

DYNAMIC PILE MONITORING REPORT
PROPOSED I-215 BRIDGES
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

Demonstration Projects Division

LIBRARY



FHWA-DP-66-10

Federal Highway Administration
Office of Highway Operations
Demonstration Projects Division
Washington, D.C.

May 1985

PROPOSED I-215 BRIDGES

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH



Federal Highway Administration
Office of Highway Operations
Demonstration Projects Division
Washington, D.C.

May 1985

DYNAMIC PILE MONITORING REPORT

I-215 Bridges, Salt Lake City, Utah

Introduction and Background

Field demonstrations for Demonstration Project 66, "Design and Construction of Driven Pile Foundations," include (1) dynamic pile monitoring by pile analyzer (field computer), and (2) static pile load testing using a mobile pile load test frame. The equipment and technical assistance are made available to a requesting State highway department.

A request for a field demonstration and use of the dynamic testing equipment was received from the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) in September 1984. UDOT had decided to perform a comprehensive design stage pile load test program for the proposed I-215 bridges in Salt Lake City. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) agreed to provide the dynamic monitoring equipment and personnel to operate the equipment. The FHWA also provided technical assistance for performing wave equation analysis. UDOT decided to use its own pile load test frame for performing static pile load tests.

The purpose for the load test program was; (1) to demonstrate the use of newer and more accurate techniques for determining pile load capacity during driving, (2) to verify the predictions made by the newer techniques by performing static load tests, and (3) to determine design pile load capacities for I-215 bridges. It was felt that cost savings could be achieved by using either nigher design loads or shorter pile lengths.

The field work (pile driving and dynamic testing) was performed over a period of 4 weeks during March and April 1985. The dynamic tests were performed by Mr. H. Clark, Civil Engineering Technician, in the Demonstrations Projects Division, and Mr. S. Vanikar, Geotechnical Engineer in the Geotechnical and Materials Branch. The UDOT personnel performed static pile analysis and wave equation analysis. The piling contractor was W. P. Harlin Construction Co.

At the time of writing this report, the static load tests on eight test piles at four test sites were incomplete. Therefore, the static load test data is not included in this report. The wave equation analyses for test piles were performed by UDOT and those results are not included in the report.

On March 29, 1985, after the dynamic testing for piles at the first two test sites was completed, an informal presentation on the results of the analysis and preliminary recommendations were made to the UDOT and FHWA engineers. A detailed description of the work performed, test results, and recommendations follow in this report.

Location and Structure Information

The four pile load test sites are located in the interchange areas of I-80 and I-215 in Salt Lake-City. Test site numbers 1 and 2 are located in the West Valley interchange area of I-80. Test site number 1 is located in the vicinity of Sta. 380+55, I-80 WBL and the test site number 2 is located in the vicinity of Sta. 397+33, I-80, M Ramp. Test sites 3 and 4 are located in the I-80/I-215 interchange area. Test site number 3 is at the fifth South Street bridge site and the test site number 4 is at the Indiana Avenue bridge site.

The structures at these four sites will be supported on driven pile foundations because of the existence of loose silty sand deposits (test sites numbers 1 and 2) and soft to medium silt and clay deposits (test sites numbers 3 and 4)

Pile Data

At each test site one short and one long test piles were driven. The test piles were 12 3/4-inch 0.D., 0.375-inch wall closed end pipe piles. Steel plates were welded at the pile tips to close the pile. The piles were driven in two or three sections. The section lengths varied from 20 feet to 60 feet. Full penetration butt welds were used for splicing pile sections. The total lengths of long test piles varied from 109 feet to 135 feet. The total lengths of short test piles varied from 81 feet to 120 feet. Both short and long test piles were dynamically monitored by pile analyzer at each test site.

The reaction pile system at each test site consisted of four 14-inch O.D., 0.375-inch wall closed end pipe piles. They were driven in two or three sections. The section lengths varied from 40 feet to 60 feet. Full penetration butt welds were used to splicing piles. Only one reaction pile was dynamically monitored at each site. The total length of each dynamically monitored reaction pile varied from 120 feet to 147 feet.

The short and long test piles were retapped 24 hours to 60 hours after the initial driving was completed. The purpose for retapping the piles was to determine whether there was any gain in the pile capacity due to setup.

Subsurface Conditions

Log of boring at test site number 1 shows alternate layers of very loose clayey sand and soft clay to a depth of 50 feet below the existing ground. Medium to dense deposits of silty sand with clay lenses exist below the 50 foot depth. Standard Penetration Test (SPT) "N" values vary from 18 to 100 in dense silty sand deposits. Subsurface conditions at test site number 2 are similar to those at test site number 1 except that the loose silty sand deposits extend to 40 feet below the existing ground. Log of boring at test site number 3 shows loose to medium silty sand deposits with intermixed layers of silty clay to a depth of 120 feet below ground (SPT "N" values vary from 4 to 27) was terminated at the 120-foot depth.

Subsurface conditions at the test site number 4 are quite different from those at test sites 1, 2, and 3. Soft to medium silty clay deposits which include sand lenses extend to a depth of 55 feet below the existing ground. Medium to very dense silty sands and stiff to very stiff silty clays exist below 55 feet. SPT "N" values up to 90 in dense silty sands were recorded on the boring log.

Hammer Data

The following is the data for the hammer system selected by the contractor:

Delmag D-3002, open end diesel Hammer (4 step)

Rated Energy = 66,1000 Foot Pounds

Hammer Cushion - alternate layers of micarta and aluminum

Total thickness = 3 1/2 inches

Pile cushion - none

Dynamic Monitoring (Pile Analyzer) Results

The dynamic monitoring results shown in Tables 1 through 12 are self-explanatory. The compressive and tensile driving stresses did not exceed the limitations of 32.4 KSI except in few isolated cases. The diesel hammer did not perform well in easy driving situations, particularly in the early driving stages of each pile. The hammer performance was adequate once the pile developed some resistance.

Snort and long test piles at each site were monitored during initial driving. These piles were retapped after a period of 24 to 60 hours to determine the gain in capacity due to setup. One reaction pile at each test site was dynamically monitored. The reaction piles were not retapped.

