





https://vimeo.com/265194585

### POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS OF AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES ON PERSONAL VEHICLE OWNERSHIP AND DEMAND FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT

<u>Christos Gkartzonikas</u>, M.Sc., Ph.D. Candidate, Purdue University Konstantina "Nadia" Gkritza, Ph.D. Associate Professor of Civil Engineering & Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Purdue University ASCE – International Conference on Transportation & Development, Alexandria, VA, June 9<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup>, 2019

# OUTLINE

- Introduction Objectives
- Survey Design
- Descriptive Statistics Model Specification
- Results
- Key Takeaways
- Limitations/Future Work





# INTRODUCTION

#### **Background Information**

- Private vehicle as a status symbol.
- AVs can substitute and transform traditional transportation modes.
- Address parking congestion.
- Increase accessibility leading to urban sprawl.
- Alter land use and location choices affecting the economic development.
- Be synergistic with electrification to lower energy use and emissions.
- Benefit disadvantaged groups, advocate social justice.



(Litman, 2019, Krueger et al., 2016)

# INTRODUCTION

#### **Current and Emerging Trends**

- U.S. household: 2.5 people and 1.75 vehicles.
- Since 1996: transit ridership +30% vs. population +20%.
- Diffusion of AVs can change these statistics dramatically.
- Emergence of AVs leads to implications of vehicle ownership as a second order effect.
- Recent studies focused on market acceptance.
- Substitution ratios (# non-AVs  $\rightarrow$  1 AV).
- Lack knowledge on the perceived impacts driving people's decisions to postpone the purchase of non-AVs in different time frames.
- Public transportation users deemed as early adopters.



Beyond traffic 2045: Trends and choices. (2015). Washington, D.C. U.S. Department of Transportation.

- Understanding what influences decisions of postponing the purchase, keeping or giving up private vehicle ownership of non-AVs in the short and long run.
- Assessing the intention to switch from public transportation in favor of ride-sharing services operated in AVs.





# SURVEY DESIGN

#### **Empirical Setting**

- Metropolitan area of Chicago advanced multimodal transportation system
- Metropolitan area of Indianapolis car-oriented culture
- 400 completed responses November 2017/March 2018
  - 5% of margin of error and 95% confidence level
- Hard quotas on gender and age groups (Census data)
- Online distribution
- Residents over 18 years old
- Approval from IRB:
  - IRB Protocols #1701018708 and #1801020160







Chicago



### SURVEY DESIGN

#### 1st section:

Questions regarding people's awareness towards advances on AVs

**2nd section**: Questions about people's travel characteristics

#### 3rd section:

Factors affecting people's behavioral intention to ride in AVs

- Attitudinal questions

*4th section:* Mode choice experiment

*5th section:* Socio-demographic questions



### **DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

Chicago

#### Private Vehicle Ownership

Intention to postpone the purchase of non-AV due to the introduction of AVs





# DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

#### Private Vehicle Ownership

Likelihood for having one non-AV in the short run and zero non-AVs in the long run after the introduction of AVs



# DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

#### Public Transportation

Intention to switch from public transportation in favor of using ride-sharing services on AVs in the short and long run



## MODEL SPECIFICATION

- Most common modeling technique to assess vehicle ownership and mode choice decisions is discrete choice.
- Cross-correlation between the dependent variables (correlation coefficient of 0.80) of short and long term.
- Bivariate Ordered Probit as a system.





