ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijheh

Long-term nighttime aircraft noise exposure and risk of hypertension in a prospective cohort of female nurses

Junenette L. Peters^{a,*,1}, Stephanie T. Grady^{a,1}, Francine Laden^{b,c,d}, Elizabeth Nelson^e, Matthew Bozigar^{a,f}, Jaime E. Hart^{b,c}, JoAnn E. Manson^{b,d,g}, Tianyi Huang^b, Susan Redline^{b,d,h}, Joel D. Kaufmanⁱ, John P. Forman^b, Kathryn M. Rexrode^j, Jonathan I. Levy^a

^a Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

^b Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

^c Exposure, Epidemiology and Risk Program, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

^d Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

^g Division of Preventive Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

^h Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

¹ Departments of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, Epidemiology, and Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

^j Division of Women's Health, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Aircraft Aviation Cardiovascular Hypertension Nighttime Noise

ABSTRACT

There is growing interest in cardiometabolic outcomes associated with nighttime noise, given that noise can disturb sleep and sleep disturbance can increase cardiometabolic risk such as hypertension. However, there is little empirical research evaluating the association between nighttime aircraft noise and hypertension risk. In this study, we expand on previous work to evaluate associations between nighttime aircraft noise exposure and selfreported hypertension incidence in the Nurses' Health Studies (NHS/NHSII), two US-wide cohorts of female nurses. Annual nighttime average aircraft sound levels (Lnight) surrounding 90 airports for 1995–2015 (in 5-year intervals) were modeled using the Aviation Environmental Design Tool and assigned to participants' geocoded addresses over time. Hypertension risk was estimated for each cohort using time-varying Cox proportionalhazards models for Lnight dichotomized at 45 dB (dB), adjusting for individual-level hypertension risk factors, area-level socioeconomic status, region, and air pollution. Random effects meta-analysis was used to combine cohort results. Among 63,229 NHS and 98,880 NHSII participants free of hypertension at study baseline (1994/ 1995), we observed 33,190 and 28,255 new hypertension cases by 2014/2013, respectively. Although ~1% of participants were exposed to $L_{night} \ge 45$ dB, we observed an adjusted hazard ratio (HR) of 1.10 (95% CI: 0.96, 1.27) in NHS and adjusted HR of 1.12 (95% CI: 0.98, 1.28) in NHSII, comparing exposure to $L_{night} \ge 45$ versus <45 dB(A). In meta-analysis, we observed an adjusted HR of 1.11 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.23). These results were attenuated with adjustment for additional variables such as body mass index. Our findings support a modest positive association between nighttime aircraft noise and hypertension risk across NHS/NHSII, which may reinforce the concept that sleep disturbance contributes to noise-related disease burden.

1. Introduction

Aircraft noise is an unintended consequence of aviation activities and a concern for communities surrounding airports (Guski et al., 2017; Jarup et al., 2008). Among transportation noise sources such as road and rail noise, aircraft noise has been reported to be the most annoying (Brink et al., 2019). According to the World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe, noise is "among the top environmental risks to health" (World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, 2018). Noise is thought to adversely affect health by activating the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2024.114457

Received 2 May 2024; Received in revised form 6 September 2024; Accepted 6 September 2024 Available online 12 September 2024

1438-4639/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

^e College of Arts and Sciences, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

^f College of Public Health and Human Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA

 $^{^{\}ast}$ Corresponding author. 715 Albany Street, Talbot 4W, Boston, MA, 02118, USA.

E-mail address: petersj@bu.edu (J.L. Peters).

¹ Dual first authors.

autonomic and endocrine systems, directly through sleep disturbance and indirectly through cognitive and emotional responses to noise disturbances. Release of stress hormones in response to long-term noise exposure can disturb endothelial function and increase oxidative stress and inflammation, impacting disease risk factors such as blood pressure (Baudin et al., 2021; Charakida and Deanfield, 2013; Kroller-Schon et al., 2018; Munzel et al., 2018; Osborne et al., 2023; Schmidt et al., 2015).

In the most recent reports reviewing trends through 2021, hypertension remained one of the top five risk factors for global burden of disease and is the leading contributor to cardiovascular disease and a major contributor to kidney disease (Collaborators, 2018, 2022, 2024; Fisher and Curfman, 2018; Vaduganathan et al., 2022). Although several studies have found an association between aircraft noise and hypertension (Baudin et al., 2020a; Eriksson et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015; Pyko et al., 2018), other studies have found little to no association (Carugno et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2022; Zeeb et al., 2017). This lack of consistent results may be due to variations in study designs, noise measurements, and case definitions (Chang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015; Kempen et al., 2018). Most studies have used 24-h average sound metrics, which may not capture sleep disturbance accurately, and are case-control or cross-sectional designs (Dimakopoulou et al., 2017; Eriksson et al., 2010; Evrard et al., 2017; Jarup et al., 2008).

The evaluation of the effect of aircraft noise using 24-h average sound metrics, such as day-night or day-evening-night sound levels (DNL and Lden, respectively), is sometimes driven by data availability, ease of measurement, and use in environmental and regulatory assessments (Babisch and Kim, 2011; Correia et al., 2013; Heritier et al., 2017; Jarup et al., 2008; Peters et al., 2018), or informed by the size of the exposed study population (World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, 2018). For aircraft noise, the difference between 24-h average sound metrics and nighttime noise may be greater compared to other noise sources such as road traffic (Brink et al., 2018; Heritier et al., 2018; Roosli et al., 2019). And, there is a particular interest in evaluating the association of nighttime noise with hypertension due to its more direct relationship with sleep disturbance (Bozigar et al., 2023; Charakida and Deanfield, 2013; Schmidt et al., 2015; World Health Organization, 2009), which has been associated with cardiometabolic outcomes (Grandner et al., 2012; Kwok et al., 2018; Meng et al., 2013; St-Onge et al., 2016).

There have been a few studies investigating nighttime aircraft noise and its association with hypertension, using different outcome measures – increased prevalence of prescriptions for antihypertensive and cardiovascular drugs (Greiser et al., 2007), cross-sectional short-term elevations in blood pressure (Haralabidis et al., 2008), and incident hypertension (Dimakopoulou et al., 2017). In a study in Greece, Dimakopoulou et al. found a positive relationship with nighttime aircraft noise exposure but not daytime noise exposure (Dimakopoulou et al., 2017). In a cohort of postmenopausal women in the U.S., the Women's Health Initiative (WHI), we did not find an association between noise and incident hypertension, but the effect estimates, though less precise, were higher for nighttime noise compared with DNL (Nguyen et al., 2023).

