REPORT NO. FHWA-RD-88-225 LUMINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORTS



SEPTEMBER 1989

LUMINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORTS TECHNICAL VOLUME

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

Prepared For:

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

Prepared By:

MOBILITY SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT COMPANY 9920 La Cienega Boulevard, Suite 708 Inglewood, California 90301

FOREWORD

This report presents the results, test reports and findings pertaining to the project "Luminaire and Sign Supports" conducted for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) by the contractor under contract no. DTFH61-87-Z-00103.

Five designs of luminaire and sign supports were impacted with 1800 lb class vehicles. The test results were evaluated to determine the safety performance of the tested luminaire and sign supports against the requirements specified by the AASHTO and NCHRP No. 230 documents. This report will be of interest to highway engineers dealing with roadside safety.

R. J. Betsold, Director Office of Safety and Traffic Operations Research and Development

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of Information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor who is responsible for the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Department of Transportation.

The report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein only because they are considered essential to the object of this document.





1. Report No.	2. Government Accession N	•. 3. Rec	pient's Catalog Na	•
FHWA-RD-88-225		1		
4. Title and Subtitle		S. Ren	vi Data	
LUNINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORT	S	Sep	tember 1989	
Technical Volume		6. Peri	6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author's)		8. Perf	erming Orgenization	n Keport No.
A.V. Khadilkar, S. Pruitt,	T. Kippen	ROU	UG-FINAI	
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre	11	10. We 31T	rk Unit No. (TRAIS) 3–282)
MUBILITY SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT	COMPANY	11. Co	nirect or Grent No.	07
Inglewood, California 90301			H61-8/-2-001	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			al Donort	riod Coveres
Federal Highway Administra	tion	Aug	ust 1987 to	September 198
Office of Safety and Traff	ic Operations R&D			
McLean, Virginia 22101-22	96	14. Spc	onsering Agency Co	de
15. Supplementary Notes				
Mr. Marty Hargrave and Mr. Officer's Technical Repres	Charles McDevitt, entatives to this p	P.E., HSR-20, FH roject.	WA Contracti	ng
16. Abstract				
No. 230 recommendations. The test vehicles used for all eight impact tests were 1979 Volkswagen Rabbits with the test mass adjusted to be in the range of 1800 + 50 lb. A triaxial accelerometer was placed near the vehicle center gravity (c.g.) to record the vehicle decelerations. Each test event was covered with one real-time and three to four high-speed movie cameras. The data acquired from the tests were processed as per NCHRP No. 230 procedure. The results were evaluated against the dynamic performance requirements speci- fied in the most recent AASHTO and NCHRP No. 230 documents. The detailed test and evaluation results and the test reports are presented in this final re- port. This report is published in two parts. They are:				
 "Luminaire and Sign FHWA-RD-88-224, and 	Supports - Executiv	e Summary". Rep	ort No.:	
 "Luminaire and Sign Supports - Technical Volume". Report No.: FHWA-RD-88-225. TECHNICAL REFERENCE CENTER Turner-Fairback Hwy Res Cntr Feedda Food A200 Galdd Garces - Dike Molean No.: 				
17. Key Words	18.	Distribution Statement		· · · ·
Luminaire and Sign Support Breakaway Supports, NCHRP 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit, Crash Testing, AASHTO, 20-60 mi/h tests.	s, No. 230,	No restrictions available to th National Techni Springfield, Vi	。 This docu e public thr cal Informat rginia 2216	ment is ough the ion Service, l
19. Security Classif. (af this repart) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (Unclassified	of this pages	21. No. of Peges 244	22. Price
Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)	Reproduction of comple	red page authorized		





_:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	1
STUDY APPROACH AND TEST PROCEDURES	2
 Test Articles Test Vehicles Description of Test Facilities Motion Pictures Still Photo Coverage Data Collection, Processing, and Analysis Performance Evaluation of the Tested Luminaire Sign Supports 	3 12 14 17 18 26 30
TEST RESULTS AND EVALUATIONS	33
 Test Matrix Test Results Evaluation of the Results General Comments 	33 33 33 39
DETAILED TEST RESULTS	42
LUMINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORT TEST NO. 1, 60 MI/H	43
 Introduction Test Article Data Tables Test Results Photographic Coverage Data Plots 	43 43 50 50 50
LUMINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORT TEST NO. 2, 60 MI/H	66
 Introduction Test Article Data Tables Test Results Photographic Coverage Data Plots 	66 66 67 67 74 74
LUMINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORT TEST NO. 3, 20 MI/H	91
 Introduction Test Article Data Tables Test Results Photographic Coverage Data Plots 	91 91 92 92 99 99





TABLE OF CONTENTS







PAGE

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	le	Page
1.	The test matrix	2
2.	Tested luminaire and sign supports	4
3.	Results of sieve analysis on S-1 soil	4
4.	Typical accelerometer locations	15
5.	Camera locations and descriptions	19
6.	The test results	34
7.	Significant results from the eight tests	35
8.	Evaluation of tested sign and luminaire supports	
	compared to AASHTO criteria	36
9.	Evaluation of tested sign and luminaire supports	
	compared to NCHRP 230 criteria	38
10.	Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 1.	44
11.	Test vehicle information, test no. 1	45
12.	Vehicle crush data, test no. 1	47
13.	Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 1 .	48
14.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 1	49
15.	Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 2.	68
16.	Test vehicle information, test no. 2	69
17.	Vehicle crush data, test no. 2	71
18.	Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 2 .	72
19.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 2	73
20.	Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 3.	93
21.	Test vehicle information, test no. 3	94
22.	Vehicle crush data, test no. 3	96
23.	Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 3 .	97
24.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 3	98
25.	Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 4	116
26.	Test vehicle information, test no. 4	117
27.	Vehicle crush data, test no. 4	119
28.	Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 4 .	120
29.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 4	121
30.	Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 5.	138
31.	Test vehicle information, test no. 5	139
32.	Vehicle crush data, test no. 5	141
33.	Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 5.	142
34.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 5	143
35.	Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 6.	164
36.	lest vehicle information, test no. 6	165
3/.	Vehicle crush data, test no. 6	167
30. 20	moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 6.	168
39.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 6	169
40.	trash test summary, sign support impact, test no./	10/
41.	lest venicle information, test no. /	100
42.	venicie crush data, test no. /	190









LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>Table</u>

Page

43.	Moving average data - vehicle acceleration, test no. 7	191
44.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 7	192
45.	Crash test summary, sign support impact, test no.8	212
46.	Test vehicle information, test no. 8	213
47.	Vehicle crush data, test no. 8	215
48.	Moving average data - vehicle acceleration, test no. 8	216
49.	Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 8	217





LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	Page
1. 2.	Dimensional data - Arkansas Back Brace, test no. 1 A.B. Chance metal luminaire support with slip base	6
	foundation, test no. 2 and 3	7
3.	Fiberglass luminaire support, test no. 4	8
4.	Sign support installation details - State design, test	
	nos. 5 through 8	10
5.	Typical installation Type 1 signs on steel breakaway	
	posts test nos. 5 through 8	11
6.	Typical full left side view, pretest	20
7.	Typical full right side view, pretest	21
8.	Typical left front 3/4 view, pretest	22
9.	lypical right front 3/4 view, pretest	23
10.	lypical full front view, pretest	24
11.	lypical impact location overhead view, pretest	25
12.	lest area elevated view, pretest, test no. 1	51
13.	lest article, pretest, test no. I	52
14.	lest area elevated view, posttest, test no. I	55
15.	lest article on the ground, posttest, test no. 1	54
10.	19/9 Volkswagen Rabbit, full left side view, posttest,	r r
17	test no. 1 Dettit full sight side wing mentant	うう
1/.	19/9 Volkswagen Rabbit, full right side view, posttest,	٢4
19	1070 Valkavagan Dabbit laft front 2/4 view noattaat	20
10.	19/9 Volkswagen Rabbit, Tert Front 5/4 view, posttest,	67
10	1070 Volkeyagen Debbit right front 2/4 view posttest	27
19.	tost po 1	E 8
20	1070 Volkeyagen Pabhit full front view posttest test	50
20.	no 1	50
21	1979 Volkswagen Pabhit impact location overhead view	27
21.	postest test no 1	60
22	Deceleration time history v-avis test no 1	61
22.	Deceleration time history, v-axis, test no. 1	62
24	Deceleration time history, 7-axis, test no. 1	63
25	Longitudinal-velocity by integration, test no. 1	64
26.	longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis,	01
20.	test no. 1	65
27.	General test area, pretest, test no. 2	75
28.	Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 2	76
20.	Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 2	77
30	Test article on the ground posttest test no. 2	78
31	Closeup view of pole break away, posttest test po 2	79
32	Full left side view, posttest test no ?	80
22	Full right side view, postcest, cest no. 2	81
22. 24	left front 3/4 view posttest test no 2	82
ノ マ・	Lete Hone J/T view, positest, test 10. 4	02







LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure

35.	Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 2	83
36.	Full front view, posttest, test no. 2	84
37.	Impact location overhead view, posttest test no. 2	85
38.	Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 2	86
39.	Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 2	87
40.	Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 2	88
41.	Longitudinal-velocity by integration. test no. 2	89
42.	Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis.	,
	test no. 2	90
43.	General test area, pretest, test no. 3	100
44	Test area elevated view pretest test no 3	101
μ <u>ς</u>	General test area posttest test no 3	107
4). 16	Closeup of pole brook pupy mentions that we 2	102
40.	Eull left side view posttest test no. 3	105
4/• 18	Full right aide view, positiest, test no. 2	104
40.	Left from 2/h wing montheat that we 2	105
49.	Left front 3/4 view, positiest, test no. 5	100
50.	Front view, posttest, test no. 3	107
51.	Front view, positiest, test no. 3	100
52.	Impact location overhead view, posttest test no. 3	109
53.	Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 3	110
54.	Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 3	111
55.	Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 3	112
56.	Longitudinal-velocity by integration, test no. 3	113
57.	Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis,	
•	test no. 3	114
58.	Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 4	123
59.	Test article, pretest, test no. 4	124
60.	Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 4	125
61.	Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 4	126
62.	Closeup of pole break away, posttest, test no. 4	127
63.	Full left side view, posttest, test no. 4	128
64.	Full right side view, posttest, test no. 4	129
65.	Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 4	130
66.	Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 4	131
67.	Full front view, posttest, test no. 4	132
68.	Impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 4	133
69.	Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 4	134
70.	Longitudinal-velocity time history by integration, test	
	no. 4	135
71.	Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis.	
, -	test no. 4	136
72.	Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 5	146
73.	Test article, pretest, test po. 5	147
74.	Test area elevated view, posttest, test po. 5	148
75	Support stub posttest test po 5	140
120	support study postiesty lest not 2 therefore therefore the	177



LIST OF FIGURES (continued)



Figure 76. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 5 150 77. Full left side view, posttest, test no. 5 151 78. Full right side view, posttest, test no. 5 152 79. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 5 153 Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 5 80. 154 81. 155 Full front view, posttest, test no. 5 82. Impact location overhead view, posttest test no. 5 156 83. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 5 157 84. 158 Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 5 85. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 5 159 86. Longitudinal-velocity time history by integration, test no. 5 160 87. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 5 161 88. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 6 171 89. Test article, pretest, test no. 6 172 90. 173 Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 6 91. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 6 174 92. Full left side view, posttest, test no. 6 175 Full right side view, posttest, test no. 6 93. 176 94. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 6 177 95. Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 6 178 96. Full front view, posttest, test no. 6 179 97. Impact location overhead view, posttest test no. 6 180 Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 6 98. 181 99. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 6 182 100. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 6 183 101. Longitudinal-velocity time history by integration, test no. 6 184 102. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, 185 test no. 6 103. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 7 195 104. Test article, pretest, test no. 7 196 105. Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 7 197 106. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 7 198 107. Full left side view, posttest, test no. 7 199 108. Full right side view, posttest, test no. 7 200 109. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 7 201 110. Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 7 202 111. Full front view, posttest, test no. 7 203 112. Impact location overhead view, posttest test no. 7 204 113. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 7 205 114. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 7 206 115. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 7 207







Page

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure

Page

116.	Longitudinal-velocity time history by integration, test	200
117.	Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis.	208
	test no. 7	209
118.	Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 8	219
119.	Test article, pretest, test no. 8	220
120.	Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 8	221
121.	Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 8	222
122.	Full left side view, posttest, test no. 8	223
123.	Full right side view, posttest, test no. 8	224
124.	Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 8	225
125.	Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 8	226
126.	Full front view, posttest, test no. 8	227
127.	Impact location overhead view, posttest test no. 8	228
128.	Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 8	229
129.	Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 8	230
130.	Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 8	231
131.	Longitudinal-velocity time history by integration, test	
	no. 8	232
132.	Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis,	
	test no. 8	233





INTRODUCTION

The objective of the study was to determine the breakaway properties of five designs of luminaire and sign supports. Eight crash tests were run to ascertain the performance of selected Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)-supplied luminaire and sign supports when impacted with $1800 - \pm 50$ -lb 1979 Volkswagen Rabbits. The tests were conducted at speeds of 20 and 60 mi/h. The test articles were evaluated by comparing these performance results against the criteria outlined in the revised AASHTO specifications and NCHRP Report Number 230 for breakaway or yielding supports. (1,2)

Descriptions of the study approach and the test procedures used begin on page 2. A summary of all the test results begins on page 33.





The test program is comprised of eight luminaire and sign support impact tests as shown in table 1. The tests were numbered 1 through 8.

Table 1. The test matrix.	
---------------------------	--

		t		·	
Test	lest Article	lest	larget	Impact	larget
No.		Vehicle	Impact	Point	Test
			Speed		Vehicle
			<u>(mi/h)</u>		Mass 1b
1	Small Sign Support	1979 W Rabbit	60	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	(Arkansas Back Brace)				
2	Metal Luminaire	1979 WW Rabbit	60	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	Support.				
	(A.B. Chance Slip				
	Base Anchoring System)				
3	Metal Luminaire	1979 W Rabbit	20	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	Support				
	(A.B. Chance Slip				
	Base Anchoring System)				
4	Fiberglass Luminaire	1979 W Rabbit	60	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	Support				
	(Highline Products				
	Corporation Model				
	No. HL-228H-1)				
5	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979 W Rabbit	20	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	Sign Support				
	(Wisconsin Type B)				
6	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979 W Rabbit	60	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	Sign Support				
	(Wisconsin Type B)			i	
7	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979 W Rabbit	20	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	Sign Support			·	
	(Wisconsin Type D)				
8	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979 W Rabbit	60	Front, Center	1800 + 50
	Sign Support				⁻
}	(Wisconsin Type D)				





1. Test Articles

Luminaire and Sign Supports

Five designs of luminaire and sign supports were evaluated under this crash test project. The details of the five luminaire and sign supports and the impact tests are shown in figures 1 through 5 and table 2. The test articles were selected and provided by the FHWA and were delivered to the research and testing facility at Mira Loma, California.

