Truck Transport of Hazardous Chemicals: Isopropylamine

U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Special Programs Administration PB98-123599

Final Report December 1997

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. **The** United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the objective of this report.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0 188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average-1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions searching existing-data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Uashington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20563.

| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | 2. REPORT DATE December 1997 | | ORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED al Report - December 1997 |
|--|---|----|---|
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Truck Transport of Hazardous (6. AUTHOR(S) Paul K. Zebe | Chemicals: Isopropylamine | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS RS830/P8001 |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND A U.S. Department of Transporta Research and Special Programs John A. Volpe National Trans Cambridge, MA 02142-1093 | tion Administration | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER DOT-VNTSC-RSPA-97-5 |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) Office of Hazardous Materials Research and Special Programs U.S. Department of Transporta Washinaton. D.C. 20590 | Safety Administration | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT | | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE |
| This document is available to Technical Information Service | the public through the Nation e, Springfield, VA 22161 | al | |

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

The transport of hazardous materials by all modes is a major concern of the U.S. Department of Transportation. Estimates place the total amount of hazardous materials transported in the United States in excess of 1.5 billion tons per year. Highway, water, and rail account for nearly all hazardous materials shipments; air shipments are negligible. Fuels, such as gasoline and diesel, account for about half of all hazardous materials transported. Chemicals account for most of the remainder.

The principal purpose of this report is to present estimates of truck shipments of isopropylamine, one of 147 large-volume chemicals that account for at least 80 percent of U.S. truck shipments of hazardous chemicals.

All of the reports in this series are based on the best available information at the time the research was conducted. The U.S. chemical industry, however, operates in an environment in which markets, production processes, and distribution requirements can change substantially from year to year. The information in this report on (a) chemical producers and their plant locations, (b) consuming plants and their locations, and (c) the estimated traffic flow from producers to consumers, is thus subject to change.

| 14. SUBJECT TERMS | h | | 15. | NUMBER OF PAGES 24 |
|--|---|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
| isopropylamine, truck | transport, hazardous r | materials, modeling | 16. | PRICE CODE |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified | 20. LIMT I | ATION OF ABSTRACT |

PREFACE

The transport of hazardous materials by all modes is a major concern of the U.S. Department of Transportation (U.S. DOT). Estimates place the total amount of hazardous materials transported in the United States in excess of 1.5 billion tons per year. Highways, water, and rail account for nearly all hazardous materials shipments; air shipments are negligible. Fuels, such as gasoline and diesel, account for about half of all hazardous materials transported. Chemicals account for most of the remainder.

Because of the intermixture of freight and passenger vehicles on the Nation's roads and highways, and because hazardous materials are frequently transported through residential and commercial areas, incidents involving truck movements of hazardous materials may pose a risk to the general population. The U.S. DOT has extensive data on highway incidents involving particular hazardous materials, but does not have comparable volume data with which to establish failure rates (i.e., the percentage of shipments involved in incidents). Moreover, little is known about the routes over which particular hazardous materials are transported. Consequently, Federal and state authorities lack critical information that they need to formulate hazardous materials policies and programs regarding enforcement of regulations, training for dealing with hazardous materials incidents, etc.

This document is one of a series of reports being prepared on the transport of large-volume manufactured or processed non-fuel substances that together account for at least 80 percent of U.S. truck shipments of hazardous chemicals. It was sponsored by the Office of Hazardous Materials Safety, Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), U.S. DOT. The report was prepared by the Environmental Engineering Division, Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, U.S. DOT, and TDS Economics, Menlo Park, California.

It should be emphasized that all of the reports in this series are based on the best available information at the time the research was conducted. The U.S. chemical industry, however, operates in a dynamic economic and technological environment in which markets, production processes, and distribution requirements can change substantially from year to year. The information in this report on (a) chemical producers and their plant locations, (b) consuming plants and their locations, and (c) the estimated traffic flows from producers to consumers is thus subject to change.