Given the potential importance of nighttime noise for health outcomes, limited literature including nighttime noise exposure, and possible differences in diurnal patterns of aircraft noise, we build on our previously published work in two prospective cohorts of female nurses, where we investigated the association between aircraft noise characterized as DNL and incident hypertension and found a slight positive association (Kim et al., 2022). Here, we work within the same study population to explore the association between nighttime aircraft noise exposure and incident hypertension. We followed a similar design and analytical strategy as done in our previous paper on DNL and incident hypertension to facilitate comparison, and we were also able to update key spatial variables such as air pollution (for example, adding nitrogen dioxide) and neighborhood socioeconomic variables.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

Our study population was participants of the Nurses' Health Study (NHS) and the Nurses' Health Study II (NHSI). The NHS began in 1976 and the NHSII in 1989 with the recruitment of female U.S. registered nurses. Participants were followed up biennially with a response rate of 86% overall and 90–94% among those participating over a year (Bao et al., 2016). The follow-up questionnaires updated information on variables such as demographic characteristics, health-related behaviors, incidence of major diseases, medical history, and residential addresses. Participants were included in the current analyses if they were alive and free of hypertension in 1994/1995 - the year the first aircraft noise estimate was available - and had a noise estimate. This study was approved by the Brigham and Women's Institutional Review Board with participant consent assumed with the return of the questionnaire.

2.2. Noise exposure

The methods for estimating aircraft noise exposure have been described in detail elsewhere (Kim et al., 2022; Simon et al., 2022). Briefly, noise was modeled using the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA's) Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT) for 90 U. S. airports for 1995, 2000, 2005, and 2010. Nighttime noise was the average A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level from aircraft noise measured in decibels (dB) for the hours of 22:00 to 07:00. The DNL noise metric was also included to allow for direct comparison with nighttime noise within otherwise identical statistical models both including updated air pollution and socioeconomic variables. DNL is cumulative A-weighted equivalent sound level for an average 24-h period with a 10-dB penalty added to nighttime sound levels. Noise levels were modeled down to 45 dB at 1 dB resolution. Contours of modeled nighttime and DNL noise levels were overlaid with participant residential addresses to estimate respective noise exposure. Participants' residential addresses were ascertained at the biennial assessment and noise levels were correspondingly updated if participants moved. Noise exposure estimates were carried forward until the year of the next exposure estimate (updated every five years).

2.3. Outcome

Incident hypertension was assessed using self-report on the biennial questionnaire, where the nurses were asked to report if they had received a hypertension diagnosis since the previous questionnaire. Incident hypertension was defined as reporting new "physician diagnosis of high blood pressure." In validation studies, for those self-reporting hypertension, the sensitivity for comparison with medical records for systolic or diastolic blood pressure readings of >140 or >90 mmHg was 100% and 94% in the NHS and NHSII, respectively, and for those reporting no hypertension, specificity of 93% and 85%, respectively (Colditz et al., 1986; Forman et al., 2007).

2.4. Covariates

We considered similar covariates as reported in Kim et al. which had been selected *a priori* based on previous associations with hypertension or noise exposure (Kim et al., 2022). Covariates were modeled as time-varying except for race (as a social construct of shared experiences of discrimination and segregation that may increase risk (Aggarwal et al., 2021; Hill and Thayer, 2019; Kaufman and Cooper, 2008; Simon et al., 2022), family history of hypertension, and individual-level socioeconomic status (SES). Information on individual-level covariates was obtained from each biennial questionnaire except for dietary information and physical activity, which were assessed every other questionnaire cycle. The full list of covariates were age and calendar vear, spouse's educational attainment (< high school, high school, > high school), smoking status (current, former/never), alcohol consumption (quintiles of grams per day), physical activity (quintiles of metabolic equivalent hours (MET) per week), body mass index (BMI; kilograms per meter squared), menopausal status, medication use (statin and nonnarcotic analgesic), and diet (quintiles of the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) score) (Harrington et al., 2013). Area-level variables included region of residence (Northeast, South, Midwest, and West), quintiles of neighborhood-level SES (nSES), and quintiles of air pollution exposure. nSES was updated from Kim et al. (2022), with an index (z-score) standardizing and summing nine variables related to income, wealth, educational attainment, employment, and racial composition at the U.S. Census tract level (DeVille et al., 2023; Iyer et al., 2022). Air pollution predicted from spatiotemporal models included fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) (Kirwa et al., 2021; Yanosky et al., 2014; Young et al., 2016).

2.5. Statistics

The eligible participants were followed from 1994 for NHS and 1995 for NHSII to the time of hypertension diagnosis, loss-to follow up, death, or the end of the study period (2014 for NHS and 2013 for NHSII). We used time-varying Cox proportional hazards models to calculate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the relationship between nighttime aircraft noise exposure and hypertension incidence. Analysis was performed with noise dichotomized at 45 dB to compare hypertension risk comparing those exposed to <45 dB of nighttime noise to those exposed to \geq 45 dB. The cut-point corresponds to the lowest value we have modeled although this level is above the WHO guidelines recommendation for nighttime aircraft noise of 40 dB (World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, 2018).

Models were performed on a monthly time scale, stratified by age and calendar period. Similar to our previous study assessing DNL exposure and hypertension incidence (Kim et al., 2022), we built three models: basic (adjusting for age and calendar period), parsimonious (basic model adding race, spouse's educational attainment, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, diet, region of residence, nSES, and air pollution) and extended model (parsimonious model adding related variables that could be potential mediators or colliders including BMI, menopausal status, family history of hypertension, and medications). We first conducted the analyses of the three models separately by cohort (NHS and NHSII) then combined across cohorts using meta-analysis to calculate overall effects using DerSimonian and Laird estimators for random effects and inverse-variance weighting (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). We calculated p-values for the Q-statistic to examine heterogeneity between NHS and NHSII, as this conservative approach takes into account possible interactions between age and period effects (the cohort effect) that could relate to differences in factors such as population and disease distribution and screening and diagnostic criteria between the cohorts (Jacob and Ganguli, 2016). We reran the previous models with DNL analogous to the nighttime noise analysis for comparative purpose.

We performed sensitivity analyses with shift work and hearing loss. Shift work can introduce misclassification relative to whether nighttime noise exposure is associated with sleep disturbance or potentially heightened sensitivity to nighttime noise related to shift work sleep disorder (Wickwire et al., 2017). Sensitivity analysis with shift work was performed in NHS II only, because during the study period, questions about shift work were only asked of this younger cohort, which was less likely to be retired. Of note, the cohort was asked about rotating night shift work, meaning night shift work only on some nights. We ran the parsimonious and extended models additionally adjusting for shift work and also evaluated effect measure modification by including a multiplicative term of noise exposure category by shift work status. In addition, in both cohorts, we performed sensitivity analyses adjusting for hearing loss and assessing effect measure modification by hearing loss

status in parsimonious and extended models. We also performed a sensitivity analysis with parsimonious and extended models only adjusting for NO₂ as the air pollution variable (not including $PM_{2.5}$) to assess overadjustment, choosing the measure most related to road traffic and local air pollution. Lastly, we restricted the sample to individuals living in areas surrounding one of the 90 airports in order to increase homogeneity of other factors related to residing near airports. To define the geographic area, we used a 22.2-mile (35.7 km) radius buffer around each airport, which represents the greatest extent of the noise contours for the 90 airports under study (Bozigar et al., 2023; Grady et al., 2023).

