## **LETTER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Aerosol formation pathways from aviation emissions

To cite this article: Prakash Prashanth et al 2022 Environ. Res. Commun. 4 021002

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>The low and high temperature</u> <u>thermoelectric properties of Yb\_Sis</u> Fahim Ahmed, Jaroslav Valenta, Naohito Tsujii et al.
- <u>The contribution of aviation NO, emissions</u> to climate change: are we ignoring <u>methodological flaws?</u> Volker Grewe, Sigrun Matthes and Katrin Dahlmann
- <u>Cosmic ray modulation of infra-red</u> radiation in the atmosphere K L Aplin and M Lockwood

# **Environmental Research Communications**

# CrossMark

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

RECEIVED 10 August 2021

REVISED

31 January 2022
ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

4 February 2022

PUBLISHED 18 February 2022

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



# Aerosol formation pathways from aviation emissions

#### Prakash Prashanth<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian D Eastham<sup>1,2</sup>, Raymond L Speth<sup>1,2</sup>, and Steven R H Barrett<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory for Aviation and the Environment, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States of America
<sup>2</sup> Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States of America

#### E-mail: seastham@mit.edu

**Keywords:** aviation, aerosol, radiative forcing, climate change, transport emissions, NO<sub>x</sub> Supplementary material for this article is available online

#### Abstract

LETTER

Aviation emissions are responsible for an estimated 24,000 premature mortalities annually and 3.5% of anthropogenic radiative forcing (RF). Emissions of nitrogen and sulfur oxides (NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub>) contribute to these impacts. However, the relative contributions and mechanisms linking these emissions to formation and impacts of secondary aerosols (as opposed to direct aerosol emissions) have not been quantified, including how short-lived aerosol precursors at altitude can increase surface-level aerosol concentrations. We apply global chemistry transport modeling to identify and quantify the different chemical pathways to aerosol formation from aviation emissions, including the resulting impact on radiative forcing. We estimate a net aerosol radiative forcing of -8.3 mWm<sup>-2</sup>, of which –0.67 and –7.8 mWm<sup>-2</sup> result from nitrate and sulfate aerosols respectively. We find that aviation NO<sub>x</sub> causes -1.7 mWm<sup>-2</sup> through nitrate aerosol forcing but also -1.6 mWm<sup>-2</sup> of sulfate aerosol forcing by promoting oxidation of SO2 to sulfate aerosol. This accounts for 21% of the total sulfate forcing, and oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> due to aviation NO<sub>x</sub> is responsible for 47% of the net aviation  $NO_x$  attributable RF. Aviation  $NO_x$  emissions in turn account for 41% of net aviation-aerosolattributable RF (non-contrail). This is due to ozone-mediated oxidation of background sulfur and the 'nitrate bounce-back' effect, which reduces the net impact of sulfur emissions. The ozone-mediated mechanism also explains the ability of cruise aviation emissions to significantly affect surface aerosol concentrations. We find that aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions cause 72% of aviation-attributable, near-surface aerosol loading by mass, compared to 27% from aviation SOx emissions and less than 0.1% from direct emission of black carbon. We conclude that aviation NOx and SOx emissions are the dominant cause of aviation-attributable secondary inorganic aerosol radiative forcing, and that conversion of background aerosol precursors at all altitudes is amplified by enhanced production of aviation attributable oxidants at cruise altitudes.

## 1. Introduction

Aviation emissions have an impact on both climate [1] and surface air quality [2, 3]. It is estimated that commercial aviation is responsible for  $\sim$ 3.5% (80.4 mWm<sup>-2</sup>) of all anthropogenic effective radiative forcing (RF) [4], and  $\sim$ 24,000 premature mortalities each year [5] due to degraded air quality. The most important RF components from a climate perspective have been identified to be due to carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), water vapor (H<sub>2</sub>O), aerosol (soot, sulfates, nitrates), and condensation trails (contrails) [6].

The time scales associated with the different components of aviation attributable radiative forcing range from several hours (contrails) to hundreds of years ( $CO_2$ ).  $CO_2$  and contrails are a consequence of burning hydrocarbon fuels which results in the direct emission of  $CO_2$  as well as the emission of soot and water vapor. Technological limitations of alternative energy carriers (such as the low specific energy of electric batteries relative to jet fuel) for aviation make it likely that commercial aviation will continue to be mostly fueled by liquid



hydrocarbons for the next few decades. Given the ~4% annual rate of growth of the aviation industry [7] and the ~3% increase in aviation  $CO_2$  emissions annually [8] the mitigation strategies for reducing aviation  $CO_2$  related radiative forcing in the near term are therefore mostly limited to use of sustainable aviation fuels, improving aircraft and engine efficiency, increasing operational efficiency and offsets. Aviation emissions of  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$ , however may be amenable to reduction through other means—low sulfur fuel, combustor design modifications or the use of post-combustion emissions control technology [5] and therefore present a more immediate need to be characterized and quantified so that mitigation strategies can be appropriately formulated and analyzed.

Aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  have an indirect impact on the atmosphere through the formation of ozone and function as precursors for secondary inorganic aerosol. The relative contribution and mechanisms of secondary aerosols formation are poorly understood, including how short-lived precursor species such as  $NO_x$  alter surface aerosol loading. An improved quantitative understanding of the pathways along with the interaction of aviation and non-aviation emissions is needed to develop relevant policies for reducing aviation's impact on the environment. Although reduction of aviation emissions of  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  are also important from an air quality perspective, the radiative forcing resulting from aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  emissions will be the focus of this paper as outlined in sections 1.1 and 1.2 below.