# RESULTS – PRIVATE VEHICLE OWNERSHIP

Variable	Short term - 1 non AV	Long term - 0 non AV			
Awareness					
Respondents with highest level of awareness towards Uber's self- driving vehicles? (1: yes, 0: no)	+		Perceptions / Opinions / Attitudes Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that AVs		
Respondents with highest level of awareness towards a set of features called 'autopilot' provided in some versions of Tesla vehicles (1: yes, 0: no)		+	are compatible with their lifestyle, daily needs or personal values and attitude - compatibility** Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that they have safety concerns on riding in AVs – safety concerns**	-	+
Travel characteristics variables			Mode choice-related factors		
Respondents who indicated that their primary commuting mode of travel is private vehicle and make zero social/recreational trips per week (1: yes, 0: no)		-	Respondents who rated level of cost in travel as a very or extremely important factor when they make mode choice decisions (1: yes, 0: no)	+	+
Respondents who indicated that their primary mode of travel for social/recreational trips is bus (1: yes, 0: no)	+	÷	Respondents who rated level of reliability in travel as a very or extremely important factor when they make mode choice decisions	+	
Respondents who indicated that have a car sharing account (1: yes, 0: no)	+	+	(1: yes, 0: no) Socio-demographics		
Respondents who indicated that drive less than 10,000 miles per year (1: yes, 0: no)	+	+	Respondents who are over 55 years old (1: yes, 0: no) Respondents who have annual income over \$100,000 (1: yes, 0:	-+	-+
Perceptions / Opinions / Attitudes	no)		-		
Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that they are positive towards trying innovations – early adopters	+	+	Respondents who indicated that they work full time (1: yes, 0: no) Respondents who indicated that they are students (1: yes, 0: no)	+	++
Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that their decisions are affected by their social circle – subjective norms	+		Respondents who indicated that they own or have access to 1-2 vehicles in their households (1: yes, 0: no)	+	
Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that they do not trust strangers - distrust of strangers**	-		12		

# **RESULTS – PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION**

Variable	Short term	Long term				
Awareness			Mode choice-related factors			
Respondents with highest level of awareness towards a set of features called 'autopilot' provided in some versions of Tesla vehicles (1: yes, 0: no)	+	+	Respondents who rated level of reliability in travel as a very or extremely important factor when they make mode choice decisions (1: yes, 0: no)	+		
Travel characteristics variables	Perpendents who rated lovel of flovibility in travel as a very or					
Respondents who indicated that have a car sharing account (1: yes, 0: no)	+	+	extremely important factor when they make mode choice decisions (1: yes, 0: no)	+	+	
Respondents who indicated that drive less than 10,000 miles per	+	+	Socio-demographics		1	
			Respondents who are between 18-34 years old (1: yes, 0: no)	+	+	
Respondents who indicated that drive less than 20,000 miles per year (1: yes, 0: no)		+	Respondents who have annual income less than \$50,000 (1: yes,	+	+	
Perceptions / Opinions / Attitudes						
Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that they are positive towards trying innovations – early adopters	+	+	Respondents who indicated that they are students (1: yes, 0: no)		+	
			Respondents who indicated that they own or have access to 0-1	+		
Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that their decisions are affected by their social circle – subjective norms	+	+	venicies in their nouseholds (1: yes, 0: no)			
Respondents who agreed or strongly agreed, on average, that they have safety concerns on riding in AVs – safety concerns**	-					

#### People shifting away from private vehicle ownership and public transit to AVs:

- individuals with high level of awareness;
- influenced by their social circle;
- familiar with car-sharing or ride-hailing services;
- individuals that value cost as important for mode choice decisions;
- individuals that value reliability and flexibility as important for mode choice decisions;
- young age groups.





# KEY TAKEAWAYS

#### People postponing these decisions:

- commuting using their private vehicles;
- individuals with low trust of the technology;
- individuals with safety concerns;
- older individuals.





### IMPLICATIONS

- Increase public awareness/acceptance with marketing campaigns (e.g. Waymo – ride-hailing services available to users).
- Trade-off of perceived benefit vs. perceived risk.
- Financial incentives to transportation disadvantaged groups leading to increase of accessibility and mobility.
- Complementing services to public transportation
  - feeder modes for first and last mile trips;
  - premium on-demand services with lower capacity but higher flexibility and comfort (increase attractiveness of public transportation).
- Rebates for vehicles with automated safety features, discounts of insurance services for AVs.



- Stated preference survey hypothetical nature.
- Cross-sectional study longitudinal study.
- Wider testing in different urban areas (travel

behavior, habits – culture).





### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



This work was supported as part of the Center for Connected and Automated Transportation (CCAT) Region V University Transportation Center (UTC) funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Award #69A3551747105. Cost share in support of the CCAT UTC was provided by the Indiana Department of Transportation and the Purdue Policy Research Institute.

Purdue Policy Research Institute

https://engineering.purdue.edu/STSRG











https://vimeo.com/265194585

### POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS OF AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES ON PERSONAL VEHICLE OWNERSHIP AND DEMAND FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT

<u>Christos Gkartzonikas</u>, M.Sc., Ph.D. Candidate, Purdue University Konstantina "Nadia" Gkritza, Ph.D. Associate Professor of Civil Engineering & Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Purdue University ASCE – International Conference on Transportation & Development, Alexandria, VA, June 9<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup>, 2019