3. Results

In the NHS, 63,229 participants contributed 711,399 person-years and 33,190 new cases of hypertension, and in the NHSII, 98,880 participants contributed 1,267,709 person-years and 28,255 new hypertension cases. The age-adjusted baseline characteristics for the participants overall and for those exposed to $L_{night} < 45$ and ≥ 45 dB for NHS and NHSII are presented in Table 1. The NHS participants, recruited earlier, were about 20 years older than the NHSII participants at baseline, more likely to be postmenopausal, smoke, use statins and aspirin, and less likely to use ibuprofen. Participants exposed to $L_{night} \geq 45$ dB were more likely than those <45 dB to be a race other than White, live in U.S. Census tracts with lower neighborhood-level socioeconomic status, and have higher NO₂ exposure.

Approximately 0.67% of NHS participants and 0.91% of NHSII participants were exposed to L_{night} \geq 45 dB. Supplemental Table 1 provides the number of cases and person-years by L_{night} categories (<45, 45–54, 55–64, \geq 65 dB). The Spearman correlation (r) between L_{night} and DNL was 0.79 in NHS and 0.74 in NHSII.

Table 2 shows the results of time-varying Cox proportional hazard models examining associations between exposures to Lnight at the 45-dB cut-point models and risk of hypertension. We observed similar estimates across the three models - basic, parsimonious, and extended (full). In the parsimonious models, adjusted for age, calendar year, race, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol use, DASH, spouse's education attainment, nSES, region of residence, and air pollution (PM2.5 and NO₂), the hazard ratios (HRs) for hypertension were 1.10 (95% CI: 0.96, 1.27) and 1.12 (95% CI: 0.98, 1.28) for NHS and NHSII, respectively. For the extended model additionally adjusting for BMI, menopausal status, medications, and family history of hypertension, the HRs were 1.07 (95% CI: 0.93, 1.24) and 1.08 (95% CI: 0.94, 1.24) for NHS and NHSII, respectively. In the meta-analysis of the two cohorts, we observed HRs in the parsimonious model of 1.11 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.23) and in the extended model 1.08 (95% CI: 0.97, 1.19). In all models, no heterogeneity was observed between cohorts.

Fig. 1 shows the results for DNL and L_{night} . We observed comparable results from our previous assessments (Kim et al., 2022) of the association between DNL (average 24-h exposure) and risk of hypertension even with more comprehensive adjustment for air pollution and nSES in the parsimonious and extended models. Supplemental Table 2 provides the effect estimates for DNL. We found a nominally stronger risk of hypertension associated with L_{night} compared to DNL.

Including shift work and hearing loss status did not affect estimates of associations (Supplemental Table 3). There was also no clear evidence of effect measure modification by either shift work or hearing loss (Supplemental Table 4). Including NO₂ as the only air pollution measure did not affect estimates of association (Supplemental Table 5). Lastly, restricting the sample to individuals living within 22.2-mi of one of the 90 airports did not yield different results (Supplemental Table 6).

4. Discussion

We found that higher nighttime aircraft noise was associated with higher risk of hypertension in nationwide prospective studies of female nurses after adjusting for age, calendar year, race, physical activity,

Table 1

Age-standardized characteristics of Nurses' Health Study (1994) and Nurses' Health Study II (1995) participants at baseline who live near 90 major airports, overall and by dichotomized nighttime aircraft noise exposure.

	NHS			NHS II		
	Overall ^a	$L_{night} <\!\!45 \ dB^a$	$L_{night} \geq \!\! 45 \ dB^a$	Overall ^a	$L_{night} <\!\!45~dB^a$	$L_{night} \geq \!\! 45 \ dB^a$
Ν	63,229	62,806	423	98,880	97,978	902
Age, yrs ^b	59.1 ± 7.1	59.1 ± 7.1	59.6 ± 6.9	40.3 ± 4.8	40.3 ± 4.8	40.3 ± 4.8
White, %	94.6	94.6	89.5	93.3	93.4	82.7
Post-Menopausal, %	87.8	87.8	89.3	7.2	7.2	6.0
Spouse's Highest Level of Education Att	ainment, %					
Less than High School	3.6	3.7	3.0	0.6	0.6	0.2
High School	26.6	26.5	28.0	14.0	14.0	12.4
More than High School	39.9	40.0	34.1	67.1	67.1	66.2
Not Married or Missing	29.9	29.8	34.8	18.4	18.3	21.2
Family History of Hypertension, %	36.1	36.1	37.3	49.3	49.3	50.0
Current Smoker. %	15.2	15.2	15.5	11.3	11.3	13.1
Alcohol Consumption, gm/day	5.1 ± 8.8	5.1 ± 8.8	4.3 ± 7.0	3.5 ± 6.6	3.5 ± 6.6	3.8 ± 6.7
DASH Score	23.9 ± 4.6	23.9 ± 4.6	23.8 ± 4.7	23.7 ± 4.9	23.7 ± 4.9	23.5 ± 4.8
Physical Activity, MET-hr/wk	20.9 ± 26.0	20.9 ± 26.0	18.8 ± 22.0	18.7 ± 23.0	18.7 ± 23.0	19.6 ± 26.3
BMI, kg/m ²						
<18	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.6
18-24	51.9	51.9	49.1	58.0	58.0	57.1
25-29	32.4	32.4	33.8	24.7	24.7	24.5
>30	14.7	14.7	16.6	16.3	16.2	17.8
Statin Use, %	4.5	4.5	4.2	2.3	2.3	2.3
Aspirin Use, %						
<1 day/month	52.9	52.9	49.3	71.0	71.0	69.6
1 day/week	10.4	10.4	10.8	13.2	13.2	11.5
2–3 days/week	8.2	8.2	10.8	3.9	3.9	3.2
4–5 days/week	6.3	6.3	5.6	1.1	1.1	1.9
>5 davs/week	13.1	13.0	13.2	2.7	2.7	3.2
Ibuprofen Use, %						
None	69.9	69.9	71.0	32.1	32.0	35.5
1 dav/week	4.8	4.8	4.1	35.9	36.0	31.9
2–3 days/week	4.0	4.0	4.2	15.3	15.3	13.5
4–5 days/week	1.7	1.7	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.7
>5 davs/week	5.6	5.7	4.4	4.2	4.2	3.6
Acetaminophen Use, %						
<1 day/month	73.2	73.2	70.3	41.6	41.6	42.5
1 dav/week	3.8	3.8	3.3	36.8	36.8	36.6
2–3 davs/week	3.4	3.4	3.8	9.3	9.3	6.7
4–5 days/week	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.6
>5 davs/week	2.8	2.7	4.3	1.6	1.6	1.3
nSES Score, %						
Quintile 1 (Low nSES)	18.8	18.8	13.3	18.7	18.7	9.2
Quintile 2	21.1	21.1	19.3	21.3	21.3	17.8
Quintile 3	20.1	20.1	13.8	21.4	21.4	22.4
Ouintile 4	20.5	20.5	28.4	20.1	20.1	22.7
Ouintile 5 (High nSES)	19.5	19.4	25.2	18.6	18.5	27.9
PM_{25} , $\mu g/m^3$	13.7 ± 2.8	13.7 ± 2.8	14.3 ± 3.0	14.5 ± 3.1	14.5 ± 3.1	15.0 ± 3.1
NO ₂ , ppb	12.5 ± 7.2	12.5 ± 7.2	19.4 ± 8.0	13.6 ± 7.6	13.5 ± 7.6	19.4 ± 7.9
Region of Residence, %						
Northeast	52.5	52.6	39.8	33.9	33.9	32.5
Midwest	17.3	17.3	15.5	32.7	32.8	19.4
South	16.5	16.5	22.8	18.3	18.2	28.9
West	13.6	13.6	21.9	15.1	15.1	19.1