#### 1.1. Aviation NO<sub>x</sub> attributable climate impacts

Aviation  $NO_x$  emissions are understood to have two mechanisms by which they directly perturb the climate [4]. The first is through ozone formation. Upper tropospheric  $NO_x$  emissions result in ozone production (short term, positive RF). This then results in tropospheric methane depletion (long term, negative RF), which in turn reduces tropospheric ozone production (long term, negative RF) and reduces a source of stratospheric water vapor (long term, negative RF). The second mechanism is through the local formation of nitrate aerosols, which can scatter incoming solar radiation and provide a short-term, negative RF [4, 6, 9, 10].

The ozone resulting from high altitude  $NO_x$  emissions [11] can promote the oxidation of aerosol precursors, including non-aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  which can lead to the formation of secondary inorganic aerosols. These additional climate perturbation pathways through reactions with background species have not been quantified in the literature relative to the more direct nitrate pathway. The low solubility of ozone compared to the higher solubility of aerosol precursors such as  $HNO_3$  (which might form directly from  $NO_x$  emissions) results in the formation of secondary inorganic aerosol over inter-hemispherical length scales. The existence of this mechanism also implies that cruise-altitude aviation  $NO_x$  emissions can cause changes in near-surface particulate matter.

While the role of aviation  $NO_x$  emissions in ozone production has been documented in the literature [3, 9, 10], the pathways through which this aviation-attributable ozone affects the formation of secondary inorganic aerosols have not been characterized or quantified.

Since the majority (~92%) of aviation fuel burn and emissions occur during cruise and ~56% of the total fuel burn occurs [12] at altitudes between 10–12 km, the impacts of non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from aviation are unique relative to other anthropogenic combustion emissions. Dahlmann *et al* [13] showed that aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions have about twice the ozone production efficiency relative to road transport primarily due to the altitude of emissions. This higher ozone production efficiency [11, 13] of aviation NO<sub>x</sub> coupled with the 4% annual growth rate [7] of the industry implies a need to further understand the pathways and impact of aviation NO<sub>x</sub> attributable RF. Furthermore, recent work by Skowron *et al* [14] has shown that a reduction in background emission might reduce the net radiative impact of aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, but may also increase the short term ozone response. This further emphasizes the need to understand the aerosol formation pathways that are promoted by the short term production of ozone by aviation NO<sub>x</sub>.

#### 1.2. Aviation SO<sub>x</sub> attributable climate impacts

Aviation  $SO_x$  emissions affect the climate through the direct formation of sulfate aerosol which scatter incoming solar radiation and result in a short-term, negative RF [4, 6]. Lee *et al* [4] reported a sulfate aerosol cooling of  $-7.4 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$  due to aviation emissions in 2018, while the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) Aviation Climate Change Research Initiative (ACCRI) [15] reported a RF range of -3 to  $-7 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$  in 2006. The sulfate related RF in these reports have however been limited to the direct RF due to sulfate aerosol.

Sulfate aerosols in the UTLS also have an effect on tropospheric and stratospheric ozone concentration. Solomon *et al* [16] showed that aerosol from volcanic eruptions can lead to ozone depletion in the atmosphere by the conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> to reservoir species, in turn enhancing halogen catalyzed ozone destruction. Work done in the area of solar radiation management through sulfate injection in the stratosphere arrived at similar conclusions regarding the effect of stratospheric sulfate injection on the tropospheric ozone concentration [17–20].



Table 1. Emissions inventories used in GEOS-Chem simulations, relevant species, and the year to which the inventory corresponds. GEOS-Chem uses the latest available data year for years outside the inventory's available years. \*Emissions calculated online using meteorological data for that year; however, they also use a mix of other historical data. \*\*Emissions are a climatology and reflect multiple years of observations.

| Region | Inventory                     | Year       | Species  |
|--------|-------------------------------|------------|--|
| Global | EDGARv4 [28]                  | 2010       | NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> , CO, NH <sub>3</sub>                    |
| Global | BOND [29]                     | 2000       | BC. OC   |
| Global | RETRO [30]                    | 2000       | NMVOCs, except C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> and C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>               |
| Global | SHIP [31] and ParaNOx [32]    | 2013       | NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> , CO, O <sub>3</sub> , HNO <sub>3</sub>                    |
| Global | C2H6_2010 [33]                | 2010       | $C_2H_6$   |
| Global | POET [34]                     | 2000       | C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH   |
| Global | VOLCANO                       | 2009       | SO <sub>2</sub>  |
| Global | Lightning [35]                | $2015^{*}$ | NO   |
| Global | Soil NOx [36]                 | 2015*      | NO   |
| Global | BROMOCARB [37]                | **         | $CHBr_3, CH_2Br_2$   |
| Global | IODOCARB [38]                 | **         | CH <sub>3</sub> I, CH <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>2</sub> ICl, CH <sub>2</sub> IBr |
| Global | MEGAN [39]                    | $2015^{*}$ | Biogenic NMVOCs  |
| Asia   | MIX [40]                      | 2010       | NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , CO, BC, OC, NMVOCs, NH <sub>3</sub>                      |
| US     | NEI2011 [41] (scaled to 2013) | 2013       | NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , CO, BC, OC, NMVOCs, NH <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Canada | APEI [42]                     | 2014       | NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , CO, BC, OC, NMVOCs, NH <sub>3</sub>                      |
| Mexico | BRAVO [43]                    | 1999       | NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , CO   |
| Europe | EMEP [44]                     | 2012       | $NO_x, SO_2, CO$   |

Furthermore, sulfate and nitrate aerosol formation are coupled by the dynamics of the nitrate-sulfateammonium system [21]. Aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions increase competition for available ammonia due to the preferential reaction [21] of ammonia with SO<sub>x</sub> to form ammonium sulfate, which can displace nitrate aerosol.

