MASH 2016 Evaluation of a Non-Proprietary Type III Barricade

Final Report – Revision-1 September 2018





Sponsored by Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative (TPF-5(295)) Federal Highway Administration (InTrans Project 18-535)

About SWZDI

Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska created the Midwest States Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative (SWZDI) in 1999 and Wisconsin joined in 2001. Through this pooled-fund study, researchers investigate better ways of controlling traffic through work zones. Their goal is to improve the safety and efficiency of traffic operations and highway work.

ISU Non-Discrimination Statement

Iowa State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, age, ethnicity, religion, national origin, pregnancy, sexual orientation, gender identity, genetic information, sex, marital status, disability, or status as a U.S. Veteran. Inquiries regarding non-discrimination policies may be directed to Office of Equal Opportunity, 3410 Beardshear Hall, 515 Morrill Road, Ames, Iowa 50011, Tel. 515 294-7612, Hotline 515-294-1222, email eooffice@iastate.edu.

Notice

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the sponsors.

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. DOT in the interest of information exchange. The sponsors assume no liability for the contents or use of the information contained in this document. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The sponsors do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the objective of the document.

Quality Assurance Statement

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) provides high-quality information to serve Government, industry, and the public in a manner that promotes public understanding. Standards and policies are used to ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of its information. The FHWA periodically reviews quality issues and adjusts its programs and processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.

Iowa DOT Statements

Federal and state laws prohibit employment and/or public accommodation discrimination on the basis of age, color, creed, disability, gender identity, national origin, pregnancy, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation or veteran's status. If you believe you have been discriminated against, please contact the Iowa Civil Rights Commission at 800-457-4416 or the Iowa Department of Transportation affirmative action officer. If you need accommodations because of a disability to access the Iowa Department of Transportation's services, contact the agency's affirmative action officer at 800-262-0003.

The preparation of this report was financed in part through funds provided by the Iowa Department of Transportation through its "Second Revised Agreement for the Management of Research Conducted by Iowa State University for the Iowa Department of Transportation" and its amendments.

The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Iowa Department of Transportation or the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration.

Technical Report Documentation Page

| 1. Report No. | 2. Government Accession No. | 3. Recipient's Cata | log No. |
|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Part of InTrans Project 18-535 | | | |
| MwRSF TRP-03-394-18 Revision-1 | | | |
| 4. Title | | 5. Report Date | |
| MASH 2016 Evaluation of a Non-Prop | prietary Type III Barricade | September 19, 2018 | |
| | | 6. Performing Orga | nnization Code |
| 7. Author(s) | | 8. Performing Orga | anization Report No. |
| Jennifer D. Schmidt, Tyler J. Langel, N | Nathan Asselin, Mojdeh A. Pajouh, and | MwRSF TRP-03-39 | 4-18 Revision-1 |
| Ronald K. Faller | | Part of InTrans Proje | ect 18-535 |
| 9. Performing Organization Name a | nd Address | 10. Work Unit No. | (TRAIS) |
| Midwest Roadside Safety Facility (Mv Nebraska Transportation Center University of Nebraska-Lincoln | vRSF) | | |
| Main Office: | Outdoor Test Site: | 11. Contract or Gra | ant No. |
| Prem S. Paul Research Center at Whittier School | 4630 NW 36th Street | SWZDI Contract No | o. 19023 |
| Room 130, 2200 Vine Street Lincoln, Nebraska 68583-0853 | Lincolli, Neolaska 06524 | | |
| 12. Sponsoring Organization Name a | and Address | 13. Type of Report | and Period Covered |
| Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiati | ve Federal Highway Administration | Final Report: 2017-2 | 2018 |
| Iowa Department of Transportation 800 Lincoln Way | U.S. Department of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE | 14. Sponsoring Age | ency Code |
| Ames, Iowa 50010 | Washington, DC 20590 | TPF-5(295) | |
| 15. Supplementary Notes | | | |
| Visit www.intrans.iastate.edu/smartwz | / for color pdfs of this and other Smart Wo | ork Zone Deployment l | initiative research reports. |
| 16. Abstract | | | |
| Several work-zone traffic control devices have not yet been evaluated to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO's) <i>Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware, Second Edition</i> (MASH 2016) safety performance criteria. Devices commonly used by Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative state sponsors were summarized. A non-proprietary Type III barricade was selected for testing, as no non-proprietary barricades had been evaluated to MASH 2016. The Type III barricade had 8-ft (2.4-m) long plastic barricade panels, a 30-in. x 48-in. (762-mm x 1,219-mm) aluminum sign attached to the top two plastic barricade panels. The 2-in. (51-mm) square legs and 1¾-in. (44-mm) square uprights were 14-gauge perforated square steel tube. The barricade also had two warning lights attached at the top of each upright. The Type III barricade was tested to MASH 2016 test designation no. 3-71 with a 2,426-lb (1,100-kg) small car. One barricade was impacted at a speed of 64.7 mph (104.1 km/h) and at an impact angle of 90 degrees, and the other barricade was impacted at a speed of 61.2 mph (98.5 km/h) and an impact angle of 0 degrees. Both tests successfully met all evaluation criteria in MASH 2016 for test designation no. 3-71. | | | |
| 17. Key Words | | 18. Distribution Sta | itement |
| barricade—compliance test—crash test—highway safety—MASH 2016—road closed barricade—roadside appurtenances—Type III barricade—work zone device | | No restrictions. | |
| 19. Security Classification (of this | 20. Security Classification (of this | 21. No. of Pages | 22. Price |
| report) | page) | 1.60 | |
| | Unclassified. | 168 | NA |

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed page authorized

MASH 2016 EVALUATION OF A NON-PROPRIETARY TYPE III BARRICADE

Final Report September 2018

Principal Investigator Jennifer D. Schmidt, Research Assistant Professor Midwest Roadside Safety Facility – University of Nebraska-Lincoln

> **Co-Principal Investigator** Mojdeh Asadollahi Pajouh, Assistant Professor University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Ronald K. Faller, MwRSF Director and Research Professor Midwest Roadside Safety Facility – University of Nebraska-Lincoln

> **Research Assistants** Tyler J. Langel and Nathan Asselin

> > Authors

Jennifer D. Schmidt, Tyler J. Langel, Nathan Asselin, Mojdeh A. Pajouh, and Ronald K. Faller

Sponsored by the Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Pooled Fund Study TPF-5(295): Iowa (lead state), Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Wisconsin

Preparation of this report was financed in part through funds provided by the Iowa Department of Transportation through its Research Management Agreement with the Institute for Transportation (InTrans Project 18-535)

> A report from **Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative Iowa State University** 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 Ames, IA 50010-8664 Phone: 515-294-8103 / Fax: 515-294-0467 <u>www.intrans.iastate.edu/smartwz/</u>







MASH 2016 EVALUATION OF A NON-PROPRIETARY TYPE III BARRICADE

Submitted by

Jennifer D. Schmidt, Ph.D., P.E. Research Assistant Professor

Tyler Langel, B.S.M.E. Former Undergraduate Research Assistant Nathan Asselin Undergraduate Research Assistant

Mojdeh Asadollahi Pajouh, Ph.D., P.E. Assistant Professor, University of Nevada, Las Vegas Ronald K. Faller, Ph.D., P.E. MwRSF Director and Research Professor

MIDWEST ROADSIDE SAFETY FACILITY

Nebraska Transportation Center University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Main Office

Prem S. Paul Research Center at Whittier School Room 130, 2200 Vine Street Lincoln, Nebraska 68583-0853 (402) 472-0965 **Outdoor Test Site** 4630 N.W. 36th Street Lincoln, Nebraska 68524

Submitted to

SMART WORK ZONE DEPLOYMENT INITIATIVE

Iowa Department of Transportation 800 Lincoln Way Ames, Iowa 50010

MwRSF Research Report No. TRP-03-394-18 Revision-1

September 19, 2018







September 2018 ADDENDUM / ERRATA

to MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-394-18 "MASH 2016 Evaluation of a Non-Proprietary Type III Barricade" Published August 13, 2018

| Page | Revision |
|------|---|
| 89 | Wheel Well & Toe Pan maximum deformation value corrected to 0.4 in. (10 mm) in to |
| | data row of Table 9 |
| 123 | Figure C-1 replaced due to corrected ΔZ^A column values |
| 124 | Figure C-2 replaced due to corrected ΔZ^A column values |
| 133 | Figure C-11 replaced due to corrected Maximum Deformation values |
| 134 | Figure C-12 replaced due to corrected Maximum Deformation values |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | xiii |
|--|----------|
| UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT STATEMENT | xiii |
| INDEPENDENT APPROVING AUTHORITY | xiii |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | XV |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Background and Problem Statement | 1 |
| NCHRP PROJECT 3-119 BACKGROUND | 2 |
| SWZDI STATE SURVEY | 7 |
| PREVIOUS CRASH TESTING REVIEW | 12 |
| Non-Proprietary Work-Zone Sign Stands Work-Zone Barricades Skid-Mounted Temporary Sign Stand | |
| SYSTEM SELECTION AND DESIGN DETAILS | 65 |
| TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA | 75 |
| Test Requirements Evaluation Criteria | 75 76 |
| TEST CONDITIONS | 77 |
| Test Facility Vehicle Tow and Guidance System Test Vehicles Simulated Occupant Data Acquisition Systems Accelerometers Rate Transducers Retroreflective Optic Speed Trap Digital Photography | |
| FULL-SCALE CRASH TEST NO. WZNP-1 | 86 |
| Weather Conditions Test Description System Damage Vehicle Damage Occupant Risk Discussion | |
| SUMMAKY AND CONCLUSIONS | 101 |

| RECOMMENDATIO | DNS | 101 |
|---------------------------|---|-----|
| REFERENCES | | 103 |
| APPENDIX A. CERTIFICAT | MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS, MILL CERTIFICATES, AND TES OF CONFORMANCE | 105 |
| APPENDIX B. | VEHICLE CENTER OF GRAVITY DETERMINATION | 121 |
| APPENDIX C. | VEHICLE DEFORMATION RECORDS | 123 |
| APPENDIX D. TEST NO. W | ACCELEROMETER AND RATE TRANSDUCER DATA PLOTS, ZNP-1 | 135 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. Wisconsin Temporary Gore Sign | 8 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2. WZ-266 H-Base Sign Stand (6-7) | 9 |
| Figure 3. WZ-129 H-Base Sign Stand (8) | 10 |
| Figure 4. System No. 3 Sign Details, Test MNS-2 (9) | 13 |
| Figure 5. System No. 5 and 6 Sign Details, Test MI-3 (10) | 14 |
| Figure 6. Stop Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN1C0 (11) | 19 |
| Figure 7. Route Marker Assembly Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN2C0 (11) | 20 |
| Figure 8. Work-Zone Speed Limit Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN3B0-2 (11) | 21 |
| Figure 9. 36-in. (914-mm) Diamond Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN5A0 | |
| (11) | 22 |
| Figure 10. 48-in. (1,219-mm) Diamond Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN6A0 | |
| (11) | 23 |
| Figure 11. 48-in. (1,219-mm) Diamond Panel Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. | |
| MN7A0 (11) | 24 |
| Figure 12. Stop Sign Panel Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN8A0 (11) | 25 |
| Figure 13. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490022-7-4 (12) | 27 |
| Figure 14. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490022-7-4 (12) (Continued) | 28 |
| Figure 15. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490022-7-4 (12) (Continued) | 29 |
| Figure 16. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490026-2-1 (13) | 30 |
| Figure 17. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490026-2-1 (13) (Continued) | 31 |
| Figure 18. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490026-2-1 (13) (Continued) | 32 |
| Figure 19. Option B Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) | 33 |
| Figure 20. Option B Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued) | 34 |
| Figure 21. Option B Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued) | 35 |
| Figure 22. Option C Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) | 36 |
| Figure 23. Option C Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued) | 37 |
| Figure 24. Option C Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued) | 38 |
| Figure 25. Test No. MNB-1 Type III Barricade (14) | 40 |
| Figure 26. Test No. MNB-2 Type III Barricade (14) | 41 |
| Figure 27. Details of MI-2 Type III Barricade (10) | 42 |
| Figure 28. Test No. 439107-1 Type III Barricade (15) | 44 |
| Figure 29. Test No. 439107-2 Type III Barricade (15) | 45 |
| Figure 30. Test No. 439107-3 Type III Barricade (15) | 46 |
| Figure 31. Test No. 439107-5 Type III Barricade (15) | 47 |
| Figure 32. Test No. 439107-12 Type III Barricade (15) | 48 |
| Figure 33. Test No. 453360-1 Type III Barricade (16) | 52 |
| Figure 34. Test Nos. 453360-4 and 453880-4 Type III Barricade (16) | 53 |
| Figure 35. Test Nos. 453790-3 and 453880-1 Type III Barricade (16) | 54 |
| Figure 36. Test No. 453880-2 Type III Barricade (16) | 55 |
| Figure 37. Test No. 453790-2 Type III Barricade (16) | 56 |
| Figure 38. Test Nos. 453790-4 and 453880-3 Type III Barricade (16) | 57 |
| Figure 39. Test No. 453790-5 Type III Barricade (16) | 58 |
| Figure 40. Test No. 453880-5 Type III Barricade (16) | 59 |

| Figure 41. Test No. 453880-6 Type III Barricade (16) | 60 |
|--|-----|
| Figure 42. Test No. 453880-7 Type III Barricade (16) | 61 |
| Figure 43. Test Nos. 467824-3 and 467824-4 Type III Barricade (18) | 64 |
| Figure 44. Test Installation Layout, Test No. WZNP-1 | 66 |
| Figure 45. Barricade Overview, Test No. WZNP-1 | 67 |
| Figure 46. Barricade Details, Test No. WZNP-1 | 68 |
| Figure 47. Plastic Beam Details, Test No. WZNP-1 | 69 |
| Figure 48. Perforated Steel Tube Details, Test No. WZNP-1 | 70 |
| Figure 49. Sign and Warning Light Details, Test No. WZNP-1 | 71 |
| Figure 50. System Hardware, Test No. WZNP-1 | 72 |
| Figure 51. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1 | 73 |
| Figure 52. Test Installation Photographs, Test No. WZNP-1 | 74 |
| Figure 53. Test Vehicle, Test No. WZNP-1 | 78 |
| Figure 54. Test Vehicle's Interior Floorboards and Undercarriage | 79 |
| Figure 55. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. WZNP-1 | 80 |
| Figure 56. Target Geometry, Test No. WZNP-1 | 82 |
| Figure 57. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. WZNP-1 | 85 |
| Figure 58. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs – Test No. WZNP-1, | |
| System A | 91 |
| Figure 59. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs – Test No. WZNP-1, | |
| System B | 92 |
| Figure 60. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. WZNP-1 | 93 |
| Figure 61. Impact Location, Test No. WZNP-1 | 94 |
| Figure 62. Vehicle Final Position and Trajectory Marks, Test No. WZNP-1 | 95 |
| Figure 63. System Damage – Test No. WZNP-1, System A | 96 |
| Figure 64. System Damage – Test No. WZNP-1, System B | 97 |
| Figure 65. Vehicle Damage, Test No. WZNP-1 | |
| Figure 66. Vehicle Damage, Test No. WZNP-1 | |
| Figure 67. Vehicle Damage, Test No. WZNP-1 | 100 |
| | |

LIST OF FIGURES IN APPENDICES

| 107 |
|-----|
| 108 |
| 109 |
| 110 |
| 111 |
| 112 |
| 113 |
| 114 |
| 115 |
| 116 |
| 117 |
| 118 |
| |

| Figure A-13. Flat Washer Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1 | 119 |
|--|------|
| Figure B-1. Vehicle Mass Distribution, Test No. WZNP-1 | 121 |
| Figure C-1. Floor Pan Deformation Data – Set 1 (Right), Test No. WZNP-1 | 123 |
| Figure C-2. Floor Pan Deformation Data – Set 2 (Left), Test No. WZNP-1 | 124 |
| Figure C-3. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 1 (Left), Test No. WZNP-1 | 125 |
| Figure C-4. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 1 (Right), Test No. WZNP-1 | 126 |
| Figure C-5. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 2 (Left), Test No. WZNP-1 | |
| Figure C-6. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 2 (Right), Test No. WZNP-1 | |
| Figure C-7 Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front Test No. WZNP-1 | 129 |
| Figure C-8 Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Left Test No WZNP-1 | 130 |
| Figure C-9 Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Right Test No. WZNP-1 | 131 |
| Figure C-10 Windshield Damage Test No WZNP-1 | 132 |
| Figure C-11 Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations - Left Test No WZNP-1 | 133 |
| Figure C-12 Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations - Right Test No WZNP-1 | 134 |
| Figure D-1. System $A = 10$ -ms Average Longitudinal Acceleration (SLICE-1). Test No | |
| WZNP-1 | 136 |
| Figure D-2 System A – Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-1) Test No | |
| WZNP-1 | 137 |
| Figure D-3 System A – Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-1) Test No | |
| WZNP-1 | 138 |
| Figure D-4 System A = 10 -ms Average I ateral Acceleration (SI ICE-1) Test No WZNP- | |
| 1 | 139 |
| Figure D-5 System A – Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-1) Test No. WZNP-1 | 140 |
| Figure D-6 System A – Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1 | 141 |
| Figure D-7 System A – Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1 | 142 |
| Figure D-8 System $A = Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1$ | 1/13 |
| Figure D-9. System $A = 10$ -ms Average Longitudinal Acceleration (SLICE-1), Test No. | +5 |
| W7ND_1 | 144 |
| Figure D-10 System A $-$ Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2) Test No | |
| W7NP_1 | 145 |
| Figure D-11 System A $-$ Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2) Test No | |
| W7ND_1 | 1/6 |
| Figure D-12 System $A = 10$ ms Average Lateral Acceleration (SLICE-2). Test No | |
| W7ND_1 | 1/7 |
| Figure D-13 System A - I steral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2) Test No. WZNP-1 | 1/18 |
| Figure D 14 System A Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE 2), Test No. WZNP 1 | 1/10 |
| Figure D 15 System A Vahiele Angular Displacements (SLICE 2), Test No. WZNP 1 | .149 |
| Figure D-15. System A – Venicle Aligural Displacements (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1 | .150 |
| Figure D-10. System A – Acceleration Seventy Index (SLICE-2), Test No. wZNP-1 | 131 |
| Figure D-17. System B – 10-ms Average Longitudinal Acceleration (SLICE-1), Test No. | 150 |
| WZNP-1 | 152 |
| Figure D-16. System B – Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-1), Test No. | 150 |
| W LINT-1 | 133 |
| гідине D-19. System D – Longitudinai Occupant Displacement (SLICE-1), Test NO. WZND 1 | 151 |
| Figure D 20 System B 10 mg Average I stored Acceleration (SI ICE 1) Test No. | 134 |
| Figure D-20. System D – 10-ms Average Lateral Acceleration (SLICE-1), Test NO. W7ND 1 | 155 |
| νν ∠_1NΓ-1 | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2. Survey summary of non-proprietary work-zone sign stands | Table 1. Survey summary of non-proprietary barricades | 3 |
|--|---|-----|
| Table 3. Crash data summary on luminaires, sign supports, and work-zone devices.5Table 4. MASH 2016 TL-3 crash test conditions for work-zone traffic control devices75Table 5. MASH 2016 evaluation criteria for work-zone traffic control devices76Table 6. Weather conditions, Test No. WZNP-186Table 7. Sequential description of impact events, System A87Table 8. Sequential description of impact events, System B87Table 9. Maximum occupant compartment deformations by location89Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-190Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation102Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1106 | Table 2. Survey summary of non-proprietary work-zone sign stands | 4 |
| Table 4. MASH 2016 TL-3 crash test conditions for work-zone traffic control devices75Table 5. MASH 2016 evaluation criteria for work-zone traffic control devices76Table 6. Weather conditions, Test No. WZNP-186Table 7. Sequential description of impact events, System A87Table 8. Sequential description of impact events, System B87Table 9. Maximum occupant compartment deformations by location89Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-1102Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1106 | Table 3. Crash data summary on luminaires, sign supports, and work-zone devices | 5 |
| Table 5. MASH 2016 evaluation criteria for work-zone traffic control devices76Table 6. Weather conditions, Test No. WZNP-186Table 7. Sequential description of impact events, System A87Table 8. Sequential description of impact events, System B87Table 9. Maximum occupant compartment deformations by location89Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-190Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation102Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1106 | Table 4. MASH 2016 TL-3 crash test conditions for work-zone traffic control devices | 75 |
| Table 6. Weather conditions, Test No. WZNP-1 | Table 5. MASH 2016 evaluation criteria for work-zone traffic control devices | 76 |
| Table 7. Sequential description of impact events, System A | Table 6. Weather conditions, Test No. WZNP-1 | 86 |
| Table 8. Sequential description of impact events, System B87Table 9. Maximum occupant compartment deformations by location89Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-190Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation102Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1106 | Table 7. Sequential description of impact events, System A | 87 |
| Table 9. Maximum occupant compartment deformations by location89Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-190Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation102Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1106 | Table 8. Sequential description of impact events, System B | 87 |
| Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-190Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation | Table 9. Maximum occupant compartment deformations by location | 89 |
| Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation102Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1106 | Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-1 | 90 |
| Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1106 | Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation | 102 |
| | Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1 | 106 |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was conducted under the Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative (SWZDI) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Pooled Fund Study TPF-5(295), involving the following state departments of transportation:

- Iowa (lead state)
- Kansas
- Missouri
- Nebraska
- Wisconsin

The authors would like to thank the FHWA, the Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT), and the other pooled fund state partners for their financial support and technical assistance.

The authors would like to thank Matt Neemann (Nebraska DOT), Brian Smith (Iowa DOT), Michael Seifert (WisDOT), and Matt Rauch (WisDOT) for serving on the technical advisory committee and providing guidance throughout the project. The authors wish to acknowledge TrafFix Devices Inc. of San Clemente, California for donating the barricade panels and the Midwest Roadside Safety Facility (MwRSF) personnel for constructing the barricades and conducting the crash test.

UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT STATEMENT

MwRSF has determined the uncertainty of measurements for several parameters involved in standard full-scale crash testing of roadside safety features. Information regarding the uncertainty of measurements for critical parameters is available upon request by the sponsor and the Federal Highway Administration.

INDEPENDENT APPROVING AUTHORITY

The Independent Approving Authority (IAA) for the data contained herein was Dr. Cody S. Stolle, Research Assistant Professor.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this research effort was to evaluate the performance of a non-proprietary workzone safety device, such as a work-zone sign support or barricade. The research team made recommendations on the performance and usage of work-zone devices based on the background research in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Project No. 3-119, a survey sent to the Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative (SWZDI) state departments of transportation (DOTs), as well as additional background review on past NCHRP Report 350 crash tests. A Type III barricade that is commonly used by SWZDI state DOTs was selected for the full-scale crash testing program. The Type III barricade was evaluated according to the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO's) *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware, Second Edition* (MASH 2016) Test Level 3 (TL-3) test designation no. 3-71 safety criteria through two full-scale crash tests at 0-degree and 90-degree impact angles.

Test no. WZNP-1 was conducted on a Type III barricade in accordance with MASH 2016 test designation no. 3-71. Two Type III barricades were placed 60 ft (18.3 m) apart on level terrain. During the test, the 1100C small car impacted both barricades. The system readily activated in a predicable manner and allowed the 1100C vehicle to continue traveling without any major obstruction of the windshield. There were no detached elements nor fragments which showed potential for penetrating the occupant compartment nor presented undue hazard to other traffic. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could have caused serious injury did not occur. The test vehicle remained upright during and after the collisions. Therefore, test no. WZNP-1 was determined to be acceptable according to the MASH 2016 safety performance criteria for test designation no. 3-71.

The Type III barricade that was tested was non-proprietary and thus the components could be provided by any manufacturer. Each component should have the similar dimensions and material grade as the as-tested system. The barricade rails could vary some in dimension and cross-section appearance but should have similar properties to the panels that were tested.

INTRODUCTION

Background and Problem Statement

Through a project funded jointly through Dicke Safety Products, the Mid-America Transportation Center, and the Smart Work Zone Deployment Initiative (SWZDI) from 2008 to 2010, several work-zone sign stands were evaluated (1). These sign stands were crashworthy according to the crash testing and safety performance criteria in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350 (2). In 2009, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) implemented an updated standard for the evaluation of roadside hardware (3). The new standard, entitled the *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware* (MASH 2009), improved the criteria for evaluating roadside hardware beyond the previous NCHRP Report 350 standard through updates to test vehicles, test matrices, and impact conditions. However, when NCHRP Report 350 work-zone devices were subjected to the new MASH 2009 crash testing and safety performance criteria, several of the work-zone sign stands produced undesirable results, including windshield and floorboard penetration and excessive windshield and roof deformation. This testing indicated that devices tested under previous NCHRP Report 350 safety performance standards may not perform acceptably with the new MASH safety performance standards.

In an effort to encourage state departments of transportation (DOTs) and hardware developers to advance hardware designs, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and AASHTO collaborated to develop a MASH implementation policy that included sunset dates for various roadside hardware categories. Further, the MASH 2009 safety criteria were also updated in 2016, thus resulting in the MASH 2016 document (4). There were no changes to the impact conditions or safety performance criteria for work-zone devices from MASH 2009 to MASH 2016. The new policy by the FHWA and AASHTO required that temporary work-zone devices installed on federal aid roadways after December 31, 2019 are evaluated to MASH 2016.

NCHRP Project 03-119 is currently being conducted to evaluate the in-service safety performance of breakaway sign supports, luminaires, and work-zone devices, and evaluate these devices to MASH 2016 (*5*). This NCHRP Project began with identifying devices, an agency survey, and contact with practitioners to identify current practices related to the use of work-zone traffic control devices. Researchers identified a list of non-proprietary safety work-zone devices in common use as well as insights on safety performance issues associated with each of them. However, it is not likely that very many work-zone traffic control devices will be evaluated under the NCHRP Project. Therefore, there is a need to conduct research for crash testing and evaluating the MASH 2016 crashworthiness performance of non-proprietary work-zone traffic control devices. Successful testing of these devices would provide state DOTs a non-proprietary MASH 2016-tested work-zone device.

Objective

The objective of this research effort was to evaluate the performance of a non-proprietary workzone safety device, such as a work-zone sign support or barricade. The research team made recommendations on the performance and usage of work-zone devices based on the background research in NCHRP Project 3-119 and selected one that is commonly used by SWZDI state DOTs for full-scale crash testing. The selected device's performance was to be evaluated according to the MASH 2016 Test Level 3 (TL-3) safety criteria through two full-scale crash tests at 0-degree and 90-degree impact angles. In these tests, the selected work-zone device was impacted by an 1100C passenger car at an impact speed of 62 mph (100 km/h), as required by MASH 2016. A summary report was completed detailing the crash testing of a non-proprietary work-zone sign support or barricade as well as the recommendations for safe implementation of the work-zone sign support or barricade.

