST 90 DAYS

Pilot Hours, Groups of 20		Number of Accidents	
40 - 60	1.	29	240 - 260
60 - 80	1	21	220 - 240
80 - 100	3	15	300 - 200
100 - 120	1	13	160 - 180
120 - 140	3	9	180 - 200
140 - 160	5	5	140 - 160
160 - 180	12	4_	260 - 280
180 - 200	9	3	30 - 100
300 - 230	15	2	130 - 140
350 - 540	21	2	280 - 300
240 - 260	29	1	40 - 60
860 - 830	Λ	Ţ	60 – 30
280 - 300	<u></u>	1 1	100 - 180
300 - 320	1	1	300 - 320
Unknown	6		
		1	
		i !	

TABLE K

AIRCRAFT TYPE & AGE (In Months)

Acciden	1.	Age in	Acciden	t .	Age in
Number		Months	Number		Months
	- E	11011 0110	1.03.501	7 g L O	
401	B.247	1	514	B.247	24
402	Stn SM 6000	Unknown	515	Doug. DC 2	7
403	Litd Vega 5-C	42	516	Condor AT-32	27
404		13	517	Doug. DC 2	12
405	Condor T-32	3	518	Stn A	2
406	Pten PA-7M	50	519	Lkd Electra 10-A	8
407	Pten PA-8	3 9	520	B. 247-D	27
408	Electra 10-A	1	521	Doug. DC 2	9
409		60	522	B. 247-D	29
410	Stn SM-6000	36	523	Lkd Vega 5-C	54
411	Ford 5-ATD	Unknown	524	Stn - Ū	30
412	Stn Relt	3	525	Stmn 4-CM-1	54
413	Stn SM 6000-B	39	526	Lind Vega 5-0	60
414	Stn SR-5E	5 ² ,	527	Stn "A"	3
415	Stn Sii 6000-B	41	528	Ikd Electra 10-A	б
416	Lkd Orion 9-D	15	529	Lkd Sirius 8-0	Unknown
417	Northrop IPCLM	41	}		
418	Stn SM 6000-B	43	601	Lkd Electra 10-A	9
419	Liid Vega 5-C	48	603	Litd Electra 10-B	3
420	Ptn PA-3	46	603	Doug. DC 2	12
421	Lkd Vega 5-C	40	604	Detroit Vega D4-1	
422	B. 247-D	19	60 5	DC 3	14 '
423	Litd Orion	15	606	Vultee Vl-A	15
424	Doug. DC 2	5	607	Stn U	40분
425	Condor	20	608	B. 40 B-4	84
426			609	\mathtt{Condor}	23
501	Lkd Orion 9-D	Unknovn	610	Condor AT-32	24
50≳	Fleetster	24	611	DC 2	15
503	Northrop Alpha 4-A	4'3	613	DC 3	14
504	Stn SM 6000-B	43	613	Ford 5-AT-B	7 5
	Vultee Vl-A	6	614	B. 247-D	36
506	B. 247	ට 4	615	DC 3	16
50 7	Stn Relt SR-5A	8	616	Stn "A"	4
508	Doug. DC 2	5	617	Vultee	21
509	Doug. DC 2	3	618	DC 2	18
510	Lltd Vega #73	65	619	DC S	18
511	Doug. DC 2	3	620	DC 2	10
512	B. Monomail 221-A	43	621	Vultee	Unknown
5 1 3	Stn SM 6000-B	45	622	Stn "A"	17

TABLE K (Contd)

Acciden	t	Age in	Acciden	t	Age in
Number	Type	Honths	Number	Type	Months
2.05				T1 - T1 - 10 - 1	
623	DC 3	3	7 04	Lkd Electra 10-A	4
624	Litd Electra 10-B	8	705	DC 3-A	2
625	Stn "A"	5	706	D0 8	27
626	Lkd Electra 10-B	3	707	DC 2	32
627	Lud Electra 10-A	30	708	Lkd Electra 10-A	3 0
628	B. 247	39	709	DC 2	29
629	Lkd Orion 9-D	36	710	DC 3	5
630	Ikd Electra 10-A	30	711	Lkd Electra 10-A	32
631	Condor AT-32	30	712	Lkd Vega 5-C	96
632	Stn "A"	15	713	D0 3	31
635	3. 247-D	43	714	Lkd Vega 5-C	84
634	B. 247-D	15	715	DC S	34
635	Lkd Electra	21	7 16	DC 3-A	7
636	DC 2	27	717	DC 3	3
637	B. 247-D	40	718	DC 3-A	10
			719	DC 3	12
701	B. 247-D	30			
702	DC 2	38	801	Lkd 14-H	4
703	Lkd Electra 10-B	4	802	Lhd Electra 10-B	28 🐪