Abbreviations: DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; dB, (A-weighted) decibels; L_{night}, nighttime average sound level; MET-hrs/wk, metabolic equivalent hours per week; NHS, Nurses' Health Study; NHSII, Nurses' Health Study II; nSES, neighborhood-level socioeconomic status; ppb, parts per billion; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; PM_{2.5}, fine particulate matter.

^a Values are means \pm standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables; percentages for categorical variables and are standardized to the age distribution of the study population.

^b Value is not age adjusted.

smoking status, alcohol use, diet, individual and neighborhood SES, air pollution (PM_{2.5} and NO₂), and region of residence. Results were modestly attenuated after additional adjustment for BMI, menopausal status, medications, and family history of hypertensions. Although the number of participants exposed to nighttime aircraft noise above 45 dB was lower than the number exposed to 24-h average noise level (DNL) above 45 dB, the effect estimates of nighttime noise and hypertension were higher than those for DNL.

Our finding of a stronger positive association between nighttime aircraft noise and hypertension risk compared to the association for DNL, a 24-h average metric that adds a 10 dB penalty to nighttime noise,

was supported by another U.S. study. In the WHI cohort, including 18,783 post-menopausal women participating in a clinical trial and using the same exposure measures as our study, the authors reported larger but less precise estimates for nighttime noise than for DNL (Lnight HR: 1.06; 95% CI: 0.91, 1.24 compared to DNL HR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.93, 1.08) (Nguyen et al., 2023). Relatedly, a study of 420 participants surrounding Athens International Airport that compared daytime and nighttime noise found larger, although very imprecise, effect estimates with nighttime noise (Lday [07:00 to 23:00] HR: 1.34; 95% CI: 0.57, 3.16 and Lnight HR: 3.39; 95% CI: 0.87, 13.3) (Dimakopoulou et al., 2017).

Table 2

Cases, person-years, incidence rate (IR), hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between nighttime aircraft noise exposure and incident hypertension among Nurses' Health Study (NHS) and NHSII participants for the period 1994–2014.

	$L_{night} <\!\!45~dB$	$L_{night} \geq \!\! 45 \ dB$
NHS		
Cases/Person-years	32,996/707,656	194/3743
IR per 100,000 PY	4663	5183
HR (95% CI)		
Basic ^a	ref	1.11 (0.96, 1.28)
Parsimonious ^b	ref	1.10 (0.96, 1.27)
Extended ^c	ref	1.07 (0.93, 1.24)
NHSII		
Cases/Person-years	28,041/1,259,067	214/8642
IR per 100,000 PY	2227	2476
HR (95% CI)		
Basic ^a	ref	1.14 (1.00, 1.31)
Parsimonious ^b	ref	1.12 (0.98, 1.28)
Extended ^c	ref	1.08 (0.94, 1.24)
Meta-analysis		
Cases/Person-years	61,037/1,966,723	408/12,385
IR per 100,000 PY	3103	3294
HR (95% CI) ^d		
Basic ^a	ref	1.13 (1.02, 1.24)
Parsimonious ^b	ref	1.11 (1.01, 1.23)
Extended ^c	ref	1.08 (0.97, 1.19)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; dB, (A-weighted) decibels; HR, hazard ratio; IR incident ratio; L_{night} , nighttime average sound level; NHS, Nurses' Health Study; NHSII, Nurses' Health Study II; nSES, neighborhood-level socioeconomic status; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; PM_{2.5}, fine particulate matter.

^a Adjusted for age and calendar year.

 $^{\rm b}$ Adjusted for age, calendar year, race, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol use, DASH, spouse's education attainment, nSES, region of residence, NO₂, and PM_{2.5}.

^c Adjusted for age, calendar year, race, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol use, DASH, spouse's education attainment, nSES, region of residence, NO₂, PM_{2.5}, BMI, menopausal status, medications, and family history of hypertension.

^d p-values for heterogeneity apply to meta-analyzed associations only, which ranged from 0.78 to 0.94.

Researchers have pointed to the challenges in separating long-term effects of daytime and nighttime noise exposure in epidemiological studies because of potential high correlation between the measures, which is especially true for modeled noise and noise from sources such as road traffic where there is lower potential for restricting nighttime operations (Roosli et al., 2019). We found reasonably high correlation between DNL and L_{night} (r's of 0.74–0.79), which can be expected as DNL includes nighttime noise with a 10-dB penalty and given the common source of noise throughout the day. Similarly, the Hypertension and Exposure to Noise Near Airports (HYENA) study of participants surrounding six European airports found r = 0.80 when comparing daytime (hours) and nighttime noise (Jarup et al., 2008). That said, residential nighttime noise may be a more robust measure of personal exposure for many participants, as exposure misclassification for daytime noise is potentially higher when people are less likely to be at home.

Related to questions of participant circadian activity patterns, when we additionally adjusted for shift work, there was no impact on the association between nighttime noise and hypertension risk. However, there was a suggestion that among those performing shift work, there was a stronger association between noise and hypertension, although the confidence intervals among those who performed shift work and those who did not overlapped. This is conceivable as shift work can alter circadian rhythms resulting in difficulty falling asleep, disrupted sleep patterns, and disturbed sleep (Linton et al., 2015; Wickwire et al., 2017).

Experimental studies have also shown more adverse cardiovascular impacts with nighttime noise compared to daytime noise. These studies have shown that compared to daytime noise, nighttime noise was associated with endothelial dysfunction and increased blood pressure, neurohormones, and markers of oxidative stress (Kroller-Schon et al., 2018; Munzel et al., 2017, 2020). The potential mechanism is through sleep disturbance, as sleep is accompanied by a decrease in blood pressure called "dipping" which when disturbed can increase hypertension risk (Sayk et al., 2007). Sleep deprivation can lead to the release of stress hormones that can activate the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, central to hypertension pathogenesis (Bavishi et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2015). Researchers also found an association of noise with endothelial function independent of sleep quality, and endothelial dysfunction has been linked to the development of hypertension (Munzel et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2015).

