

**U.S. Department** of Transportation

**National Highway Traffic Safety Administration** 

DOT HS 813 648 January 2025



# **Passenger Vehicle Surrogate Test Target Radar Return Repeatability**

*This page intentionally left blank.*

#### **DISCLAIMER**

This publication is distributed by the U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. If trade or manufacturers' names or products are mentioned, it is because they are considered essential to the object of the publication and should not be construed as an endorsement. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers.

**NOTE:** This report is published in the interest of advancing motor vehicle safety research. While the report may provide results from research or tests using specifically identified motor vehicle models, it is not intended to make conclusions about the safety performance or safety compliance of those motor vehicles, and no such conclusions should be drawn.

Suggested APA format citation:

Zeits, R., Nagy, I., Peterson, B., Davis, I., Forkenbrock, G. J., & Neer, A. (2025, January). *Passenger vehicle surrogate test target radar return repeatability* (Report No. DOT HS 813 648). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

*This page intentionally left blank.*

# **Technical Report Documentation Page**



*This page intentionally left blank.*



# **Contents**



# **List of Figures**

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

# **List of Tables**

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

# **List of Acronyms and Abbreviations**

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

<span id="page-10-1"></span><sup>1</sup> The ISO itself explains, "Because 'International Organization for Standardization' would have different acronyms in different languages (IOS in English, OIN in French), our founders decided to give it the short form ISO. ISO is derived from the Greek word isos (ίσος, meaning "equal"). Whatever the country, whatever the language, the short form of our name is always ISO.[" https://www.iso.org/about.](https://www.iso.org/about)

*This page intentionally left blank.*

# <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Executive Summary**

This report used ISO 19[2](#page-12-1)06-3:2021<sup>2</sup> procedures to identify the repeatability of measuring the radar cross section of one vehicle test device, a surrogate vehicle designed to emulate a small passenger car. RCS boundary lines from ISO 19206-3:2021 (ISO, 2021) that define the expected radar return of a real vehicle were included to compare results. Additional work was done on vehicles under tests of different vehicle types to compare VTD results against and to determine if the ISO boundaries define an accurate range for the VTD and similarly sized vehicles.

Details about the equipment used cover two calibrated objects, two radar sensors, the robotic device guiding the radar sensors, the VTD under observation, and nine vehicles under test are included. Further, a visual satellite overlay shows about where and how measurements were performed.

ISO 19206-3:2021 methods were used to calibrate radar sensors, scan vehicles, and compile data. Scanning techniques included fixed angle, varying range measurements and fixed range, varying angle measurements. Results from the fixed range, varying angle measurements were used for an additional evaluation technique called RCS angle penetration. The results section below includes both types of measurements and the RCS angle penetrations. Results include calibration objects (fixed range only), the VTD, and nine VUTs.

The RCS consistency of the objects used to calibrate the radars used (two trihedrals) was successfully demonstrated. These results show the RCS variations observed for the VTDs can be attributed to the VTDs themselves, not to equipment or evaluation method limitations.

Apart from one fixed angle scan subset, the RCS returns of the VTD repeatability study were within their minimum percentages of applicable upper and lower boundaries defined by ISO 19206-3:2021. Fixed angle reflection categorization tended to return a higher percentage of total return per region when a category value was not within specified bounds.

Vehicle measurements confirm the RCS boundaries and reflection categories defined ISO 19206-3:2021can be used to represent a VTD as well as a small passenger vehicle. We surmise the variations in wheelbase and body shape cause all other vehicles, measured in this study, to be out of specified bounds to certain degrees.

<span id="page-12-1"></span> $2$  Full title: Road vehicles — Test devices for target vehicles, vulnerable road users and other objects, for assessment of active safety functions – Part 3: Requirements for passenger vehicle 3D targets.

*This page intentionally left blank.*

# <span id="page-14-0"></span>**Introduction**

Performance testing of advanced driver assistance systems such as automatic emergency braking often requires the use of a test surrogate to safely elicit a response from the VUT. Unlike the object it is intended to emulate, the test surrogate is designed to withstand impacts from the VUT, should a VUT-to-surrogate collision occur. This feature helps to ensure the safety of those performing the tests and minimizes the potential for damage to the test equipment and/or VUT during test conduct. However, realism is also a critical consideration as the surrogate's appearance, from a sensor perception and/or object classification perspective, must not affect the response of the VUT in an unrepresentative manner.

The goal of the work was to use ISO 19206-3:2021 (ISO, 2021) procedures to identify the repeatability of measuring the radar cross section of one VTD, a surrogate vehicle designed to emulate a small passenger car. Additional work was done on different VUT classes and styles to compare against VTD results. RCS boundary lines from ISO 19206-3:2021 that define the expected radar return of a real vehicle were included to compare results.

*This page intentionally left blank.*

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>**Test Equipment**

This section covers equipment used to perform the measurements described in this research. All measurement sensors were mounted to a robotic cart with an attached inertial measurement unit for local positioning and tracking. This equipment also includes calibration objects used to correct the radar sensors' perceived RCS returns.

### <span id="page-16-1"></span>**Radar Measurement Equipment**

A robotic cart from Dynamic Research, Inc., called the ScanR, was used to perform, process, and display the radar measurements. The ScanR was primarily comprised of two automotive-grade radar sensors mounted to a height-adjustable bracket, an inertial measurement unit, and a selfpropelled robotic cart that could be programmed to accurately approach the object being measured. Also included was a tablet with software used to calculate RCS values from the raw radar measurements, calculate and apply radar calibration factors, and to compare VTD RCS magnitudes against a library of reference values.