TABLE L ACCIDENT FREQUENCY VS. AGE OF AIRCRAFT

Age Groups Months	Number of Accidents	Number of Accidents	Age Groups Months
1 - 5	16	16	1 - 5
5 - 10	14	14	5 - 10
10 - 15	8	11	15 - 20
15 - 20	11	11	30 - 35
30 - 25	8	9	40 - 45
25 ~ 30	7	8	10 - 15
30 - 35	11	8	20 - 25
35 - 40	7	7	25 - 30
40 - 45	9	7	35 - 40
4 5 - 50	5	5	45 - 50
50 - 55	3	3	50 - 55
55 - 60	0	5	60 - 65
60 - 65	2	2	80 85
65 - 70	1	1	65 - 7 0
70 - 7 5	0	1	7 5 - 80
75 - 80	1	1	95 - 100
80 - 85	2		
85 - 90	0		
90 - 95	0		
95 - 100	1		
Unknown	6		

TABLE M

WEATHER CONDITIONS (112 accidents)

		Hazardous	Questionable	Good	Total
Fatal (28)	18	3	7	28
Serious (34)	15	6	13	34
Minor (50)	10	15	25	50
TOTAL (1)		43	24	45	112

TABLE H

ACCIDENT FREQUENCY - TERMAIN CONDITIONS

	Airport	Mountains	Hear Airport	Rolling	Level	Hills	Swamp	Air	√ater	Total
Fatal (28)	0	12	5	3	2	4 .	1	0	1	28
Serious (34)	1.0	3	ઇ	5	6	1	1	0	0	34
Fiinor (50)	27	5	4	5	2	4	1	2	0	50
Total(112)	37	20	17	13	10	9	3	2	1.	112

TABLE P

GENERAL DATA - 112 ACCIDENTS

				6	70.	Fatali	ties	Injur	ries
Acc. No.	Date	Hour	Place	Type Aircraft	Danage to Aircraft	Pass.	Crew	Pass.	Crew
401	2/23 134	2:2 6 P	20 miles East of Salt Lake	Boeing 247	Destroyed	5	3	0	0
402	2/26 •34	1:22P	Near Savannah	Stinson S. 6000	Hinor	0	0	С	0
403		12.30A	Near Pittsburgh	Lockheed Vega-50	Serious	0	0	0	1
404	6/7	5:14P	35 miles East of Seattle	Boeing 247	Serious	0	0	4	3
405	5/9 •34	5:04P	26 miles M.W. of Liberty, M.Y.	Condor T-32	Destroyed	4	3	0	0
406	7/9	12:35A	Near airpAtlanta	Pitcairn PA-711	Serious	-	0		J
407	134 7/9	2:30A	Near airpChattanooga	Pitcairn PA-S	Serious	-	9	-	0
408	134 8/7	11:56P	Near sirp.—Hilwaukee	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Serious	0	0	2	2
409	134 8/8	4:20P	3 miles South of	Ford	Minor•	0	0	0	0 -
410	,	10:47P	Lebanon, Mo. Wear Oregon, Mo.	Stinson SI 6000	Destroyed	4	l	0	0
411	134 9/2/	9:25P	Wear Cochrane, Mis.	Ford S-ATD	Najor	-	0		2
412	134 9/13	400A	Adj.Love Field, Dallas	Stinson Reliant	Destroyed	-	0		1
413	134 9/29	5:00 <i>I</i> .	Hear H. Jackson, Chio	Stinson SH 6000-D	Serious	-	0	-	1
414	134 11/2	6:00P	Near Marlin, Texas	Stinson SR-5E	Serious		0	_	0
415	134 11/6 134	1:30A	Mear Centerville, Pa.	Stinson Sil 6000-B	Serious	-	0	-	1

