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## Introduction

Idaho Traffic Collisions 2002 provides an annual description of motor vehicle collision characteristics for Idaho. This document is used by state and local transportation, law enforcement, health, and other agencies charged with the responsibility of coping with the increasing costs of traffic collisions. Agencies use the data to identify traffic safety problems and target areas for the development of collision reduction programs.

A traffic safety problem is an identifiable subgroup of drivers, pedestrians, vehicles, or roadways that is statistically higher in collision experience than normal expectations. Problem identification involves the study of relationships between collisions and the population, licensed drivers, registered vehicles, vehicle miles traveled, and characteristics of specific subgroups that may contribute to collisions.

This document is divided into two major sections: a statewide collision summary and a breakdown of collisions by identified problem areas. Maps displaying the approximate location of each fatal collision by transportation district are found in Appendix A. Precise locations of fatal collisions cannot be determined from the maps. Information regarding collisions on the State Highway System is available in Appendix B. A five-year fatal and injury collision history is contained in three tables in Appendix C.

Idaho Traffic Collisions 2002 is organized to reflect the adoption of focus areas by the Idaho Traffic Safety Commission for the Highway Safety Grant Programs. The focus areas include: Impaired Driving, Safety Restraint Usage, Youthful Drivers, Aggressive Driving, Emergency Medical Services, Pedestrians, and Bicyclists.

## Explanation of Data

The source for collision information is the Idaho Transportation Department State Collision Database. The database consists of collision reports completed by all law enforcement agencies in Idaho. All law enforcement agencies use a standard collision report, as designated in Idaho Code 49-1307. The resulting numbers are conservative since the database consists of only collisions investigated by law enforcement officers. For purposes of this report, only collisions resulting in injury or death of any person, or damage to the property of any one person in excess of $\$ 750$ were included. Collisions occurring on private property are excluded.

When examining any of the statistics herein, it is important to distinguish between the three different levels of collision data. The collision level, the vehicle level and the person level make up the three different levels. Each collision must involve at least one motor vehicle and each vehicle contains any number of people, including zero. Each collision is classified by the most severe injury that resulted from the collision. Therefore, each fatal collision resulted in at least one fatality but may have also produced any number and combination of additional fatalities and injuries.

The Division of Motor Vehicles and the Economics and Research Section (Idaho Transportation Department) provide information on licensed drivers, registered motor vehicles, license suspensions and convictions. The Traffic Survey Section (Idaho Transportation Department) provides the annual vehicle miles of travel. The Bureau of Criminal Identification (Idaho State Police) provides information regarding DUI arrests. Other sources of information that support this document are referenced.

Current year data is compared to data from the prior year to identify simple percentage changes either upward or downward. The average change over the prior three years is given to provide an additional perspective.

If you have any questions or suggestions concerning Idaho Traffic Collisions 2002, contact the Office of Highway Safety. Contact information is available on the title page at the front of this document.

## SECTION I GENERAL COLLISION INFORMATION



## Collision Categories

Table 1 compares major collision categories and measures of exposure for 1999 through 2002. The total number of traffic collisions in 2002 increased by $1.5 \%$ from 2001, while fatal collisions increased $2.2 \%$. Total fatalities increased $1.9 \%$ from the previous year, while the number of injuries went up by $5.3 \%$. The number of property damage collisions declined by $0.5 \%$.

| Table 1 <br> Idaho Traffic Collision Data and Measures of Exposure: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2002 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| Total Collisions | 25,076 | 26,241 | 26,090 | 26,477 | 1.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Fatal Collisions | 245 | 241 | 225 | 230 | 2.2\% | -4.1\% |
| Persons Killed (Fatalities) | 278 | 276 | 259 | 264 | 1.9\% | -3.4\% |
| Injury Collisions | 9,256 | 9,392 | 9,231 | 9,688 | 5.0\% | -0.1\% |
| Persons Injured | 14,069 | 14,276 | 14,021 | 14,762 | 5.3\% | -0.2\% |
| Property-Damage-Only <br> Collisions (Severity > $>750$ ) | 15,575 | 16,608 | 16,634 | 16,559 | -0.5\% | 3.4\% |
| Idaho Population (thousands) | 1,252 | 1,294 | 1,321 | 1,341 | 1.5\% | 2.7\% |
| Licensed Drivers (thousands) | 881 | 893 | 901 | 911 | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
| Vehicle M iles of Travel (millions) | 14,328 | 13,728 | 14,299 | 14,303 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Registered Vehicles (thousands) | 1,316 | 1,340 | 1,247 | 1,331 | 6.7\% | -2.6\% |

Changes in the number of collisions can often be correlated with changes in state population, the number of drivers, number of registered vehicles, and the statewide Annual Vehicle Miles of Travel (AVMT). In 2002, the number of licensed drivers increased by $1.1 \%$, the population grew by $1.5 \%$, and the number of registered motor vehicles increased by $6.7 \%$.

The statewide AVMT increased by $1.5 \%$ in 2002. Commercial vehicles accounted for $18 \%$ of the statewide AVMT in 2002.

## Fatality and Injury Rates

Table 2 shows the fatality and injury rates for 1999-2002.
$\left.\begin{array}{|lccccccc|}\hline & \text { Tatality and Injury Rates per 100 Million AVMT 1999-2002 }\end{array}\right]$

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate fatality and injury rates per 100 million AVMT for the U.S. and Idaho. The 2002 U.S. fatality rate and U.S. injury rate estimates are preliminary and may change.

Figure 1
Traffic Fatality Rates per 100 Million Annual Vehicle Miles of Travel For Idaho and The U.S.: 1993-2002


Figure 2
Traffic Injury Rates per 100 Million Annual Vehicle Miles of Travel: 1993-2002


Fatality and injury rates have varied over the past decade. Factors such as vehicle safety features, limited access highways, engineering improvements, occupant restraint usage, demographic changes and reduction in driving under the influence tend to reduce fatalities and injuries. Increases in AVMT, licensed drivers, registered vehicles, changes in reporting, and higher average speeds tend to increase the number of fatalities and injuries. The jump in the injury rate in 1994 corresponds with better identification of injuries after statewide training for law enforcement officers with the introduction of a new collision report form in 1994.

## Injury Severity

Table 3 presents the injury severity distribution among persons involved in collisions from 1999 through 2002. The number of fatalities increased to 264 in 2002.

| Table 3 <br> Injury S everity of Persons Involved in Collisions: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change 1999-2001 |
| Fatalities | 278 | 276 | 259 | 264 | 1.9\% | -3.4\% |
| Serious Injuries | 1,824 | 1,733 | 1,615 | 1,750 | 8.4\% | -5.9\% |
| Visible Injuries | 5,285 | 5,390 | 5,258 | 5,347 | 1.7\% | -0.2\% |
| Possible Injuries | 6,960 | 7,153 | 7,148 | 7,665 | 7.2\% | 1.4\% |
| No Injuries | 51,316 | 52,482 | 52,013 | 52,995 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% |
| Unknown / M issing | 426 | 1,238 | 1,157 | 1,156 | -0.1\% | 92.0\% |
| Total Persons in Collisions | 66,089 | 68,272 | 67,450 | 69,177 | 2.6\% | 1.0\% |

## Economic Cost of Collisions

Table 4 gives estimated economic costs for Idaho motor vehicle collisions in 2002. Estimates in this table are based on 1994 Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) cost estimates for collisions. ${ }^{1}$ The cost estimates are updated to 2002 dollars using the Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflator Ratio. The components of the cost estimates include productivity losses, property damage, medical costs, rehabilitation costs, travel delay, legal and court costs, emergency service costs, insurance administration costs, premature funeral costs and costs to employers. The estimated cost of Idaho collisions in 2002 was just over $\$ 1.6$ billion. The total cost of collisions in 2002 was $\$ 31.8$ million dollars more than the estimated cost of collisions in 2001.

| Table 4 <br> Economic Cost of Idaho Collisions: 2002 Estimates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incident Description | Total Occurrences | Cost Per Occurrence | Cost Per Category |
| Fatalities | 264 | \$3,061,799 | \$808,315,006 |
| Serious Injuries | 1,750 | \$211,971 | \$370,948,757 |
| Visible Injuries | 5,347 | \$42,394 | \$226,681,486 |
| Possible Injuries | 7,665 | \$22,375 | \$171,501,975 |
| Property Damage Only | 16,559 | \$2,355 | \$39,000,257 |
| Total Estimate of Economic Cost |  |  | \$1,616,447,481 |

In addition to the FHWA's study, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) also did a study on the costs of collisions. The NHTSA study not only concentrated on the costs of collisions but also who pays the costs. Table 5 is a combination of Table 22 and Table 23 from the NHTSA study, "The Economic Impact of Motor Vehicle Crashes, 2000" and shows the source of payment distribution of collision costs for each component of the costs. The total percentage for each source of payment is also included at the bottom.

| Table 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Federal | S tate | Total Government | Insurer | Other | Self | Total |
| Medical | 14.40\% | 9.76\% | 24.16\% | 54.85\% | 6.36\% | 14.62\% | 100.00\% |
| Emergency Service | 3.87\% | 75.75\% | 79.62\% | 14.74\% | 1.71\% | 3.93\% | 100.00\% |
| M arket Productivity | 16.20\% | 3.06\% | 19.26\% | 41.09\% | 1.55\% | 38.10\% | 100.00\% |
| Household Productivity | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.09\% | 1.55\% | 57.36\% | 100.00\% |
| Insurance Administration | 0.89\% | 0.51\% | 1.40\% | 98.60\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Workp lace Costs | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Legal / Court | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Travel Delay | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Property Damage | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 65.00\% | 0.00\% | 35.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Percentage of Total Costs | 6.41\% | 2.70\% | 9.11\% | 50.26\% | 14.48\% | 26.15\% | 100.00\% |

The most significant point from the above table is that society at large picks up nearly $75 \%$ of all crash costs incurred by individual motor vehicle crash victims. These costs are passed on to the general public through insurance premiums, taxes, direct out-of-pocket payments for goods and services and increased charges for medical care. ${ }^{2}$

## Collisions by Number of Units Involved

While collisions involving a single vehicle occur less frequently than collisions involving multiple vehicles, the resulting injuries are often more severe. Single vehicle collisions were nearly twice as likely to result in a fatality as multiple vehicle collisions were. Table 6 shows the number of collisions and injuries for single and multiple vehicle collisions by the severity of the collision and injury. Multiple vehicle collisions include collisions between a motor vehicle and a pedestrian or bicyclist.

| Type of Collision | Table 6 <br> Collisions and Injuries by Number of Vehicles Involved: 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single Vehicle |  | Multiple Vehicles |  |
|  | Collisions | Injuries | Collisions | Injuries |
| Fatal | 106 | 114 | 124 | 150 |
| Serious Injury | 549 | 684 | 786 | 1,066 |
| Visible Injury | 1,367 | 1,852 | 2,334 | 3,495 |
| Possible Injury | 1,183 | 1,752 | 3,469 | 5,913 |
| Property Damage | 4,985 |  | 11,574 |  |
| Total | 8,190 | 4,402 | 18,287 | 10,624 |

In 2002, single-vehicle collisions represented only $31 \%$ of all collisions, yet accounted for $46 \%$ of all fatal collisions. Of the 106 fatal single-vehicle collisions, 96 (or 91\%) occurred on rural roadways.