Table 1 provides an overview of the radar sensors<sup>[3](#page-16-3)</sup> installed on the ScanR. Both radar sensors operate in the 76 to 77 GHz range.

<span id="page-16-2"></span>

Manufacturer	<b>Description</b>	<b>Model</b>	Frequency (GHz)	<b>Distance</b> Range (m)	Horizontal <b>Field of</b> <b>View</b> (degrees)	<b>Typical</b> <b>Measurement</b> Rate (ms)
Bosch	General Purpose Radar v1.0	F 037 S <sub>07</sub> 149	76-77	$Up$ to 160	Near: $\pm 42.6$ Far: $\pm 21.0$	$\approx 100$ ms
Continental	Long-Range Radar Sensor	ARS 408- 21	76-77	$0.20$ to 250	Near: $\pm 60$ Far: $\pm 9$	$\approx 72 \text{ ms}$

*Table 1. Technical Details of the Bosch and Continental Radar Sensors*

To facilitate an accurate approach toward the object being measured, the ScanR uses an OxTS RT3000[4](#page-16-4) inertial navigation system including an inertial measurement unit and real-time kinematic GPS. Figure 1 (left) shows the ScanR measurement cart and its equipment. Figure 1 (right) highlights the two radar sensors installed on the ScanR, where the Continental and Bosch sensors are shown on the left and right sides of the mounting arm.

<span id="page-16-3"></span><sup>3</sup> Bosch Engineering GmbH, Baden-Württemberg, Germany, and Continental Engineering Services, Continental Engineering Services, a division of Continental AG, Hanover, Germany.

<span id="page-16-4"></span><sup>4</sup> Oxford Technical Solutions Ltd., Middleton Stoney, United Kingdom.



*Figure 1. ScanR Measurement Cart (left) and Radar Sensor Closeup (right)* 

# <span id="page-17-1"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>**Trihedral Specifications (Calibration Targets)**

Per ISO 19206-3:2021recommendations, each radar sensor was calibrated by performing reference measurements using two trihedrals of different sizes with known RCS characteristics. Each trihedral RCS was within one of the following two ranges specified in ISO 19206-3:2021: -20 dB-m<sup>2</sup> to 0 dB-m<sup>2</sup> for the smaller trihedral and 5 dB-m<sup>2</sup> to 20 dB-m<sup>2</sup> for the larger trihedral. Table 2 lists details of the trihedrals used.

<span id="page-17-2"></span>



\*As defined in ISO 19206-3:2021

<span id="page-17-3"></span><sup>5</sup> Eravant (formerly SAGE Millimeter, Inc.), Torrance, CA.

Each trihedral was mounted to a tripod and positioned with the face vertically level and its center located 480 mm above the ground. The tripod was occluded using radar absorbent material (MAST MF11<sup>[6](#page-18-3)</sup> [reticulated foam](https://www.masttechnologies.com/products/commercial/rf-absorbers-commercial/reticulated-foam-0-500/) with a nominal thickness of 12.7 mm) attached to posterboard and positioned as shown in [Figure 2.](#page-18-1)



*Figure 2. Example of a Trihedral Fixed to a Tripod Masked With Reticulate Foam* 

# <span id="page-18-1"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>**Vehicle Test Device**

The VTD used was a DRI Soft Car 360. Euro NCAP has approved<sup>[7](#page-18-4)</sup> this VTD for use in its AEB car-to-car test protocol as "[g]lobal [v]ehicle [t]argets (GVT)," stating that it "…meets the requirements as detailed in ISO 19206-3:2021" (European New Car Assessment Programme, 2024). The DRI Soft Car 360 used for this research was a model SC-FF-7 Revision G. This VTD was secured to the top of an AB Dynamics<sup>[8](#page-18-5)</sup> GST 120 low-profile robotic vehicle (see [Figure 3\)](#page-24-3).



*Figure 3. DRI Soft Car 360 Rear (left) and Front Passenger Corner (right) Profiles* 

<span id="page-18-3"></span><span id="page-18-2"></span><sup>6</sup> MAST Technologies, San Diego, CA.

<span id="page-18-4"></span><sup>7</sup> When secured to an appropriate robotic platform. The VTD and robotic platform combinations used are Euro NCAP-approved configurations (Euro NCAP, 2024).

<span id="page-18-5"></span><sup>8</sup> AB Dynamics, also called Anthony Best Dynamics Limited, Bradford on Avon, UK.

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>**Vehicles Under Test**

Measurements were collected from a variety of vehicles for comparison with the VUT. Results were also used to determine if the ISO boundaries define an accurate range for the VTD and similarly sized vehicles. The vehicle used and vehicle class/style are listed and shown in [Table 3.](#page-19-1) These were selected from the pool of available vehicles previously used for testing at NHTSA's Vehicle Research and Test Center. Additional profile images can be seen in [Appendix A.](#page-51-0)

<span id="page-19-1"></span>

<b>Class/Style</b>	Year/Make/Model	<b>Rear Profile Image</b>
Sedan	2022 Toyota Camry	
<b>Hatchback</b>	2020 Chevrolet Bolt	
<b>Minivan</b>	2022 Honda Odyssey <sup>2</sup>	80539
<b>SUV/Crossover</b>	2022 Nissan Rogue	
<b>Light-Duty Truck</b>	2022 Ram 1500	$\bullet$
<b>Tractor</b>	2021 Freightliner Cascadia PT126SLP	

*Table 3. Real Vehicle Details and Rear Profile Image*



*This page intentionally left blank.*

# <span id="page-22-0"></span>**Test Facility and Environmental Conditions**

The following section covers where the measurements took place and the environmental conditions under which measurements were conducted.