				m	Davis	Fabali	ties	Injur	ies
Acc. Ho.	Date	Hour	Place	Tyne Aircraft	Damage to Aircraft	Pass.	Crew	Pass.	Crew
416	11/15 134	12:15A	Airp. Nemphis	Lockheed Orion 10-D	Destroyed	-	0		9
417		S:45P	3 miles S.S.M. of Mewhall, Calif.	Northrop	Serious	***	0	~	l
413	11/15 •34	11:42P	Near Amazonia, Mo.	Stinson SN 6000-B	Destroyed	l	1	0	0
419	11/24	5:15A	Hear Clemscott, Okla.	Lockheed Vega-50	Serious	-	0		1
4,20	11/26	5:10A	Hear Hyles, Ala.	Pitcairn PA-8	linor	-	0	~	1
421	12/6 134	5:201	4 3/4 miles East of Columbia, No.	Lockheed Vega 5-C	Destroyed	-	1		0
422	12/20 •34	€:15P	Hear Mestern Springs, Ill.	Boeing 247-D	Major	0	0	0	2
423	12/22 134	3:45A	Near Seabright, Temm.	Lockheed Orion	Destroyed	-	J	0	0
424	12/26	3:30A	Wear Columbia, No.	Douglas DG-2	Serious	0	O	O	0
425		8:35P	Near Milmurt, N.Y.	Condor	Serious	0	0	0	0
501	12/10 135	6:20A	Wear Pittsburg Landing,	Lockheed Orion 9-D	Destroyed	-	0	-	0
502	1/26 135	2:20A	Near airptPittsburgh	Consol. Fleetster	Destroyed	-	1	0	0
503	1/31 135	6.00P	Mear Glendale, Cal.	Northrop Alpha 4-A	Serious		0		0
504	2/11 135	6:55P	Wear Yorkville, Ill.	Stinson Sii 6000-B	Major	0	0	0	0

TADLE P-3

				m	D 1	Fatali	ities	Inju	ries
Acc. No.	Date	Hour	Place	Type Aircraît	Damage to Aircraft	Pass.	Crew	Pass.	Crew
505	2/15 !35	2:401	Hear Sullivan, No.	Vultee 4-A	Serious	0	Q	2	1
506	2/26 135	7:3EP	Hear Orange, Va.	Foeing 247	Hinor	0	0	0	0
507	3/7 135	3:10P	20 miles Horth of Casper, Wyo.	Stinson Reliant SR-5A	Serious	-	0		0
50६	4/16 135	4:20 <i>I</i> .	Rear airpt., Detroit	Douglas DC-2	Hajor	0	0	0	3
509		12:44P	On airpt., Columbus	Douglas DC-2	Serious	0	0	0	0
51.0		10:45A	Rattlesnake Butte, Colo.	Lockheed Vega	Destroyed	-	1		0
511	5/3 135	3.30A	Wear Atlanta, No.	Douglas DC-2	Destroyed	3	2	7	J
512	5/27 135	4:15P	Vic. Glendo, Wyo.	Doeing Honomail 221-A	Destroyed	-	1	-	0
513		10:26P	4 miles Northwest of Wilwood, Ill.	Stinson SM-6000-B	Destroyed	1	1	О	O
514	6/12 135	5:3 5 P	Near Harpers Ferry, Va.	Boeing 247	līo	0	0	3	0
515	7/8 1 35	10:35P	Airpt.Washington,D.C.	Douglas DC-2	Lajor	0	0	0	0
51.6	7/27 135	2:55A	Hear Murphreesboro,	Condor AT-32	lajor	0	0	3	0
517		12:55A	30 miles East of Albuquerque	Douglas DC-2	Destroyed	0	0	0	0
513		11:45P	Mear Silmer, Texas	Stinson "A"	Destroyed	2	2	0	0
519		2:50P	Near airpt. Seattle	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Major	0	0	0	0
520		11:07P	Near airpt. Burbank	Boeing 247-D	Destroyed	-	3	0	0