The Table 13 snows ultimate pile load capacities for all the tested piles. It should be noted that damping factor (J) was assumed to be 0.2 in making the ultimate pile capacity predictions. After the static load tests are completed, a back analysis can be performed to determine the validity of this assumption.

TABLE 13 PREDICTED ULTIMATE PILE LOAD CAPACITIES

(Reaction Piles - 14 "O.D., Test Piles - 12 3/4" O.D.)

TEST SI	TE PILE	PREDIC	CTED ULTIMATE	PREDICTE	D ULTIMATE
		PILE CAPAC	CITY (INITIAL) PILE CAPACITY	(AFTER SETUP)
•	Danada na Dalla	000	T		
1	Reaction Pile No. 4	222	ions		-
	Long Test	192	Tons	349	Tons
	Pile No. 1				
	Short Test	103	Tons	193	Tons
	Pile No. 2				
-	Damatian Dila	145	r		
2	Reaction Pile	145	10115		
	Long Test	112	Tons	303	Tons
	Pile No. 1				
	Short Pile	60 1	Tons	283	Tons
	No. 2				
3	Reaction Pile	208 1	Tons		-
	No. 2				

TABLE 13 (contd.)

EST SI	TE PILE		PREDICTED PILE CAPACITY	
3	Long Test Pile No. 1	115 Tons	275	Tons
	Short Test Pile No. 2	83 Tons	305	Tons
4	Reaction Pile	182 Tons		-
	Long Test Pile No. 1	215 Tons	304	Tons
	Snort Test Pile No. 2	128 Tons	252	Tons

Conclusion and Recommendations

1. The pile analyzer performed well in monitoring driving stresses, pile capacities and hammer performance. The predicted ultimate pile load capacities by the analyzer should be compared with the static pile load

test results. The pile analyzer also provides a tool to detect and assess pile damage. This demonstrates the tremendous advantages provided by the equipment.

- Significant gain in pile capacities due to setup was measured at each site. This fact should be considered in developing pile driving criteria for the production piles.
- Since the longer test piles provided substantial higher pile load capacities, it seems that longer and fewer piles in each foundation unit may prove to be cost effective.
- 4. The diesel hammer used for test pile driving was adequate. A hammer with similar characteristics should be required for the production pile driving.
- 5. It is strongly recommended that the wave equation analysis be used for the I-215 bridges and future bridge projects for determining pile capacities and the use of pile formula be phased out.
- 6. It is recommended that the UDOT acquire a pile analyzer and accessory equipment for the construction control on I-215 bridges and future major pile foundation projects. Dynamic pile testing by the analyzer is not necessary for all piles. Usually about 10 percent of the piles should be tested dynamically. The remaining piles should be driven based on the wave equation criteria.

7. For production pile driving for the I-215 bridges, a revised wave equation analysis should be performed by UDOT to refine the analysis and to evaluate the contractor's proposed driving system.

ul n. Vanika

Suneel N. Vanikar, P. E.

Geotechnical Engineer

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{TABLE} & 1 & \text{SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS} \\ & \text{Site No. 1} \end{array}$

Dates March 25, 1985

(40'-3") + (40'-3") + (40'-3")Pile Length = 120'-9"

Pile Type 14"0.D.,0.375 wall, closed Pile No. 4 (Reaction), Site No. 1

Hammer Type Single-Acting Diesel Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Haumer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

	Blow Co Per Fo	ot	·			 	Мах.	Max.	Hammer Energy	Transfer Efficiency	·
Depth, Feet	From Analyzer	From Driving Record	RS With J=0. 20 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Transfer Energy FT. Kips	(Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	(Transfer Energy Kated Hammer Energy)	 Remarks
40'-3" lo	ng pile sec	tion, driv	ing started or	March	25, 1985.	! !					
10'		13	17	61	3.8	0	0	2.6	Rated	3.9 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
. 20'		5	20	50	3.1	10	0.6	0.5	Hammer Energy =	0.8 percent	during driving. Therefore.
35 '		5	109	310	19.3	56	3.5	9.8	66,100	1	transfer efficiency is computed based on rated hammer energy
Driving co driving co	mpleted at ontinued or	36'-0". March 25,	Another 40'-3" 1985. (Total	section length		the d	iven sec	ion and	Foot Kips 		rather than actual hammer energ
45'		1	103	301	18.8	54	3.3	9.2		13.9 percent	
50'	35	16	203	382	23.8	34	2.1	9.8		14.8 percent	
54 '		38	278	398	24.8	0	0	9.7	•	14.7 percent	
59'		46	408	434	27.0	0	0	11.6		17.5 percent	1
65 '	67		267	292	18.2	0	0	4.6	İ	7.0 percent	i
70 '	35	54	325	395	24.4	0	0	8.8	<u> </u>	13.3 percent	
75'	44	50	404	404	25.2	0	0	9.6	İ	14.5 percent	
77'	38	45	372	404	25.2	0	0	9.5		14.4 percent	
Driving c driving c	mpleted 0 ontinued or	77'-2". A March 25;	 hother 40'-3" 1985.	section	was welded to	the dr	ven sect	l on and the			
*Dictarca fr		i	 			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	give or tensile de	

^{*}Distance from the ground line to pile tip. RS TC= Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS (continued) Site No. 1

 $(40^{\circ}-3^{\circ}) + (40^{\circ}-3^{\circ}) + (40-3^{\circ})$ Dates March 25, 1985 Pile Length = 120'-9" Pile Type closed end pipe Pile No. 4 (Reaction), Site No.