The test article for each test was buried and embedded in the NCHRP 230, S-1 strong soil. (2) The support was installed at the end of the asphalt test track such that the vehicle was completely on a packed soil surface during the impact and run-out phase of the test. The installation was done as per the manufacturer's specification.

Sieve Analysis of S-1 Soil

After the fourth test, at the request of FHWA COTR, the S-1 strong soil pit was subjected to a reevaluation sieve analysis by a certified soil testing laboratory. The results confirmed that the S-1 strong soil still contained the proportion of soil contents generally within the margins recommended by the NCHRP 230 report, as shown in table 3. (2) Minor deviation from specification in no. 4 and no. 10 sieve size percentages was considered insignificant. The COTR reviewed the results and gave a go-ahead for the tests.

Arkansas Back Brace Small Sign Support

The Arkansas Back Brace Small Sign Support was a 12-ft, 3lb/ft, u-shaped steel sign support pole with a rear mounted back brace. The back brace was a 9-ft steel pole of the same construction as the





Table 2. Tested luminaire and sign supports.

Test	Support Description	Target Test	Target
No.		Impact Speed	Impact Location
1	Arkansas Back Brace	60 mi/h	Front, Centerline
	Small Sign Support		
2	A.B. Chance Luminaire	20 mi/h	Front, Centerline
	Support with Slip	60 mi/h	Front, Centerline
	Base Foundation		
3	Highline Products	60 mi/h	Front, Centerline
	Corporation Fiberglass		
	Luminaire Support,		
	Model No. HL-228H-1		
4	Wisconsin Stiff Leg	20 mi/h	Front, Centerline
	Sign Support, Type B	60 mi/h	Front, Centerline
5	Wisconsin Stiff Leg	20 mi/h	Front, Centerline
	Sign Support, Type D	60 mi/h	Front, Centerline

Table 3. Results of sieve analysis on S-1 soil.

Sieve Size	Mass Percent Passing		
	NCHRP-230	Test Results	
	Specifications		
50 mm (2 in)	100	100	
25 mm (1 in)	75-95	93	
9.5 mm (318 in)	40-75	65	
4.75 mm (no. 4)	30-60	64	
2.00 mm (no. 10)	20-45	47	
0.425 mm (no. 40)	15-30	27	
0.075 mm (no. 200)	5-20	10	

main pole, attached to the main pole 2 in below the bottom of the sign blank, and extending diagonally downward into the soil. The separation between the main pole and the back brace was 2 ft at ground level. A 30-in octagonal stop sign was attached to the top of the main pole with 5/16-in bolts spaced 24 in apart. The pole was oriented such that one leg of the u-shape was facing impacting vehicle.

The support was buried in NCHRP 230, S-1 strong soil to a depth of 2.5 ft. No restraint was placed on the top of the support.



Dimensional and weight data on the Arkansas Back Brace Small Support are shown in figure 1.

A.B. Chance Metal Luminaire Support with Slip Base Foundation

The metal luminaire support was a 30.1-ft long, tapered metal pole with a 13-ft mast arm attached 29.5 ft above the mounting base. The pole had a diameter of 8 in at the base and 3.75 in at the top. The mast arm had a 50-lb weight attached to its free end to simulate the weight of a lighting assembly. The pole was oriented such that the mast arm was at roughly 4 o'clock if the line of vehicle travel is given to be 12 o'clock. The pole was manufactured by Union Metal Company. The test article with dimensional and weight data is shown in figure 2.

Fiberglass Luminaire Support

The test article was an 8-in-diameter, hollow, fiberglass luminaire support pole. The pole was manufactured by Highline Products Corporation. The model number tested was HL-228H-1. The pole was buried in S-1 strong soil as defined in NCHRP 230, to a depth of 5 ft. A 50-1b weight was attached to the end of the 6-ft mast arm to simulate the weight of a lighting assembly. The pole was oriented such that the access panel was facing towards the impacting vehicle. No restraint was placed on the top of the pole. The dimensional and weight data for the pole are shown in figure 3.

Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support, Type B

The test article consisted of 2 steel slip base stubs, each 5 1/2 ft in length; 2 steel slip base supports, each 18 ft in length; and 11 sign panels, each 1 ft by 15 ft. This hardware is used











• TORQUE SPEC. 85 ft-1b.

Figure 2. A. B. Chance metal luminaire support with slip base foundation, test nos. 2 and 3.









Figure 3. Fiberglass luminaire support, test no. 4.



to construct a Type B support with a 15-ft by 11-ft sign. The stubs were set in a 2-ft radius concrete form and then buried in S-1 strong soil so that there was a stub projection of 3 in above the level surface. The steel supports were bolted to the stubs using the manufacturer's recommended torque (85 ft-1b). To obtain perpendicularity, the supports were shimmed at the slip base in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. The sign boards were then clamped on to the supports one at a time to form the completed sign. Design specifications for the Wisconsin Stiff Leg Support are presented in figures 4 and 5. Each leg support weighed 288 1b, and was an I - beam with 12in depth and 3 7/8-in flange.

Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support, Type D

The test article consisted of 2 steel slip base stubs, each 6 1/2 ft in length; 2 steel slip base supports, each 21 ft in length; and 14 sign panels, each 1 ft by 22 ft. This hardware is used to construct a Type D support with a 22-ft by 14-ft sign. The stubs were set in a 2-ft radius concrete form and then buried in S-1 strong soil so that there was a stub projection of 3 in above the level surface. The steel supports were bolted to the stubs using the manufacturer's recommended torque procedure (85 ft-1b). To obtain perpendiculatity, the supports were shimmed at the slip base in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The sign boards were then clamped on to the supports one at a time to form the completed sign. Design specifications for the Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support are presented in figures 4 and 5. Each leg support weighed 462 lb, and was an 1 - beam with 12-in depth and 4-in flange.

The installation of Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Supports in the S-1 soil was accomplished using a very rigorous compaction procedure. The procedure followed the specification provided in the NCHRP 230.











Figure 4. Sign support installation details - State design, test nos. 5 through 8.













Soil samples were tested to establish the optimum moisture content for obtaining the maximum density of the S-1 soil. The results show that a 9.5 percent moisture content provided a maximum dry density of 129.0 $1b/ft^3$. The compaction of the soil around the foundation was then achieved using a power compactor and by adding soil and compacting in 6-in layers. At each stage, the sand volume method (ASTM D1556) was used to determine the moisture content and the actual density achieved. The results were checked using a nuclear density gauge (ASTM 2922). The density achieved using this method at various depths was in the range of 122.9 to 126.4 $1b/ft^3$. This transforms into a range of 95.3 to 98 percent of the optimal density. The results are within the specifications of NCHRP 230 recommendations.

2. Test Vehicles

The test vehicles used for all eight tests were 1979 Volkswagen Rabbits. The test vehicles were carefully inspected before purchase to meet the following criteria:

- No front end structural damage.
- All components to be original equipment and correctly installed. The items under this category included wheels, brakes, transmission, engine, door, hatches, suspension components, hood, etc.
- The vehicle to be anesthetically acceptable, meaning it could not have large areas of damage, rusting or poor paint condition, even in the rear and side areas.
- Tires and wheels to be in good condition.



• Front suspension/steering geometry such that the vehicle could track accurately.

The acquired 1979 Volkswagen Rabbits were prepared for testing using the following procedure:

- Wheels were inspected (or installed, if necessary) and the vehicle's front suspension/steering were aligned to ensure desired tracking characteristics.
- The engine coolant and battery acid were drained.
- The vehicle attitude measurement at test weight were documented.
- The dry (no fluids) vehicle weight was documented.
- Components that did not contribute to the frontal structural characteristics of the vehicle were removed as necessary to achieve the 1800 + 50-1b test weight.
- The guidance ring, accelerometers, data umbilical, abort system, labels, targets, and inch tape were installed on the vehicle.
- The final test weight was determined and documented.
- A triaxial accelerometer was mounted on each test vehicle on the longitudinal centerline. It was mounted on a flat level location on the transmission on tunnel as close to the location of the vehicle's





center of gravity as was reasonably possible at that location. Typical details of the accelerometer location are shown in table 4. These generally remained unchanged from test to test.

- A pressure sensitive contact switch was attached to either the front bumper or the pole in order to signal the instant of first contact to the data recorder and to the visual strobe.
- The vehicle length, width, track width, wheelbase, and accelerometer locations were measured and documented.
- The pre-impact front bumper contour with reference to the rear end of the vehicle was measured and document-ed.

3. Description of Test Facilities

Vehicle Guidance and Tow System

The facility has a level, 800-ft approach to the pole impact area. A steel guide cable, terminating 10 ft from the pole, is installed on the approach surface and restrained to provide positive lateral guidance to the vehicle.

The vehicle velocity is controlled using an ignition limiter that controls the speed of the tow truck. The ignition limiter is calibrated to achieve the specified velocity prior to testing and takes into consideration the two to one mechanical advantage of the reverse tow system.





Table 4. Typical accelerometer locations.

No. Location	X Distance From Front of Bumper in	Y Distance From Centerline in	Z Distance Above Ground In
Veh. long. 1 centerline	58.2	0.0	14.25

Vehicle: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit Test Date: 04/26/88





- Triasial

.





A solenoid-actuated hydraulic accumulator is connected to the test vehicle's service-brake system. When the accumulator is electrically activated, the vehicle brakes are actuated. This abort system can be activated manually at any time necessary to abort the test. The driver of the towing vehicle is informed simultaneously and can abort the towing action.

Timing Traps (Velocity Measurements)

The impact speed of the test was measured by using two pressure sensitive strips. The two pressure sensitive strips are set across the vehicle's path just prior to the impact. Test nos. 5 through 8 also used a post impact speed trap. The strips are placed a precise distance apart. The output from the strips start and stop electronic counters such that the time to traverse the distance is known to be within 1 microsecond accuracy.

Measurement System Calibration

A calibration system and procedure is in place and functioning that satisfies the requirements of the FHWA procedure. All instruments are calibrated against a higher order standard at periodic intervals not exceeding 6 months. All calibration instruments are traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. The test equipment is labeled with the date and place of calibration, date for the next calibration, and the name of the technician and the organization who calibrated it. The calibration procedure is maintained by the contractors and was approved by FHWA prior to initiation of testing.



4. Motion Pictures

Three high-speed motion picture cameras were used during test nos. 1 through 4, to provide photographic coverage of the vehicle during the impact event. Positions of the cameras were as follows:

- Right side, close up view of impact (the view area was perpendicular to the vehicle's motion and was set to be approximately 5 ft forward and aft of the pole center).
- Right side, overall view, impact and run-out (the view area was perpendicular to the vehicle's motion and was set to be approximately 1 car length forward and 3 car lengths aft of the pole.
- Run out view (the view area was set to be 3/4 (angled) view at impact and run-out of the vehicle).

A contact switch was placed on the pole face to activate the flash units in the field of view of all the cameras.

After the fourth test, one additional high-speed camera was added to provide a right side overall view. This view was slightly "off of perpendicular" relative to the motion of impacting vehicle. Since test nos. 5 through 8 tested two-legged sign supports, this camera was deemed necessary to capture the movement of the impacted support (which was hidden behind the non-impacted support in the "field of view" of the perpendicular cameras.

A real-time documentary camera was used to take movies of all pretest, impact, and posttest views of the test vehicle and of







the luminaire and sign support. The camera position, lens sizes, camera/make/model, frame rates, etc. were documented for each test. Table 5 shows a typical camera location table.

5. Still Photo Coverage

The following still photographs and color slides were taken for each test:

Pretest

- Luminaire or Sign Support
- Right/Left Side View
- Right/Left 3/4 (angle) View with car in place
- Front View, Overhead View
- Test Area General looking down from a high position

Posttest

- Luminaire or Sign Support Base
- Luminaire or Sign Support
- Right/Left Side View
- Right/Left 3/4 (angle) View vehicle
- Front View, Overhead View
- General view showing vehicle relative to impact area

Typical pretest photographs of a test vehicle taken for test no. 5 are shown in figures 6 through 11. The next eight sections describing the eight tests do not include the pretest photographs.









Table 5. Camera locations and descriptions.

-38

Location Number	Field of View	Lens Size mm	Frame Rate fps	Timing Speed Hz	Mfg./Model Number	Impact Dist-X	Centerline Dist-Y	Camera Height Dist-Z	Film Quality
1	Right Side Close-up	50	600	100	Fastex 230	0 ft	-71.0 ft	+ 51.0 in	Good
2	Right Side Overall	16	600	100	Fastex 231	-17 ft	+56.0 ft	+50.0 in	Good
3	Post Impact Run Out	28	600	100	Fastex 228	+142 ft	+65.0 ft	+74.0 in	Good
4	Documentary Run Out	12-70	24	N/A	Arriflex NR6837	-41 ft	+83.0 ft	+56.0 in	Good
5	Right Medium	28	600	100	Fastex 232	-10 ft	+91.0 ft	+61.5 in	Good

Dist-X: + behind impact point Dist-Y: + to the right Dist-Z: + above ground



Figure 6. Typical full left side view, pretest.



Figure 7. Typical full right side view, pretest.



Figure 8. Typical left front 3/4 view, pretest.



Figure 9. Typical right front 3/4, view, pretest.



Figure 10. Typical full front view, pretest.


Figure 11. Typical impact location overhead view, pretest.

6. Data Collection, Processing, and Analysis

Three channels of acceleration data were recorded. The accelerometers were installed on the longitudinal centerline of the vehicle, to record the longitudinal, vertical, and lateral accelerations. The three accelerometers were mounted as a triaxial accelerometer package on the transmission tunnel.