As outlined in sections 1.1 and 1.2 above, aviation emissions of  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  have multiple pathways through which they perturb the climate and this work is the first to separate out and quantify the impact that each of these pathways have on the concentrations and corresponding RF of the resulting ozone and secondary inorganic aerosols. These findings will be relevant from a policy perspective as they will provide scientific understanding of how aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  emissions affect aerosol and ozone related RF.

# 2. Methods

This section outlines the computational methods used to evaluate the impact that aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  have on secondary inorganic aerosol and ozone. The changes in radiative forcing and atmospheric composition are calculated using the global chemistry-transport model GEOS-Chem. The pathways and impacts are attributed to aviation emissions by performing multiple simulations and calculating differences in atmospheric composition and RF.

#### 2.1. Chemistry-transport modeling

We use the GEOS-Chem global atmospheric chemistry-transport model (version 12.0.2) [22, 23] to calculate chemistry and transport of chemical species in the atmosphere. We use the standard mechanism, including unified tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry and physics [24]. Meteorological data is taken from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office's Modern Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications v2 (MERRA-2) [25]. We perform all simulations on a  $4^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$  (latitude by longitude) global grid, with 72 vertical layers from sea-level to a pressure of 1 Pa. One-year simulations are run for all scenarios considered (with a oneyear model spin up period). Table 1 summarizes the emissions inventories used in this work. Previous studies have compared observations of cruise-altitude conditions, in particular ozone concentrations, against GEOS-Chem simulations and found reasonable agreement [26, 27]. A comparison of cruise altitude ozone from GEOS-Chem version 12.0.2 against aircraft observational data is provided in the supplementary information (SI, available online at stacks.iop.org/ERC/4/021002/mmedia) and shows that GEOS-Chem agrees well with observed ozone at cruise altitude but may underestimate ozone mixing ratios at high northern latitudes. Since the pathways identified in this work (see section 3) are mediated by atmospheric oxidants such as ozone and OH, an underestimate in the ozone mixing ratios at high northern latitudes may result in an underestimate of the RF due to sulfates and nitrates. Furthermore, as seen in Figures S1 and S3 (available online at stacks.iop.org/ERC/ 4/021002/mmedia) simulated ozone mass mixing ratios at high northern latitudes exhibit lower variability and a low bias compared to aircraft campaign data from IAGOS. The implications for radiative forcing due to aircraft emissions is unclear and depends on the source of this bias. If the bias is due to an underestimate of local ozone



**Table 2.** Aviation emissions from the AviationEnvironmental Design Tool (AEDT) for theyear 2015.

|  | Quantities in Tg/yr |
|--|---------------------|
| Fuel Burn                              | 240                 |
| $NO_x$ emissions (Tg NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 3.60                |
| SO <sub>x</sub> emissions (Tg S)       | 0.144               |

Table 3. Aviation emissions scenarios used to calculate the impact of specific aviation emissions by species. The columns indicate whether the particular species is emitted by aviation.

| Aviation emissions: | NO <sub>x</sub> | $SO_x$ | BC  |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------|-----|
| Baseline aviation   | Yes             | Yes    | Yes |
| Background          | No              | No     | No  |
| noNOx               | No              | Yes    | Yes |
| noSOx               | Yes             | No     | Yes |
| noBC                | Yes             | Yes    | No  |
| noNOxSOx            | No              | No     | Yes |

production, aviation-induced radiative forcing may also be underestimated. However, Hu *et al* [27] suggest that this low bias may instead be due to insufficient simulated troposphere-stratosphere air exchange.

Baseline aviation emissions used are from the Aviation Environmental Design Tool [12] (AEDT) for the year 2015 as shown in table 2. The total annual fuel burn in this inventory is 240 Tg (cf. 188 Tg in 2006). The landing and take-off cycle (altitudes below 3000 ft) accounts for approximately 8% of the total annual aviation fuel burn, and ~56% of the fuel is burned between 10 and 12 km. A fuel sulfur content of 600 ppm by mass and a direct sulfate conversion efficiency of 0.02 (with the rest emitted as SO<sub>2</sub>) are assumed. A black carbon (BC) emission index of 40 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> is assumed as calculated by the SCOPE11 method outlined in reference [45]. Sensitivity of BC related RF to the emissions index of BC is estimated to be 19 mWm<sup>-2</sup>/g-BC/kg-fuel as shown in the supplementary material.

#### 2.2. Radiative forcing calculations

Radiative forcing (RF) calculations are carried out by the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (Global) (RRTMG) [46] module in GEOS-Chem. RRTMG is called by GEOS-Chem in 3 h intervals and calculates the longwave and shortwave instantaneous radiative fluxes. The RRTMG module also calculates the radiative fluxes associated with specific species (ozone, methane, sulfates, nitrates, ammonium, black carbon, organic carbon, sea salt, dust, total particulate matter). We do not quantify the effects of methane feedback which may affect the aerosol RF. All RF are calculated based on the flux at the tropopause, as outlined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and the National Research Council [47, 48]. All RFs are instantaneous, without stratospheric adjustments.

#### 2.3. Identifying impact pathways

The impact of aviation emissions on the global atmospheric composition and RF is estimated by calculating the difference in atmospheric composition and RF between two model simulations, one with aviation emissions (called the baseline aviation scenario) and one without aviation emissions (called the background scenario). Table 3 shows the various scenarios we simulate. A similar method is applied to isolate the impact of aviation  $NO_x$  emissions on secondary inorganic aerosols and ozone. The difference between the baseline aviation scenario and the 'no aviation  $NO_x$  emissions' scenario (noNOx in table 3) provides an estimate of the impact that aviation  $NO_x$  emissions have on the global RF and atmospheric composition. Similarly, the impact of aviation  $SO_x$  and the combined effect of aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  is determined by performing additional simulations and calculating the change in quantities of interest from the baseline aviation scenario: (Baseline–noSOx) and (Baseline–noNOxSOx) respectively.