Office of Technology Assessment, Congress of the United States, *Transportation of Hazardous Materials*, 1986, and Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, *Truck Transportation of Hazardous Materials*, A National Overview, 1987.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section | Page |
|---|------|
| 1. Introduction · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | . 1 |
| 2. Characteristics of Isopropylamine | . 1 |
| 3. Uses of Isopropylamine · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | . 1 |
| 4. Production | . 1 |
| 5. Consumption | . 4 |
| 6. International Trade · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | . 4 |
| 7. Transport and Distribution | . 4 |
| 8. Methodology to Estimate Truck Flows | 6 |
| 9. Estimation Results | . 8 |
| 10. Comparison of Results with Incidents Data | . 11 |
| Appendix A. List of 147 Large-Volume Chemicals | A-l |

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Ta</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|-----------|--|
| 1. | Additional Information on Isopropylamine |
| 2. | Major Producers of Isopropylamine, 1992 |
| 3. | Major Consumers of Isopropylamine, 1992 |
| 4. | Terminals Used by Isopropylamine Producers |
| 5. | Estimated Quantities of Isopropylamine Moving in Bulk by Truck to Consumers or Terminals, 1992 |
| 6. | Estimates of Bulk Truck Shipments of Isopropylamine by State, 1992 |
| 7. | Estimated Number of Highway Accidents Involving Isopropylamine, by State, 1992 |
| 8. | Data on Isopropylamine Bulk-Shipment Incidents, 1985 to 1993 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The principal purpose of this report is to present estimates of bulk shipments by truck of isopropylamine, one of the 147 large-volume chemicals that account for at least 80 percent of U.S. truck shipments of hazardous chemicals. Appendix A lists these chemicals.

The following sections of this report describe the physical characteristics of isopropylamine, its uses, and domestic producers and users. Because there is so little direct evidence on the specific routes over which isopropylamine is shipped, and in what quantities, those routes are estimated. General descriptions of the routes are provided.

Unfortunately, there are insufficient data on actual flows of isopropylamine to test the estimation results for accuracy. It is shown, however, that the estimation results are consistent with Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) data on incidents involving truck shipments of isopropylamine.

2. CHARACTERISTICS OF ISOPROPYLAMINE

Isopropylamine is a colorless, flammable, low-boiling point liquid. It can irritate skin and eyes in the case of exposure. The *1996 North American Emergency Response Guidebook* recommends that emergency responders use its Guide No. 132 (UN 1221) in the case of a spill involving isopropylamine. Additional information about isopropylamine is given in Table 1.

3. USES OF ISOPROPYLAMINE

Almost all isopropylamine is used for the synthesis of herbicides (particularly the heterocyclic triazines, such as atrazine and related compounds, and the isopropylamine salt of the organophosphate, glyphosate). Small amounts are also used to produce detergents, isopropylaminoethanol, and other compounds.

4. PRODUCTION

Total U.S. production capacity for isopropylamine in 1992 was estimated to be 32.5 thousand short tons, of which 32.2 thousand short tons were available for shipment to off-site consumers. Isopropylamine is produced by three companies, each with one plant. These plants are located in Alabama, Louisiana, and Michigan. The plant in Louisiana is estimated to have accounted for over 60 percent of the total U.S. production in 1992.

TABLE 1. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON ISOPROPYLAMINE

Common Synonyms 2-Aminopropane

Monoisopropylamine

2-Propanamine set-Propylamine 2-Propylamine

Formula $(CH_3)_2CHNH_2$

UN Number 1221

DOT Hazard Class Class 3 (Flammable and Combustible

Liquids)

CAS Number 75-31-o

Description Colorless,

volatile liquid

Strong ammonia odor

Sources: CHRIS Manual, Vol 1, A Condensed Guide to Chemical Hazards, 1992; National Tank Truck Carriers, Inc., Hazardous Commodity Handbook, Tenth Edition, 1994; and Gale Research Inc., Hazardous Substances Resource Guide, 1993

Isopropylamine is used in the manufacture of other chemicals at some of its producing plants. The quantities of isopropylamine used for this purpose are not available for shipment elsewhere. Production for intraplant use is termed "captive production." To calculate captive production, downstream chemicals produced within the same plant as isopropylamine are identified and the amount of isopropylamine needed in their production is estimated. The difference between total capacity and captive production defines the amount available for off-site shipments. It is the potential amount of production available for off-site consumption that is of interest to this study. Table 2 shows net production capacity available for off-site consumption, by producing plant, in 1992. Producers may ship to plants at other locations owned by the same parent company. These shipments are termed "captive shipments." As indicated in Table 2, no producers of isopropylamine make captive shipments.