TABLE P-4

				27		Fatali	ities	Inju	ries
Acc. Mo.	Date	Hour	Place	Type Aircraft	Damage to Aircraft	Pass.	Crew	Pass.	Crew
521	9/९ 1 35	3:50P	On airpt. Buffalo	Douglas DC-2	Hinor	0	0	0	0
522	10/7 135	2:19A	Mear Cheyenne	Boeing 247-D	Destroyed	9	3	0	0
523	10/17	2:30A	Hear Jackson, Miss.	Lockheed Vega 5-C	Serious	-	0	_	0
524	10/18	10:30A	On airpt. E.St.Louis	Stinson "U"	Serious	-	0	-	0
525		4:55P	Mear Franconia, Va.	Stearman 4-CII-1	Hajor	-	0	-	0
526		11:00A	Hear Crowley, La.	Lockheed Vega 5-C	Minor	0	٥	0	0
527		11:201	On airpt. Fittsburgh	Stinson "A"	Hajor	0	Ō	0	0
5 2 8	135 12/12	7:20A	Hear Childress, Tex.	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Major	0	0	О	O
529	135 12/24 135	5:13A	Near airpt.Dimningham	Lockheed Sirius 8-0	llajor		0	-	ì.
601	1/3 !36	9:30A	On airpt.St.Paul,liinn.	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Serious	0	0	O	0
602	1/4	1:40%	On airpt. Chattannooga	Lockheed Electra 10-B	Serious	-	0	-	0
603	136 1/14	7:33P	Goodwin, Arkansas	Douglas DC-2	Destroyed	14	3	0	0
604	136 1/19	7:50A	On airpt. Fichita	Detroit Vega DL-1	Minor	-	0	-	O
605	136 1/29 136	11:09A	Falls, Texas 79 miles East of Detroit	Douglas DC+2	lunor	0	0	0	0

7-5 TIEFL

Acc.				Urmo	Demons to	Fatali	ties	Injur	ies
No.	Date	Hour	Place	Type Aircraft	Damage to Aircraft	Pass. (Crew]	Pass.	Crew
606	1/29 136	3:06P	Little Elm, Texas	Vultce Vl-A	lajor	0	1	5	0
507		1:544	Airpt. Albany	Stinson "U"	Hajor	0	0	O	1
608		.2:20A	Airpt. Cmaha	Boeing 40-I-4	Serious	-	0	-	0
309	-,	1:15A	/drpt.Louisville, Ky.	Condor	Serious	0	0	О	0
610		3:20A	Airpt. El Paso	Condor AT-32	Serious	0	0	0	0
511		7:25P	Airpt.Louisville,Ky.	Douglas DC-2	Serious	0	0	0	0
612		2:30P	Airpt. fashington, D.C.	Douglas DC-2	Enor	0	0	0	Э
613		7:02P	Airpt. Lansing	Ford 5-AT-E	Serious	-	0	~	0
614	-,	3:45A	Adj. airpt. Cheyenne	Boeing 247-D	Serious		0	-	0
615		1:167	Airpt. He.arl:	Douglas DC-2	Serious	0	C	С	0
616		7:14P	2 miles Mest of Pavilion, N.Y.	Stinson "A"	Destroyed	1	1	0	0
61'7		3:04P	Adj.airpt.St.Louis	Vultee	Serious	0	0	О	0
618		0:20A	Mear Fairchance, Pa.	Douglas DC-2	Destroyed	10	2	1	1
619	-	E:52P	Airpt. Columbus	Douglas DC-2	Serious	0	0	0	0

TABLE P-6

Acc.				(Page 6)	Damage to	Fatali	ties	Injur	ies
NGC.	Date	Hour	Place	Type Aircraft	Aircrast	Pass.	Crew	Pass.	Crew
620	4/15 136	1:54A	Airpt. Chicago	Douglas BC-2	Serious	0	0	0	0
621	5/8 136	7:3.0P	l mile East of Naderia, Ohio	Vultee	Linor	0	0	0	0
622	5/26 136	3:35P	Airpt. Chicago	Stinson "A"	Destroyed	0	0	2	1
623	5/31 136	9:04P	Vic. Airpt. Chicago	Douglas DC-2	lajor	0	0	12	3
624	6/10 136	7:2CA	On airpt. Mobile	Lockheed Electra 10-B	Serious	0	0	0	0
625		12:22P	On airpt.Elkins,W.Va.	Stinson "A"	Serious	0	О	0	0
626		10:00P	Vic.airpt. Robertson,	Lockheed Electra 10-8	Destroyed	6	2	0	0
627	8/22 136	9:40A	On airpt. Minneapolis	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Serious	-	0	-	0
628		10: 9 0P	On airpt. Detroit	Boeing 247	Serious	0	0	0	0
629		12:10P	Buffalo, Tyo.	Lockheed Orion 9-D	Serious	0	0	0	1
630	10/26	1:50A	On airpt. Kansas City	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Seri.ous		0	-	0
631	10/26	2:45P	On airpt. Robertson,	Condor AT-32	Serious	0	0	0	0
632	11/14	4:45A	On airpt. Mashington, D.C.	Stinson "A"	Serious	0	0	0	0
633	11/24	A00:6	Vic. airpt. Newark	Boeing 247-D	Ilajor	0	0	0	1
634	12/15 136	3:14A	Near Salt Lake City	Boeing 247-D	Destroyed	4	3	0	0