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002 Hammer Type Single-Acting Diesel

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

	Blow Co Per Fo	ot `					Max.	Max.	Hammer Energy	Transfer Efficiency	
Depth, Feet*	From Analyzer	From Driving Record	RS With J=0.20 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Transfer Energy FT. Kips	(Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	(Transfer Energy Kated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
120'-9" lo	ng pile sec	tion									
80'	79	40	463	382	23.8	0	0	10.9	Rated	16.5 percent	
85 '	47	34	366	355	22.1	0	0	9.1	Hammer Energy =	13.8 percent	
90'	46	32	390	379	23.6	0	0	10.9	66.100	16.5 percent	
92'	35	33	381	392	24.4	0	0	12.2	Foot Kips	18.5 percent	
94 '	46	33	397	400	24.9	0	0	11.8		17.9 percent	
95 '	46	31	384	394	24.5	0	0	11.4		17.2 percent	1
96'	46	38	417	398	24.8	0	0	11.7		17.7 percent	
97'	66	51	376	348	21.7	0	0	9.7		14.7 percent	
98'	61	52	392	377	23.5	0	0	10.8		16.3 percent	
99'	103	56	451	401	25.0	0	0	12.2		18.5 percent	
99 ' -4"	97	80	444	394	24.5	0	0	11.0		16.6 percent	
Driving can	pleted at	9'-4" on I	March 25, 1985	Pred	icted ultimate	; static	load capa	 city = 444	 Kips = 222	i i	
		 			İ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

^{*}Distance from the ground line to pile tip. RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CIEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS Site No.1

Dates March 22 and 25, 1985 12-3/4"O.D., U.3/5 wall.

(40'-3") + (40'-3") + (40'-3")Pile Length = 120'-9"

Pile Type closed end nipe

Pile No. 1 (Long Test Pile). Site No.1

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model <u>Delmag</u>, D-3202

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

Blow Count Hammer Transfer Per Foot Max. Energy Efficiency Max. From Tensile Transfer (Ram Wt.) (Transfer Energy From Driving With FMax. Max. Comp. CTEN Stress Energy X Stroke Kated J=0.2 Kips | Analyzer Record Kips | Stress KSI Kips Depth, Feet * | KSI FT. Kips FT. Kips Hammer Energy) Remarks 40'-3" long pile section. Driving started on March 22, 1985. Kips 6' 8.7 13.2 percent 20 58 219 15.0 47 3.2 Hammer stroke was not measured during driving. Therfore, trans-26' 1 14 203 13.9 57 3.9 8.2 12.4 percent Foot fer efficiency is computed based 35 1 14 196 13.4 51 3.5 on rated hammer energy rather 10.2 15.4 percent than the actual hammer energy. -: Driving completed at \$7'-0". Another 40'-3" section was welded to the driven section and Hammer did not work properly from 99 driving was continued on March 22, 1985. (Total Length = 80'6"). 110' to 50'. M 45' 3 53 270 18.5 79 5.4 9.3 14.1 percent Energy 50' 8 80 279 19.1 67 4.6 8.1 12.3 percent 47 52' 116 217 14.9 10 0.7 3.8 5.7 percent Harmer 55 1 82 83 183 20.0 2 7.1 10.7 percent 291 0.1 60 ' 60' 62 209 304 20.9 0 0 7.6 11.5 percent Rated 64 ' 70 64 196 284 19.5 0 0 6.5 9.8 percent 691 37 157 254 17.4 0 0 5.3 8.0 percent 34 73' 31 34 256 25.2 0 11.6 17.5 percent 367 77' 32 25 195 330 22.6 0 0 8.3 12.6 percent

section was welded to the driven section and driving continued on March 22, 198\$.

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip. RS TC = Ultimate Static Resistance

Driving completed at 77'-0".

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location. CTEN - Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

Abother 40'-3"

Maximum allowable compressive or tensile driving stress = 0.9 Fy = 0.9 X 36 = 32.4 K S I

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS

(Continued) Site No. 1

Pile Length = 120'-9" + (40'-3") + (40'-3" Pile No. 1 (Long Test Pile), Site No.

Dates March 22 and 25, 1985

12-3/4-0.0.,0.3/5 wall

Pile Type closed end pipe Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

	Blow Co Per Fo	ot					Max.	Max.	Hammer Energy	Transfer Efficiency	
epth,Feet*		From Driving Record	RS With J=0, 2 Kips		Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Transfer Energy FT. Kips	(Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	(Transfer Energy Hated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
120'-9" lor	g pile sec	ion				 		1		·	
80'	40 ·	37	280	333	22.8	0	0	8.9	•	13.5 percent	
81'	53	49	371	425	29.1	0	0	16.1		24.4 percent	
85'	24	25	227	309	21.2	0	0	7.5	Ps	11.3 percent	
90'	31	25	188	289	19.8	12	0.8	6.6	Kips	10.0 percent	
92'		25	190	321	22.0	27	1.9	8.9	Foot	13.5 percent	
94 '	23	22	181	315	21.6	31	2.1	8.5	<u></u>	12.9 percent	
98'	60	38	199	301	20.6	12	0.8	7.4	6 6.	11.2 percent	
100'	76	81	270	302	20.7	0	0	7.3		11.0 percent	
101'	131	123	358	396	27.2	0	0	13.5	Energy	20.4 percent	
101'-7"	86/7"	85/7*	385	407	27.9	0	0	15.0	Ene	22.7 percent	
Driving com 387 Kips = initial dri	HAS TOUR.	Ketap (red	iriving) was be	. Pred	icted ultimate on March 25,	pile 1 1985 (6	pad capac D hours a	ity = fter 	Harmer Harmer		
101'-7 1/2"	57 blows for 1/2"	50	697	564	 38.7 	0	0	29.2	Rated F	44.2 percent	1
Predicted u	ltimate pi	e load cap	acity after se	tup = (97 Kips = 349	ons		<u>i</u>	<u> </u>		

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip. RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

Maximum allowable compressive or tensile driving stress = 0.9 Fy = 0.9 X 36 = 32.4 K S I

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MUNITURING RESULTS

Site No. 1

(40'-6") + (43'-0") = 83'-6"Dates March 22 and 25, 1985
Pile Type closed end pipe Pile Length Pile No. 2(Short Test Pile). Site No. 1 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002 Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