Of the 124 multiple-vehicle fatal collisions, 15 involved a pedestrian, 3 involved a bicyclist, and 1 involved a train. Only $46 \%$ of all fatal collisions involved two or more motor vehicles. Of the 124 fatal multiple-vehicle collisions, 87 (or $70 \%$ ) occurred on rural roadways.

Figures 2 and 3, on the following page, show the most prevalent contributing circumstances for single- and multiple-vehicle collisions. The "all other contributing circumstances" category combines the remaining contributing circumstances, i.e., contributing circumstances with percentages less than $2 \%$. Contributing circumstances of none, not applicable and unknown were excluded from the total.

Speed played the biggest role in single-vehicle collision, contributing to more than 1 out of every 3 collisions. Speed also contributed to 7\% of all multiple-vehicle collisions.

Inattention/distraction was the most prevalent contributing circumstance for multiple vehicle collisions and the second most prevalent for single-vehicle collisions. Inattention/distraction contributed to 1 out of every 4 collisions involving two or more vehicles and almost 1 out of every 5 collisions involving a single vehicle.

Figure 3
Single-Vehicle Collisions - Contributing Circumstances: 2002


Figure 4
Multiple-Vehicle Collisions - Contributing Circumstances: 2002


Table 7 shows the most harmful events for fatal single- and multiple-vehicle collisions.

| Table 7 <br> Most Harmful Event for Fatal Single and Fatal Multiple Vehicle Collisions: 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Single-Vehicle Collisions | Multiple-Vehicle Collisions |
| Overturn (69.8\%) | Head On (24.8\%) |
| Tree (8.5\%) | Angle (23.3\%) |
| Immersion (5.7\%) | Pedestrian (11.1\%) |
| Utility Pole (5.7\%) | Side Swiped Opposite (10.4\%) |
| Embankment (3.8\%) | Overturn (5.2\%) |
| Other Object - Fixed (1.9\%) | Rear End (5.2\%) |
| Building Wall (0.9\%) | Angle - Turning (3.7\%) |
| Culvert (0.9\%) | Head On - Turning (3.0\%) |
| Ditch (0.9\%) | Other (2.2\%) |
| Fence (0.9\%) | Bicy clist (2.2\%) |
| Overhead Sign Supp ort (0.9\%) | Side Swiped - Same Direction (2.2\%) |
|  | Parked Vehicle (1.5\%) |
|  | Same Direction - Turning (1.5\%) |
|  | Rear End - Turning (0.7\%) |
|  | Train (0.7\%) |
|  | Ditch (0.4\%) |
|  | Other Object - Fixed (0.4\%) |
|  | Other Object - Not Fixed (0.4\%) |
|  | Separation of Units (0.4\%) |
|  | Tree (0.4\%) |
|  | Unknown (0.4\%) |
| *The percentages represent the number of vehicles the most harmful event was attributed to. Multiple vehicles involved in a single collision may not have the same most harmful event. In 2002, there were 270 units involved in the 124 fatal multiple vehicle collisions. |  |

Overturn was the leading most harmful event for fatal single-vehicle collisions. Single-vehicle rollovers accounted for $73 \%$ of the single vehicle fatalities and $34 \%$ of all fatalities in 2002.

Of the 83 people killed in single-vehicle rollovers, 19 (or 23\%) were wearing seat belts. Of the 64 people who were killed in single-vehicle rollovers and not wearing a seat belt, 55 (or $86 \%$ ) were totally or partially ejected from their vehicle.

There were 5 people killed in crashes where immersion was listed as the most harmful event. A vehicle is considered immersed if it comes to rest in water where the water level is high enough to enter the engine or passenger compartments. Of the 5 people killed in these crashes, none were wearing seatbelts. None of the occupants in immersion crashes that were wearing seatbelts were killed or seriously injured.

## Collisions and Injuries by Month

Table 8 shows the number of collisions and injuries by each month and severity.

|  | Table 8 <br> Severity of Collisions and Type of Injury by Month: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Collisions |  |  | Injuries |  |  | Possible |
|  | Fatal | Injury | Total | Fatal | Serious | Visible |  |
| January | 11 | 811 | 2,672 | 13 | 134 | 448 | 647 |
| February | 15 | 581 | 1,877 | 17 | 82 | 318 | 482 |
| M arch | 24 | 719 | 2,134 | 25 | 128 | 442 | 563 |
| April | 18 | 742 | 1,844 | 21 | 116 | 370 | 605 |
| May | 16 | 807 | 2,040 | 19 | 138 | 423 | 651 |
| June | 27 | 831 | 2,170 | 28 | 180 | 488 | 615 |
| July | 28 | 893 | 2,182 | 37 | 192 | 560 | 706 |
| August | 21 | 922 | 2,223 | 25 | 186 | 519 | 698 |
| September | 26 | 825 | 2,107 | 27 | 159 | 477 | 597 |
| October | 18 | 954 | 2,566 | 21 | 171 | 498 | 751 |
| November | 9 | 788 | 2,158 | 9 | 131 | 388 | 659 |
| December | 17 | 815 | 2,504 | 22 | 133 | 416 | 691 |
| Totals | 230 | 9,688 | 26,477 | 264 | 1,750 | 5,347 | 7,665 |

In 2002, July had the highest number of fatal collisions. January, October and December had the highest number of total collisions. Collisions occurring in the winter months are more likely to be attributed to severe weather such as ice and snow; however, these collisions tend to be less severe as people generally slow down and are more cautious when driving in adverse weather conditions.

## Collisions by Day of the Week

Figures 5 and 6 show the number of fatal and total collisions by day of the week.

Figure 5
Fatal Collisions by Day of the Week: 2002


Figure 6
Total Collisions by Day of the Week: 2002


## Collisions by Time of Day

Figures 7 and 8 show the number of fatal and total collisions by the time of day.

Figure 7
Fatal Collisions by Time of Day: 2002


Figure 8
Total Collisions by Time of Day: 2002


## Collisions by Roadway Classification

Table 9 compares the number of fatal, injury, and total collisions by urban and rural classification. Urban roadways are defined as those within the city limits of cities with 5,000 people or more. Urban roadways tend to carry higher volumes of traffic at lower speeds, while rural roads carry lower traffic volumes at higher speeds.

|  | Comparison of Collisions by Roadway Classification: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | Change <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 - 2 0 0 2}$ | Avg. Change <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 1}$ |
| Fatal Collisions | 245 | 241 | 225 | 230 | $2.2 \%$ | $-4.1 \%$ |
| Urban | 36 | 39 | 40 | 47 | $17.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Rural | 209 | 202 | 185 | 183 | $-1.1 \%$ | $-5.9 \%$ |
| Injury Collisions: | 9,256 | 9,392 | 9,231 | 9,688 | $5.0 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Urban | 5,129 | 5,356 | 5,329 | 5,577 | $4.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Rural | 4,127 | 4,036 | 3,902 | 4,111 | $5.4 \%$ | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Total Collisions: | 25,076 | 26,241 | 26,090 | 26,477 | $1.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Urban | 14,503 | 15,463 | 15,752 | 15,676 | $-0.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Rural | 10,573 | 10,778 | 10,338 | 10,801 | $4.5 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ |

In 2002, $80 \%$ of fatal collisions occurred on rural roads, whereas $41 \%$ of all collisions occurred on rural roads. In Idaho, $91 \%$ of the total road mileage is classified as rural roadway. Rural roads tend to have higher speed limits. Crashes at higher impact speeds have a greater probability of resulting in a fatality. ${ }^{3}$

The high percentage of rural roadways in Idaho may account for the fact that Idaho's fatality rate is consistently higher than the U.S. fatality rate.

Table 10 shows the number of collisions and collision rates on local and state system roadways (both interstate and non-interstate) for 1999-2002, and the number of collisions statewide. Collision rates are lower than the statewide fatality and injury rates shown in Table 2 because multiple fatalities or injuries may occur in a single collision.

| Roadway Information | Table 10 |  |  |  |  | Avg. Change 1999-2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2002 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Local: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VM T (100 millions) | 68.2 | 61.7 | 65.9 | 63.7 | -3.3\% | -1.4\% |
| Fatal Collisions | 87 | 109 | 84 | 88 | 4.8\% | 1.2\% |
| Injury Collisions | 5,211 | 5,357 | 5,216 | 5,424 | 4.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Total Collisions | 14,714 | 15,740 | 15,343 | 15,461 | 0.8\% | 2.2\% |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 8.3\% | 5.3\% |
| Injury Collision Rate | 76.4 | 86.8 | 79.2 | 85.1 | 7.5\% | 2.4\% |
| Total Collision Rate | 215.7 | 255.1 | 232.9 | 242.6 | 4.2\% | 4.8\% |
| State System (Non-Interstate): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VM T (100 millions) | 41.0 | 44.3 | 45.1 | 46.2 | 2.4\% | 4.9\% |
| Fatal Collisions | 114 | 85 | 98 | 108 | 10.2\% | -5.1\% |
| Injury Collisions | 2,639 | 2,642 | 3,014 | 3,329 | 10.5\% | 7.1\% |
| Total Collisions | 6,897 | 6,775 | 8,067 | 8,477 | 5.1\% | 8.7\% |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 7.6\% | -8.9\% |
| Injury Collision Rate | 64.4 | 59.7 | 66.9 | 72.1 | 7.9\% | 2.3\% |
| Total Collision Rate | 168.3 | 153.1 | 178.9 | 183.6 | 2.6\% | 3.9\% |
| Interstate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VM T (100 millions) | 34.1 | 31.3 | 32.0 | 33.1 | 3.5\% | -2.9\% |
| Fatal Collisions | 44 | 47 | 43 | 34 | -20.9\% | -0.8\% |
| Injury Collisions | 1,406 | 1,393 | 1,001 | 935 | -6.6\% | -14.5\% |
| Total Collisions | 3,465 | 3,726 | 2,680 | 2,539 | -5.3\% | -10.3\% |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | -23.6\% | 2.9\% |
| Injury Collision Rate | 41.3 | 44.5 | 31.3 | 28.2 | -9.7\% | -11.0\% |
| Total Collision Rate | 101.7 | 118.9 | 83.7 | 76.6 | -8.5\% | -6.3\% |
| Statewide Totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VM T (100 millions) | 143.3 | 137.3 | 143.0 | 143.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Fatal Collisions | 245 | 241 | 225 | 230 | 2.2\% | -4.1\% |
| Injury Collisions | $9,256$ | 9,392 | $9,231$ | $9,688$ | 5.0\% | -0.1\% |
| Total Collisions | $25,076$ | 26,241 | 26,090 | 26,477 | 1.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.2\% | -3.8\% |
| Injury Collision Rate | 64.6 | 68.4 | 64.6 | 67.7 | 4.9\% | 0.1\% |
| Total Collision Rate | 175.0 | 191.1 | 182.5 | 185.1 | 1.5\% | 2.3\% |