Our study has several limitations. NHS and NHSII were not designed





Basic model adjusted for age and calendar year. Parsimonious model adjusted for age, calendar year, race, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol use, DASH, spouse's education attainment, nSES, region of residence, NO₂, and PM_{2.5}. Extended model adjusted for age, calendar year, race, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol use, DASH, spouse's education attainment, nSES, region of residence, NO₂, PM_{2.5}, BMI, menopausal status, medications, and family history of hypertension. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; dB, (A-weighted) decibels; DNL, day-night average sound level; L_{night} nighttime average sound level; nSES, neighborhood-level socioeconomic status; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; PM_{2.5}, fine particulate matter.

to study noise and health and had very small numbers of participants at nighttime aircraft noise exposure levels above 45 dB. Furthermore, our study participants are all female and from a specific occupation with related SES, occupational exposures, and stressors, and may have lower than average noise exposure; thus, our findings may not be generalizable to the overall U.S. population. In this study, we used annualized average nighttime levels of aircraft noise exposure. It is believed that the intermittent nature of aircraft noise in the nighttime may be of more consequence, and thus, other metrics such as number of flights or intermittency ratio (contribution of an individual noise event above the background noise levels) at night may be more relevant to health outcomes (Basner et al., 2017; Wunderli et al., 2016). In addition, our lowest modeled level of L_{night} of 45 dB (reference group) was above the WHO recommendation for nighttime aircraft noise levels of 40 dB where adverse effects on sleep disturbance were observed (World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, 2018). Furthermore, we did not restrict our reference group, for example, by proximity to the airport, and thus this group could be very heterogenous; however, when we restricted the analysis to those in closer proximity to airports, we found that the results did not substantially change. Another limitation is that we only estimate outdoor noise, which does not take into account the penetration of transportation noise indoors that may be affected by factors such as building insulation (Yamagami et al., 2023) and window closing or other noise-reducing behavior (Foraster et al., 2014; Locher et al., 2018). We did not account for noise exposure relative to building façades as is often done with road noise; however, this accounting is less relevant to aircraft noise which primarily emanates from overhead (Bozigar et al., 2023). We adjusted for a number of individual and area-level confounders; however, there still may be residual confounding. Of note, we were not able to adjust for other sources of noise that may correlate with aircraft noise (Floud et al., 2013), or stratify by noise sensitivity or noise annoyance (Baudin et al., 2020b; Park et al., 2017). In addition, although we controlled for ambient air pollution (PM2.5 and NO₂), given similarities in associations to adverse outcomes, spatial distribution, and transportation sources (Eze et al., 2017), further investigation into the interrelationship between air pollution and noise may be warranted. Finally, although it has been shown that this population of nurses provides accurate information on hypertension status, this study relied on self-report of hypertension.

In spite of these limitations, this study has several strengths. We used time-varying, comparable aircraft noise estimates across multiple airports, which has not been available in most previous studies. In addition, time-varying data on potential individual and area-level covariates and effect modifiers were available, including an updated database of air pollution measurements. Broadly, our ability to connect noise exposure estimates with a large national cohort study provided considerable advantages relative to smaller studies or cross-sectional epidemiological investigations.

5. Conclusion

In this national U.S. cohort of women, we found a modest positive association between nighttime aircraft noise exposure and hypertension risk, which was stronger than the association with 24-h average aircraft noise. These results were not affected by shift work or hearing loss status. Our findings add to the evidence of the long-term association between noise and cardiometabolic health, potentially through its relationship with disrupted sleep. However, given the small number of participants exposed to high noise levels in this non-representative sample of female nurses, this research should be replicated in more diverse cohorts.

Funders

032 (Peters) under the Aviation Sustainability Center (ASCENT). Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the FAA. Junenette Peters and Jonathan Levy were additionally supported by the United States National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) R01-ES025791 (Peters). Stephanie Grady was additionally supported by NIEHS training grant T32-ES014562. Jaime Hart and Francine Laden were also supported by P30-ES000002. Joel Kaufman was supported by P30ES007033. The Nurses' Health Studies (NHS) are supported by UM1-CA186107, R01-HL150119, R01-HL034594, U01-CA176726, R01-HL35464, U01-HL145386. The nitrogen dioxide estimates in the NHS were supported by R01-ES027696.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Junenette L. Peters: Writing - original draft, Supervision, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. Stephanie T. Grady: Writing - review & editing, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Francine Laden: Writing - review & editing, Methodology, Conceptualization. Elizabeth Nelson: Writing - review & editing, Investigation. Matthew Bozigar: Writing - review & editing, Investigation, Conceptualization. Jaime E. Hart: Writing - review & editing, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. JoAnn E. Manson: Writing - review & editing, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Data curation. Tianyi Huang: Writing - review & editing, Investigation. Susan Redline: Writing - review & editing, Investigation. Joel **D. Kaufman:** Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Data curation. John P. Forman: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. Kathryn M. Rexrode: Writing - review & editing, Investigation, Data curation. Jonathan I. Levy: Writing - review & editing, Resources, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

Susan Redline reports a relationship with Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc., Ireland that includes grant and consulting. All other authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2024.114457.

References

- Aggarwal, R., Chiu, N., Wadhera, R.K., Moran, A.E., Raber, I., Shen, C., Yeh, R.W., Kazi, D.S., 2021. Racial/Ethnic disparities in hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control in the United States, 2013 to 2018. Hypertension 78, 1719–1726.
- Babisch, W., Kim, R., 2011. Environmental noise and cardiovascular disease. In: WHO European Centre for Environmental Health. Burden of disease from environmental noise: Quantification of healthy life years lost in Europe. World Health Organization, Copenhagen, pp. 15–44.
- Bao, Y., Bertoia, M.L., Lenart, E.B., Stampfer, M.J., Willett, W.C., Speizer, F.E., Chavarro, J.E., 2016. Origin, methods, and evolution of the three nurses' health studies. Am J Public Health 106, 1573–1581.
- Basner, M., Clark, C., Hansell, A., Hileman, J.I., Janssen, S., Shepherd, K., Sparrow, V., 2017. Aviation noise impacts: state of the science. Noise Health 19, 41–50.
- Baudin, C., Lefevre, M., Babisch, W., Cadum, E., Champelovier, P., Dimakopoulou, K., Houthuijs, D., Lambert, J., Laumon, B., Pershagen, G., Stansfeld, S., Velonaki, V., Hansell, A., Evrard, A.S., 2020a. The role of aircraft noise annoyance and noise sensitivity in the association between aircraft noise levels and hypertension risk: results of a pooled analysis from seven European countries. Environ. Res. 191, 110179.
- Baudin, C., Lefevre, M., Babisch, W., Cadum, E., Champelovier, P., Dimakopoulou, K., Houthuijs, D., Lambert, J., Laumon, B., Pershagen, G., Stansfeld, S., Velonaki, V., Hansell, A., Evrard, A.S., 2020b. The role of aircraft noise annoyance and noise sensitivity in the association between aircraft noise levels and hypertension risk: results of a pooled analysis from seven European countries. Environ. Res. 191.
- Baudin, C., Lefevre, M., Babisch, W., Cadum, E., Champelovier, P., Dimakopoulou, K., Houthuijs, D., Lambert, J., Laumon, B., Pershagen, G., Stansfeld, S., Velonaki, V., Hansell, A.L., Evrard, A.S., 2021. The role of aircraft noise annoyance and noise

J.L. Peters et al.

sensitivity in the association between aircraft noise levels and medication use: results

of a pooled-analysis from seven European countries. BMC Publ. Health 21, 300. Bavishi, C., Bangalore, S., Messerli, F.H., 2016. Renin angiotensin aldosterone system inhibitors in hypertension: is there evidence for benefit independent of blood pressure reduction? Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis. 59, 253–261.