Significant elements of the data collection, processing and analysis are described in the paragraphs that follow:

- Acceleration/time plots (X, Y, Z-axis): The data are recorded in analog form on a Kyowa RTP-602A tape recorder using an umbilical cable between the test vehicle and the instrumentation van. The data are played back through a 4pole SAE class 1000 filter (having a cut-off frequency of 1650 Hz) and subsequently digitized at a rate of at least 5000 samples per second. The relationship between the digitizing rate (5000 samples per second) and the filter's cut off frequency (1650 Hz) is approximately 3:1. This ratio has been carefully chosen, to prevent the introduction of aliased or distorted data during the digitization process. The digitized X, Y and Z acceleration data are digitally filtered using an SAE class 60 filter (cut off frequency of 100 Hz) using a computer, and subsequently plotted to produce the acceleration/time plots shown in this report.
- 50 ms average peak accelerations: After the acceleration data from each channel (X, Y and Z) have been digitally filtered using the SAE class 60 filter, the data are further



processed using appropriate software to determine the highest average acceleration level in each channel having a time interval width of 50 ms.

10 ms average peak accelerations: After the digitization of the X-axis data channel only, the data are further digitally filtered, this time using an SAE class 180 filter (having a cut off frequency of 300 Hz), to determine the highest 10 ms average peak acceleration. Subsequent to this filtering, the data are further processed using appropriate software to determine the highest average acceleration level having a time interval width of 10 ms.

Velocity/time plot (from acceleration data): For X-axis acceleration data channel only, and after the data have been filtered using an SAE class 180 filter, the acceleration data are integrated using appropriate software to determine the velocity/time plot.

Velocity/time plot (from film data): The test impact velocity was measured in two ways. First, pressure sensitive tape switches were placed on the ground a known distance apart. The tape switches were connected to a direct readout time interval meter. The velocity was calculated from the distance traversed versus the recorded time interval. Secondly, the two high-speed side view movies were examined with the aid of a stop motion projector to compare vehicle motion relative to stationary references within the field of view. The film analysis then provided the test impact velocity. During the film analysis, a stationary groundbased reference was used to eliminate analysis error caused by lateral film movement (called "jitter") in the high-speed





camera and in the film analysis projector. Since the filming camera has accurate timing works on the edge of film at intervals of 10 ms, the accurate speed of the film can be established and the time between the frames can be determined. Therefore, a plot of vehicle displacement versus time can be determined from these data. Subsequently, the displacement data were differentiated to form a cvelocity/time plot. The differentiation was done manually. The number of points on the displacement/time plot where the slopes were calculated was based on an evaluator's judgment. It is appropriate to mention that differentiation is a "roughening" process and tends to magnify errors. A velocity/time plot from film data was derived as a cross check and a general comparison with the velocity/time plot from acceleration data.

For test nos. 1 through 4, a single pair of tape switches located prior to impact was used to document the test vehicle's impact velocity. A second pair of tape switches was added in test nos. 5 through 8 behind the test article that was impacted. The objective of this second pair of tape switches was to measure the test vehicle's velocity at a known distance after the impact with the test article.

Occupant impact velocity (calculated per NCHRP-230): For the X-axis acceleration data channel only and after the data have been filtered using an SAE class 180 filter, the acceleration data are integrated using appropriate software to determine the velocity/time plot. Subsequently, this velocity/time plot is further integrated to determine the displacement/time plot, again, using appropriate computer software. Both of these plots can be visualized as the





velocity and displacement of a theoretical occupant relative to the moving test vehicle. At the time when the theoretical occupant has moved forward relative to the car, a distance of 24 in (determined from the displacement/time plot), the velocity of impact relative to an interior surface can be determined from the velocity/time plot. Using this method, the impact velocity of a theoretical occupant into an interior surface of the car is calculated in accordance with the procedures set forth in NCHRP Report No. 230.⁽²⁾ This velocity is considered by highway safety experts to be the primary indicator of the level of occupant injury in such a collision.

10 ms average ride-down acceleration (calculated per NCHRP 230): For the X-axis acceleration data channel only and after the data have been filtered using an SAE class 180 filter, the acceleration data are again analyzed using appropriate software to determine the highest average acceleration level having a width of 10 ms. This time, however, only the portion of the acceleration trace remaining after the time of occupant impact is analyzed. Using this method, the ride-down acceleration (a measure of the force applied to the occupant after impact with an interior surface of the vehicle) is determined in accordance with the procedures set forth in NCHRP Report No. 230.(2) This acceleration is considered by highway safety experts to be the primary indicator of the level of occupant injury in such a collision after the occupant has impacted an interior surface of the car.





7. Performance Evaluation of the Tested Luminaire Sign Supports

The results of the impact tests were evaluated using two sets of recommended procedures for the breakaway or yielding supports. The two procedures were:

- AASHTO Specifications (Section 7).⁽¹⁾
- NCHRP 230 Specifications.⁽²⁾

A summary of the safety performance requirements from the two documents listed above is given in the following subsections. The actual evaluations are presented in the section starting on page 23.

AASHTO Specifications

Section 7 of the AASHTO document has three major safety requirements.⁽¹⁾ They are listed below:

- Breakaway supports are designed to yield when struck by a vehicle, thereby minimizing injury to the occupants of the vehicle and damage to the vehicle itself.
- Satisfactory dynamic performance of a breakaway support is indicated when the maximum change in velocity for a standard 1800-1b vehicle, or its equivalent, striking a breakaway support at speeds from 20 mi/h to 60 mi/h does not exceed 15 ft/s, but preferably 10 ft/s or less.
- To avoid vehicle undercarriage snagging, any substantial remains of a support, after breaking away, should not project more than 4 in above ground. The 4-in





projection is determined by using a 60-in chord aligned radially to the centerline of the highway and connecting any point, within the length of the chord, on the ground surface on one side of the support to a point on the ground surface on the other side.

NCHRP 230 Specification

The NCHRP 230 safety performance specifications, only as they apply to breakaway supports are taken from table 6, page 13 of the NCHRP 230 document and are listed below:(2)

Structural Adequacy

- The test article shall readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away or yielding.
- Detached elements, fragments or other debris from the test article shall not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment or present undue hazard to other traffic.

Occupant Risk

- The vehicle shall remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching and yawing are acceptable. Integrity of the passenger compartment must be maintained with essentially no deformation or intrusion.
- Impact velocity of a hypothetical front seat passenger against the vehicle interior, calculated from vehicle





accelerations and a 24-in forward displacement of the occupant, shall be less than:

Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity-ft/s 40/2.67 = 15

and vehicle highest 10 ms average accelerations subsequent to instant of hypothetical passenger impact should be less than:

Longitudinal Occupant Ridedown Accelerations-g's 20/1.33 = 15

Vehicle Trajectory

- After collision, the vehicle trajectory and final stopping position shall intrude a minimum distance, if at all, into adjacent traffic lanes.
- Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.





TEST RESULTS AND EVALUATIONS

1. Test Matrix

The matrix of the eight tests that were undertaken under this project was presented in table 1. Table 4, in this section, shows the actual values of the test parameters that were presented as target values in tables 1 and 2. The eight tests are designated as test nos. 1 through 8.

2. Test Results

Later sections of this report contain the detailed test results for the eight tests conducted under this study project. The highlights of the test results are summarized in this section. Table 5 includes the significant results for all of the eight tests.

3. Evaluation of the Results

AASHTO Specifications

Table 6 summarizes the evaluation of the test results as compared to the AASHTO specifications for the eight luminaire and sign supports. The luminaire and sign supports that were tested are listed below for ready reference.

- Small Sign Support (Arkansas Back Brace) Test no. 1-60 mi/h.
- Metal Luminaire Support with A.B. Chance Slip Base Anchoring System Test no. 2-60 mi/h. Test no. 3-20 mi/h.









Table 6. The test results.

Test	Test	Test Article	Test	Test Vehicle	Impa	act	Impact Point
No.	Date	Manufacture & Model	Vehicle	Mass 1bs	Veloci	ty mi/h	in
		Model No.			Speed	Film	
					Trap	Analysis	
1	09/22/87	Small Sign Support	1979	1827	61.02	60.7	1.5 to the right
		(Arkansas Back Brace)	VW Rabbit				of the center-
							line
2	10/08/87	Metal Luminaire Support	1979	1839		60.07	7.0 to the right
[(A.B. Chance Slip Base	VW Rabbit				of the center-
		Anchoring System)					line
3	10/09/87	Metal Luminaire Support	1979	1839	20.11	19.57	5.0 to the left
1		(Arkansas Back Brace)	VW Rabbit		1		of the center-
							line
4	02/12/88	Fiberglass Luminaire Sup-	1979	1846	59.66		3.5 to the left
		port (Highline Product	W Rabbit				of the center-
		Corp. Model No. HL-288H-1)					line
5	02/26/88	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979	1844	20.32	20.25	0.5 to the left
		Sign Support	VW Rabbit				of the center-
		(Wisconsin Type B)					line
6	04/15/88	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979	1838	58.40	58.19	2.0 to the left
		Sign Support	WW Rabbit				of the center-
		(Wisconsin Type B)					line
7	04/26/88	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979	1845	20.93	20.86	0.4 to the right
		Sign Support	W Rabbit				of the center-
		(Wisconsin Type D)					line
8	05/06/88	Freeway Stiff Leg	1979	1822	59.83	59.65	5.0 to the right
		Sign Support	W Rabbit				of the center-
		(Wisconsin Type D)					line







Test Results		Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test
Description		Ho.	No.	Ho.	No.	ю.	Ho.	No.	Ho.
Parameter		1	2	3	4.	5	6	7	8
Pre-impact Speed -	ml/h (ft/s)		1		40.0.400.01				
-	Speed Trap	61.0 (89.5)	N/A	20.1 (29.5)	60.3 (88.5)	20.3 (29.8)	59. (85.7)	21.0 (30.7)	59.8 (87.7)
_		w.i/(w.i/		19.3 (20.0)	27+/ 10/+2/	2013 (291/)	20.2 (03.4)	20.3 (30.0)	37.7 (0/.37
Post-Impact Speed -	- mi/h ft/s								
-	and Acceleration	31.7 (3.0)	51.4 (/5.4/	10.2 (15.0)	42.7 (62.6)	12.4 (18.2)	53.5 (79.0)	13.3 (19.5)	53.4 (78.3)
	Data								
-	Film Analysis	52.1 (76.4)	52.1 (76.4)	10.2 (15.0)	42.7 (62.6)	12.4 (18.2)	51.8 (75.9)	13.2 (19.4)	52.8 (77.5)
Vehicle Change -	mi/h (ft/s)	•							
-	Speed from Trap	8.4 (12.3)	8.7 (12.7)	9.3 (13.6)	17.0 (24.9)	7.9 (11.6)	4.7 (6.3)	7.6 (11.2)	6.4 (9.4)
	and Integation						• • • • •		
-	Film Analysis	8.0 (11.7)	8.0 (11.7)	9.3 (13.6)	17.0 (24.9)	7.9 (11.5)	6.5 (0.5)	7.6 (11.2)	6.8 (10.0)
		•••• (••••	•••• ••••	///		/ / / / / / /		,,	
Peak Deceleration (st c.g.) g's	10.1	18.5	20.9	14.0	13.3	30,8	16.8	29.6
Haximum 50 Haco Ave	rage Deceleration								
g's	Y 1-10		6.2		• •				•
-	X = Axis Y = Axis	0.6	0.7	0.5	N.U	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.0
-	Z - Axis	0.9	1.2	1.6	NA	1.3 l	2.3	1.6 {	4.6
Haximum Crush - In	1	6.0	13.0	14.0	5.9	5.5	15.0	14.0	17.3
Longitudinal Occupa	nt Impect								
Velocity - ft/	's (NCHRP 230)	11.5	10.6	14.2	24.9	11.5	6.3	11.1	9.4
Longitudinal Occupa	nt Ridsdown								
verse a serior - dit	(10,110, 220)	1.0	••>	1.0	14./	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.7
Posttest, Stub Moesu	rement - In	0.0	3.1	3:4	38.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6
	1	1		4					

Table 7. Significant results from the eight tests.

* For test no. 4 only, all acceleration data were derived from differentiation of the velocity time history from film analysis. NA Not Available

ບນ ບາ







Table 8.	Evaluation of	tested sign and	l luminaire supports	compared to	AASHTO criteria.
----------	---------------	-----------------	----------------------	-------------	------------------

AASHTO Criteria*	Test No.							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
 Pole must yield or break away. Did the pole completely yield or break away? Yes or No 	Yes							
2. Dynamic Test Performance Is velocity change (Δv) equal to or less than 15 ft/s? Yes or No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Is Velocity change (△\/) equal to or less than 10 ft/s? Yes or No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
3. Posttest Stub Measurement Does the remaining stub, if any meet the AASHTO specifications?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

- Fiberglass Luminaire Support, Highline Products
 Corporation Model No. HL-228H-1
 Test no. 4-60 mi/h.
- Freeway Stiff Leg Sign Support, Wisconsin Type B
 Test no. 5-20 mi/h. Test no. 6-60 mi/h.
- Freeway Stiff Leg Sign Support, Wisconsin Type D Test no. 7-20 mi/h. Test no. 8-60 mi/h.

In summary, the Arkansas Back Brace sign support met the minimum AASHTO requirements in the test at 60 mi/h.

The metal luminaire pole with A.B. Chance Shear plate met the minimum AASHTO requirements in tests carried out at 20 mi/h and 60 mi/h.

The Highline Products Corporation pole, model no. HL-228H-1, did break away but left a stub measuring more than 4 in. It also failed dynamic performance criteria as the velocity change was more than 15 ft/s.

Wisconsin Stiff Leg sign supports Type B and Type D met the minimum AASHTO requirements at the test speeds 20 mi/h and 60 mi/h.

NCHRP 230 Specifications

Table 7 summarizes the evaluation of the test results to the NCHRP 230 specifications for the eight luminaire and sign supports.

In summary, the Arkansas Back Brace sign support met the minimum NCHRP 230 requirements in the test at 60 mi/h.











Table 9. Evaluation of tested sign and luminaire supports, compared to NCHRP 230 criteria.