Depth, Feet *			RS With J=0.2 Kips		Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
40'-6" long	pile sect	on. Driv	ng started on	March	25, 1985.				Kips	,	
5'	26		55	147	10.1	2	0.1	4.3		6.5 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
20'		4	13	174	11.9	46	3.2	7.4	Foot	11.1 percent	during driving. Therefore,
25'		1	35	227	15.6	58	4.0	7.1	-	10.7 percent	transfer efficiency is computed based on rated hammer energy
30'		1	48	229	15.7	50	3.4	10.9	99	16.5 percent	rather than the actual hammer
35'		5	62	173	11.9	4	0.3	6.7		10.1 percent	energy. Hammer problems from 8' to 47'.
Driving com was continu	pleted at 3 ed on March	6'-0". A 22, 1985	43'-0" section (total length	was w = 83'-	lded to the dr	ven se	ction and	driving	Energy		
40'		2	18	237	16.3	96	6.6	10.9		16.5 percent	İ
45'		4	20	242	16.6	99	6.8	10.5	Harmer	15.9 percent	
50'	23	22	120	274	18.8	56	3.8	7.3	i ž	11.0 percent	
51'	43	42	160	337	23.1	60	4.1	11.1	Rated	16.8 percent	
52'	48	48	145	322	22.1	61	4.2	9.9	2	15.0 percent	į
53'	48	47	165	311	21.3	39	2.7	9.0		13.6 percent	1
54 '	62	62	202	336	23.0	22	1.5	10.7	İ	16.2 percent	į
54'-6"	30/6"	33/6"	205	329	22.6	28	1.9	8.9		13.5 percent	
	i	1	! !	<u>i</u>		ļ 1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.

RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maxi um measured force in pile at the transducer location. CTEN = Maxi um computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

Maximum allowable compressive or tensile driving stress = 0.9 Fy = 0.9 X 36 = 32.4 K S I

TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS

(Continued)

Site No. 1

Dates March 22 and 25, 1985

12-3/4*U.D., U.375 wall
Pile Type closed end pipe Pile Length (40'-6") + (43'-0") = 83'-6"Pile No.2(Short Test Pile), Site No. Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

Depth,Feet*			RS With J=0.2 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Kated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
205 Kips = Retap (redi initial dr 54'-10"	103 tons. living) of a ving was co 55/4"	3'-6" long mpleted). 43/4"	pile was per 386	ormed (cted ultimate n March 25, 19 27.3 186 Kips = 193	5 (60 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Rated Hammer Energy = 66.1 Foot Kips	20.0 percent	

*Distance i om the ground line to pile tip.
RSTC = Ult ate Static Resistance

FMAX = Max: um measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maxi um computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215 SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 4 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS Site No. 2

Dates March 28, 1985

(40'-6") + (40'-6") + (40'-6")

Pile Length = 121'-6"

Pile No.3 (Reaction), Site No. 2

Pile Type closed end pipe Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

Depth,Feet*	Blow Co Per Foo From Analyzer	From Driving	RS With J=0.2 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
40'-6" long	pile sect	on, drivi	g started on I	arch 2	, 1985.			<u> </u>			
20'		1	0	225	14.0	88	5.5	6.4	Kips	9.7 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
²0'		7	0	237	14.8	105	6.5	11.1		16.8 percent	during driving. Therefore, transfer efficiency is computed
			other 40'-6" 8, 1985 (tota	ection lengtl	was welded to = 81'0").	he dri	ven secti	on and	Foot		based on rated hammer energy rather than actual hammer energy
40 °		33	131	437	27.2	136	8.5	17.6	66.1	26.6 percent	Hammer operated erratically from beginning to 60' depth.
45'	11	6	56	282	17.6	97	6.0	9.5		14.4 percent	l depth.
50 ¹	17	14	71	222	13.8	55	3.4	4.4	Energy	6.7 percent	
55'	10	5	30	272	16.9	101	6.3	11.7	i iii	17.7 percent	
60'	i	10	31	213	13.3	79	4.9	4.4	1	6.7 percent	
681		40	133	290	18.1	40	2.5	6.3	Harmer	9.5 percent	
72'	9	13	56	214	13.3	47	2.9	5.1		7.7 percent	
74'		9	j 43	177	11.0	35	2.2	3.1	Rated	4.7 percent	
Driving com driving con	pleted at i	4'-6". Al arch 28,	 dother 40'-6"	ection gth =	was welded to 21'-6").	the dri	ven secti	i bn and i i	α 		

*Distance om the ground line to pile tip.
RSTC = Ult ate Static Resistance

PMAX = Max: m measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Max: um computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

TABLE 4 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MORITORING RESULTS

(Continued) Site No. 2

(40'-6") + (40'-6") + (40'-6")Pile Length = 121'-6"

Pile Type closed end pipe

Pile No. 3 (Reaction), Site NO. 2

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

Depth,Feet*			RS With J=0.20Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp.	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
121'6" long	pile sect	on									
75'		8	193	233	14.5	1 1	0	3.5		 5.3 percent	
80'		95	262	332	20.7	0	0	8.1	S	12.3 percent	
81'	107	126	187	217	13.5	0	0	3.4	Kips	5.1 percent	İ
82'	240	215	207	270	16.8	0	0	5.6	Foot	8.5 percent	
83'	106	115	213	302	18.8	14	0.9	7.0		10.6 percent	
84 '	107	78	292	340	21.2	0	0	8.9	66.1	13.5 percent	
85 '	126	117	288	331	20.6	0	0	8.4		12.7 percent	İ
85 ' -6 "	210/6"		289	335	20.9	0	0	8.5	ا کو ا	12.9 percent	
Driving con 289 Kips =	pleted at 145 Tons.	85'-6" on ! 	arch 28, 1985	Pred 	cted ultimate 	pile la	ad capaci	fy =	Rated Hammer Energy		

*Distance i om the ground line to pile tip. RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CIEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 5 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS Site No. 2

(40'-6") + (40'-6") + (28'-6")File Length = 109'-6"

Dates March 28-29, 1985

12-3/4*0.D.,0.3/5* wall

Pile Type closed end pipe

Pile No. 1(Long Test Pile), Site NO.

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hanner Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

Depth, Feet *			RS With J=0.²⁰ Kip s	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Kated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
since it wa	s driven i	very soft		t devel	ping any resis					40'-6" section ded to the driven	
36 '			0	279	19.1	135	9.3	10.0		15.1 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
40 '			103	293	20.1	68	4.7	7.3	Kips	11.0 percent	during driving. Therefore, transfer efficiency is computed
45'		5	36	281	19.3	95	6.5	13.8		20.9 percent	based on rated hammer energy
50'		7	48	273	18.7	69	4.7	11.4	Foot	17.2 percent	rather than the actual hammer
60'		8	81	282	19.3	89	6.1	7.4	-:	11.2 percent	energy.
66 '		6	12	226	15.5	33	2.3	18.2	99	27.5 percent	Hammer operated erratically
70'		14	41	258	17.7	82	5.6	9.1	1	13.8 percent	until the pile tip reached 79' below the existing ground.
76'-5"		<u> </u>	1	251	17.2	107	7.3	8.1	Energy	12.3 percent	
Driving com driving was	pleted at i resumed on l	 A6'-5". A March 28; 	28'-6" long s 1985.	ction	 was welded to t - - - -	he driv	ven section	n and	Rated Hammer En		

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.

RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CIEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 5 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS (Continued) Site No. 2

(40'-6") + (40'-6") + (28'-6")Pile Length * 109'-6"

Pile Typeclosed end oipe

Dates March 28-29, 1985

Pile No.1(Long Test Pile), Site No. 2

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

Depth, Feet*			RS With J=0.20 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
109'-6" 1	ong pile se	ction									
78'	'	38	238	327	22.4	12	0.8	9.1		13,8 percent	
79'	80	73	201	294	20.2	11	0.7	7.7	İ	11.6 percent	
80'	96	93	230	311	21.3	2	0.1	8.4	66.1	12.7 percent	
80'-4"	47/4"	!	224	302	20.7	1	0	8.1	Ģ.	12.3 percent	
= 224 Kip	mpleted at = 112 ton iving was	ış. Retap	(redriving) pe	 5. Pre rformed 	 dicted ultimate on March 29, 1 	pile 985 (24	oad capa hours a	ity ter the	. Energy		
80'-6"	62	j	606	485	33.3	0	0	21.8	Harmer	33.0 percent	1
Predicted	ultimate p	 le load c 	apacity after	 	 606 Kips = 303 	tons.			Rated Ha		
			 	 	 		 	<u> </u> -			

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip. RS TC= Ultimate Static Resistance

PMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

TABLE 6 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS Site No. 2

Dates March 28-29, 1985 Pile Type 12-3/4*0.0.,0.375*wall

Pile Length (40'-6") + (40'-6") = 81'-0"

Pile No. 2(Short Test Pile), Site No. 2 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

											•
Depth,Feet*			 	FMax. Kips	 Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Nated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
Driving f driven se	pr the firs ttion and t	t 40'-6" l he driving	ong section wa was continued	s not m	onitored becaus ch 28, 1985.	e the	riving w	s performe	in very so	ft soils. Another	40'6" section was welded to th
40'		}	102	293	20.1	75	5.1	9.0		13.6 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
431	18	21	48	116	8.0	15	1.0	1.2	-:	1.8 percent	during driving. Therefore,
481	8	7	28	201	13.8	79	5.4	4.7	66.1	7.1 percent	transfer efficiency is compute based on rated hammer energy
50'	14	6	24	191	13.1	76	5.2	5.0)	7.6 percent	rather than the actual hammer
55'		3	4	125	8.6	49	3.4	3.0	Energy	4.5 percent	energy.
60'		17	59	158	10.8	22	1.5	3.3		5.0 percent	Hammer operated erratically during driving of this pile.
65'		9	20	112	7.7	22	1.5	3.0	Hammer	4.5 percent	during at taking of this pile.
68'		20	119	296	20.3	23	1.6	14.8		22.4 percent	
Driving c 119 Kips	ampleted at 60 tons.	70'-0" on	March 28, 198	5. Pre	dicted ultimate	pile	oad capa	ity =	Rated	<u> </u>	
Retap (re completed	driving) pe ∤	formed on	March 29, 198	5 (24 h	purs after the	pinitia	driving	was	~ 		
70'8"	75	78	566	475	32.6	0	0	19.4	į	29.3 percent	
Predicted	 ultimatep 	ile load c	apacity after	 setup = 	 566 Kips = 283 	tons.					
	·		L	<u> </u>				Ļ	<u> </u>	- ,	- 1

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip. RS TC= Ultimate Static Resistance

Maximum allowable compressive or tensile driving stress = 0.9 Fy = 0.9 X 36 = 32.4 K S I
J = Damping parameter (depends on soil type)

FMAX = Maxi um measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maxi um computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 7 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS Test Site No. 3

Dates April 8-9, 1985 Pile Type closed end pipe

(61'-0") + (59'-0") + (27'-0") Pile Length = 147'-0"

Pile No. 2 (Reaction Pile), Site No. 3

Hammer Model Delmag. D-3002 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

	Blow Co					,	 Max. Tensile	Max. Transfer	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.)	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy	•
Depth, Feet *	From Analyzer	Driving Record	RS With J=0.30 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Energy FT. Kips	X Stroke FT. Kips	Kated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
61'-0" lor	g pile sec	ion, driv	ng started on	April	B, 1985.		 		ips		
10'			62	376	23.4	95	5.9	10.7	<u> </u>	16.2 percent	 Hammer stroke was not measured
15'			161	343	21.4	38	2.4	7.1	foot	10.7 percent	during driving, therefore,
20'			149	299	18.6	5	0.3	6.0	-	9.1 percent	transfer efficiency is computed based on rated hammer energy
30'			87	262	16.3	34	2.1	5.3	99	8.0 percent	rather than the actual hammer energy.
42'		19	64	264	16.4	48	3.0	5.6	"	8.5 percent	i energy.
50'	17	16	67	283	17.6	55	3.4	5.6	ergy	8.5 percent	i
55'	20	20	79	255	15.9	0	0	6.4	Ē	9.7 percent	
56'	23	21	65	250	15.6	37	2.3	5.1	Harmer	7.7 percent	
56'-2"	8/2"		69	256	15.9	12	0.7	4.9	- E	7.4 percent	
Driving co was resume	mpleted @ ! d on April	6'-2". A 9, 1985.	49'-0" section (Total length	was w	lded to the dr 0".)	iven se	ction and	driving	Rated	1	
59'		21	131	202	12.6	21	1.3	2.2	œ	3.3 percent	
65'	28	18	145	413	25.7	85	5.3	13.3		15.1 percent	İ
701	30	.15	130	446	27.8	105	6.5	16.0	1	24.2 percent	
80 '	53	54 	139	2 9 0	18.1	36	2.2	5.9	1	8.9 percent	1

^{*}Distance from the ground line to pile tip.
RS - Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