#### 3. Results and discussion

As described in section 1, aviation NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> emissions have multiple pathways through which they perturb the climate. We find that aviation emissions are responsible for 34% of Northern Hemispheric, cruise-level





Figure 1. Aviation-attributable radiative forcing (in  $mW/m^2$ ) due to aerosol species (total aerosol and its components—sulfates, nitrates, ammonium, black carbon). Total tropospheric aerosol includes the RF due to other aerosols such as organic and black carbon.



 $(10-12 \text{ km}) \text{ NO}_x$  mixing ratios on an annual average basis (i.e. zonally mass weighted average of NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios across the Northern Hemisphere at the typical cruise altitudes). This increases to 49% at cruise altitudes during the Northern Hemisphere winter and 31% during the Northern Hemisphere summer. Further details on the contribution of aviation emissions to the NO<sub>x</sub> budget can be found in the supplementary material of reference [5].

Aviation-attributable SO<sub>x</sub> accounts for ~5.0% of the total annual average atmospheric SO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios at cruise altitudes. The contribution of aviation emissions to SO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios increases to 17% at Northern Hemisphere cruise altitudes during the Northern Hemisphere winter and ~1.8% during Northern Hemisphere summer.

#### 3.1. Aviation-attributable radiative forcing

The global annual average aviation-attributable RF from various aerosol species in 2015 is shown in figure 1. We find that the aviation-attributable RF from ozone is ~51 mWm<sup>-2</sup>, the RF due to aerosol is -8.3 mWm<sup>-2</sup>. As shown in figure 1 aviation NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> emissions are together responsible for -8.8 mWm<sup>-2</sup> of aviation-attributable aerosol RF, while BC emissions from aviation result in an RF of 0.74 mWm<sup>-2</sup> (the sum of the fractional RF components from NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub> and BC does not equal 1 due to non-linear interactions). The RF reported in this work is within the range reported by prior work [4, 6, 15]. Recent work by Grewe *et al* [49]





the tropopause.

**Table 4.** Aviation-attributable radiative forcing (in  $mW/m^2$ ) due to ozone and aerosol species (sulfates, nitrates, ammonium, black carbon, total aerosol).

|                                 | Ozone<br>(mWm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Total Aerosol<br>(mWm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Sulfates<br>(mWm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Nitrates<br>(mWm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Ammonium<br>(mWm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Black Carbon<br>(mWm <sup>-2</sup> ) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Baseline aviation               | 51                            | -8.3                                  | -7.8                             | -0.67                            | -0.30                            | 0.67                                 |
| NO <sub>x</sub> attributable RF | 51                            | -3.4                                  | -1.6                             | -1.7                             | -0.13                            | -0.058                               |
| SO <sub>x</sub> attributable RF | 0.14                          | -5.5                                  | -6.4                             | 1.2                              | -0.17                            | -0.031                               |
| BC attributable RF              | -0.032                        | 0.74                                  | 0.024                            | 0.0019                           | 0.0050                           | 0.73                                 |

Table 5. Speciated aviation-attributable radiative forcing normalized by mass of emissions.

|   | Sulfates             | Nitrates            | Black carbon        |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Aviation-attributable RF (mWm <sup>-2</sup> per Tg fuel burn) | -0.032               | -0.0028             | 0.0028              |
| $NO_x$ attributable RF (mWm <sup>-2</sup> per Tg N)           | -1.4                 | -1.6                | -0.052              |
| $SO_x$ attributable RF (mWm <sup>-2</sup> per Tg S)           | $-1.8 \times 10^{3}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{2}$ | -8.5                |
| BC attributable RF (mWm <sup>-2</sup> per Tg BC)              | 3.3                  | 0.27                | $1.0 \times 10^{2}$ |

suggests that perturbation methods, as used in this study, may underestimate the impact of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on ozone concentrations, resulting in the ozone RF also being underestimated by a factor of 1.6. While the focus of this paper is on the aerosol attributable RF impacts from aviation, almost all of the pathways to aerosol formation we explore are mediated by ozone and may therefore also be underestimated. Further comparison of the RF reported in this work to prior estimates in the literature are provided in the supplementary information. Table 4 also shows that aviation ozone related RF is dominated by aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (~51 mWm<sup>-2</sup>). The sulfate, nitrate and ammonium aerosols have contributions from aviation NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> as discussed in the following sections. Table 5 shows that aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions have the largest magnitude of both nitrate and sulfate RF impact per unit mass of emissions (0.33 Wm<sup>-2</sup> and -1.8 Wm<sup>-2</sup> per Tg S emitted), while aviation emissions of BC are responsible for ~100 mWm<sup>-2</sup> of BC related warming per Tg of BC emitted. The remainder of this paper will focus on the radiative impacts from ozone and the nitrate-sulfate-ammonium system.

#### 3.2. Aviation NO<sub>x</sub> attributable impacts

We estimate that aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions result in an ozone related warming of 51 mWm<sup>-2</sup> and an aerosol related cooling of -3.4 mWm<sup>-2</sup>. Aviation NO<sub>x</sub> is also responsible for ~72% of aviation attributable near surface aerosol loading. The impact of aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on the production of ozone is well documented in the literature [2, 3] from an air quality perspective and will not be addressed further in this paper. There are several pathways through which aviation emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> results in the formation of secondary inorganic aerosol. As shown in figure 2 the two dominant pathways are the formation of nitrate (-1.7 mWm<sup>-2</sup>) and sulfate aerosol (-1.6 mWm<sup>-2</sup>) from oxidation of both aviation and non-aviation NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub>. The latter is discussed in detail in section 3.2.3 below.