	AA	SHTO Criteria	Test No. 1	Test No. 2	Test No. 3	Test No. 4	Test No. 5	Test No. 6	Test No. 7	Test No. 8
	В	Structural Adequacy Did the pole break away or yield completely?	Yes							
	D	Did detached elements fragments, or other debris meet the no pen- etration of the passesenger com- partment requirement?	Yes							
	E	Occupant Risk o Did the vehicle remain upright during and after collision?	Yes							
1.5		o Was the no passenger compartment deformation requirement met?	Yes							
88		intrusion requirement met?	res							
	F	<pre>o Is longitudinal occupant impact velocity calculated using NCHRP 230 procedure less than 15 ft/s?</pre>	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		o Was longitudinal occupant ride- down acceleration calculated using NCHRP 230 procedure less than 15 g's?	Yes							
	Η	Vehicle Trajectory After collision, did the vehicle trajectory and final stopping position intrude a minimum dis- tance, if at all, into adjacent traffic lanes?	Yes							
	Ι	Was the vehicle trajectory behind the test article?	Yes							

The metal luminaire pole with A.B. Chance Shear Plate, met the minimum NCHRP 230 requirements in tests carried out at 20 mi/h and 60 mi/h.

The Highline Products Corporation pole, model no. HL-228H-1, when tested at 60 mi/h, failed to meet the NCHRP 230 criteria because the occupant impact velocity was more than 15 ft/s.

Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign supports Type B and Type D performed satisfactorily and met the NCHRP 230 requirements at test speeds of 20 mi/h and 60 mi/h.

4. General Comments

- 1. The Arkansas Back Brace test at 60 mi/h was a repeat of a test conducted by the contractor a few years ago. In that test, the Arkansas Back Brace snagged and the test vehicle rolled over. The design of the tested sign support under this project included a different grade metal in the diagonal support. The results from this test show that the modification worked well. The test article met all NCHRP 230 and AASHTO criteria. The test vehicle did not rollover, and its trajectory after the impact was generally straight and acceptable.
- 2. The tested A.B. Chance metal luminaire support broke away cleanly at both 20 and 60 mi/h. It appeared to meet all AASHTO and NCHRP 230 criteria. Damage to the vehicle in the front was observed. However, passenger compartment deformation was not deemed to be significant. There was no passenger compartment intrusion.





- 3. The Highline Products fiberglass luminaire support was tested at an impact speed of 60 mi/h. The test article did break away. However, it broke away at a level such that a few feet of pole was still protruding from the ground. Also the change in velocity was higher than the NCHRP 230/AASHTO specification criterion of 15 ft/s. The 20 mi/h test on Highline Products fiber glass pole was conducted on an earlier contract. The results are available in Report No. FHWA/RD - 87/065.
- 4. The Wisconsin Stiff Leg large sign support was tested with a Type B sign in test nos. 5 and 6. The results show that the test article met all requirements of AASHTO and NCHRP 230 criteria. It is appropriate to note here that the big freeway sign (15 ft by 11 ft) came tumbling down on top of the vehicle during the 20 mi/h test. However, other than front end damage to the vehicle, there was no significant deformation or intrusion of the passenger compartment of the test vehicle.
- 5. Test nos. 7 and 8 were conducted with Type D freeway sign supported by Wisconsin Stiff Leg supports. The Type D sign is significantly larger than the Type B sign. It measures 22 ft by 14 ft. The steel supports for the Type D sign are longer and heavier than those for the Type B sign. Hence, even though the breakaway design performed acceptably with Type B sign, a decision was made to conduct test nos. 7 and 8 with the larger and heavier sign and supports. The results show that the sign support on the impact side broke away cleanly both at 20 mi/h and at 60 mi/h and all AASHTO





and NCHRP 230 specifications were met. Once again the sign came tumbling down on top of the test vehicle during the 20 mi/h test. However, no deformation of the passenger compartment was observed.





DETAILED TEST RESULTS

The next eight sections present the detailed test results from the eight tests conducted under this project. The tests were conducted in strict conformance of the NCHRP 230 test procedures. The test target impact speeds were either 20 or 60 mi/h. The elements of the test procedure that were common to all tests were described in earlier sections. The elements of each test that were unique to that test and all the detail data sheets and results are presented in the sections that follow.

The data for each test are generally presented as listed below:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Test Article Description
- 3. Data Tables
- 4. Test Results
- 5. Photographic Coverage
- 6. Data Plots







1. Introduction

Test No. 1 (sign support 01) was conducted on 22 September 1987 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 ± 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front centerline.

2. Test Article

The test article was a 12-ft, 3-lb/ft, u-shaped steel sign support pole with a rear mounted back-brace. The back-brace was a 9-ft steel pole of the same construction as the main pole, attached to the main, 2 in below the bottom of the sign blank, and extending diagonally downward into the soil. The separation between the main pole and the back-brace was 2 ft at ground level. A 30-in octagonal stop sign was attached to the top of the main pole with two 5/16-in bolts, spaced 24 in apart. The pole was oriented such that one leg of the u-shape was facing the impacting vehicle. The distance between supports at ground level was 24 in. The Length from ground line to the bottom of the sign blank was 84 in. The test article is shown in figure 13.

The pole was buried in NCHRP 230, S-1 (strong) soil to a depth of 2.5 ft. No restraint was placed on the top of the pole. Installation photographs are presented in subsection 5.

3. Data Tables

Tables 10 through 14 show the data from test no. 1. Table 10 shows crash test summary. Table 11 shows test vehicle information. Table 12 shows test vehicle crush data. Table 13 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data and table 14 shows the results from the data analysis.



Table 10. Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 1.

Project: _	Luminaire an	nd Sign Suppo	rts	
Test: _	Sign Support	: 01 (Test No	. 1)	
	Date: _	09/22/87	Time:2:20) PM
Test Articl	es: <u>Sign S</u>	Support (Arka	insas Back Brace	e)
	with N	ICHRP S-1 str	ong soil	
Vehicle:	1979 Volkswa	agen Rabbit		
Inerti	al mass:	1827 1ь	Test mass:	<u>1827 lb</u>
Pre-In	pact speed:	*89.5 ft/s	Post-Impact:	**76.4 ft/s
		<u>**88.1 ft/s</u>		***75.8 ft/s
Offset	distance fr	om vehicle c	enterline:	1.5 in (right)
Maximu	ım crush:	6.0 in	Rebound:	None
Damage	: TAD:	FC 1	CDC:	12FZEN4
Maximu	um decelerati	ion (at c.g.))	10.1 g
Maximu	ım 50 ms aver	age decelera	tion (at c.g.)	3.7 g
Maximu	ım 10 ms aveı	rage decelera	tion (at c.g.)	7.5 g

Number of Data Channels: <u>3 accelerometers, time zero switch.</u> Number of High-Speed Cameras: 3, frame rate: 600 fps

* Speed trap
** Film analysis
*** Integration of acceleration data



Table 11. Test vehicle information, test no. 1.

Vehicle Hanufacturer	: Volkswage	n of America
Make/Model/Year:	Volkswage	n/Rabbit/1979
Body Style:	2 door ha	itchback
VIN: 1793813259		Build Date: 03/79
Engine: Transverse	4 cylinder	
Transmission: <u>Hanu</u>	al 4 speed	
GVWR: 2822 15		
GAWR: 1609 15 Fr	ont	Rear: 1278 1b
Tire Size: 155SR13		Load Range: B
Tire Pressure: 27	psi	Rear: 27 ps1
Date Received: 21	Sep 1987	Color: Blue
MASS OF VEHICLE AS R	ECEIVED: 16	
Left Front:	608	Right Front: 586
Left Rear:	377	Right Rears 379
Total Front Mass: 1	194	(<u>61</u> % of total vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass:	756	(<u>39</u> % of total vehicle mass)
Total Mass: 1	950	
TEST MASS OF VEHICLE	s lb	
Left Front:	612	Right Front: 601
Left Rear:	310	Right Rear: <u>304</u>
Total Front Mass: 1	213	$(\underline{66}$ % of total vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass:	614	(34% of total vehicle mass)
Total Mass: 1	827	
VEHICLE ATTITUDE: In		
Left Front:	24.7	
Right Front:	24.5	
Left Rear:	24.7	
Ríght Rear:	25.0	





Table 11. Test vehicle information, test no. 1 (continued).

VEHICLE DINENSIONS: In

Length:	155.0			
Width:	63.4			
Wheel-base:	95.0			
Track: Front:	55.0	Rear:	53.5	

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: In

31.9behind the front axle0.0to the right of centerline21.6above ground





Table 12. Vehicle crush data, test no. 1.

MaxImum crush of	6.0 In	occurred _	1.5 In	······································
to the <u>right</u>	_ of the center	line.		
Vehicle Rebound:	None			
Vehicle Speed: (m	easured20 f	t		_ from impact)
	Maximum crush of to the <u>right</u> Vehicle Rebound: Vehicle Speed: (m	Maximum crush of <u>6.0 in</u> to the <u>right</u> of the center Vehicle Rebound: <u>None</u> Vehicle Speed: (measured <u>20 f</u>	Maximum crush of <u>6.0 in</u> occurred_ to the <u>right</u> of the centerline. Vehicle Rebound: <u>None</u> Vehicle Speed: (measured <u>20 ft</u>	Maximum crush of 6.0 in occurred 1.5 in to the right of the centerline. Vehicle Rebound: None Vehicle Speed: (measured 20 ft

Trap No. 1: 61.02 ml/h (89.90 ft/s) Trap No. 2: Not used.

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, in:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	с ₁	154.0	152.5	
	¢2	154.5	152.2	-2.3
	C ₃	155.0	151.9	-3.1
	C ₄	155.0	151.0	-4.0
	C ₅	154.5	149.5	-5.0
Right Side	¢6	154.0	148.0	-6.0

Width of Contact: 3.0 In



Table 13. Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 1.

Vehicle c.g. Acceleration Axis	Moving Average Time (ms)	Maximum Acceleration Value (g's)	Time of Occurance (ms)
×	10	7.52	68.75 - 78.75
×	50	3.69	64.00 - 114.00
Y	50	0.62	110.50 - 160.50
Z	50	0.90	84.75 - 134.75

.....





Table 14. Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 1.

TEST NUMBER:	1	TEST DATE : 09	/22/87
TEST ARTICLE:	Sign Support: Arkansas E	Back Brace	
MANUFACTURER:	Not available		
MODEL NUMBER:	Not available		
TEST VEHICLE:	1979 Volkswagen Rabbit	VEHICLE VEIGHT (16)	,여야,연물학 및 정 영 및 (역)
POLE LENGTH (ft): 12.0		MAST ARM LENGTH (ft) N/A	
POLE BURIED In:	NCHRP S-1 STRONG SOLL		
IMPACT SPEED (f	t/s):	CAMERA	88.1
		SPEED TRAP:	89.5
EXIT SPEED (ft/s):		CAMERA:	76.4
		INTEGRAL AX:	75.8
CHANGE IN VELOCITY FROM EACH SOURCE (ft/s) CAMERA:			11.7
		INTEGRAL AXI	12.3
MOMENTUM CHANGE: (1b-sec reported velocity change			663.8
	multiplied by vehicle a	nass)	
MAX FORCE (kips, peak x-axis deceleration * velocity weight)			18.5
MAX ACCELERATION (g's, peak x-axis deceleration)			10.1
MAXIMUM MEASURED VEHICLE CRUSH LENGTH (in, static)			6.0
LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT IMPACT VELOCITY (ft/s, NCHRP 230)			11.5
LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT RIDEDOWN ACCEL. (9/s , NCHRP 230)			1.0
MAX 50 MS AVERA	GE DECELERATION (g's)		
		X-AX I S	3.7
		Y-AXIS	0.6
		Z-AXIS	0.9
	VEHICLE VELOCIT	TY CHANGE:	12.0 ft/

(Average of film and accelerometer data)



4. Test Results

The vehicle impact velocity was 60.1 mi/h. The test vehicle impacted the pole 1.5 in to the right of the vehicle centerline. The main pole and back-brace wrapped around the front end of the vehicle and were pulled out of the ground. The pole exerted a downward frictional force on the bumper which caused the right side of the bumper to be rotated downward approximately 45 degrees and pushed rearward 6 in. The right side bumper shock absorber mounting was distorted, causing the right fender to be moderately deformed. The hood was moderately deformed along its centerline. No part of the sign support or sign contacted the roof or windshield of the test vehicle. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 12.

The test article was thrown 81 ft longitudinally and 5 ft laterally from impact. The test article pieces remained attached together.

The longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 11.5 ft/s and the longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was 1 g based on the maximum x-axis 10 ms moving average acceleration after occupant impact at 162.0 ms. The total vehicle velocity change was 12.0 ft/s or 8.2 mi/h.

Pre-and Posttest photographs of the test vehicle and test article are presented in subsection 5. Table 13 presents the vehicle maximum moving average data. All data plots are presented in subsection 6.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 12 through 21 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 1 are shown in figures 22 through 26.







Figure 12. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 1.



Figure 13. Test article, pretest, test no. 1.



Figure 14. Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 1.



Figure 15. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 1.





Figure 17. 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit, full right side view, posttest, test no. 1.



Figure 18. 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit, left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 1.



Figure 19. 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit, right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 1.



Figure 20. 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit, full front view, posttest, test no. 1.



Figure 21. 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit, impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 1.








Figure 22. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 1.







Figure 23. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 1.









Figure 24. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 1.











Figure 25. Longitudinal-velocity by integration, test no. 1.









Figure 26. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 1.



1. Introduction

Test No. 2 (luminaire 01) was conducted on 08 October 1987 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 ± 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front center-line.

2. Test Article

The test article was a slip base luminaire support. The luminaire pole was a 30.1-ft long, tapered metal pole with a 13-ft mast arm attached 29.5 ft above the mounting base. The pole was 8 inches in diameter at the base and 3.75 inches in diameter at the top. The mast arm had a 50-lb weight attached to its free end to simulate the weight of a lighting assembly. The pole was oriented such that the mast arm was at roughly 4 o'clock, if the line of vehicle travel is given to be 12 o'clock. The pole was manufactured by Union Metal Company.

The slip base for the luminaire is a triangular plate, 1 1/16 in thick. Three 7/8-in diameter mounting bolts were used. They were torqued to 50 ft-lb as per manufacturer's specifications. "Keeper plates" were used on the mounting bolts. All installation was done by manufacturer's representative. The hand hole on the pole was directly under the mast arm. The wall thickness of the pole at the base was 0.25 in.

The anchoring system consisted of a screw-in foundation with a "Caltrans" Shear Plate assembly (slip base) on top. The anchoring system was manufactured by A.B. Chance Company.

The pole foundation was buried in NCHRP 230, S-1 strong soil to the specified depth. No restraint was placed on the top of the





pole. Installation details for slip base foundation are shown in figure 2. Installation photographs are presented in subsection 5.

