Smart Interaction – Pedestrians and vehicles in a CAV environment

Yunchang Zhang Advisor: Dr. Jon Fricker Lyles School of Civil Engineering

1st Annual Conference on Next-Generation Transport Systems West Lafayette, IN May 2019.



Motivation

- At unsignalized crossings, pedestrians and motorists often engage in a nonverbal "negotiation" to determine who should proceed first [Fricker and Zhang, 2019].
- Quantifying the pedestrian-motorist negotiation is important for understanding the interaction behaviors of real pedestrians and real motorists and providing a basis for implementation of self-driving vehicles (SDVs).
- The self-driving vehicle in Arizona highlights safety concerns and calls for regulating the testing SDVs [National Transportation Safety Board, 2018].
- Current literature focuses on the interaction between human- operated vehicles and SDVs.
- However, how about vulnerable road users (*pedestrians*, *cyclists*)?

Recap of previous studies

Objective: to understanding the interaction behaviors of real pedestrians and real motorists



A graph representation - dynamic bipartite network

Pedestrian nodes



Motorist nodes

A graph representation - dynamic bipartite network

Pedestrian node



Motorist node

Big Idea: Let's simplify it and focus on HUMAN!



Ped enters the crosswalk

Methods

■Renewal process:

- The state of art method estimating the pedestrian waiting behavior with the consideration of vehicle interactions [Zheng and Elefteriadou, 2017].
- Limitations:
 - \checkmark The vehicle-pedestrian interactions may be correlated.
 - The driver yielding possibility is only dependent on the distance between the approaching vehicle. (No generalizations)

Semi-Markov approach:

- The problem of correlated vehicle-pedestrian interactions may be solved by using the technique of Markov Chains [Zhang 2019; Zhang et al., 2020] ;
- Any probabilistic driver yielding functions can be incorporated into the semi-Markov framework.



Either Monte Carlo sampling or rejection sampling can be applied to generate the sample distribution.

One trick can be applied to compute the expectation of Equation (23) by considering the grand expectation of pedestrian delay as a finite mixture distribution.

Expected Results

- Previous studies indicated that large proportion of pedestrians consider the tradeoff between risk costs and delay costs before making a decision due to the *non-verbal communications* with drivers [Zhang et al., 2020].
 - Conduct experiments on the *impacts* of distributions of vehicle headways and vehicle dynamics on pedestrian delay or vehicle delay.
 - Assume a *better communication* between pedestrians and motorists and simulate the interaction behaviors.
 - ✓ We hope to build a robust computing and simulation framework to model crowd behaviors such as "cooperators" versus "defectors" with the consideration of a variety of control strategies.

References

- Chen, P., Wu, C., & Zhu, S. (2016). Interaction between vehicles and pedestrians at uncontrolled mid-block crosswalks. Safety science, 82, 68-76.
- Fricker, J. D., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Modeling pedestrian and motorist interaction at semi-controlled crosswalks: the effects of a change from one-way to two-way street operation. *Transportation research record*, *2673*(11), 433-446.
- National Transportation Safety Board (2018). Collision Between Vehicle Controlled by Developmental Automated Driving System and Pedestrian.
- Zhang, Y., Qiao, Y., & Fricker, J. D. (2020). Investigating pedestrian waiting time at semi-controlled crossing locations: Application of multi-state models for recurrent events analysis. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 137, 105437.
- Zheng, Y., & Elefteriadou, L. (2017). A model of pedestrian delay at unsignalized intersections in urban networks. *Transportation research part B: methodological*, *100*, 138-155.