TABLE 7 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS

(continued)

Test Site No. 3

Dates April 8-9, 1985

(61'-0") + (59'-0") + (27'-0")Pile Length = 147'-0"

Pile No. 2(Reaction Pile), Site No. 3

Pile Type closed end pipe

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, 0-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

Depth, Feet*			RS With J=0.30 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Ştress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
Driving o	120'-0" 1	ong sectio	n (April 9, 19	B 5) .						•	
90 '	22	21	85	249	15.5	43	2.7	5.2		7.9 percent	
93 '	41	38	174	311	19.4	12	0.7	6.7		10.1 percent	
98'	26	26	114	270	16.8	28	1.7	5.4	S ₂	8.2 percent	
102'	53	52	103	182	11.3	0	0	2.0	k 1ps	3.0 percent	1 1
105'	20	17	123	304	18.9	40	2.5	6.3	oo t	9.5 percent	
110'	36	34	140	287	17.9	19	1.2	5.1	<u>.</u>	7.7 percent	
115'	19	20	137	357	22.2	40	2.5	7.9	66.1	12.0 percent	
115'-4"	13/4"		119	383	23.9	72	4.5	8.0		12.1 percent	1
Driving co driving wa	mpleted at s continue	115'-4". on April	A 27'-0" long 9, 1985. (To	sectio al len	h welded to the th = 147'-0").	driven	section	and 	lergy	60	
118'	52	51	275	387	24.1	0	0	8.6	L.	13.0 percent	1
120'	50	48	332	475	29.6	0	0	13.6	E E	20.6 percent	
121'	183	179	377	471	29.3	0	0	14.4	Hammer H	21.8 percent	
122,	420		397	466	29.0	0	0	13.8	ted	20.9 percent	
122'-1"	179		416	477	29.7	0	0	12.8	Rat	19.4 percent	
Driving co	mpleted 0	22'-1" on	April 9, 1985	Pred	cted ultimate	ile lo	ad capaci	ty = 146 k	ips = 208 to	ns.	

^{*}Distance from the ground line to pile tip. RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN - Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 8 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS Site No. 3

Dates April 8-9, 1985

(55'-0") + (40'-0") + (40'-0") Pile Length = 135'-0"

12-3/4"0.D.,0.375"wall Pile Type closed end pipe

Pile No. 1(Long Test Pile), Site No. 3

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

	Blow Cor Per Fo						 Max. Tensile	Max. Transfer	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.)	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy	
Depth,Feet *		Driving Record	RS With J=0.30 Kips		Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Energy FT. Kips		Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
Driving of forces on = 95'0".)	f 55'O" lor the pile.	g section A 40'-0"	was not monito long section w	red bed as weld	ause predrilli ed to the drive	g was n pile	performed section	and casing and the dri	was instal ving was co	ed to avoid any ne tinued on April 9,	gative skin friction 1985. (Total length
60'		30	0	243	16.7	101	6.9	10.9	Kips	16.5 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
70'		48	62	118	8.1	0	0	2.4		3.6 percent	during driving. Therefore, transfer efficiency is compute
80'		23	0	243	16.7	80	5.5	12.2	Foot	18.5 percent	based on the rated hammer ener
85'		41	95	239	16.4	17	1.2	5.4	-:	8.2 percent	rather than the actual hammer energy.
90'		38	15	265	18.2	80	5.5	10.1	99	15.3 percent	
End of dr driving w	ving at 94 s continue	-0". A 4 d on April	0'-0" long sec 9, 1985 (tota	tion wa I pile	s welded to the length = 135'-0	drive: ").	section	and the	" }		Hammer performed erratically until the pile driving was completed at 126'-8".
95 '	49	25	141	240	16.5	18	1.2	4.7	Energy	7.1 percent	Compressed at 120 -0.
100'	89	135	144	239	16.4	1	0.1	5.0	i	7.6 percent	İ
105		63	42	268	18.4	78	5.3	8.7	Hommer	13.2 percent	1 1
110'		42	55	249	17.1	53	3.6	8.5		12.8 percent	
115'			113	241	16.5	0	.0	7.5	Rated	10.7 percent	
120'		35	135	168	11.5	0	0	3.6	æ	5.4 percent	İ
125'			89	267	18.3	52	3.6	7.0		10.6 percent	

*Distance | om the ground line to pile tip.
RS TC = Ult | ite Static Resistance

FMAX = Max. m measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Max: am computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

TABLE 8 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS (continued) Site No. 3

Pile Type closed end piep

(55'-0") + (40'-0") + (40'-0")Pile Length = 135'-0"

Pile No. 1 (Long Test Pile), Site No. 3 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 Foot Pounds

epth,Feet*			RS With J=0.30 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
126'	147		189	235	16.1	0	0	4.2		6.4 percent	
126'-8"	215/8"		229	220	15.1	0	0	3.1		4.7 percent	
Driving c	mpleted at	126'-8".	Predicted ult	imate p	ile load capaci	ty = 22	9 Kips =	115 tons.	[,	
Retap (recompleted	lriving) pe				purs after the	i	i		 		
126'-9"	136/1"		549	583	40.0	0	0	17.3		26.2 percent	
Predicted	ultimate p	le load c	pacity after	setup =	549 Kips = 279	tons.			 	•	
		<u> </u> 								1	
i		 			į				İ		
		 	! 	į		· ·	! 				
 				 	! !			,	1		
1		 	į	 			•				
į		 	 		<u> </u>	! 	[1	
 			 	 	1 1	!	<u> </u>	İ		İ	
į			İ	!		i	i	ĺ	į	i	İ

*Distance i om the ground line to pile tip. RS TC = Ult: wate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maxi um measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

Maximum allowable compressive or tensile driving stress = 0.9 Fy = 0.9 X 36 = 32.4 K S I

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 9 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS

Test Site No. 3
Dates April 9-10, 1985
Pile Type losed end pipe (40'-0") + (40'-0") + (40'-0")Pile Length = 120'-0"