The research study was directed toward improving the safety and minimizing risk for the motoring public traveling within our nation's work zones and on our highways and roadways. More specifically, this project would address the goal of SWZDI, which is "to develop improved methods and products for addressing safety and mobility in work zones by evaluating new technologies and methods, thereby enhancing safety and efficiency of traffic operations and highway workers. The project is a public partnership between the sponsoring public transportation agencies in several Midwestern States, the FHWA, private technology providers and university transportation researchers."

NCHRP PROJECT 3-119 BACKGROUND

NCHRP Project 3-119 is an ongoing project to evaluate the crash performance of breakaway sign and luminaire supports and crashworthy work-zone traffic control devices that are nonproprietary and commonly used. Several methods were used to determine usage and the likelihood of these devices meeting MASH 2016 evaluation criteria, including a use survey, prior crash testing, and crash data analysis. Then, computer simulations and crash tests would be utilized to determine crashworthiness and/or modifications needed to obtain MASH 2016 crashworthiness.

During NCHRP Project 3-119, a survey was sent to state DOTs, contractors, national agencies, county and city engineers, research facilities, and industry groups to determine the current practices related to the use of work-zone traffic control devices. However, there were several limitations to the survey in regards to non-proprietary work-zone traffic control devices. Most state DOTs allow contractors to choose which devices are utilized as long as they have FHWA eligibility letters and very few state DOTs have an Approved Products List or Qualified Products List for these devices. Thus, they do not know which specific systems are utilized. Additionally, many states use proprietary work-zone sign stands, and some use proprietary barricades. A larger percent of states use non-proprietary barricades than work-zone sign stands. A summary of the survey data on non-proprietary barricades is shown in Table 1.

| Barricade | | | Number of States | |
|-----------|---|--------------------|------------------|--|
| Туре | System Name | System Origin | Using System | |
| | Type I Universal Plastic | Bent Manufacturing | 2 | |
| Tuno I | Barricade | Company | 5 | |
| I ype I | Type I Waffle Board | Bent Manufacturing | 2 | |
| | Barricade | Company | 2 | |
| | Type II Universal Plastic | Bent Manufacturing | 3 | |
| | Barricade | Company | 5 | |
| Tupo II | Type II Waffle Board | Bent Manufacturing | 2 | |
| I ype II | Barricade | Company | 2 | |
| | Type II Wood Panel – | Bent Manufacturing | 2 | |
| | Metal Leg Barricade | Company | 2 | |
| | Tuna III Parrianda | Bent Manufacturing | 3 | |
| | Type III Bailleade | Company | | |
| | Plasticade Telespar Type III Barricade | Plasticade | 3 | |
| | Illinois L-Channel Type III | Illinois Approved | 1 | |
| Type III | Barricade | Vendors | 1 | |
| | Michigan Type III | Michigan Approved | 1 | |
| | Barricade | Vendors | 1 | |
| | Minnesota Type III | Minnesota Approved | 1 | |
| | Barricade | Vendors | 1 | |
| | Type III Hollow Core | N/A | 1 | |
| | Plastic Barricade | 11/71 | 1 | |

 Table 1. Survey summary of non-proprietary barricades

Usage data for non-proprietary work-zone sign stands could not be determined, so a list of known non-proprietary work-zone sign stands was collected as is shown in Table 2.

| System Name (Source) | FHWA Eligibility Letter Nos. |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Temporary Sign Stand (Michigan DOT) | WZ-149 |
| 4x5 Portable Rigid Panel Sign Support (Michigan DOT) | N/A |
| Rigid Panel Portable Sign Support (Minnesota DOT) | WZ-133 |
| Large Combination Temporary Support (Minnesota DOT) | N/A |
| Stop Sign Stand (Minnesota DOT) | N/A |
| Route Marker Assembly Sign Support (Minnesota DOT) | N/A |
| 24" x 36" and 48" x 36" Work-Zone Speed Limit Sign Support (Minnesota DOT) | N/A |
| 48" x 48" Diamond Panel Work-Zone Sign Support with 5' Mounting Height (Minnesota DOT) | N/A |
| 48" x 48" Diamond Panel Work-Zone Sign Support with 7' Mounting Height (Minnesota DOT) | N/A |
| Stop Sign Support with 7' Mounting Height (Minnesota DOT) | N/A |
| H-Footprint Sign Stand (Pennsylvania DOT) | WZ-266 |
| X-Footprint Sign Stand (Pennsylvania DOT) | WZ-266 |

Table 2. Survey summary of non-proprietary work-zone sign stands

A safety performance assessment was conducted, which provided a general review of limited accident data for trends, compiled safety performance knowledge and insights from DOT staff, and obtained data from a very limited number of crash reports (e.g., vehicle type, impact point, and crash severity) to supplement opinions. General crash data from eight state DOTs was collected and evaluated over a three- to five-year period. Several factors were to be identified: (1) the frequency of non-proprietary devices not performing as intended in real-world crashes, (2) the number of failures (injuries/fatalities, injury/fatal crashes, unintended system behavior) for specific devices divided by the number of police-reported crashes into specific devices (category, make, model, etc.), and (3) the number of crashes into non-performing specific devices divided by the number of police-reported crashes into specific devices. However, due to the limited scope of this task, this information was not obtained. Thus, only the general frequency of crashes into work-zone devices was determined. The number of device failures, or unsatisfactory performance, could not be determined. However, the number of injuries and fatalities or injury and fatal crashes into general system categories was found, as shown in Table 3. Table 3 contains crash data on luminaire poles, sign supports, and work-zone traffic control devices.

| | | | Total # of | Total # of | Total # of | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| State | | Total # of | Non-Injury | Injury | Fatal | |
| (Data Years) | Object Struck | Crashes | Crashes | Crashes | Crashes | |
| | | Most Harmful Event | | | | |
| California (2009-2013) | Traffic Sign/Sign Post | 5932 | 4581 | 1286 | 65 | |
| | Light or Signal Pole | 3090 | 2364 | 714 | 12 | |
| | Other Signs Not Traffic | 91 | 60 | 28 | 3 | |
| | Temp Barricade, Cones, Etc. | 1713 | 1277 | 419 | 17 | |
| Indiana (2011-2015) | | First Harmful Event | | | | |
| | Highway Traffic Sign Support | 3625 | 3267 | 345 | 13 | |
| | Light/Luminaire Support | 3434 | 2980 | 448 | 6 | |
| | Other Post/Pole or Support | 9112 | 8108 | 991 | 13 | |
| | Work Zone Maintenance Equipment | 400 | 336 | 59 | 5 | |
| | | First Harmful Event | | | | |
| Kansas (2011-2015) | Work Zone Signs | 43 | 34 | 9 | 0 | |
| | Work Zone Barricades | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | |
| | | Most Harmful Event (Unit1) | | | | |
| North Carolina (2013-2015) | Official Highway Sign Breakaway | 322 | 271 | 50 | 1 | |
| | Official Highway Sign Non-Breakaway | 241 | 200 | 40 | 1 | |
| | Luminaire Pole Breakaway | 21 | 14 | 7 | 0 | |
| | Luminaire Pole Non-Breakaway | 24 | 12 | 12 | 0 | |
| South Dakota (2011-2015) | | Most Harmful Event | | | | |
| | Highway Traffic Sign Post | 927 | 821 | 102 | 4 | |
| | Light/Luminaire Support | 624 | 491 | 133 | 0 | |
| | Other Post, Pole, or Support | 205 | 171 | 34 | 0 | |
| | | First Harmful Event | | | | |
| | Highway Traffic Sign Post | 1030 | 882 | 143 | 5 | |
| | Light/Luminaire Support | 594 | 457 | 137 | 0 | |
| | Other Post, Pole, or Support | 233 | 181 | 50 | 2 | |
| | | Most Harmful Event | | | | |
| Utah (2011-2015) | Traffic Sign Support | 1407 | 1245 | 160 | 2 | |
| | Utility Pole/Light Support | 2529 | 1713 | 800 | 16 | |
| | Other Post, Pole, or Support | 1354 | 1063 | 279 | 12 | |
| | | First Harmful Event | | | | |
| | Traffic Sign Support | 1484 | 1239 | 238 | 7 | |
| | Utility Pole/Light Support | 2274 | 1566 | 697 | 11 | |
| | Other Post, Pole, or Support | 1327 | 1029 | 289 | 9 | |
| Washington (2011-2015) | | First Harmful Event | | | | |
| | Metal/Wood Sign Post | 5856 | 4715 | 1114 27 | | |
| | Street Light Pole or Base | 3354 | 2562 | 781 | 11 | |
| | Temporary Sign, Barricade or Construction | 332 | 250 | 78 | 4 | |
| | Material | | | | | |
| Wisconsin (2010-2015) | | First Harmful Event | | | | |
| | Traffic Signs | 121 | 107 | 14 | 0 | |
| | Light Pole | 73 | 57 | 16 | 0 | |
| | Other Posts | 20 | 17 | 3 | 0 | |

Table 3. Crash data summary on luminaires, sign supports, and work-zone devices

N/A = not available

The object struck varied by each state DOT, so related categories were collected. Work-zone traffic control devices were specifically categorized by California, Kansas, and Washington, but these crashes could also contain impacts with other hardware besides work-zone traffic control devices. It is unknown how other state DOTs categorize impacts with these devices.

State DOT accident databases with a focus on non-proprietary devices in common use were collected and evaluated. From the crash data, several general conclusions were drawn:

- In approximately ¼ of the impacts with work-zone signs, the crash reports noted that the crashes occurred due to signs blowing around from the wind. Thus, utilizing signs with more ballast may be necessary to prevent these crashes.
- Crashes into work-zone barricades and signs primarily occurred on Interstate, US, and State routes that had speed limits of between 25 to 35 mph (40 to 56 km/h) or between 55 to 65 mph (89 to 105 km/h). The most common vehicle type associated with crashes into work-zone barricades and signs was passenger cars, followed by pickup trucks.

There were several limitations with the crash data that did not allow for more specific conclusions, including type of device impacted and system performance to be determined. Even with the crash reports or reconstructed crashes, this information could not be obtained. Limitations of the state DOT crash data include:

- Not all crash databases recorded all of the desired data.
- It was difficult to discern specific objects impacted. For example, most state DOTs did not code work-zone traffic control devices as a specific Object Struck. One state DOT had work-zone data that discerned if the impact was with a barricade, cone, sign, etc.; however, the manufacturer or specific configuration was unknown. Proprietary versus non-proprietary was not determined.
- Some of the data were coded incorrectly.
- Not all crashes were reported. Therefore, there is likely an under-sampling of propertydamage only crashes.
- The full crash reports were obtained for a few cases, but the specific object struck or device performance was still not discernable.
- It was difficult to determine whether vehicle damage or occupant injury actually resulted from the object struck, even if it was the first or most harmful event. This was especially true with the first harmful event. When looking at Kansas crash data where a barricade was impacted as the first harmful event, four incapacitating injuries occurred. However, when reviewing the individual crash reports, the barricades likely did not contribute to any incapacitating injuries. The researchers assumed that the object struck and injury level were directly related, which likely overestimated the number of injuries caused by the safety devices.
- Device performance was not discerned for almost every crash.

SWZDI STATE SURVEY

Since the NCHRP Project 3-119 survey did not provide definitive usage information, the SWZDI state DOTs were asked to provide recommendations on work-zone traffic control devices for which MASH 2016 testing would be the most beneficial. Each state was asked to recommend one or two work-zone devices to be tested and updated to meet the new MASH 2016 standard. The project was to focus on work-zone barricades and portable/temporary sign stands that were preferably non-proprietary systems widely used in those states.

Iowa DOT responded with a Type III barricade. Wisconsin responded with a temporary gore sign, similar to what is shown in Figure 1.



6a. All lumber is #2 yellow pine, and lumber and plywood shall be pressure treated for weather and insect resistance (Wolmanized or comparable treatment).



Figure 1. Wisconsin Temporary Gore Sign

Kansas DOT had several recommendations:

- Type III barricades tested with warning lights mounted in various ways (attached directly to the rail, attached directly to the post, attached to a generic metal bracket that is attached to the post, etc.)
- Portable Changeable Message Sign trailers and arrow board trailers
- A-frame signs
- Warning lights on portable signs
- Signs mounted to cones/conical delineators/drums/etc.

Nebraska DOT also had several recommendations:

- Tall perforated square tube sign stand. The stand would be similar to the one in the WZ-266 eligibility letter (6-7), as shown in Figure 2, but with two vertical posts, spaced at 30 in. (762 mm) to hold a 48-in. (1,219-mm) diamond sign, not one post as shown. The base could be an H or a box frame.
- Type III barricade attached to sign posts.
- Short perforated steel square tube (PSST) sign stand-similar to WZ-129 eligibility letter (8), as shown in Figure 3.
- Sign installation on a Type III barricade. The sign could be a 48-in. (1,219-mm) wide "Road Closed" sign or a 48-in. (1,219-mm) diamond sign.



Figure 2. WZ-266 H-Base Sign Stand (6-7)





48" x 48" Rigid Panel System

- Vertical Upright Masts 2" sq. x 0.177" wall x 48.375" long ASTM A500 Grade B steel tubing
- Lower and Upper Horizontal Bars 2" sq. x 0.177" wall x 33" long ASTM A500 Grade B steel tubing
 Legs, Horizontal Partian 2" x 2" x 0.183" thickness x 48" long L-shaped ASTM A36 steel angle
 Legs, Vertical Stub 2.5" sq. x 0.179" wall x 7" long ASTM A500 Grade B steel tubing

- Lower and upper harizontal bars are welded to the vertical upright mosts
- Vertical stub of the leg is welded to the horizontal portion of the leg on two sides
 Mosts slide inside vertical stub of legs -- No bolt or fastening device used
- Sign Ponel Reflective aluminum, 48" wide x 48" long with a 0.1105" thickness
- · Panel fastened to vertical mast supports and upper horizontal bar with 0.3125" diameter x 3.25" long Grade 5 bolts

Figure 3. WZ-129 H-Base Sign Stand (8)

There were two general categories that were deemed as needs for the states: (1) Type III barricades and (2) portable signs. Almost all non-proprietary portable signs have been historically made of PSST. Additionally, the Wisconsin temporary gore sign is made of wood. Several non-proprietary Type III barricades have previously been tested to NCHRP Report 350 and include steel angle or PSST legs and uprights. Further background review was desired on these two categories of devices for MASH 2016 evaluation to select a system for testing.

PREVIOUS CRASH TESTING REVIEW

Prior successful NCHRP Report 350 TL-3 crash tests on work-zone signs and barricades were reviewed. The results of the tests and key features of the systems were used to select a system for MASH 2016 full-scale crash testing.

Non-Proprietary Work-Zone Sign Stands

One PSST sign stand was originally tested by Midwest Roadside Safety Facility (MwRSF) in test nos. DPS-8 and DPS-9, as shown in Figure 3, at an impact angle of 0 degrees and 90 degrees, respectively (8). The system had two steel angles with a nominal thickness of $\frac{3}{16}$ in. (5 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system. In addition to the H-base, there were also lower and upper horizontal bars made of 2-in. x 33-in. (51-mm x 838-mm) long square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{3}{16}$ in. (5 mm). The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 2-in. (51-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{3}{16}$ in. (5 mm) and a length of 48 9/16 in. (1,233 mm), and a 2¹/₂-in. (64-mm) square tube with a thickness of $3/_{16}$ in. (5 mm) and a length of 7 in. (178 mm). The vertical portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on two sides. The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. A 48-in. x 48-in. x ¹/₈ in. thick (1,220-mm x 1,220-mm x 3-mm) aluminum diamond-shaped sign was attached to the 2-in. (51-mm) tube with $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. (8-mm) Grade 5 bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 12 in. (305 mm). The system was evaluated with 0-degree and 90-degree orientations and passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

Several PSST sign stands were originally tested by MwRSF and sponsored by the Minnesota DOT (9). One system was successful. In test no. MNS-2, system number 3 had two 1^{3} -in. x 60-in. (45-mm x 1,520-mm) long telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. System No. 3 Sign Details, Test MNS-2 (9)

The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 1½-in. (38-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 80 in. (2,030 mm) and a 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ -in. (45-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 12 in. (302 mm). The vertical portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. Two sign panels were attached to the sign stand. The top panel was a 36-in. x 24-in. x $\frac{1}{8}$ -in. (915-mm x 610-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The bottom panel was a 36-in. x 48-in. x $\frac{1}{8}$ -in. (914-mm x 1,218-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The panels were attached to the 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. (38-mm) tube with $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. (8-mm) pan head bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign was 14 in. (344 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The system was evaluated with a 0-degree orientation and passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

One PSST sign stand was originally tested by MwRSF and sponsored by the Michigan DOT (10). In test no. MI-3, system numbers 5 and 6 had two 2-in. x 72-in. (51-mm x 1,829-mm) long telespar steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{4}$ in. (6 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 5.



Modified Portable Rigid Panel System

- Vertical Upright Mast = 44.45 nn x 44.45 nn x 2.16 nn wall x 2743 long galvanized telespar steel tubing
 Dutside Vertical Upright Tubing = 5000 nm x 5000 nm x 2.77 nn wall x 912 nn long galvanized telespar steel tubing
 Legs. Horizontal Portion = 50.80 nn x 50.80 nn x 6.35
- nn thicknesses x 1829 nn long L-shaped steel angle
- Legs, Vertical Stub 50.80 nn x 50.80 nn x 2.67 nm wall x 152 nm long steel tubing
- All telespar steel tubing contain 9.53 nm daneter punched holes, spaced 25.40 nm on center, along the total length
- Vertical stub of the leg is tack welded to harizontal
- portion of the leg on three sides Dutside stiffening tubes slide over the vertical upright masts and are bolted at the top and bottom of the stiffening tubes with 7.9 mm x 51 mm coarse bolts with nut and washer
- Nasts slide inside vertical stub of legs No bolt or
- fasenting device used * Panel Reflective plywood, 1219 nm wide x 1219 nm long with a 15.88 nn thickness
- Panel fastened to vertical most supports with quickrelease 95 nn x 76 nn - 16 zinc coated steel hex bolts with 14.3 nm nut and 38.1 nm x 1.6 nm thick flat washer
- Light "Enpco-Lite", model no. 400 Type A Flashing Warning Light attached to the corner of the sign panel with only one battery placed in the box at the outernost slot in the battery box
- * Bolt for the warning light was placed 51 nn in from and perpendicular to each edge line of the panel or along the centerline drawn between the two side corners of the panel
- Ballast 15.88-kg of sandbags at end of each leg

Figure 5. System No. 5 and 6 Sign Details, Test MI-3 (10)

The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 1³/₄-in. (44mm) telespar steel square tube with a thickness of ¹/₁₆ in. (2 mm) and a length of 108 in. (2,743 mm) and a 2-in. (51-mm) telespar steel square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (2 mm) and a length of 6 in. (152 mm). The outside vertical mast was a 2-in. (51-mm) telespar steel square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 36 in. (912 mm). The vertical portion of the leg was tack welded to the horizontal portion on three sides. The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The sign panel was a 48-in. x 48-in. x ⁵/₈-in. thick (1,219-mm x 1,219-mm x 16-mm) plywood sign. The panel was attached to the upright masts with sixteen ³/₈-in. (10-mm) zinc coated steel hex bolts with a ⁹/₁₆-in. (14-mm) nut and a 1¹/₂-in. x ¹/₁₆-in. (38-mm x 2-mm) flat washer. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 60 in. (1,524 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 35-lb (16-kg) sandbag on each leg. The system was evaluated with 0-degree and 90-degree orientations and passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

Several PSST sign stands were originally tested by MwRSF and sponsored by the Minnesota DOT (11). Seven of the sign stands tested met NCHRP Report 350 standards. The system description and test results for these seven systems are summarized below.

The system in test no. MN1C had two 2-in. x 60-in. (51-mm x 1,524-mm) long telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 6.

The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 2¹/₄-in. (57mm) square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 60 in. (1,524 mm) and a 2¹/₂-in. (64-mm) square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 36 in. (914 mm). The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The mast slid over the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The vertical stub on the base was made of a 2-in. (51-mm) steel square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 12 in. (305 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. There was one 30-in. x 30-in. (813-mm x 813-mm) sign panel attached to the sign stand mast with two ⁵/₁₆-in. x 3-in. (8-mm x 76-mm) Grade 5 plug bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 34¹/₂ in. (876 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The test was performed with the sign at 0-degree and 90-degree orientations, and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

The system in test no. MN2C had two 2-in. x 60-in. (51-mm x 1,524-mm) long telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 7.

The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a $2\frac{1}{4}$ -in. (57-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 60 in. (1,524 mm), and a $2\frac{1}{2}$ -in. (64-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 36 in. (914 mm). The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The

mast slid over the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The vertical stub on the base was made of a 2-in. (51-mm) steel square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 12 in. (305 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. There were three sign panels attached to the sign stand mast with two $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. x 3-in. (8-mm x 76-mm) Grade 5 plug bolts per panel. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was $22\frac{1}{8}$ in. (562 mm) and the top height was $74\frac{1}{8}$ in. (1,883 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The test was performed with the sign at 0-degree and 90-degree orientations, and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

The system in test no. MN3B had two $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. x 60-in. (38-mm x 1,524-mm) long telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 8.

The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 1³/₄-in. (44mm) square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 92 in. (2,337 mm), and a 2-in. (51-mm) square tube with a thickness of 0.158 in. (4.0 mm) and a length of 36 in. (914 mm). The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The vertical stub on the base was made of a 1¹/₂-in. (38-mm) steel square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 11⁷/₈ in. (302 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. There were two sign panels attached to the sign stand masts. The top panel was a 36-in. x 24-in. x ¹/₈-in. (914-mm x 610-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The bottom panel was a 36-in. x 48-in. x ¹/₈-in. (914-mm x 1219-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The panels were attached to the masts with four ⁵/₁₆-in. x 2¹/₂-in. (8-mm x 64mm) Grade 5 plug bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 24³/₈ in. (619 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The test was performed with the sign at 0-degree and 90-degree orientations, and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

The system in test no. MN5A had two $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. (38-mm) telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 9.

Each tube forming the H-base was 60 in. (1,524 mm) long. The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 60 in. (1,524 mm), and a 2-in. (51-mm) square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 36 in. (914 mm). The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The vertical stub on the base was made of a 1¹/₂-in. (38-mm) steel square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 11⁷/₈ in. (302 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. The sign panel was a 36-in. x ¹/₈-in. (914-mm x 914-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The panel was attached to the masts with four ⁵/₁₆-in. x 2¹/₂-in. (8-mm x 64-mm) Grade 5 plug bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 29¹/₈ in. (740 mm). The sign stand

was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The test was performed with the sign at a 0-degree orientation, and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

The system in test no. MN6A had two 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 10.

Each tube forming the H-base was 72 in. (1,829 mm) long. The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 2-in. (51-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 107% in. (2,740 mm), and a $\frac{21}{4}$ -in. (57-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 36 in. (914 mm). The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The vertical stub on the base was made of a 13/4-in. (44-mm) steel square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 12 in. (305 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. The sign panel was a 48-in. x $\frac{1}{8}$ -in. (1,219-mm x 1,219-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The panel was attached to the masts with four $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. x $\frac{2}{2}$ -in. (8-mm x 64-mm) Grade 5 plug bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 691/8 in. (1,756 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The test was performed with the sign at 0-degree and 90-degree orientations, and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

The system in test no. MN7A had two $1\frac{3}{4}$ -in. (44-mm) telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 11.

Each tube forming the H-base was 72 in. (1,829 mm) long. The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of two parts: a 2-in. (51-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 131 in. (3,327 mm), and a $\frac{2}{4}$ -in. (57-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3-mm) and a length of $\frac{357}{8}$ in. (911 mm). The tubes were nested inside of each other to provide height adjustment to the sign assembly. The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The vertical stub on the base was made of a $1\frac{3}{4}$ -in. (44-mm) steel square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 12 in. (305 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. The sign panel was a 48-in. x $\frac{1}{8}$ -in. (1,219-mm x 1,219-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The panel was attached to the masts with four $\frac{3}{8}$ -in. x $\frac{23}{4}$ -in. (10-mm x 70-mm) Grade 5 plug bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 84 in. (2,134 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The test was performed with the sign at 0-degree and 90-degree orientations, and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

The system in test no. MN8A had two 2-in. x 60-in. (51-mm x 1,524-mm) long telespar steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 12.

The vertical support of the temporary single sign support consisted of a 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 110 in. (2,794 mm). The masts slid inside the vertical portion of the legs and no bolts or fastening devices were used. The vertical stub on the base was made of a 2-in. (51-mm) steel square tube with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 12 in. (305 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. The sign panel was a 30-in. x 30-in. x $\frac{1}{8}$ -in. (762-mm x 762-mm x 3-mm) thick aluminum sign. The panel was attached to the masts with two $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. x $\frac{21}{2}$ -in. (8-mm x 64-mm) Grade 5 plug bolts. The mounting height to the bottom of the sign blank was 84³/₈ in. (2,143 mm). The sign stand was ballasted with a 45-lb (20-kg) sandbag on each leg. The test was performed with the sign at a 0-degree orientation, and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.

The H-base system described in test no. WZ-266 had two 1³/₄-in. x 36-in. (44-mm x 914-mm) steel square steel tubes with a nominal wall thickness of 1/8 in. (3 mm) that formed the legs of an H-base assembly system, as shown in Figure 2. The cross member for the base was made of the same tubing but was 24 in. (610 mm) long. The vertical support of the temporary single sign support was a 2-in. (51-mm) square tube with a thickness of ¹/₈ in. (3 mm) and a length of 96 in. (2,438 mm). The masts slid over the vertical stub portion of the legs. The vertical stub on the base was made of a $1\frac{3}{4}$ -in. (44-mm) steel square tube, with a thickness of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (3 mm) and a length of 16 in. (406 mm). The vertical stub portion of the leg was welded to the horizontal portion on all four sides. The mast was fastened to the vertical stub with $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. (8-mm) diameter Grade 5 zinc plated bolts with a length of 21/4 in. (57 mm) and nylon insert lock nuts. In addition, ³/₈-in. (10-mm) steel and nylon washers were used under both the bolt and the nut. There was one sign panel attached to the sign stand mast. The panel was a 36-in. x 24-in. x ¹/₄-in. (914-mm x 610-mm x 6-mm) thick aluminum sign. The panels were attached to the masts with four $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. x 2¹/₄-in. (8-mm x 57-mm) long nylon fully threaded hex headed bolts and nylon insert nuts. The test was performed with the sign at a 0-degree orientation and the test passed all NCHRP Report 350 evaluation criteria.


