Demonstration Projects Division

DYNAMIC PILE MONITORING REPORT I-90 INTERCHANGE, WALLACE, IDAHO U.S. ROUTE 95 KOOTENAI RIVER BRIDGE BONNERS FERRY, IDAHO



89



FHWA-DP-66-02

Federal Highway Administration Office of Highway Operations Demonstration Projects Division Washington, D.C.

March 1983

FIELD TRIP REPORT Must A See instructions on reverse) P. E. Commingham, Chief Construction and Maintenance Division RU: Gary L. Klinedinst, Chief Geotechnical and Material's Branch LUSIVE DATES From	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION MAR 2.2 1983 FROM Suncel N. Vanikar Geotechnical Engineer fund 71. Van Geotechnical Engineer fund 71. Van March 12. 1923 tho, and return.
FIELD TRIP REPORT March Low Constructions on reverse P. E. Conningham, Chief Construction and Maintenance Division RU: Gary L. Klinedinst, Chief Geotechnical and Materials Branch USIVE DATES FromMarch 2. 197 Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Dunanic pile testing by the newly accui the Collowing bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	MAR 2.2 1983 FROM Suneel N. Vanikar Geotechnical Engineer fund M.Van 12 To March 12, 1983 tho, and return. Inc? Field analyzer (computer) at 1-56, West Wallace Interchange area,
Mary I. View For the instructions on reverse P. E. Cothningham, Chief Construction and Maintenance Division RU: Gary L. Klinedinst, Chief Geotechnical and Material's Branch Husive Dates From	FROM Suneel N. Vanikar Geotechnical Engineer March 12, 1923 tho, and return. To March 12, 1923 tho, and return. To Jield analyzer (computer) at 1-50, West Wallace Interchange area,
 P. E. Commingham, Chief Construction and Maintenance Division RU: Gary L. Klinedinst, Chief Geotechnical and Materials Branch INSIVE DATES March 2. 197 Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Dynamic pile testing by the newly accui the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge 	Suneel N. Vanikar Geotechnical Engineer <u>March 12, 1983</u> the, and return. <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Institute</u> <u>Inst</u>
Construction and Maintenance Division RU: Gary L. Klinedinst, Chief <u>Geotechnical and Materials Branch</u> Usive Dates From <u>March 2. 197</u> Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Vashington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Dunanic pile testing by the newly accui the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	Suneel N. Vanikar Geotechnical Engineer <u>March 12, 1923</u> tho, and return. <u>raf lield analyzer (computer) at</u> 196, West Wallace Interchange area,
RU: Gary L. Klinedinst, Chief <u>Geotechnical and Materials Branch</u> LUSIVE DATES From <u>March 2. 192</u> Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Vashington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Dunamic pile testing by the newly accui the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	Geotechnical Engineer <u>March 12, 1923</u> tho, and return. traf Field analyzer (computer) at 1-96, West Wallace Interchange area,
Georgenhical and Material's Branch I Insive Dates <u>March 2. 197</u> Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Vashington pile testing by the newly accui the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	the, and return.
March 2. 197 Mean Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Mose Dynamic pile testing by the newly accui the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	the, and return.
Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida Moss Dynamic pile testing by the newly accur the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	the, and return.
Washington, D.C., to Coeur D'Alene, Ida MOSE Dynamic pile testing by the newly accui the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	the, and return. The lield analyzer (computer) at 1-96, West Wallace Interchange area,
Pose Dynamic pile testing by the newly accui the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	Teld analyzer (computer) at 1-96, West Wallace Interchange area,
POSE Dynamic pile testing by the newly accuin the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	.ro? lield analyzer (computer) at 1-96, West Wallace Interchange area,
Pose Dynamic pile testing by the newly accuin the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	1737 lield analyzer (computer) at 1-96, West Wallace Interchange area,
the following bridge sites in Idaho: I and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Dridge	-66, West Wallace Interchange area,
and U.S. Rt. 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge	
· · · · ·	ovar Kootenal River
ICIPAL CONTACTS	
Messrs, Ron G. Chassie, FHWA Regional G	Neotechnical Engineer and Robert E.
Schamber, FHWA District Encineer.	·· - ··· -
UMPLISHMENTS UK KOULIS	
See attached "Dynamic Pile Test Report"	1
EQUENT ACTIONS TAKEN	
See attached "Dynamic Pile Test Report"	
bes accurate by number 114 1850 Report	
· · · ·	
DAMENDATIONS	
See attached "Dynamic Pile Test Report"	
ER PERTIMENT ITEMS Federal Highway Administration	
HHO-33: SVanikar:a1h:60355:3/22	/83
	·,
CC:	40-20
cc: HRA-010 Mr. B	GENARA - INVERV REQUEL - NOVEDV
cc: HRA-010 Mr. B HDA-ID(2) Chron	V = HHO-33 Vanikar - HHO-33 V

•

.