Pile No.2(Short Test Pile), Site No. 3

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

Depth,Feet*			RS With J=0,30 Cips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
Driving of was conti	first 40' ued on Apr	0" sectio	was not moni (total leng	tored b	ecause predrill ile = 80'-0").	ing was	performe	d. Another	40'-0" sec	tion was welded to	the driven section and driving
50'		14	0	257	17.6	123	8.4	9.2	66.1	13.9 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
55 '		1.	0	257	17.6	103	7.1	8.5		12.9 percent	during driving. Therefore, trans- fer efficiency is computed based
60'		1	0	239	16.4	100	6.9	9.4		14.2 percent	on the rated hammer energy rather
70'		23	102	147	10.1	0	0	2.6		3.9 percent	than the actual hammer energy.
75'		22	101	185	12.7	0	į o	4.0		6.1 percent	Erratic hammer performance from
77'-8"			91	196	13.4	0	0	4.0		6.1 percent	beginning to 100' penetration.
Driving co tion and t	mpleted at he driving	77'-8". Ai was conti	other 40'-0" ued on April	ong se 9, 1985	ction was welde (Total lengt	to th	e driven !'-0").	pile sec-			
80'		22	128	203	13.9	0	0	3.4		5.1 percent	
951		10	76	189	13.0	7	0.5	5.0		7.6 percent	
100	16	23	90	230	15.8	9	0.6	7.5	1 1	11.3 percent	İ
110'		4	53	262	18.0	59	4.0	9.2		13.9 percent	
115'	69	70	156	214	14.7	0	lo	3.7	!	5.6 percent	
116'	79	74	168	252	17.3	0	0	5.2	Ì	7.9 percent	
117 '	74	i 75	174	227	15.6	0	0	3.9	 	5.9 percent	

^{*}Distance from the ground line to pile tip.
RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

TABLE 9 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS (continued) Test Site No. 3

(40'-0") + (40'-0") + (40'-0")Pile Length = 120'-0"

Dates April 9-10, 1985 Pile Type Closed end pipe

Pile No. 2(Short Test Pile), Site NO.3

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

Depth, Feet	Blow Co Per Fo From Analyzer	ot From Driving	RS With J=0. ³⁰ Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
119'-1"	110/13"	108/13"	165	223	15.3	0	0	3.5		5.3 percent	
Driving co 165 kips	mpleted at = 83 tons.	119'-1" o	n April 9, 198 	5. Pred	icted ultimate	pile lo	ad capaci	ty =			
Retap (rec completed)	riving) pe	formed on	April 10, 198	5 (24 h	ours after the	initial I	driving	was	 		
119'-4"	77/4"	71/4"	609	530	36.4	0	0	25.1	66.1		
Predicted	ultimate p	le load c	pacity after	etup =	609 kips × 305	tons.		 			
	 	İ	 			1] 	İ	 	<u> </u>	
	 		İ	1	İ	į		•	İ		
<i>;</i>	ļ	 	•	į			į	! 			
	 	j	}		! !	 	 				
	 		į		1	į	İ	İ			
	İ			į	İ		!	 			
		į					l 1	1			
	! !				1	į	İ	İ		1	
	İ	1	-	į	İ			!			
6	!	·	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.
RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

TABLE 10 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS Test Site No. 4

Dates April 4, 1985
Pile Type closed end pipe Pile Length(60'-0")+(60'-0") = 120'-0"Pile No.4(reaction pile), Site No.4 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

Depth,Feet*			RS With J=0.30 Kips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
60'-0" lo	g pile sec	ion, driv	ing started on	April	1, 1985.						
10'			0	239	14.9	40	2.5	21.0	66.1	31.8 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
20'			0	271	16.9	88	5.5	15.5	1 1	23.4 percent	during the driving. Therefore,
30'		2	0	251	15.6	84	5.2	13.8		20.9 percent	transfer efficiency is computed based on rated hammer energy
45'		5	65	126	7.9	4	0.2	0.9		1.4 percent	rather than actual hammer energy
50'		8	30	111	6.9	19	1.2	1.2	İ	1.8 percent	İ
57'		j 9	57	334	20.8	101	6.3	7.9] 	12.0 percent	l Erratic hammer performance until
Driving co driving wa	mpleted at s resumed	57'-0". A	other 60'-0" 1985. (Tota	section lengt	was welded to h = 120'-0")	the dri	ven secti	on and	İ		the pile tip reached 64' below to existing ground.
60'	47	29	148	316	19.7	34	2.1	7.5	!	11.3 percent	
65'	200	263	322	467	29.1	0	0	11.7		17.7 percent	İ
70'	37	41	322	448	27.9	0	0	10.3	1	15.6 percent	
73'		152	422	435	27.1	0	0	13.6		20.6 percent	į
Driving of	120'-0" s	ction (Apı	il 4, 1985).		[1		1	· ·	
75'	284	217	383	378	23.6	0	0	10.6	İ	16.0 percent	ļ
80'	38	37	299	367	22.9	0	0	11.0	1	16.6 percent	
		1	į	!	İ	į	İ		İ		

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.

RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 10 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS (Continued) Test Site No. 4

Pile Length (60'-0")+(60'-0") = 120'-0" Dates April 4, 1985 Pile Type closed end pipe Pile No. 4(reaction pile), Site No. 4

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

epth,Feet*	Blow Co Per Fo From Analyzer	From Driving	RS With J=0.30, Xips	FMax. Kips	Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
82'	43	42	310	325	20.2	0	0	7.9	66.1	12.0 percent	
84'	107	108	278	287	17.9	0	O	5.6		8.5 percent	
86'	42	42	253	305	19.0	0	0	6.7	•	10.1 percent	
87'	65	65	273	297	18.5	0	0	6.3		9.5 percent	
88'	224	240	395	397	24.7	0	0	12.5		18.9 percent	
88'-6"	196/6*	185/6"	363	370	23.1	0	0	9.7	1	14.7 percent	
Driving cd Predicted	mpleted at Ultimate P	88'-6" on le Load C 	April 4, 1985 pacity = 363 - - - - - - - -	lips =	182 tons.						
		i	i	!	!	1	1	1	ļ	1	ļ.