Figure 6. Stop Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN1C0 (11)



Figure 7. Route Marker Assembly Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN2C0 (11)



Figure 8. Work-Zone Speed Limit Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN3B0-2 (11)



Figure 9. 36-in. (914-mm) Diamond Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN5A0 (11)



Figure 10. 48-in. (1,219-mm) Diamond Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN6A0 (11)



Figure 11. 48-in. (1,219-mm) Diamond Panel Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN7A0 (11)



Figure 12. Stop Sign Panel Work-Zone Sign System, Bogie Test No. MN8A0 (11)

The Texas DOT sponsored a project conducted by Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) that tested single mast, H-base sign stands with several different nested mast and sign panel connections (*12-13*). All of the sign stands had a 1³/₄-in. x 12-ga. (44-mm x 3-mm) PSST H-base with two outer legs 48 in. (1,219 mm) in length and one horizontal leg 48 in. (1,219 mm) in length. All sign stands had a single PSST vertical mast fabricated from nested 12-ga. x 1³/₄-in. (3-mm x 44-mm) and 1¹/₂-in. (38-mm) PSST. The lengths, nesting, and splice configurations of these tubes varied between designs. All designs utilized a 36-in. x 36-in. x ¹/₈-in. (914-mm x 914-mm x 3-mm) aluminum diamond-shaped panel mounted at a 7-ft (2.1-m) height to the bottom of the panel. The connection between the sign panel and mast varied between designs.

Several different connection designs were evaluated with MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71 with a 90-degree orientation (test nos. 490022-7-1, 490022-7-2, 490022-7-3) (*12*). All three tests were successful. Modifications to the systems were recommended through a FEA effort, and a MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 crash test (test no. 490022-7-4) was conducted at a 0 and 90-degree sign stand orientation. This system utilized a telescopic slip connection at a height of 60 in. (1,524 mm) to permit height adjustability, as shown in Figures 13 through 15. The system oriented at 0 degrees successfully passed MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 evaluation criteria. However, the system oriented at 90 degrees was unsuccessful due to roof penetration.

Further connection modifications were evaluated (13). The mast connection was modified with a shorter overlapped section, as shown in Figures 16 through 18, and evaluated with MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 with the system oriented at 90 degrees (test no. 490026-2-1). The system oriented at 90 degrees was unsuccessful due to roof penetration.

The mast connection was modified with a slip connection and the sign panel connection was modified to include fuse plates, designated Option B, as shown in Figures 19 through 21. MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71 at 0 degrees (test no. 490026-2-6), MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71 at 90 degrees (test no. 490026-2-4), MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 at 0 degrees (test no. 490026-2-8), and MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 at 90 degrees (test no. 490026-2-2) were all successful, and the system was recommended for use.

Another option was configured with the mast and sign panel connections at the same location near the bottom of the sign panel, designated Option C, as shown in Figures 22 through 24. MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71 at 0 degrees (test no. 490026-2-7), MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71 at 90 degrees (test no. 490026-2-5), MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 at 0 degrees (test no. 490026-2-9), and MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 at 90 degrees (test no. 490026-2-3) were all successful, and the system was recommended for use. All of these systems appeared to be very similar with slight changes in the mast and sign panel connections, however, they sign panel disengaged very differently, with some resulting in an unsuccessful performance.



Figure 13. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490022-7-4 (12)



Figure 14. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490022-7-4 (12) (Continued)



Figure 15. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490022-7-4 (12) (Continued)



Figure 16. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490026-2-1 (13)



Figure 17. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490026-2-1 (13) (Continued)



Figure 18. Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support, Test No. 490026-2-1 (13) (Continued)



Figure 19. Option B Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13)



Figure 20. Option B Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued)



Figure 21. Option B Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued)



Figure 22. Option C Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13)



Figure 23. Option C Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued)



Figure 24. Option C Temporary Work-Zone Sign Support (13) (Continued)

Work-Zone Barricades

Test nos. MNB-1 and MNB-2 were each conducted on aluminum Type III barricades (*14*). Two barricades were impacted for each test, as shown in Figures 25 and 26. All four barricades were similar, but the two barricades impacted in test no. MNB-2 included an aluminum sign panel with reflective material, while the two barricades in test no. MNB-1 did not. The vertical upright masts consisted of $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. x $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. x 5-ft (38-mm x 38-mm x 1.5-m) Telespar ASTM A-653 Grade 50 steel. The horizontal portion of the legs consisted of Telespar ASTM A-653 Grade 50 steel measuring $1\frac{3}{4}$ in. x 5 ft. (44 mm x 44 mm x 1.5 m) and the vertical component of the legs consisted of Telespar ASTM A-653 Grade 50 steel measuring $1\frac{3}{4}$ in. x 5 ft. (37 m) long, $7\frac{1}{4}$ in. (184 mm) wide, and $\frac{3}{4}$ in. (19 mm) thick. A sign panel was attached for test no. MNB-2 to the top aluminum panel and measured $2\frac{1}{2}$ ft x 4 ft (0.8 m x 1.2 m). Approximately 45-lb (20-kg) sandbags were placed on each end of each leg. All of the impacts on the barricade systems resulted in acceptable safety performance according to NCHRP Report 350.

Test no. MI-2 was conducted on two similar Type III barricades, shown in Figure 27, one impacted at 0 degrees and one impacted at 90 degrees (*10*). The vertical upright masts were composed of $1\frac{3}{4}$ -in. x $1\frac{3}{4}$ -in. x 5-ft (44-mm x 44-mm x 1.5-m) telespar galvanized steel tubing. The horizontal portion of the legs was composed of ASTM A-36 steel measuring 2 in. x 2 in. x 4 ft (51 mm x 51 mm x 1.2 m) and the vertical component of the legs was composed of steel tubing measuring 6 in. (152 mm) in height. The three wood panels were 12 ft (3.7 m) long, $7\frac{1}{4}$ in. (184 mm) wide, and $3\frac{3}{4}$ in. (19 mm) thick. The diameter of each of the three warning lights on the barrier was $7\frac{3}{8}$ in. (187 mm). Approximately 70-lb (32-kg) sandbags were placed on each end of each leg. No sign panel was present. The system performed acceptably to NCHRP Report 350 TL-3 when impacted at 90 degrees, but not at 0 degrees.





ALUMINUM TYPE III BARRICADE

- Vertical Upright Masts 38.13 mm x 38.05 mm x 3.07 mm wall x 1524 mm long telespor galvanized steel
- Legs, Horizontal Portion 44.67 mm x 44.45 mm x 1.93 mm wall x 1528 mm long telespor galvanized steel
- Legs, Vertical Portion 44.36 mm x 44.28 mm x 1.94 mm wall x 305 mm long telespar galvanized steel
- All telespar steel tubing contain 11.35 mm diameter punched holes, spaced 25.31 mm on center, along the total length
- Small Panels aluminum "dog-bone" extrusions
 Top Panel 229 mm wide x 1829 mm long
 Middle Panel 229 mm wide x 1829 mm long
- Bottom Panel 229 mm wide x 1829 mm long
 Bottom Panel 230 mm wide x 1829 mm long
- Ballast 20.4-kg sandbag at end of each leg
- Panels fastened to vertical supports with 50.8 mm corner bolts
- Vertical portion of leg is welded to horizontal partian on all four sides
- Mosts slide inside vertical partial of legs -- No bolt or fastening device used

Figure 25. Test No. MNB-1 Type III Barricade (14)







ALUMINUM TYPE III BARRICADE

- Vertical Upright Masts 38.17 mm x 38.07 mm x 3.05 mm wall x 1528 mm long telespar galvanized steel
- Legs, Horizontal Portion 44.60 mm x 44.50 mm x 1.93 mm wall x 1528 mm long telespor galvanized steel
- Legs, Vertical Portion 44.30 mm x 44.27 mm x 1.92 mm wall x 306 mm long telespar galvanized steel
- All telespar steel tubing contain 11.34 mm diameter punched holes, spaced 25.24 mm on center, along the total length
- * Small Panels aluminum "dog-bone" extrusions
 - Top Panel 230 mm wide x 1829 mm long
 - Middle Panel 230 mm wide x 1829 mm long
- Bottom Ponel 229 mm wide x 1829 mm long
- * Bollost 20.4-kg sondbag at end of each leg
- Panels fastened to vertical supports with 50.8 mm corner bolts
- Vertical portion of leg is welded to horizontal portion on all four sides
- Masts slide inside vertical portion of legs -- No bolt or fastening device used

RIGID SIGN

- Panel Reflective aluminum, 765 mm x 1219 mm with 2.70 mm thickness
- Attached to top barricade panel with 7.9 mm x 57.2 mm pan head bolts with a 76.2-mm square washer on back side of barricade panel

Figure 26. Test No. MNB-2 Type III Barricade (14)



Type III Barricade System

- * Vertical Upright Mast 44.70 mm x 44.96 mm x 2.59 mm wall x 2940 long telespar galvanized steel tubing
- * Legs, Horizontal Portion 50.80 mm x 50.80 mm x 4.69 mm thicknesses x 1222 mm long L-shaped steel angle
- * Legs, Vertical Stub 50.80 mm x 50.80 mm x 2.64 mm wall x 151 mm long steel tubing
- * All telespar steel tuking contain 9.53 mm diameter punched holes, spaced 25.40 mm on center, along the total length
- * Vertical stub of the leg is tack welded to horizontal portion of the leg on three sides
- * Masts slide inside vertical stub of legs No bolt or fasenting device used
- * Top Barricade Panel Reflective wood, 184 mm wide x 3662 mm long with a 19.05 mm thickness
- * Middle Barricade Panel Reflective wood, 183 mm wide x 3662 mm long with a 19.05 mm thickness
- * Bottom Barricade Panel Reflective wood, 184 mm wide x 3662 mm long with a 19.05 mm thickness
- * Panels fastened to vertical mast supports with 9.5 mm x 76 mm 16-hex bolt with 14.3 mm nut and 38.1 mm flat washer
- * Lights Three 'Work Safe Supply' Type A Flashing Warning Light attached to the top barricade panel
- * Ballast 31.75-kg of sandbags at end of each leg

Figure 27. Details of MI-2 Type III Barricade (10)

TTI conducted five full-scale crash tests on Type III barricades, test nos. 439107-1, 439107-2, 439107-3, 439107-5, and 439107-12 (*15*). All impact points were centered on the barricade at 0 degrees with a target impact speed of 62.1 mph (100 km/h). The barricade for test no. 439107-1 had two 5-ft (1.5-m) wooden legs, as shown in Figure 28. The vertical posts were supported by a 3-ft (0.9-m) diagonal wooden plank that attached to the end of each leg on one end and to the vertical posts 25 in. (635 mm) from the bottom on the other end. The barricade had three 1-in. (25-mm) thick plywood rail panels that were 4 ft (1.2 m) long and an attached warning light. The barricade was 60 in. (1,524 mm) tall and 12 ft (3.7 m) wide. The system was found to be unacceptable according to NCHRP Report 350, but the researchers believed that the system would have been acceptable without an attached warning light.

The barricade for test no. 439107-2 had two 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) perforated steel square tube legs with two 12-ga., 1¹/₂-in. (38-mm) perforated steel square tube supports, as shown in Figure 29. Each leg was attached to the support with a 4-in. (102-mm) long, 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) perforated steel square tube sleeve and a ³/₈-in. (10-mm) diameter bolt. The barricade had three 1-in. (25-mm) thick plywood rail panels that were 12 ft (3.7 m) long. Three 1-in. (25-mm) thick wooden vertical braces were attached to the wood rail panels. The barricade was 60 in. (1,254 mm) tall and 12 ft (3.7 m) wide. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria but was not tested at a 90-degree impact angle.

The barricade for test no. 439107-3 had two 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) perforated steel square tube legs with two 12-ga., 1¹/₂-in. (38-mm) perforated steel square tube supports, as shown in Figure 30. Each leg was attached to the support with a 4-in. (102-mm) long, 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) perforated steel square tube sleeve and a ³/₈-in. (10-mm) diameter bolt. The barricade had three 1-in. (25-mm) thick plywood rail panels that were 4 ft (1.2 m) long. Two 1-in. (25-mm) thick wooden vertical braces were attached to the wood rail panels. The barricade was 60 in. (1,254 mm) tall and 4 ft (1.2 m) wide. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria but was not tested at a 90-degree impact angle.

The barricade for test no. 439107-5 had two 5-ft (1.5-m) wooden legs, as shown in Figure 31. Each leg was attached to the support with two $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. (13-mm) diameter all-thread bolts. The vertical supports were HyCom fiberglass pipes with a 3 in. (76 mm) diameter. The barricade had six $\frac{3}{4}$ -in. (19-mm) thick hollow plastic panels that were 4 ft (1.2 m) long, separated into three groups of two for a total width of 12 in. (304 mm) and spaced 8 in. (204 mm) apart. The barricade was 58 in. (1,473 mm) tall and 5 ft (1.5 m) wide. The legs were slid into 3-in. (76-mm) wide channels. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria but was not tested at a 90-degree impact angle.

The barricade for test no. 439107-12 had two 6-ft (1.8-m) long fiberglass U-channel legs, as shown in Figure 32. Each leg was attached to the vertical support with $\frac{3}{8}$ -in. (10-mm) diameter carriage bolts and wooden inserts. The vertical supports were fiberglass U-channels measuring 5 ft (1.5 m) in height. The barricade had three $\frac{1}{4}$ -in. (19-mm) thick fiberboard panels that were 4 ft (1.2 m) long. The barricade was 61 in. (1,549 mm) tall and 6 ft (1.8 m) wide. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria but was not tested at a 90-degree impact angle.



Figure 28. Test No. 439107-1 Type III Barricade (15)



TYPE III BARRICADE PERFORATED STEEL TUBING WITH WOOD PANELS

Figure 29. Test No. 439107-2 Type III Barricade (15)



Figure 30. Test No. 439107-3 Type III Barricade (15)



Figure 31. Test No. 439107-5 Type III Barricade (15)



Figure 32. Test No. 439107-12 Type III Barricade (15)

TTI conducted thirteen full-scale crash tests of Type III barricades, test nos. 453360-1, 453360-4, 453880-4, 453790-3, 453880-1, 453880-2, 453790-2, 453790-4, 453880-3, 453790-5, 453880-5, 453880-6, and 453880-7 (*16*). All crash tests were in accordance with guidelines set forth in NCHRP Report 350. The barricade for test no. 453360-1 was supported by two wooden legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and no vertical portion, as shown in Figure 33. A 2-in. x 4-in. x 3-ft (51-mm x 102-mm x 914-mm) diagonal wooden plank connected the back end of each leg to its corresponding vertical upright. Four wooden uprights supported three horizontal wooden panels. The two outside uprights were 4 in. x 4 in. x 5 ft (102 mm x 102 mm x 1,524 mm) and the two inside uprights were 2 in. x 4 in. x 4½ ft (51 mm x 102 mm x 1,372 mm). The three wooden panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, 7¼ in. (184 mm) wide, and ¾ in. (19 mm) thick. A 4-ft x 4-ft x ½-in. (1,219-mm x 1,219-mm x 13-mm) diamond-shaped plywood sign panel was attached to the top of the barricade. The overall system height was 8½ ft (2,591 mm). The system was found to be unacceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees due to the supports penetrating through the windshield.

The barricade for test no. 453360-4 was supported by two wooden legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and no vertical portion, as shown in Figure 34. A 2-in. x 4-in. x 3-ft (51-mm x 102-mm x 914-mm) diagonal wooden plank connected the back end of each leg to its corresponding vertical upright. Four wooden uprights supported three horizontal wooden panels. The two outside uprights were 4 in. x 4 in. x 5 ft (102 mm x 102 mm x 1,524 mm) and the two inside uprights were 2 in. x 4 in. x 4 ft (51 mm x 102 mm x 1,219 mm). The three wooden panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, 7¼ in. (184 mm) wide, and ¾ in. (19 mm) thick. No sign panel was attached to the barricade. The overall system height was 5 ft (1,524 mm). The system was found to be unacceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees due to the barricade penetrating through the windshield.

The barricade for test no. 453880-4 was the exact same as the barricade for test no. 453360-4. Note that the only difference between the two tests was that test no. 453880-4 was performed on damp soil while test no. 453360-4 was performed on dry pavement. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees.

The barricade for test no. 453790-3 was supported by two telespar perforated tube legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and vertical splice plates to attach the vertical uprights, as shown in Figure 35. Two telespar perforated tube uprights supported three horizontal plastic hollow core panels. The two uprights were $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x 5 ft (38 mm x 38 mm x 1,524 mm). The three plastic panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, $9\frac{1}{2}$ in. (241 mm) wide, and $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. (38 mm) thick. No sign panel was attached to the barricade. The overall system height was $67\frac{1}{2}$ in. (1,715 mm). The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees.

The barricade for test no. 453880-1 was the exact same as the barricade for test no. 453790-3. Note that the only difference between the two tests was that test no. 453880-1 was performed on damp soil while test no. 453790-3 was performed on dry pavement. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees.

The barricade for test no. 453880-2 was supported by two telespar perforated tube legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and vertical splice plates to attach the vertical uprights, as shown in Figure 36. Two telespar perforated tube uprights supported three horizontal wooden panels. The two uprights were $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x 5 ft (38 mm x 38 mm x 1,524 mm). The three wooden panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, $7\frac{1}{4}$ in. (184 mm) wide, and 1 in. (25 mm) thick. No sign panel was attached to the barricade. The overall system height was 5 ft (1,511 mm). The test was performed on damp soil. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees.

The barricade for test no. 453790-2 was supported by two inverted poly-vinyl U-channel legs with a horizontal portion measuring 3 ft (914 mm) in length and no vertical portion, as shown in Figure 37. Two hollow poly-vinyl uprights supported three horizontal hollow poly-vinyl panels. The two uprights were 5 in. x 5 in. x 5 ft (127 mm x 127 mm x 1,524 mm). The three panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, 5½ in. (140 mm) wide, and 1½ in. (38 mm) thick. No sign panel was attached to the barricade. The overall system height was 5 ft (1,524 mm). The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees.

The barricade for test no. 453790-4 was supported by two wooden legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and no vertical portion, as shown in Figure 38. A 2-in. x 4-in. x 3-ft (51-mm x 102-mm x 914-mm) diagonal wooden plank connected the back end of each leg to its corresponding vertical upright. Two recycled plastic uprights supported six horizontal recycled plastic panels. The two uprights were 3.4 in. x 3.4 in. x 5 ft (86 mm x 86 mm x 1,524 mm). The six recycled plastic panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, $3\frac{1}{2}$ in. (89 mm) wide, and $\frac{3}{4}$ in. (19 mm) thick. No sign panel was attached to the barricade. The overall system height was 5 ft (1,524 mm). The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees.

The barricade for test no. 453880-3 was the exact same as the barricade for test no. 453790-4. Note that the only difference between the two tests was that test no. 453880-3 was performed on damp soil while test no. 453790-4 was performed on dry pavement. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees.

The barricade for test no. 453790-5 was supported by two recycled plastic legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and no vertical portion, as shown in Figure 39. A $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in. x $3\frac{1}{2}$ -in. x 3-ft (38-mm x 89-mm x 914-mm) diagonal recycled plastic plank connected the back end of each leg to its corresponding vertical upright. Two recycled plastic uprights supported six horizontal recycled plastic panels. The two uprights were $3\frac{1}{2}$ in. x $3\frac{1}{2}$ in. x 5 ft (89 mm x 89 mm x 1,524 mm). The three recycled plastic panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, $3\frac{1}{2}$ in. (89 mm) wide, and $3\frac{4}{4}$ in. (19 mm) thick. No sign panel was attached to the barricade. The overall system height was 5 ft (1,524 mm). The system was found to be unacceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees due to the support penetrating through the windshield.

The barricade for test no. 453880-5 was supported by two perforated square tube legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and vertical splice plates to attach the

vertical uprights, as shown in Figure 40. Four square perforated tube uprights supported three horizontal wooden panels. The two outside uprights were $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x 5 ft (38 mm x 38 mm x 1,524 mm) and the two inside uprights were $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x 8 ft (38 mm x 38 mm x 2,438 mm). The three wooden panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, 8 in. (203 mm) wide, and 1 in. (25 mm) thick. A 4-ft x 4-ft x 0.1-in. (1,219-mm x 1,219-mm x 3-mm) diamond-shaped aluminum sign panel was attached to the top of the two inside vertical uprights, which extended beyond the top wood panel. The overall system height was 10.8 ft (3,302 mm). The system was found to be unacceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees due to the windshield shattering and deformation from sign panel contact. However, the researchers believed that vertical bracing behind the sign panel or lowering the mounting height would perform satisfactorily.

The barricade for test no. 453880-6 was supported by two recycled plastic lumber legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and no vertical portion, as shown in Figure 41. A 2-in. x 4-in. x 3-ft (51-mm x 102-mm x 914-mm) diagonal recycled plastic lumber plank connected the back end of each leg to its corresponding vertical upright. Four hollow core plastic uprights supported three horizontal hollow core recycled plastic panels. The two outside uprights were 4 in. x 4 in. x 5 ft (102 mm x 102 mm x 1,524 mm) and the two inside uprights were 4 in. x 4 in. x 5 ft (102 mm x 102 mm x 2,438 mm). The three wooden panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, 8 in. (203 mm) wide, and 1 in. (25 mm) thick. A 4-ft x 4-ft x 0.1-in. (1,219 mm x 1,219-mm x 3-mm) diamond-shaped aluminum sign panel was attached to the top of the barricade. The overall system height was 9 ft (2,743 mm). The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees. Similar to the system in test no. 453880-5, the researchers recommended adding vertical bracing behind the sign panel or lowering the mounting height for a better performance.

The barricade for test no. 453880-7 was supported by two perforated square tube legs with a horizontal portion measuring 5 ft (1,524 mm) in length and vertical splice plates to attach the vertical uprights, as shown in Figure 42. Four square perforated tube uprights supported three horizontal wooden panels. The two outside uprights were $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x 5 ft (38 mm x 38 mm x 1,524 mm) and the two inside uprights were $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. x 5 ft (38 mm x 2,438 mm). The three wooden panels were 4 ft (1,219 mm) long, 8 in. (203 mm) wide, and 1 in. (25 mm) thick. A 4-ft x 4-ft x 0.1-in. (1,219-mm x 1,219-mm x 3-mm) diamond-shaped aluminum sign panel was attached to the top of the two inside vertical uprights, which extended beyond the top wood panel. The bottom 2 in. (51 mm) of the sign were attached to the top wood panel with a 3-in. (76-mm) long, $\frac{3}{8}$ -in. (10-mm) diameter A307 bolt. The overall system height was 9 ft (2,743 mm). The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria when impacted at 0 degrees. However, the sign panel attachment mechanism was not recommended for implementation due to the potential hazard from the debris.



Figure 33. Test No. 453360-1 Type III Barricade (16)



Figure 34. Test Nos. 453360-4 and 453880-4 Type III Barricade (16)



Figure 35. Test Nos. 453790-3 and 453880-1 Type III Barricade (16)


Figure 36. Test No. 453880-2 Type III Barricade (16)



Figure 37. Test No. 453790-2 Type III Barricade (16)

September 19, 2018 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-394-18 Revision-1



Figure 38. Test Nos. 453790-4 and 453880-3 Type III Barricade (16)



Figure 39. Test No. 453790-5 Type III Barricade (16)



Figure 40. Test No. 453880-5 Type III Barricade (16)



Figure 41. Test No. 453880-6 Type III Barricade (16)



Figure 42. Test No. 453880-7 Type III Barricade (16)

NCHRP Report 553 included four full-scale crash tests of Type III barricades (17). No drawings were obtained from the report, but each of the four tests are summarized briefly below. For Test 1, a 6-in. (152-mm) long vertical sleeve fabricated from 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) square, perforated steel square tubing was welded to the center of each 5-ft (1.5-m) long skid fabricated from the same material. A 1¹/₂-in. (38-mm) square x 4-ft – 10-in. (1.5-m) long perforated steel square upright was inserted into the sleeves and connected using a ³/₈-in (10-mm) diameter through bolt. Three 1-in. x 8-in. x 8-ft (25-mm x 203-mm x 2.4-m) wooden rails were bolted to 1¹/₂-in. (38-mm) square x 4-ft (1.2-m) long perforated steel square braces spaced 4 ft - 3 in. (1.3 m) apart. The top of the upper rail was flush with the ends of the braces, and the center and lower rails were spaced 1 ft – 8 in. (0.51 m) apart from each other. A 4-ft x 4-ft x ¹/₂-in. (1.2-m x 1.2-m x 13-mm) plywood sign panel was attached to the face of the rails in a diamond orientation. The bottom corner of the sign panel was mounted flush with the bottom edge of the lower rail, and the top of the sign panel extended approximately 1 ft - 8 in. (0.51 m) above the top edge of the upper rail, or 6 ft - 8 in. (2.0 m) above ground. The system was found to be marginally acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria at 0-degree and 90-degree impact angles, since the windshield was shattered and had 2 in. (50 mm) of crush.

For Test 2, the design used vertical braces manufactured from standard 2-in. x 4-in. (51-mm x 102-mm) dimensional lumber. The horizontal rails and sign panel were attached to these vertical braces. The 2.5-ft x 5-ft x ½-in. (0.8-m x 1.5-m x 13-mm) rectangular plywood sign panel was mounted at a height of 2.5 ft (0.8 m) above ground. This placed the top of the sign panel flush with the top edge of the upper horizontal rail. The assembled rail and sign panel unit was bolted to the 1½-in. (38-mm) square, perforated steel square tube uprights through the rails and sign panel. The uprights, which were spaced 4.5 ft (1.4 m) apart, telescoped inside a 1¾-in. (44-mm) square, 9¾-in. (248-mm) long, perforated steel square tube sleeve that was welded to 1¾-in. (44-mm) square, perforated steel tube skids. The longer tube sleeves permit telescoping of the uprights to provide adjustability for placement of the barricade on sloping terrain. A Type A warning light was also attached to the top of the left upright. The system was found to be unacceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria due to a small hole in the windshield.

For Test 3, other than the addition of a horizontal brace, the barricade system was identical to the design used in Test 2. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria at 0-degree and 90-degree impact angles.

For Test 4, the barricade uprights were 4-in. (102-mm) square hollow-profile plastic lumber (HPPL) manufactured from high-density polyethylene (HDPE). The uprights were bolted between the legs of the 2-in. x 6-in. (51-mm x 152-mm) wood skids in two locations to form a moment connection to resist rotation. Short lengths of the (4-in. [102-mm] square) HPPL were used as spacers at the front and back of the skids. As with the steel frame barricade designs, the 1-in. x 8-in. (25-mm x 203-mm) wooden horizontal rails and 2.5-ft x 5-ft x ½-in (0.8-m x 1.5-m x 13-mm) plywood sign panel were attached to the vertical braces. The vertical braces were fabricated from the same size HPPL used for the barricade uprights. The system was found to be acceptable according to NCHRP Report 350 criteria at 0-degree and 90-degree impact angles.

