0-7071: Evaluate Geophysical Methods to Detect Underground Voids

Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Project 0-7071, entitled "Evaluate Geophysical Methods to Detect Underground Voids," is aimed at the comparative assessment of the effectiveness of four geophysical methods in detecting subsurface anomalies, with particular focus on underground voids.

CENTER FOR

TRANSPORTATION

Under the auspices of the project four geophysical methods are studied: a. Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT); b. Multi-Channel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW); c. Full-Waveform Inversion (FWI); and d. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR).

The primary objective of the project is to lend clarity on the applicability and limitations of each one of the four geophysical methods, while also arriving at recommendations for the most appropriate course of action when faced with site investigations aimed at detecting the presence of voids.

To comparatively assess the methods and to inform our recommendation: a. we conducted computational studies driven by synthetic data; b. we performed field experiments at two sites and used inverse modeling tools to image the subsurface; and c. we sought to verify the imaging resulting from the field experiments using the findings of invasive drilling at select locations at the field experiment sites.

We concluded that a: ERT is wellsuited for detecting and localizing subsurface anomalies, but may not be able to accurately size or characterize the material composition of an anomaly/ void: b. MASW is unsuitable under most realistic field conditions: c. FWI appears suitable based on computational simulations, and would likely meet the demands of field conditions, but this capability was not tested; and d. GPR's ability in anomaly detection is very limited due to depth constraints, it lacks consistency, and depends highly on operator experience; even when detection is successful, sizing and characterizing the anomaly using GPR is infeasible.

We believe that no single method operating on its own can consistently

Research Performed by: Center for Transportation Research

Research Supervisor: Dr. Loukas Kallivokas, CTR

Researchers: Mingwei Cai Tairan Li

Project Completed: 06/05/2023

deliver a fine resolution subsurface image at a heterogeneous site that includes subsurface anomalies and surface topographic features. However, if one were to choose a single method out of the ones we experimented with in order to get an approximate picture of the subsurface that may reveal the presence of anomalies/ voids, we recommend ERT, subject to the cautionary statements made herein and in the final project report.

We identified a number of areas where gaps exist and improvements need to be made if the near-surface soil deposits were to be reliably imaged for infrastructure projects. In particular, we urge attention to multi-physics-based imaging, where two (or more) geophysical methods are used synergistically to invert for the subsurface properties, which would facilitate anomaly/void detection. Additional gaps include the lack of welltested, well-documented, reliable software suites dedicated to the 3D inversion based on ERT and FWI that also account for topographic effects.

For More Information	Research and Technology Implementation Division
Project Manager: Joanne Steele, RTI (512) 416-4567	Texas Department of Transportation 125 E. 11th Street Austin, TX 78701-2483
Research Supervisor: Loukas Kallivokasi, CTR (512) 232-5769	www.txdot.gov
Technical reports when published are available at https://library.ctr.utexas.edu.	Keyword: Research

This research was performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration. The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented here. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of FHWA or TxDOT. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. Trade names were used solely for information and not for product endorsement.