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.
RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 11 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS

Test Site No. 4

Dates April 4-5, 1985 Pile Length(60'-0")+(60'-0") = 120'-0"Pile Type 12 3/4"0.D., 0.375" wall closed end pipe Pile No. 1(Long Test Pile). Site No. 4 Haumer Model Delmag, D-3002 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

•			¥								
Depth, Feet *	Blow Co Per Fo From Analyzer		RS With J=0.30 Kips		 Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy	Demanka
····		 	, 	Kthe	Jetess Rut	KIPS	K 3 1	rr. kips	tr. Kibe	Hammer Energy)	Remarks
Driving o	f 60'-0" lo	ng section	į.			i		i	ļ		
10'			0	185	6.9	58	4.0	11.1	66.1	16.8 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
20'			0	216	14.8	72	4.9	12.3		18.6 percent	during driving. Therefore, trans-
30'		4	10	228	15.6	52	3.6	12.5		18.9 percent	fer efficiency is computed based on the rated hammer energy rather
40'	21		92	206	14.1	0	0	3.6		5.4 percent	than the actual hammer energy.
50'		6	46	153	10.5	0	0	4.9		7.4 percent	
56'-3"			49	135	9.3	0	0	3.1		4.7 percent	
End of dr and the d	ving at 56 riving was	-3". Anot continued	her 60'-0" lon on April 4, 19	g secti 85. (To	on was welded t tal length = 12		riven pi	e section	!		
61'-0"	83	77	160	347	23.8	34	2.3	9.8		14.8 percent	
66'-0"	71	74	247	320	21.9	. 0	0	8.3	1	12.6 percent	
71'-0"	79	76	286	360	17.8	0	0	10.8	1	16.3 percent	
75'-0"	125	129	266	266	18.2	0	0	5.3		8.0 percent	
79'-0*	52	51	219	280	19.2	0	0	5.8		8.8 percent	
86'-0"	32	32	169	248	17.0	0	0	4.3	İ	6.5 percent	

0

9.9

6, 7

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.
RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

206

59

89'-0"

FMAX * Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CTEN * Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

367

282

354

306

24.3

21.0

0

0

210

59

Maximum allowable compressive or tensile driving stress = 0.9 Fy = 0.9 X 36 = 32.4 K S I
J = Damping parameter (depends on soil type)

15.0 percent

10.1 percent

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 11 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS (continued) Test Site No. 4

Dates April 4-5, 1985 Pile Type 12 3/4 0.D., 0.375 wall

Pile'Length(60'-0")+(60'-0") = 120'-0"Pile No.4(Long Test Pile), Site NO. 4

Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

epth,Feet*			RS With J=0.30 Kips		Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	Remarks
961-04	59	55	258	301	20.6	0	0	6.7	66.1	10.1 percent	
98'-0"	57	55	240	285	19.5	0	0	5.6		8.5 percent	
100'-0"	72	79	264	274	18.8	0	0	5.1	! 	7.7 percent	
102'-0"		196	404	378	25.9	0	0	11.6	ĺ	17.5 percent	
102'-8"	220/8*		349	306	21.0	0	0	7.0	! 1	10.6 percent	
103'-1"	55/1"		429	370	25.4	0	0	10.8	İ	16.3 percent	
Driving con	pleted at	103'-1" on	April 4, 1985	Pred	icted Ultimate	Pile C		429 Kips 215 Tons	 	1	
Retap (red initial dr				rformed	on April 5, 19	B5 (24	hours af	er the			
103'-1"	56	50	608 (J = 0.3)	486	33.3	0	0	20.0	66.1	30.3 percent	
103'-1"	56 1	50	639 (J = 0.2)	488	33.5	0	0	20.4	66.1	30.9 percent	
Predicted (Itimate pi 	le load ca	pacity after s	 et-up	 608 kips = 304 	tons.					

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.
RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location.

CIEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

I-215, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TABLE 12 SUMMARY OF DYNAMIC MONITORING RESULTS

Test Site No. 4

Dates April 4-5, 1985 Pile Length (40'-0")+(71'-0") = 111'-0"Pile Type closed end pipe Pile No. 2(Short Test Pile), Site No. 4

Hammer Model Delmag, D-3002 Hammer Type Single Acting Diesel

Hammer Rated Energy 66,100 foot pounds

Depth, Feet *	Blow Co Per Fo From Analyzer		RS With J=0.30 Kips		 Max. Comp. Stress KSI	CTEN Kips	Stress	Max. Transfer Energy FT. Kips	Hammer Energy (Ram Wt.) X Stroke FT. Kips	Transfer Efficiency (Transfer Energy Rated Hammer Energy)	 Remarks
Driving of	40'-0" lon	section.				 	 				
201			0	212	14.5	76	5.2	10.4	66.1	15.7 percent	Hammer stroke was not measured
30'		6	0	203	13.9	67	4.6	9.4		14.2 percent	during driving. Therefore, transfer efficiency is computed
36'-2"			44	248	17.0	30	2.1	5.6		8.5 percent	based on the rated hammer energy
End of dri driving wa	ing at 36' continued	2". A 71' on April	0" section wa , 1985. (Tot	welde al pile	to the driven length = 111'-	pile s ()")	ection an	d the			rather than the actual hammer lenergy.
40'	į	2	0	239	16.4	81	5.6	13.3		20.1 percent	
50 '		7	0	252	17.3	76	5.2	8.3		12.6 percent	
60'	22	24	105	272	18.7	10	0.7	6.0		9.1 percent	,
62'	35	33	190	399	27.4	2	0.1	12.1		18.3 percent	
64'	67	63	270	445	30.5	0	0	14.5		21.9 percent	
65'	61	63	252	392	26.9		0	10.5		15.9 percent	İ
66'-6"	110/18"		256	378	25.9	0	0	9.4	!	14.2 percent	1
Predicted	altimate pi	le canacit	April 4, 1985. y = 256 kips = ng pile was pe	128 to	ļ	i 85. (2	4 hours		 driving w	as completed).	
66'-11" 66'-11"	60/5# 60/5#	54/5# 54/5#	503 (J=0.3) 541 (J=0.2)	458 454	31.4 31.1 503 kips = 252	0	0	14.7 15.1	66.1 66.1	22.2 percent 22.8 percent	
300			1	·	·			4	\ ,		, ,

*Distance from the ground line to pile tip.
RS = Ultimate Static Resistance

FMAX = Maximum measured force in pile at the transducer location. CTEN = Maximum computed tensile force anywhere in the pile.

•				
•				
		¥		
	-			
¢.				
,				