TABLE 2. MAJOR PRODUCERS OF ISOPROPYLAMINE, 1992

| Company | Plant Location | Offsite Availability-f (Thousands of Short Tons) | Captive Shipments+ | |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Air Products | St. Gabriel, LA | 19.8 | No | |
| Elf Atochem | Riverview, MI | 3.9 | No | |
| Hoechst Celanese | Bucks, AL | 8.5 | No | |
| Total Offsite Availabi | ility | 32.2 | | |

[†]Offsite availability is the amount of the product available for shipment after intraplant consumption is accounted for

Sources: Based on information from industry sources.

[‡]Captive shipments are shipments of the chemical from a producing plant to a consuming plant owned by the same company.

5. CONSUMPTION

Eleven plants are identified as net consumers of isopropylamine. None of those plants produces isopropylamine. Consumption estimates for the plants consuming isopropylamine are listed in Table 3. Note that total net product requirement, 25.34 thousand short tons, is less than total offsite availability, 32.2 thousand short tons (see Table 2), because production capacity exceeds demand for the chemical. For each of the consuming plants, the chemical derivatives produced using isopropylamine are identified in Table 3.

As can be seen in Table 3, consumption of isopropylamine is concentrated in Alabama and Louisiana. Three consuming plants are located in those two states. Plants in eight other states consume the chemical in much smaller quantities. There are other, very small volume consumers that are not covered in this report.

6. INTERNATIONAL TRADE

U.S. imports of isopropylamine are negligible. In 1992, 7.8 thousand short tons of isopropylamine were exported.² Eighty-five percent of this tonnage went to countries in Latin America or Asia, and most of this was probably shipped directly from plants in Louisiana and Alabama. It is unlikely that any of the exported isopropylamine was transported by truck to port areas.

7. TRANSPORT AND DISTRIBUTION

Isopropylamine is shipped from producing plants to consumers by truck and rail. Of the eleven plants at which isopropylamine is consumed, nine are likely to receive product primarily by truck and two are likely to receive product primarily by rail. Rail is by far the most important mode for the shipment of bulk quantities of isopropylamine, as can be seen in Table 3. Water transport is used only for exports.

Because it is a liquid, large volume shipments are moved in rail tankcars and in tank trucks. Large volume, truckload shipments also move in drums. Small volume shipments (not investigated in this study) are transported in drums or similar containers capable of holding liquids.

4

² Chemical industry sources.

TABLE 3. MAJOR CONSUMERS OF ISOPROPYLAMINE, 1992

| Product R (Thou | | Estimated Net Product Requirement (Thousands of Short Tons) | Derivatives? |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------|
| Consumers Receiving Sl | hipments by Truck | , | |
| Union Carbide‡ | Institute, WV | 0.40 | IPAE |
| Rhone-Poulenc§ | Baltimore, MD | 0.06 | IDBSA |
| Witco§ | Houston, TX | 0.06 | IDBSA |
| Stepan§ | Fieldsboro, NJ | 0.02 | IDBSA |
| Stepan§ | Millsdale, IL | 0.02 | IDBSA |
| Stepan§ | Winder, GA | 0.02 | IDBSA |
| Pilot Chemical§ | Lockland, OH | 0.02 | IDBSA |
| Pilot Chemical§ | Middleton, OH | 0.02 | IDBSA |
| Pilot Chemical§ | Santa Fe Springs, CA | 0.02 | IDBSA |
| Total Truck Shipments | | 0.64 | |
| Consumers Receiving Sh | nipments by Rail | | |
| Ciba-Geigy | McIntosh, AL | 16.0 | TRIAZ |
| Monsanto | Luling, LA | 8.7 | GLYP |
| Total Rail Shipments | | 24.7 | |
| Total, All Modes | | 25.34 | |

[†] Derivatives using isopropylamine in their manufacture:

GLYP--Glyphosate, isopropylamine salt

IPAE--1sopropylaminoethanol

IDBSA--1sopropylamine salt of dodecylbenzene sulfonic acid

TRIAZ--Triazine herbicides

Sources: Based on information from industry sources and U.S. Department of Transportation, HMIS database.