3. Data Tables

Tables 15 through 19 show the data from test no. 2. Table 15 shows crash test summary. Table 16 shows test vehicle information. Table 17 shows the test vehicle crush data. Table 18 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data, and table 19 shows the results from the data analysis.

4. Test Results

The vehicle impact velocity was 60.1 mi/h. The test vehicle impacted the pole 7 in to the right of the vehicle centerline. The base of the luminaire pole sheared away from the foundation due to the force of impact. As vehicle motion continued, the pole rotated up-ward, completely clearing the test vehicle. At one point, the lumi-naire pole was horizontal, approximately 12 ft above the ground. The vehicle bumper was pushed into an "L" shape; the grill, supporting structure and radiator were moderately deformed and the hood was badly deformed. The maximum crush depth was 13 in. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 17.

The luminaire pole came to rest nearly parallel to the direction of vehicle travel. The top of the pole was 22 ft from impact in the x-axis and 6.5 ft to the right in the y-axis. The base of the pole was 52 ft from impact in the x-axis. The base of the pole was 52 ft from impact in the x-axis and 8 ft to the right in the y-axis.

The longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 10.6 ft/s at 137 ms after time zero. The subsequent maximum 10 ms moving average ridedown acceleration was 1.5 g. The total vehicle velocity change







Project	t <u>Lumi</u>	Luminaire and Sign Supports					
Test:	Test:						
		Date: _	10/08/87	_ Time:	4:30	PM	
Test Articles: Metal liminaire pole with A. B. Chance "Cal							
		Trans	' shear plate	anchoring	g system.		

Vehicle: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit

Inertial mass:	1839 Ib	Test mass:	1839 Ib	
Pre-Impact speed:	* N.D. ft/s	Post-Impact:	**76.4 ft/s	
	88.1 ft/s		*75.4 ft/s	
Offset distance from vehicle centerline: 7.0 in (right				
Maximum crush:	<u>13.0 in</u>	Rebound:	None	
Damage: TAD:	FC4	CDC:	12FZEN4	
Maximum decelerat	18.5 g			
Maximum 50 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) 6.2 g				
Maximum 10 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) 14.4 g				

Number of Data Channels: <u>3 accelerometers, time zero switch.</u> Number of High-Speed Cameras: <u>3, frame rate: 600 fps</u>

* Speed trap (not recorded)
** Film analysis
*** Integration of acceleration data
N.D. No data





Table 16. Test vehicle information, test no. 2.

Vehicle Manufacturer: Vo	lkswagen of America
Make/Mode1/Year: Vo	lkswagen/Rabbit/1979
Body Style: 2	door hatchback
VIN: 1793813259	Build Date: 03/79
Engine: <u>Transverse & cyli</u>	nder
Transmission: <u>Manual 4 s</u>	peed
GVWR: 2822 1b	
GAWR: 1609 1b Front	Rear: 1278 1b
Tire Size: 155SR13	Load Range:B
Tire Pressure: 27 psi	Rear: 27 psi
Date Received: 29 Sep 19	87 Color: Green

MASS OF VEHICLE AS RECEIVED: 15

Left Front:	600	Right Front:	602
Left Rear:	390	Right Rear:	378
Total Front Mass:	1202	(<u>61</u> % of total	vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass:	768	(<u>39</u> % of total	vehicle mass)
Total Mass:	1970		

TEST MASS OF VEHICLE: 16

Left Front:	610	Right Front:	606
Left Rear:	315	Right Rear:	308
Total Front Mass:	1216	(<u>66</u> % of total	vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass:	623	(<u>34</u> % of total	vehicle mass)
Total Mass:	1839		

VEHICLE ATTITUDE: In

Left Front:	25.1
Right Front:	24.7
Left Rear:	25.5
Right Rear:	25.5



Table 16. Test venticle information, test no. 2 (continued).

VEHICLE DIMENSIONS: In

Length:	155.0			
Width:	63.4			
Wheel-base:	94.5			
Track: Front:	55.0	Rear:	53.5	

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: in

32.0	behind the front axle
0.0	to the right of centerline
21.6	above ground





Table 17. Vehicle crush data, test no. 2.

Maximum crush of 13.0 inoccurred 7.0 into the right of the centerline.

Vehicle Rebound: None

Vehicle Speed: (measured ______ 20 ft ______ from impact)

Trap No. 1: No data. Trap No. 2: Not used.

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, In:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	с ₁	154.0	155.5	+1.5
	¢2	154.5	152.5	-2.0
	c3	155.0	149.0	-6.0
	C4	155.0	143.5	-11.5
	C5	154.5	145.5	-9.0
Right Side	с ₆	154.0	155.5	+1.5

Width of Contact: 8 in





Vehicle c.g. Acceleration Axis	Moving Average Time (ms)	Maximum Acceleration Value (g's)	Time of Occurance (ms)
×	10	14.41	24.5 - 34.5
x	50	6.22	2.0 - 52.0
У	50	0.68	40.25 - 90.25
z	50	1.20	32.5 - 82.5

Table 18. Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 2.





Table 19. Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 2. TEST NUMBER: 2 TEST DATE : 10/08/87 TEST ARTICLE: Metal Luminaire Pole With Shear Plate Anchor MANUFACTURER: Union Metal (Pole)/A.B. Chance (Anchor) MODEL NUMBER: Not available TEST VEHICLE: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit VEHICLE WEIGHT (1b) 1839 POLE LENGTH (ft): 30.1 MAST ARM LENGTH (ft) 13.0 POLE BURIED in: NCHRP S-1 STRONG SOIL 88.1 IMPACT SPEED (ft/s): CAMERA: SPEED TRAP: No Data EXIT SPEED (ft/s): 76.4 CAMERA: INTEGRAL Ax: 75.4 CHANGE IN VELOCITY FROM EACH SOURCE (ft/s) CAMERA: 11.7 12.7 INTEGRAL Ax: MOMENTUM CHANGE: (1b-sec reported velocity change 696.0 multiplied by vehicle mass) MAX FORCE (kips, peak x-axis deceleration * velocity weight) 33.97 MAX ACCELERATION (g's, peak x-axis deceleration) 18.47 MAXIMUM MEASURED VEHICLE CRUSH LENGTH (in, static) 13.0 LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT IMPACT VELOCITY (ft/s, NCHRP 230) 10.6 LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT RIDEDOWN ACCEL. (g/s . NCHRP 230) 1.5 50 MS AVERAGE DECELERATION (g's) 6.2 X-AXIS 0.7 Y-AXIS 1.2 Z-AXIS

VEHICLE VELOCITY CHANGE:

12.2 ft/s

(Average of film and accelerometer data)



R

was 12.2 ft/s or 8.3 mi/h. Table 18 presents the vehicle maximum moving average data and table 19 presents a data analysis summary.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 27 through 37 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 2 are shown in figures 38 through 42.







(

(

Figure 27. General test area, pretest, test no. 2.



Figure 28. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 2.



Figure 29. Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 30. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 31. Closeup view of pole break away, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 32. Full left side view, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 33. Full right side view, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 34. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 35. Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 36. Full front view, posttest, test no. 2.



Figure 37. Impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 2.









Figure 38. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 2.









Figure 39. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 2.









Figure 40. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 2.









Figure 41. Longitudinal-velocity by integration, test no. 2.









Figure 42. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 2.



LUMINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORT TEST NO. 3, 20 MI/H

1. Introduction

Test No. 3 (luminaire 02) was conducted on 09 October 1987 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 ± 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front centerline. The luminaire support tested was identical to that tested in test no. 2.

2. Test Article

The test article was a slip base luminaire support. The luminaire pole was a 30.1-ft long, tapered metal pole with a 13-ft mast arm attached 29.5 ft above the mounting base. The pole was 8 inches in diameter at the base and 3.75 inches in diameter at the top. The mast arm had a 50-lb weight attached to its free end to simulate the weight of a lighting assembly. The pole was oriented such that the mast arm was at roughly 4 o'clock, if the line of vehicle travel is given to be 12 o'clock. The pole was manufactured by Union Metal Company.

The slip base for the luminaire is a triangular plate, 1 1/16 in thick. Three 7/8-in diameter mounting bolts were used. They were torqued to 50 ft-1b as per manufacturer's specifications. "Keeper plates" were used on the mounting bolts. All installation was done by manufacturer's representative. The hand hole on the pole was directly under the mast arm. The wall thickness of the pole at the base was 0.25 in.

The anchoring system consisted of a screw-in foundation with a "Caltrans" Shear Plate assembly (slip base) on top. The anchoring system was manufactured by A.B. Chance Company.

The pole foundation was buried in NCHRP 230, S-1 (strong) soil to the specified depth. No restraint was placed on the top of







the pole. Installation instructions are presented in figure 2. Installation photographs are presented in subsection 5.

3. Data Tables

Tables 20 through 24 show the data from test no. 3. Table 20 shows the crash test summary. Table 21 shows test vehicle information. Table 22 shows test vehicle crush data. Table 23 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data and table 24 shows the results from the data analysis.

4. Test Results

The vehicle impact velocity was 60.1 mi/h. The test vehicle impacted the pole 7 in to the right of the vehicle centerline. The base of the luminaire pole sheared away from the foundation due to the force of impact. As vehicle motion continued, the base of the pole rotated upward about its center of gravity. During this rotation, the pole momentarily lost contact with the front of the vehicle as it rotated ahead of the slowing vehicle. After about 10 degrees of rotation, the base of the pole struck a screw-in anchor from a previous test and stopped, thus causing the test vehicle to impact the pole a second time. The second impact halted the forward motion of the vehicle. The pole and mast then rotated 360 degrees about its z-axis (the vertical centerline of the pole) before coming to rest aside the vehicle. The vehicle bumper was pushed into an "L" shape. The grill, supporting structure, and radiator were moderately deformed, and the hood was badly deformed.

The snagging of the pole occurred after the separation of the pole from the impacting vehicle. The research question investigated in the test was whether the A. B. Chance pole can perform with the metal foundation during the low-speed, 20 mi/h impact test. Despite the snagging,







Table 20. Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 3.

Project: Luminaire and Sign Supports Test: Luminaire 02 (Test No. 3) Date: 10/09/87 Time: 3:30 PM

Test Articles: Metal luminaire pole with A.B. Chance "Cal Trans" shear plate anchoring system.

Vehicle: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit

Inertial mass:	<u>1834 15</u>	Test mass:	1834	<u>1</u> 5
Pre-Impact speed:	*29.5 ft/s	Post-Impact:	**15.0	ft/s
	<u>**28.6 ft/s</u>		***15.0	ft/s
Offset distance f	5.0	in (_{left})		
Maximum crush:	14.0 in	Rebound:	None	2
Damage: TAD:	FC4	CDC:	12FYM	15
Maximum deceleration (at c.g.)				g
Maximum 50 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) <u>8.1 g</u>				g
Maximum 10 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) <u>15.6 g</u>				g

Number of Data Channels: <u>3 accelerometers</u>, time zero switch. Number of High-Speed Cameras: <u>3</u>, frame rate: 600 fps

* Speed trap
** Film analysis
*** Integration of acceleration data



Table 21. Test vehicle information, test no. 3.

Vehicle Manufacturer: Volkswagen of America				
Make/Model/Year: Volkswa	gen/Rabbit/1979			
Body Style: 2 door hatchback				
VIN: 1793352372	Build Date: 02/79			
Engine: Transverse 4 cylinder	_			
Transmission: <u>Manual 4 speed</u>				
GVWR: 2822 1b				
GAWR: 1609 1b Front	Rear: 1278 1b			
Tire Size: 155SR13	Load Range:B			
Tire Pressure: 27 psi	Rear: 27 psi			
Date Received: 24 Jul 1986	Color: Burgundy			
MASS OF VEHICLE AS RECEIVED: 11 Left Front: 590 Left Rear: 294 Total Front Mass: 1172	Right Front: 582 Right Rear: 298 (66 % of total vehicle mass)			
Total Pear Mass. 502	(34%) of total vehicle mass)			
Total Mass. 1764				
TEST MASS OF VEHICLE: 16				
Left Front: 615	Right Front # 607			
Left Rear: 303	Right Rear: 309			
Total Front Mass: 1222	(67 % of total vehicle mass)			
Total Rear Mass: 612	(33 % of total vehicle mass)			
Total Mass: 1834				
······································				
VEHICLE ATTITUDE: In				
Left Front: 24.8				
Right Front: 24.8				
Left Rear: 25.4				



Right Rear:

25.5



Table 21. Test vehicle information, test no. 3 (continued).

VEHICLE DIMENSIONS: In

Length:	155.0	_		
Width:	61.5			
Wheel-base:	95.0			
Track: Front:	55.0	Rear:	53.5	

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: in

31.9	behind the front axle
0.0	to the right of centerline
21.6	above ground





Table 22. Vehicle crush data, test no. 3.

Trap No. 1: 20.01 mi/h (29.35 ft/s) Trap No. 2: Not used.

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, in:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	с ₁	154.0	160.0	+6.0
	¢2	154.5	153.0	-1.5
	C ₃	155.0	146.5	-8.5
	C ₄	155.0	148.0	-7.0
	٥ ₅	154.5	154.0	-0.5
Right Side	с ₆	154.0	157.0	+3.0

Width of Contact: 8 in




Vehicle c.g. Acceleration Axis	Moving Average Time (ms)	Maximum Acceleration Value (g's)	Time of Occurance (ms)
x	10	15.61	45.13 - 55.13
×	50	8.11	19.00 - 69.00
у	50	0.529	25.00 - 75.00
z	50	1.55	80.25 - 130.25

Table 23. Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 3.







TEST ARTICLE: Metal Luminaire Pole With Shear Plate Anchor

MANUFACTURER: Union Metal (Pole)/A.B. Chance (Anchor)

MODEL NUMBER: Not available TEST VEHICLE: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit VEHICLE WEIGHT (1b) 1834 POLE LENGTH (ft): 30.1 MAST ARM LENGTH (ft) 13.0 POLE BURIED in: NCHRP S-1 STRONG SOIL IMPACT SPEED (ft/s): CAMERA: 28.6 SPEED TRAP: 29.5 EXIT SPEED (ft/s): CAMERA: 15.0 INTEGRAL Ax: 15.0 CHANGE IN VELOCITY FROM EACH SOURCE (ft/s) CAMERA: 13.6 INTEGRAL Ax: 13.6 MOMENTUM CHANGE: (1b-sec reported velocity change 774.6 multiplied by vehicle mass) MAX FORCE (kips, peak x-axis deceleration * velocity weight) 38.33 MAX ACCELERATION (g's, peak x-axis deceleration) 20.9 MAXIMUM MEASURED VEHICLE CRUSH LENGTH (in, static) 14.0 14.2 LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT IMPACT VELOCITY (ft/), NCHRP 230) LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT RIDEDOWN ACCEL (g/s, NCHRP 230) 1.6 MAX 50 MS AVERAGE DECELERATION (g's) 8.1 X-AXIS 0.5 Y-AXIS 1.6 Z-AXIS

VEHICLE VELOCITY CHANGE:

13.6 ft/s

(Average of film and accelerometer data)



sufficient data were available to evaluate the breakaway and other performance of the luminaire support. The maximum crush depth was 14 in. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 22.

The longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 14.2 ft/s at 136 ms after time zero. The subsequent maximum 10 ms moving average ridedown acceleration was 1.6 g. The total vehicle velocity change was 13.6 ft/s or 9.3 mi/h. Table 23 presents the vehicle maximum moving average data and table 24 presents a data analysis summary.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 43 through 52 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 3 are shown in figures 53 through 57.







Figure 43. General test area, pretest, test no. 3.



Figure 44. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 3.





Figure 46. Closeup of pole break away, posttest, test no. 3.



Figure 47. Full left side view, posttest, test no. 3.



Figure 48. Full right side view, posttest, test no. 3.







(

(

Figure 51. Front view, posttest, test no. 3.



Figure 52. Impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 3.









Figure 53. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 3.

















Figure 55. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 3.









Figure 56. Longitudinal-velocity by integration, test no. 3.









Figure 57. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 3.



1. Introduction

Test No. 4 (luminaire 03) was conducted on 12 February 1988 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 ± 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front center-line.

2. Test Article

The test articles was an 8-in diameter, hollow, fiberglass luminaire support pole. The pole was manufactured by Highline Products Corporation. The model number tested was HL-228H-1. The pole was buried in S-1 strong soil as defined in NCHRP 230, to a depth of 5 ft. A 50-1b weight was attached to the end of the 6-ft mast arm to simulate the weight of a lighting assembly. The pole was oriented such that the access panel was facing towards the impacting vehicle. No restraint was placed on the top of the pole. Installation photographs are presented in subsection 5. A reproduction of the manufacturer's drawing is presented in figure 3.

3. Data Tables

Tables 25 through 29 show the data from test no. 4. Table 25 shows crash test summary. Table 26 shows test vehicle information. Table 27 shows test vehicle crush data. Table 28 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data and table 29 shows the results from the data analysis.

4. Test Results

The vehicle impact velocity was 59.7 mi/h. The test vehicle impacted the pole 3.5 in to the right of the vehicle centerline. The





Table 25. Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 4.

- Project: Luminaire and Sign Supports
- Test: Luminaire 03 (Test No. 4)

Date: 02/12/88 Time: 3:00 PM

Test Articles: <u>Fiberglass Luminaire Support, Highline Products</u> Corporation, Model No. HL-228-1, S-1 Strong Soil NCHRP 230

Vehicle: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit

Inertial mass:	<u>1846 15</u>	Test mass:	1846	1b
Pre-Impact speed:	*88.5 ft/s	Post-Impact:	**62.6	ft/s
	<u>**87.5 ft/s</u>		***62.6	ft/s
Offset distance fi	rom vehicle c	enterline:	3.5	in (right)
Maximum crush:	5.9 in	Rebound:	None	
Damage: TAD:	FC1	CDC :	12FYM	15
Maximum decelerat	ion (at c.g.)	i	14.0	g ***
Maximum 50 ms av	verage decele	ration (at c.g	.)8.0	g ***
Maximum 10 ms av	verage decele	ration (at c.g	.) <u>12.7</u>	g ***

Number of Data Channels: <u>3 accelerometers</u>, time zero switch. Number of High-Speed Cameras: 3, frame rate: 600 fps

- * Speed trap
- ****** Film analysis
- *** Integration of acceleration data (for this test only, derived from differentiation of velocity time history from film analysis.)



Table 26. Test vehicle information, test no. 4.





Left Front:	608	Right Front:	612
Left Rear:	314	Right Rear:	312
Total Front Mass:	1220	(<u>66</u> % of total	vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass:	626	(<u>34</u> % of total	vehicle mass)
Total Mass:	1846		



Table 26. Test vehicle information, test no. 4 (continued).



VEHICLE DIMENSIONS: In

Length:	155.0			
Width:	61.5			
Wheel-base:	95.5			
Track: Front:	55.0	Rear:	53.5	

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: in

31.9	behind the front axle
0.0	to the right of centerline
21.6	above ground





Table 27. Vehicle crush data, test no. 4.

Maximum crush of <u>5.9 in</u> occurred <u>3.5 in</u> to the <u>right</u> of the centerline.

Vehicle Rebound: None

Vehicle Speed: (measured Not Available from impact)

Trap No. 1: 60.3 mi/h (88.5 ft/s) Trap No. 2: Not used.

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, In:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	с ₁	154.0	153.7	-0.34
	C2	154.5	152.5	-2.0
	C ₃	155.5	151.5	-3.5
	C ₄	155.0	149.1	-5.9
	CS	154.5	150.5	-4.0
Right Side	с ₆	154.5	154.9	+0.40

Width of Contact: 12 in







Table 28. Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 4.

Vehicle c.g. Acceleration Axis	Moving Average Time (ms)	Maximum Acceleration Value (g's)	Time of Occurance (ms)
×	10	* 7.97	*47.4 - 97.4
×	50		
У	50		
Z	50		

*Derived from differentiation of velocity time history from film analysis.





TEST ARTICLE: Fiberglass Luminaire Sup		
	port	,
MANUFACTURER:Highline Products Corpor	ation	
MODEL NUMBER: HL-228H-1		
TEST VEHICLE: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit	VEHICLE WEIGHT (16) <u>1846</u>
POLE LENGTH (ft): 28.5	MAST ARM LENGTH (f	t) <u>8.</u>
POLE BURIED in: NCHRP S-1 STRONG SOIL		
IMPACT SPEED (ft/s):	CAMERA:	87.5
	SPEED TRAP:	88.5
EXIT SPEED (ft/s):	CAMERA:	62.6
	INTEGRAL Ax:	62.6
CHANGE IN VELOCITY FROM EACH SOURCE (ft/s) CAMERA:	24.9
	INTEGRAL Ax:	24.9
MOMENTUM CHANGE: (1b-sec reported velocity	y change	1427.0
multiplied by vehicle m	ass)	
MAX FORCE (kips, peak x-axis deceleration	* velocity weight)	26.1
MAX ACCELERATION (g's, peak x-axis decele	ration)	14.0
MAXIMUM MEASURED VEHICLE CRUSH LENGTH (In	, static)	5.9
LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT IMPACT VELOCITY (ft.	/s, NCHRP 230)	24.9
LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT RIDEDOWN ACCEL' (9/	s , NCHRP 230)	12.7
MAX 50 MS AVERAGE DECELERATION (g's)		
	X-AX I S	8.0
	Y-AX I S	No Dat
	Z-AX I S	No Dat
VEHICLE VELOCITY	Y CHANGE:	24.9
	aromatar data)	



pole did not shear upon impact, but deformed around the front of the automobile while remaining implanted in the soil. As motion continued, the pole mast rotated counter-clockwise to the right of the vehicle, the Volkswagen continued forward, and the pole base remained planted in the soil. After approximately 160 ms, the fiberglass pole sheared both at the vehicle bumper and at the mast arm attachment as well. At this point, the pole's stub still mounted in soil, continued to contact the underside of the vehicle while the pole and mast arm were thrown free. The vehicle bumper was pushed into an "L" shape. The grill, supporting structure, and radiator were moderately deformed; and the hood was badly deformed. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 27.

The longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 24.9 ft/s at 138.5 ms after time zero. The subsequent maximum 10 ms moving average ridedown acceleration was 12.7 g. The total vehicle velocity change was 24.9 ft/s or 17.0 mi/h. All accelerometer values for this test were derived from differentiation of the velocity time history from film analysis.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 58 through 68 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 4 are shown in figures 69 through 71.





Figure 58. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 4.





Figure 60. Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 4.



Figure 61. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 4.



Figure 62. Closeup of pole break away, posttest, test no. 4.





Figure 64. Full right side view, posttest, test no. 4.



Figure 65. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 4.



Figure 66. Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 4.






















Figure 70. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by integration, test no. 4.









Figure 71. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 4.



1. Introduction

Test No. 5 (Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support 01) was conducted on 26 February 1988 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 ± 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front centerline.

2. Test Article

The test article consisted of 2 steel stubs, each 5 1/2-ft in length; 2 steel supports each 18 ft in length; and 11 sign panels, each 1 ft by 15 ft. This hardware is used to construct a Type B support with a 15-ft by 11-ft sign area. The stubs were set in a 2-ft radius concrete form and then buried in S-1 strong soil so that there was a stub projection of 3 in above the level surface. The steel supports were bolted to the stubs using the manufacturer's recommended torque procedure (85 ft-1b). To obtain perpendicularity the supports were shimmed at the slip base in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. The sign boards were then clamped on to the supports one at a time to form the complete sign. Design specifications for the Wisconsin Stiff Leg Support are presented in figures 4 and 5.

The stubs used are steel slip base stubs, each weighing 88 lb. The I - beam support that was impacted had depth of 12 in, flange of 3 7/8 in, flange thickness of 0.275 in and web thickness of 0.225 in. The 18-ft I - beam weighed 288 lb. The slip base was rectangular in shape. Dimensions were 24 in by 5.5 by 1.5 in (thick). Four 7/8-in diameter mounting bolts were used. No "keeper plates" were used on the mounting bolts.

3. Data Tables

Tables 30 through 34 show the data from test no. 5. Table 30 shows crash test summary. Table 31 shows test vehicle information.







Table 30. Crash test summary, luminaire support impact, test no. 5.

Project:	Wisconsin	Stiff Leg Sign	Supports	
Test:	Wisconsin	Stiff Leg Sign	Support Test	1
	Date:	02/26/88		5 PM
Test Artic	les: Free	way Stiff Leg	Sign Support T	ype B with 15-ft by
Vehicle:	1979 Volks	wagen Rabbit		
Inert	ial mass:	1844 Ib	Test mass:	1844 Ib
Pre-	Impact speed	1: * 29.8 ft/s	Post-Impact:	**18.2 ft/s
		29.7 ft/s		*18.2 ft/s
Offse	et distance	from vehicle of	centerline:	0.5 in (left)
Maxin	num crush:	5.5 in	Rebound:	None
Dama	ge: TAD:	FC1	CDC:	12FCEN4
Maxi	num decelera	tion (at c.g.))	13.3 g
Maxi	num 50 ms	average decele	eration (at c.g	.) 5.6 g
Maxin	num 10 ms	average decele	eration (at c.g	.) 11.5 g
Maxir Damay Maxir Maxir Maxir	num crush: ge: TAD: num decelera num 50 ms num 10 ms	5.5 in FC1 ation (at c.g.) average decele average decele	Rebound: CDC:) eration (at c.g eration (at c.g	None 12FCEN4 13.3 g .) 5.6 g .) 11.5 g

Number of High-Speed Cameras: 3, frame rate: 600 fps

* Speed trap
** film analysis
*** Integration of acceleration data



Table 31. Test vehicle information, test no. 5.

Vehicle Manufacture	er: Volkswage	n of America	
Make/Model/Year:	Volkswage	n/Rabbit/1979	
Body Style:	2 door ha	tchback	
VIN: 1793519413		Build Date:	04/79
Engine: <u>4 cyl. ga</u>	soline		
Transmission: <u>Ma</u>	nual 4 speed		
GVWR: 2822 16			
GAWR: 1609 15	Front	Rear: 12	278 1ь
Tire Size: 155SR	13	Load Range:	В
Tire Pressure: 2	7 psi	Rear:	31 psi
Date Received:1	5 Feb 1988	Color: Wt	nite
MASS OF VEHICLE AS	RECEIVED: 15		
Left Front:	673	Right Front:	690
Left Rear:	323	Right Rear:	310
Total Front Mass:	1363	(<u>68.3</u> % of tota	al vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass:	633	(<u>31.7</u> % of tota	al vehicle mass)
Total Mass:	1996		
TEST MASS OF VEHIC	LE: 1b		
Left Front:	609	Right Front:	623
Left Rear:	323	Right Rear:	310
Total Front Mass:	1232	(<u>66.8</u> % of tota	al vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass:	612	(<u>33.2</u> % of tota	al vehicle mass)
Total Mass:	1844		
VEHICLE ATTITUDE:	in		
Left Front:	24.5		





Table 31. Test vehicle information, test no. 5 (continued).

VEHICLE DIMENSIONS: in

Length:	155.3		
Width:	68.4		
Wheel-base:	94.4		
Track: Front:	54.7	Rear:	53.5

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: in

32.30	behind the front axle
1.78	to the right of centerline
21.60	above ground





Table 32. Vehicle crush data, test no. 5.

Maximum crush of 5.5 in occurred 0.5 in to the left of the centerline.

Vehicle Rebound: None

Vehicle Speed: (measured <u>Approximate 6 ft forward and</u> from impact) <u>6 ft aft</u>

Trap	No.	11	20.30	mi/h	(29.8	ft/s)
Тгар	No.	2:	12.41	mi/h	(18.2	ft/s)

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, In:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	с ₁	152.6	152.4	0.2
	¢2	152.8	151.5	1.3
	C3	153.5	149.2	4.3
	C ₄	153.5	149.7	3.8
	C5	152.5	151.3	1.2
Right Side	¢6	152.1	151.8	0.3

Width of Contact: 3.8 in





Vehicle c.g. Acceleration Axis	Moving Average Time (ms)	Maximum Acceleration Value (g's)	Time of Occurance (ms)
x	10	11.50	38.45 - 48.45
x	50	5.60	0.60 - 50.60
У	50	0.45	272.20 - 322.20
z	50	1.20	51.00 - 101.00





Table 34. Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 5.





Table 32 shows test vehicle crush data. Table 33 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data and table 34 shows the results from the data analysis.

4. Test Results

The vehicle impacted the pole 0.5 in to the left of the lateral centerline. The impact velocity was 20.3 mi/h. The bumper was displaced rearward 5.5 in at the impact location and the undercarriage was also pushed in along with the bumper. The car impacted the support a second time when the support base hit the ground in front of the vehicle. This second impact produced very minor damage to the hood to the right of the initial impact area. There was no windshield or roof damage to the vehicle. The test vehicle damage was contained to the bumper and front undercarriage sections, resulting in minor hood buckling only. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 32.