| County | Table 11  <br> Collision History of Idaho Counties: 2000-2002  <br> Fatal Collisions Injury Collisions |  |  |  |  |  | Total Collisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Ada | 14 | 16 | 20 | 2,430 | 2,372 | 2,354 | 6,468 | 6,416 | 6,218 |
| Adams | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 19 | 37 | 81 | 69 | 104 |
| Bannock | 6 | 10 | 9 | 484 | 472 | 501 | 1,565 | 1,570 | 1,627 |
| Bear Lake | 1 | 3 | 1 | 45 | 32 | 41 | 116 | 74 | 95 |
| Benewah | 3 | 3 | 8 | 73 | 58 | 50 | 221 | 200 | 201 |
| Bingham | 5 | 8 | 13 | 292 | 248 | 270 | 735 | 711 | 769 |
| Blaine | 2 | 4 | 2 | 75 | 64 | 79 | 242 | 243 | 286 |
| Boise | 3 | 5 | 3 | 72 | 92 | 114 | 185 | 204 | 226 |
| Bonner | 14 | 6 | 9 | 203 | 213 | 218 | 628 | 670 | 685 |
| Bonneville | 20 | 16 | 18 | 706 | 653 | 711 | 1,993 | 2,056 | 2,024 |
| Boundary | 2 | 2 | 3 | 53 | 60 | 53 | 161 | 182 | 162 |
| Butte | 2 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 19 | 14 | 31 | 62 | 33 |
| Camas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 21 | 22 | 18 |
| Cany on | 20 | 15 | 18 | 1,007 | 1,002 | 1,076 | 2,639 | 2,732 | 2,672 |
| Caribou | 1 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 41 | 49 | 129 | 114 | 124 |
| Cassia | 10 | 9 | 8 | 202 | 186 | 206 | 633 | 589 | 637 |
| Clark | 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 82 | 79 | 67 |
| Clearwater | 4 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 48 | 44 | 138 | 155 | 167 |
| Custer | 1 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 40 | 26 | 54 | 81 | 54 |
| Elmore | 12 | 15 | 7 | 217 | 220 | 215 | 482 | 484 | 474 |
| Franklin | 1 | 1 | 3 | 57 | 76 | 73 | 176 | 220 | 200 |
| Fremont | 4 | 3 | 9 | 81 | 68 | 88 | 243 | 232 | 253 |
| Gem | 4 | 3 | 0 | 61 | 66 | 65 | 154 | 167 | 151 |
| Gooding | 13 | 9 | 4 | 87 | 100 | 81 | 300 | 282 | 237 |
| Idaho | 6 | 2 | 5 | 139 | 135 | 130 | 339 | 291 | 351 |
| Jefferson | 3 | 4 | 7 | 112 | 91 | 90 | 299 | 293 | 306 |
| Jerome | 6 | 3 | 15 | 163 | 199 | 209 | 467 | 538 | 547 |
| Kootenai | 21 | 13 | 14 | 780 | 832 | 892 | 2,210 | 2,241 | 2,306 |
| Latah | 5 | 2 | 5 | 192 | 157 | 202 | 620 | 569 | 629 |
| Lemhi | 0 | 3 | 5 | 43 | 42 | 53 | 92 | 90 | 114 |
| Lewis | 1 | 2 | 3 | 37 | 24 | 18 | 93 | 98 | 81 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 22 | 36 | 60 | 69 | 91 |
| M adison | 3 | 1 | 2 | 157 | 103 | 142 | 468 | 419 | 480 |
| M inidoka | 5 | 13 | 3 | 151 | 127 | 126 | 367 | 330 | 333 |
| Nez Perce | 7 | 5 | 4 | 280 | 278 | 284 | 819 | 792 | 795 |
| Oneida | 1 | 3 | 1 | 51 | 58 | 39 | 143 | 151 | 119 |
| Owy hee | 2 | 2 | 3 | 44 | 41 | 46 | 117 | 124 | 146 |
| Pay ette | 4 | 4 | 1 | 132 | 112 | 119 | 324 | 316 | 309 |
| Power | 7 | 3 | 7 | 69 | 57 | 75 | 241 | 176 | 212 |
| Shoshone | 4 | 4 | 4 | 83 | 99 | 108 | 291 | 276 | 320 |
| Teton | 2 | 2 | 1 | 36 | 56 | 43 | 118 | 118 | 147 |
| Twin Falls | 12 | 11 | 7 | 487 | 501 | 528 | 1,374 | 1,287 | 1,302 |
| Valley | 5 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 80 | 95 | 224 | 197 | 243 |
| Washington | 2 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 36 | 63 | 98 | 101 | 162 |
| TOTALS | 241 | 225 | 230 | 9,392 | 9,231 | $\mathbf{9 , 6 8 8}$ | 26,241 | 26,090 | 26,477 |

Table 12 shows fatal, injury and total collisions for Idaho cities with populations over 2,000 for 1999-2002. Cities are grouped by population size. Population figures are from the 2000 U. S. Census for cities. Population estimates for 2002 were not available at the time of publication.

| City by Population Size | Table 12 <br> Collision History of Idaho Cities: 2000-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 40,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boise | 7 | 9 | 8 | 1,662 | 1,586 | 1,604 | 4,439 | 4,348 | 4,240 |
| Idaho Falls | 4 | 1 | 2 | 438 | 430 | 444 | 1,305 | 1,403 | 1,318 |
| Nampa | 3 | 1 | 6 | 458 | 460 | 510 | 1,266 | 1,269 | 1,276 |
| Pocatello | 1 | 4 | 3 | 320 | 281 | 327 | 1,114 | 1,058 | 1,147 |
| 15,000-39,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | 0 | 2 | 2 | 171 | 185 | 220 | 540 | 568 | 599 |
| Coeur d'Alene | 1 | 4 | 1 | 307 | 379 | 367 | 927 | 1,006 | 914 |
| Lewiston | 1 | 2 | 2 | 207 | 195 | 216 | 623 | 594 | 604 |
| M eridian | 2 | 1 | 2 | 239 | 242 | 269 | 660 | 742 | 778 |
| M oscow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 97 | 314 | 299 | 364 |
| Post Falls | 2 | 1 | 3 | 84 | 90 | 108 | 254 | 268 | 298 |
| Rexburg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 58 | 61 | 302 | 277 | 291 |
| Twin Falls | 2 | 1 | 1 | 313 | 312 | 328 | 877 | 811 | 775 |
| 5,000-14,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammon | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 26 | 48 | 74 | 75 |
| Blackfoot | 1 | 2 | 0 | 70 | 56 | 69 | 207 | 199 | 251 |
| Burley | 1 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 73 | 73 | 309 | 250 | 277 |
| Chubbuck | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 43 | 46 | 131 | 132 | 127 |
| Eagle | 0 | 0 | 2 | 57 | 59 | 71 | 125 | 164 | 179 |
| Emmett | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 20 | 52 | 61 | 57 |
| Garden City | 0 | 2 | 0 | 92 | 94 | 100 | 268 | 307 | 316 |
| Hailey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 24 | 61 | 56 | 98 |
| Hay den | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 40 | 56 | 115 | 90 | 121 |
| Jerome | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 41 | 47 | 96 | 135 | 145 |
| Kuna | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 10 | 32 | 40 | 47 |
| Mountain Home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 39 | 35 | 95 | 125 | 112 |
| Payette | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 17 | 22 | 48 | 68 | 73 |
| Rupert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 23 | 65 | 35 | 64 |
| Sandpoint | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 36 | 36 | 171 | 176 | 180 |
| Weiser | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 48 |
| 2,000-4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Falls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 45 | 41 | 38 |
| Bonners Ferry | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 41 | 42 | 33 |
| Buhl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 41 | 46 | 42 |
| Dalton Gardens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 25 | 19 | 29 |
| Fruitland | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 54 | 42 | 46 |
| Gooding | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 45 | 24 | 19 |
| Grangeville | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 13 | 24 | 32 | 24 |
| Hey burn | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 34 | 10 | 22 |
| Homedale | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 16 |
| Kellogg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 38 | 25 | 30 |
| Ketchum | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 14 | 74 | 55 | 85 |
| Kimberly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 17 | 9 |


| City by Population Size | Table 12 (Continued) Collision History of Idaho Cities: 2000-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fatal Collisions |  |  | Injury Collisions |  |  | Total Collisions |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 2,000-4,999 (Cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M alad | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 24 | 22 | 31 |
| McCall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 39 | 28 | 41 |
| Middleton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 19 | 24 | 22 |
| M ontpelier | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 30 | 26 | 24 |
| Orofino | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 28 | 46 | 42 |
| Preston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 60 | 55 | 64 |
| Rathdrum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 21 | 34 | 28 | 51 |
| Rigby | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 17 | 11 | 49 | 66 | 48 |
| St. Anthony | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 42 | 34 | 24 |
| St. M aries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 44 | 28 | 30 |
| Salmon | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 43 | 29 | 33 |
| Shelley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 18 | 30 | 28 |
| Soda Springs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 40 | 27 | 32 |
| Wendell | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 21 | 26 | 28 |

Table 13 lists fatal and injury collision data and collision rates for the 44 counties in Idaho. Population figures are based on 2002 U. S. Census estimates for counties.

| Table 13 <br> Fatal and Injury Collision Rates by County - 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Number of Collisions |  |  | Number of Persons |  | Collision Rate Per |
|  | (in 1,000s) | Total | Fatal | Injury | Killed | Injured | 1,000 Population |
| 50,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ada | 319.7 | 6,218 | 20 | 2,354 | 22 | 3,412 | 7.4 |
| Bannock | 75.8 | 1,627 | 9 | 501 | 12 | 721 | 6.7 |
| Bonneville | 85.2 | 2,024 | 18 | 711 | 19 | 1,123 | 8.6 |
| Canyon | 145.0 | 2,672 | 18 | 1,076 | 20 | 1,640 | 7.5 |
| Kootenai | 114.0 | 2,306 | 14 | 892 | 16 | 1,336 | 8.0 |
| Twin Falls | 65.5 | 1,302 | 7 | 528 | 7 | 769 | 8.2 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.6 |
| 20,000-49,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bingham | 42.5 | 769 | 13 | 270 | 18 | 471 | 6.7 |
| Blaine | 20.4 | 286 | 2 | 79 | 3 | 122 | 4.0 |
| Bonner | 38.2 | 685 | 9 | 218 | 9 | 341 | 5.9 |
| Cassia | 21.7 | 637 | 8 | 206 | 11 | 330 | 9.9 |
| Elmore | 29.5 | 474 | 7 | 215 | 7 | 328 | 7.5 |
| Latah | 35.2 | 629 | 5 | 202 | 7 | 304 | 5.9 |
| M adison | 27.7 | 480 | 2 | 142 | 2 | 219 | 5.2 |
| Nez Perce | 37.1 | 795 | 4 | 284 | 6 | 411 | 7.8 |
| Payette | 21.0 | 309 | 1 | 119 | 1 | 196 | 5.7 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 |