[‡] This isopropylamine is all supplied by Air Products, which toll manufactures it for Union Carbide at its St. Gabriel, LA, plant.

[§] The estimated annual consumption is sufficiently small that it is unlikely that this consumer receives truck load or tank truck shipments of the product. Rather, it receives less than truck load shipments in drums.

Of the nine consumer plants receiving shipments by truck, only one is large enough to receive tank truck shipments. The other eight receive shipments by truck in filled drums. These eight receive estimated annual shipments amounting to about 120 to 400 filled drums per year, or 10 to 33 filled drums per month. About 65 filled drums are required to meet the minimum shipment size for the definition of a large volume shipment used in this report. Given the close proximity of either a terminal or a producer to each of these eight consumers, and consumer preferences for flexible and quick delivery, it is likely that less-than-truckload (LTL) shipments are used.

Producers use public and private terminals located near consuming plants to facilitate the distribution of isopropylamine. Table 4 lists the terminals used for isopropylamine. The use of terminals helps to minimize road transport, with the consequence that truck movements from distribution points to final consumers are generally LTL in volume.

Terminals do not store quantities of isopropylamine in bulk. Rather, they store quantities of the chemical in drums. Filled drums are usually shipped by truck to the terminals. Truck movements, therefore, tend to be either truckload shipments of filled drums from a producing plant to a terminal, or short-haul LTL shipments of a few filled drums from terminals or producers to end-users. Exceptions to this include tank truck shipments from Air Product's production plant in St. Gabriel, LA, to Union Carbide's consuming plant in Institute, WV, and tank truck shipments from Air Product's production plant in St. Gabriel, LA, to its drumming plant in Pace, FL.

Because of the small volume purchased by most of the isopropylamine consumers, average annual net consumption of the chemical at plants receiving truck shipments is only 70 short tons per year, compared with over 12 thousand short tons per year for plants receiving rail shipments.

8. METHODOLOGY TO ESTIMATE TRUCK FLOWS

The major producers of isopropylamine and their plant locations are identified in Table 2, along with the amounts of the chemical that each potentially has available for consumers. Table 3 lists consuming companies, their plant locations, and the estimated amounts of isopropylamine that each received by truck delivery in 1992. The terminals used in the distribution of isopropylamine are listed in Table 4. This section explains how this information is used to (1) identify the routes over which bulk shipments of isopropylamine are expected to move from producers to users, and (2) estimate the quantities of product moving in bulk over those routes.

TABLE 4. TERMINALS USED BY ISOPROPYLAMINE PRODUCERS

| Producing Company | Terminal Location |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Air Products | Duluth, GA-/- |
| | Pace, FL‡ |
| Elf Atochem | Edison, NJ † |
| | La Porte, TX† |
| | Santa Fe Springs, CA† |
| Hoechst Celanese | Harvey, LA§ |

[†] These are public terminals. Public terminals are available to all producers on an as-needed basis. Use may change from year to year.

Sources: Based on information from industry sources.

[‡] Air Products ships isopropylamine in bulk in tank trucks from its St. Gabriel, LA production plant to its terminal in Pace, FL where it is put into drums for direct shipment by truck to consumers or for shipment by truck, sometimes in bulk, to the public terminal in Duluth, GA. No bulk shipments are made from the public terminal in Duluth, GA.

[§] Hoechst Celanese ships isopropylamine in bulk by rail from its production plant in Bucks, AL to its drumming facility in Harvey, LA, where it is put into drums for direct shipment to customers. Bulk shipments are made only from Bucks, AL and drum shipments only from Harvey, LA.

Because there is so little readily available direct information on the flows of isopropylamine over the Nation's highways, those flows must be estimated. For other chemicals studied, linear programming or gravity models were used to estimate the flows. For isopropylamine, the use of these models was unnecessary, since direct estimates of flows and routes can be easily and simply derived.