•

Suneel N. Vanikar Geotechnical Engineer

"Dynamic Pile Test Report"

A latest model of field pile analyzer has been acquired for the proposed demonstration project on "Design and Construction of Driven Pile Foundations." The equipment will be used for demonstrations and technical assistance on construction projects.

A request for demonstration and field monitoring of pile driving was received from the Idaho Transportation Department (IDOT) through the FHWA Regional Geotechnical Engineer, Ron Chassie.

Monitoring of pile driving was performed at two bridge sites during the week of March 7, 1983. Brief descriptions of work performed, results, and analysis at the two sites are as follows:

The field work was performed by Mr. H. Clark, Civil Engineering Technician in the Demonstration Projects Division and myself. We were assisted by Dr. Frank Rauche of Goble and Associates - Cleveland, Ohio.

A brief presentation on dynamic analyses of pile driving and the use of equipment was made by Dr. Rauche at the IDOT District Office in Coeur D'Alene on March 9. Engineers from the FHWA Regional and Division offices, IDOT, and the U.S. Forest Service attended the presentation and the field monitoring activities during the week.

Proposed Bridge Site at Wallace Location and Structure Information

The proposed bridge site is located on I-90 in the West Wallace Interchange area in northern Idaho. The proposed bridge will cross a river and several railroad tracks. The bridge will be a multispan structure requiring 80 to 100 footings. The bridge foundations have not been designed yet.

Subsurface Conditions

Dense sandy gravel and boulders overlie bedrock at the boring locations. The depth of overburden over the rock is 35 to 40 feet. The subsurface conditions at most support locations (except for those in river crossing area) seem suitable for spread footing foundations but the IDOT desires to design and construct pile foundations for all the substructure units.

Test Pile Program

A test pile program during this stage of design was proposed by the IDOT. It was decided to drive four test H-piles at the site, monitor the driving by using the pile analyzer and then extract the piles. The purpose for the test pile program was as follows:

- 1. To determine whether HP 14x102 piles with "APF Hardbite steel points" can be driven to rock.
- 2. To determine pile lengths required to obtain design load capacity of 135 tons each.
- 3. To determine the ultimate load capacity of piles.
- 4. To assess the driving stresses generated in the piles.
- 5. To observe the damage to the piles after pulling them out.
- <u>Pile Data</u>: HP 14x102 with "APF Hardbite steel points." length - 40 feet Maximum design load - 135 tons Maximum design stress in the pile material - 9,000 p.s.i.
- Hammer Data: Kobelco K-20 supplied by L.B. Foster, Inc. "Fosterloin" Hammer Cushion.

Description of Field Monitoring Activities

March 9, 1983 - During the morning (after a brief presentation at the District Office) the required holes for the attachment of strain gages and accelerometers were drilled on four piles. Fuses on the tape recorder and oscilloscope were damaged due to a faulty generator which resulted in the tape recorder not being used on this project.

The pile at location TH-8 was driven in the morning without any difficulty. The pile reached a practical refusal on bedrock at 37'-9" below ground surface (16 blows per inch). Pile at location TH-6 was driven and monitored in the afternoon. Driving was stopped when the pile tip reached a depth of 35'-2" (13 blows per inch).

In addition to the data recorded and analyzed by the pile analyzer, records of blow count and hammer stroke were recorded by using a "Saximeter" and also by the traditional method of recording blow counts.

March 10, 1983 - Pile at location TH-4 was driven in the morning to a depth of 38'-4" but did not reach refusal (3 blows per inch). Pile at location TH-2 was driven the same morning to a depth of 38'-5" and reached practical refusal (12 blows per inch).

In the afternoon, a pile at TH-8 was extracted by using a vibratory extractor. Damage to the pile tip was noted from a large cobble which had wedged between the flanges.

<u>Note:</u> Piles at locations TH-6, TH-4 and TH-2 were pulled out on March 11, 1983 when we were monitoring pile driving at the Bonner's Ferry Bridge. Mr. Ron Chassie who observed the extracted piles on that day told us that piles at locations TH-6 and TH-2 were substantially damaged at the tip. We do not have the photographs of the damaged piles at this time.