# <span id="page-22-1"></span>**Facility Location**

ISO 19206-3:2021 specifies a 20 m wide and 110 m long facility for fixed angle, variable range measurements (enough for a 100 m approach plus an additional 10 m behind the object being measured) and a 40 m radius clearing centered about the test object for the fixed range, variable angle measurements. To accommodate these requirements, all measurements were performed at the Transportation Research Center Inc. SMARTCenter Urban East Roundabout. The ScanR measurement paths, relative to the center of the test object are depicted on the paved SMARTCenter Roundabout, are shown in [Figure 4.](#page-22-3)



*Figure 4. ScanR Paths and Origin Used at the SMARTCenter Urban Roundabout* 

# <span id="page-22-3"></span><span id="page-22-2"></span>**Environmental Conditions**

<span id="page-22-4"></span>Radar measurements can be affected by environmental conditions; therefore ISO 19206-3:2021 states one environmental condition for test conduct, an ambient temperature between -5 ˚C to 40 ˚C. Rain can also cause radar signal degradation so all tests were performed when there was no active rain. The environmental conditions during the testing timeline are shown in [Table 4.](#page-22-4)

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

<b>Cloud Cover</b>	Any
<b>Sun Angle</b>	Any during working hours $(6:00$ a.m. $-5:00$ p.m.)
Precipitation	None
<b>Surface Wetness</b>	Dry to Damp (no standing water on test surface)
<b>Ambient Temperature</b>	$0^{\circ}$ C to 38 $^{\circ}$ C
Wind	Up to $40$ mph gusts

*Table 4. Weather Conditions Observed During Testing*

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>**Test Methodology for Performing and Analyzing Measurements**

The following sub-sections cover both test conduct and analysis of measurements. Sub-sections include specifications from ISO 19206-3:2021 of how testing should be conducted, and various aspects related to operating the equipment. The Analysis of Measurements section briefly covers the theory and background about how calibration data is used for determining RCS calibration values and how GVT measurements are evaluated.

#### <span id="page-24-1"></span>**Measurement Approaches**

The left image of Figure 5 illustrates the fixed angle, variable range scans, where measurements are taken from 100 to 5 meters. A measurement approach of 180° is referencing an approach to the rear of the vehicle. Figure 5 right shows an approach for the fixed range, variable angle measurements, where a constant radius of 30 meters is followed for 360°. The 100-to-5-meter range and 30-meter radius are defined in ISO 19206-3:2021.



*Figure 5. Fixed Angle (left) and Fixed Range (right) Measurement Positions defined in ISO 19206-3:2021 ("1" indicates the center of the vehicle)*

### <span id="page-24-3"></span><span id="page-24-2"></span>**Radar Sensor Heights**

Using the ScanR's height-adjustable mounting bracket, the centers of the respective radar sensors were positioned at three heights relative to the test surface (230, 430, and 900 mm per recommendation by ISO 19206-3:2021. At each height, the ScanR was driven towards the face of a trihedral for calibration measurements, or to the 180° aspect of a VTD for fixed angle measurements over a distance ranging from 100 m to 5 m, or at a constant range of 30 meters for 360° around the object for fixed range measurements. Radar measurements were taken continuously during each approach. The practice of the three measurement heights compensates for radar signal fading, specifically multipath propagation. Wireless system signal fading is a known characteristic of wireless signals. [Figure 6](#page-25-1) illustrates the effect of signal fading on a fixed angle approach to the 10  $dBm^2$  trihedral. The composite line in [Figure 6](#page-25-1) represents the average of the measurements taken at the three heights, yielding an approximate true RCS return from 100 to 5 meters. Further detail on how the composite line is calculated is covered in Section 4.6. Signal fading will not be further discussed in this report.



<span id="page-25-1"></span>*Figure 6. Example of Signal Fading Effect with a 10* <sup>2</sup> *Trihedral (Fading circled in red)*

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>**Radar Measurement Approach Velocity**

ISO 19206-3:2021 states that the radar scans shall be performed in a manner that produces at least five measurement samples per meter for fixed angle, variable range measurements or five measurement samples per angular degree for fixed range, variable angle measurements. To calculate the ScanR velocity needed to satisfy this criterion, ISO 19206-3:2021 provides one equation for each measurement type. To avoid having to configure the ScanR to operate at different speeds for each measurement scenario, the outputs of both equations were considered, and the most conservative speed (i.e., the slowest) was retained for further use.

*Equation 1: Maximum Velocity for Fixed Angle Measurments*

$$
v_{max,fixed\_angle} \le \frac{1}{n\tau}
$$

*Equation 2: Maximum Velocity for Fixed Range Measurements*

$$
v_{max,fixed\_range} \le \frac{2\pi R}{360n\tau}
$$

Where

 $R =$  the measurement range radius

 $n =$  the numbers of samples per unit of measure (meters in Eq. 1, degrees in Eq. 2)

 $\tau$  = the time between sensor measurements (seconds)

Since each of the two radar sensors described in [Table 1](#page-16-2) were observed to have different output rates (based on a review of the reference times associated with the respective raw data points), the slower of the two values was identified and a conservative rate of 10Hz was used in Equation 1 and Equation 2 (which translates to  $\tau = 0.1$  s). Taking  $n = 5$  samples per meter and setting R =

30 m (the radius specified in ISO 19206-3:2021 for fixed range measurements, which involve the measurement equipment being operated in a circle around the object being scanned), Equation 1 yields 2.0 m/s (7.2 km/h) and Equation 2 yields 1.0 m/s (3.6 km/h). Therefore, the ScanR was configured to operate at 1.0 m/s during collection of all measurements described in this report.