Only the isopropylamine consumer directly receiving tank truck or truckload shipments has a known relationship with a producer. The origin-destination pair for this producer-consumer connection is known, and the flow volume for the connection can be directly estimated, as can the projected route. All other tank truck or truckload shipments of isopropylamine involve movements from producers to terminals. Although rail and barge shipments are commonly used to transport chemicals from producers to terminals, most shipments of isopropylamine from producers to terminals are made in truckload quantities of filled drums. Based on the geographical location of terminals and LTL consumers, direct estimates of the quantities of isopropylamine being shipped from producers to terminals can be derived by assigning end-consumers to the appropriate terminals. Projected routes from producers to terminals can be estimated using the locations of the producing plants and of the corresponding terminals.

9. ESTIMATION RESULTS

Estimates of the quantities of isopropylamine being shipped in bulk by tank truck or truckload from producers to consumers and terminals are shown in Table 5. The estimation results for the flows of isopropylamine are summarized by state in Table 6.

As can be seen in Table 6, due to the small number of plants producing isopropylamine and the relatively wide geographic distribution of consuming plants (and terminals serving them), ton-miles tend to be spread across many states. Overall, bulk truck flows of isopropylamine are estimated at 580 thousand ton-miles per year. The state with the greatest number of ton-miles is Kentucky, located between the Louisiana producing plant and the West Virginia consuming plant. Alabama has the second largest number of ton-miles. Other states with relatively large numbers of ton-miles are Mississippi, Louisiana, and Tennessee. The average length of haul is 900 to 1,000 miles. This relatively long length of haul (compared to that for other, larger volume chemicals) is due primarily to the long distances between producers and terminals.

As indicated in Table 5, a relatively small amount of isopropylamine is trucked from the production plant in Michigan to a public terminal in Southern California. This is the only bulk movement by truck in the West. Bulk movements by truck from Michigan to California are expected to pass through Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, and Arizona. The other bulk truck movements originating at the production plant in Michigan consist of flows of isopropylamine to terminals in Texas and New Jersey. The flows from Michigan to Texas are expected to pass through Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, and Louisiana; those from Michigan to New Jersey are expected to pass through Ohio and Pennsylvania.

TABLE 5. ESTIMATED QUANTITIES OF ISOPROPYLAMINE MOVING IN BULK BY TRUCK TO CONSUMERS OR TERMINALS, 1992

| Producer | Plant Location | Consumer or Terminal Location | Amount Shipped-f (Thousands of Short Tons) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Air Products | St. Gabriel, LA | Institute, WV | 0.40 |
| Air Products+ | St. Gabriel, LA | Pace, FL | 0.08 |
| Air Products Terminal 1‡ | Pace, FL | Duluth, GA | 0.02 |
| Elf Atochem | Riverview, MI | Edison, NJ | 0.08 |
| Elf Atochem | Riverview, MI | La Porte, TX | 0.06 |
| Elf Atochem | Riverview, MI | Santa Fe Springs, CA | 0.02 |

[†] Estimated amount of product shipped to terminal or consumer, including product for subsequent shipments to consumers identified in this report and to other consumers that are too small for inclusion in **the** list of consumers.

[‡] Air Products ships in tank trucks from its St. Gabriel, LA production plant to its terminal in Pace, FL for drumming. The Pace, FL terminal ships **the** product in drums to the public terminal in Duluth, GA.

TABLE 6. ESTIMATES OF BULK TRUCK SHIPMENTS OF ISOPROPYLAMINE BY STATE, 1992

| State | Producer, Terminal, or Consumer | Ton-Miles (Thousands) | Truck-miles-t (Thousands) | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Alabama | ‡ | 116.2 | 5.8 | |
| Arizona | · | 7.3 | 0.4 | |
| California | Terminal | 5.4 | 0.3 | |
| Florida | Terminal | 1.9 | 0.1 | |
| Georgia | Terminal | 2.1 | 0.1 | |
| Illinois | | 5.5 | 0.3 | |
| Indiana | | 0.9 | 0.0 | |
| Kentucky | | 124.9 | 6.2 | |
| Louisiana | Producer§ | 67.4 | 3.4 | |
| Michigan | Producer | 10.3 | 0.5 | |
| Mississippi | | 73.3 | 3.7 | |
| Missouri | | 6.1 | 0.3 | |
| New Jersey | Terminal | 4.1 | 0.2 | |
| New Mexico | | 7.4 | 0.4 | |
| Ohio | | 27.8 | 1.4 | |
| Oklahoma | | 7.4 | 0.4 | |
| Pennsylvania | | 27.2 | 1.4 | |
| Tennessee | | 54.1 | 2.7 | |
| Texas | Terminal | 9.4 | 0.5 | |
| West Virginia | Consumer | 20.8 | 1.0 | |
| Total | | 579.4 | 29.0 | |

[†] Truck-miles are calculated by dividing the number of ton-miles by 20 short tons, which is the average size of a tanktruck load.