Skid-Mounted Temporary Sign Stand

TTI conducted two crash tests on a temporary, skid-mounted, wood support sign stand (*18*), similar to Figure 43. For test nos. 467824-1 and 467824-2, the test installation involved an 8-ft (2.4-m) tall \times 12-ft (3.7-m) wide extruded aluminum sign panel supported by five 4-in. x 6-in. (102-mm x 152-mm), Grade 2, Southern Yellow Pine wood support posts at a mounting height of 7½ ft (2.3 m) from the ground to the bottom of the sign panel. The mounting height was increased 6 in. (152 mm) beyond the standard mounting height of 7 ft (2.1 m) to provide additional clearance for the pickup truck to pass beneath the sign panel and fractured supports in the event the vehicle climbs on top of the horizontal skids while traversing the system.

Each of the vertical support posts were connected to a pair of 2-in. x 6-in. x 12-ft (51-mm x 152mm x 3.7-m) long horizontal skids using two ³/₈-in. (10-mm) diameter x 7¹/₂-in. (191-mm) long A307 hex head bolts. A 2-in. x 6-in. (51-mm x 152-mm) board was secured across the top of the horizontal skids against the front and back sides of the support posts using three 3-in. (76-mm) long, #9 deck screws per skid. A ³/₄-in. (19-mm) thick, 32-inch (813-mm) wide plywood deck was attached to the top of the skids on their leading and trailing ends to provide a platform for the sand bag ballast. The plywood deck was attached to each skid using 2-in. (51-mm) long, #8 deck screws. Fifty-six 40-lb (18 kg) sand bags were evenly distributed on the front and back plywood decks. The system was tested to MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-70 with an impact speed of 19.1 mph (30.7 km/h) and an impact angle of 0 degrees and was found to be acceptable. The system was tested to MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71 with an impact speed of 62.1 mph (100.0 km/h) and an impact angle of 0 degrees and was found to be unacceptable due to a longitudinal occupant impact velocity greater than the MASH limit of 16.4 ft/s (5.0 m/s). Design modifications were implemented including tapering the ends of the skids, incorporating weakening holes at the top of the skids and at 23 in. (584 mm) above ground, removing a gusset plate, adding a second diagonal brace, and adjusting the post spacing. Test no. 467824-3 was a repeat of MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71, and the system was found to be acceptable according the MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-71 criteria at a 0-degree impact angle. Test no. 467824-4 was a MASH 3-72 test, and the system was found to be acceptable according to the MASH 2009 test designation no. 3-72 criteria at a 0-degree impact angle. The Wisconsin skidmounted sign support is a very similar system and will not be further explored during this project.



Figure 43. Test Nos. 467824-3 and 467824-4 Type III Barricade (18)

SYSTEM SELECTION AND DESIGN DETAILS

Since some non-proprietary work-zone sign stands have already been tested to MASH 2016, the highest need was determined to be a non-proprietary Type III barricade, none of which had been evaluated to MASH 2016 crash test standards. While several different variations of the systems existed, the final system was designed to be the most useful to the state DOT sponsors.

The test installation consisted of two identical work-zone traffic control devices, as shown in Figures 44 through 51. Photographs of the test installation are shown in Figure 52. Material specifications, mill certifications, and certificates of conformity for the system materials are shown in Appendix A.

Each Type III barricade consisted of three horizontal HDPE panels, measuring 96 in. (2,428 mm) in length, with a 48-in. x 30-in. x 0.08-in. (1,219-mm x 762-mm x 2-mm) aluminum sign attached to the top two barricade panels. The barricade panel was targeted to have a cross-sectional dimension of 8 in. (203 mm) x 1 in. (25 mm). However, the dimensions vary between manufacturers, and the supplied barricade panel was 8¹/₄ in. (210 mm) x ³/₄ in. (19 mm). The barricade panels were attached to two 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) x 14-ga (1.9-mm) thick PSST uprights, which were inserted into two 2-in. (51-mm) x 14-ga (1.9-mm) thick x 6-in. (152-mm) long vertical stubs that were welded to two legs. The legs were 2-in. (51-mm) x 14-ga (1.9-mm) thick x 60-in. (1,524-mm) long PSST. All PSST used was galvanized ASTM 1011 Grade 50 steel with a minimum yield strength of 60 ksi. A 50-lb (23-kg) sandbag was placed on top of the end of each leg. A warning light was attached to the front of the top barricade panel and upright at both upright locations.

Two identical Type III barricades were evaluated. System A was oriented at 0 degrees, or headon to the vehicle. System B was oriented at 90 degrees, or end-on to the vehicle. Initial vehicle impact with System A was to occur with a right quarter-point offset from the centerline of the car and initial vehicle impact with System B was to occur with a left quarter-point offset from the centerline of the car, as shown in Figure 44.



Figure 44. Test Installation Layout, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 45. Barricade Overview, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 46. Barricade Details, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 47. Plastic Beam Details, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 48. Perforated Steel Tube Details, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 49. Sign and Warning Light Details, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 50. System Hardware, Test No. WZNP-1

| ltem No. | QTY. | Description | Material Specification | Treatment Specification |
|-------------|------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| a1 | 2 | Plastic Panel, 96" [2,438] Long | High Density Polyethylene | - |
| ۵2 | 4 | Plastic Panel, 96" [2,438] Long | High Density Polyethylene | - |
| b1 | 4 | 1 3/4"x1 3/4"x14-gauge [44x44x1.9], 58" [1,473] Long Perforated Square Tubing | ASTM 1011 Gr. 55 Min. yield 60 ksi [414 MPa] | ASTM A653-G90 or AASHTO M-120 |
| b2 | 4 | 2"x2"x14—gauge [51x51x1.9], 60" [1,524] Long Perforated Square Tubing | ASTM 1011 Gr. 55 Min. yield 60 ksi [414 MPa] | ASTM A653-G90 or AASHTO M-120 |
| b3 | 4 | 2"x2"x14—gauge [51x51x1.9], 6" [152] Long Perforated Square Tubing | ASTM 1011 Gr. 55 Min. yield 60 ksi [414 MPa] | ASTM A653-G90 or AASHTO M-120 |
| c1 | 2 | 48"x30"x0.08" [1,219x762x2] Sign with Reflective Sheeting | Aluminum Alloy 5052 or similar | _ |
| c2 | 4 | Warning Light (Type A or C) | As Supplied | _ |
| d1 | 20 | 3/8"—16 UNC [M10x1.5], 3 1/2" [89] Long Hex Head Bolt and Lock Nut | Bolt — ASTM A307 Gr. A or equivalent Nut — SAE J995 Gr. 2 or equivalent | Fe/Zn 3AN per ASTM F1941 |
| d2 | 4 | 1/2"-13 UNC [M14x2], 6" [152] Long Hex Head Bolt | ASTM A307 Gr. A or equivalent | Fe/Zn 3AN per ASTM F1941 |
| d3 | 8 | 1/4"—20 UNC [M6x1], 1 3/4" [44] Long Hex Head Bolt and Lock Nut | Bolt — ASTM A307 Gr. A or equivalent Nut — SAE J995 Gr. 2 or equivalent | Fe/Zn 3AN per ASTM F1941 |
| d4 | 40 | 3/8" [10] Dia. Plain Round Washer | Low Carbon Steel | Fe/Zn 3AN per ASTM F1941 |
| d5 | 4 | 1/2" [13] Dia. Plain Round Washer | Low Carbon Steel | Fe/Zn 3AN per ASTM F1941 |
| d6 | 16 | 1/4" [6] Dia. Plain Round Washer | Low Carbon Steel | Fe/Zn 3AN per ASTM F1941 |

73

| | MURSE | Type 3 Barricade Test No. WZNP-1 | SHEET: 8 of 8 DATE: 6/28/2018 |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Note: (1) Part c1 shall have a reflective sheeting. (2) Parts a1 & a2 will have orange and white striped reflective sheeting on at least one side (sian panel side). | Midwest Roadside Safety Facility | Bill of Materials DWG. NAME. SCALE: NO. WZNP-1_R15 UNITS: In. | DRAWN BY: MES/JWB/ MKB/JEK/G me REV. BY: [mm] JEK/JDS/R |

Figure 51. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 52. Test Installation Photographs, Test No. WZNP-1

TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

Test Requirements

Work-zone traffic control devices, such as Type III barricades, must satisfy impact safety standards in order to be declared eligible for federal reimbursement by the FHWA for use on the National Highway System (NHS). For new hardware, these safety standards consist of the guidelines and procedures published in MASH 2016 (4). Note that there is no difference between MASH 2009 and MASH 2016 for work-zone traffic control devices such as Type III barricades tested in this project. According to TL-3 of MASH 2016, work-zone traffic control devices must be subjected to three full-scale vehicle crash tests, as summarized in Table 4.

| | | | Vehicle | Impact Conditions | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Test Article | Test Designation No. | Test Vehicle | Weight, lb (kg) | Speed, mph (km/h) | Angle, deg. | Evaluation Criteria ¹ |
| Work-Zone | 3-70 | 1100C | 2,425 (1,100) | 19 (30) | CIA | B,D,E,F,H,I,N |
| Traffic Control | 3-71 | 1100C | 2,425 (1,100) | 62 (100) | CIA | B,D,E,F,H,I,N |
| Devices | 3-72 | 2270P | 5,000 (2,270) | 62 (100) | CIA | B,D,E,F,H,I,N |

Table 4. MASH 2016 TL-3 crash test conditions for work-zone traffic control devices

¹ Evaluation criteria explained in Table 5.

It is anticipated that the low-speed test, test no. 3-70, was not required since the Type III barricade weighed less than 220 lb (100 kg). MASH 2016 recommends the high-speed test, test no. 3-71, be conducted both perpendicular to the device (0 degrees) and parallel to the device (90 degrees), as both orientations may occur along roadsides. MwRSF has developed a procedure for testing multiple work-zone traffic control devices in one test run. The selected device was evaluated at two impact angles, 0 degree angle (System A), and 90 degree angle (System B), in one full-scale crash test. The devices were spaced 60 ft (18.3 m) apart and each device impacted at the quarter points on the front bumper. Thus, two MASH 2016 test designation no. 3-71 crash tests occurred at two critical impact angles (CIA). Note, only one of the prescribed full-scale crash tests, test designation no. 3-71, was conducted and reported herein. Test no. 3-72 with a 2270P pickup truck still needs to be conducted for the full crash test matrix to be completed.

| Appraisal area | | Evaluation | Evaluation criteria | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Structural Adequacy | B. | The test article should readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away, fracturing, or yielding. | | | | | |
| | D. | Detached elements, fragments or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.2.2 and Appendix E of MASH 2016. | | | | | |
| | E. | Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article, or vehicular damage should not block the driver's vision or otherwise cause the driver to lose control of the vehicle. | | | | | |
| | F. | The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees. | | | | | |
| Occupant Risk | H. | Occupant Impact Velocity (OIV) (see Appendix A, Section A5.2.2 of MASH 2016 for calculation procedure) should satisfy the following limits: | | | | | |
| | | Occupant Ir | npact Velocity Limits | | | | |
| | | Component | Preferred | Maximum | | | |
| | | Longitudinal | 10 ft/s (3.0 m/s) | 16 ft/s (4.9 m/s) | | | |
| | I. | The Occupant Ridedown Ac Section A5.2.2 of MASH 20 satisfy the following limits: | Occupant Ridedown Acceleration (ORA) (see Appendix A, n A5.2.2 of MASH 2016 for calculation procedure) should the following limits: | | | | |
| | | Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits | | | | | |
| | | Component | Preferred | Maximum | | | |
| | | Longitudinal and Lateral | 15.0 g's | 20.49 g's | | | |
| Post-Impact Vehicular Response | N. | Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. | | | | | |

 Table 5. MASH 2016 evaluation criteria for work-zone traffic control devices

Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation criteria for full-scale vehicle crash testing are based on three appraisal areas: (1) structural adequacy; (2) occupant risk; and (3) vehicle trajectory after collision. Criteria for structural adequacy are intended to evaluate the ability of the work-zone traffic control device to break away, fracture, or yield in a predictable manner. Occupant risk evaluates the degree of hazard to occupants in the impacting vehicle. Post-impact vehicle trajectory is a measure of the potential of the vehicle to result in a secondary collision with other vehicles and/or fixed objects,

thereby increasing the risk of injury to the occupants of the impacting vehicle and/or other vehicles. These evaluation criteria are summarized in Table 5 and defined in greater detail in MASH 2016. The full-scale vehicle crash test documented herein was conducted and reported in accordance with the procedures provided in MASH 2016.

In addition to the standard occupant risk measures, the Post-Impact Head Deceleration (PHD), the Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV), and the Acceleration Severity Index (ASI) were determined and reported. Additional discussion on PHD, THIV and ASI is provided in MASH 2016.

TEST CONDITIONS

Test Facility

The Outdoor Test Site is located at the Lincoln Air Park on the northwest side of the Lincoln Municipal Airport and is approximately 5 miles (8.0 km) northwest of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

Vehicle Tow and Guidance System

A reverse-cable, tow system with a 1:2 mechanical advantage was used to propel the test vehicle. The distance traveled and the speed of the tow vehicle were one-half that of the test vehicle. The test vehicle was released from the tow cable before impact with the second barricade system. A digital speedometer on the tow vehicle increased the accuracy of the test vehicle impact speed.

A vehicle guidance system developed by Hinch (19) was used to steer the test vehicle. A guide flag, attached to the left-front wheel and the guide cable, was sheared off before impact with the second barricade system. The ³/₈-in. (9.5-mm) diameter guide cable was tensioned to approximately 3,500 lb (15.6 kN) and supported both laterally and vertically every 100 ft (30.5 m) by hinged stanchions. The hinged stanchions stood upright while holding up the guide cable, but as the vehicle was towed down the line, the guide flag struck and knocked each stanchion to the ground.

Test Vehicles

For test no. WZNP-1, a 2011 Kia Rio was used as the test vehicle. The curb, test inertial, and gross static vehicle weights were 2,320 lb (1,052 kg), 2,426 lb (1,100 kg), and 2,589 lb (1,174 kg), respectively. The test vehicle is shown in Figures 53 and 54, and vehicle dimensions are shown in Figure 55.



Figure 53. Test Vehicle, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 54. Test Vehicle's Interior Floorboards and Undercarriage

| Date: | 5/23/20 | 018 | | Test Name: | wzi | NP-1 | VIN No: | KNADH4/ | A38B693 | 9145 |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Year: | 2011 | | | Make: | К | IA | Model: | | RIO | |
| Tire Size: | 175/70 | R14 | Tire Inflati | on Pressure: | 32 | Psi | Odometer: | 8 | 80728 | |
| | M | | | N | | ↑ T | Vehicle Ge Target Ranges A: <u>65 1/2</u> <u>65±3 (16)</u> C: <u>167 1/2</u> <u>169±8 (43)</u> E: <u>98 3/4</u> <u>98±5 (250</u> | cometry - in. ((1664) B: 50±75) B: (4255) D: 0±200) C: (2508) F: 0±125) F: | (mm) 58 1/4 23 1/4 35±4 (9 45 1/2 | (1480) (591) 00±100) (1156) |
| | | | Tes | st Inertial CG | | | G: 22 1/2 | (572) H: | 40 1/8 39±4 (9 | (1019) 90±100) |
| Р — • | -Q- Q- | | | | | • | l: <u>8 1/2</u> K: 11 1/5 | <u>(216)</u> J: | 22 7 | (559) (178) |
| | | | S | | | | M: <u>57 7/8</u> 56±2 (142 O: 27 3/4 | (1470) N: 25±50) (705) P: | 58 56±2 (1- 4 1/4 | (1473) 425±50) (108) |
| I | - | _н⊥► |]] | | - | T | 24±4 (600 | (507) D: | 45.2/0 | (204) |
| | | | c | -11 | | | Q: <u>23 1/2</u> | <u>(597)</u> R. | 15 3/6 | (391) |
| | | | | | | | S:_12 | <u>(305)</u> T: | 65 1/4 | (1657) |
| Mass Distrib | ution Ib (kg) |) | | | | | U (im | pact width): | 56 | (1422) |
| Gross Static | LF_746 | (338) | RF 783 | (355) | | | Top of | radiator core support: | 29 | (737) |
| | LR 524 | (238) | RR 536 | (243) | | | н | eight (Front): | 11 3/4 | (298) |
| Weights Ib (kg) | Cu | ırb | Test Ir | nertial | Gross | Static | H Clear | Height (Rear): _ Wheel Well ance (Front): _ | 11 3/4 5 1/4 | (298) (133) |
| W-front | 1465 | (665) | 1441 | (654) | 1529 | (694) | Clea | Wheel Well rance (Rear): _ | 9 3/4 | (248) |
| W-rear | 855 | (388) | 985 | (447) | 1060 | (481) | E H | ottom Frame eight (Front): | 8 | (203) |
| W-total | 2320 | (1052) | 2426 2420±55 (| (1100) 1100±25) | 2589 2585±55 | (1174) (1175±50) | . 1 | ottom Frame leight (Rear): _ | 9 1/4 | (235) |
| | | | | | | | E | ngine Type:_ | 4 cyl | . Gas |
| GVWR Ratin | gs Ib | | Surrogate | e Occupant Da | ata | | 1 | Engine Size: | 1.0 | 6L |
| Front | 1918 | 2 | | Туре: | Hybric | | Transm | ission Type: | Mar | nual |
| Rear | 1874 | | | Mass: | 163 I | b | | Drive Type: | FV | VD |
| Total | 3638 | | Seat | Position: | Right Fi | ront | , | | | |
| Note any | y damage pric | or to test: | | | | No | ne | | | |

Figure 55. Vehicle Dimensions, Test No. WZNP-1

The longitudinal component of the center of gravity (c.g.) was determined using the measured axle weights. The vertical component of the c.g. for the 1100C vehicle was determined utilizing a procedure published by SAE (20). The location of the final c.g. is shown in Figures 55 and 56. Data used to calculate the location of the c.g. and ballast information are shown in Appendix B.

Square, black- and white-checkered targets were placed on the vehicle for reference to be viewed from the high-speed digital video cameras and aid in the video analysis, as shown in Figure 56. Round, checkered targets were placed on the c.g. on the left-side door, the right-side door, and the roof of the vehicle.

The front wheels of the test vehicle were aligned to vehicle standards except the toe-in value was adjusted to zero such that the vehicle would track properly along the guide cable. A 5B flash bulb was mounted under the vehicle's right-side and left-side windshield wiper and was fired by a pressure tape switch mounted at each of the quarter points of the front bumper. The flash bulbs were fired upon initial impact with each test article to create a visual indicator of the precise time of impact on the high-speed digital videos. A remote-controlled brake system was installed in the test vehicle, so the vehicle could be brought safely to a stop after the test.

Simulated Occupant

For test no WZNP-1, A Hybrid II 50th-Percentile, Adult Male Dummy, equipped with clothing and footwear, was placed in the right-front seat of the test vehicle with the seat belt fastened. The dummy, which had a final weight of 163 lb (74 kg), was represented by model no. 572, and was manufactured by Android Systems of Carson, California. As recommended by MASH 2016, the dummy was not included in calculating the c.g. location.



Figure 56. Target Geometry, Test No. WZNP-1

Data Acquisition Systems

Accelerometers

Two environmental shock and vibration sensor/recorder systems were used to measure the accelerations in the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions. Both of the accelerometers were mounted near the c.g. of the test vehicle. The electronic accelerometer data obtained in dynamic testing was filtered using the SAE Class 60 and the SAE Class 180 Butterworth filter conforming to the SAE J211/1 specifications (*21*).

The two systems, the SLICE-1 and SLICE-2 units, were modular data acquisition systems manufactured by Diversified Technical Systems, Inc. (DTS) of Seal Beach, California. The SLICE-2 unit was designated as the primary system. The acceleration sensors were mounted inside the bodies of custom-built, SLICE 6DX event data recorders and recorded data at 10,000 Hz to the onboard microprocessor. Each SLICE 6DX was configured with 7 GB of non-volatile flash memory, a range of ± 500 g's, a sample rate of 10,000 Hz, and a 1,650 Hz (CFC 1000) antialiasing filter. The "SLICEWare" computer software programs and a customized Microsoft Excel worksheet were used to analyze and plot the accelerometer data.

Rate Transducers

Two identical angle rate sensor systems mounted inside the bodies of the SLICE-1 and SLICE-2 event data recorders were used to measure the rates of rotation of the test vehicle. Each SLICE MICRO Triax ARS had a range of 1,500 degrees/sec in each of the three directions (roll, pitch, and yaw) and recorded data at 10,000 Hz to the onboard microprocessors. The raw data measurements were then downloaded, converted to the proper Euler angles for analysis, and plotted. The "SLICEWare" computer software program and a customized Microsoft Excel worksheet were used to analyze and plot the angular rate sensor data.

Retroreflective Optic Speed Trap

The retroreflective optic speed trap was used to determine the speed of the test vehicle before impact. Five retroreflective targets, spaced at approximately 18-in. (457-mm) intervals, were applied to the side of the vehicle. When the emitted beam of light was reflected by the targets and returned to the Emitter/Receiver, a signal was sent to the data acquisition computer, recording at 10,000 Hz, as well as the external LED box activating the LED flashes. The speed was then calculated using the spacing between the retroreflective targets and the time between the signals. LED lights and high-speed digital video analysis are only used as a backup in the event that vehicle speeds cannot be determined from the electronic data.

Digital Photography

Four AOS high-speed digital video cameras, ten GoPro digital video cameras, and one JVC digital video camera were utilized to film test no. WZNP-1. Camera details, camera operating

speeds, lens information, and a schematic of the camera locations relative to the system are shown in Figure 57.

The high-speed videos were analyzed using ImageExpress MotionPlus and RedLake MotionScope software programs. Actual camera speed and camera divergence factors were considered in the analysis of the high-speed videos. A Nikon digital still camera was also used to document pre- and post-test conditions for the test.



Figure 57. Camera Locations, Speeds, and Lens Settings, Test No. WZNP-1

FULL-SCALE CRASH TEST NO. WZNP-1

Weather Conditions

Test no. WZNP-1 was conducted on May 23, 2018 at approximately 12:00 p.m. The weather conditions as per the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (station 14939/LNK) were reported and are shown in Table 6.

| Condition | Value |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Temperature | 88° F |
| Humidity | 43% |
| Wind Speed | 16 mph |
| Wind Direction | 190° from True North |
| Sky Conditions | Sunny |
| Visibility | 9.94 Statute Miles |
| Pavement Surface | Dry |
| Previous 3-Day Precipitation | 0.32 in. |
| Previous 7-Day Precipitation | 0.63 in. |

| Table 6. | Weather | conditions, | Test No. | WZNP-1 |
|----------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|
| | | | | |

Test Description

The 2,426-lb (1,100-kg) small car impacted System A at a speed of 64.7 mph (104.2 km/h) and System B at a speed of 61.2 mph (98.6 km/h). The impact speed of System A was above the velocity range for impact speed according to MASH 2016. However, the higher velocity represents a worst-case scenario and was targeted to be high to insure that the second impact was also within the velocity range. Overhead cameras were not present to verify the impact angles. However, the impact angle appeared to be very close to nominal. A summary of the test results and sequential photographs for both systems are shown in Figures 58 and 59. Additional sequential photographs are shown in Figure 60.

Initial vehicle impact with System A was to occur with a right quarter-point offset from the centerline of the car and initial vehicle impact with System B was to occur with a left quarter-point offset from the centerline of the car, as shown in Figure 61. Although MASH 2016 does not provide specific guidance about how to align the test vehicle and test article, a centerline impact seemed reasonable. However, in order to have two devices impacted in one test run using the previously established method, the systems were aligned with the quarter points of the vehicle to distinguish damage between the two systems. A sequential description of the impact events is contained in Table 7 for System A and Table 8 for System B. The vehicle came to rest 310 ft (94.5 m) downstream and 5 ft – 1 in. (1.5 m) laterally to the left after brakes were applied. The vehicle trajectory and final position are shown in Figures 58, 59, and 62.

| TIME | |
|-------|--|
| (sec) | EVENT |
| 0.000 | Vehicle's front bumper contacted bottom panel of System A. |
| 0.008 | Vehicle's front bumper contacted upstream upright of System A. |
| 0.016 | Middle panel detached from the upstream upright on System A. Bottom panel of System A buckled. |
| 0.020 | Upstream upright detached from leg on System A. |
| 0.030 | Sign on System A contacted vehicle's hood. |
| 0.036 | Sign on System A deformed. |
| 0.044 | Top panel of System A contacted vehicle's hood. |
| 0.054 | Top panel of System A contacted vehicle's windshield. |
| 0.062 | Upstream light on System A contacted vehicle's windshield. |
| 0.066 | Bottom panel detached from the downstream upright on System A. |
| 0.088 | Vehicle's front bumper contacted downstream upright of System A. |
| 0.090 | Downstream upright detached from leg on System A. |
| 0.120 | Sign on System A contacted vehicle's windshield. |
| 0.140 | Vehicle's windshield cracked. |
| 0.154 | System A became airborne. |
| 1.150 | System A contacted ground. |

 Table 7. Sequential description of impact events, System A

Table 8. Sequential description of impact events, System B

| TIME | |
|-------|--|
| (sec) | EVENT |
| 0.000 | Vehicle's front bumper contacted bottom panel of System B at 0.696 seconds after initial |
| 0.000 | impact with System A. |
| 0.004 | Vehicle's front bumper contacted left upright of System B. |
| 0.006 | Sign on System B contacted vehicle's hood, and right upright bent downstream. |
| 0.010 | Right upright on System B detached from leg. |
| 0.012 | Vehicle's right headlight contact right upright on System B. |
| 0.024 | Middle panel on System B detached from left upright. |
| 0.026 | Bottom panel on System B detached from left upright. |
| 0.056 | Sign on System B contacted vehicle's windshield. |
| 0.060 | Vehicle's windshield shattered. |
| 0.078 | Sign on System B contacted vehicle's roof. |
| 0.114 | Left upright on System B detached from leg. |
| 0.132 | System B became airborne. |
| 1.282 | System B contacted ground. |

System Damage

Damage to the barricades was moderate, as shown in Figures 63 and 64. System damage consisted of bends and buckles in the uprights and panels, tears in the weighed sandbags, and bolt pullouts.

System A detached from both legs upon impact. The upstream upright had a large buckle approximately 19 in. (483 mm) from the bottom and the downstream upright had a large buckle approximately 13 in. (330 mm) from the bottom. A large bend extended through the entire length of the middle barricade panel. The aluminum sign panel attached to the barricade panels was bent 4 in. (102 mm) on its lower-upstream corner and had a small kink on the lower edge about 13 in. (330 mm) upstream from the downstream edge. The bottom barricade panel was pulled away from its upright bolts and the lower bolts on the sign panel were pulled away from the barricade panel. Both downstream sand bags and the left upstream sand bags were torn open.