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>**Radar Calibration Factor Determination**

The radar sensors were calibrated using the procedure defined in ISO 19206-3:2021 Section C.3.4 prior to collecting general object measurements. The frequency of calibration varied depending on whether the VDT or the VUTs were being scanned. For VTD measurements, calibration occurred with every set of object fixed angle and fixed range scans (as seen in [Table](#page-26-3)  [5\)](#page-26-3). For VUTs, calibration occurred once daily.

<span id="page-26-3"></span>

<b>Sensor Height</b> $(cm) (\pm 1cm)$	$10$ dBm <sup>2</sup> <b>Trihedral Fixed</b> Angle $(180^{\circ})$	$-3.6$ dBm <sup>2</sup> <b>Trihedral Fixed</b> Angle $(180^{\circ})$	<b>Object Fixed</b> Angle $(180^{\circ})$	<b>Object Fixed</b> Range (30m)
48				

*Table 5. General Measurement Set*

The calibration process uses the fixed angle measurements taken from a range of 100 m to 5 m by each radar sensor, for each radar sensor height. The complete process was performed for each trihedral using software provided with the ScanR, and the calibration factors calculated for each trihedral were averaged to create a final (overall) calibration factor in units of  $dB-m^2$  for each radar sensor. These calibration factors were then applied as an offset calibration (magnitude shift) to the respective RCS composites.

# <span id="page-26-1"></span>**General Object RCS Determination**

After collecting the calibration measurements, either the VTD or a VUT was centered about the origin and respective fixed range and fixed angel measurements were collected.

# <span id="page-26-2"></span>*VTD Repeatability Schedule*

Under the VTD repeatability study, one general measurement set was collected all within the same ScanR power cycle. Once the set was completed, the ScanR was powered down. The ScanR was powered back up after 15 to 30 minutes to ensure all equipment was completely powered down, and another general measurement set was collected. This process was repeated for a total of three times a day for 5 days. For this work, the three general measurement sets had to have all occurred within the same day. It was preferred for days to be consecutive but was not possible due to weather and available working days. This test matrix in [Table 6](#page-27-1) has the general measurement set broken out into the respective power cycles and days.

<span id="page-27-1"></span>

<b>VTD Repeatability</b>							
Day 5 Day 3 Day 2 Day 4 Day 1							
<b>Power Cycle 1</b>							
<b>Power Cycle 2</b>							
<b>Power Cycle 3</b>							

*Table 6. Test Matrix for VTD Repeatability*

The purpose of this practice was to verify that signal fading and power cycling yielded minimal variability to measurements within the same day as well as across days.

#### <span id="page-27-0"></span>*VUT Schedule*

After confirming that signal fading and power cycling effects to the radar sensors were minimal (from VTD Repeatability results discussed below), calibration measurements were reduced to once a day. This allowed for reduced overall measurement collection time and testing ease. Periodically, the ScanR experienced issues where the radar sensors would disconnect, or the steering would become erratic. Power cycling the ScanR was often required to resolve these issues. After an extended amount of scans the ScanR would also require being powered off to change the battery for continued testing. With the one set of calibration measurements per day expectation, if more than one real vehicle were scanned in a day, or multiple power cycles occurred, additional rows would be added to [Table 7](#page-27-2) for fixed angle and fixed range measurements. Additional columns were added for as many days required to scan all the listed real vehicles. It was required to perform both types of measurements of a single vehicle in the same day.

<span id="page-27-2"></span>

<b>Real Vehicles</b>					
Day $#$ Day 1					
	<b>GMS</b>		<b>GMS</b>		
Power Cycle #	<b>FA</b>	<b>FR</b>	<b>FA</b>	<b>FR</b>	
	V#	V#	V#	V#	

*Table 7. Test Matrix for Real Vehicles*

GMS General Measurement Set

- FA Fixed Angle
- FR Fixed Range
- V Vehicle Scan Sub-Set
- # Additional as Needed

#### <span id="page-28-0"></span>**Analysis of Measurements**

Analysis software was supplied by DRI with ScanR configured to evaluate the collected radar measurements. This software includes calculation of sensor calibration values for each radar sensor, RCS values, ISO RCS bounding, in-bound percentage for fixed angle and fixed range measurements, and spatial RCS regions for angle-penetration.

#### <span id="page-28-1"></span>*Calibration Value Calculations*

Per ISO 19206-3:2021 specifications, the DRI ScanR software uses the following described method to calculate the calibration value. Data of each individual scan per trihedral is binned via 1-meter increments from 5-100. The data within the bins is averaged in units of meters squared to create a single value at each integer value. Next, all the binned RCS data is grouped for all three scan heights and the median RCS is determined. This median value,  $P_{cal}$ , is then factored against the known RCS of the trihedral,  $P_{meas}$ , via [Equation 3.](#page-28-3) This results in the calibration factor,  $K_{n,D}$ , in units of dBm<sup>2</sup> where  $P_{cal}$  and  $P_{meas}$  are in units of meters squared. The calibration value for each trihedral of the general measurement set are averaged to obtain an averaged calibration value. This average calibration value is then applied to object RCS composite returns as a magnitude shift, raising or lowering the RCS return.