#### 3.2.1. $NO_x$ — $NO_3$ pathway

The impact that aviation  $NO_x$  has on aviation attributable nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium RF is shown in the top row of figure 3 below. The RF attributable to each of these species is obtained by first calculating the RF due to the sulfate-nitrate-ammonium-water system and apportioning the RF based on the mass fractions of the comprising species. The peak nitrate (cooling) RF values, particularly over northern India and parts of China, and the corresponding peak in ammonium attributable RF over the same region are due to higher concentrations of free ammonia that is available for the formation of nitrates (see supplementary material). Aviation attributable ozone derived from aviation  $NO_x$  emissions results in the conversion of background  $NO_x$  to  $HNO_3$  in the troposphere [21], which then reacts with ammonia to produce ammonium nitrate. This agrees with previous studies that estimated aviation attributable particulate matter from an air quality perspective [2, 3]. The nitrate cooling seen in figure 3 is a combination of direct formation from aviation  $NO_x$  and oxidation of non-aviation  $NO_x$  by aviation attributable ozone. The loss of sulfate cooling over parts of Asia is due to the effect of aviation  $NO_x$  on the lifetime of background  $SO_x$  as described in section 3.2.3.

#### 3.2.2. Impacts of aviation $NO_x$ on aviation $SO_x$

In addition to promoting the formation of nitrate aerosols, aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are also responsible for 21% of the aviation-attributable RF from sulfate aerosols. This is because the ozone formed as a consequence of aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions results in an increase in upper tropospheric OH, as shown in figure 4. The top two panels show that aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions increase ozone mixing ratios in the northern hemisphere by ~5% and increase OH mixing ratios in upper troposphere and lower stratosphere by up to 20%. This increase in ozone and OH promotes the oxidation of gas phase SO<sub>2</sub> to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Sulfate aerosol mixing ratios are increased by approximately 4% in the northern hemisphere UTLS, as shown in the bottom two panels of figure 4. We also find that aviation emissions increase the global annual average surface ozone mixing ratio by ~2.3%. This is consistent with the increases of 0.3%–1.9% reported by Cameron *et al* [50] based on a 2006 AEDT aviation emissions inventory, which included ~30% less aviation fuel burn than our 2015 inventory.

This demonstrates that in addition to the formation of nitrates, aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions impact secondary aerosol formation by increasing the concentration of aviation attributable oxidants in the atmosphere that oxidize background NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> to nitrates and sulfates. Prior studies such as that by Pitari *et al* [51] noted a similar effect of aviation NO<sub>x</sub> attributable OH on the oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> to sulfate aerosol but report a smaller sulfate radiative forcing of  $-0.2 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$ . Three possible sources for the greater radiative forcing of  $-1.6 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$  in this work are as follows. Firstly, the aviation emissions in this work (from the AEDT 2015 inventory, table 2) include NO<sub>x</sub> emissions which are 55% greater than the total from the 2006 FAST inventory used by Pitari *et al.*, implying greater ozone production. Similarly, the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions used in this work are 50% greater than the 2006 FAST inventory resulting in more aviation SO<sub>x</sub> available to be oxidized to sulfates. Secondly, as





outlined in the following section, the sulfate attributable RF is dependent on the emissions of aviation and nonaviation  $SO_x$  and therefore is dependent on the background emissions considered. Greater emissions of nonaviation  $SO_x$  will therefore result in a larger sulfate attributable RF resulting from aviation emissions. Finally, the two models show different sensitivities of ozone production per unit of  $NO_x$  emitted. The models used by Pitari *et al* estimate an increase in column ozone per unit of aviation  $NO_x$  emitted which is 27%–45% lower than estimated by the version of GEOS-Chem used in this study. Further details are presented in the SI.

#### 3.2.3. Impacts of aviation $NO_x$ on background $SO_x$

The lifetime of sulfur species in the troposphere is primarily determined by the rate of wet deposition of sulfates [21, 52]. This sulfate removal process is enhanced by aviation  $NO_x$  since it promotes the conversion of background (non-aviation)  $SO_x$  to sulfates as described in the previous section. This reduces the lifetime of sulfates around regions of higher background  $SO_x$  emissions. This is observed in figure 3 where aviation  $NO_x$  results in a loss of cooling (positive RF) from sulfates over regions of India and eastern China, where there is a high concentration of  $SO_2$  emissions from coal fired power plants.

Furthermore, as shown in figure 1 aviation NO<sub>x</sub> is responsible for a global sulfate RF of  $-1.6 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$ . Repeating this experiment in a scenario where the fuel is already desulfurized still yields a sulfate RF of  $-1.4 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$  attributable to aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, ~88% of the previous value. Given that the total sulfate aerosol RF due to aviation is  $-7.8 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$ , 18% of the total sulfate aerosol forcing resulting from aviation is not the result of aviation sulfur. Instead, aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are taking part in chemical mechanisms which promote the oxidation of ambient, mostly non-aviation sulfur to form sulfate aerosol.