| Table 13 (Continued) <br> Fatal and Injury Collision Rates by County - 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Number of Collisions |  |  | Number of Persons |  | Collision Rate Per |
|  | (in 1,000s) | Total | Fatal | Injury | Killed | Injured | 1,000 Population |
| 10,000-19,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boundary | 10.1 | 162 | 3 | 53 | 4 | 71 | 5.6 |
| Franklin | 11.7 | 200 | 3 | 73 | 3 | 120 | 6.5 |
| Fremont | 11.9 | 253 | 9 | 88 | 10 | 159 | 8.2 |
| Gem | 15.5 | 151 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 99 | 4.2 |
| Gooding | 14.3 | 237 | 4 | 81 | 5 | 125 | 5.9 |
| Idaho | 15.3 | 351 | 5 | 130 | 5 | 206 | 8.8 |
| Jefferson | 19.8 | 306 | 7 | 90 | 7 | 130 | 4.9 |
| Jerome | 18.7 | 547 | 15 | 209 | 19 | 336 | 12.0 |
| M inidoka | 19.5 | 333 | 3 | 126 | 5 | 222 | 6.6 |
| Owy hee | 10.9 | 146 | 3 | 46 | 3 | 74 | 4.5 |
| Shoshone | 13.1 | 320 | 4 | 108 | 4 | 174 | 8.6 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |
| 5,000-9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Lake | 6.4 | 95 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 72 | 6.6 |
| Benewah | 9.0 | 201 | 8 | 50 | 8 | 89 | 6.4 |
| Boise | 7.1 | 226 | 3 | 114 | 3 | 174 | 16.6 |
| Caribou | 7.3 | 124 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 95 | 6.7 |
| Clearwater | 8.4 | 167 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 70 | 5.3 |
| Lemhi | 7.6 | 114 | 5 | 53 | 5 | 81 | 7.6 |
| Power | 7.4 | 212 | 7 | 75 | 8 | 109 | 11.1 |
| Teton | 6.9 | 147 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 73 | 6.4 |
| Valley | 7.5 | 243 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 139 | 12.6 |
| Washington | 9.9 | 162 | 1 | 63 | 1 | 102 | 6.4 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.4 |
| 0-4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | 3.4 | 104 | 1 | 37 | 2 | 55 | 11.0 |
| Butte | 2.9 | 33 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 19 | 4.8 |
| Camas | 1.0 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 6.8 |
| Clark | 1.0 | 67 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 31 | 19.1 |
| Custer | 4.2 | 54 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 46 | 6.2 |
| Lewis | 3.7 | 81 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 32 | 5.6 |
| Lincoln | 4.2 | 91 | 4 | 36 | 4 | 54 | 9.5 |
| Oneida | 4.1 | 119 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 70 | 9.7 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.3 |
| Statewide Totals | 1,341.1 | 26,477 | 230 | 9,688 | 264 | 14,762 | 7.4 |

Table 14 lists fatal and injury collision data and rates for Idaho cities with populations over 2,000. Population figures are from the 2000 U. S. Census for cities. Population estimates for 2002 were not available at the time of publication.


| Table 14 (Continued) <br> Fatal and Injury Collision Rate by City - 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Num | r of Co |  | Numbe | Persons | Collision Rate Per |
|  | (in 1,000s) | Total | Fatal | Injury | Killed | Injured | 1,000 Population |
| 2,000-4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Falls | 4.1 | 38 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 3.2 |
| Bonners Ferry | 2.5 | 33 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 4.8 |
| Buhl | 4.0 | 42 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 1.5 |
| Dalton Gardens | 2.3 | 29 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 5.3 |
| Fruitland | 3.8 | 46 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 24 | 3.9 |
| Gooding | 3.4 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 1.8 |
| Grangeville | 3.2 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 4.0 |
| Hey burn | 2.9 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 2.8 |
| Homedale | 2.5 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Kellogg | 2.4 | 30 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 3.3 |
| Ketchum | 3.0 | 85 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 4.7 |
| Kimberly | 2.6 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| M alad | 2.2 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2.8 |
| McCall | 2.1 | 41 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 16 | 5.3 |
| M iddleton | 3.0 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 2.7 |
| M ontpelier | 2.8 | 24 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 3.2 |
| Orofino | 3.2 | 42 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 2.8 |
| Preston | 4.7 | 64 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 26 | 4.1 |
| Rathdrum | 4.8 | 51 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 4.4 |
| Rigby | 3.0 | 48 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 3.7 |
| St. Anthony | 3.3 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1.5 |
| St. M aries | 2.7 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Salmon | 3.1 | 33 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 4.2 |
| Shelley | 3.8 | 28 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Soda Springs | 3.4 | 32 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 16 | 3.3 |
| Wendell | 2.3 | 28 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 2.6 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 |

## Driver Age Distribution

Table 15 shows the increase in the number of drivers in Idaho since 1990. These numbers reflect growth in the population of the state and the aging of the baby boomers. Since 1990, there has been a large increase in the number and proportion of drivers over the age of 45 .

| Table 15 <br> Age Distribution of Licensed Drivers: 1990, 2000, 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1990 | 2000 | 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 1990-2002 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2000-2002 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 15* | 3,478 | 9,406 | 4,223 | 21.4\% | -55.1\% |
| (\%) | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% |  |  |
| 16-24 | 123,114 | 156,485 | 150,554 | 22.3\% | -3.8\% |
| (\%) | 17.4\% | 17.5\% | 16.5\% |  |  |
| 25-34 | 151,625 | 154,133 | 158,555 | 4.6\% | 2.9\% |
| (\%) | 21.4\% | 17.3\% | 17.4\% |  |  |
| 35-44 | 153,976 | 178,401 | 173,847 | 12.9\% | -2.6\% |
| (\%) | 21.8\% | 20.0\% | 19.1\% |  |  |
| 45-54 | 100,258 | 167,821 | 175,943 | 75.5\% | 4.8\% |
| (\%) | 14.2\% | 18.8\% | 19.3\% |  |  |
| 55-64 | 76,255 | 106,190 | 119,672 | 56.9\% | 12.7\% |
| (\%) | 10.8\% | 11.9\% | 13.1\% |  |  |
| 65+ | 98,967 | 120,516 | 128,458 | 29.8\% | 6.6\% |
| (\%) | 14.0\% | 13.5\% | 14.1\% |  |  |
| TOTALS | 707,673 | 892,952 | 911,252 | 28.8\% | 2.0\% |

The graduated driver's license law took effect January 1, 2001. The law changed the requirements for operating a vehicle with a supervised instruction permit. These requirements must be met to obtain a class D driver's license: the permittee may not apply for a driver's license sooner than 15 years of age and no sooner than 4 months after completing a driver's training course; during the 4 month period, the permittee must accumulate 50 hours of supervised driving time with a licensed driver 21 years of age or older and 10 of the hours must be at night. All occupants of the vehicle must be properly restrained. If the permittee is convicted of any traffic violation or is found in violation of any of the restrictions of the supervised instruction permit, the permit is canceled and the 4 month period starts over from the date a supervised driving permit is reissued. The conditions of the supervised driving permit apply to everyone under 17 years of age that is attempting to obtain a driver's license. Once a class D license is obtained, driving is restricted to daylight hours for persons under 16 years of age. An amendment, taking effect July 1,2003, allows 15 year old drivers to drive at night, as long as another licensed driver over the age of 21 is present.

| Age |  Table 16 <br>  Driver Age as a Factor in Collisions: 2002 <br> Licensed  <br> Drivers  <br>   <br>  Drivers in All Collisions |  |  |  |  | Drivers in Fatal and Injury Collisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Involvement* | Number | \% | Involvement* |
| 15 | 4,223 | 0.5\% | 265 | 0.6\% | 1.3 | 100 | 0.6\% | 1.3 |
| 16 | 11,506 | 1.3\% | 1,260 | 2.8\% | 2.2 | 427 | 2.5\% | 2.0 |
| 17 | 16,026 | 1.8\% | 2,010 | 4.5\% | 2.6 | 800 | 4.7\% | 2.7 |
| 18 | 17,284 | 1.9\% | 2,200 | 4.9\% | 2.6 | 864 | 5.1\% | 2.7 |
| 19 | 18,011 | 2.0\% | 2,016 | 4.5\% | 2.3 | 826 | 4.8\% | 2.4 |
| 20 | 17,834 | 2.0\% | 1,590 | 3.5\% | 1.8 | 609 | 3.6\% | 1.8 |
| 21 | 17,083 | 1.9\% | 1,470 | 3.3\% | 1.8 | 541 | 3.2\% | 1.7 |
| 22 | 18,369 | 2.0\% | 1,452 | 3.2\% | 1.6 | 582 | 3.4\% | 1.7 |
| 23 | 17,752 | 1.9\% | 1,283 | 2.9\% | 1.5 | 476 | 2.8\% | 1.4 |
| 24 | 16,689 | 1.8\% | 1,137 | 2.5\% | 1.4 | 412 | 2.4\% | 1.3 |
| 25-34 | 158,555 | 17.4\% | 8,288 | 18.5\% | 1.1 | 3,194 | 18.7\% | 1.1 |
| 35-44 | 173,847 | 19.1\% | 7,378 | 16.5\% | 0.9 | 2,827 | 16.6\% | 0.9 |
| 45-54 | 175,943 | 19.3\% | 6,207 | 13.9\% | 0.7 | 2,433 | 14.3\% | 0.7 |
| 55-64 | 119,672 | 13.1\% | 3,598 | 8.0\% | 0.6 | 1,356 | 7.9\% | 0.6 |
| 65-74 | 74,604 | 8.2\% | 1,877 | 4.2\% | 0.5 | 693 | 4.1\% | 0.5 |
| 75+ | 53,854 | 5.9\% | 1,605 | 3.6\% | 0.6 | 631 | 3.7\% | 0.6 |
| Not Stated or Other |  |  | $1,165$ | $2.6 \%$ |  | $290$ | 1.7\% |  |
| TOTALS | 911,252 |  | 44,801 |  |  | 17,061 |  |  |
| * Involvement is calculated by dividing the percent of drivers in collisions by the percent of licensed drivers. Over-representation occurs when the value is greater than 1.0. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drivers, ages 19 and under, were 2.7 times as likely as all other drivers to be involved in fatal or injury traffic collisions. This age group comprised $7.4 \%$ of all licensed drivers and accounted for $17.3 \%$ of drivers in all collisions and $17.7 \%$ of drivers in fatal and injury collisions.

In 2002, the number of 15 year old drivers in collisions was $77 \%$ lower than in 2000 and the number of 15 year old licensed drivers remained $54 \%$ lower than 2000 numbers. The number of 16 year old drivers in collisions was $20 \%$ lower than in 2000 , while the number of 16 year old licensed drivers was $26 \%$ lower than 2000 numbers. These decreases are due, largely, to the graduated driver's license law (Idaho Code 49-307 section 5) that strengthened requirements necessary to obtain a driver's license for new drivers under 17 years of age.

Drivers, ages 20-24, were also over-represented in traffic collisions. This age group comprised $10 \%$ of all licensed drivers, yet accounted for $15.5 \%$ of all collision-involved drivers and $15.4 \%$ of drivers in fatal and injury collisions.

Drivers, ages 45 and older, were under-represented in traffic collisions. This age group comprised $46.5 \%$ of all licensed drivers, yet accounted for only $29.7 \%$ of all collision-involved drivers and $30.0 \%$ of drivers in fatal and injury collisions.

## Driver Gender Information

Figure 9 shows the distribution of male and female licensed drivers, the percentage of drivers involved in all collisions, and the percentage of drivers involved in fatal collisions. Males comprise just over $50 \%$ of the licensed drivers, but accounted for $58 \%$ of the drivers in all collisions and $75 \%$ of the drivers in fatal collisions.

Figure 9
Comparison by Gender for Driver Licensure, and Collision Involvement: 2002


In 2002, males were 1.4 times more likely than females to be involved in any collision. Males were 3 times as likely as females to be involved in a fatal collision.

Figures 10 and 11 show driver involvement by age and gender for all collisions and fatal and injury collisions. Figure 11 corresponds with the involvement numbers in table 16 and shows how the involvement numbers breakdown by gender. For example (in Figure 10), 19 year-old male drivers were involved in just over 2.5 times as many collisions as expected, while female 19 year-old drivers were involved in 2.0 times as many collisions as expected.

