

Mobility21

A USDOT NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY TRANSPORTATION CENTER

Carnegie Mellon University



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY



Report for Project #355:

Rethinking Connected Vehicles for Spectrum Scarcity

July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022

Jon M. Peha

Carnegie Mellon University

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4915-306X>

Contract # 69A3551747111

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated in the interest of information exchange. The report is funded, partially or entirely, by a grant from the U.S. Department of Transportation's University Transportation Centers Program. However, the U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

1. Problem

In 2019 and 2020, the U.S. Government was wrestling with a difficult spectrum issue that unfortunately and sometimes needlessly pitted those who care about connected and autonomous vehicles against those who care about communications networks such as Wi-Fi and cellular. Twenty years before, 75 MHz of spectrum had been allocated to Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS). Some of that spectrum was particularly well suited for the next generation of Wi-Fi technology (Wi-Fi 6), which would greatly benefit from primary or co-primary access to 160 MHz of contiguous unlicensed spectrum. The higher data rates and lower latencies made possible by Wi-Fi 6 could be highly valuable in some sectors.

The issue could have been addressed effectively by bringing together a wide range of stakeholders and experts, as we proposed in [5, 6]. This would include federal agencies such as the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the Department of Transportation (DoT), and the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), as well as leaders from state and local government agencies (which play a critical role in infrastructure deployment), the cellular industry, the automobile industry, university experts, and more. Instead, the decision was made in silos, without access to all of the information and analysis that such a decision deserved [8, 9]. This is similar to the process that in recent years has led to highly problematic and unnecessary disputes over spectrum for global positioning systems (GPS), and later for airplane altimeters.

This process led to a vote of the FCC in December 2020, in which FCC Commissioners chose to meet the needs of the emerging Wi-Fi technology, over the explicit objections of DoT. In May of 2021, new U.S. spectrum regulations for ITS were officially adopted (when those regulations were published in the federal register). The U.S. reduced the spectrum allocated for ITS from 75 MHz to 30 MHz, allowed unlicensed devices in the adjacent band that may cause adjacent channel interference to connected vehicles in some situations, changed the technology allowed to use the ITS band from Dedicated Short-Range Communications (DSRC) to Cellular Vehicle-to-everything (C-V2X), and ended testing of spectrum sharing between connected vehicles and other types of technology.

It is certainly possible to meet the communications needs of both the next generation of Wi-Fi and connected and autonomous vehicles. To achieve that result, the process cannot stop with the spectrum policy changes made in 2021. This research addresses possible next steps.

Some of the next steps involve seeking new solutions to accommodate spectrum scarcity. These will be discussed in the next few sections of this report.

In addition to the potential problems posed by limited spectrum availability, there is also the problem of adjacent channel interference. These problems can be especially acute when spectrum rules change, such as when the ITS band suddenly became adjacent to an unlicensed band in 2021 as described above. This research also considered spectrum policies that deal with that problem, as is also described below. We ultimately filed formal comments with the FCC [1], which have received attention in the community and the press [2].

This is part of ongoing research at CMU that has been exploring spectrum management policies and wireless technologies for connected and autonomous vehicles, including both the actual spectrum policies for ITS spectrum and the process of creating those spectrum policies. This research will continue.

2. Approach

As of today, connected vehicles have access to the 30 MHz ITS band, 10 MHz of which must accommodate adjacent channel interference from unlicensed devices. There is debate over whether that is enough spectrum to meet the near-term needs of connected vehicles. Some industry groups have been trying to prioritize, to determine which communications traffic will be carried and which will not (e.g. [22, 23]). In the longer term, it is likely that those needs will increase as autonomous vehicles move closer to widescale deployment, and as new kinds of applications and services emerge that make use of connected vehicle technology. It is likely that one part of meeting the needs of connected and autonomous vehicles in the future will be supplementing the 30 MHz ITS band with other spectrum.

In this particular project, we focus on ways that C-V2X can share spectrum with unlicensed devices, such as Wi-Fi. This could open up several bands with useful physical properties, including but not limited to the spectrum that was recently removed from the ITS band. There is reason to believe that this approach might be able to meet the needs of both connected and autonomous vehicles and unlicensed devices will achieving high levels of spectrum efficiency. Most (but certainly not all) unlicensed devices are placed in locations from which the path loss to the closest vehicle is large. Unlicensed devices such as Wi-Fi transceivers also transmit sporadically, so there are many spatial and temporal holes in the utilization of unlicensed bands. Our research [10, 12] before the recent change in spectrum regulations has shown that sharing spectrum between an earlier version of connected vehicle technology (DSRC) and an earlier version of Wi-Fi technology is considerably more spectrally efficient than the approach that the FCC had taken, i.e. establishing entirely separate allocations for each.