51- 'MBLE P-6

TABLE P-7

Acc.				Туре	Damage to	Fatali	ities	Injur	ries
Hoo.	Date	Hour	Place	Aircraft	Aircraft	Pass.	Crew	Pass.	Crew
635	12/18	3:23A	12 miles SW of Kellogg, Idaho	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Destroyed	0	2	0	0
636	12/19 136	8:47P	4 miles HE of Hilford, Pa.	Douglas DC-2	Major	0	0	1	2
637	12/27 136	7:3CP	-	Boeing 247-D	Destroyed	9	3	0	0
701	1/12 •37	11:07A	Mear Newhall, Calif.	Boeing 247-D	Destroyed	5	1	6	2
702	1/17 137	1:00P	On airpt. Charleston	Douglas DC-2	Serious	0	0	0	0
703		10:50A	On airpt. Jackson, Hiss.	Lockheed Electra 10—B	Major	0	0	0	O
704	2/5 137	9:32A	On airpt.Portland, No.	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Serious	0	0	O	0
705	2/9 137	€:50P	Vic. airpt. San Fran.	Douglas DC-3A	Destroyed	8	3	0	٥
706	2/18 137	1:40A	On airpt. Atlanta	Douglas DC-2	Serious	0	0	0	0
707	3/25 137	6:40P	New Clifton, Pa.	Douglas DC-2	Destroyed	10	3	0	0
708		12:17A	2 miles North of Yown- send, Hontenroute	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Serias	0	0	0	0
709		12noon	Enroute - near Spring-	Douglas DC-2	Hinor	0	0	0	0
710	4/27	9:05P	field, Mo. $\frac{1}{2}$ NE airpt. Cheyenne	Douglas DC-3	Hajor	0	0	0	0
711	137 5/17	1:54P	Near Billings, Hont.	Lockheed Electra 10-A	Ninor	0	0	0	0
712	137 5/18 137	3:55P	On airpt. El Paso	Lockheed Vega 5-C	Major	0	0	2	0

TABLI P-8

				TI.	T	Fatalities Injur		ics	
Acc.	Date	Hour	Place	Type Aircraît	Damage to Aircraft	Pass. (Crew H	ass.	Crew
713	6/5 137	7:10P	On airpt. Pittsburgh	Douglas DC-2	lajor	0	0	0	0
714	8/1 137	3: 45P	6 miles that of Ellen- dale, N. Dak enroute	Lockheed Vega 5-0	linor	0	O	0	0
715	S/10 137	4:400	Tic. airpt. Daytona Reach	Douglas DC-2	Destroyed	2	2	4	1
716	8/19 ! 37	3:05P	Cn airpt. Unicago	Douglas DC-3-A	lajor	0	0	0	O
717	10/2 137	6:041	On airpt. Chicago	Douglas DC-3	Serious	0	O	0	0
718	10/17	9;00P	Wear Humpy Ridge, Wtah	Douglas DC-3A	Destroyed	16	3	0	0
719	10/29 137	2:00P	On airpt. Hemark	Douglas DC-3	Serious	0	0	0	0
301	1/10 •38	3:07P	Hear Bozeman, Hono.	Lockheed 14-fī	Destroyed	S	2	0	0
802	1/30 136	4:56P	On airpt. Concord, H.H.	Lockheed Electra 10-B	Serious	0	Q	0	C