[‡] While there is a producer of isopropylamine in Alabama, no significant bulk shipments by truck move from that producer to consumers or terminals. Thus, all bulk shipments by truck in Alabama are pass-through shipments.

[§] While there is also a terminal in Louisiana, no significant bulk shipments by truck move into that facility from producers or other terminals, nor do any significant bulk shipments by truck move from that terminal to consumers.

The production plant in Louisiana is the origin of all other flows of isopropylamine in bulk by highway. The largest of the flows from Louisiana goes to the consuming plant in West Virginia. This flow is expected to pass through Mississippi, Alabama, Tennessee, and Kentucky. A lesser flow from Louisiana goes to the company terminal in Florida. This flow is expected to pass through Mississippi and Alabama. The company terminal, located in the Florida Panhandle, ships part of the product that it receives from Louisiana to a public terminal in Georgia. This flow is expected to pass through Alabama.

10. COMPARISON OF RESULTS WITH INCIDENTS DATA

Table 7 shows estimates of the expected annual number of highway truck accidents involving isopropylamine. These estimates are based on 1992 truck-miles and assume that there is one accident for every million truck-miles. The table also shows estimates of the expected number of years between spills resulting from highway accidents for each state. These latter estimates assume that about 15 percent of highway truck accidents result in a release or spill.

The estimates in Table 7 indicate that, as of 1992, the states with the highest risk of both highway truck accidents and isopropylamine spills resulting from such accidents were, in descending order, Kentucky, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Tennessee. These states also rank highest in ton-miles and truck-miles of isopropylamine (see Table 6). The expected annual number of highway truck accidents involving isopropylamine for the nation as a whole was about 0.029 and the expected number of years between spills resulting from highway truck accidents was 230.

Table 8 indicates that, from 1985 to 1993, there were no spills of isopropylamine in the U.S. caused by highway accidents. This is consistent with the expected 230 years between spills caused by highway accidents in the U.S. The two spills of isopropylamine that did occur from 1985 to 1993 were caused by packaging failure. One of these spills, which occurred in Texas, was from a truckload shipment of isopropylamine in drums moving from the producing plant in Michigan to the terminal in Southern California. The other spill, which occurred in Kentucky, was from a tank truck moving product from the Louisiana producer to the West Virginia consumer. Both spills occurred in states identified in the analysis as having flows of isopropylamine. The origins of the two shipments that had the spills were producing plants identified in the analysis as shipping isopropylamine in bulk via truck. Likewise, the destinations of the two shipments were a consuming plant and a terminal identified in the analysis as receiving isopropylamine in bulk via truck.

TABLE 7. ESTIMATED NUMBER OF HIGHWAY ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ISOPROPYLAMINE, BY STATE, 1992

| State | Estimated Accidents† | Estimated Years/Spill† | State | Estimated Accidents† | Estimated Years/Spill† |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Alabama | 0.006 | 1,147 | Mississippi | 0.004 | 1,818 |
| Arizona | 0.000 | 18,290 | Missouri | 0.000 | 22,002 |
| California | 0.000 | 24,876 | New Jersey | 0.000 | 32,680 |
| Florida | 0.000 | 68,729 | New Mexico | 0.000 | 18,067 |
| Georgia | 0.000 | 63,796 | Ohio | 0.00 1 | 4,80 1 |
| Illinois | 0.000 | 24,242 | Oklahoma | 0.000 | 18,116 |
| Indiana | 0.000 | 155,039 | Pennsylvania | 0.00 1 | 4,900 |
| Kentucky | 0.006 | 1,067 | Tennessee | 0.003 | 2,465 |
| Louisiana | 0.003 | 1,979 | Texas | 0.000 | 14,200 |
| Michigan | 0.001 | 12,920 | West Virginia | 0.001 | 6,410 |
| _ | | | U.S. | 0.029 | 230 |

[†] The number of accidents per year is calculated at one accident per one million truck-miles; about 15 percent of these accidents result in a release or spill. These rules of thumb were suggested by RSPA's Office of Hazardous Materials Safety.