Figure 10
Involvement by Driver Age and Gender in All Collisions: 2002


Figure 11
Involvement by Driver Age and Gender in Fatal \& Injury Collisions: 2002


## Contributing Circumstances in Collisions

Figure 12 portrays the top seven most prevalent contributing circumstances recorded for fatal collisions, injury collisions, and all collisions. For every vehicle involved in a collision, the investigating officer may indicate up to three circumstances contributing to the cause of the collision.

Figure 12
Top Seven Primary Contributing Circumstances Cited for Traffic Collisions in 2002


## Traffic Violations and Driver's License Suspensions

The top ten traffic violations for which drivers were cited in 2002 are presented in Table 17. The basic rule violations refer to Idaho Code that requires drivers to operate vehicles at a reasonable, prudent speed for the conditions and with consideration for actual and potential hazards.

| Table 17 <br> Top Ten Traffic Violations for Idaho Drivers: 2002 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Violation Type | Number | \% of Total |
| 1. Basic Rule / Speeding Violations | 86,077 | $48.2 \%$ |
| 2. Safety Restraint Violations | 28,975 | $16.2 \%$ |
| 3. Insurance Violations | 11,895 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 4. Failure to Stop at Traffic Control Devices | 11,621 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 5. Driving Under the Influence | 7,320 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 6. Driving Without Privileges - Suspended License | 4,968 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 7. Following Too Close | 4,327 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 8. Reckless or Inattentive Driving | 4,173 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 9. Failure to Yield Right of Way | 3,097 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 10. Child Safety Seat Violations | 1,895 | $1.1 \%$ |
| All Other | 14,140 | $7.9 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 7 8 , 4 8 8}$ |  |

Safety restraint violations are considered secondary violations. Both child safety seat and safety restraint violations are non-moving traffic infractions and are not part of the driving record. Data for these two violations is obtained directly from the judicial system. The remaining violations are moving traffic infractions and data is obtained from driving records.

Table 18 is a breakdown by age for selected traffic violations. The five violations shown comprise $64 \%$ of all violations for 2002. The basic rule violations refer to Idaho Code requiring drivers to operate vehicles at a reasonable, prudent speed for the conditions and with consideration for actual and potential hazards.

| Age | Basic Rule/Speed | Table 18 <br> affic Violation Rates for Idaho Licensed Drivers: 2002 (Per 100 Licensed Drivers) |  |  | Following <br> Too Close |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fail to $S$ top at $S$ top Sign and Signals | DUI Idaho Residents | Inattentive |  |
| 15 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| 16-19 | 25.0 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 20-24 | 17.8 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 25-34 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 35-44 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 45-54 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 55-64 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 65-74 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 75+ | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| M ean | 9.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

Younger drivers, especially those 16 to 19 years old, had violation rates well above the mean in areas consistently shown to be major contributing factors in collisions, i.e., speeding, inattention, following too close, and disregarding stop signs and signals. Drivers age 20-24 had the highest rate for DUI violations.

This information is provided by the Drivers Services Section of the Division of Motor Vehicles within the Idaho Transportation Department and comes directly from driver's license records.

Table 19 presents drivers license suspensions in Idaho for 2002. The table also reviews how frequently restricted driving privileges are granted when a driver's license has been suspended.

| Table 19 <br> Driver's License Suspensions by Violation Type: 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Violation | Number | \% of All <br> Suspensions |
| Failure to Pay Fine | 21,655 | 31.8\% |
| Failure to M aintain Insurance | 16,474 | 24.2\% |
| Driving Under the Influence | 7,263 | 10.7\% |
| Administrative License Suspension (ALS)* | 6,390 | 9.4\% |
| Driving Without Privileges | 5,592 | 8.2\% |
| Underage Consumption or Possession of Alcohol or Tobacco | 3,155 | 4.6\% |
| Refused Evidentiary BAC Test | 1,668 | 2.5\% |
| Recurrence of Violation | 1,511 | 2.2\% |
| Family Responsibility Law | 1,042 | 1.5\% |
| Points | 592 | 0.9\% |
| Reckless Driving | 561 | 0.8\% |
| All Others | 2,163 | 3.2\% |
| TOTALS | 68,066 | 100.0\% |
| *On July 1, 1994, legislation took effect creating the Administrative License Suspension (ALS) Program to suspend licenses of drivers who fail or refuse to submit to evidentiary testing for DUI. The ALS Program was placed in moratorium on March 17, 1995. The law was reinstated January 1, 1998. |  |  |

The two largest categories of suspensions are failure to pay a traffic fine and failure to maintain insurance. These two suspensions account for $56 \%$ of all license suspensions. Driving under the influence accounted for $11 \%$ of all license suspensions. Of the 68,066 license suspensions, $3 \%$ received some type of restricted driving privilege.

The ITD Economics and Research Section provide this information concerning driver's license suspensions. The Drivers Services Section provides the information on restricted driving privileges.

# SECTION II Idaho Focus Areas 



## Impaired Driving

Table 20 gives details for impaired driving collisions from 1999 through 2002. The numbers of fatalities and injuries are also given, as one collision may result in multiple injuries or fatalities. An impaired driving collision is identified by information provided on the collision report. A law enforcement officer determines whether the driver was alcohol or drug impaired or whether alcohol or drugs contributed to the collision, regardless of whether a Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) test was given or not. Collisions where a sober driver collided with an impaired pedestrian or bicyclist are also included.

| Table 20 <br> Impaired Driving Collisions: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ 2001-2002 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change 1999-2001 |
| Impaired Driving Collisions | 1,676 | 1,790 | 1,655 | 1,886 | 14.0\% | -0.4\% |
| Fatalities | 86 | 97 | 94 | 97 | 3.2\% | 4.8\% |
| Serious Injuries | 320 | 350 | 312 | 335 | 7.4\% | -0.7\% |
| Visible Injuries | 695 | 731 | 663 | 715 | 7.8\% | -2.1\% |
| Possible Injuries | 458 | 507 | 440 | 581 | 32.0\% | -1.3\% |
| Imp aired Driving Collisions as a \% of All Collisions | 6.7\% | 6.8\% | 6.3\% | 7.1\% | 12.3\% | -2.5\% |
| Impaired Driving Fatalities as a \% of All Fatalities | 30.9\% | 35.1\% | 36.3\% | 36.7\% | 1.2\% | 8.4\% |
| Imp aired Driving Injuries as a \% of All Injuries | 10.5\% | 11.1\% | 10.1\% | 11.0\% | 9.5\% | -1.5\% |
| All Fatal and Injury Collisions | 9,501 | 9,633 | 9,456 | 9,918 | 4.9\% | -0.2\% |
| Impaired Fatal/Injury Collisions | 987 | 1,050 | 964 | 1,125 | 16.7\% | -0.9\% |
| \% Impaired Driving | 10.4\% | 10.9\% | 10.2\% | 11.3\% | 11.3\% | -0.8\% |
| Impaired Driving Fatality and Serious <br> Injury Rate per 100 M illion Vehicle <br> $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Miles Of Travel } & 2.83 & 3.26 & 2.84 & 3.02 & 6.4 \% & 1.1 \%\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual DUI Arrests by Agency* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Idaho State Police | 1,835 | 1,764 | 1,640 | 1,723 | 5.1\% | -5.4\% |
| Local Agencies | 9,001 | 8,404 | 8,257 | 8,302 | 0.5\% | -4.2\% |
| Total Arrests | 10,836 | 10,168 | 9,897 | 10,025 | 1.3\% | -4.4\% |
| DUI Enforcement Rate** | 1.23 | 1.14 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.1\% | -5.5\% |

*Source: Idaho State Police, Bureau of Criminal Identification
**DUI Arrests per 100 Licensed Drivers per Year.

Table 20 also compares impaired driving fatal and injury collisions to all fatal and injury collisions. In 2002, just over $11 \%$ of all fatal and injury collisions involved an impaired driver, impaired pedestrian, or impaired bicyclist. Nearly $37 \%$ of all fatalities were the result of an impaired driving collision.

In the early 1980s, impaired driving fatal and injury collisions represented over $20 \%$ of the fatal and injury collisions in Idaho, compared to $11 \%$ in 2002. Factors influencing the reduction include selective traffic enforcement programs, stiffer penalties for DUI violations, increased publicity about and concern over the impaired driving problem, and increasing the legal drinking age to 21 .

Table 20 also presents a four-year summary of annual DUI arrests by Idaho State Police (ISP) and local agencies. Local agency DUI arrests were up slightly in 2002 from the prior year, while ISP DUI arrests increased by $7 \%$. Overall, DUI arrests were up by just over $1 \%$ from 2001 levels.

## Economic Costs of Impaired Driving Collisions

Table 21 contains the estimated economic costs for impaired driving-related motor vehicle collisions in 2002. The estimated cost of Idaho impaired driving collisions in 2002 was $\$ 412.9$ million dollars. This estimate represents $25 \%$ of the total cost of Idaho collisions (as shown in Table 4).

|  | Table 21 <br>  <br>  <br> Economic Costs of Impaired Driving Collisions: 2002 Estimates |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incident Description | Total Occurrences | Cost Per Occurrence | Cost Per Category |
| Fatalities | 97 | $\$ 3,061,799$ | $\$ 296,994,529$ |
| Serious Injuries | 335 | $\$ 211,971$ | $\$ 71,010,191$ |
| Visible Injuries | 715 | $\$ 42,394$ | $\$ 30,311,813$ |
| Possible Injuries | 581 | $\$ 22,375$ | $\$ 12,999,693$ |
| Property Damage Only | 691 | $\$ 2,355$ | $\$ 1,627,464$ |
| Total Estimate of Economic Cost |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 4 1 2 , 9 4 3 , 6 8 9}$ |

## Victims of Fatal Collisions Involving Impaired Drivers

Table 22 shows a breakout of impaired driving fatalities. Of the 97 people killed in impaired driving collisions, 82 (or $85 \%$ ) were impaired drivers, impaired pedestrians, impaired bicyclists, or passengers of a motor vehicle riding with an impaired driver.

| Impaired S tatus* | Table 22 <br> Persons Killed in Impaired Driving Collisions: 2002 by Vehicle Type, Seating Position and Impaired Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Driver | Passenger | Unknown | Drive | sen | Bicyclists | Pedestr | ATV | Commercial Driver |
| Imp aired | 50 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Not Impaired | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

* For drivers, bicyclists and pedestrians, impaired status implies whether the person killed was impaired or not.

For passengers, it implies whether the passenger killed was riding with an impaired driver.