System B also detached from both legs upon impact. The front side of the right upright buckled 16 in. (406 mm) from the bottom, where impact occurred. The vertical stub of the right leg had the front weld fractured, was bent downstream, and was fractured along the right-downstream corner along the length of the stub. The top barricade panel was bent 90 degrees about 11 in. (279 mm) from the right edge. Every bolt on the aluminum sign panel, except the bolt in the lower-left corner, was pulled out from the barricade panels and remained attached to the sign. The two sand bags on the right side were torn open.

Vehicle Damage

The damage to the vehicle was minimal, as shown in Figures 65 through Figure 67. After impacting both barricade systems, the car impacted a concrete barrier that was placed downstream from the test, which caused most of the vehicle damage. The maximum occupant compartment deformations are listed in Table 9 along with the deformation limits established in MASH 2016 for various areas of the occupant compartment. A negative value indicates that deformation was outward and not toward the occupant. Note that none of the established MASH 2016 deformation limits were violated. The maximum windshield crush of 0.8 in. (20 mm) was caused by the 0-degree barricade impact (System B) and was located near the left-side A-pillar. Complete occupant compartment and vehicle deformations and the corresponding locations are provided in Appendix C.

| | MAXIMUM DEFORMATION | MASH 2016 ALLOWABLE DEFORMATION |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| LOCATION | in. (mm) | in. (mm) |
| Wheel Well & Toe Pan | 0.4 (10) | ≤ 9 (229) |
| Floor Pan & Transmission Tunnel | 0.2 (5) | ≤ 12 (305) |
| A- and B-Pillars | 0.4 (10) | ≤ 5 (127) |
| A- and B-Pillars (Lateral) | 0.1 (3) | ≤ 3 (76) |
| Side Front Panel (in Front of A-Pillar) | 0.2 (5) | ≤ 12 (305) |
| Side Door (Above Seat) | 0.2 (5) | $\leq 9 (229)$ |
| Side Door (Below Seat) | 0.2 (5) | ≤ 12 (305) |
| Roof | 0.1 (3) | $\leq 4 (102)$ |
| Windshield | 0.8 (20) | ≤ 3 (76) |
| | | No shattering resulting from |
| Side Window | Intact | contact with structural |
| | | member of test article |
| Dash | 0.8 (20) | NA |

Table 9. Maximum occupant compartment deformations by location

NA – Not applicable

Damage to the vehicle consisted of crushing, dents, and detachments. The front bumper was crushed and pushed upward. The hood was folded over on its front lip. The right-front quarter panel was crushed inward near the windshield. A small dent was found near the bottom of the right A-pillar where it meets the right-front quarter panel. Another small dent was found on the left-front quarter panel near the left-front door. A larger dent, measuring 8 in. (203 mm) in length, was located on the left-rear door directly behind the door handle. The left mirror was disengaged from the vehicle. The windshield was cracked across its entirety with localized crush on the lower right side and on the left adjacent to the A-pillar.

Occupant Risk

The calculated occupant impact velocities (OIVs) in both the longitudinal and lateral directions are shown in Table 10. The maximum 0.010-sec average occupant ridedown accelerations (ORAs) are not available (NA), because the occupant did not move significantly upon impact. Note that the OIVs were within suggested limits, as provided in MASH 2016. The calculated THIV, PHD, and ASI values are also shown in Table 10. Some THIV and PHD values were not significant enough to be recorded. The results of the occupant risk analysis, as determined from the accelerometer data, are summarized in Figures 58 and 59 for Systems A and B, respectively. The recorded data from the accelerometers and the rate transducers are shown graphically in Appendix D.

| | | Transducer | | | | |
|------------|--------------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|
| | | SYSTEM A | | SYSTEM B | | MASH |
| | | | SLICE-2 | | SLICE-2 | 2016 |
| Evaluatio | on Criteria | SLICE-1 | (primary) | SLICE-1 | (primary) | Limits |
| OIV | Longitudinal | -3.09 | 3.04 | -2.38 | -2.13 | $\pm 40(12.2)$ |
| | Longitudinai | (-0.94) | (-0.93) | (-0.73) | (-0.65) | ±40 (12.2) |
| 10/8 | Lataral | -0.08 | -0.14 | 0.44 | 0.22 | +40(12.2) |
| (11/8) | Lateral | (-0.02) | (-0.04) | (0.13) | (0.07) | $\pm 40(12.2)$ |
| ORA | Longitudinal | NA | NA | NA | NA | ±20.49 |
| g's | Lateral | NA | NA | NA | NA | ±20.49 |
| MAX. | Roll | -1.9 | -1.6 | -3.4 | -3.3 | ±75 |
| ANGULAR | Pitch | -0.6 | -0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | ±75 |
| DISPL. | Varre | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.0 | not |
| deg. | Yaw | 0.8 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | required |
| TI | HIV | NA | 5.38 (1.64) | NA | NA | not |
| ft/s (m/s) | | | · · · | | | required |
| PHD | | NA | 0.29 | NA | NA | not |
| g`s | | | | | | required |
| ASI | | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.07 | not required |

Table 10. Summary of OIV, ORA, THIV, PHD, and ASI values, Test No. WZNP-1

Discussion

The analysis of the test results for test no. WZNP-1 showed that both systems readily activated in a predicable manner and allow the 1100C vehicle to continue traveling without any major obstruction of the windshield. There were no detached elements nor fragments which showed potential for penetrating the occupant compartment nor presented undue hazard to other traffic. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could have caused serious injury did not occur. The test vehicle remained upright during and after the collisions. Vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw angular displacements, as shown in Appendix D, were deemed acceptable, because they did not adversely influence occupant risk nor cause rollover. After impact, the vehicle's trajectory did not violate the bounds of the exit box. Therefore, test no. WZNP-1 was determined to be acceptable according to the MASH 2016 safety performance criteria for test designation no. 3-71.


Figure 58. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs –Test No. WZNP-1, System A



Figure 59. Summary of Test Results and Sequential Photographs – Test No. WZNP-1, System B

September 19, 2018 MwRSF Report No. TRP-03-394-18 Revision-1



Figure 60. Additional Sequential Photographs, Test No. WZNP-1







Figure 61. Impact Location, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 62. Vehicle Final Position and Trajectory Marks, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 63. System Damage – Test No. WZNP-1, System A



Figure 64. System Damage – Test No. WZNP-1, System B



Figure 65. Vehicle Damage, Test No. WZNP-1







Figure 66. Vehicle Damage, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure 67. Vehicle Damage, Test No. WZNP-1

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The objective of this research effort was to evaluate the performance of a non-proprietary workzone safety device, such as a work-zone sign support or barricade. The research team made recommendations on the performance and usage of work-zone devices based on the background research in NCHRP Project No. 3-119, a survey sent to the SWZDI state DOTs, as well as additional background review on past NCHRP Report 350 crash tests. A Type III barricade that is commonly used by SWZDI state DOTs was selected for the full-scale crash testing program. The Type III barricade was evaluated according to the MASH TL-3 test designation no. 3-71 safety criteria through two full-scale crash tests at 0-degree and 90-degree impact angles.

Test no. WZNP-1 was conducted on a Type III barricade in accordance with MASH 2016 test designation no. 3-71. A summary of the test results is shown in Table 11. Two Type III barricades were placed 60 ft (18.3 m) apart on level terrain with one sandbag on the end of each leg. During the test, the 1100C small car impacted and disengaged both barricades. The systems readily activated in a predicable manner and allowed the 1100C vehicle to continue traveling without any major obstruction of the windshield. There were no detached elements nor fragments which showed potential for penetrating the occupant compartment nor presented undue hazard to other traffic. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could have caused serious injury did not occur. The test vehicle remained upright during and after the collisions. Vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw angular displacements, as shown in Appendix D, were deemed acceptable, because they did not adversely influence occupant risk nor cause rollover. After impact, the vehicle's trajectory did not violate the bounds of the exit box. Therefore, test no. WZNP-1 was determined to be acceptable according to the MASH 2016 safety performance criteria for test designation no. 3-71.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Type III barricade that was tested was non-proprietary. Thus, the components could be provided by any manufacturer. Each component should have similar dimensions and material grade as the as-tested system. The barricade rails could vary some in dimension and cross-section appearance but should have similar properties to the panels that were tested. It is anticipated that a Type III barricade without an attached aluminum sign panel would perform equivalent to or better than the Type III barricade that was tested with a sign panel. Additionally, the warning lights could be attached on the back side of the barricade.

According to MASH 2016, three full-scale crash tests should be conducted. The low-speed test, MASH 2016 test designation no. 3-70, was not required since the Type III barricade weighed less than 220 lb (100 kg). MASH 2016 test designation no. 3-71 was conducted successfully in this study both perpendicular to the device (0 degrees) and parallel to the device (90 degrees). MASH 2016 test designation no. 3-72 with a 2270P pickup truck is recommended in order for the full crash test matrix to be completed.

| Evaluation | | | Test No. WZNP-1, | Test No. WZNP-1, | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|---|
| Factors | Evalu | | System A | System B | |
| Structural Adequacy | A. Test article should bring the vehicle should not penetr installation althoug the test article is ac | contain and rec to a controllec rate, underride th controlled la ceptable. | lirect the vehicle or l stop; the vehicle , or override the ateral deflection of | S | S |
| | D. 1. Detached element the test article show for penetrating the an undue hazard | nts, fragments of ild not penetrat occupant comp to other traff | or other debris from e or show potential partment, or present ic, pedestrians, or | S | S |
| | 2. Deformations of compartment shou Section 5.2.2 and A | c zone. f, or intrusions ld not exceed appendix E of M | into, the occupant limits set forth in MASH 2016. | S | S |
| | F. The vehicle should collision. The maxi to exceed 75 degree | l remain uprig mum roll and j es. | ht during and after pitch angles are not | S | S |
| Occupant Risk | H. Occupant Impact V Section A5.2.2 o procedure) should s Occupant I Component Longitudinal and Lateral 30 | (see Appendix A, 6 for calculation wing limits: 7 Limits Maximum 40 ft/s (12.2 m/s) | S | S | |
| | I. The Occupant Rid Appendix A, Sect calculation procedulimits: Occupant Ride Component Longitudinal and Lateral | edown Accele ion A5.2.2 of ure) should sat edown Accelera Preferred 15.0 g's | ration (ORA) (see MASH 2016 for tisfy the following attion Limits Maximum 20.49 g's | S | S |
| | MASH 2016 Test D | | 3-71 (90 degrees) | 3-71 (0 degrees) | |
| | Final Evaluation (| | Pass | Pass | |

 Table 11. Summary of safety performance evaluation

S – Satisfactory, U – Unsatisfactor, NA - Not Applicable

REFERENCES

- 1. Schmidt, J. D., D. L. Sicking, K. A. Lechtenberg, R. K. Faller, and J. C. Holloway. 2009. *Analysis of Existing Work-Zone Devices with MASH Safety Performance Criteria*. Mid-America Transportation Center, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE.
- Ross, H. E., D. L. Sicking, R. A. Zimmer, and J. D. Michie. 1993. NCHRP Report 350: Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features. National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Washington, DC. http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_350-a.pdf.
- 3. AASHTO. 2009. *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)*. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.
- 4. AASHTO. 2016. *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)*. Second Edition. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.
- Marzougui, D. 2015. NCHRP Project 03-119: Application of MASH Test Criteria to Breakaway Sign and Luminaire Supports and Crashworthy Work-Zone Traffic Control Device. <u>http://apps.trb.org/cmsfeed/TRBNetProjectDisplay.asp?ProjectID=3857</u>.
- FHWA. 2002. Low-Height Temporary Rigid Panel Sign Stand. WZ-129. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC. <u>https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway_dept/countermeasures/reduce_crash_severity/workzone_/pdf/wz129.pdf.</u>
- 7. Polivka, K. A., R. K. Faller, J. C. Holloway, J. R. Rohde, and D. L. Sicking. 2002. *Safety Performance Evaluation of Minnesota's Aluminum Work Zone Signs*. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska- Lincoln, Lincoln, NE.
- 8. Polivka, K. A., R. K. Faller, J. C. Holloway, J. R. Rohde, and D. L. Sicking. 2003. *Safety Performance Evaluation of Michigan's Temporary Traffic Control Devices*. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE.
- 9. Polivka, K. A., R. K. Faller, J. C. Holloway, and J. R. Rohde. 2007. *Safety Performance Evaluation of Minnesota's Temporary Rigid Panel Sign Stand Systems*. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE.
- FHWA. 2008. Portable Sign Stands X and H Bases. WZ-266. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC. <u>https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway_dept/countermeasures/reduce_crash_severity/workzone /pdf/wz266.pdf</u>.
- Linzell, D. G. and Z. Rado. 2007. Work Order 7: Portable Sign Crash Test, Numerical Modeling Interim Report. Pennsylvania Transportation Institute, Pennsylvania State University, University, PA. <u>http://www.dot7.state.pa.us/BPR_PDF_FILES/Documents/Research/Complete%20Projects/</u> Operations/WO% 207% 20Final% 20Report.pdf.
- 12. Dobrovolny, C. S., D. R. Arrington, R. P. Bligh, and W. L. Menges. 2013. *Development and MASH Full-Scale Crash Testing of a High-Mounting-Height Temporary Single Sign Support with Aluminum Sign*. Texas A&M Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX. <u>https://static.tti.tamu.edu/tti.tamu.edu/documents/9-1002-12-5.pdf</u>.
- 13. Dobrovolny, C. S., D. R. Arrington, R. P. Bligh, W. L. Menges, and D. L. Kuhn. 2017. *MASH Evaluation of TXDOT High-Mounting-Height Temporary Work Zone Sign Support System.* Texas A&M Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX. <u>https://static.tti.tamu.edu/tti.tamu.edu/documents/9-1002-15-8.pdf</u>.

- 14. Polivka, K. A., R. K. Faller, J. C. Holloway, J. R. Rohde, and D. L. Sicking. 2000. *Safety Performance Evaluation of Minnesota's Aluminum Type III Barricades*. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE.
- 15. Mak, K. K., R. P. Bligh, and W. L. Menges. 1997. *Evaluation of Work Zone Barricades*. Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX. <u>https://static.tti.tamu.edu/tti.tamu.edu/documents/3910-S.pdf</u>.
- Mak, K. K., R. P. Bligh, and W. L. Menges. 1996 Evaluation of Work Zone Barricades and Temporary Sign Supports. Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, and Texas Department of Transportation, Austin, TX. <u>https://static.tti.tamu.edu/tti.tamu.edu/documents/5388-1F.pdf</u>.
- Bligh, R. P., W. L. Menges, and R. R. Haug. 2006. NCHRP Report 553: Crashworthy Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices. National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Washington DC. <u>http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_553.pdf</u>.
- Bligh, R. P., D. R. Arrington, W. L. Menges, and D. L. Kuhn. 2014. Skid-Mounted Support System for Temporary Guide Signs. Texas A&M Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX. <u>https://static.tti.tamu.edu/tti.tamu.edu/documents/0-6782-2.pdf</u>.
- 19. SAE. 2007. Instrumentation for Impact Test–Part 1–Electronic Instrumentation. Society of Automotive Engineers International, Warrendale, PA.
- 20. Hinch, J., T. L. Yang, and R. Owings. 1986. *Guidance Systems for Vehicle Testing*. ENSCO, Inc., Falls Church, VA.
- 21. MacInnis, D., W. Cliff, and K. Ising. 1997. *A Comparison of the Moment of Inertia Estimation Techniques for Vehicle Dynamics Simulation*. SAE Technical Paper 970951. Society of Automotive Engineers International, Warrendale, PA.
- 22. National Safety Council 1971. *Vehicle Damage Scale for Traffic Investigators*. Second Edition. Traffic Accident Data Project, National Safety Council, Chicago, IL.
- 23. SAE. 1980. Collision Deformation Classification–Recommended Practice (J224) March 1980. Society of Automotive Engineers International, Warrendale, PA.

APPENDIX A. MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS, MILL CERTIFICATES, AND CERTIFICATES OF CONFORMANCE

| Item | | | |
|------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| No. | Description | Material Specification | Reference |
| a1 | Plastic Panel, 96" [2,438] Long | Petrothene LR734001 | Technical Data Sheet |
| a2 | Plastic Panel, 96" [2,438] Long | Petrothene LR734001 | Technical Data Sheet |
| b1 | 1 1/2" x 1 1/2" x 12-gauge [38x38x2.7], 58 1/4" [1,480] Long Perforated Square Tubing | ASTM 1011 Gr. 50 | Coil#144948 H#C83245 |
| b2 | 1 3/4" x 1 3/4" x 14-gauge [44x44x1.9], 60" [1,524] Long Perforated Square Tubing | ASTM 1011 Gr. 50 | Coil#148057 H#C83841 |
| b3 | 1 3/4" x 1 3/4" x 14-gauge [44x44x1.9], 6" [152] Long Perforated Square Tubing | ASTM 1011 Gr. 50 | Coil#148057 H#C83841 |
| c1 | 48" x 30" x 0.08" [1,219 x 762 x 2] Sign with Reflective Sheeting | Aluminum Alloy 5052 | COC |
| c2 | Warning Light (Type A or C) | As Supplied | Fastenal COC |
| d1 | 3/8"-16 UNC [M10x1.5], 3 1/2" [89] Long Hex Head Bolt and Lock Nut | Bolt - ASTM A307 Gr. A or equivalent Nut - SAE J995 Gr. 2 or equivalent | Bolt: P#11117 Nut: H#321605150 |
| d2 | 1/2"-13 UNC [M14x2], 6" [152] Long Hex Head Bolt | ASTM A307 Gr. A or equivalent | P#11225 |
| d3 | 1/4"-20 UNC [M6x1], 1 3/4" [44] Long Hex Head Bolt and Lock Nut | Bolt - ASTM A307 Gr. A or equivalent Nut - SAE J995 Gr. 2 or equivalent | Bolt: P#11010 Nut: H#321706450 |
| d4 | 3/8" [10] Dia. Plain Round Washer | Low Carbon Steel | P#1133008 |
| d5 | 1/2" [13] Dia. Plain Round Washer | Low Carbon Steel | P#1133012 |
| d6 | 1/4" [6] Dia. Plain Round Washer | Low Carbon Steel | P#1133004 |

Table A.1. Bill of Materials, Test No. WZNP-1

Product Comparison

PROSPECTOR®

| Product Description | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Petrothene® LR734001 | Petrothene LR73 stress crack resis personal care pro | 4001 is a high density polye tance. Typical applications ducts. | ethylene resin that exhibits g include bottles for househol | ood stiffness and d chemicals, foo | d environmental d products, and | | |
| PRIMATOP® HDPE 003955P | Phillips Process I | Hexene Copolymer | | | | | |
| General | Petrothe LR73400 | ne®)1 | PRIMATOP HDPE 0039 | 8 55P | | | |
| Manufacturer / Supplier | Lyonds | ellBasell Industries | Amco Pol | ymers | | | |
| Generic Symbol | HDPE | | - HDPE | | | | |
| Material Status | Comm | ercial: Active | Commerce | ial: Active | | | |
| Literature ¹ | Proces Proces (Englis Techni | ssing - Mold Shrink (English ssing - Polyolefin Injection M sh) cal Datasheet (English) |) Nolding Guide - Technical | Datasheet | | | |
| UL Yellow Card ² | • E6255 | 2-100822145 | - | | | | |
| Search for UL Yellow Card | Lyonde Petroti | ellBasell Industries hene® | - Amco Pol | ymers | | | |
| Availability | North J | America | - North Am | erica | | | |
| Features | Good ESCR (Stress Crack Resist.) Good Processability Good Stiffness | | - Copolyme .) - Food Con - Hexene C - High ESC - High Stiffr | Copolymer Food Contact Acceptable Hexene Comonomer High ESCR (Stress Crack Resist.) High Stiffness | | | |
| Uses | Bottles Packa Rigid F | ging Packaging | - Blow Mole - Sheet | Blow Molding Applications Sheet | | | |
| Agency Ratings | | | • FDA 21 C | FR 177,1520 | | | |
| Processing Method | • Extrus | ion Blow Molding | - Blow Mole - Sheet Ext - Thermofo | ling rusion rming | | | |
| hysical | | Petrothene® LR734001 | PRIMATOP® HDPE 003955P | Unit | Test Method | | |
| Density | | a constant a faith | | | ASTM D1505 | | |
| 4 | | 0.953 | 1000 | g/cm* | | | |
| 0750 | | | 0.955 | g/cm* | | | |
| Melt Mass-Flow Rate (MFR) (| 190°C/2.16 kg) | 0.38 | 0.35 | g/10 min | ASTM D1238 | | |
| Environmental Stress-Crackin | ig Resistance | | | | | | |
| (ESCR) | | | 25.0 | - | ACTH D (8000 | | |
| 100% Isseed E50 | | 10 | 30.0 | hr | ASTM D1083B | | |
| 100% Igepal, F50 | | 25.0 | 40.0 | hr | ASTM D1603R | | |
| lechanical | | Petrothene® | PRIMATOP® | Unit | Test Method | | |
| Tensile Strength | | ALL AND THE L | 101 - 000001 | | ASTM D638 | | |
| Yield ⁵ | | | 4000 | psi | | | |
| Yield | | 4000 | | psi | | | |
| Tensile Elongation | | and the second | | | ASTM D638 | | |
| Break | | > 500 | 67755 | 9/6 | | | |
| Break ⁵ | | | > 600 | % | | | |
| Flexural Modulus | | | | | ASTM D790 | | |
| 1370 | | 53 | 200000 | psi | | | |
| 1% Secant | | 176000 | | psi | | | |
| | | | | | From Mr. The proper set | | |

The information presented here was acquired by UL from the producer of the product or material or original information provider. However, UL essumes no responsibility or liability for the accuracy of the information contained on this website and alteropy encourages that upon final product or material electrical information is websited with the manufacture. This website provides in this other websites owned by third parties. The contained electric thirdmatch by the document, and we cannot and will not take separability for the information or contained.

Figure A-1. Plastic Panel Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1

| 0 | |
|--------|----------------|
| | |
| 121111 | TUBE & CONDUIT |

ALLIED TUBE & CONDUIT PRODUCT CERTIFICATION

1.75" 149A.

A PART OF A atkore

| A01 | | | | A02 TYP | PE OF DOCU | JMENT | | | A03 D | OC NO. | | | | | | | A06 CI | USTOMER | |
|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| ALLIED T 16100 S. HARVEY, | UBE & CONDUIT LATHROP AVE IL 60426 | • | | PRODUCT A <mark>500;</mark> Te | TEST REPO | DRT AS PE | R ASTM Astm A370 | 1 | ALLIED | MTR NO. | 0039833 | | | | | | M61014 ATC/TELES | SPAR | |
| A07 CUST | TOMER ORDER | PRODUC | T DATE | F | ART NO. | | | | DIAM | ETER | | | GAGE | | THICKN | ESS | B06 MARI | INGS | |
| | | 9/1 | 14/17 | 695983 | TEL-SQ | PGAL/H 1 | .750 14 | 289.750 | 1.75 | 0 | | | 14 | | .083 | | | | |
| BO1 PRODU | JCT: STEEL TU | BING | | B02 SPEC | CIFICATIO | N: 60 MIN | YIELD | | | | | | B03 Made and | Manufac | tured in | the USA | TUBE MECHAN | VICAL TEST | |
| B07 | B16 | STEEL GR | ADE: | A1011GR55 | | | | CHEMI | CAL COMP | OSITION 3 | ¢ | | 1 | | | | C11 | C12 | C13 |
| | | C71 | C73 | C74 | C75 | C72 | C76 | C82 | C80 | C81 | C79 | C78 | C77 | C83 | | CEV | YIELD STR | TENSILE STR | El in 2 |
| COIL NO. | HEAT NO. | c | Mn | Р | s | Si | Al | Cu | Ni | Cr | Mo | v | СЬ | ті | N | z | KSI | KSI | z |
| 144948 | C83245 | .22 | .85 | . 009 | .002 | .040 | .035 | .150 | .050 | .070 | .020 | .001 | .002 | | | . 392 | 65.6 | 77.4 | 12.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201 TE | RMS AND CONDI | TIONS OF | THE SALE | | | | Z05 (| CERTIFIC | ATIONS | | | Z04 | TUBE & CON | DUIT | | Z02/Z03 | s Giulio Sca | rtozzi | |
| WE HEREB DELIVERE | Y CERTIFY THA | AT THE ABOV ICE WITH TH | E MENTIO E TERMS | NED MATERI OF THE ORD | AL HAS BE ER | EN | QS-SYS | TEM:1S0 ' | 9001:2008 | 8 | | 16100 S | 5. LATHROP | AVE USA | | Signature | : Giulio Soa All IE | Moggi DMTR-REV 00 | |

| 0 | |
|---|-----------------|
| | Glieo |
| | TUBE & CONDUIT* |

A PART OF A atkore

ALLIED TUBE & CONDUIT PRODUCT CERTIFICATION

7.00" 14GA-

A01 A02 TYPE OF DOCUMENT A03 DOC NO. CUSTOMER A06 ALLIED TUBE & CONDUIT PRODUCT TEST REPORT AS PER ASTM 16100 S. LATHROP AVE A500; TEST METHOD AS PER ASTM A370 ALLIED MTR NO. 0039705 M61014 HARVEY, IL 60426 ATC/TELESPAR A07 CUSTOMER ORDER PRODUCT DATE PART NO. DIAMETER GAGE THICKNESS B06 MARKINGS 083 10/25/17 2.000 14 695799 TEL-SQ PGAL/H 2.000 14 289.750 BO1 PRODUCT: STEEL TUBING B02 SPECIFICATION: B03 Made and Manufactured in the USA 60 MIN YIELD TUBE MECHANICAL TEST B07 **B16** STEEL GRADE: A1011GR55 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION % C11 C12 C13 C73 C74 C75 C72 C76 C79 C78 C77 C83 CEV El in 2 C71 C82 C81 YIELD STR TENSILE STR C80 Ni Cr Ti COIL NO. HEAT NO. С Mn Ρ S Si Al Cu Mo ٧ Cb N z KSI KSI z 48057 83841 .21 .84 .008 .004 .020 .035 .160 .050 .070 020 001 .001 . 382 61.5 74.0 13.1 TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SALE Z05 CERTIFICATIONS Z04 Z02/Z03 Z01 METLAB Giulio Scartozzi ALLIED TUBE & CONDUIT WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE MENTIONED MATERIAL HAS BEEN QS-SYSTEM: ISO 9001:2008 16100 S. LATHROP AVE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE ORDER Signature: Giulio Scartozzi HARVEY, IL 60426 USA ALLIEDMTR-REV 00

SmartSign

RoadTrafficSign A SmartSign Store 300 Cadman Plaza West, ste 1303 Brooklyn NY 11201 Phone: 1-800-952-1457

5/15/18

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

SmartSign hereby certifies that all materials supplied against purchase order number RTS-129064 / PO: <u>WBS# 26-1113-0106-001</u> shipped on 4/06/18 conforms to the material and/or manufacturing specifications as called on this said purchase order without exceptions.