- Bozigar, M., Huang, T., Redline, S., Hart, J.E., Grady, S.T., Nguyen, D.D., James, P., Nicholas, B., Levy, J.I., Laden, F., Peters, J.L., 2023. Associations between aircraft noise exposure and self-reported sleep duration and quality in the United Statesbased prospective nurses' health study cohort. Environ. Health Perspect. 131, 47010.
- Brink, M., Schaffer, B., Pieren, R., Wunderli, J.M., 2018. Conversion between noise exposure indicators Leq(24h), L(Day), L(Evening), L(Night), L(dn) and L(den): principles and practical guidance. Int. J. Hyg Environ. Health 221, 54–63.
- Brink, M., Schaffer, B., Vienneau, D., Foraster, M., Pieren, R., Eze, I.C., Cajochen, C., Probst-Hensch, N., Roosli, M., Wunderli, J.M., 2019. A survey on exposure-response relationships for road, rail, and aircraft noise annoyance: differences between continuous and intermittent noise. Environ. Int. 125, 277–290.
- Carugno, M., Imbrogno, P., Zucchi, A., Ciampichini, R., Tereanu, C., Sampietro, G., Barbaglio, G., Pesenti, B., Barretta, F., Bertazzi, P.A., Pesatori, A.C., Consonni, D., 2018. Effects of aircraft noise on annoyance, sleep disorders, and blood pressure among adult residents near the Orio al Serio International Airport (BGY), Italy. Med. Lav. 109, 253–263.
- Chang, T.Y., Hwang, B.F., Liu, C.S., Chen, R.Y., Wang, V.S., Bao, B.Y., Lai, J.S., 2013. Occupational noise exposure and incident hypertension in men: a prospective cohort study. Am. J. Epidemiol. 177, 818–825.
- Charakida, M., Deanfield, J.E., 2013. Nighttime aircraft noise exposure: flying towards arterial disease. Eur. Heart J. 34, 3472–3474.
- Colditz, G.A., Martin, P., Stampfer, N.J., Willett, W.C., Sampson, L., Rosner, B., Hennekens, C.H., Speizer, F.E., 1986. Validation of questionnaire information on risk factors and disease outcomes in a prospective cohort study of women. Am. J. Epidemiol. 123, 894–900.
- Collaborators, G.B.D.A., 2022. Global, regional, and national burden of diseases and injuries for adults 70 years and older: systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease 2019 Study. BMJ 376, e068208.

Collaborators, G.B.D.R.F., 2018. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 392, 1923–1994.

Collaborators, G.B.D.R.F., 2024. Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors in 204 countries and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021; a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403, 2162–2203.

- Correia, A.W., Peters, J.L., Levy, J.I., Melly, S., Dominici, F., 2013. Residential exposure to aircraft noise and hospital admissions for cardiovascular diseases: multi-airport retrospective study. BMJ 347, f5561.
- DerSimonian, R., Laird, N., 1986. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7, 177–188.
- DeVille, N.V., Iyer, H.S., Holland, I., Bhupathiraju, S.N., Chai, B., James, P., Kawachi, I., Laden, F., Hart, J.E., 2023. Neighborhood socioeconomic status and mortality in the nurses' health study (NHS) and the nurses' health study II (NHSII). Environ Epidemiol 7, e235.
- Dimakopoulou, K., Koutentakis, K., Papageorgiou, I., Kasdagli, M.I., Haralabidis, A.S., Sourtzi, P., Samoli, E., Houthuijs, D., Swart, W., Hansell, A.L., Katsouyanni, K., 2017. Is aircraft noise exposure associated with cardiovascular disease and hypertension? Results from a cohort study in Athens, Greece. Occup. Environ. Med. 74, 830–837.
- Eriksson, C., Bluhm, G., Hilding, A., Ostenson, C.G., Pershagen, G., 2010. Aircraft noise and incidence of hypertension–gender specific effects. Environ. Res. 110, 764–772.
- Evrard, A.S., Lefevre, M., Champelovier, P., Lambert, J., Laumon, B., 2017. Does aircraft noise exposure increase the risk of hypertension in the population living near airports in France? Occup. Environ. Med. 74, 123–129.
- Eze, I.C., Foraster, M., Schaffner, E., Vienneau, D., Heritier, H., Rudzik, F., Thiesse, L., Pieren, R., Imboden, M., von Eckardstein, A., Schindler, C., Brink, M., Cajochen, C., Wunderli, J.M., Roosli, M., Probst-Hensch, N., 2017. Long-term exposure to transportation noise and air pollution in relation to incident diabetes in the SAPALDIA study. Int. J. Epidemiol. 46, 1115–1125.

Fisher, N.D.L., Curfman, G., 2018. Hypertension-A public health challenge of global proportions. JAMA 320, 1757–1759.

- Floud, S., Blangiardo, M., Clark, C., de Hoogh, K., Babisch, W., Houthuijs, D., Swart, W., Pershagen, G., Katsouyanni, K., Velonakis, M., Vigna-Taglianti, F., Cadum, E., Hansell, A.L., 2013. Exposure to aircraft and road traffic noise and associations with heart disease and stroke in six European countries: a cross-sectional study. Environ. Health 12, 89.
- Foraster, M., Kunzli, N., Aguilera, I., Rivera, M., Agis, D., Vila, J., Bouso, L., Deltell, A., Marrugat, J., Ramos, R., Sunyer, J., Elosua, R., Basagana, X., 2014. High blood pressure and long-term exposure to indoor noise and air pollution from road traffic. Environ. Health Perspect. 122, 1193–1200.
- Forman, J.P., Giovannucci, E., Holmes, M.D., Bischoff-Ferrari, H.A., Tworoger, S.S., Willett, W.C., Curhan, G.C., 2007. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and risk of incident hypertension. Hypertension 49, 1063–1069.
- Grady, S.T., Hart, J.E., Laden, F., Roscoe, C., Nguyen, D.D., Nelson, E.J., Bozigar, M., VoPham, T., Manson, J.E., Weuve, J., Adar, S.D., Forman, J.P., Rexrode, K., Levy, J. I., Peters, J.L., 2023. Associations between long-term aircraft noise exposure, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in US cohorts of female nurses. Environ Epidemiol 7, e259.
- Grandner, M.A., Jackson, N.J., Pak, V.M., Gehrman, P.R., 2012. Sleep disturbance is associated with cardiovascular and metabolic disorders. J. Sleep Res. 21, 427–433.