## Impaired Driving by Age

Table 23 shows the number and percent of licensed drivers, DUI arrests, and impaired drivers in collisions by age. Drivers, ages 18 to 39 , are over-represented in impaired driving collisions. The most over-represented age group is the 21 to 24 year-old drivers. Drivers in this age group were involved in 2.3 times as many impaired driving collisions as would be expected. Involvement is calculated by dividing the percentage of drivers in collisions by the percentage of licensed drivers. Over-representation occurs when the number is greater than 1 .

| Age | Table 23 <br> D UI Arrests and Impaired Driving Collisions by Driver Age: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 to 14 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.1\% |
| 15 | 4,223 | 0.5\% | 17 | 0.2\% | 5 | 0.3\% |
| 16 | 11,506 | 1.3\% | 87 | 0.9\% | 12 | 0.6\% |
| 17 | 16,026 | 1.8\% | 194 | 1.9\% | 34 | 1.8\% |
| 18 | 17,284 | 1.9\% |  |  | 55 | 2.9\% |
| 19 | 18,011 | 2.0\% | 640* | 6.7\% | 87 | 4.7\% |
| 20 | 17,834 | 2.0\% |  |  | 66 | 3.5\% |
| 21 | 17,083 | 1.9\% |  |  | 84 | 4.5\% |
| 22 | 18,369 | 2.0\% |  |  | 104 | 5.6\% |
| 23 | 17,752 | 1.9\% |  |  | 77 | 4.1\% |
| 24 | 16,689 | 1.8\% | 2,083** | 19.1\% | 71 | 3.8\% |
| 25-29 | 79,208 | 8.7\% | 1,377 | 13.7\% | 254 | 13.6\% |
| 30-34 | 79,347 | 8.7\% | 1,142 | 11.4\% | 204 | 10.9\% |
| 35-39 | 80,698 | 8.9\% | 1,178 | 11.8\% | 180 | 9.6\% |
| 40-44 | 93,149 | 10.2\% | 1,303 | 13.0\% | 185 | 9.9\% |
| 45-49 | 92,795 | 10.2\% | 859 | 8.6\% | 166 | 8.9\% |
| 50-54 | 83,148 | 9.1\% | 552 | 5.5\% | 127 | 6.8\% |
| 55-59 | 67,647 | 7.4\% | 302 | 3.0\% | 44 | 2.4\% |
| $60+$ | 180,483 | 19.8\% | 289 | 2.9\% | 68 | 3.6\% |
| M issing or Unknown |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 44 | 2.4\% |
| TOTALS | 911,252 |  | 10,025 |  | 1,868 |  |

[^0]
## Impaired Driving by Counties and Cities

Table 24 presents information on impaired driving collisions for Idaho counties. Population numbers are based on 2002 U.S. Census estimates for counties.


| Table 24 (Continued) Impaired Driving Collisions by County: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> (in 1,000s) | Number of Collisions |  |  | Number of Persons <br> Killed Injured |  | Impaired Driving Fatal and Injury Collision Rate Per 1,000 Population |
|  |  | Total | Fatal | Injury |  |  |  |
| 5,000-9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Lake | 6.4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Benewah | 9.0 | 27 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 25 | 1.9 |
| Boise | 7.1 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 23 | 2.1 |
| Caribou | 7.3 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 1.4 |
| Clearwater | 8.4 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Lemhi | 7.6 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Power | 7.4 | 20 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 18 | 1.8 |
| Teton | 6.9 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0.7 |
| Valley | 7.5 | 18 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 1.7 |
| Washington | 9.9 | 14 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 23 | 1.1 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |
| 0-4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | 3.4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Butte | 2.9 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Camas | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Clark | 1.0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Custer | 4.2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Lewis | 3.7 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1.1 |
| Lincoln | 4.2 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1.9 |
| Oneida | 4.1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Mean Collision Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |
| Statewide Totals | 1,341.1 | 1,886 | 80 | 1,045 | 97 | 1,631 | 0.8 |

Table 25 presents information on impaired driving collisions for cities with populations exceeding 2,000 people. Population figures are based on the 2000 U.S. Census estimates for Cities. Population estimates for 2002 were not available at the time of publication.


| Table 25 (Continued) <br> Impaired Driving Collisions by City: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Collisions |  |  | Number of Persons |  | Impaired Driving Fatal and Injury Collision Rate Per 1,000 Population |
|  |  | Total | Fatal | Injury | Killed | Injured |  |
| 2,000-4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Falls | 4.1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Bonners Ferry | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Buhl | 4.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Dalton Gardens | 2.3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Fruitland | 3.8 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Gooding | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grangeville | 3.2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0.9 |
| Hey burn | 2.9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Homedale | 2.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kellogg | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Ketchum | 3.0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Kimberly | 2.6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| M alad | 2.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| M cCall | 2.1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 |
| M iddleton | 3.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| M ontpelier | 2.8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0.4 |
| Orofino | 3.2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Preston | 4.7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rathdrum | 4.8 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0.8 |
| Rigby | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| St. Anthony | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| St. M aries | 2.7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Salmon | 3.1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Shelley | 3.8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Soda Springs | 3.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wendell | 2.3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Mean Collision R |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |

## Safety Restraint Usage

Idaho's seat belt use law, effective July 1, 1986, requires seat belt use for front seat passengers and drivers, regardless of residency, in vehicles with a gross vehicle weight of 8,000 pounds or less that were manufactured with safety belts. The law is a "secondary" law and can only be enforced when someone is stopped for another traffic violation. Idaho's child restraint law is a primary enforcement law.

Figure 13 depicts observed shoulder harness use by year for both Idaho and the U.S. The figures are the observed rates for persons in passenger cars, pickups, sport utility vehicles, and vans, which make up $92 \%$ of the vehicles involved in motor vehicle crashes. The U.S. usage rate comes from the National Occupant Protection Use Survey (NOPUS) and the mini NOPUS, which are done alternately every year.

Figure 13
Observed Seat Belt Usage - Idaho vs. U.S.: 1993-2002


The methodology for the observational seat belt survey was changed in 1998 in accordance with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) guidelines. Comparisons of 1998 and future surveys to historical data (1986 - 1997 surveys) should be made with caution as the new methodology differs greatly from the previous methodology. Likewise, the methodology for the National survey differs from that of Idaho and does not included any observation sites in Idaho.

## Observational Seat Belt Survey Results

Table 26 shows the observed shoulder harness seat belt use by county.
$\left.\begin{array}{|lllllll|}\hline & & & & \\ & \text { Observed Seat Belt Use by County: } \mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 2}\end{array}\right)$

The Office of Highway Safety evaluates compliance rates through analysis of collision data and statewide observational surveys of seat belt use. Observational surveys are conducted by observing shoulder harness use or non-use. The observational survey is a representative sample of the State and does not include all counties.

Table 27 shows the observed seat belt use for the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) districts ${ }^{4}$ by vehicle type. District 1 (northern Idaho) had the highest overall usage at $71 \%$, while district 4 (south central Idaho) had the overall lowest usage at $54 \%$.

| Table 27 <br> Idaho Safety Belt Observation Survey: 2002 - Usage by Vehicle Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITD District | Passenger Cars | Vans and <br> S port Utility Vehicles | Pickup Trucks | All Vehicles |
| 1 | 74.8\% | 74.9\% | 59.6\% | 70.6\% |
| 2 | 71.4\% | 71.7\% | 58.5\% | 68.4\% |
| 3 | 64.6\% | 71.2\% | 54.9\% | 63.3\% |
| 4 | 60.8\% | 62.2\% | 38.4\% | 53.6\% |
| 5 | 62.4\% | 61.4\% | 39.7\% | 55.4\% |
| 6 | 64.9\% | 66.1\% | 35.6\% | 57.8\% |
| Statewide | 66.4\% | 70.0\% | 50.9\% | 62.9\% |

Usage rates for the occupants of pickup trucks continue to be significantly lower than usage rates for other types of passenger vehicles. The usage rate for pickup truck occupants in 2002 ranged from a high of 59.6\% in District 1 (northern Idaho) to a low of $35.6 \%$ in District 6 (north eastern Idaho).

Seat belt usage varied by the type of roadway the vehicles were traveling on. It ranged from a high of $73.6 \%$ on urban interstates to a low of $41.9 \%$ on rural minor collectors. While there was virtually no difference between urban and rural sites, there was a difference of 5 percentage points between major and minor roads. The difference was not statistically significant. Major roads were defined as interstates and principal arterials. Minor roads were comprised of the rest of the roadway functional classifications.

## Self-Reported Seat Belt Usage Results

Table 28 shows the self-reported seat belt use for people, ages 4 and older, in passenger cars, pickups, sport utility vehicles, and vans that were killed or seriously injured. Research has indicated there is a tendency for persons involved in collisions to falsely report compliance with the seat belt law and thus, self-reported use tends to overstate actual use ${ }^{5}$. Seat belt use by severely or fatally injured occupants can be more directly assessed by law enforcement officers or emergency medical personnel, and is therefore, more reliable.

| Injury Type | f-Repor senger | Table eat Be s, Pick | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e: } 199 \\ & \text { S port U } \end{aligned}$ | 2 <br> Vehicl | and Vans) | Avg. Change1999-2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2002 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Fatalities -Restraints Used | 22.8\% | 28.7\% | 29.7\% | 37.5\% | 26.2\% | 14.7\% |
| Serious Injuries -Restraint Used | 50.0\% | 49.7\% | 51.0\% | 57.6\% | 13.0\% | 1.0\% |

Of the 216 motor vehicle occupants killed in 2002, only 81 were using seat belts. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration estimates seat belts are $50 \%$ effective in preventing fatalities and serious injuries. By this estimate, we can deduce that 81 lives were saved in 2002 by seat belt usage. An additional 68 lives could have been saved if everyone had buckled up.

## Costs of Injuries

Table 29 illustrates the costs of injuries sustained by occupants, ages four and older, of passenger vehicles for persons both using and not using safety restraints.

| Injury Type | sing S |  | Using S afety |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S afety Restraints |  | Costs of Injuries |  |
|  | Used | Not Used | Used | Not Used |
| Fatality | 81 | 135 | \$248,005,740 | \$413,342,901 |
| Serious Injury | 832 | 612 | \$176,359,638 | \$129,726,080 |
| Visible Injury | 3,272 | 1,414 | \$138,713,638 | \$59,945,319 |
| Possible Injury | 5,749 | 1,379 | \$128,632,075 | \$30,854,693 |
| Total |  |  | \$691,711,091 | \$633,868,993 |

Table 30 shows self-reported child safety seat use for children, under age 4 , in passenger cars, pickups, sport utility vehicles, and vans from 1999 to 2002. Overall, the use rate has increased from $78 \%$ in 1999 to $86 \%$ in 2002. Idaho Code requires every child, under the age of four, and weighing less than 40 pounds be restrained in a car safety seat that meets the federal standards when traveling in a noncommercial motor vehicle manufactured with seat belts after January 1, 1966.
$\left.\begin{array}{|ccccccc|}\hline & & \text { Table 30 } \\ \text { Self-Reported Child Safety } \\ \text { Seat Use by Injury Type: } \\ \text { (under age 4 in passenger cars, pickups, sport utility vehicles and vans) }\end{array}\right]$

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration estimates child safety seats are $69 \%$ effective in preventing fatalities and serious injuries. By this estimate we can deduce that child safety seats could have saved 1 of the 2 unrestrained children killed in 2002. Additionally, 4 of the 6 unrestrained serious injuries may have been prevented if they had all been properly restrained.