Item # X-R11-2

QTY: 2 signs

Description: Road Closed Engineer Grade Reflective Aluminum Sign, 80 mi

Sincerely,

Tahyna Colon Call Center Manager tahyna@smartsign.com 800-952-1457 x 7140

Figure A-4. Sign Certificate of Conformance, Test No. WZNP-1



Certificate of Compliance

| Sold To: | Purchase Order: | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| UNL TRANSPORTATION | Job: | SWZDI Type III Barricade - WZN |
| | Invoice Date: | 04/25/2018 |

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT WE HAVE SUPPLIED YOU WITH THE FOLLOWING PARTS. THESE PARTS WERE PURCHASED TO THE FOLLOWING SPECIFICATIONS.

4 PCS 7" 3V D-Cell Polycarbonate Flashing or Steady Barricade Light SUPPLIED UNDER OUR TRACE NUMBER llne35292 AND UNDER PART NUMBER 1076058

This is to certify that the above document is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Fastenal Account Representative Signature

Printed Name

Please check current revision to avoid using obsolete copies.

This document was printed on 04/25/2018 and was current at that time.

Fastenal Store Location/Address

3201 N. 23rd Street STE 1 LINCOLN, NE 68521 Phone #: (402)476-7900 Fax #: 402/476-7958

Date

Page 1 of 1



CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT FOR ASTM A307, GRADE A - MACHINE BOLTS

FACTORY: IFI & MORGAN LTD. ADDRESS: No.583-28, Chang'an North Road, Wuyuan Town, Haiyan, Zhejiang, China REPORT DATE:2015/9/22

MANUFACTURE DATE:2015/8/20

CUSTOMER: FASTENAL

MFG LOT NUMBER:M-2015HT147-4

SAMPE SIZE: ACC. TO ASME B18.18 CATEGORY 2-2011; ASTM F1470-12 TABLE 3 MANU QTY: 28850 PCS SHIPPED QTY: 28800 PCS

MANU QTY: 28850 PCS SIZE: 3/8-16X3 1/2 ZP CR3+ HEADMARKS: 307A PLUS NY

PO NUMBER:110180103

HEAT NUMBER: 715030679

PART NO: 11117

STEEL PROPERTIES: MATERIAL TYPE:Q195

| CHEMISTRY SPEC: | C %*100 | Mn%*100 | P %*1000 | S %*1000 |
|----------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Grade A ASTM A307-12 | 0.29max | 1.20 max | 0.04max | 0.15max |
| TEST: | 0.06 | 0. 31 | 0.017 | 0.019 |

| DIMENSIONAL INSPECT | IONS Unit: | inch | SPECIFICATION: ASM | E B18.2.1 - 1 | 2012 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------|
| CHARACTERISTICS | SPEC | IFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| ***** | ******** | ***** | **** | ****** | ****** |
| VISUAL | ASTM F78 | 8-2013 | PASSED | 29 | 0 |
| THREAD | ASME B1. | 1-2003,3A GO,2A NOGO | PASSED | 15 | 0 |
| WIDTH A/F | 0.5 | 44-0.562 | 0.548-0.558 | 4 | 0 |
| WIDTH A/C | 0.6 | 20-0.650 | 0.630-0.642 | 4 | 0 |
| HEAD HEIGHT | 0.2 | 26-0.268 | 0.234-0.261 | 4 | 0 |
| BODY DIA. | 0.3 | 60-0.388 | 0.369-0.373 | 4 | 0 |
| THREAD LENGTH | 1 | .00Min | 1.012-1.124 | 15 | 0 |
| LENGTH | 3.4 | 40-3.540 | 3.458-3.523 | 15 | 0 |
| MECHANICAL PROPERT | IES: | SPECIFICA | TION: ASTM A307 - 14e | I GR.A | |
| CHARACTERISTICS | TEST METHOD | SPECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| ***** | **** | ***** | ***** | ***** | ***** |
| CORE HARDNESS : | ASTM F606-2014 | F606-2014 69-100 HRB | | 4 | 0 |
| WEDGE TENSILE: | ASTM F606-2014 | Min 60 KSI | 65-69 KSI | 4 | 0 |
| CHARACTERISTICS | TEST METHOD | SPECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| COATINGS OF ZINC | | SPECIFIATION: ASTM I | F1941/F1941M-2015 Fc/Zn | 3AN | |
| Coating thickness | ASTM B568-98(2014) | Min 3 μ m | $4-5\mu\mathrm{m}$ | 4 | 0 |
| SALT SPRAY TEST | ASTM B117-11 | 6 Hr no white rust,12 Hr 1 | no red rust Passed | 4 | 0 |
| ZINC ELECTROPLATING V | VITH TRIVALENT CHROM | ATE(CR+3) IN COMPLIANCE | WITH ROHS REQUTREM | ENTS. | |
| ALL TESTS IN ACCO | RDANCE WITH THE | METHODS PRESCRIBED | IN THE APPLICABLE | | |
| ASTM SPECIFICATION. | WE CERTIFY THAT | THIS DATA IS A TRUE | REPRESENTATION O | F | |
| INFORMATION PROVID | ED BY THE MATERIA | L SUPPLIER AND OUR T | ESTING LABORATORY. | | |
| Maker's ISO 9001:2015 SG | S Certificate # HK04/0105 | 5 | MORGAN | | |
| | | | 1 | | |

检验专用章 (SIGNATURE OF CLANLAB MUR (NAME OF MANUFACTURER)

Figure A-6. Hex Bolt Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1



GEM-YEAR TESTING LABORATORY CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION

MANUFACTURER GEM-YEAR INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. ADDRESS : NO.8 GEM-YEAR

ROAD.E.D.Z., JIASHAN, ZHEJIANG, P.R. CHINA PURCHASER : FASTENAL COMPANY PURCHASING PO. NUMBER : 210115915 COMMODITY : NYLON INSERT NUT GR-A SIZE : 3/8-16 NC LOT NO : 1N1680060 SHIP QUANTITY : 150,000 PCS LOT QUANTITY : 378,534 PCS HEADMARKS : GENIUS SYMBOL MANUFACTURE DATE : 2016/09/06

MANO ACTORE DATE . 2010/05/00

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN : CHINA

Tel: (0573)84185001(48Lines) Fax: (0573)84184488 84184567 DATE : 2018/05/09 PACKING NO : GEMI61201020 INVOICE NO : GEM/FNL-161213ED PART NO : 1137024 SAMPLING PLAN : ASME B18.18-2011(Category:2)/ASTM F1470-2012 HEAT NO : 321605150 MATERIAL : ML08 FINISH : Fe/Za 3AN ASTM F1941/F1941M-2016

PERCENTAGE COMPOSITION OF CHEMISTRY: ACCORDING TO IFI 100/107 GR-A

| Chemistry | AL% | C% | MN% | P% | S% | SI% |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Spec. : MIN. | | | | | | |
| MAX. | | 0.5800 | | 0.1300 | 0.2300 | |
| Test Value | 0.0380 | 0.0800 | 0.4300 | 0.0130 | 0.0040 | 0.0600 |

DIMENSIONAL INSPECTIONS : ACCORDING TO ASME B18.16.6-2014

| INSPECTIONS ITEM | | SAMPLED | DBY: LXQING | | |
|------------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|------|------|
| | SAMPLE | SPECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| WIDTH ACROSS CORNERS | 6PCS | Min. 0.6220 inch | 0.6250-0.6290 inch | 6 | 0 |
| THICKNESS | 6PCS | 0.4380-0.4680 inch | 0.4410-0.4640 inch | 6 | 0 |
| WIDTH ACROSS FLATS | 6PCS | 0.5510-0.5640 inch | 0.5540-0.5610 inch | 6 | 0 |
| SURFACE DISCONTINUITIE | S 29 PCS | ASTM F812-2012 | PASSED | 29 | 0 |
| THREAD | 15PCS | GAGING SYSTEM 21 | PASSED | 15 | 0 |

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES : ACCORDING TO IFI 100/107 GR-A

SAMPLED BY: GDAN LIAN

| INSPECTIONS ITEM | SAMPLE TEST METHO | RE | F SPECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----|--|---------------|------|------|
| CORE HARDNESS | 15 PCS ASTM F606-2014 | | Max 104 HRB | 86-87 HRB | 15 | (|
| PROOF LOAD | 5 PCS ASTM F606-2014 | | Min. 7,000 LBF | OK | 5 | (|
| PLATING THICKNESS(µm) | 29 PCS ASTM B568-1998 | 3 | >=3 | 3.21-5 | 29 | . (|
| SALT SPRAY TEST | 15 PCS ASTM B117-16 | | 6 HOURS NO WHITE RUS 12 HOURS NO RED RUST | r. OK | 15 | 0 |

WE CERTIFY THAT THIS DATA IS A TRUE REPRESENTATION OF INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE MATERIAL SUPPLIER AND OUR TESTING LABORATORY .WHICH ACCREDITED BY ISO/IEC17025(CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 3358.01) WE CERTIFY THAT THE PRODUCTS SUPPLIED ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ORDER

Quality Supervisor:

Grin

page 1 of 1

Figure A-7. Lock Nut Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT FOR ASTM A307, GRADE A - MACHINE BOLTS

| FACTORY: | IFI & MOI No 583-28 | RGAN LTD. Chang'an Nori | h Road, Wuyu | an Town, Hai | van | REPORT D | ATE:2016/7 | - /28 | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| ADDALSS. | Zhejiang, (| China | n Road, Waya | an Town, Ha | yan, | MANUFACTURE DATE:2016/6/23 | | | | |
| CUSTOMER: SAMPE SIZE: | FASTENA ACC. TO A | L SME B18.18 C | ATEGORY 2- | -2011: ASTM | F1470-12 T | MFG LOT NUMBER:M-2016HT258-6 YABLE 3 | | | | |
| MANU QTY: : SIZE: | 2200PCS 1/2-13X6 | ZP CR3+ | | | | SHIPPED Q | TY: 2160 P | PCS | | |
| HEADMARKS | S: 307A PL | US NY | | | | PO NUMBE | ER:11020639 | 98 | | |
| | | | | | | PART NO: | 11225 | i | | |
| STEEL PROPI MATERIAL T | ERTIES: YPE:Q195 | | | | | HEAT NUM | IBER: 6160 | 30871 | | |
| CHEMISTRY | SPEC: | | C %*100 | Mn%*100 | P %*1000 | S %*1000 | l' | | | |
| Grade A ASTN | 1 A307-12 | | 0.29max | 1.20 max | 0.04max | 0.15max | | | | |
| TEST: | | | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.021 | 0.006 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12310 | | | | | | ittes Synth | | | | |
| DIMENSIONA | L INSPECT | TIONS | Unit:inc | ch | | SPECIFICA | TION: ASM | E B18.2.1 - 1 | 2012 | |
| CHARACTER | ISTICS | | SPECIF | IED | | ACTUAL | RESULT | ACC. | REJ. | |
| ***** | ******* | ******* | ********* | ****** | **** | ******* | ***** | ***** | ****** | |
| VISUAL | | | ASTM F788-2 | 2013 | | PASS | ED | 18 | 0 | |
| THREAD | | | ASME B1.1-2 | 2003,3A GO,2 | A NOGO | PASS | ED | 13 | 0 | |
| WIDTH A/F | | | 0.725 | -0.750 | | 0.738 | -0.745 | 3 | 0 | |
| WIDTH A/C | - | | 0.826 | -0.866 | | 0.829 | -0.857 | 3 | 0 | |
| HEAD HEIGH | 1 | | 0.302 | -0.364 | | 0.311 | -0.360 | 3 | 0 | |
| BODY DIA. | | | 0.482 | -0.515 | | 0.488 | -0.502 | 3 | 0 | |
| I HREAD LEN | GIH | | 5 000 | olvin C 090 | | 1.258 | -1.264 | 13 | 0 | |
| MECHANICA | י מסמרומי | יזבפ. | 5.900 | -6.080 | enecieic <i>i</i> | 5.914- | -6.04/ | 13 -1 CD A | 0 | |
| CHARACTER | L FROFERI ISTICS | TES. | THOD | SDEC | SPECIFICA | ATION: ASTR | И АЗОЛ - 140 ДЕСІП Т | ACC | DEI | |
| ******** | ******* | ******** | ***** | ******** | ***** | ******** | NEGUE I ***** | ACC. ****** | NEJ. ****** | |
| COREHARDN | JESS · | ASTM F606/ | F606M-2016 | 69-100 | HRR | 76-80 | HRR | 4 | 0 | |
| WEDGE TENS | SILE: | ASTM F606/ | F606M-2016 | Min 6 | 0 KSI | 65-69 |) KSI | 4 | 0 | |
| CHARACTER | ISTICS | TEST MET | HOD | SPEC | IFIED | ACTUAL | RESULT | ACC. | REL | |
| COATINGS O | F ZINC | | | SPECIFIATI | ON: ASTM | F1941/F1941 | M-2015 Fe/Z | Zn 3AN | | |
| Coating thickne | ess | ASTM B568- | 98(2014) | Min 1 | $3 \mu\mathrm{m}$ | 4-5 | μm | 4 | 0 | |
| SALT SPRAY | TEST | ASTM B117- | 2016 | 6 Hr no whit | e rust,12 Hr i | no red rust | Passed | 4 | 0 | |
| ZINC ELECTRO | PLATING V | WITH TRIVALE | NT CHROMAT | E(CR+3) IN C | OMPLIANCE | WITH ROHS | REQUTREM | IENTS. | | |
| ALL TESTS | IN ACCO | RDANCE WI | TH THE M | ETHODS PR | RESCRIBED | IN THE A | PPLICABL | 3 | | |
| ASTM SPECI | FICATION. | WE CERTI | FY THAT T | HIS DATA I | IS A TRUE | REPRESEN | TATION (| OF | | |
| INFORMATIO | n provid | ED BY THE | MATERIAL S | SUPPLIER A | ND OUR T | ESTING LA | BORATORY | Y. | | |
| Maker's ISO 90 | 001:2015 SC | GS Certificate # | HK04/0105 | | e. 1101 | RGAN | | | | |
| | | | | | 20 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | ~检验专 | 专用章 | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | <u>ACIALITE</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | (SIGNATUR | EVOF D.A. | LAB WOR. |) | | | |
| | | | | (NAME (| OF MANUT | ACTURER) | | | | |

Figure A-8. Hex Bolt Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1



GEM-YEAR TESTING LABORATORY CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION

MANUFACTURER :GEM-YEAR INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. ADDRESS : NO.8 GEM-YEAR

ROAD, E.D.Z., JIASHAN, ZHEJIANG, P.R. CHINA PURCHASER : FASTENAL COMPANY PURCHASING PO. NUMBER : 180147058 COMMODITY : HEX MACHINE BOLT GR-A SIZE : 1/4-20X1-3/4 NC LOT NO : 1B17B2527 SHIP QUANTITY : 27, 200 PCS LOT QUANTITY : 29, 001 PCS HEADMARKS : CYI & 307A MANUFACTURE DATE : 2017/12/08

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN : CHINA

Tel: (0573)84185001(48Lines) Fax: (0573)84184488 84184567 DATE: 2018/05/10 PACKING NO: GEM171221011 INVOICE NO: GEM/FNL-180103ED PART NO: 11010 SAMPLING PLAN: ASME B18. 18-2011 (Category. 2)/ASTM F1470-2012 HEAT NO: 17104786-3 MATERIAL: X1008A FINISH: Fe/Zn 3AN ASTM F1941/F1941M-2016

CAMPLED DV . AUANO MUT TINO

PERCENTAGE COMPOSITION OF CHEMISTRY: ACCORDING TO ASTM A307-2014

| Chemistry | AL% | C% | MN% | P% | S% | SI% |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Spec. : MIN. | | | | | | |
| MAX. | | 0. 3300 | 1.2500 | 0.0410 | | |
| Test Value | 0. 0300 | 0. 0900 | 0. 3000 | 0.0110 | 0.0090 | 0. 0300 |

DIMENSIONAL INSPECTIONS : ACCORDING TO ASME B18. 2. 1-2012

| | | SAMPLED | BY ZHANG HUI JIN | G | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------|------|
| INSPECTIONS ITEM | SAMPLE | SPECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| THREAD LENGTH | 15 PCS | 0.7500 inch | 0.8780-0.8800 inch | 15 | 0 |
| MAJOR DIAMETER | 15 PCS | 0.2410-0.2490 inch | 0.2450-0.2460 inch | 15 | 0 |
| BODY DIAMETER | 4 PCS | 0.2370-0.2600 inch | 0.2450-0.2460 inch | 4 | 0 |
| WIDTH ACROSS CORNERS | 4 PCS | 0.4840-0.5050 inch | 0.4890-0.4910 inch | 4 | 0 |
| HEIGHT | 4 PCS | 0.1500-0.1880 inch | 0.1560-0.1570 inch | 4 | 0 |
| NOMINAL LENGTH | 15 PCS | 1.7100-1.7700 inch | 1.7310-1.7340 inch | 15 | 0 |
| WIDTH ACROSS FLATS | 4 PCS | 0.4250-0.4380 inch | 0.4310-0.4310 inch | 4 | 0 |
| SURFACE DISCONTINUITIES | 29 PCS | ASTM F788-2013 | PASSED | 29 | 0 |
| THREAD | 15 PCS | ASME B1. 1-2003 3A GO 2A NOGO | PASSED | 15 | 0 |

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES : ACCORDING TO ASTM A307-2014

| | | , | | SAMPLE | DBY: GDAN LIAN | | |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------|-----|--|----------------|------|------|
| INSPECTIONS ITEM | SAMPLE | TEST METHOD | REF | SPECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| CORE HARDNESS | 15 PCS | ASTM F606-2016 | | Max. 100 HRB | 76-78 HRB | 15 | 0 |
| TENSILE STRENGTH | 4 PCS | ASTM F606-2016 | | Min. 60 KSI | 67-70 KSI | 4 | 0 |
| PLATING THICKNESS (µm) | 29 PCS | ASTM B568-1998 | | >=3 | 3. 06-3. 6 | 29 | 0 |
| SALT SPRAY TEST | 15 PCS | ASTM B117-16 | | 6 HOURS NO WHITE RUST, 12 HOURS NO RED RUST | ОК | 15 | 0 |

WE CERTIFY THAT THIS DATA IS A TRUE REPRESENTATION OF INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE MATERIAL SUPPLIER AND OUR TESTING LABORATORY .WHICH ACCREDITED BY ISO/IEC17025(CERTIFICATE NUMBER:3358.01) WE CERTIFY THAT THE PRODUCTS SUPPLIED ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ORDER

page 1 of 2

Figure A-9. Hex Bolt Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1



GEM-YEAR TESTING LABORATORY CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION

MANUFACTURER GEM-YEAR INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. ADDRESS : NO.8 GEM-YEAR ROAD.E.D.Z..JIASHAN.ZHEJIANG,P.R.CHINA

PURCHASER : FASTENAL COMPANY PURCHASING PO. NUMBER : 210142206 COMMODITY : NYLON INSERT NUT GR-A SIZE : 1/4-20 NC LOT NO : 1N1780821 SHIP QUANTITY : 360,000 PCS LOT QUANTITY 1,205.425 PCS HEADMARKS : GENIUS SYMBOL

MANUFACTURE DATE : 2017/10/11

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN : CHINA

Tel: (0573)84185001(48Lines) Fax: (0573)84184488 84184587 DATE: 2018/05/09 PACKING NO: GEM171130003 INVOICE NO: GEM/FNL-171213ED-2 PART NO: 1137018 SAMPLING PLAN: ASME B18.18-2011(Category.2)/ASTM F1470-2012 HEAT NO: 321706450 MATERIAL: ML08 FINISH: Fe/Zn 3AN ASTM F1941/F1941M-2016

SAMPLED BY . DANTTT

1 niv

PERCENTAGE COMPOSITION OF CHEMISTRY:ACCORDING TO ASTM A563-2015

| Chemistry | AL% | C% | MN% | P% | S% | SI% |
|--------------|----------|----------|------------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| Spec. : MIN. | 10000000 | 0.000000 | 10.2540403 | | 000000000 | 00000000000 |
| MAX. | | 0.5800 | | 0.1300 | 0.2300 | |
| Test Value | 0.0290 | 0.0600 | 0.4200 | 0.0190 | 0.0050 | 0.0400 |

DIMENSIONAL INSPECTIONS : ACCORDING TO ASME B18.16.6-2014

SAMPLED BY : WDANDAN INSPECTIONS ITEM SAMPLE SPECIFIED ACTUAL RESULT ACC. REJ. WIDTH ACROSS CORNERS Min.0.4850 in.ch 7 PCS 0.4940-0.4960 in.ch 7; 0 0.2980-0.3260 in.ch 0.3150-0.3170 in.ch THICKNESS 7 0 7PCS 0.4290-0.4370 in.ch 71 WIDTH ACROSS FLATS 7PCS 0.4350-0.4360 in.ch 0 ASTM F812-2012 SURFACE DISCONTINUITIES 29 PCS PASSED' 29 0 GAGING SYSTEM 21 PASSED THREAD 15 PCS 15 : 0

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES : ACCORDING TO IFI 100/107 GR-A

| | | | | SAM LE | PAN LU | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------|-----|--|---------------|------|------|
| INSPECTIONS ITEM | SAMPLE | TEST METHOD | REF | SPECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| CORE HARDNESS | 15 PCS | ASTM F606-2014 | 1 | Max.100HRB | 85-88 HRB | 15 | 0 |
| PROOF LOAD | 7 PCS | ASTM F606-2014 | 1 | Min.2,900 LBF | OK | 7 | 0 |
| PLATING THICKNESS(µm) | 29 PCS | ASTM B568-1998 | 1 | >=3 | 3.1-3.88 | 29 | 0 |
| SALT SPRAY TE <mark>S</mark> T | 15 PCS | ASTM B117-16 | ł | 6 HOURS NO WHITE RUS 12 HOURS NO RED RUST | . ok | 15 | 0 |

WE CERTIFY THAT THIS DATA IS A TRUE REPRESENTATION OF INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE MATERIAL SUPPLIER AND OUR TESTING LABORATORY .WHICH ACCREDITED BY ISO/IEC17025(CERTIFICATE NUMBER:3358.01) WE CERTIFY THAT THE PRODUCTS SUPPLIED ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ORDER

Quality Supervisor:

page 1 of 1

Figure A-10. Lock Nut Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1

TEST REPORT

USS FLAT WASHER, ZP

| CUSTOMER: | | | DATE: 2017-11- | 10 | |
|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| PO NUMBER: 480006320 | | MFG LOT | NUMBER: M-SWEO | 412056-3 | |
| SIZE: 3/8 | | F | PART NO: 1133008 | | |
| HEADMARKS: | | | QNTY: | 157,500 | PCS |
| | | | | | |
| DIMENSIONAL INSPECTI | ONS | SPECIFI | ICATION: ASME B | 8.21.1(20 | 009) |
| CHARACTERISTICS | SP | ECIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| ***** | ******* | ******** | ***** | ****** | ******* |
| APPEARANCE | A | STM F788-07 | PASSED | 100 | 0 |
| OUTSIDE DIA | | 0.993-1.030 | 0.999-1.002 | 8 | 0 |
| INSIDE DIA | | 0.433-0.453 | 0.446-0.448 | 8 | 0 |
| THICKNESS | | 0.064-0.104 | 0.069-0.071 | 8 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| ZINC PLATED | ASTM F1941/F1941M FE/ZN 3AN | Min 3 µm | 3.9-4.4 µm | 8 | 0 |
| Salt Spray test result | ASTM B117 | Min 6 hrs No White Rust | Pass | 8 | 0 |
| ALL TESTS IN ACCORDANC WE CERTIFY THAT THIS DA SUPPLIER AND OUR TESTI MFG ISO 9001:2015 SGS Ce | E WITH THE MET IA IS A TRUE RE NG LABORATORY rtificate # HK04/01 | HODS PRESCRIBED IN THE AP PRESENTATION OF INFORMAT 05 (SIGNATUR (NAME C | PLICABLE ASTM SPE TON PROVIDED BY TH NORGAN 检验专用章 QUANLITY CONTROL E OF Q.A. LAB M DF MANUFACTURE | GIFICATIO HE MATEF GR.) R) | DN. RIAL |

IFI & MORGAN LTD.

ADDRESS: Chang'an North Road, Wuyuan Town, Haiyan, Zhejiang, China

Figure A-11. Flat Washer Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT FOR USS FLAT WASHERS ZP

| FACTORY: IFI & Mor ADDRESS: Chang'an I | gan Ltd North Road, Wuyuan ' | Γown, Haiyan,Zhejian | REPORT DATE: og, China | 2018-05-09 | |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|----------------|
| OLICTONED. | | | MANUFACTURE DAT | ΓE: | |
| CUSTOMER: | | | MFG LOT NUMBER: | | |
| SAMPLING PLAN PER A | SME B18.18-11 | | PO NUMBER: | 480006818 | |
| SIZE: USS 1/2 ZP HEADMARKS: NO MAR | QNTY(Lot si K | ze): 26250PCS | PART NO: | 1133012 | |
| | | | | | |
| DIMENSIONAL INSPECT | TIONS | SPECIFICA | TION: ASTM B18.21.1- | 2011 | |
| CHARACTERISTICS | SPECII ***** | 'IED ****** | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. ****** |
| APPEARANCE | ASTM F8 | 44 | PASSED | 100 | 0 |
| OUTSIDE DIA | 1.368-1.405 | | 1.370-1.378 | 10 | 0 |
| INSIDE DIA | 0.557-0.577 | | 0.567-0.575 | 10 | 0 |
| THICKNESS | 0.086-0.132 | | 0.086-0.102 | 10 | 0 |
| 10 | | | | | |
| CHARACTERISTICS ************************ | TEST METHOD ****** | SPECIFIED ***** | ACTUAL RESULT ********* | ACC. ******* | REJ. ****** |
| ZINC PLATED | ASTM F1941 | Min 3 um | 3-4um | 8 | 0 |
| ALL TESTS IN ACCO ASTM SPECIFICATION INFORMATION PROVID MFG ISO9002 CERTIFIC. | DRDANCE WITH TH . WE CERTIFY THADED BY THE MATER ATE NO. HK04/0105 | E METHODS PRESCI AT THIS DALL TOP IAL SUPPLIER AND C 检验专 GIAN ITY (SIGNATURE OF Q.A (NAME OF MANU | RIBED IN THE APPL ROP REPRESENTAT OUR TESTING LABOR 用章 CONTROL . LAB MAGR.) FACTURER) | ICABLE ION OF ATORY. | |

TEST REPORT

USS FLAT WASHER, ZP

| CUSTOMER: | | | DATE: 2018-11-12 | | |
|--|---|--|---|------------|--------|
| PO NUMBER: 110243322 | | MFG LOT | NUMBER: M-SWE041 | 2056-1 | |
| SIZE: 1/4 | | F | PART NO: 1133004 | | |
| HEADMARKS: | | | QNTY: | 540,000 | PCS |
| | | | | | |
| DIMENSIONAL INSPECTIO | NS | SPECIFI | CATION: ASME B18 | .21.1(2009 |) |
| CHARACTERISTICS | SPE | CIFIED | ACTUAL RESULT | ACC. | REJ. |
| ****** | ********* | ***** | ***** | ******* | ****** |
| APPEARANCE | AS | TM F788-07 | PASSED | 100 | 0 |
| OUTSIDE DIA | 0 | .727-0.749 | 0.730-0.732 | 8 | 0 |
| INSIDE DIA | 0 | .307-0.327 | 0.321-0.323 | 8 | 0 |
| THICKNESS | 0 | .051-0.080 | 0.053-0.056 | 8 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| ZINC PLATED | ASTM F1941/F1941M FE/ZN 3AN | Min 3 µ m | 3.6-4.1 µm | 8 | 0 |
| Salt Spray test result | ASTM B117 | Min 6 hrs No White Rust | Pass | 8 | 0 |
| ALL TESTS IN ACCORDANCE WE CERTIFY THAT THIS DAI/ SUPPLIER AND OUR TESTING MFG ISO 9001:2015 SGS Cert | WITH THE METH A IS A TRUE REPA G LABORATORY. fficate # HK04/0105 | ODS PRESCRIBED IN THE APP RESENTATION OF INFORMATIO | LICABLE ASTM SPECIF DN PROVIDED BY THE NORGAN 检验专用章 QUANLITY CONTROL IRE OF Q.A. LAB M OF MANUFACTURE | GR.) | |

IFI & MORGAN LTD.

