

Accommodating Pedestrians & Bicyclists at Continuous Flow Intersections

(STRIDE Project F: Integrated Implementation of Innovative Intersection Designs)

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Continuous Flow Intersections (CFIs), also known as Displaced Left-Turn intersections (DLTs) have grown in popularity primarily due to the reduced number of signal phases for vehicles. However, due to its large footprint and unconventional displaced left-turn movement, pedestrians and bicycles experience unique mobility challenges at this type of intersection.

GOALS

This study evaluated the performance of pedestrian-bicycle crossing alternatives at Continuous Flow Intersections (CFI). Three CFI crossing alternatives were tested: Traditional, Offset, and Midblock crossings. In total, 24 alternative scenarios were generated by incorporating two bicycle path types, two right-turn control types, and two CFI geometry types. These scenarios were analyzed through microsimulation on the basis of stopped delay (length of time a pedestrian/bicyclist is waiting), travel time from their origin to destination, and number of stops from the same origin to the destination.

FINDINGS

The results indicated that Traditional crossing (Figure A) would generate the least number of stops for pedestrians and bicyclists; an Offset crossing (Figure B) would perform best in terms of stopped delay; and a Midblock crossing (Figure C) would incur very short travel times only along some routes that start and end near the midblock locations. The most notable differences observed are between the stopped delays in Offset and Midblock crossing. If adequate space is available, an exclusive bicycle path is operationally preferable to the shared-use path in most cases.

Regarding the tradeoffs between a standard intersection and a CFI, a CFI with Traditional or Offset crossing would incur less stopped delay because of the reduced number of signal phases. However, a CFI with an Offset or a Midblock crosswalk would generate a higher number of stops than a standard intersection because of the increased number of phases.

PRODUCT

Microsimulation Models of 24 Continuous Flow Intersection (CFI) designs were developed that can be run in VISSIM. The models represent different geometries and pedestrian-bicycle crossing scenarios.

IMPACTS

Many intersection designs are selected for vehicular operational benefits with little-to-no consideration for pedestrian and bicycle impacts. This is due in part to the lack of information regarding crossing designs and their impact on pedestrians and bicyclists. This project provides guidance broken down by crossing design. With this research, engineers can work to minimize the delays and, therefore, minimize the unsafe behavior of pedestrians and bicyclists crossing against the signal indication.

WHO BENEFITS?


- Engineers
- Pedestrians and bicyclists

RESEARCH TEAM


Nagui M. Rouphail, Ph.D. (Lead PI)
North Carolina State University
rouphail@ncsu.edu

Chris Cunningham, P.E.
Shannon Warchol, P.E.
Ishtiak Ahmed
North Carolina State University


William J. Davis, P.E., Ph.D.
The Citadel



A) Schematic of **Traditional Crossing** - vehicular left-turn movement from one approach (red) conflicts with the parallel pedestrian-bicycle crossing (black)



B) Schematic of **Offset Crossing** - crosswalks are "offset" toward the inside of the intersection (black) and do not conflict with the parallel left turns (green)



C) Schematic of a **Midblock Crossing** - pedestrians have a very short travel path between the left corners of the NW and SW quadrant and between the right corners of NE and SE quadrant. However, some other routes experience significant out of direction travel.

PRODUCT

Microsimulation Models of Continuous Flow Intersection Designs

Researchers developed 24 microsimulation models of different CFIs (Continuous Flow Intersections) geometries and pedestrian-bicycle crossing facilities that can be run in VISSIM. The simulations included various timing plans for three alternative CFI designs (traditional, offset, and midblock) and included considerations for on- and off-road bicyclists.

For more information on Project F (Integrated Implementation of Innovative Intersection Designs), visit the [STRIDE Project page](#).

About STRIDE

The Southeastern Transportation Research, Innovation, Development & Education Center (STRIDE) is the 2016 Region 4 (Southeast) U.S. Department of Transportation University Transportation Center headquartered at the University of Florida Transportation Institute (UFTI).