*Equation 3*

$$
K_{n,D} = 10Log_{10}\left(\frac{P_{cal}}{P_{meas}}\right)
$$

#### <span id="page-28-3"></span><span id="page-28-2"></span>*VTD RCS Return and Boundaries*

Filtering of object RCS returns vary to that of the calibration process. Instead of binning the data, ISO 19206-3:2021 specifies that the largest returns should be filtered using a moving average window of 5.0 m for each scan height. To obtain the composite line, the filtered data should be averaged across the three scan heights.

#### **Fixed Angle RCS Boundaries**

<span id="page-28-5"></span><span id="page-28-4"></span>ISO 19206-3:2021 defines that at least 92 percent of the filtered data points of the composite line must fall within the upper and lower boundaries to be considered valid. The upper and lower boundaries,  $B_U$  and  $B_L$ , are defined by [Equation 4](#page-28-4) and [Equation 5](#page-28-5) respectively as a function of range (*D)*. [Figure 7](#page-29-0) illustrates these bounds plotted from a range of 5 to 100 meters for a view angle of 180°. [Table 8](#page-29-1) provides values of the terms used for the 180° view angle.

> *Equation 4: Upper Boundary Line*  $B_{II} = P_{FAR} - K_{DEC} * \min(D - D_{FAR}, 0)^2 + \Delta_P$ *Equation 5: Lower Boundary Line*  $B_L = P_{FAR} - K_{DEC} * \min(D - D_{FAR}, 0)^2 - \Delta_P$

*Table 8. RCS Boundary Parameters defined in ISO 19206-3:2021* 

<span id="page-29-1"></span>

Angle $(°)$	$K_{DEC}$ (dBm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> )	$D_{FAR}(m)$	$P_{FAR}$ (dBm <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta_P$ (dBm <sup>2</sup> )
80	$0.013\,$	40		

Where

 *= upper boundary (dBm2) = lower boundary (dBm2) D = range (m) = average RCS at far distances (dBm2)*  $D_{FAR}$  = range beyond which the average RCS is PFAR (m) *= factor of decreasing RCS as a function of distance (dBm2/ m2)*  $\Delta_p$  = half width of the RCS boundary (dBm2) 35 30 25 20 RCS, dB-m<sup>2</sup> 15  $10$ 5  $\mathbf 0$  $-5$  $-10$  $10$ 20 40 50 70 80 100  $\bf{0}$ 30 60 90 Range, m

<span id="page-29-0"></span>*Figure 7. Illustration of 180° Fixed Angle RCS Bounds*

#### **Fixed Range RCS Boundaries**

Like the fixed angle RCS boundaries, ISO 19206-3:2021 defines for fixed range approaches that at least 95 percent of the filtered data points of a composite line must fall within the upper and lower boundaries. The upper and lower boundaries are defined by a cubic spline function of the data in [Table 9](#page-30-0) and visually represented in [Figure 8.](#page-31-0) For the boundaries to form correctly about 0° and 180°, [Table 9](#page-30-0) must be extended by 90° to -90° and 270°.

<span id="page-30-0"></span>

Angle $(°)$	Lower boundary $(dBm2)$	Upper boundary $(dBm2)$
$\theta$		19
10	$-4$	14
30	$-6$	8
60	$-5$	12
80	$-2$	20
90	13	30
100	$-2$	20
120	$-5$	12
150	$-5$	12
170	$-2$	18
180	$\overline{2}$	25

*Table 9. Fixed Range RCS Boundary Breakpoints defined in ISO 19206-3:2021*



<span id="page-31-0"></span>*Figure 8. Illustration of Fixed Range RCS Boundaries*

#### <span id="page-32-0"></span>*RCS Angle-Penetration*

An additional aspect of analysis for fixed range measurements is evaluating the depth of measurements in respect to specific viewing angles. [Figure 9](#page-32-1) illustrates two example viewing angles and direction of penetrations. This method results in every RCS return to be rated with a specific penetration distance.



 $\mathbf{1}$ vehicle length,  $L_v$ 

**Key** 

- vehicle width,  $W_{\rm v}$  $\overline{2}$
- 3 vehicle corner radius,  $R_V$  (=  $W_V$ /3)
- penetration distance,  $D_{\rm p}$ 4
- 5 radar sensor

<span id="page-32-1"></span>*Figure 9. Penetration Distance Example for Viewing Angle of -90° (left) and -135° (right) Defined in ISO 19206-3:2021* 

Each RCS return is plotted against its associated penetration distance and categorized into one of three regions. The power in each region is summed in units of meters squared to determine the percentage of total power within each region. The percentage in each region must be within a specified range defined by ISO 19206-3:2021. The regions and associated power ranges can be observed in [Table 10](#page-33-0) and [Figure 10.](#page-34-0) The dimensions for regions 1 and 2 are not explicitly defined in ISO 19206-3:2021 and can only be inferred from [Figure 10](#page-34-0) within the ISO document. However, the analysis software provided with the ScanR has these boundaries defined (as seen in [Table 10\)](#page-33-0) and can perform the calculation to determine the percentages within each region.