However, Wi-Fi 6 is somewhat different from its predecessors, and C-V2X is entirely different from DSRC. For example, C-V2X uses semi-persistent scheduling, whereas DSRC uses a listen-before-talk (LBT) approach. These technologies would not share spectrum well as currently designed. In this project, we redesigned core resource management algorithms for these technologies in a number of ways that we hypothesize will enable efficient coexistence. In subsequent research, we will test that hypothesis by seeing which of these spectrum-sharing approaches, if any, can meet the communications needs of both connected and autonomous vehicles and Wi-Fi more efficiently than not sharing.

3. Methodology

We have begun developing simulation tools to assess these new kinds of spectrum arrangements. We are developing this simulator in MATLAB, building on an approach invented at the

University of Bologna [24]. We will simulate the transmission of packets exchanged between Wi-Fi devices, the transmission of packets between vehicle and vehicle and between vehicle and roadside infrastructure via C-V2X, the movement of vehicles around a city, the attenuation of signals, and much more. We will simulate standard versions of these technologies, and we will simulate multiple novel versions of the algorithms involved in spectrum access that we believe have the potential for effective and efficient spectrum sharing. We will run these simulations in a wide variety of scenarios. Scenarios differ with respect to population density (rural to urban), road type (e.g. dense urban grid versus interstate highway), vehicle mobility, safety and non-safety applications in use, connected vehicle penetration, autonomous vehicle penetration, cellular technology (4G or 5G), unlicensed technology, and much more.

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

Further changes in spectrum policy will be needed to meet the needs of connected vehicles. To meet long term needs, we should look to spectrum beyond the 30 MHz ITS band that is available today. One reasonable approach is to promote sharing with unlicensed devices – an approach that may have been abandoned prematurely by the FCC. It is too early to reach conclusions as to whether the new approaches we have devised in this research can meet the quality-of-service needs of both connected and autonomous vehicles and unlicensed devices. We will continue the research to find out.

In addition to the issue above, we also considered the problem of adjacent channel interference in this research. We recommended that the FCC adopt a new policy which states that at least for the next five years, any proceeding that considers a fundamental change in how a band of spectrum will be used should also consider adoption of a harm claim threshold [1, 2].

5 Expanding the Transportation Workforce

This project has sought to expand the transportation workforce by contributing to the education of engineers regarding connected vehicles. We have presented some material from this work in graduate courses at Carnegie Mellon University. This research has also provided opportunities for one Ph.D. student, one MS student, and one post-doctoral Fellow at Carnegie Mellon University to learn about connected vehicles, and related transportation issues.

The ORCID Identifier of the researchers are as follows.

PI: Jon M. Peha	ORCID 0000-0003-4915-306X
Jeremy Pesner	ORCID 0000-0002-9667-9251
Reid Yesson	ORCID not available
Bodong Shang	ORCID 0000-0001-7966-0017