ADDRESS: Chang'an North Road, Wuyuan Town, Haiyan, Zhejiang, China

Figure A-13. Flat Washer Material Certificate, Test No. WZNP-1

| | 5/25/2010 | rest name: | VVZINP-I | VIIN. | NINAI | JIIHAOODO | 505140 |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Year: | 2011 | Make: | KIA | Model: | | RIO | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Vehicle CG [| Determinatio | on | | | | | |
| | | | | | Weight | | |
| | Vehicle Equ | lipment | | | (lb.) | | |
| | + | Unballasted Ca | ar (Curb) | | 2320 | ĺ | |
| - | + | Hub | | | 19 | | |
| | + | Brake activatio | n cylinder & t | frame | 7 | | |
| | + | Pneumatic tan | k (Nitrogen) | | 22 | | |
| | + | Strobe/Brake E | Battery | | 5 | | |
| | + | Brake Receive | r/Wires | | 5 | | |
| - | + | CG Plate inclu | ding DAS | | 13 | | |
| | - | Battery | | | -31 | | |
| - | - | Oil | | | -6 | | |
| | . | Interior | | | -63 | | |
| - | - | Fuel | | | -22 | | |
| | - | Coolant | | | -6 | | |
| | - | Washer fluid | | | -7 | | |
| | + | Water Ballast (| In Fuel Tank |) | 95 | | |
| | + | Onboard Supp | lemental Bat | tery | 0 | | |
| | | Trunk | | | 55 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Note: (+) is add | ded equipment to ve Estin | hicle, (-) is remo | oved equipme Veight (lb.) | nt from vehicle 2406 | | |
| Vehicle Dime | Note: (+) is add | ded equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio | nated Total V nated Total V | oved equipme | nt from vehicle 2406 |] | |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 | ded equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio _in. | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 | in. | _ |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 | ted equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio in. in. | nated Total V nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 | in. in. | _ |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 | ded equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio in. in. | nated Total V nated Total V <u>ns</u> Front Tra Rear Tra | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 | in. in. | _ |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 | ded equipment to ve Estin <u>C.G. Calculatio</u> in. in. 1100C MAS | nated Total V nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 | in. in. | |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity (eight (lb.) | ted equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 d | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets - 55 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 | in. in. in. | - Difference |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 .vity /eight (lb.) G (in) | ted equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40 094291 | in. in. | Difference 6 1 0942 |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 .vity /eight (lb.) G (in.) | ted equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets 55 : 4 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0 358229 | in. in. | — Differenc 6 1.0942 |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (in | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity /eight (lb.) G (in.) I.) n.) | ted equipment to ve Estim C.G. Calculatio in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 | in. in. | Difference 6 1.0942 N |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (in | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity /eight (Ib.) G (in.) I.) n.) measured from | ted equipment to ve Estim C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA NA | nated Total V nated Total V Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | 10.358229 22.50 | in. in. | Difference 6 1.0942 N N |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (i Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity /eight (lb.) G (in.) I.) n.) s measured from measured from | ted equipment to ve Estin C.G. Calculatio in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA Ma m front axle of test v n centerline - positiv | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side | in. in. | Differenci 6 1.0942 N N |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (i Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 ivity /eight (lb.) G (in.) n.) measured from | ted equipment to ve Estim C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA NA M front axle of test ve in centerline - positiv | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 rehicle e to vehicle right | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side | in. in. | Difference 6 1.0942 N N |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (in Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 wity /eight (lb.) G (in.) n.) n.) s measured from iT (lb.) | ted equipment to ve Estim C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA NA Marn front axle of test v in centerline - positiv | hicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets 55 55 54 • 4 • ehicle e to vehicle right | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side TEST INER | in. in. I TIAL WEIG | — Differenc 6 1.0942 N N SHT (Ib.) |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (ir Vertical CG (i Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity /eight (lb.) G (in.) 1.) n.) s measured from measured from IT (lb.) | ted equipment to ve Estim C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA NA m front axle of test v n centerline - positiv | ehicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side TEST INER | in. in. I | — Differenc 6 1.0942 N N SHT (Ib.) |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial M Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (in Vertical CG (in Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity /eight (Ib.) G (in.) 1.) n.) s measured from IT (Ib.) Left | ted equipment to ve Estim C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA Ma front axle of test w in centerline - positiv Right | ehicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 rehicle e to vehicle right | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side TEST INER | in. in. I TIAL WEIG | Difference 6 1.0942 N SHT (Ib.) Right |
| Vehicle Dime. Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (in Vertical CG (in Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity /eight (Ib.) G (in.) 1.) n.) s measured from IT (Ib.) Left 737 | C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA m front axle of test w n centerline - positiv Right 728 | ehicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 rehicle e to vehicle right | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side TEST INER Front | in. in. TIAL WEIG | Differend 6 1.0942 N SHT (Ib.) Right 712 |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (i Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG CURB WEIGH Front Rear | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 wity /eight (lb.) G (in.) n.) s measured from in (lb.) IT (lb.) Left 737 430 | C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA M front axle of test v in centerline - positiv Right 728 425 | ehicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side TEST INER Front Rear | in. in. I TIAL WEIG Left 729 499 | |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (in Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG CURB WEIGH Front Rear | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 (vity /eight (lb.) G (in.) 1.) n.) s measured from it (lb.) Left 737 430 1465 | Estin C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA | ehicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets : 55 : 4 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side TEST INER Front Rear ERONT | in. in. TIAL WEIG Left 729 499 | Difference 6 1.0942 N N SHT (Ib.) Right 712 486 |
| Vehicle Dime Wheel Base: Roof Height: Center of Gra Test Inertial W Longitudinal C Lateral CG (in Vertical CG (in Note: Long. CG is Note: Lateral CG CURB WEIGH Front Rear FRONT REAR | Note: (+) is add nsions for (98 3/4 58 1/4 vity /eight (lb.) G (in.) 1.) n.) s measured from iT (lb.) Left 737 430 1465 855 | Estim C.G. Calculatio in. in. in. 1100C MAS 2420 ± 39 ± NA NA m front axle of test v n centerline - positiv Right 728 425 Ib. | ehicle, (-) is remo nated Total V ns Front Tra Rear Tra H Targets 55 55 54 | ved equipme Veight (Ib.) ack Width: ack Width: | nt from vehicle 2406 57 7/8 58 Test Inertia 2426 40.094291 -0.358229 22.50 side TEST INER Front Rear FRONT REAR | in. in. TIAL WEIG Left 729 499 1441 985 | Difference 6 1.0942 N SHT (Ib.) Right 712 486 Ib. |

APPENDIX B. VEHICLE CENTER OF GRAVITY DETERMINATION



APPENDIX C. VEHICLE DEFORMATION RECORDS

| Date: | 5/23/ | 2018 | - | | Test Name: Make: | WZ | NP-1 | | | VIN: Model: | KNAE | DH4A38B69 | 939145 |
|---------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|----------|------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|
| rour. | 20 | /11 | - | | marce. | | | | | wouch. | | NO | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | VE | HICLE DE | FORMATI | ON | | | | | |
| | | | | | F | LOOR P | AN - SET 1 | | | | | | |
| i | | Protect | Brotoct | Protoct | | Posttost | | | | | | | Directions |
| | | Y | V | 7 | Posttest X | V | Posttest Z | ΔX ^A | ΔY^A | ΔZ ^A | Total ∆ | Crush ^B | for |
| | POINT | (in) | (in) | (in) | (in.) | (in) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | Crush ^C |
| | 1 | 62.6 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 62.5 | 20.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | ¥ 7 |
| | 2 | 63.0 | 24.1 | 4.0 | 62.0 | 20.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X 7 |
| | 2 | 63.2 | 27.1 | 4.0 | 63.1 | 27.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X 7 |
| - 11 | J | 63.2 | 31.5 | 39 | 63.2 | 31.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X 7 |
| Z) WF | 5 | 61 7 | 33.9 | 21 | 61.6 | 33.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X 7 |
| ЖШЧ, | 6 | 59.6 | 19.4 | 6.6 | 59.6 | 19.4 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X.Z |
| <u> </u> | 7 | 59.9 | 24.1 | 6.2 | 59.9 | 24.1 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | XZ |
| ' ≥ | 8 | 60.3 | 28.0 | 5.8 | 60.2 | 28.0 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | XZ |
| | 9 | 60.3 | 31.7 | 5.7 | 60.3 | 31.8 | 5.7 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X.Z |
| | 10 | 59.7 | 35.0 | 4.9 | 59.6 | 35.0 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X.Z |
| | 11 | 56.9 | 19.8 | 7.9 | 56.9 | 19.7 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 12 | 56.7 | 24.6 | 7.8 | 56.8 | 24.5 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 13 | 56.9 | 28.2 | 7.4 | 56.8 | 28.1 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 14 | 56.7 | 32.3 | 7.7 | 56.7 | 32.2 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 15 | 56.7 | 35.3 | 7.6 | 56.7 | 35.3 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 16 | 53.5 | 19.4 | 7.8 | 53.5 | 19.4 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 17 | 53.4 | 24.3 | 8.0 | 53.4 | 24.2 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| - | 18 | 53.5 | 28.0 | 7.8 | 53.5 | 27.9 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| AN | 19 | 53.0 | 32.2 | 8.0 | 53.1 | 32.1 | 8.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| Ц Ц | 20 | 52.5 | 35.4 | 8.0 | 52.5 | 35.4 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Z |
| l <u>o</u> :> | 21 | 48.4 | 19.1 | 8.4 | 48.4 | 19.1 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 22 | 49.0 | 23.2 | 8.5 | 49.0 | 23.3 | 8.5 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| - | 23 | 49.3 | 27.7 | 7.9 | 49.2 | 27.8 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | Z |
| | 24 | 49.2 | 31.7 | 8.0 | 49.2 | 31.7 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 25 | 49.4 | 35.5 | 8.4 | 49.4 | 35.5 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 26 | 45.1 | 18.9 | 8.4 | 45.0 | 18.9 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 27 | 45.3 | 23.1 | 8.7 | 45.2 | 23.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 28 | 45.9 | 27.5 | 8.0 | 45.9 | 27.6 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Z |
| | 29 | 45.9 | 31.5 | 8.0 | 45.8 | 31.4 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 30 | 46.1 | 35.6 | 8.6 | 46.1 | 35.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |

^A Positive values denote deformation as inward toward the occupant compartment, negative values denote deformations outward away from the occupant compartment.

^B Crush calculations that use multiple directional components will disregard components that are negative and only include positive values where the component is deforming inward toward the occupant compartment.

^c Direction for Crush column denotes which directions are included in the crush calculations. If no direction listed, then no intrusion is recorded, and Crush will be 0.



Figure C-1. Floor Pan Deformation Data – Set 1 (Right), Test No. WZNP-1

| Date: Year: | 5/23/ 20 | 2018 11 | | | Test Name: Make: | WZ K | NP-1 IA | | | VIN: Model: | KNAE | 0H4A38B69 RIO | 39145 |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | VEH F | HICLE DE FLOOR P | FORMATI | ON 2 | | | | | |
| | POINT | Pretest X | Pretest Y | Pretest Z | Posttest X (in.) | Posttest Y | Posttest Z (in.) | ΔX ^A (in.) | ΔY ^A (in.) | ΔZ ^A (in.) | Total ∆ (in.) | Crush ^B (in.) | Directions for |
| | POINT | (11.) | (11.) | (11.) | 00.0 | (11.) | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 62.3 | -28.0 | 0.8 | 62.Z | -28.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| | 2 | 65.6 | -24.5 | 2.3 | 65.6 | -24.0 | 2.0 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| 그 교 | 3 | 65 A | -19.4 | 3.4 | 65.3 | -19.4 | 3.1 | 0.1 | -0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | X 7 |
| A ME | 5 | 64.9 | -12.1 | 3.8 | 64.9 | -12 1 | 3.6 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | X 7 |
| , ХШЩ | 6 | 61.3 | -26.8 | 6.0 | 61.2 | -26.8 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | XZ |
| [으 뽀 - | 7 | 61.4 | -24.8 | 5.9 | 61.3 | -24.8 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | XZ |
| ' > | | 61.4 | -19.9 | 6.0 | 61.3 | -19.9 | 5.7 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | XZ |
| | 9 | 61.0 | -16.8 | 6.2 | 61.0 | -16.9 | 5.9 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| | 10 | 61.2 | -12.1 | 6.1 | 61.2 | -12.2 | 5.9 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X, Z |
| | 11 | 58.6 | -26.3 | 7.6 | 58.4 | -26.4 | 7.5 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Z |
| | 12 | 58.4 | -24.6 | 7.5 | 58.4 | -24.6 | 7.4 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Z |
| | 13 | 58.5 | -20.1 | 7.6 | 58.5 | -20.2 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| | 14 | 58.5 | -17.1 | 7.6 | 58.5 | -17.1 | 7.4 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| | 15 | 58.5 | -12.0 | 7.4 | 58.5 | -12.1 | 7.3 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| | 16 | 54.1 | -29.6 | 8.0 | 54.0 | -29.7 | 7.8 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Z |
| | 17 | 53.8 | -26.6 | 8.1 | 53.7 | -26.7 | 7.9 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| 7 | 18 | 53.8 | -22.9 | 7.9 | 53.8 | -23.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Z |
| PAI | 19 | 54.0 | -18.4 | 8.0 | 54.0 | -18.4 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Z |
| ЧŃ | 20 | 54.2 | -13.3 | 7.7 | 54.2 | -13.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| 8 | 21 | 50.4 | -29.9 | 8.3 | 50.3 | -30.0 | 8.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Z |
| Ĩ. | 22 | 50.1 | -26.5 | 8.5 | 50.0 | -26.6 | 8.3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| | 23 | 50.1 | -22.9 | 8.0 | 50.1 | -23.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Z |
| | 24 | 50.5 | -18.2 | 8.0 | 50.5 | -18.3 | 7.8 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Z |
| | 25 | 51.1 | -12.9 | 8.4 | 51.1 | -12.9 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| | 26 | 47.6 | -30.1 | 8.4 | 47.5 | -30.2 | 8.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| | 27 | 47.7 | -26.4 | 8.5 | 47.7 | -26.5 | 8.3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | <u>Z</u> |
| | 28 | 47.5 | -22.8 | 8.0 | 47.4 | -22.8 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| | 29 | 47.3 | -17.8 | 8.1 | 47.3 | -17.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | |
| | 30 | 41.1 | -12.6 | 8.5 | 41.1 | -12.7 | 8.5 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | |

compartment.

^B Crush calculations that use multiple directional components will disregard components that are negative and only include positive values where the

component is deforming inward toward the occupant compartment. ^C Direction for Crush column denotes which directions are included in the crush calculations. If no direction listed, then no intrusion is recorded, and Crush will be 0.



Figure C-2. Floor Pan Deformation Data – Set 2 (Left), Test No. WZNP-1

| Year: | 2011 | | Make: KIA | | | | | | Model: | RIO | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|
| - | | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | VE | HICLE DE | FORMATI | ON | | | | | |
| | | | | | INT | ERIOR C | RUSH - SE | T1 | | | | | |
| ī | | Pretest | Pretest | Pretest | | | 1 | | | | | | Direction |
| | | X | Y | Z | Posttest X | Posttest Y | Posttest Z | ΔX^{A} | ΔY^{A} | ΔZ ^A | Total ∆ | Crush ^B | for |
| | POINT | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | Crush |
| DASH (X, Y, Z) | 1 | 49.4 | -6.8 | -20.8 | 49.4 | -6.7 | -20.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| | 2 | 46.9 | -20.0 | -23.4 | 47.0 | -19.9 | -23.3 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X, Y, Z |
| | 3 | 50.0 | -29.8 | -20.0 | 50.1 | -29.7 | -19.9 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| | 4 | 44.4 | -7.4 | -13.1 | 44.5 | -7.3 | -13.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| | 5 | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | X, Y, Z |
| | 6 | 47.0 | -30.7 | -14.5 | 47.1 | -30.7 | -14.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| SIDE PANEL (Y) | / | 54.2 | -33.0 | -0.1 | 54.1 | -33.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Y |
| | 0 Q | 59.0 | -32.9 | -3.3 | 59.0 | -32.9 | -3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T V |
| | 10 | 48.6 | -33.0 | 15.8 | 19.4 | -33.0 | -0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | V |
| 8 | 11 | 36.5 | -35.3 | -13.7 | 36.6 | -35.2 | -13.7 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Y |
| N SI | 12 | 21.9 | -34.8 | -17.3 | 22.1 | -34.8 | -17.3 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Ý |
| 5ğ≿ | 13 | 46.5 | -34.3 | -6.1 | 46.5 | -34.3 | -6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ý |
| | 14 | 34.8 | -35.1 | -0.4 | 34.8 | -35.1 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Y |
| 2 | 15 | 25.0 | -34.8 | -2.4 | 25.1 | -34.8 | -2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Y |
| | 16 | 34.8 | -8.0 | -35.4 | 35.1 | -7.9 | -35.3 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Z |
| | 17 | 35.0 | -12.1 | -35.3 | 35.1 | -12.0 | -35.3 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 18 | 34.8 | -16.0 | -35.2 | 34.9 | -15.8 | -35.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| (Z) - : | 19 | 34.5 | -20.2 | -35.0 | 34.6 | -20.1 | -35.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 20 | 34.1 | -24.5 | -34.8 | 34.2 | -24.4 | -34.9 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Z |
| | 21 | 32.4 | -7.9 | -36.7 | 32.6 | -7.8 | -36.7 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 22 | 32.2 | -12.1 | -36.5 | 32.4 | -11.9 | -36.5 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2 |
| ğ | 23 | 31.9 | -16.0 | -30.5 | 32.1 | -15.8 | -30.4 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 7 |
| RO NO | 24 | 31.0 | -20.2 | -36.2 | 31.7 | -20.1 | -36.2 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 7 |
| | 26 | 29.4 | -7.9 | -38.3 | 29.5 | -77 | -38.3 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 |
| | 27 | 29.5 | -11.8 | -38.2 | 29.7 | -11.6 | -38.2 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 28 | 29.2 | -15.6 | -38.1 | 29.5 | -15.4 | -38.1 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 29 | 29.1 | -19.9 | -37.9 | 29.3 | -19.7 | -37.9 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 30 | 28.1 | -23.4 | -37.8 | 28.3 | -23.3 | -37.8 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | Z |
| A-PILLAR Maximum (X, Y, Z) | 31 | 53.8 | -31.7 | -23.0 | 54.0 | -31.6 | -22.9 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y, Z |
| | 32 | 50.8 | -31.2 | -24.8 | 50.9 | -31.1 | -24.7 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y, Z |
| | 33 | 47.4 | -30.5 | -27.0 | 47.5 | -30.4 | -27.0 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 34 | 43.9 | -29.8 | -28.9 | 44.0 | -29.6 | -28.9 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 35 | 40.9 | -29.2 | -30.4 | 41.1 | -29.0 | -30.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 30 | 57.0 | -20.3 | -32.0 | 51.1 | -20.4 | -32.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | T V |
| A-PILLAR Lateral (Y) | 31 | 53.8 | -31.7 | -23.0 | 54.0 | -31.0 | -22.9 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Ý |
| | 32 | 47.4 | -31.2 | -24.0 | 47.5 | -31.1 | -24.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y Y |
| | 34 | 43.9 | -29.8 | -28.9 | 44.0 | -29.6 | -28.9 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Ý |
| | 35 | 40.9 | -29.2 | -30.4 | 41.1 | -29.0 | -30.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 36 | 37.6 | -28.5 | -32.0 | 37.7 | -28.4 | -32.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| B-PILLAR Maximum (X, Y, Z) | 37 | 14.3 | -27.8 | -34.4 | 14.3 | -27.6 | -34.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 38 | 15.0 | -29.1 | -32.4 | 15.1 | -29.0 | -32.5 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 39 | 15.4 | -30.0 | -30.5 | 15.6 | -29.9 | -30.6 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 40 | 15.9 | -30.7 | -28.8 | 16.1 | -30.6 | -28.8 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| B-PILLAR Lateral (γ) | 37 | 14.3 | -27.8 | -34.4 | 14.3 | -27.6 | -34.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 38 | 15.0 | -29.1 | -32.4 | 15.1 | -29.0 | -32.5 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 39 | 15.4 | -30.0 | -30.5 | 15.6 | -29.9 | -30.6 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| | 40 | 15.9 | -30.7 | -28.8 | 16.1 | -30.6 | -28.8 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |

^B Crush calculations that use multiple directional components will disregard components that are negative and only include positive values where the component is deforming inward toward the occupant compartment.

^c Direction for Crush column denotes which directions are included in the crush calculations. If no direction listed, then no intrusion is recorded, and Crush will be 0.



| Year: | 2011 | | Make: KIA | | | | | | Model: | RIO | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------|--------|---------------------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | VE | HICLE DE | FORMATIC | N | | | | | |
| | | | | | IN | IERIOR CI | RUSH - SET | 1 | | | | | |
| ſ | | Pretest | Pretest | Pretest | _ | | | | | . – 0 | | B | Direction |
| | | Х | Y | Z | Posttest X | Posttest Y | Positest Z | ΔX ^{··} | ΔY | ΔZ^{\prime} | lotal Δ | Crush | for |
| | POINT | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (11.) | () | (m.) | (in.) | (in.) | (In.) | (m.) | (in.) | Crush |
| | 1 | 48.8 | 13.8 | -20.3 | 48.5 | 14.0 | -21.0 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | X, Y, Z |
| - G | 2 | 48.9 | 23.6 | -20.2 | 48.6 | 23.8 | -20.8 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | X, Y, Z |
| DASH X, Y, Z | 3 | 48.9 | 33.8 | -19.7 | 48.7 | 34.0 | -20.3 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | X, Y, Z |
| | 4 | 43.5 | 13.3 | -12.7 | 43.4 | 13.5 | -13.3 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | X, Y, Z |
| 6 | 5 | 46.2 | 23.5 | -13.6 | 46.1 | 23.6 | -14.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | X, Y, Z |
| | 6 | 45.7 | 34.0 | -13.9 | 45.5 | 34.2 | -14.5 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | X, Y, Z |
| u di _ | 7 | 52.3 | 39.5 | 1.7 | 52.4 | 39.6 | 1.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.6 | 0.6 | -0.1 | Y |
| SEE | 8 | 57.1 | 39.6 | -2.0 | 57.2 | 39.7 | -2.7 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.7 | 0.7 | -0.1 | Y |
| 0° à 1 | 9 | 57.3 | 39.8 | 2.0 | 57.5 | 39.9 | 1.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.7 | 0.8 | -0.1 | Y |
| ωT | 10 | 45.9 | 40.4 | -15.6 | 45.8 | 40.5 | -16.0 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 0.5 | -0.1 | Y |
| 8~[| 11 | 33.6 | 40.2 | -14.7 | 33.4 | 40.4 | -15.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.4 | -0.2 | Y |
| E B C | 12 | 20.6 | 39.5 | -15.0 | 20.5 | 39.7 | -15.2 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.3 | -0.2 | Y |
| ABC [| 13 | 47.3 | 40.4 | -5.8 | 47.3 | 40.5 | -6.4 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.6 | 0.6 | -0.1 | Y |
| ₽ I | 14 | 34.6 | 40.8 | -1.0 | 34.7 | 41.0 | -1.4 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.4 | -0.2 | Y |
| - | 15 | 23.3 | 39.7 | -1.7 | 23.3 | 39.8 | -1.9 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| | 16 | 34.5 | 13.0 | -35.1 | 34.0 | 13.2 | -35.6 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.4 | Z |
| | 17 | 34.5 | 17.4 | -35.0 | 34.0 | 17.5 | -35.4 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -0.4 | Z |
| | 18 | 34.4 | 21.6 | -34.9 | 33.9 | 21.8 | -35.3 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -0.4 | Z |
| | 19 | 33.6 | 26.3 | -34.7 | 33.2 | 26.5 | -35.1 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.4 | Z |
| | 20 | 32.8 | 30.6 | -34.5 | 32.3 | 30.9 | -34.9 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -0.4 | Z |
| (Z) - | 21 | 32.3 | 12.6 | -36.3 | 31.8 | 12.9 | -36.7 | 0.5 | -0.3 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -0.4 | Z |
| | 22 | 31.8 | 16.7 | -36.5 | 31.3 | 16.9 | -36.8 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.6 | -0.3 | Z |
| 6 | 23 | 31.3 | 20.9 | -36.4 | 30.9 | 21.1 | -36.8 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.4 | Z |
| 8 | 24 | 30.7 | 25.4 | -36.4 | 30.2 | 25.6 | -36.7 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.6 | -0.3 | Z |
| | 25 | 29.9 | 29.7 | -36.0 | 29.4 | 29.9 | -36.3 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.5 | -0.3 | Z |
| | 26 | 29.0 | 12.3 | -38.1 | 28.4 | 12.5 | -38.4 | 0.6 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -0.4 | 2 |
| | 21 | 28.8 | 10.1 | -38.1 | 28.2 | 16.4 | -38.4 | 0.5 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.7 | -0.3 | 2 |
| | 20 | 20.3 | 20.1 | -38.0 | 27.8 | 20.3 | -36.4 | 0.5 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 2 |
| | 29 | 27.0 | 24.9 | -37.0 | 27.3 | 25.2 | -30.1 | 0.5 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.7 | -0.3 | 7 |
| | 30 | 27.0 | 20.0 | -37.0 | 20.4 | 20.9 | -37.9 | 0.5 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.7 | -0.3 | 2 |
| ~ - | 31 | 52.3 | 38.2 | -22.2 | 52.1 | 38.3 | -22.9 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | X |
| Ψų μį Ώ | 32 | 47.9 | 37.1 | -25.5 | 47.0 | 37.3 | -25.0 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| A-PILL Maxim (X, Y, | 33 | 44.5 | 30.1 | -27.5 | 44.1 | 30.3 | -20.0 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | ~ ~ |
| | 34 | 38.0 | 30.2 | -29.0 | 40.5 37.6 | 34.6 | -30.0 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | Ŷ |
| | 36 | 34.6 | 33.5 | -32.6 | 34.3 | 33.7 | -33.0 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | X |
| | 31 | 52.2 | 38.0 | _22.0 | 52.1 | 38.2 | -22.0 | 0.7 | -0.2 | -0.7 | 0.0 | _0.7 | v |
| A-PILLAR Lateral (Y) | 30 | 17.0 | 30.2 | -22.2 | JZ.1 | 30.3 | -22.9 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.7 | 0.7 | -0.2 | v v |
| | 32 | 47.5 | 36.1 | -23.3 | 47.0 | 36.2 | -23.0 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.7 | -0.2 | v |
| | 34 | 40.9 | 35.2 | -29.5 | 40.5 | 35.4 | -30.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.0 | -0.2 | v |
| | 35 | 38.0 | 34.4 | -31.1 | 37.6 | 34.6 | -31.5 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.2 | Y |
| | 36 | 34.6 | 33.5 | -32.6 | 34.3 | 33.7 | -33 በ | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.2 | Ý |
| B-PILLAR Maximum (X, Y, Z) | 37 | 13.4 | 32.0 | -34.6 | 13.1 | 32.2 | -34.7 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | × |
| | 38 | 13.9 | 33.5 | -32.3 | 13.6 | 33.7 | -32.4 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | X |
| | 39 | 14.4 | 34 7 | -29.7 | 14.2 | 34.9 | -29.8 | 0.3 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | X |
| | 40 | 14.9 | 35.6 | -27.5 | 14.7 | 35.8 | -27.5 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | X |
| B-PILLAR Lateral (Y) | 37 | 13.4 | 32.0 | -34.6 | 13.1 | 32.2 | -34.7 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.4 | _0.2 | V |
| | 38 | 13.4 | 32.0 | -34.0 | 13.1 | 32.2 | -32.4 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.4 | -0.2 | v |
| | 39 | 14.4 | 34.7 | -32.3 | 14.2 | 34.9 | -29.8 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.4 | -0.2 | v |
| | 40 | 14.9 | 35.6 | -27.5 | 14.7 | 35.8 | -27.5 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.2 | Ý |
| | 40 | 14.0 | 00.0 | 27.5 | 1 17.7 | 00.0 | 21.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1 1 |

^o Crush calculations that use multiple directional components will disregard components that are negative and only include positive values where the component is deforming inward toward the occupant compartment.