<span id="page-33-0"></span>

<b>Region</b>	<b>Description</b>	Angle (degrees)	Penetration Distance (m)	<b>Reflection Power</b> Percentage (%)	
		$-180$	$-0.1$		
$\,1$	Primary	180	$-0.1$	$86 - 95$	
	Reflections	180	$1.0\,$		
		$-180$	1.0		
		$-120$	1.0		
		$-165$	2.5		
		$-165$	$\overline{4.5}$		
		$-90$	2.0		
		$-15$	4.5		
	Internal Reflections	$-15$	2.5		
$\sqrt{2}$		$-60$	$1.0\,$	$2 - 14$	
		60	$1.0\,$		
		15	2.5		
		$\overline{15}$	4.5		
		90	2.0		
		$\overline{165}$	4.5		
		165	2.5		
		120	$1.0\,$		
		$-180$	$-3.0$		
$\mathfrak{Z}$	Extraneous	180	3.0	$0-4$	
	Reflections	180	$7.0\,$		
		$-180$	7.0		

*Table 10. VTD Radar Power Reflection from each Vehicle Region*



# Key<br>X

X View Angle

Y Penetration Distance

<span id="page-34-0"></span>*Figure 10. VTD Spatial RCS Regions for Angle-Penetration Method Defined in ISO 19206- 3:2021*

*This page intentionally left blank.*

# <span id="page-36-0"></span>**Results**

In this section, results from the calibration trihedrals, VTD, and VUTs are presented visually. Specifically, composite RCS magnitudes defined in ISO 19206-3:2021 are shown.

# <span id="page-36-1"></span>**Calibration Trihedral Results**

The trihedral measurements used for radar calibration were recorded from September 5 to February 20, 2024. All 18 measurements were taken with the trihedrals located at the same origin on the test track and with identical approach paths. Figure 11 presents the 18 respective composite RCS data traces shown for each trihedral and radar sensor combination. [Figure 12](#page-36-3) provides the average calibration factors (i.e., including results from both trihedrals) derived from the composite RCS measurement. These were then used to correct the RCS measurements recorded on the respective measurement day, for each radar sensor.



*Figure 11. Calibration Trihedral Composite RCS Magnitudes*

<span id="page-36-2"></span>

<span id="page-36-3"></span>*Figure 12. Average RCS Calibration Values Over Time*

### <span id="page-37-0"></span>**VTD RCS Results Relative to ISO 19206-3:2021 Boundaries**

Results of VTD RCS measurements are presented in the following sections. As previously stated, above, all sets of scans covered in this subsection were performed in their own respective power cycles.

### <span id="page-37-1"></span>*VTD Fixed Angle Approach*

<span id="page-37-2"></span>[Table 11](#page-37-2) quantifies the percentage of the points within the upper and lower RCS bounds for the fixed angle approach measurements. The only occurrence of the VTD not meeting the specified in bound criteria of 92 percent was with the Bosch sensor within Day 2, Set 3. This can be seen in further detail in [Figure 12](#page-38-1) where the respective RCS return line falls out of the lower bounds from 80 to 90 meters.

		Percentage Inbounds (%)				
		Continental	<b>Bosch</b>			
	Set 1	100	94.2			
Day 1	Set 2	100	100			
	Set 3	100	99.9			
	Set 1	100	96.5			
Day 2	Set 2	100	100			
	Set 3	100	85.0			
	Set 1	100	100			
Day 3	Set 2	100	100			
	Set 3	100	100			
	Set 1	100	100			
Day 4	Set 2	100	100			
	Set 3	100	100			
	Set 1	100	94.5			
Day 5	Set 2	92.1	95.9			
	Set 3	100	100			

*Table 11. VTD Fixed Angle Approach Tabulated Results*



*Figure 13. VTD RCS Repeatability Results (Continental, Bosch right)*

### <span id="page-38-1"></span><span id="page-38-0"></span>*VTD Fixed Range Approach*

As it is not possible to effectively overlay all angle-penetration figures, these results are represented in tabular form in [Table 12](#page-39-0) along with the fixed range RCS in bound percentage. As seen in [Table 12,](#page-39-0) there is some variation with the VTD. Notably, the VTD does meet the 360° ISO Spec classification type with all measurements taken. The primary out of bounds classification type is predominantly within the Primary Reflections for the Continental sensor. Additional out of bounds reflections were observed with the Extraneous Reflections from both radar sensors.

[Figure 13](#page-40-0) shows the RCS returns and boundaries for the fixed range measurements. The angles of 0° and -45° appear to be common for higher returns, thus causing the 360° ISO spec classification type in bound percentages to be below 100 percent, but above 92 percent.

<span id="page-39-0"></span>

		Percentage Inbounds (%)							
			360° ISO Spec		<b>Primary Reflections</b> (R1)		<b>Internal Reflections</b> (R2)	<b>Extraneous</b> <b>Reflections (R3)</b>	
		$>95\%$		95.0%-86.0%		14.0%-2.0%		$4.0\% - 0.0\%$	
		Continental	<b>Bosch</b>	<b>Continental</b>	<b>Bosch</b>	Continental	<b>Bosch</b>	Continental	<b>Bosch</b>
	Set 1	95.6	97.2	95	94	3.1	3.2	1.8	2.7
Day 1	Set 2	96.2	97.7	91.7	89	2.9	3.3	5.5	7.7
	Set 3	97.3	98.6	95	93.3	3.4	3.7	1.6	$\overline{3}$
	Set 1	97.7	100	95.2	93.4	2.9	3.5	1.9	3.1
Day 2	Set 2	98.8	100	94.9	92.9	3.1	3.8	$\overline{2}$	3.4
	Set 3	97.5	100	95.3	93.8	$\overline{3}$	3.3	1.6	2.9
	Set 1	98.3	100	94.5	92.9	3.5	3.8	$\overline{2}$	3.3
Day 3	Set 2	97.5	99.7	94.9	92.8	3.2	3.7	$\overline{2}$	3.4
	Set 3	97.1	99.6	93.3	92.4	2.9	3.4	3.8	4.2
	Set 1	97.4	99.6	95.5	94.3	2.8	2.8	1.8	2.9
Day 4 Set 2		98	99.5	95	94	2.9	2.6	2.1	3.5
	Set 3	98.4	99.6	95.1	93.5	3.2	3.4	1.7	3.1
	Set 1	98	99.6	95.1	93.8	$\overline{3}$	2.9	1.8	3.2
Day 5	Set 2	97.5	99.8	95.6	94.4	2.7	2.9	1.7	2.7
	Set 3	96.6	98.6	95.6	93.7	3	3.4	1.4	2.9