The impacted support broke away cleanly at impact and was pushed forward and away from the vehicle. As previously indicated, the support base struck the ground in front of the oncoming vehicle and a second impact occurred. The base of the impacted support came to rest 38 ft aft of the impact point and 4 ft towards the second support. The second support was left standing vertical with no sign boards remaining attached to it.

The sign boards came tumbling down on the test vehicle, but the vehicle escaped from under them. Vehicle trajectory did not appear to be affected. Also there was no reportable damage to the vehicle roof.

Table 33 shows the maximum vehicle acceleration data in the form of 50 ms moving average for the x, y, and z axes.



AASHTO Specifications

This test of a Wisconsin Stiff Leg sign support Type B with a 15-ft by 11-ft sign appears to meet all AASHTO specifications. The pole completely broke away in the desired fashion leaving less than the maximum 4 in of stub height allowed. The velocity change of the test vehicle was less than the 15-ft/s maximum.

NCHRP Specifications

The dynamics of this test seem to adhere to the NCHRP specifications except for a slight deviation in trajectory after impact. The support broke completely away, and no elements of the supports or sign penetrated the passenger compartment. The vehicle remained upright with no passenger compartment deformation or intrusion. The occupant impact velocity was less than the maximum allowed value of 15 ft/s. The longitudinal ridedown acceleration was less than the maximum allowed value of 15 g's. The vehicle did pull slightly to the right off of a straight line trajectory due to the continued contact with the support, however, this deviation is not considered significant.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 72 through 82 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 5 are shown in figures 83 through 87.







Figure 72. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 5.



Figure 73. Test article, pretest, test no. 5.







Figure 76. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 5.







Figure 79. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 5.





(

(

Figure 81. Full front view, posttest, test no. 5.



Figure 82. Impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 5.









Figure 83. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 5.



Figure 84. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 5.









Figure 85. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 5.









Figure 86. Longitudinal-velocity by integration, test no. 5.









Figure 87. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 5.



1. Introduction

Test No. 6 (Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support 02) was conducted on 15 April 1988 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 ± 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front centerline. This is the high-speed companion test to the low-speed test, test no. 5.

2. Test Article

The test article consisted of two steel stubs, each 5 1/2 ft in length; two steel supports, each 18 ft in length; and 11 sign panels, each 1 ft by 15 ft. This hardware is used to construct a Type B support with a 15-ft by 11-ft sign. The stubs were set in a 2-ft radius concrete form and then buried in S-1 strong soil so that there was stub projection of 3 in above the track level surface. The steel supports were bolted to the stubs using the manufacturer's recommended torque procedure (85 ft-1b). To obtain perpendicularity the supports were shimmed at the slip base in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. The sign boards were then clamped on to the supports one at a time to form the complete sign. Design specifications for the Wisconsin Stiff Leg Support are presented in figures 4 and 5.

The stubs used are steel slip base stubs, each weighing 88 lb. The I - beam support that was impacted had depth of 12 in, flange of 3 7/8 in, flange thickness of 0.275 in and web thickness of 0.225 in. The 18-ft I - beam weighed 288 lb. The slip base was rectangular in shape. Dimensions were 24 in by 5.5 by 1.5 in (thick). Four 7/8-in diameter mounting bolts were used. No "keeper plates" were used on the mounting bolts.



3. Data Tables

Tables 35 through 39 show the data from test no. 6. Table 35 shows crash test summary. Table 36 shows test vehicle information. Table 37 shows test vehicle crush data. Table 38 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data and table 39 shows the results from the data analysis.

4. Test Results

The vehicle impacted the pole 2.0 in to the left of the lateral centerline. The impact velocity was 58.2 mi/h. The bumper was displaced rearward 15.0 in at the impact location. The undercarriage was also pushed in along with the bumper. The hood was creased downward a maximum of 5.5 in. No damage was done to the windshield, roof, or front quarter panels of the vehicle. The vehicle came to rest in a straight line relative to the initial pre-impact trajectory with a slight initial movement to the right following impact. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 37.

The test article seemed to perform in the desired breakaway fashion. The impact support was knocked cleanly away from the stub and was thrown up and over the vehicle which passed easily underneath the support. The impacted support landed with its base 36 ft rearward and from the impact point. The second support stayed upright and vertical. The sign panels separated cleanly from both supports and landed approximately 8 ft rearward from the impact point. The sign came apart in two pieces, the bottom six panels landing on top of the upper five panels. The sign panels did not cause any damage to the roof of the test vehicle.

Table 38 shows the maximum vehicle acceleration data in the form of 50 ms moving average for the x, y, and z axes.



Table 35. Crash test summary, sign support impact, test no. 6.

Project: <u>Wis</u>	consin S	tiff Leg_Sig	n Supports	
Test: <u>Wis</u>	consin S	tiff Leg Sign	Support Test	2
	Date:	04/15/88		<u>0</u> PM
Test Articles:	Freew	ay Stiff Leg	Sign Support T	ype B with
	15-ft	by 11-ft sig	in.	
			<u> </u>	
Vehicle: 197	79 Volksw	agen Rabbit		
<u></u>	<u></u>			
Inertial	mass:	1838_1ь	Test mass:	1838 1ь
Pre-Impac	t speed:	* 85.7 ft/s	Post-Impact:	**75.9 ft/s
		85.4 ft/s		*79.0 ft/s
Offset distance from vehicle centerline: 2.0 in (left)				
Maximum crush:		15.0 in	Rebound:	None
Damage:	TAD:	FC1	CDC:	12FCEN5
Maximum deceleration (at c.g.) 30.8 g				
Maximum 50 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) 4.8 g				

Number of Data Channels: <u>3 accelerometers</u>, time zero switch. Number of High-Speed Cameras: <u>3</u>, frame rate: 600 fps

10 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) <u>17.4 g</u>

* Speed trap
** Film analysis
*** Integration of acceleration data

Maximum





Table 36. Test vehicle information, test no. 6.

Vehicle Manufacturer: Volkswage	en of America
Make/Model/Year: Volkswage	en/Rabbit/1979
Body Style: 2 door h	atchback
VIN: 1793519413	Build Date: 04/79
Engine: <u>4 cyl. gasoline</u>	
Transmission: <u>Manual 4 speed</u>	
GVWR: 2822 1b	
GAWR: 1609 1b Front	Rear: 1278 1b
Tire Size: 155SR13	Load Range:B
Tire Pressure: 27 psi	Rear: 31 psl
Date Received: 15 Feb 1988	Color: White
MASS OF VEHICLE AS RECEIVED: 15	
Left Front: <u>615</u>	Right Front: <u>622</u>
Left Rear: 314	Right Rear: <u>305</u>
Total Front Mass: 1237	(66.6% of total vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass: 619	(33.4% of total vehicle mass)
Total Mass: 1856	
TEST MASS OF VEHICLE: 15	
Left Front: 592	Right Front: 614
Left Rear: 325	Right Rear: 303
Total Front Mass: 1206	(<u>66.6</u> % of total vehicle mass)
Total Rear Mass: 628	(33.4% of total vehicle mass)
Total Mass: 1835	
VEHICLE ATTITUDE: In	
Left Front: 24.5	





Table 36. Test vehicle information, test no. 6 (continued).

VEHICLE DIMENSIO	NS: In			
Length:	155.3			
Width:	68.4			
Wheel-base:	94.4			
Track: Front:	54.7	Rear:	53.5	

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: In

32.30	behind the front axle
1.78	to the right of centerline
21.60	above ground





Table 37. Vehicle crush data, test no. 6.

Maximum crush of <u>15.0 in</u> occurred <u>2.0 in</u> to the <u>left</u> of the centerline.

Vehicle Rebound: None

Vehicle Speed: (measured <u>Approximate 6 ft forward and</u> from impact) <u>6 ft aft</u>

Trap	No.	1:	58.4	mi/h	(85.7	ft/s)
Trap	No.	2:	53.5	mi/h	(79.0	ft/s)

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, in:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	¢1	152.6	152.5	0.1
	¢2	153.0	153.3	-0.3
	C3	153.1	138.6	14.5
	C ₄	153.1	144.8	8.3
	C 5	152.9	153.7	-0.8
Right Side	¢6	152.5	152.5	0.0

Width of Contact: 3.8 in



Table 38. Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 6.



Vehicle c.g. Acceleration Axis	Moving Average Time (ms)	Maximum Acceleration Value (g's)	Time of Occurance (ms)
×	10	17.4	19.875 - 29.875
x	50	4.8	0.000 - 50.000
y	50	0.6	22.125 - 72.125
z_	50	2.3	30.125 - 80.125




Table 39. Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 6. TEST NUMBER: 6 TEST DATE : 04/15/88 TEST ARTICLE: Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support MANUFACTURER: Not Available MODEL NUMBER: Type B with 15-ft by 11-ft sign. TEST VEHICLE: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit VEHICLE WEIGHT (1b) 1838 POLE LENGTH (ft): 18.0 MAST ARM LENGTH (ft) None POLE BURIED in: NCHRP S-1 STRONG SOIL 85.4 IMPACT SPEED (ft/s): CAMERA: SPEED TRAP: 85.7 75.9 EXIT SPEED (ft/s): CAMERA: 78.5 SPEED TRAP: 79.1 INTEGRAL Ax: 9.5 CHANGE IN VELOCITY FROM EACH SOURCE (ft/s) CAMERA: 6.9 SPEED TRAP: 6.3 INTEGRAL Ax: 416.7 MOMENTUM CHANGE: (1b-sec reported velocity change multiplied by vehicle mass) 56.6 MAX FORCE (kips, peak x-axis deceleration * velocity weight) MAX ACCELERATION (g's, peak x-axis deceleration) 30.8 15.0 MAXIMUM MEASURED VEHICLE CRUSH LENGTH (in. static) 6.3 LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT IMPACT VELOCITY (ft/s, NCHRP 230) LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT RIDEDOWN ACCEL. (g's, NCHRP 230) 1.0 MAX 50 MS AVERAGE DECELERATION (g's) 4.8 X -AXIS 0.6 Y-AXIS 2.3 Z-AXIS VEHICLE VELOCITY CHANGE: 7.3 ft/s (Weighted average of three values)





AASHTO Specifications

This test of a Wisconsin Stiff Leg sign support Type B with a 15-ft by 11-ft sign appears to meet all AASHTO specifications. The pole completely broke away in the desired fashion leaving less than the maximum 4 in of stub height allowed. The velocity change of the test vehicle was less than the 15-ft/s maximum and also less than 10 ft/s.

NCHRP Specifications

The dynamics of this test seem to adhere to the NCHRP specifications except for a slight deviation in trajectory after impact. The support broke completely away and no elements of the supports or sign penetrated the passenger compartment. The vehicle remained upright with no passenger compartment deformation or intrusion. The occupant impact velocity was less than the maximum allowed value of 15 ft/s. The longitudinal ridedown acceleration was less than the maximum allowed value of 15 g's. The vehicle did pull slightly to the right off of trajectory line due to the continued contact with the support after impact.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 88 through 97 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 6 are shown in figures 98 through 102.







Figure 88. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 6.





Figure 90. Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 6.



Figure 91. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 6.



Figure 92. Full left side view, posttest, test no. 6.



Figure 93. Full right side view, posttest, test no. 6.



Figure 94. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 6.



Figure 95. Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 6.



Figure 96. Full front view, posttest, test no. 6.



Figure 97. Impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 6.









Figure 98. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 6.









Figure 99. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 6.









Figure 100. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 6.









Figure 101. Longitudinal-velocity by integration, test no. 6.









Figure 102. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 6.

LUMINAIRE AND SIGN SUPPORT TEST NO. 7, 20 MI/H

1. Introduction

Test No. 7 (Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support 03) was conducted on 26 April 1988 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 ± 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front centerline.

2. Test Article

The test article consisted of 2 steel stubs, each 6 1/2 ft in length; 2 steel supports, each 21 ft in length; and 14 sign panels, each 1 ft by 22 ft. This hardware is used to construct a Type D support with a 22-ft by 14-ft sign area. The stubs were set in a 2-ft radius concrete form and then buried in S-1 strong soil so that there was stub projection of 3 in above the level surface. The steel supports were bolted to the stubs using the manufacturer's recommended torque procedure (85 ft-1b). To obtain perpendicularity the supports were shimmed at the slip base in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. The sign boards were then clamped on to the supports one at a time to form the completed sign. Design specifications for the Wisconsin Stiff Leg sign support are presented in figures 4 and 5.

The stubs used are steel slip base stubs, each weighing 144 lb. The I - beam support that was impacted had depth of 12 in, flange width of 4 in, flange thickness of 0.438 in, and web thickness of 0.25 in. The 21ft I - beam weighted 462 lb. The slip base was rectangular in shape. Dimensions were 24 by 5.5 in by 1.5 in (thick). Four 7/8-in diameter mounting bolts were used. No "keeper plates" were used on the mounting bolts.

3. Data Tables

Tables 40 through 44 show the data from test no. 7. Table 40 shows crash test summary. Table 41 shows test vehicle information. Table



Table 40. Crash test summary, sign support impact, test no. 7

Project: Wisconsin Stiff Leg: Sign Supports Test: Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support Test 3 Date: 04/26/88 PM Time: <u>3:30</u> Test Articles: Freeway Stiff Leg Sign Support Type D with 22-ft by 14-ft sign. Vehicle: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit Inertial mass: 1845 16 Test mass: 1845 16 Pre-Impact speed: * 30.7 ft/s Post-Impact: **19.4 ft/s ***19.5 ft/s **30.6 ft/s Offset distance from vehicle centerline: 0.4 in (right) Maximum crush: 14.0 in Rebound: None FC1 CDC: 12FCEN6 Damage: TAD: 16.8 g Maximum deceleration (at c.g.) 50 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) 6.0 g Maximum MaxImum 10 ms average deceleration (at c.g.) 12.8 g Number of Data Channels: 3 accelerometers, time zero switch.

Number of High-Speed Cameras: 3, frame rate: 600 fps

* Speed trap

** Film analysis

*** Integration of acceleration data



Table 41. Test vehicle information, test no. 7.