Discussion of Results

<u>Pile at location TH-8:</u> Table 1 shows a summary of the results provided by the analyzer and the transfer efficiency computations for the pile at location TH-8. It shows that maximum compressive stresses generated in the pile did not exceed 33 k.s.i. (allowable driving stress is $1.1 \times fy = 1.1 \times 36 = 39.6 \text{ k.s.i.}$). The hammer stroke was measured by using Saximeter. The transfer efficiencies determined by using measured transferred energy in the pile were between 39 percent and 46 percent which shows that the hammer performed very well. An ultimate static load capacity (with a damping factor of 0.15) of 450 tons was predicted for the pile. This pile was damaged in the pile tip area.

Pile at location TH-6:

Table 2 shows a summary of results provided by the analyzer and transfer efficiency computations for the pile at location TH-6. It shows that maximum compressive stresses generated in pile did not exceed 29.7 k.s.i.

The Saximeter records were not kept for this pile so the transfer efficiency computations are based on the rated hammer energy of 51.519 ft kips at 9.35 ft. stroke.

An ultimate static load capacity (with a damping factor J = 0.15) of 445 tons was predicted for the pile. This pile was substantially damaged in the tip area. Damage was indicated on the force trace on the oscilloscope during driving.

Pile at location TH-4

Table 3 shows a summary of results provided by the analyzer and transfer energy computations for pile at location TH-4. This pile did not reach the refusal on bedrock.

The maximum compressive stress generated in the pile was 23.8 k.s.i. The transfer efficiencies in the range of 36 percent to 41 percent indicate that the hammer was performing well. This pile was driven slanted.

An ultimate static load capacity (J = 0.15) of only 125 tons was predicted for the pile which allows only 63 tons design load (F.S. of 2). The pile was not damaged.

Pile at TH-2

Table 4 shows a summary of results provided by the analyzer and transfer energy computations for the pile at location TH-2. It shows that the maximum compressive stress generated during driving was 24.3 k.s.i. The pile was driven slanted and lower transfer efficiencies of 31 percent to 42 percent were determined.

An ultimate static load capacity of 350 tons (J = 0.15) is estimated. A parametric study of the effect of different J values on ultimate capacity shows that even with J = 0.38 (very high or this type of soil) the pile has ultimate capacity of 338 tons. This pile was damaged substantially during driving. The damage was observed after the pile was extracted.

Conclusions and Recommendations

- 1. The dynamic monitoring equipment performed well. Capacity preditions and pile force measurements were consistent and reasonable. Pile damage was detected during driving for one pile.
- 2. Use pile foundations only in those areas where foundations would be susceptible to scour. All other foundations should be spread footings. The fact that all the three piles which attained refusal and high capacities were damaged during driving requires a serious consideration of spread footing foundation in lieu of pile foundations.
- 3. The hammer (Kobe K-25) and cushioning (Fosterloin) used for HP 14x 102 seem to be well matched to the pile and soil type. The hammer performed very well.
- 4. The results of the wave equation analyses performed by IDOT should be compared with the data and analyses provided in this report.

Bonner's Ferry Bridge Site

Location and Structure Information

This bridge is being constructed on U.S. Rt. 95 over Kooteni River in the town of Bonner's Ferry in northern Idaho. The bridge is a multispan structure with most piers and one abutment supported on pile foundations.

Subsurface Conditions

The tested pipe pile is located at pier 6. Boring DH-8 at pier 6 shows 18' of medium dense gravelly sand underlain by dense sandy gravel.

Pile Data

The test pile at pier 6 was a steel pipe pile 16" o.d. and 3/8" wall thickness with 1" thick closure plate. The pipe was battered. Total pile length was 100 feet. Pile driving was monitored from pile penetration at 21 feet below river bed to the end of driving with pile tip at 54 feet below river bed. Piles are designed for a maximum static design load of 90 tons.

Hammer Data - Delmag D-30.

Purposes for Dynamic Monitoring

- 1. To determine pile capacity.
- 2. To determine driving stresses in the pile.
- 3. To gain experience and demonstrate the use of equipment.

Discussion of Results

Table 5 shows a summary of the results provided by the analyzer and transfer efficiency computations for the batter pile at pier 6.

The maximum dynamic compressive stresses induced in the pile were well within the limit (the limitation is $1.1 \times fy = 1.1 \times 36 = 39.6 \text{ k.s.i.}$).