*Table 12. VTD Fixed Range Approach Tabulated Results*



<span id="page-40-0"></span>*Figure 14. VTD Variability Fixed Range (30m) RCS Returns (Continental left, Bosch right)*

# <span id="page-41-0"></span>**VUT RCS Results Relative to ISO 19206-3:2021 Boundaries**

Results of VUT RCS measurements are presented in the following sections. As previously stated, all sets of scans covered in this subsection were performed with one power cycle for the day. The following results of the real vehicles uses the same RCS bounds as the VTD. This is to compare the set of scanned vehicles to the RCS boundaries themselves.

### <span id="page-41-1"></span>*VUT Fixed Angle Approach*

For the 180° fixed angle approach, all passenger vehicles achieved the inbounds criteria, and included the bobtail tractor. The passenger vehicle achievement supports the 180° fixed angle boundary values. It could be surmised that the flat backing of the tractor trailer, straight truck, and school bus contributed to results with fewer returns inbounds. Figure 14 shows the higher returns along with all the 180° fixed angle values vehicle returns.

<span id="page-41-2"></span>

<b>VUT</b>	Percentage Inbounds (%)		
	Continental	<b>Bosch</b>	
Sedan	100	100	
Hatchback	100	100	
Minivan	100	95.9	
Crossover	100	100	
<b>Light Duty Truck</b>	100	100	
<b>Bobtail Tractor</b>	100	100	
<b>Tractor and Trailer</b>	81	73.5	
<b>Straight Truck</b>	90.4	87.8	
<b>School Bus</b>	38.9	51.2	

*Table 13. VUT Fixed Angle Approach Tabulated Summary*



<span id="page-42-0"></span>*Figure 15. Real Vehicles Fixed Angle RCS Returns (Continental left, Bosch right)*

# <span id="page-43-0"></span>*VUT Fixed Range Approach*

The hatchback, objectively the most similarly shaped VUT to the VTD, is within all specified fixed range criteria, as seen in [Table 14.](#page-43-1) We surmise that the variations in wheelbase and body shape cause all other vehicles to be out of specified bounds to certain degrees.

[Figure 15,](#page-44-0) shows the RCS returns of the vehicles. Notably the bobtail tractor, tractor trailer, straight truck, and school bus significantly deviate from the rest of the vehicles. Again, this can be surmised from their abnormal shape compared to that of what the ISO bounds have been created from.

<span id="page-43-1"></span>

<b>VUT</b>	Percentage Inbounds (%)							
	360° ISO Spec >95%		(R1) 95.0%-86.0%		<b>Primary Reflections Internal Reflections</b> (R2) $14.0\% - 2.0\%$		<b>Extraneous</b> <b>Reflections (R3)</b> $4.0\% - 0.0\%$	
	Sedan	100	100	70.5	65	5.6	7.4	23.9
Hatchback	100	97.7	91.9	89	5	7.3	3.2	3.6
Minivan	99.1	98.8	54.9	52.9	5.4	5.5	39.7	41.6
Crossover	100	99.8	89.7	85.9	4.4	6.6	5.9	7.5
Light Duty Truck	100	96.8	60.8	51.7	4.8	7.6	34.4	40.7
<b>Bobtail Tractor</b>	82.9	72.2	30.9	25.4	10.1	12.5	59	62.1
Tractor and Trailer	23.1	25.2	4.6	5.2	28.6	31.9	66.8	62.9
<b>Straight Truck</b>	27	25.1	23.2	19.5	29.4	30.8	47.4	49.7
<b>School Bus</b>	46.1	40.5	9.7	10.2	5.7	14.3	84.5	75.5

*Table 14. VUT Fixed Range Approach Tabulated Results*



<span id="page-44-0"></span>*Figure 16. VUT Fixed Range (30m) RCS Returns (Continental left, Bosch right)*

#### **VTD and VUT Fixed Angle Overlay**

[Figure 17](#page-45-2) contains the real vehicles overlayed with all the GVT composite returns for the 180° fixed angle approaches. For this illustration, all GVT lines are the same color, effectively blanketing the range of RCS values observed through the repeatability testing.



<span id="page-45-2"></span><span id="page-45-1"></span><span id="page-45-0"></span>*Figure 17. Real Vehicles Overlayed Onto GVT Fixed Angle RCS Returns With First Repeatability Scan (Continental left, Bosch right)*

# <span id="page-46-0"></span>**Assessment of Test Anomalies**

When all GVT repeatability and real vehicle scans were assumed to be completed, it was discovered that an adjustable parameter called the "Position Filter Distance" was set at a value of  $\pm 2m$ . This value affects what data is written and saved during scanning. The value works to define a longitudinal distance in front of and behind the object center point, in respect to the ScanR. As readings come from the radar sensors, each reading is checked to see if it falls longitudinally within the window. If the reading is outside of the window, then that reading is not saved. By using the Position Filter Distance set to  $\pm 2m$ , this created a window of length equal to 4 meters centered about the origin. Investigation into the validity of all VTD repeatability data was done as the VTD was measured at 3.95m in length. This did require all real vehicles to be rescanned as they were all greater than 4m in length. To investigate and verify the VTD repeatability measurement, two sets of scans were performed, one with Position Filter Distance set to  $\pm 2m$  as a baseline and the second with Position Filter Distance set to  $\pm 6m$ , seen in Figure [18.](#page-46-1)