6 References and Bibliography

- [1] Jon M. Peha, "A New FCC Policy that Forces Consideration of the Harm Claim Threshold," Comments in the Matter of Promoting Efficient Use of Spectrum through Improved Receiver Interference Immunity Performance, Federal Communications Commission ET Docket No. 22-137, June 27, 2022.
- [2] Howard Buskirk, "'Ex-FCC Chief Technologist Urges Harm-Claim Thresholds in Spectrum Decisions.'" *Communications Daily*, June 28, 2022.
- [3] Jon M. Peha, "KEYNOTE: Spectrum for Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)," United Nations workshop, International Telecommunications Union Workshop on Radio Spectrum for IMT-2020 and beyond, December 2020.
- [4] Jon M. Peha, "Comparison of Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) Technologies for Road Safety," Transportation Research Board (TRB) Transit Safety and Security Conference, November 2020.
- [5] Howard Buskirk, "'Ex-FCC Chief Technologist Calls for Connected Vehicles Task Force.'" *Communications Daily*, August 10, 2020.
- [6] Jon M. Peha, *Leading the Way: A National Task Force on Connected Vehicles*, Day One Project, Federation of American Scientists, August 2020.
<https://www.dayoneproject.org/post/leading-the-way-a-national-task-force-on-connected-vehicles>
- [7] Jon M. Peha, KEYNOTE: "Spectrum Policy for Intelligent Transportation Systems," *19th IEEE Wireless Telecommunications Symposium*, April 2020.
- [8] Jon M. Peha, "The Need for Analysis and a Shared Vision for Intelligent Transportation Systems," Comments in the Matter of Use of the 5.850-5.925 GHz Band, Federal Communications Commission ET Docket No. 19-138, April 27, 2020.
- [9] Jon M. Peha, "Technical Standards and Spectrum Sharing for Intelligent Transportation Systems," Comments in the Matter of Use of the 5.850-5.925 GHz Band, Federal Communications Commission ET Docket No. 19-138, March 7, 2020.
- [10] Alexandre Ligo and Jon M. Peha, "Spectrum for V2X: Allocation and Sharing," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, Volume 5, Number 3, pp. 768-779, September 2019.
- [11] Jon M. Peha, "What 5G Cannot Solve and the Need for 6G," *IEEE DySPAN*, November 11, 2019
- [12] A. Ligo and J. M. Peha, "Spectrum for Intelligent Transportation Systems: Allocation and Sharing," *IEEE International Symposium on Dynamic Spectrum Access Networks (DySPAN)*, Oct. 2018.
- [13] A. Ligo and J. M. Peha, "Cost-Effectiveness of Sharing Roadside Infrastructure for Internet of Vehicles," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Volume 19, Issue 7, July 2018, pp. 2362-2372.
- [14] A. Ligo, J. M. Peha, Pedro Ferreira and Joao Barros, "Throughput and Economics of DSRC-Based Internet of Vehicles," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 7276–90, 2018.
- [15] J. M. Peha, "Smart City Technologies for Local Governments," *Fall Conference of Townships, Boroughs & Authorities*, August 2017.

- [16] J. M. Peha, KEYNOTE: "Wireless Communication and Municipal Governments – Looking Forward," *Association of Boroughs*, August 2017.
- [17] A. Ligo and J. M. Peha, "Spectrum Policies for Intelligent Transportation Systems," *45th Telecommunications Policy Research Conference (TPRC)*, Sept. 2017.
- [18] A. Ligo and J. M. Peha, "Is It Cost-Effective to Share Roadside Infrastructure for Non-Safety Use?," *IEEE 85th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC)*, June 2017.
- [19] A. Ligo and J. M. Peha, "Cost-Effectiveness of Using Connected Vehicles Infrastructure for Internet Access," MASITE/ITSPA Annual Conference, 2016.
- [20] A. Ligo, J. M. Peha and Joao Barros, "Throughput and Cost-Effectiveness of Vehicular Mesh Networks for Internet Access," *IEEE 84th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC)*, Sept. 2016.
- [21] Alexandre Ligo, Jon M. Peha, Pedro Ferreira and Joao Barros, "Comparison between Benefits and Costs of Offload of Mobile Internet Traffic Via Vehicular Networks," *Proceedings of 43rd Telecommunications Policy Research Conference (TPRC)*, Arlington, VA, September 2015.
- [22] ITS America, *The Future of V2X: 30 MHz Application Map*, 2021.
- [23] Qualcomm. Connecting vehicles to everything with C-V2X.
<https://www.qualcomm.com/research/5g/cellular-v2x>
- [24] Bazzi, A., Cecchini, G., Zanella, A., & Masini, B. M. (2018). Study of the Impact of PHY and MAC Parameters in 3GPP C-V2V Mode 4. *IEEE Access*, 6, 71685-71698.
- [25] 3GPP TR 36.885, "Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Study on LTE-based V2X services," Release 14 V14.0.0, Jul. 2016.
- [26] 3GPP TR 36.885, "Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Study on NR Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X)," Release 16 V16.0.0, Mar. 2019.
- [27] 3GPP TR 36.885, "Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Enhancement of 3GPP support for V2X scenarios," Release 17 V17.0.0, Mar. 2022.
- [28] 3GPP TR 37.885, "Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Study on evaluation methodology of new Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) use cases for LTE and NR," Release 14 V15.3.0, Jun. 2019.