## Local Safety Restraint Usage

Table 31 presents self-reported restraint use rates for all motor vehicle occupants over the age of 4 involved in fatal and serious injury collisions for each county, comparing 1999 through 2002. Collision data provides an analysis of the restraint use at the local level. This information is self reported to the investigating officer after a collision. The self reported use is for all occupants, regardless of injury type, involved in fatal and serious injury crashes.
$\left.\begin{array}{|ccccccc|}\hline & & \text { Table 31 } & & & \\ \text { Self-Reported Restraint Use in Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by County: } \mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 2} \\ \text { (persons in passenger cars, pickups, sport utility vehicles and vans only) }\end{array}\right]$

| Table 31 (Continued) <br> Self-Reported Restraint Use in Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by County: 1999-2002 (persons in passenger cars, pickups, sport utility vehicles and vans only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County by Population | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| 5,000-9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Lake | 72.4\% | 16.0\% | 57.1\% | 66.7\% | 16.7\% | 89.6\% |
| Benewah | 42.9\% | 18.8\% | 40.0\% | 43.2\% | 7.9\% | 28.5\% |
| Boise | 65.2\% | 65.9\% | 72.7\% | 64.0\% | -12.0\% | 5.7\% |
| Caribou | 48.0\% | 66.7\% | 52.2\% | 47.5\% | -9.0\% | 8.6\% |
| Clearwater | 35.7\% | 21.4\% | 37.5\% | 81.8\% | 118.2\% | 17.5\% |
| Lemhi | 31.8\% | 15.2\% | 46.7\% | 60.5\% | 29.7\% | 77.8\% |
| Power | 36.7\% | 31.0\% | 42.3\% | 48.0\% | 13.4\% | 10.4\% |
| Teton | 38.9\% | 37.5\% | 35.7\% | 45.5\% | 27.3\% | -4.2\% |
| Valley | 45.5\% | 41.7\% | 51.9\% | 71.4\% | 37.8\% | 8.1\% |
| Washington | 44.8\% | 38.5\% | 54.6\% | 71.4\% | 30.9\% | 13.8\% |
| 0-4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | 46.7\% | 11.1\% | 33.3\% | 92.3\% | 177.0\% | 61.9\% |
| Butte | 20.0\% | 28.6\% | 33.3\% | 88.9\% | 166.7\% | 29.8\% |
| Camas | 75.0\% | 33.3\% | 81.8\% | 100.0\% | 22.2\% | 45.0\% |
| Clark | 60.0\% | 69.2\% | 75.0\% | 36.4\% | -51.5\% | 11.9\% |
| Custer | 50.0\% | 20.0\% | 55.0\% | 45.0\% | -18.2\% | 57.5\% |
| Lewis | 11.8\% | 42.3\% | 80.8\% | 90.0\% | 11.4\% | 175.3\% |
| Lincoln | 30.8\% | 66.7\% | 18.2\% | 42.1\% | 131.6\% | 22.0\% |
| Oneida | 51.6\% | 60.7\% | 64.3\% | 45.5\% | -29.3\% | 11.8\% |
| Statewide Average | 55.9\% | 58.3\% | 60.7\% | 65.7\% | 8.2\% | 4.2\% |

## Aggressive Driving

Table 32 shows information about collisions in Idaho from 1999 through 2002 involving aggressive driving. The behaviors that define aggressive driving are: failure to yield right of way, passed stop sign, exceeded posted speed, driving too fast for conditions, following too close, and disregarded signal. Aggressive driving is not to be confused with road rage, which is a deliberate and violent act against another driver and is a criminal offense.

An officer may indicate up to three contributing circumstances for each vehicle in a collision. Thus the total number of fatalities and injuries attributed to these behaviors in the top portion of the table do not equal the sum of the fatalities and injuries attributed to individual behaviors in the bottom of the table.

| Table 32 <br> Aggressive Driving Collisions: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Change 2001-2002 | Avg. Change 1999-2001 |
| Total Aggressive Driving Collisions | 14,817 | 15,388 | 15,398 | 15,066 | -2.2\% | 2.0\% |
| Fatalities | 147 | 120 | 128 | 138 | 7.8\% | -5.9\% |
| Serious Injuries | 1,043 | 951 | 949 | 963 | 1.5\% | -4.5\% |
| Visible Injuries | 3,256 | 3,358 | 3,254 | 3,223 | -1.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Possible Injuries | 4,721 | 4,807 | 4,770 | 5,023 | 5.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Number of Traffic Fatalities and Serious Injuries Involving:* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Driving Too Fast for Conditions | 459 | 395 | 359 | 357 | -0.6\% | -11.5\% |
| Fail to Yield Right of Way | 410 | 344 | 356 | 373 | 4.8\% | -6.3\% |
| Exceeded Posted Speed | 174 | 188 | 202 | 184 | -8.9\% | 7.7\% |
| Passed Stop Sign | 130 | 74 | 122 | 127 | 4.1\% | 10.9\% |
| Following Too Close | 103 | 104 | 127 | 106 | -16.5\% | 11.5\% |
| Disregarded Signal | 67 | 75 | 48 | 44 | -8.3\% | -12.0\% |
| Aggressive Driving Fatal and Serious Injury Rate per 100 M illion AVM T | 8.31 | 7.80 | 7.53 | 7.70 | 2.2\% | -4.8\% |
| * Three contributing circumstances possible per unit involved in each collision |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In 2002, aggressive driving was a contributing factor in $57 \%$ of all collisions in Idaho. While two-thirds of all aggressive driving collisions occur in urban areas, $78 \%$ of the fatal aggressive driving collisions occur in rural areas. Only $22 \%$ of all aggressive driving collisions involve a single vehicle, while $43 \%$ of fatal aggressive driving collisions involve only one vehicle. Of the 50 fatal aggressive driving crashes that involved a single vehicle, 46 (or $92 \%$ ) occurred in rural areas.

The economic cost of collisions involving aggressive driving was $\$ 897.2$ million dollars in 2002. This represents $56 \%$ of the total costs of Idaho collisions (as shown in Table 4).

## Involvement in Aggressive Driving Collisions by Driver Age

Table 33 shows the involvement in aggressive driving collisions by driver age. Drivers, ages 19 and younger, are nearly 4 times as likely to be involved in aggressive driving collisions as all other drivers. While drivers ages 20 to 24 are nearly twice as likely as all other drivers to be involved in aggressive driving collisions. (Note: odds ratios are different than the involvement rates in the table below) Drivers between the ages of 15 and 22 represent more that one-third of the drivers involved in aggressive driving collisions.

| Age | Table 33 <br> Involvement in Aggressive Driving Collisions by Drivers Age: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Involvement* | Number | \% | Involvement* |
| 0-14 | 0 | 0.0\% | 21 | 0.1\% |  | 12 | 0.2\% |  |
| 15 | 4,223 | 0.5\% | 133 | 0.9\% | 1.9 | 52 | 0.9\% | 1.9 |
| 16 | 11,506 | 1.3\% | 602 | 3.9\% | 3.1 | 229 | 3.8\% | 3.0 |
| 17 | 16,026 | 1.8\% | 907 | 5.9\% | 3.3 | 370 | 6.1\% | 3.5 |
| 18 | 17,284 | 1.9\% | 1,008 | 6.5\% | 3.5 | 400 | 6.6\% | 3.5 |
| 19 | 18,011 | 2.0\% | 888 | 5.8\% | 2.9 | 379 | 6.3\% | 3.2 |
| 20 | 17,834 | 2.0\% | 662 | 4.3\% | 2.2 | 243 | 4.0\% | 2.1 |
| 21 | 17,083 | 1.9\% | 608 | 3.9\% | 2.1 | 216 | 3.6\% | 1.9 |
| 22 | 18,369 | 2.0\% | 553 | 3.6\% | 1.8 | 210 | 3.5\% | 1.7 |
| 23 | 17,752 | 1.9\% | 504 | 3.3\% | 1.7 | 191 | 3.2\% | 1.6 |
| 24 | 16,689 | 1.8\% | 417 | 2.7\% | 1.5 | 148 | 2.5\% | 1.3 |
| 25-34 | 158,555 | 17.4\% | 2,700 | 17.5\% | 1.0 | 1,054 | 17.5\% | 1.0 |
| 35-44 | 173,847 | 19.1\% | 2,164 | 14.1\% | 0.7 | 827 | 13.7\% | 0.7 |
| 45-54 | 175,943 | 19.3\% | 1,704 | 11.1\% | 0.6 | 681 | 11.3\% | 0.6 |
| 55-64 | 119,672 | 13.1\% | 1,001 | 6.5\% | 0.5 | 393 | 6.5\% | 0.5 |
| 65-74 | 74,604 | 8.2\% | 551 | 3.6\% | 0.4 | 227 | 3.8\% | 0.5 |
| 75+ | 53,854 | 5.9\% | 676 | 4.4\% | 0.7 | 295 | 4.9\% | 0.8 |
| Not Stated or Other |  |  | $296$ | 1.9\% |  | $96$ | 1.6\% |  |
| TOTALS | 911,252 |  | 15,395 |  |  | 6,023 |  |  |
| * Involvement is calculated by dividing the percent of collisions by the percent of licensed drivers. Over-representation occurs when the value is greater than 1.0. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Youthful Drivers

Table 34 shows the collisions involving youthful drivers. Youthful drivers are drivers age 15 to 19. In 2002, one out of every four collisions involved a youthful driver. In 2002, youthful drivers were involved in twice as many crashes as you would expect them to be and were 2.7 times as likely as all other drivers to be involved in a crash.

| Table 34Collisions Involving Youthful Drivers (15 to 19 Years Old): 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2002 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| Total Collisions | 7,258 | 7,490 | 6,910 | 6,961 | 0.7\% | -2.3\% |
| Fatalities | 66 | 48 | 64 | 45 | -29.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Serious Injuries | 463 | 437 | 431 | 416 | -3.5\% | -3.5\% |
| Visible Injuries | 1,632 | 1,665 | 1,456 | 1,564 | 7.4\% | -5.3\% |
| Possible Injuries | 2,382 | 2,341 | 2,164 | 2,415 | 11.6\% | -4.6\% |
| Drivers 15-19 in Fatal \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of all Drivers in Fatal \& Serious Injury Collisions | 15.9\% | 16.0\% | 16.1\% | 14.7\% | -8.8\% | 0.6\% |
| Licensed Drivers 15-19 | 77,943 | 79,353 | 69,812 | 67,050 | -4.0\% | -5.1\% |
| \% of Total Licensed Drivers | 8.7\% | 8.9\% | 7.7\% | 7.4\% | -4.4\% | -5.8\% |
| Driver Involvement Rate* | 1.82 | 1.81 | 2.07 | 1.99 | -3.7\% | 6.9\% |
| Teen Drivers in Fatal Crashes | 64 | 47 | 51 | 40 | -21.6\% | -9.0\% |
| Impaired Teen Drivers in Fatal Crashes | 11 | 8 | 12 | 8 | -33.3\% | 11.4\% |
| \% of Youthful Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes that were Impaired | 17.2\% | 17.0\% | 23.5\% | 20.0\% | -15.0\% | 18.6\% |
| *The Driver Involvement Rate is the percent of drivers invovled in fatal and serious injury collisions divided by percen of licensed drivers. Over-representation occurs when the value is greater than 1.0. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In 2002, the economic cost of collisions involving youthful drivers was $\$ 356.3$ million dollars. This represents $22 \%$ of the total cost of collisions in 2002 (as shown in Table 4).