*Figure 18. Position Filter Distance Comparison of ±2m and ±6m*

<span id="page-46-1"></span>Further analysis of the  $\pm 6$ m scanned data set yields confidence that a significant amount of the data was already within the  $\pm 2m$  distance window. As values are in terms of power (logarithmic scaling) larger RCS returns contribute more than that of lower power returns. This is shown in [Figure 19](#page-47-0) with the percentage of data within the  $\pm 2m$  distance window and the overall weighting of bins of RCS values. This was done to show returns in value less than or equal to -10  $dBm<sup>2</sup>$ have little to no contribution to the complete data sets.



<span id="page-47-0"></span>*Figure 19. Percent Value of Data Location of ±6m Position Filter Distance (Continental left and Bosch Right)*

# <span id="page-48-0"></span>**Summary of Results**

The goal of the work discussed in this report was to use ISO 19206-3:2021 procedures to identify the repeatability of measuring the RCS of one VTD, a surrogate vehicle designed to emulate a small passenger car. Additional work was done on VUTs of different classes and styles to compare VTD results against. RCS boundary lines from ISO 19206-3:2021 that define the expected radar return of a real vehicle were included to compare results.

In summary, the following were observed.

- RCS qualitative consistency of the calibration trihedrals was successfully demonstrated, especially for the larger 10  $dB-m^2$  version. This result indicates the measurement variations observed for the VTDs can be attributed to the VTDs themselves; not to equipment and/or evaluation method limitations.
- Variation in RCS return was observed with the VTD repeatability study using methods defined by defined by ISO 19206-3:2021.
	- o For the fixed angle scans, the RCS returns from the Continental sensor were within their minimum percentage of the applicable upper and lower boundaries defined by ISO 19206-3:2021. Scans using the Bosch radar sensor produced one result outside of the defined boundary, where a value of 85 percent was observed. All other measurements performed with the Bosch radar were greater than 94 percent and within the upper and lower RCS boundaries.
	- o For the fixed range scans, primary reflections from the Bosch radar fell within the specified bounds. Results from the extraneous reflections yielded two cases where reflection percentage inbounds did not fall within the specified bounds. Primary and extraneous reflections from the Continental radar produced several returns outside of the specified bounds.
- VUT vehicle measurements confirm the RCS boundaries and categories defined in ISO 19206-3:2021 are reasonably appropriate.
	- o VUT vehicle measurements yield varying but expected results. Generally, light passengers' vehicles are within the RCS return boundaries defined by ISO 19206- 3:2021 for both fixed angle and fixed range measurement types. The scanned heavy vehicles tend not to satisfy the boundary criteria. For the VUT reflection categorizations, the hatchback, most representative in shape to the VTD, achieves all category requirements.

*This page intentionally left blank.*

# <span id="page-50-0"></span>**References**

- European New Car Assessment Programme. (2024, February). *Technical bulletin TB 029, Euro NCAP supplier list appendices I & II.* [www.euroncap.com/media/80157/tb-029-appendices-i](https://www.euroncap.com/media/80157/tb-029-appendices-i-ii-29-02-2024.xlsx)[ii-29-02-2024.xlsx](https://www.euroncap.com/media/80157/tb-029-appendices-i-ii-29-02-2024.xlsx)
- European New Car Assessment Programme. (2024, February). *Test protocol AEB car-to-car systems.* Implementation 2023, Version 4.3.1. [www.euroncap.com/media/80155/euro-ncap](https://www.euroncap.com/media/80155/euro-ncap-aeb-c2c-test-protocol-v431.pdf)[aeb-c2c-test-protocol-v431.pdf](https://www.euroncap.com/media/80155/euro-ncap-aeb-c2c-test-protocol-v431.pdf)
- International Organization for Standardization. (2021). *ISO 19206-3:2021, Road vehicles Test devices for target vehicles, vulnerable road users and other objects, for assessment of active safety functions – Part 3: Requirements for passenger vehicle 3D targets*. [www.iso.org/standard/70133.html](https://www.iso.org/standard/70133.html)

<span id="page-51-0"></span>*This page intentionally left blank.*

<span id="page-52-0"></span>**Appendix A. Additional pictures**

# DRI ScanR Measurement Cart



Trihedral and Tripod Mount



# DRI GVT Profile Pictures



# Real Vehicles

• Sedan – 2022 Toyota Camry



# Hatchback – 2020 Chevrolet Bolt



# Light-Duty Truck – 2022 Ram 1500



# Minivan – 2022 Honda Odyssey



# SUV – 2022 Nissan Rogue



Bobtail Tractor – 2021 Freightliner Cascadia PT126SLP



Tractor and Trailer – 2021 Freightliner Cascadia PT126SLP and 53 ft Trailer With No Aero Panels



Straight Truck – 2021 RAM 5500 Tradesman With Kaffenbarger<sup>[9](#page-62-0)</sup> Truck Equivalent Box Van Body



<span id="page-62-0"></span> $9$  Kaffenbarger Truck Equipment Company, Columbus, Ohio, was recently acquired by Knapheide Manufacturing Company, also of Columbus, Ohio.

School Bus – 2023 IC Bus CE 78-Passenger School Bus (PB105)



DOT HS 813 648 January 2025



U.S. Department<br>of Transportation

National Highway<br>Traffic Safety<br>Administration



16377-010625-v4