The transfer efficiencies were between 30 and 40 percent which indicates a good pile hammer performance.

An ultimate static load capacity (with a damping factor of approximately 0.20) of 180 tons was predicted for the pile. The maximum design load used in the design was 90 tons. This provides a factor of safety of 2 which is reasonable.

All the components of dynamic testing equipment performed well. The data was recorded on a tape for further analysis.

TABLE 1 - PILE AT TH-8

(I-90, Wallace, Idaho)

DEPTH *	BLOW Count Per Inch	RSTC with J=0.15 KIPS '	F. Max. KIPS	MAX. COMP. STRESS K.S.I.	MAX. TRANSFER ENERGY FT. KIPS	STROKE FT.	HAMMER ENERGY RAM WT. X STROKE FT. KIPS	TRANSFER EFFICIENCY <u>TRANSFER ENERGY</u> ACTUAL HAMMER ENERGY	TRANSFER EFFICIENCY (<u>transfer_ene</u> 51,519	rgy) REMARKS
25'-0"	3	240	700	23.3	12.5	5.6	30.86	40.5%	24%	Max. stress figure is the max. com- puted from either FMAX or RSTC.
30'-0"	2.3	290	740	24.7	13.0	5.3	29.20	44.5%	25%	Max. rated energy for KOBE K-25 hammer.
35'-0"	2.8	375	830	27.7	14.8	5.8	31.96	46.30%	29%	51,519 ft. lbs at 9.35 ft. stroke (51.519 ft. KIPS)
36'-0"	3.5	560	890	29.7	15.7	6.4	35.26	44.53%	30%	Ram wt-5,510 lbs.
37'-0"	10	850	910	30.3	17.0	7,0	38.57	44.08%	33%	Stroke measured by
37'-6"	12	920	900	30.7	16.0	7.0	38.57	41.48%	31%	"Saximeter"
37'-9"	16	990	874	33.0	16.0	7.0	38.57	41 48	31%	
37'-6"	12	874	855	29.1	15.2	7.0	38.57	39.41%	30%	Adjusted capacity
37'-9"	16	941	830	31.4	15.2	7.0	38.57	41.48%	30%	force by -5% because of non proportionality of F&V Traces on

.

6

oscilloscope

•

Ultimate Static Load Capacity =RSTC= 900 KIPS (Damping Factor J=0.15) = 450 Tons

*Distance from the ground surface to pile tip.

RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance.

TABLE 2 - PILE AT TH-6

× ,

(I-90, Wallace, Idaho)

DEPTH *	BLOW COUNT PER INCH	RSTC with J=0.15 KIPS	F. Max. KIPS	MAX. COMP. STRESS K.S.I.	MAX. TRANSFER Energy Ft. Kips	STROKE FT .	HAMMER ENERGY RAM WT. X STROKE FT. KIPS	TRANSFER Efficiency <u>Transfer energy</u> Actual Hammer energy	TRANSFER EFFICIENCY (<u>transfer_energy</u>) 51.519	REMARKS
		,	!							
25'-0"	2	190	600	20.0	11.0	N/A			21%	Max. comp. stress
										is the max. com-
30'-0"	2.5	320	. 700	23.3	12.5	N/A			24%	puted from either
221 0"	A 5	650	775	25 0	14 7	N/ A			20%	FMAX or RSIC.
33 -0	4.5	050	775	23.0	14.7	N/A			296	Nay rated
251 01	0.0	000	040	00 7	17.0				22%	energy for KOBE
350.	9.0	890	840	29.7	17.0	N/A			33%	K-25 is 51.519
										ft KIPS at 9.35 ft.
35'-2"	13.0	890	860	29.7	16.7	N/A			32%	stroke

.

1

RAM wt.-5,510 lbs

Stroke measured by "Saximeter"

•

.

Ultimate Static Load Capacity = RSTC = 890 KIPS (J = 0.15) = 445 Tons

*Distance from the ground surface to pile tip.

RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance.

3

.