#### 3.3. Aviation SO<sub>x</sub> attributable impacts

Aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions are estimated to result in an ozone related warming of 0.14 mWm<sup>-2</sup> and an aerosol related cooling of -5.5 mWm<sup>-2</sup> as seen in figure 1, which accounts for ~65% of aviation attributable aerosol related RF. Aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions are also responsible for ~27% of near surface aerosol loading. The following sections will focus on the aerosol contribution to aviation attributable RF resulting from aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

#### 3.3.1. Aviation SOx impact on nitrate aerosol related RF

Nitrates and sulfates in the troposphere compete to react with ammonia to form ammonium nitrate and ammoniated sulfate compounds respectively. The ammonia in the troposphere preferentially reacts with the available sulfates, therefore ammonium nitrate is typically formed only in regions where the molar concentration of total ammonia exceeds that required to neutralize the available sulfates [21]. Figure 1 shows that aviation NO<sub>x</sub> contributes a nitrate related cooling of -1.7 mVm<sup>-2</sup> while the net nitrate cooling due to all aviation emissions is only -0.67 mVm<sup>-2</sup>. This is because aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions result in a decrease in nitrate cooling as they preferentially react with the free ammonia thereby reducing the nitrate concentrations. We estimate the degree of this 'nitrate RF bounce back' due to aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions to be  $\sim$ 70% (+1.2 mVm<sup>-2</sup>) of the nitrate cooling due to NO<sub>x</sub> as shown in figure 5. Use of ultra-low sulfur fuel (<15ppm mass of sulfur) will therefore lead to an increase in nitrate cooling due to NO<sub>x</sub>.





#### 3.3.2. Aviation $SO_x$ attributable ozone depletion in the stratosphere

Aviation  $SO_x$  emissions results in the formation of sulfate aerosol as seen in figure 6. The increase in sulfate aerosol promotes the heterogenous hydrolysis of  $N_2O_5$  to HNO<sub>3</sub> on the aerosol surface. The HNO<sub>3</sub> thus formed is likely to be in the gas phase as the lower stratosphere is not saturated with respect to HNO<sub>3</sub> [21]. The conversion of a  $N_2O_5$  to relatively stable HNO<sub>3</sub> serves to remove active NO<sub>x</sub> and therefore decrease the NO<sub>x</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub> ratio as seen in figure 6. The reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> additionally increases the ClO<sub>x</sub>/Cl<sub>y</sub> ratio by reducing the formation of ClONO<sub>2</sub>. The increase in active chlorine species increases the halogen catalyzed destruction of ozone through the enhanced ClO<sub>x</sub> cycles. The reduction in NO<sub>2</sub> has a competing effect by reducing the effectiveness of ozone removal by the NO<sub>x</sub> cycle. However at typical cruise altitudes (10–12 km) it is known that the halogen cycles are the dominant loss mechanism of ozone [17, 19, 20] therefore the net effect of aviation SO<sub>x</sub> at cruise altitudes is to deplete ozone by up to 0.1% as shown in the bottom right panel of figure 6. The role of atmospheric halogen species in this pathway implies that this effect will be diminished in the future as the burden of anthropogenic halogens in the atmosphere reduces.

#### 3.3.3. Aviation $SO_x$ attributable ozone in the troposphere

Depletion of ozone in the lower stratosphere leads to an increase in ultra-violet radiation (UV) in the troposphere which results in an increase the photolysis rate ( $J_{O_3}$ ) of the ozone in reaction 1 and a decrease in the photolysis rate of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $J_{NO_2}$ ) in reaction 3 (as shown below) in the high latitudes. Similar findings have been reported in the context of solar geoengineering by high altitude sulfate injection [17, 20]. There are regions where there is an increase in  $J_{NO_2}$  in the tropics, which leads to a local increase in ozone production in the troposphere as seen in the bottom right panel of figure 6.

$$O_3 + h\nu \to O_2 + O(^1D) \tag{R1}$$

$$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2OH$$
 (R2)

$$NO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow NO + O$$
 (R3)

$$O + O_2 + M \rightarrow O_3 + M \tag{R4}$$

The decrease in ozone production rates in the troposphere combined with lower ozone mixing ratios in stratospheric air masses entering the troposphere lead to a decrease in ozone mixing ratios in the mid to high latitudes. However, the effect of stratospheric—tropospheric exchange is less pronounced in the tropics as the Hadley cell leads to strong upwelling of well mixed tropospheric air masses in the inter-tropical convergence zone (ITCZ). Additional details of the ozone RF response to aviation SO<sub>x</sub> at different latitudes is provided in the supplementary material.

## 4. Conclusions

Aviation emissions are known to impact air quality and the climate. Current regulatory standards for  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  emissions are largely motivated by near-airport air quality concerns and the detailed pathways by which aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  perturb the climate have not been previously characterized and quantified. Better



scientific understanding of these pathways plays a role in informing aviation environmental policy. This is the first work to separate out the mechanisms by which aviation  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  impact concentrations of ozone and secondary inorganic aerosol and quantify their impact in terms of RF using a detailed radiative transfer scheme (RRTMG) coupled to a global chemistry-transport model (GEOS-Chem).

We find that, at cruise altitudes, aviation is responsible for ~30%–50% of NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios and ~2%–16% of SO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios depending on the season. Aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are estimated to result in an ozone related RF of +51 mWm<sup>-2</sup> and an aerosol RF of  $-3.4 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$ . Aviation NO<sub>x</sub> is the primary driver for aviation attributable ozone formation accounting for over 99% of the total aviation attributable ozone. Aviation NO<sub>x</sub> is also responsible for  $-1.6 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$  of sulfate related RF through the NO<sub>x</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub> pathway where aviation attributable oxidants derived from aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions result in the oxidation of SO<sub>x</sub> emissions to sulfate aerosol. We also find that 88% of this sulfate related RF through the NO<sub>x</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub> pathway is due to the oxidation of non-aviation SO<sub>x</sub>. Therefore, sulfate formation through the NO<sub>x</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub> pathway due to aviation NO<sub>x</sub> emissions accounts for almost half (47%) of the aviation NO<sub>x</sub> attributable RF.

Aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions are responsible for  $-5.5 \text{ mWm}^{-2}$  of the aerosol RF and is the dominant source of aviation attributable aerosol RF in the stratosphere. Furthermore, we find that aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions result in ozone depletion in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere by promoting the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and the subsequent enhancement of the halogen catalytic cycles. We estimate that aviation SO<sub>x</sub> emissions have only a small impact on ozone depletion (~0.1%).

Further work is required to quantify the impact that the above pathways have on aviation air quality impacts by using surface concentrations of ozone and particulate matter together with epidemiological studies that relate the risk of premature mortality to increase in population exposure to these pollutants. For example, the impact that aviation  $SO_x$  emissions have on surface ozone implies that a future scenario with desulfurized jet fuel can have a negative air quality impact through the aviation  $SO_x$ —ozone pathway in addition which needs to be quantified. The role of background conditions must be quantified to better understand how future non-aviation emissions changes will affect aviation's environmental impacts. Localized impacts can also be studied by performing GEOS-Chem simulations at a finer spatial resolution, which would also help to quantify potential errors due to excessive numerical diffusion on coarse spatial grids. This work supplements the current literature to improve our understanding of the impacts that specific aviation emissions ( $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$ ) have on the aviation attributable climate perturbation.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the U S Federal Aviation Administration Office of Environment and Energy through ASCENT, the FAA Center of Excellence for Alternative Jet Fuels and the Environment, project 58 through FAA Award Number 13-C-AJFE-MIT under the supervision of Daniel Jacob. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the FAA.

MOZAIC/CARIBIC/IAGOS data were created with support from the European Commission, national agencies in Germany (BMBF), France (MESR), and the UK (NERC), and the IAGOS member institutions (http://www.iagos.org/partners). The participating airlines (Lufthansa, Air France, Austrian, China Airlines, Iberia, Cathay Pacific, Air Namibia, Sabena) supported IAGOS by carrying the measurement equipment free of charge since 1994. The data are available at http://www.iagos.fr thanks to additional support from AERIS.

# Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

# ORCID iDs

Prakash Prashanth ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2346-0697 Sebastian D Eastham ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2476-4801 Raymond L Speth ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8941-4554 Steven R H Barrett ID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4642-9545

#### References

[1] Lee D S et al 2010 Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate: aviation Atmos. Environ. 44 4678–734

[2] Barrett S R H, Britter R E and Waitz I A 2010 Global mortality attributable to aircraft cruise emissions Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 7736–42