- Greiser, E., Greiser, C., Janhsen, K., 2007. Night-time aircraft noise increases prevalence of prescriptions of antihypertensive and cardiovascular durgs irrespective of social class - the Cologne-Bonn Airport study. J. Public Health 15, 327–337.
- Guski, R., Schreckenberg, D., Schuemer, R., 2017. WHO environmental noise guidelines for the European region: a systematic review on environmental noise and annoyance. Int J Environ Res Public Health 14.
- Haralabidis, A.S., Dimakopoulou, K., Vigna-Taglianti, F., Giampaolo, M., Borgini, A., Dudley, M.L., Pershagen, G., Bluhm, G., Houthuijs, D., Babisch, W., Velonakis, M., Katsouyanni, K., Jarup, L., 2008. Acute effects of night-time noise exposure on blood pressure in populations living near airports. Eur. Heart J. 29, 658–664.
- Harrington, J.M., Fitzgerald, A.P., Kearney, P.M., McCarthy, V.J., Madden, J., Browne, G., Dolan, E., Perry, I.J., 2013. DASH diet score and distribution of blood pressure in middle-aged men and women. Am. J. Hypertens. 26, 1311–1320.
- Heritier, H., Vienneau, D., Foraster, M., Eze, I.C., Schaffner, E., Thiesse, L., Rudzik, F., Habermacher, M., Kopfli, M., Pieren, R., Brink, M., Cajochen, C., Wunderli, J.M., Probst-Hensch, N., Roosli, M., group, S.N.C.s., 2017. Transportation noise exposure and cardiovascular mortality: a nationwide cohort study from Switzerland. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 32, 307–315.
- Heritier, H., Vienneau, D., Foraster, M., Eze, I.C., Schaffner, E., Thiesse, L., Ruzdik, F., Habermacher, M., Kopfli, M., Pieren, R., Schmidt-Trucksass, A., Brink, M., Cajochen, C., Wunderli, J.M., Probst-Hensch, N., Roosli, M., group, S.N.C.s., 2018. Diurnal variability of transportation noise exposure and cardiovascular mortality: a nationwide cohort study from Switzerland. Int. J. Hyg Environ. Health 221, 556–563.
- Hill, L.K., Thayer, J.F., 2019. The autonomic nervous system and hypertension: ethnic differences and psychosocial factors. Curr. Cardiol. Rep. 21, 15.
- Huang, D., Song, X., Cui, Q., Tian, J., Wang, Q., Yang, K., 2015. Is there an association between aircraft noise exposure and the incidence of hypertension? A meta-analysis of 16784 participants. Noise Health 17, 93–97.
- Iyer, H.S., Hart, J.E., James, P., Elliott, E.G., DeVille, N.V., Holmes, M.D., De Vivo, I., Mucci, L.A., Laden, F., Rebbeck, T.R., 2022. Impact of neighborhood socioeconomic status, income segregation, and greenness on blood biomarkers of inflammation. Environ. Int. 162, 107164.
- Jacob, M.E., Ganguli, M., 2016. Epidemiology for the clinical neurologist. In: Aminoff, M. J., Boller, F., Swaab, D.F. (Eds.), Handbook of Clinical Neurology. Elsevier, pp. 3–16.
- Jarup, L., Babisch, W., Houthuijs, D., Pershagen, G., Katsouyanni, K., Cadum, E., Dudley, M.L., Savigny, P., Seiffert, I., Swart, W., Breugelmans, O., Bluhm, G., Selander, J., Haralabidis, A., Dimakopoulou, K., Sourtzi, P., Velonakis, M., Vigna-Taglianti, F., 2008. Hypertension and exposure to noise near airports: the HYENA study. Environ. Health Perspect. 116, 329–333.
- Kaufman, J.S., Cooper, R.S., 2008. Race in epidemiology: new tools, old problems. Ann. Epidemiol. 18, 119–123.
- Kempen, E.V., Casas, M., Pershagen, G., Foraster, M., 2018. WHO environmental noise guidelines for the European region: a systematic review on environmental noise and cardiovascular and metabolic effects: a summary. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15.
- Kim, C.S., Grady, S.T., Hart, J.E., Laden, F., VoPham, T., Nguyen, D.D., Manson, J.E., James, P., Forman, J.P., Rexrode, K.M., Levy, J.I., Peters, J.L., 2022. Long-term aircraft noise exposure and risk of hypertension in the Nurses' Health Studies. Environ. Res. 207, 112195.
- Kim, T.W., Jeong, J.H., Hong, S.C., 2015. The impact of sleep and circadian disturbance on hormones and metabolism. Int J Endocrinol 2015, 591729.
- Kirwa, K., Szpiro, A.A., Sheppard, L., Sampson, P.D., Wang, M., Keller, J.P., Young, M.T., Kim, S.Y., Larson, T.V., Kaufman, J.D., 2021. Fine-scale air pollution models for epidemiologic research: insights from Approaches developed in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA air). Curr Environ Health Rep 8, 113–126.
- Kroller-Schon, S., Daiber, A., Steven, S., Oelze, M., Frenis, K., Kalinovic, S., Heimann, A., Schmidt, F.P., Pinto, A., Kvandova, M., Vujacic-Mirski, K., Filippou, K., Dudek, M., Bosmann, M., Klein, M., Bopp, T., Hahad, O., Wild, P.S., Frauenknecht, K., Methner, A., Schmidt, E.R., Rapp, S., Mollnau, H., Munzel, T., 2018. Crucial role for Nox2 and sleep deprivation in aircraft noise-induced vascular and cerebral oxidative stress, inflammation, and gene regulation. Eur. Heart J. 39, 3528–3539.
- Kwok, C.S., Kontopantelis, E., Kuligowski, G., Gray, M., Muhyaldeen, A., Gale, C.P., Peat, G.M., Cleator, J., Chew-Graham, C., Loke, Y.K., Mamas, M.A., 2018. Selfreported sleep duration and quality and cardiovascular disease and mortality: a doseresponse meta-analysis. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 7, e008552.
- Linton, S.J., Kecklund, G., Franklin, K.A., Leissner, L.C., Sivertsen, B., Lindberg, E., Svensson, A.C., Hansson, S.O., Sundin, O., Hetta, J., Bjorkelund, C., Hall, C., 2015. The effect of the work environment on future sleep disturbances: a systematic review. Sleep Med. Rev. 23, 10–19.
- Locher, B., Piquerez, A., Habermacher, M., Ragettli, M., Roosli, M., Brink, M., Cajochen, C., Vienneau, D., Foraster, M., Muller, U., Wunderli, J.M., 2018. Differences between outdoor and indoor sound levels for open, tilted, and closed windows. Int J Env Res Pub He 15.
- Meng, L., Zheng, Y., Hui, R., 2013. The relationship of sleep duration and insomnia to risk of hypertension incidence: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Hypertens. Res. 36, 985–995.
- Munzel, T., Daiber, A., Steven, S., Tran, L.P., Ullmann, E., Kossmann, S., Schmidt, F.P., Oelze, M., Xia, N., Li, H., Pinto, A., Wild, P., Pies, K., Schmidt, E.R., Rapp, S., Kroller-Schon, S., 2017. Effects of noise on vascular function, oxidative stress, and inflammation: mechanistic insight from studies in mice. Eur. Heart J. 38, 2838–2849.
- Munzel, T., Kroller-Schon, S., Oelze, M., Gori, T., Schmidt, F.P., Steven, S., Hahad, O., Roosli, M., Wunderli, J.M., Daiber, A., Sorensen, M., 2020. Adverse cardiovascular effects of traffic noise with a focus on nighttime noise and the new WHO noise guidelines. Annu Rev Public Health 41, 309–328.