TABLE 8. DATA ON ISOPROPYLAMINE BULK-SHIPMENT INCIDENTS, 1985 TO 1993

| Incident State | Origin State | Destination State (gallons) | Release Quantity | Cause-f | Capacity (gallons) | Shipper Type‡ |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|
| KY | LA | WV | 1 | 20 | 6,500§ | Plant |
| TX | MI | CA | 40 | 20 | 5,335" | Plant |

[†] Cause 20 indicates packaging failure (other causes listed in the HMIS data are 10, indicating human failure, 30, indicating a highway accident, and 40, indicating other cause).

§ In tank truck

Source: U.S. Department of Transportation HMIS Database

[‡] Both incidents involved shipments from a plant rather than a terminal.

^{*} In drums

APPENDIX A. LIST OF 147 LARGE-VOLUME CHEMICALS

| Chemical | 1994 Production | Chemical 1994 Pr | 1994 Production | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | (Thousands of Short Tons) | (Thousands o | of Short Tons) | |
| Acetaldehyde | 174 | Chloroform | 565 | |
| Acetic Acid, Synthetic | 1,992 | Chloronitrobenzene | 65 | |
| Acetic Anhydride | na | Copper Sulfate | 53 | |
| Acetone | 1,331 | Cyclohexane | 982 | |
| Acetylene | >140 | Cyclohexanone | 552 | |
| Acrylamide | 58 | p-Dichlorobenzene | 39 | |
| Acrylic Acid | 575 | Dichlorodifluoromethane (F12) | 63 | |
| Acrylonitrile | 1,491 | Dicyclopentadiene | na | |
| Activated Carbon | 158 | Dimethylamine | na | |
| Adipic Acid | 900 | Epichlorohydrin | 253 | |
| Aluminum Chloride | na | Ethanol (Synthetic) | 324 | |
| Aluminum Sulfate (w/17% | Al_2O_3) 1,316 | Ethyl Acetate | 163 | |
| Ammonia | 17,256 | Ethyl Acrylate | 182 | |
| Ammonium Nitrate | 8,517 | Ethylbenzene | 5,378 | |
| Amyl Alcohol | 23 | Ethyl Chloride | na | |
| Aniline | 632 | Ethylenediamine | 45 | |
| Argon | 800 | Ethylenediamine Tetraacetic Acid | 6 | |
| Atrazine | na | Ethylene Dibromide | 13 | |
| Barite | 643 | Ethylene Dichloride | 8,380 | |
| Barium Sulfide | na | Ethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether | 195 | |
| Benzene | >7,110 | Ethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether | 29 | |
| Benzoic Acid | 60 | Ethylene Glycol Monoethyl | | |
| Benzyl Chloride | na | Ether Acetate | 23 | |
| Bromine | 215 | Ethylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether | 20 | |
| 1,3-Butadiene | 1,689 | Ethylene Oxide | 2,928 | |
| 1-Butanol | 739 | Ferric Chloride (100%) | 225 | |
| Butene- 1 | 483 | Formaldehyde (37%) | 4,082 | |
| n-Butyl Acetate | 155 | Furfural | 43 | |
| n-Butyl Acrylate | 412 | n-Heptane | 60 | |
| Butyraldehyde | 1,097 | Hexamethylenediamine | 626 | |
| Calcium Carbide | 244 | Hexane | 170 | |
| Calcium Hypochlorite | 92 | Hexene- 1 | na | |
| Calcium Oxide | >16,314 | Hydrochloric Acid (100%) | 3,734 | |
| Carbon Black | 1,625 | Hydrofluoric Acid | 200 | |
| Carbon Dioxide | 12,547 | Hydrofluosilicic Acid | 55 | |
| Carbon Disulfide | na | Hydrogen | 862 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 124 | Hydrogen Cyanide | 514 | |
| Chlorinated Isocyanurates | 68 | Hydrogen Peroxide | 318 | |
| Chlorine Gas | 12,187 | Isobu tanol | 70 | |
| Chlorobenzene, Mono | 109 | Isobutyl Acetate | 42 | |
| Chlorodifluoromethane (F2 | 2) 153 | Isobutylene | 1,539 | |