^C Direction for Crush column denotes which directions are included in the crush calculations. If no direction listed, then no intrusion is recorded, and Crush will be 0.


| Year: | 20 |)11 | • | | Make: | K | IA | | | Model: | KNAL | RIO | 39145 |
|------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | VE | HICLE DE | FORMATI | ON | | | | | |
| | | | | | INT | ERIOR C | RUSH - SE | T 2 | | | | | |
| Ī | | Pretest | Pretest | Pretest | | | | | | | | | Direction |
| | | X | Y | Z | Posttest X | Posttest Y | Posttest Z | ΔX^{A} | ΔY ^A | ΔZ ^A | Total ∆ | Crush ^B | for |
| | POINT | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | Crush |
| | 1 | 49.4 | -6.6 | -20.8 | 49.4 | -6.7 | -20.7 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X. Y. Z |
| | 2 | 46.8 | -19.8 | -23.4 | 47.0 | -19.9 | -23.3 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X. Y. Z |
| н К С | 3 | 50.0 | -29.6 | -20.0 | 50.1 | -29.7 | -19.9 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X. Y. Z |
| Ϋ́ς Ι | 4 | 44.4 | -7.2 | -13.1 | 44.5 | -7.3 | -13.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X, Y, Z |
| - 0 | 5 | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | bad data | X, Y, Z |
| | 6 | 47.0 | -30.5 | -14.5 | 47.1 | -30.7 | -14.4 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X, Y, Z |
| u d | 7 | 54.2 | -32.8 | -0.1 | 54.1 | -33.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| 32 E | 8 | 59.0 | -32.7 | -3.3 | 59.0 | -32.9 | -3.3 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| 0 ⁷ 4 | 9 | 59.4 | -32.8 | -1.0 | 59.4 | -33.0 | -0.9 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| ш | 10 | 48.5 | -34.2 | -15.8 | 48.7 | -34.3 | -15.7 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| <u> </u> | 11 | 36.5 | -35.1 | -13.7 | 36.6 | -35.2 | -13.7 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| й Ч с | 12 | 21.9 | -34.6 | -17.3 | 22.1 | -34.8 | -17.3 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| IMPAC DO | 13 | 46.4 | -34.1 | -6.1 | 46.5 | -34.3 | -6.1 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| | 14 | 34.8 | -34.9 | -0.4 | 34.8 | -35.1 | -0.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.2 | Y |
| | 15 | 25.0 | -34.5 | -2.4 | 25.1 | -34.8 | -2.5 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.2 | Y |
| | 16 | 34.8 | -7.8 | -35.4 | 35.1 | -7.9 | -35.3 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | Z |
| (Z) - | 17 | 34.9 | -11.9 | -35.3 | 35.1 | -12.0 | -35.3 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 18 | 34.7 | -15.8 | -35.2 | 34.9 | -15.8 | -35.2 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 19 | 34.4 | -20.1 | -35.0 | 34.6 | -20.1 | -35.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 20 | 34.1 | -24.3 | -34.8 | 34.2 | -24.4 | -34.9 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Z |
| | 21 | 32.4 | -7.7 | -36.7 | 32.6 | -7.8 | -36.7 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 22 | 32.2 | -11.9 | -36.5 | 32.4 | -11.9 | -36.5 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| ъ | 23 | 31.9 | -15.9 | -36.5 | 32.1 | -15.8 | -36.4 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | Z |
| õ | 24 | 31.5 | -20.1 | -36.4 | 31.7 | -20.1 | -36.4 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| ш | 25 | 31.0 | -24.0 | -36.2 | 31.2 | -24.0 | -36.2 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Z |
| | 26 | 29.3 | -7.7 | -38.3 | 29.5 | -7.7 | -38.3 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 27 | 29.5 | -11.6 | -38.2 | 29.7 | -11.6 | -38.2 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 28 | 29.2 | -15.4 | -38.1 | 29.5 | -15.4 | -38.1 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 29 | 29.0 | -19.8 | -37.9 | 29.3 | -19.7 | -37.9 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 30 | 28.1 | -23.2 | -37.8 | 28.3 | -23.3 | -37.8 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 31 | 53.8 | -31.5 | -23.0 | 54.0 | -31.6 | -22.9 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Z |
| HA HA | 32 | 50.7 | -31.0 | -24.8 | 50.9 | -31.1 | -24.7 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2 |
| Ľ, É, F, | 33 | 4/.3 | -30.3 | -27.0 | 47.5 | -30.4 | -27.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2 |
| a ĝ ∕ | 34 | 43.9 | -29.6 | -28.9 | 44.0 | -29.6 | -28.9 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2 |
| ~ ~ | 35 | 40.9 | -29.0 | -30.4 | 41.1 | -29.0 | -30.4 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | |
| | 30 | 57.0 | -20.3 | -32.0 | 51.1 | -28.4 | -32.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | N/ |
| ~ ~ | 31 | 53.8 | -31.5 | -23.0 | 54.0 | -31.6 | -22.9 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Y |
| R A | 32 | 50.7 | -31.0 | -24.8 | 50.9 | -31.1 | -24.1 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Y |
| | 33 | 47.3 | -30.3 | -27.0 | 47.5 | -30.4 | -27.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | Y |
| A-P ate | 34 | 43.9 | -29.6 | -28.9 | 44.0 | -29.6 | -28.9 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Y |
| × _ | 30 | 40.9 | -29.0 | -30.4 | 41.1 | -29.0 | -30.4 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | T V |
| ~ - | 30 | 37.0 | -20.3 | -32.0 | 14.0 | -20.4 | -32.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.1 | T |
| A Li A | 3/ | 14.3 | -27.0 | -34.4 | 14.3 | -27.6 | -34.5 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | - |
| ∃ ê ≻ I | 38 | 15.0 | -28.9 | -32.3 | 15.1 | -29.0 | -32.5 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | |
| ₹â ⁴ | 39 | 15.4 | -29.8 | -30.5 | 15.0 | -29.9 | -30.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 6 |
| u | 40 | 15.9 | -30.6 | -20.1 | 10.1 | -30.0 | -20.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | |
| 4F | 37 | 14.3 | -27.6 | -34.4 | 14.3 | -27.6 | -34.5 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Y |
| al | 38 | 15.0 | -28.9 | -32.3 | 15.1 | -29.0 | -32.5 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Y |
| ate at | 39 | 15.4 | -29.8 | -30.5 | 15.0 | -29.9 | -30.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.1 | Y |
| | 40 | 15.9 | -30.6 | -28.7 | 16.1 | -30.6 | -28.8 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | Y |

¹⁶ Crush calculations that use multiple directional components will disregard components that are negative and only include positive values where the component is deforming inward toward the occupant compartment.

^C Direction for Crush column denotes which directions are included in the crush calculations. If no direction listed, then no intrusion is recorded, and Crush will be 0.



| Year: | 20 | 111 | | | Make: | K | IA | | | Model: | | RIO | 550145 |
|----------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|------------|------------|---|-------|-------|-----------------|---------|-------|-----------|
| - | | | | | | | a Alarah di kacamatan di kacamatan Alarah di kacamatan d | | | | | | |
| | | | | | VE | HICLE DE | FORMATIC | N | | | | | |
| | | | | | IN | IERIOR CI | RUSH - SET | 2 | | | | | |
| ſ | | Pretest | Pretest | Pretest | | | | | | | | B | Direction |
| | | Х | Y | Z | Posttest X | Posttest Y | Posttest Z | ΔXA | ΔY | ΔZ ^Δ | Total ∆ | Crush | for |
| | POINT | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (in.) | (m.) | (in.) | Crush |
| | 1 | 49.2 | -4.9 | -20.8 | 49.1 | -4.9 | -20.9 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| - G | 2 | 49.6 | 4.9 | -20.6 | 49.6 | 4.8 | -20.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| HS'S | 3 | 50.0 | 15.1 | -20.0 | 50.0 | 15.0 | -19.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| Δ× Ι | 4 | 44.0 | -5.3 | -13.2 | 44.0 | -5.4 | -13.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| | 5 | 47.0 | 4.9 | -14.0 | 47.0 | 4.7 | -14.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | X, Y, Z |
| | 6 | 46.9 | 15.4 | -14.1 | 46.8 | 15.3 | -14.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X, Y, Z |
| SIDE PANEL (3) | 7 | 53.9 | 20.4 | 1.5 | 53.9 | 20.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | Y |
| | 8 | 58.7 | 20.4 | -2.3 | 58.7 | 20.2 | -2.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Y |
| | 9 | 59.0 | 20.5 | 1.6 | 59.0 | 20.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | Y |
| 8 | 10 | 4/.3 | 21.7 | -15./ | 47.3 | 21.6 | -15.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | Y |
| ω κ | 12 | 35.0 | 22.0 | -14./ | 35.0 | 21.9 | -14.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | T V |
| IMPACT DOO (Y) | 12 | 48.9 | 21.7 | -14.0 | 48.9 | 21.0 | -14.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | T V |
| | 14 | 36.2 | 21.5 | -0.0 | 36.2 | 21.3 | -0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | V |
| | 15 | 24.9 | 21.7 | -1.5 | 24.8 | 21.4 | -14 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | Ý |
| | 16 | 34.6 | -4 9 | -35.5 | 34.6 | -5.0 | -35.5 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 7 |
| - | 17 | 34.8 | -0.6 | -35.3 | 34.8 | -0.7 | -35.3 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 18 | 34.8 | 3.7 | -35.1 | 34.8 | 3.7 | -35.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 19 | 34.2 | 8.4 | -34.9 | 34.2 | 8.3 | -34.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 20 | 33.6 | 12.8 | -34.6 | 33.5 | 12.7 | -34.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| _ | 21 | 32.4 | -5.2 | -36.6 | 32.4 | -5.2 | -36.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | Z |
| N | 22 | 32.0 | -1.1 | -36.7 | 32.1 | -1.1 | -36.7 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| ц, Г | 23 | 31.7 | 3.1 | -36.6 | 31.7 | 3.1 | -36.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Z |
| 8 [| 24 | 31.2 | 7.6 | -36.5 | 31.2 | 7.6 | -36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Z |
| m | 25 | 30.6 | 11.9 | -36.1 | 30.6 | 11.8 | -36.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Z |
| | 26 | 29.0 | -5.4 | -38.3 | 29.0 | -5.5 | -38.4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | Z |
| | 27 | 29.0 | -1.6 | -38.3 | 29.0 | -1.5 | -38.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 28 | 28.6 | 2.5 | -38.2 | 28.6 | 2.4 | -38.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| | 29 | 28.4 | 7.3 | -37.9 | 28.3 | 1.3 | -37.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| | 30 | 27.0 | 11.0 | -37.7 | 27.0 | 11.0 | -37.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Z |
| ~ - | 31 | 53.5 | 19.4 | -22.5 | 53.6 | 19.3 | -22.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Y,Z |
| Y IN A | 32 | 49.1 | 10.5 | -25.5 | 49.0 | 10.4 | -25.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| 글늦거 | 34 | 45.5 | 16.9 | -21.7 | 45.5 | 16.9 | -27.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X V 7 |
| AAA | 35 | 39.0 | 16.2 | -20.0 | 39.0 | 16.2 | -31.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | X Y 7 |
| | 36 | 35.6 | 15.5 | -32.7 | 35.6 | 15.5 | -32.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Y.Z |
| | 31 | 53.5 | 19.4 | -22.5 | 53.6 | 19.3 | -22 5 | 0.0 | 01 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | V V |
| mc I | 32 | 49 1 | 18.5 | -25.5 | 49.0 | 18.4 | -25.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | Ý |
| AC I | 33 | 45.5 | 17.7 | -27.7 | 45.5 | 17.6 | -27.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Ý |
| | 34 | 41.9 | 16.9 | -29.6 | 41.9 | 16.9 | -29.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Y |
| Lat Lat | 35 | 39.0 | 16.2 | -31.2 | 39.0 | 16.2 | -31.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Y |
| | 36 | 35.6 | 15.5 | -32.7 | 35.6 | 15.5 | -32.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | Y |
| Ψ E Ω | 37 | 14.3 | 14.8 | -34.3 | 14.4 | 14.7 | -34.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| A B N | 38 | 14.9 | 16.2 | -32.0 | 14.9 | 16.1 | -32.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Y, Z |
| E S C I | 39 | 15.5 | 17.4 | -29.4 | 15.5 | 17.3 | -29.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Y, Z |
| mi⊇° | 40 | 16.0 | 18.2 | -27.2 | 16.1 | 18.1 | -27.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y, Z |
| RE I | 37 | 14.3 | 14.8 | -34.3 | 14.4 | 14.7 | -34.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |
| al (| 38 | 14.9 | 16.2 | -32.0 | 14.9 | 16.1 | -32.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Y |
| I fer | 39 | 15.5 | 17.4 | -29.4 | 15.5 | 17.3 | -29.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Y |
| Ľà | 40 | 16.0 | 18.2 | -27.2 | 16.1 | 18.1 | -27.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Y |

^B Crush calculations that use multiple directional components will disregard components that are negative and only include positive values where the component is deforming inward toward the occupant compartment.

^c Direction for Crush column denotes which directions are included in the crush calculations. If no direction listed, then no intrusion is recorded, and Crush will be 0.

Figure C-6. Occupant Compartment Deformation Data – Set 2 (Right), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure C-7. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Front, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure C-8. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Left, Test No. WZNP-1



Figure C-9. Exterior Vehicle Crush (NASS) - Right, Test No. WZNP-1

| Date: | 5/23/ | 2018 | | | Fest Name: | WZN | NP-1 | | VIN: | KNAI | DH4A38B6939145 | |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Year: | 20 | 11 | | | Make: | KI | A | | Model: | | RIO | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | VEF | | | ION | | | | |
| | | | | | | WINDS | HIELD | | | | | |
| | POINT | Vertical F Len | Reference gth ^A | Vertical F Sic (Top or I | Reference le ^B Bottom) | Lateral F Lenç | Referece 9th ^C | Lateral F Sid (Driver c | Reference de ^B or Pass.) | Examplar Vehicle Measurement | Test Vehicle Measurment | Crush ^D (in.) |
| | 1 | | 9 | To | р | ç |) | Pass | enger | 5 5/8 | 5.5 | -0.125 |
| | 2 | 3. | 25 | To | op | 32. | 25 | Pass | enger | 5 1/2 | 5.5 | 0 |
| 9 | 3 | <u>8</u> ۱۶ | .5 \ 5 | | קע מר | 4 | 3 | Pass | enger | 6 5 3/4 | <u> </u> | 0.75 |
| HIE | 5 | 2 | 27 | To | op | 1 | 2 | Pass | enger | 5 | 5.25 | 0.25 |
| NDN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| M | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^D Crush is the plane of the | the difference windshield | e between I which is a | the test veh resultant of | cile and exa the X & Z d | amplar vehic lirections. | le that is th | e intrusion | of the winds | shield deforr | nation. The intru | sion is perpendicu | lar to the |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Exam | plar Vehic | le Descr | ription | 1 | | 1 | |
| Year | 2009 | Make [.] | ĸ | ia | | Model: | Rio | sedan | VIN | KNA | DE223X96522874 | |
| . ouii | 2000 | marter | | | | modell | 140 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Windshie | d Deforn | nation No | tes: | | | | | | | | | |
| _ The windsh | nield was 3D |) scanned v | vith the FAR | O hand hele | d scanner b | oth pre and | post test. | | | | | - |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | . | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| | I e: | st venicie | Damageo | a winashi | ela | | 120 | / | Examplar | venicie winds | snield | 57/ |
| | 1/- | - | | | 1 | Sin | | | | | 6 | |
| | 1 | | | | -i | | | The SP | Br | idgecrest | | 10 |
| State. | | | | | - 3 | | | | | | | - |
| | | | WWRS | | 1 | - | | | | | | |
| 1 | 4 | - | | | | N | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1000 | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | 1 the bas | | | - | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 1 - | 5 | | | | | and the second | | | | 2 | |
| 11mm | | | | and the | | 6-11 | and the same | | | | | |
| (Manager | | 2000 | | Real Property lies | 2 A | 100 | - | C ADALA | | | - | |
| | | n. minimum | and the second se | | | - | | - | 6 | | - | I |
| 15th | | | | | | Lat | | | | | | |
| - | a den | | and the second | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | |

Figure C-10. Windshield Damage, Test No. WZNP-1

| Year: | 2011 | | Make: | KIA | Model: | RIO | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Reference Se | ıt 1 | | Reference Set 2 | | | | | | |
| Location toof | Maximum Deformation ^{A,B} (in.) 0.1 0.8 | MASH Allowable Deformation (in.) ≤ 4 ≤ 3 | Directions of Deformation ^C Z X, Z | Location Roof Windshield ^D | Maximum Deformation ^{A,B} (in.) 0.1 NA | MASH Allowable Deformation (in.) ≤ 4 ≤ 3 | Directions of Deformation ^C Z X, Z | | | |
| -Pillar Maximum | 0.1 | ≤ 5 | Х | A-Pillar Maximum | 0.1 | ≤ 5 | X, Y, Z | | | |
| -Pillar Lateral | 0.1 | ≤ 3 | Y | A-Pillar Lateral | 0.0 | ≤ 3 | Y | | | |
| -Pillar Maximum | 0.1 | ≤ 5 | Х | B-Pillar Maximum | 0.0 | ≤ 5 | Y | | | |
| -Pillar Lateral | 0.1 | ≤ 3 | Y | B-Pillar Lateral | -0.1 | ≤ 3 | Y | | | |
| oe Pan - Wheel Well | 0.0 | ≤ 9 | ΧZ | Toe Pan - Wheel Well | 0.4 | ≤ 9 | ΧZ | | | |
| ide Front Panel | 0.0 | ≤ 12 | Y | Side Front Panel | -0.2 | ≤ 12 | Y | | | |
| ide Door (above seat) | 0.0 | ≤ 9 | Y | Side Door (above seat) | -0.2 | ≤ 9 | Y | | | |
| ide Door (below seat) | 0.0 | ≤ 12 | Y | Side Door (below seat) | -0.2 | ≤ 12 | Y | | | |
| loor Pan | 0.0 | ≤ 12 | Z | Floor Pan | 0.2 | ≤ 12 | Z | | | |
| ash - no MASH requirement | 0.2 | NA | X, Y, Z | Dash - no MASH requirement | 0.2 | NA | X, Y, Z | | | |
| Positive values denote deform For Toe Pan - Wheel Well the nd Z directions. The direction truding into the occupant com if deformation is observered for nd recorded. | ation as inward to direction of defrom of deformation for partment. or the windshield th | ward the occupant of ation may include 3 Toe Pan -Wheel Wo nen the windshield | compartment, negati Kand Z direction. Fo ell, A-Pillar Maximum deformation is meas | ve values denote deformations out r A-Pillar Maximum and B-Pillar Max , and B-Pillar Maximum only include sured posttest with an examplar veh | vard away from the dimum the directio e components whe icle, therefore only | e occupant compar n of deformation ma ere the deformation y one set of reference | tment. ay include X, Y, is positive and ce is measured | | | |
| lotes on vehicle interior cr | ush: ed with the FARC |) handheld scanne | r both pre and post | test. | | | | | | |

Figure C-11. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations - Left, Test No. WZNP-1

| Year: | 2011 | - | Make: | KIA | Model: | R | 0 | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Reference Se | t 1 | | Reference Set 2 | | | | | | |
| Location Roof | Maximum Deformation ^{A,B} (in.) -0.3 0.3 | MASH Allowable Deformation (in.) ≤ 4 < 3 | Directions of Deformation ^C Z | Location Roof Windshield ^D | Maximum Deformation ^{A,B} (in.) 0.1 NA | MASH Allowable Deformation (in.) ≤ 4 < 3 | Directions of Deformation Z X Z | | | |
| A-Pillar Maximum | 0.4 | <u></u> _0 ≤5 | X | A-Pillar Maximum | 0.2 | <u></u> | X Y 7 | | | |
| A-Pillar Lateral | -0.2 | < 3 | Y | A-Pillar I ateral | 0.1 | < 3 | Y | | | |
| B-Pillar Maximum | 0.3 | ≤ 5 | X | B-Pillar Maximum | 0.1 | ≤ 5 | Ý | | | |
| 3-Pillar Lateral | -0.2 | ≤ 3 | Y | B-Pillar Lateral | 0.1 | ≤ 3 | Y | | | |
| Toe Pan - Wheel Well | 0.2 | <u> </u> | ХZ | Toe Pan - Wheel Well | 0.0 | ≤ 9 | Х | | | |
| Side Front Panel | -0.1 | <u> </u> | Y | Side Front Panel | 0.2 | ≤ 12 | Y | | | |
| Side Door (above seat) | -0.1 | ≤ 9 | Y | Side Door (above seat) | 0.2 | ≤ 9 | Y | | | |
| Side Door (below seat) | -0.1 | ≤ 12 | Y | Side Door (below seat) | 0.2 | ≤ 12 | Y | | | |
| Floor Pan | 0.0 | ≤ 12 | Z | Floor Pan | 0.0 | ≤ 12 | Z | | | |
| Dash - no MASH requirement | 0.8 | NA | X, Y, Z | Dash - no MASH requirement | 0.8 | NA | X, Y, Z | | | |
| Positive values denote deform For Toe Pan - Wheel Well the and Z directions. The direction a thruding into the occupant comp if deformation is observered for and recorded. | ation as inward to direction of defrom of deformation for partment. r the windshield th | ward the occupant o ation may include > Toe Pan -Wheel We nen the windshield | compartment, negati (and Z direction. Fo ell, A-Pillar Maximum deformation is meas | ve values denote deformations out r A-Pillar Maximum and B-Pillar Ma: , and B-Pillar Maximum only include sured posttest with an examplar veh | ward away from the ximum the directio e components whe nicle, therefore only | e occupant compar n of deformation ma ere the deformation y one set of reference | tment. ay include X, Y, is positive and ce is measured | | | |
| Notes on vehicle interior cru The windshield was 3D scann Set 2 of floor pan and toe pan | u sh: ed with the FARC measurements w |) handheld scanne /as Bad Data and | r both pre and post was not used. | test. | | | | | | |

Figure C-12. Maximum Occupant Compartment Deformations - Right, Test No. WZNP-1

APPENDIX D. ACCELEROMETER AND RATE TRANSDUCER DATA PLOTS, TEST NO. WZNP-1



Figure D-1. System A – 10-ms Average Longitudinal Acceleration (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-2. System A – Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-3. System A – Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-4. System A – 10-ms Average Lateral Acceleration (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-5. System A – Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-6. System A – Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-7. System A – Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-8. System A – Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-9. System A – 10-ms Average Longitudinal Acceleration (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-10. System A – Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-11. System A – Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-12. System A – 10-ms Average Lateral Acceleration (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-13. System A – Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-14. System A – Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-15. System A – Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-16. System A – Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-17. System B – 10-ms Average Longitudinal Acceleration (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-18. System B – Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-19. System B – Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-20. System B – 10-ms Average Lateral Acceleration (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-21. System B – Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-22. System B – Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-23. System B – Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-24. System B – Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE-1), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-25. System B – 10-ms Average Longitudinal Acceleration (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-26. System B – Longitudinal Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-27. System B – Longitudinal Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1


Figure D-28. System B – 10-ms Average Lateral Acceleration (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-29. System B – Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-30. System B – Lateral Occupant Displacement (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-31. System B – Vehicle Angular Displacements (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1



Figure D-32. System B – Acceleration Severity Index (SLICE-2), Test No. WZNP-1

END OF DOCUMENT