- [3] Eastham S D and Barrett S R H 2016 Aviation-attributable ozone as a driver for changes in mortality related to air quality and skin cancer Atmos. Environ. 144 17–23
- [4] Lee D S et al 2021 The contribution of global aviation to anthropogenic climate forcing for 2000 to 2018 Atmos. Environ. 244 117834
- [5] Prashanth P et al 2021 Post-combustion emissions control in aero-gas turbine engines Energy Environ Sci. 14916-930
- [6] Lee D S et al 2009 Aviation and global climate change in the 21st century Atmos. Environ. 43 3520-37
- [7] Boeing. Boeing Commercial Market Outlook 2020—2039 (https://boeing.com/commercial/market/commercial-market-outlook/ 2020, accessed 27 February 2021)
- [8] CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion 2019 IEA (https://iea.org/reports/co2-emissions-from-fuel-combustion-2019 2019, accessed 24 March 2020)
- [9] Holmes C D, Tang Q and Prather M J 2011 Uncertainties in climate assessment for the case of aviation NO Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108 10997–1002
- [10] Wild O, Prather M J and Akimoto H 2001 Indirect long-term global radiative cooling from NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions Geophys. Res. Lett. 28 1719–22
- [11] Jaeglé L et al 2001 Chemistry of HO(x) radicals in the upper troposphere Atmos. Environ. 35 469-89
- [12] Wilkerson J T et al 2010 Analysis of emission data from global commercial aviation: 2004 and 2006 Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10 6391–408
- [13] Dahlmann K et al 2011 Quantifying the contributions of individual NOx sources to the trend in ozone radiative forcing Atmos. Environ. 45 2860–8
- [14] Skowron A *et al* 2021 Greater fuel efficiency is potentially preferable to reducing NO x emissions for aviation's climate impacts *Nat Commun* 2021 121 12 1–8
- [15] Brasseur G P et al 2015 Impact of aviation on climate: FAA's aviation climate change research initiative (ACCRI) phase II Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 97 561–83
- [16] Solomon S et al 1996 The role of aerosol variations in anthropogenic ozone depletion at northern midlatitudes J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 101 6713–27
- [17] Tilmes S et al 2009 Impact of geoengineered aerosols on the troposphere and stratosphere J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 114 D12305
- [18] Xia L et al 2017 Impacts of stratospheric sulfate geoengineering on tropospheric ozone Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17 11913–28
- [19] Eastham S D et al 2018 Quantifying the impact of sulfate geoengineering on mortality from air quality and UV-B exposure Atmos. Environ. 187 424–34
- [20] Heckendorn P et al 2009 The impact of geoengineering aerosols on stratospheric temperature and ozone Environmental Research Letters 4 045108
- [21] Seinfeld J H and Pandis S N 2016 Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change. Third edit. (Hoboken, New Jersey: Wiley)
- [22] Community TIG-CU 2018 (10.5281/ZENODO.1455215) geoschem/geos-chem: GEOS-Chem 12.0.2 release.10 October 2018
- [23] Bey I et al 2001 Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model description and evaluation J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 106 23073–95
- [24] Eastham S D, Weisenstein D K and Barrett S R H 2014 Development and evaluation of the unified tropospheric-stratospheric chemistry extension (UCX) for the global chemistry-transport model GEOS-Chem Atmos. Environ. 89 52–63
- [25] Gelaro R et al 2017 The modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications, version 2 (MERRA-2) J. Clim. 30 5419–54
- [26] Liu X et al 2006 First directly retrieved global distribution of tropospheric column ozone from GOME: Comparison with the GEOS-CHEM model J Geophys Res 111 D02308
- [27] Hu L et al 2017 Global budget of tropospheric ozone: evaluating recent model advances with satellite (OMI), aircraft (IAGOS), and ozonesonde observations Atmos. Environ. 167 323–34
- [28] Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) 2011 (http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/)
- [29] Bond T C et al 2007 Historical emissions of black and organic carbon aerosol from energy-related combustion, 1850-2000 Global Biogeochem. Cycles 21 GB2018
- [30] Schultz M G et al 2008 Global wildland fire emissions from 1960 to 2000 Global Biogeochem. Cycles 22 GB2002
- [31] Wang C, Corbett J J and Firestone J 2008 Improving spatial representation of global ship emissions inventories Environ. Sci. Technol. 42 193–9
- [32] Vinken G C M et al 2011 Accounting for non-linear chemistry of ship plumes in the GEOS-Chem global chemistry transport model Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11 11707–22
- [33] Tzompa-Sosa Z A et al 2017 Revisiting global fossil fuel and biofuel emissions of ethane J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 122 2493-512
- [34] Millet D B et al 2010 Global atmospheric budget of acetaldehyde: 3-D model analysis and constraints from in situ and satellite observations Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10 3405–25
- [35] Murray L T et al 2012 Optimized regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 117 D20307
- [36] Hudman R C et al 2012 Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions: implementation and space basedconstraints Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12 7779–95
- [37] Liang Q et al 2010 Finding the missing stratospheric Bry: a global modeling study of CHBr 3 and CH2 Br2 Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10 2269–86
- [38] Ordóñez C et al 2012 Bromine and iodine chemistry in a global chemistry-climate model: description and evaluation of very short-lived oceanic sources Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12 1423–47
- [39] Guenther A B et al 2012 The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions Geosci Model Dev 5 1471–92
- [40] Li M et al 2017 MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the international collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17 935–63
- [41] Simon H et al 2010 The development and uses of EPA's SPECIATE database Atmos Pollut Res 1 196-206
- [42] Canada's Air Pollutant Emissions Inventory Open Government Portal 2016 (https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/fa1c88a8bf78-4fcb-9c1e-2a5534b92131accessed 30 March 2020)
- [43] Kuhns H et al 2005 Development of a United States–Mexico Emissions Inventory for the Big Bend Regional Aerosol and Visibility Observational (BRAVO) Study Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association 55 677–92
- [44] Auvray M 2005 Long-range transport to europe: seasonal variations and implications for the european ozone budget *J. Geophys. Res.* 110 D11303
- [45] Agarwal A et al 2019 SCOPE11 method for estimating aircraft black carbon mass and particle number emissions Environ. Sci. Technol. 53 1364–73



- [46] Heald CL et al 2014 Contrasting the direct radiative effect and direct radiative forcing of aerosols Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14 5513–27
- [47] Myhre G et al 2013 Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (NY, USA: Cambridge United Kingdom and New York)
- [48] National Research Council 2005 Radiative Forcing of Climate Change: Expanding the Concept and Addressing Uncertainties. (Washington, DC: The National Academies Press) (https://doi.org/10.17226/11175)
- [49] Grewe V, Matthes S and Dahlmann K 2019 The contribution of aviation NOx emissions to climate change: are we ignoring methodological flaws? Environ. Res. Lett. 14 121003
- [50] Cameron M A et al 2017 An intercomparative study of the effects of aircraft emissions on surface air quality J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 122 8325–44
- [51] Pitari G et al 2015 Impact of coupled NOx/aerosol aircraft emissions on ozone photochemistry and radiative forcing Atmos 6 751-82
- [52] Pitari G et al 2016 Sulfate aerosols from non-explosive volcanoes: chemical-radiative effects in the troposphere and lower stratosphere Atmosphere (Basel) 7 85

# **Technical Report Documentation Page**

| 1. Report No.                               | 2. Government Accession No   | ).                         | 3. Recipient's Catalog No.   |              |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 4. Title and Subtitle                       |                              |                            | 5. Report Date               |              |
|   |                              |                            | -                            |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            | 6. Performing Organization C | Code         |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
| 7. Author(s)                                |                              |                            | 8. Performing Organization F | Report No.   |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address |                              |                            | 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)    |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            | 11. Contract or Grant No.    |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
| 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address      |                              |                            | 13. Type of Report and Peric | od Covered   |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            | 14 Spannering Agapay Code    | 2            |
|   |                              |                            | 14. Sponsoning Agency Code   | <del>,</del> |
| 15. Supplementary Notes                     |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
| 16. Abstract                                |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
| 17. Key Words                               |                              | 18. Distribution Statement |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
|   |                              |                            |                              |              |
| 19 Security Classif (of this report)        | 20 Security Classif (of this | nade)                      | 21 No. of Pagoa              | 22 Price     |
| Unclassified                                | Unclassified                 | paye)                      | 21. NU. ULF dyes             | 22. FILE     |
| Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)                    | Reproduct                    | ion of completed page aut  | horized                      |              |