J.L. Peters et al.

- Munzel, T., Sorensen, M., Schmidt, F.P., Schmidt, E., Steven, S., Kroller-Schon, S., Daiber, A., 2018. The adverse effects of environmental noise exposure on oxidative stress and cardiovascular risk. Antioxid Redox Signal 28, 873–908.
- Nguyen, D.D., Whitsel, E.A., Wellenius, G.A., Levy, J.I., Leibler, J.H., Grady, S.T., Stewart, J.D., Fox, M.P., Collins, J.M., Eliot, M.N., Malwitz, A., Manson, J.E., Peters, J.L., 2023. Long-term aircraft noise exposure and risk of hypertension in postmenopausal women. Environ. Res. 218, 115037.
- Osborne, M.T., Abohashem, S., Naddaf, N., Abbasi, T., Zureigat, H., Mezue, K., Ghoneem, A., Dar, T., Cardeiro, A.J., Mehta, N.N., Rajagopalan, S., Fayad, Z.A., Tawakol, A., 2023. The combined effect of air and transportation noise pollution on atherosclerotic inflammation and risk of cardiovascular disease events. J. Nucl. Cardiol. 30, 665–679.
- Park, J., Chung, S., Lee, J., Sung, J.H., Cho, S.W., Sim, C.S., 2017. Noise sensitivity, rather than noise level, predicts the non-auditory effects of noise in community samples: a population-based survey. BMC Publ. Health 17.
- Peters, J.L., Zevitas, C.D., Redline, S., Hastings, A., Sizov, N., Hart, J.E., Levy, J.I., Roof, C.J., Wellenius, G.A., 2018. Aviation noise and cardiovascular health in the United States: a review of the evidence and recommendations for research direction. Curr Epidemiol Rep 5, 140–152.
- Pyko, A., Lind, T., Mitkovskaya, N., Ogren, M., Ostenson, C.G., Wallas, A., Pershagen, G., Eriksson, C., 2018. Transportation noise and incidence of hypertension. Int. J. Hyg Environ. Health 221, 1133–1141.
- Roosli, M., Brink, M., Rudzik, F., Cajochen, C., Ragettli, M.S., Fluckiger, B., Pieren, R., Vienneau, D., Wunderli, J.M., 2019. Associations of various nighttime noise exposure indicators with objective sleep efficiency and self-reported sleep quality: a field study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 16.
- Sayk, F., Becker, C., Teckentrup, C., Fehm, H.L., Struck, J., Wellhoener, J.P., Dodt, C., 2007. To dip or not to dip - on the physiology of blood pressure decrease during nocturnal sleep in healthy humans. Hypertension 49, 1070–1076.
- Schmidt, F., Kolle, K., Kreuder, K., Schnorbus, B., Wild, P., Hechtner, M., Binder, H., Gori, T., Munzel, T., 2015. Nighttime aircraft noise impairs endothelial function and increases blood pressure in patients with or at high risk for coronary artery disease. Clin. Res. Cardiol. 104, 23–30.
- Simon, M.C., Hart, J.E., Levy, J.I., VoPham, T., Malwitz, A., Nguyen, D., Bozigar, M., Cupples, L.A., James, P., Laden, F., Peters, J.L., 2022. Sociodemographic patterns of

exposure to civil aircraft noise in the United States. Environ. Health Perspect. 130, 27009.

- St-Onge, M.P., Grandner, M.A., Brown, D., Conroy, M.B., Jean-Louis, G., Coons, M., Bhatt, D.L., American Heart Association Obesity, B.C.D., Nutrition Committees of the Council on, L., Cardiometabolic, H., Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the, Y., Council on Clinical, C., Stroke, C., 2016. Sleep duration and quality: impact on lifestyle behaviors and cardiometabolic health: a scientific statement from the American heart association. Circulation 134, e367–e386.
- Vaduganathan, M., Mensah, G.A., Turco, J.V., Fuster, V., Roth, G.A., 2022. The global burden of cardiovascular diseases and risk: a compass for future health. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 80, 2361–2371.
- Wickwire, E.M., Geiger-Brown, J., Scharf, S.M., Drake, C.L., 2017. Shift work and shift work sleep disorder: clinical and organizational perspectives. Chest 151, 1156–1172.
- World Health Organization, 2009. Night Noise Guidelines for Europe, pp. 1–184. Copenhagen.
- World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, 2018. Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region. WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Wunderli, J.M., Pieren, R., Habermacher, M., Vienneau, D., Cajochen, C., Probst-Hensch, N., Roosli, M., Brink, M., 2016. Intermittency ratio: a metric reflecting shortterm temporal variations of transportation noise exposure. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 26, 575–585.
- Yamagami, Y., Obayashi, K., Tai, Y., Saeki, K., 2023. Association between indoor noise level at night and objective/subjective sleep quality in the older population: a crosssectional study of the HEIJO-KYO cohort. Sleep 46, zsac197.
- Yanosky, J.D., Paciorek, C.J., Laden, F., Hart, J.E., Puett, R.C., Liao, D.P., Suh, H.H., 2014. Spatio-temporal modeling of particulate air pollution in the conterminous United States using geographic and meteorological predictors. Environ Health-Glob 13.
- Young, M.T., Bechle, M.J., Sampson, P.D., Szpiro, A.A., Marshall, J.D., Sheppard, L., Kaufman, J.D., 2016. Satellite-based NO2 and model validation in a national prediction model based on universal kriging and land-use regression. Environmental Science & Technology 50, 3686–3694.
- Zeeb, H., Hegewald, J., Schubert, M., Wagner, M., Droge, P., Swart, E., Seidler, A., 2017. Traffic noise and hypertension - results from a large case-control study. Environ. Res. 157, 110–117.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No).	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
			-	
			6. Performing Organization C	Code
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization F	Report No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
			11. Contract or Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report and Peric	od Covered
			14 Spannaring Agapay Code	2
			14. Sponsoning Agency Code	,
15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Abstract				
17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement		
19 Security Classif (of this report)	20 Security Classif (of this	nade)	21 No. of Pagoa	22 Price
Unclassified	Unclassified	paye)	21. NU. ULF dyes	22. FILE
Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)	Reproduct	ion of completed page aut	horized	