TABLE 3 - PILE AT TH-4

• •

(I-90, Wallace, Idaho)

DEPTH *	BLOW Coun't Per Inch	RSTC with J=0.15 KIPS	F. Max. KIPS	MAX. COMP. STRESS K.S.I.	MAX. TRANSFER Energy Ft. Kips	Stroke FT	HAMMER ENERGY RAM WT. X STROKE FT. KIPS	TRANSFER Efficiency <u>Transfer Energy</u> Actual Hammer Energy	TRANSFER EFFICIENCY (<u>transfer ener</u> 51.519	gy) REMARKS
25'-0"	1.8	133	620	20.7	10.2	4.9	27.00	37.8%	19.8%	Max. comp. stress figure is either
30'-0"	2.2	190	650	21.7	10.5	4.9	27.00	38.9%	20.0%	FMAX or RSTC.
			700		10.0	c 7	21 41	A O . O w	24 04	Max. rated energy
35'-0"	3.1	340	730	24.3	12.8	5.7	31.41	40.8%	24.0%	hammer is 51.519
37'-0"	2.4	305	715	23.8	11.5	5.8	31.96	36.0%	22.3%	ft.KIPS at 9.35' stroke.
38'-4"	2.7	252	666	22.2	10.5	N/A			20.4%	Stroke measured by "Saximeter"
										Ram wt5.510 lbs

•

1

The pile was driven slanted

•

٠

Ultimate Static Load Capacity = RSTC = 250 KIPS (J=0.15) = 125 Tons

*Distance from the ground surface to pile tip.

RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance.

5 i e

TABLE 4 - PILE AT TH-2 (I-90, Wallace, Idaho)

× · ·

. . .

•

DEPTH *	BLOW COUNT PER INCH	RSTC with J=0.15 KIPS	F. Max. KIPS	MAX. COMP. STRESS K.S.I.	MAX. TRANSFER ENERGY FT. KIPS	STROKE FT .	HAMMER ENERGY RAM WT. X STROKE FT. KIPS	TRANSFER EFFICIENCY <u>Transfer Energy</u> Actual Hammer Energy	TRANSFER EFFICIENCY (<u>transfer energy</u>) 51.519	REMARKS
25'-0"	2.3	225 ,	660	22.0	12.8	5.5	30.31	42.2%	25%	Max. comp. stress figure is the
32'-0"	4.2	400	670	22.3	10.6	5.6	30.86	34.3%	20.5%	max. computed figure from FMAX or RSTC
35'0"	4.5	410	670	22.3	11.0	5.9	32.51	33.8%	21.4%	Max rated energy
38'-5"	12	705	730	24.3	14.2	7.2	39.67	35.8%	27.6%	for KOBE K-25 hammer is 51:519 ft.KIPS at 9.35 stroke
										Ram wt-5,510 lbs
		Ulti (J =	imate Static = 0.15)	: Load Capaci = 350 Tons	ty = RSTC = 70	DO KIPS				stroke measured by "Saximeter"
38'~5"	12	676 (J=0.38)	665	22.5	12.5	7.2	39.67	31.5%	27.6%	The pile was driven slanted
38'-5"	12	841 (J=0.0)	665	28.0	12.5	7.2	39.67	31.5%	27.6%	

.

•

*Distance from the ground surface to pile tip.

RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance.

•

•

TABLE 5 - PILE AT PIER 6 (U.S. Route 95, Bonner's Ferry Bridge, Idaho)

N 1 1

× 4, +

Pile Tip depth below River Bed	BLOW COUNT PER INCH	RSTC with J≖0.2 KIPS	F. Max. KIPS	MAX. COMP. STRESS K.S.I.	MAX. TRANSFER Energy FT. KIPS	STROKE FT.	HAMMER ENERGY RAM WT. X Stroke Ft. Kips	TRANSFER Efficiency <u>Transfer Energy</u> Actual Hammer Energy	TRANSFER EFFICIENCY (<u>transfer energy</u>) 54.3	REMARKS
25'-0"	2.0	157	440	23.9	15.5	6.3	41.58	37.3%	28,5%	Max. stress is the max. com- puted from either FMAX or RSTC
35'-0" 45'-0"	1.6	236	425 457	23.1	16.3	6.4 6.7	42.24 44.22	38.6%	25.0%	Max rated energy for Delmag D-30 hammer is 54.3
45'-0"	2.3	356 (J=0)	457	24.8	13.6	6.7	44.22	30.8%	25.0%	FI.KIPS wt. of ram-6.6 KIPS Stroke measured
54'-0"	3.4	360	511	27.8	18.5	6.9	45.54	40.6%	34.1%	by "Saximeter"

.

4

Ultimate Static Load Capacity RSTC = 360 KIPS(Damping Factor J = 0.20) = 180 Tons

*Distance from the ground surface to pile tip.

RSTC = Ultimate Static Resistance.

з ғ н