Vehicle Manufacturer: Volkswagen of America				
Make/Model/Year: Volkswagen/Rabbit/1979				
Body Style: 2 door hatchback				
VIN: 17930222882	Build Date: 08/78			
Engine: Diesel 90 CID	nyuta			
Transmission: <u>Manual 4 speed</u>				
GVWR: 2822 1b				
GAWR: 1609 1b Front	Rear: 1278 1b			
Tire Size: 155SR13	Load Range:B			
Tire Pressure: 27 psi	Rear: 31 psi			
Date Received: 23 Apr 1988	Color: Silver			
MASS OF VEHICLE AS RECEIVED: 16				
Left Front:662	Right Front: 685			
Left Rear: 313	Right Rear: 318			
Total Front Mass: 1347	(<u>68.1</u> % of total vehicle mass)			
Total Rear Mass: 631	(31.9% of total vehicle mass)			
Total Mass: 1978				
TEST MASS OF VEHICLE: 15				
Left Front: 611	Right Front: 618			
Left Rear: 303	Right Rear: 313			
Total Front Mass: 1229	(66.6% of total vehicle mass)			
Total Rear Mass: 616	(<u>33.4</u> % of total vehicle mass)			
Total Mass: 1845				
VEHICLE ATTITUDE: In				
Left front: 25.2				
Right Front: 25.3				
Left Rear: 25.4				
Right Rear: 25.7				





Left Front:	25.2
Right Front:	25.3
Left Rear:	25.4
Right Rear:	25.7



Table 41. Test vehicle information, test no. 7 (continued).

VEHICLE DIMENSIONS: in

"Inter-

Section 2.

Length:	155.3			
Width:	68.4			
Wheel-base:	94.4			
Track: Front:	54.7	Rear:	53.5	

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: in

32.30	behind the front axle
1.78	to the right of centerline
21.60	above ground

Table 42. Vehicle crush data, test no. 7.

Maximum crush of <u>14.0 in</u> occurred <u>0.4 in</u> to the <u>right</u> of the centerline.

Vehicle Rebound: None

Vehicle Speed: (measured <u>Approximate 6 ft forward and</u> from impact) 6 ft aft

Trap	No.	1:	20.94 ml/	h	(30.7	ft/s)
Trap	No.	2:	10.77 mi/	h	(15.8	ft/s)

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, In:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	с ₁	151.9	151.3	0.6
	C2	152.9	149.8	3.1
	C3	154.0	144.5	9.5
	¢4	154.0	143.0	11.0
	CS	152.9	149.2	3.7
Right Side	с ₆	152.5	153.8	-1.3

Width of Contact: 3.8 In





Vehicle c.g.	Moving	Maximum	Time of	
Acceleration	Average	Acceleration	Occurance	
Axis	Time (ms)	Value (g's)	(ms)	
		_		
×	10	12.8	42.2 - 52.2	
x	50	6.0	2.2 - 52.2	
ÿ	50	1.3	257.6 - 307.6	
z	50	1.6	34.6 - 84.6	





Table 44. Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 7.

.

TEST NUMBER:	TEST DATE : 0	4/26/88
TEST ARTICLE:Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign	n Support	
MANUFACTURER: Not Available		
MODEL NUMBER: Type D with 22-ft by 14-	-ft sign.	
TEST VEHICLE: 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit	VEHICLE WEIGHT (16) <u>1845</u>
POLE LENGTH (ft): 21.0	MAST ARM LENGTH (f	t) <u>None</u>
POLE BURIED IN: NCHRP S-1 STRONG SOIL		
IMPACT SPEED (ft/s):	CAMERA:	30.6
	SPEED TRAP:	30.7
EXIT SPEED (ft/s):	CAMERA:	19.4
	SPEED TRAP:	15.8
	INTEGRAL Ax:	19.5
CHANGE IN VELOCITY FROM EACH SOURCE (ft/s	;) CAMERA:	11.2
	SPEED TRAP:	14.9
	INTEGRAL Ax:	11.2
MOMENTUM CHANGE: (1b-sec reported velocit	y change	682.3
multiplied by vehicle m	ass)	
MAX FORCE (kips, peak x-axis deceleration	* velocity weight)	31.0
MAX ACCELERATION (g's, peak x-axis decele	eration)	16.8
MAXIMUM MEASURED VEHICLE CRUSH LENGTH (In	, static)	14.0
LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT IMPACT VELOCITY (ft	/s, NCHRP 230)	11.1
LONGITUDINAL OCCUPANT RIDEDOWN ACCEL. (g	's , NCHRP 230)	1.8
MAX 50 MS AVERAGE DECELERATION (g's)		
	X-AXIS	6.0
	Y-AX IS	1.3
	Z-AX I S	1.6
VEHICLE VELOCITY CHANGE:		11.9 ft/:
(Weighted average of three val	ues)	





42 shows test vehicle crush data. Table 43 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data and table 44 shows the results from the data analysis.

4. Test Results

The vehicle impacted the pole 0.4 in to the right of the lateral centerline. The impact velocity was 20.88 mi/h. The bumper was displaced rearward 14 in at the impact location. The undercarriage was also pushed in along with the bumper. Two areas of slight scratches and denting of the hood indicate secondary impacts of the support with the vehicle. This occurred as the support bounced or slid its way across the right side of the hood and out of the way of the moving vehicle. These secondary impacts occurred approximately 12 in and 26 in to the right of vehicle centerline. There was no damage to the windshield or roof of the vehicle. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 42.

The impact support broke away cleanly from the stub foundation. The support was knocked ahead of the car but was impacted again with much less force as the support bounced or slid its way along to the right and out of the way of the vehicle. The base of the impact support came to rest 17 ft aft of the impact and 5 ft towards the second support. The bottom 9 sign panels were still attached to the second support. The sign itself stayed basically together and remained attached to the impacted support. The impacted support did fall to the ground - it remained standing at an awkward angle.

The sign boards appeared to touch the top of the vehicle, but there was no noticeable damage to the test vehicle as a result of the sign board contact.

Summary of Compliance with AASHTO and NCHRP Specifications

AASHTO Specifications

The Wisconsin Stiff Leg sign support Type D with 22-ft by 14-ft sign appears to meet all AASHTO specifications. The pole completely broke away in the desired fashion leaving less than the maximum 4 in of stub height allowed. The velocity change of the test vehicle was less than the 15-ft/s maximum.

NCHRP Specifications

The dynamics of this test seem to adhere to the NCHRP specifications except for a slight deviation in trajectory after impact. The support broke completely away and no elements of the supports or sign penetrated the passenger compartment. The vehicle remained upright with no passenger compartment deformation or intrusion. The longitudinal impact velocity was less than maximum allowed value of 15 ft/s. The longitudinal ridedown acceleration was less than the maximum allowed value of 15 g's. The vehicle did pull slightly to the right off of trajectory line due to the continued contact with support.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 103 through 112 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 7 are shown in figures 113 through 117.









Figure 104. Test article, pretest, test no. 7.



Figure 105. Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 7.



Figure 106. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 7.







Figure 109. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 7.



Figure 110. Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 7.



(

Figure 111. Full front view, posttest, test no. 7.



Figure 112. Impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 7.








Figure 113. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 7.









Figure 114. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 7.









Figure 115. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 7.









Figure 116. Longitudinal-velocity time history by integration, test no. 7









Figure 117. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 7.



1. Introduction

Test no. 8 (Wisconsin Stiff Leg Sign Support 04) was conducted on 06 May 1988 using a 1979 Volkswagen Rabbit with a weight of 1800 \pm 50 lb which was guided to impact the test article at the vehicle's front centerline. This is the high-speed companion test to the lowspeed test, test no. 7.

2. Test Article

The test article consisted of 2 steel stubs, each 6 1/2 ft in length; 2 steel supports, each 21 ft in length, and 14 sign panels each 1 ft by 22 ft. This hardware is used to construct a Type D support with a 22-ft by 14-ft sign. The stubs were set in a 2-ft radius concrete form and then buried in S-1 strong soil so that there was stub projection of 3 in above the track level surface. The steel supports were bolted to the stubs using the manufacturer's recommended torque procedure (85 ft-1b). To obtain perpendicularity the supports were shimmed at the slip base in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. The sign boards were then clamped on to the supports one at a time to form the completed sign. Design specifications for the Wisconsin Stiff Leg sign support are presented in figures 4 and 5.

The stubs used are steel slip base stubs, each weighing 144 1b. The I - beam support that was impacted had depth of 12 in, flange width of 4 in, flange thickness of 0.438 in, and web thickness of 0.25 in. The 21-ft I - beam weighted 462 lb. The slip base was rectangular in shape. Dimensions were 24 by 5.5 in by 1.5 in (thick). Four 7/8-in diameter mounting bolts were used. No "keeper plates" were used on the mounting bolts.







3. Data Tables

Tables 45 through 49 show the data from test no. 8. Table 45 shows crash test summary. Table 46 shows test vehicle information. Table 47 shows test vehicle crush data. Table 48 shows test vehicle moving average acceleration data and table 49 shows the results from the data analysis.

4. Test Results

The vehicle impacted the pole 5.0 in to the left of the lateral centerline. The impact velocity was 59.8 mi/h. The bumper was displaced rearward 17.25 in at the impact location. The undercarriage was also pushed in along with the bumper. The vehicle's radiator was pushed into the engine and major damage was done to the hood. The front quarter panels were bent slightly inward towards the vehicle front. No damage was done to the windshield or roof of the vehicle. The vehicle came to rest in a straight line with the initial preimpact trajectory. Vehicle crush data are presented in table 47.

The test article seemed to perform in the desired breakaway fashion. The impact support was knocked cleanly away from the stub and was thrown up and over the vehicle which passed easily underneath the support. The impact support landed with its base 30 ft back of the impact point and the second support stayed upright and vertical. The sign panels separated cleanly from both supports and landed approximately 2 ft back from the impact point. The sign came apart in 2 pieces, the bottom 10 panels landing on top of the upper 4 panels. The sign panels did not cause any damage to the roof of the test vehicle.

Table 48 shows the vehicle acceleration data in the form of 50 ms moving average for the x, y, and z axes.



Table 45. Crash test summary, sign support impact, test no. 8



Number of Data Channels: <u>3 accelerometers</u>, time zero switch. Number of High-Speed Cameras: <u>3</u>, frame rate: 600 fps

* Speed trap** Film analysis

*** Integration of acceleration data



Table 46. Test vehicle information, test no. 8.





Table 46. Test vehicle information, test no. 8 (continued).



VEHICLE DIMENSIONS: In

Length:	155.3	_		
Width:	68.4	_		
Wheel-base:	94.4	_		
Track: Front:	54.7	Rear:	53.5	

CENTER OF GRAVITY LOCATION: In

32.30	behind the front axle
1.78	to the right of centerline
21.60	above ground





Table 47. Vehicle crush data, test no. 8.



Maximum crush of <u>17.25 in</u> occurred <u>5.0 in</u> to the <u>left</u> of the centerline.

Vehicle Rebound: None

Vehicle Speed: (measured <u>Approximate 6 ft forward and</u> from impact) <u>6 ft aft</u>

Trap	No.	1:	59.8	mi/h	(87.7	ft/s)
Trap	No.	2:	50.5	mi/h	(74.1	ft/s)

DAMAGE DIMENSIONS, in:

		Pre-Impact	Post-Impact	Change
Left Side	с ₁	152.1	145.5	6.6
	C2	153.0	153.75	-0.75
	C3	153.3	136.1	17.25
	c ₄	153.2	145.0	8.2
	Cς	153.0	154.0	-1.0
Right Side	с ₆	151.8	145.1	6.7

Width of Contact: 3.8 in



Table 48. Moving average data - vehicle accelerations, test no. 8.



Vehicle c.g. Acceleration Axis	Moving Average Time (ms)	Maximum Acceleration Value (g's)	Time of Occurance (ms)
×	10	19.4	15.25 - 25.25
×	50	7.6	.625 - 50.625
у	50	1.0	27.25 - 77.25
z	50	4.6	28.875 - 78.875





Table 49. Data analysis summary sheet, test no. 8.





This test of a Wisconsin Stiff Leg sign support Type D with a 22-ft by 14-ft sign appears to meet all AASHTO specifications. The pole completely broke away in the desired fashion leaving less than the maximum 4 in of stub height allowed. The velocity change of the test vehicle was less than the 15-ft/s maximum.

NCHRP Specifications

The dynamics of this test seem to adhere to the NCHRP specifications except for a slight deviation in trajectory after impact. The support broke completely away, and no elements of the supports or sign penetrated the passenger compartment. The vehicle remained upright with no passenger compartment deformation or intrusion. The longitudinal impact velocity was less than maximum allowed value of 15 ft/s. The longitudinal ridedown acceleration was less than the maximum allowed value of 15 g's. The vehicle did pull slightly to the right off of a straight line trajectory due to the continued contact with support; however, this deviation was not considered significant.

5. Photographic Coverage

Figures 118 through 127 show the test area, the test article and the posttest photographs of the test vehicle and the test article.

6. Data Plots

The data plots from test no. 8 are shown in figures 128 through 132.







Figure 118. Test area elevated view, pretest, test no. 8.





Figure 120. Test area elevated view, posttest, test no. 8.



Figure 121. Test article on the ground, posttest, test no. 8.





Figure 123. Full right side view, posttest, test no. 8.



Figure 124. Left front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 8.



Figure 125. Right front 3/4 view, posttest, test no. 8.



Figure 126. Full front view, posttest, test no. 8.



Figure 127. Impact location overhead view, posttest, test no. 8.

}









Figure 128. Deceleration time history, x-axis, test no. 8.









Figure 129. Deceleration time history, y-axis, test no. 8.









Figure 130. Deceleration time history, z-axis, test no. 8.



Figure 131. Longitudinal-velocity time history by integration, test no. 8.



Figure 132. Longitudinal-velocity time history, by film analysis, test no. 8.

REFERENCES

- American Association of the State Highway and Transportation Officials, <u>Standard Specification for structural Supports for</u> <u>Highway Signs, Luminaires and Traffic Signals-1985.</u> (Washington, D.C. 1985).
- (2) Transportation Research Board of the National Research Council, <u>Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of</u> <u>Highway Appurtenances, National Cooperative Highway Research Program</u> <u>Report 230</u> (Washington, D.C., March 1981).
- (3) Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., <u>Instrumentation for Impact</u> <u>Tests - SAE J211b, SAE Recommended Practice, (SAE Handbook 1987)</u>, (Warrendale, PA 1987).