APPENDIX A. LIST OF 147 LARGE-VOLUME CHEMICALS (Continued)

| Chemical | 1994 Production | Chemical 199 | 4 Production |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Thousands of Short Tons) | (Thou | sands of Short Tons) |
| Isobutyraldehyde | 264 | Pinene | na |
| Isoprene | 310 | Potassium Hydroxide (100%) | 27 |
| Isopropanol | 726 | Propane | 3 1,492 |
| Isopropyl Acetate | 28 | n-Propanol | 625 |
| Isopropylamine, Mono | na | Propionaldehyde | 182 |
| Linear Alkylate Sulfonate | 305 | Propionic Acid | 94 |
| Maleic Anhydride | 239 | n-Propyl Acetate | 44 |
| Methanol | 5,387 | Propylene Oxide | 1,850 |
| Methylamine | na | Propylene Tetramer (Dodecene) | 155 |
| Methyl t-Butyl Ether | 5,515 | Sodium (Metal) | na |
| Methyl Chloride | 500 | Sodium Chlorate (100%) | 559 |
| Methylchloroform | 335 | Sodium Chromate/Dichromate | 132 |
| Methylene Dichloride | na | Sodium Cyanide | 142 |
| Methylene Diphenlyene D | iisocyanate 535 | Sodium Hydrosulfide | 117 |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone | 600 | Sodium Hydrosulfite | 90 |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 70 | Sodium Hydroxide | 12,555 |
| Methyl Methacrylate | 659 | Sodium Phosphate, Tribasic | 22 |
| Monoethanolamine | 198 | S tyrene | 5,455 |
| Naphthalene | 101 | Sulfur | 12,677 |
| Nitric Acid (100% HNO, I | Basis) 8,611 | Sulfur Dioxide | 229 |
| Nitrobenzene | 720 | Sulfuric Acid | 44,813 |
| Nitrogen | 31,515 | Tetrahydrofuran | 126 |
| Nonylphenol | na | Toluene | >2,895 |
| Oxygen | 25,045 | Toluene Diisocyanate | 419 |
| n-Pentane | na | Trichloroethylene | na |
| Perchloroethylene | 123 | Tripropylene (Nonene) | 328 |
| Phenol | 2,065 | Vinyl Acetate | 1,518 |
| Phosgene | na | Vinyl Chloride | 6,924 |
| Phosphoric Acid (P ₂ O ₅ Bas | sis) 12,792 | o-Xylene | 457 |
| Phosphorus | 255 | p-Xylene | 3,114 |
| Phosphorus Oxychloride | 36 | Zinc Chloride | <10 |
| Phosphorus Pentasulfide | 61 | Zinc Sulfate | 43 |
| Phosphorus Trichloride | 158 | | |
| Phthalic Anhydride | 480 | Total | >349,004 |

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ The Acetylene production numbers include production for chemical use only.

⁽²⁾ The Calcium Oxide, Benzene, and Toluene production numbers do not include production from all sources;

⁽³⁾ The Zinc Chloride production number includes the zinc content of zinc ammonium chloride.

APPENDIX A. LIST OF 147 LARGE-VOLUME CHEMICALS (Concluded)

Sources:

- (1) List of Chemicals: C. Starry, K. McCaleb, and W. Stock, "Study of Truck Transportation of Hazardous Chemicals," Prepared by SRI International for the U.S. Department of Transportation, 1993.
- (2) 1994 Production Numbers: U.S. International Trade Commission, Synthetic *Organic Chemicals, United States Production and Sales, 1994, USTIC* Publication 2933, June 1995; U.S. Geological Survey, *Minerals Yearbook, 1994; Chemical & Engineering* News, June 24, 1996, pp. 41-43; U.S. DOE/EIA, *Petroleum Supply Annual, 1995,* Vol. 1; other industry sources; and Volpe estimates based on industry source projections of chemical production or consumption, or on the relationships between the quantities of selected inputs and the quantities of finished chemical product outputs.