## Emergency Medical Services

Table 35 shows Emergency Medical Services response to collisions in Idaho. EMS response to collisions indicates the number of collisions where an EMS unit responded and transported persons to medical facilities.

| Table 35 <br> Emergency Medical Services Response to Collisions: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| Total Collisions | 25,076 | 26,241 | 26,090 | 26,477 | 1.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Response to Fatal \& Injury Collisions | 3,972 | 4,124 | 4,142 | 4,842 | 16.9\% | 2.1\% |
| \% of Fatal \& Injury Collisions | 41.8\% | 42.8\% | 43.8\% | 48.8\% | 11.5\% | 2.4\% |
| Persons Killed or Injured in Collisions | 14,347 | 14,552 | 14,280 | 15,026 | 5.2\% | -0.2\% |
| Transported from Rural Areas | 2,401 | 3,536 | 3,332 | 3,596 | 7.9\% | 20.8\% |
| Transported from Urban Areas | 3,739 | 2,637 | 2,577 | 2,732 | 6.0\% | -15.9\% |
| Total Transported by EM S | 6,140 | 6,173 | 5,909 | 6,328 | 7.1\% | -1.9\% |
| \% of Killed/Injured Transp orted | 42.8\% | 42.4\% | 41.4\% | 42.1\% | 1.8\% | -1.7\% |
| Trapped and Extricated | 546 | 578 | 576 | 583 | 1.2\% | 2.8\% |
| Fatal/Serious Injuries Transported by Helicopter | 148 | 184 | 226 | 243 | 7.5\% | 23.6\% |

The availability and quality of services provided by local Emergency Medical Services may mean the difference between life and death for someone injured in a traffic collision. Improved post-crash victim care works to reduce the severity of trauma incurred by collision victims. The sooner someone receives appropriate medical care, the better their chances of recovery. This care is especially critical in rural areas because of the time needed to transport a victim to a trauma hospital.

## Pedestrians in Collisions

Table 36 gives information about pedestrians in collisions from 1999 to 2002. Pedestrian collisions increased by $13 \%$ in 2002, while the number of pedestrians killed in motor vehicle collisions increased by $25 \%$. Of all pedestrians involved in collisions in 2002, $99 \%$ received some degree of injury. Of those injured or killed in pedestrian collisions, $18 \%$ were between the ages of 4 and 14. Of the pedestrians killed in motor vehicle collisions in 2002, $47 \%$ were over the age of 40 . Impaired pedestrians were involved in $12 \%$ of all pedestrian collisions and $33 \%$ of fatal pedestrian collisions.

| Table 36Pedestrians in Collisions:1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| Pedestrian Collisions | 181 | 198 | 175 | 199 | 13.7\% | -1.1\% |
| Fatalities | 14 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 25.0\% | 21.4\% |
| Serious Injuries | 59 | 60 | 53 | 53 | 0.0\% | -5.0\% |
| Visible Injuries | 74 | 77 | 68 | 96 | 41.2\% | -3.8\% |
| Possible Injuries | 38 | 64 | 54 | 41 | -24.1\% | 26.4\% |
| Pedestrians in Collisions | 185 | 210 | 190 | 208 | 9.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Pedestrian Fatal and Serious Injuries | 73 | 66 | 65 | 68 | 4.6\% | -5.6\% |
| \% of All Fatal and Serious Injuries | 3.6\% | 3.3\% | 3.5\% | 3.4\% | -2.7\% | -2.0\% |
| Imp aired Fatal and Serious Injuries* | 8 | 4 | 15 | 13 | -13.3\% | 112.5\% |
| \% of Pedestrian Fatal \& Serious Injuries | 11.0\% | 6.1\% | 23.1\% | 19.1\% | -17.2\% | 118.0\% |
| Pedestrians in Fatal and Injury Collisions by Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 to 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 133.3\% | -22.5\% |
| 4 to 14 | 53 | 46 | 47 | 37 | -21.3\% | -5.5\% |
| 15 to 19 | 30 | 39 | 26 | 29 | 11.5\% | -1.7\% |
| 20 to 24 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 23 | 64.3\% | 5.7\% |
| 25 to 34 | 15 | 32 | 25 | 29 | 16.0\% | 45.7\% |
| 35 to 44 | 22 | 17 | 25 | 25 | 0.0\% | 12.2\% |
| 45 to 54 | 18 | 25 | 21 | 21 | 0.0\% | 11.4\% |
| 55 to 64 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | -20.0\% | -15.5\% |
| 65 and Older | 9 | 15 | 15 | 22 | 46.7\% | 33.3\% |
| M issing/Unknown Age | 5 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 25.0\% | 5.0\% |

In 2002, the economic cost of collisions involving pedestrians was $\$ 62.2$ million dollars. This represents $4 \%$ of the total cost of Idaho collisions (as shown in Table 4).

## Bicyclists in Collisions

Table 37 gives information about bicyclists in collisions from 1999 to 2002. The number of bicycle collisions increased in 2002 by $15 \%$. Of the bicyclists involved in collisions in $2002,97 \%$ received some degree of injury. Of all bicyclists involved in collisions in 2002, $58 \%$ were between the ages of 4 and 19. The percentage of bicyclists involved in collisions that were wearing helmets continues to remain very low.

| Table 37 <br> Bicyclists in Collisions: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2002 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. Change 1999-2001 |
| Bicy cle Collisions | 354 | 334 | 274 | 314 | 14.6\% | -11.8\% |
| Fatalities | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 50.0\% | -29.2\% |
| Serious Injuries | 53 | 49 | 44 | 51 | 15.9\% | -8.9\% |
| Visible Injuries | 197 | 190 | 161 | 170 | 5.6\% | -9.4\% |
| Possible Injuries | 101 | 93 | 70 | 92 | 31.4\% | -16.3\% |
| Bicyclists in Collisions | 364 | 338 | 283 | 326 | 15.2\% | -11.7\% |
| Bicy cle Fatal and Serious Injuries | 57 | 52 | 46 | 54 | 17.4\% | -10.2\% |
| \% of All Fatal and Serious Injuries | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 9.2\% | -7.0\% |
| Bicy clists in Collisions Wearing Helmets | 46 | 49 | 31 | 39 | 25.8\% | -15.1\% |
| \% of Bicy clists Wearing Helmets | 12.6\% | 14.5\% | 11.0\% | 12.0\% | 9.2\% | -4.9\% |
| Imp aired Fatal and Serious Injuries* | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 200.0\% | -41.7\% |
| \% of Bicy cle Fatal \& Serious Injuries | 5.3\% | 3.8\% | 2.2\% | 5.6\% | 155.6\% | -35.2\% |
| Bicy clists in Collisions by Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 to 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -100.0\% | 150.0\% |
| 4 to 14 | 140 | 126 | 102 | 127 | 24.5\% | -14.5\% |
| 15 to 19 | 67 | 67 | 47 | 63 | 34.0\% | -14.9\% |
| 20 to 24 | 38 | 25 | 28 | 39 | 39.3\% | -11.1\% |
| 25 to 34 | 36 | 36 | 27 | 24 | -11.1\% | -12.5\% |
| 35 to 44 | 28 | 47 | 30 | 32 | 6.7\% | 15.8\% |
| 45 to 54 | 23 | 23 | 28 | 21 | -25.0\% | 10.9\% |
| 55 to 64 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 7 | -22.2\% | 37.5\% |
| 65 and Older | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 133.3\% | 0.0\% |
| M issing/Unknown Age | 12 | 7 | 8 | 6 | -25.0\% | -13.7\% |

In 2002, the economic cost of collisions involving bicyclists was $\$ 29.3$ million dollars. This represents $2 \%$ of the total cost of Idaho collisions (as shown in Table 4).

## Motorcyclists in Collisions

Table 38 shows data for motorcyclists involved in collisions from 1999 to 2002. The number of motorcycle collisions increased again in 2002 after a steady decrease over recent years prior to 2000. Of all motorcyclists involved in collisions in 2002, $86 \%$ received some degree of injury. Of all motorcycle collisions, $10 \%$ involved impaired driving, while $27 \%$ of fatal motorcycle collisions involved impaired driving. Just under half ( $49 \%$ ) of all motorcycle collisions were single vehicle collisions, while $45 \%$ of fatal motorcycle crashes involved only a single motorcycle.

While Idaho law requires all motorcycle operators and passengers under the age of 18 to wear a helmet, just $36 \%$ of those riders involved in collisions in 2002 were wearing a helmet.

| Table 38 <br> Motorcyclists in Collisions: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| M otorcy cle Collisions | 251 | 363 | 380 | 403 | 6.1\% | 24.7\% |
| Fatalities | 12 | 18 | 19 | 11 | -42.1\% | 27.8\% |
| Serious Injuries | 94 | 117 | 102 | 130 | 27.5\% | 5.8\% |
| Visible Injuries | 107 | 171 | 207 | 185 | -10.6\% | 40.4\% |
| Possible Injuries | 45 | 57 | 75 | 73 | -2.7\% | 29.1\% |
| M otorcy clists in Collisions | 290 | 422 | 457 | 465 | 1.8\% | 26.9\% |
| Registered M otorcy cles | 40,968 | 42,165 | 39,434 | 43,245 | 9.7\% | -1.8\% |
| M otorcy clists Wearing Helmets | 98 | 151 | 162 | 175 | 8.0\% | 30.7\% |
| \% M otorcy clists Wearing Helmets | 33.8\% | 35.8\% | 35.4\% | 37.6\% | 6.2\% | 2.5\% |
| M otorcy cle Drivers in Collisions by Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 to 14 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | -40.0\% | 16.7\% |
| 15 to 19 | 16 | 28 | 19 | 20 | 5.3\% | 21.4\% |
| 20 to 24 | 47 | 58 | 69 | 67 | -2.9\% | 21.2\% |
| 25 to 34 | 53 | 74 | 73 | 70 | -4.1\% | 19.1\% |
| 35 to 44 | 48 | 78 | 76 | 80 | 5.3\% | 30.0\% |
| 45 to 54 | 59 | 78 | 90 | 125 | 38.9\% | 23.8\% |
| 55 to 64 | 16 | 31 | 42 | 36 | -14.3\% | 64.6\% |
| 65 and up | 7 | 11 | 12 | 3 | -75.0\% | 33.1\% |
| M issing/Unknown | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0.0\% | 75.0\% |

In 2002, the economic cost of collisions involving motorcyclists was $\$ 70.8$ million dollars. This represents $4 \%$ of the total cost of Idaho collisions (as shown in Table 4).

## Commercial Motor Vehicles in Collisions

Table 39 shows Commercial Motor Vehicle (CMV) collisions for 1999 through 2002. For the purposes of collision reporting, CMV's are buses, truck tractors, tractor-trailer combinations, trucks with more than two axles, trucks with more than two tires per axle, or trucks exceeding 8,000 pounds gross vehicle weight. This category also includes pickups with dual rear wheels.

| Table 39Commercial Motor Vehicle Collision Rates:1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| Fatal Collisions | 29 | 27 | 35 | 32 | -8.6\% | 11.4\% |
| Injury Collisions | 571 | 509 | 542 | 526 | -3.0\% | -2.2\% |
| Total Collisions | 1,868 | 1,878 | 1,893 | 1,766 | -6.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Commercial VM T (100 millions) | 24.1 | 23.7 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 1.1\% | 2.2\% |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | -9.5\% | 8.4\% |
| Injury Collision Rate | 23.7 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 20.7 | -4.0\% | -4.5\% |
| Total Collision Rate | 77.5 | 79.2 | 75.2 | 69.4 | -7.7\% | -1.4\% |

Table 40 presents the location of CMV collisions by severity and roadway type. While $58 \%$ of all CMV collisions occurred on rural roadways, $81 \%$ of fatal CMV collisions took place on rural roadways.

The largest percentage of all CMV collisions ( $40 \%$ ) occurred on local roads, while the largest percentage of fatal CMV collisions (63\%) took place on US and State highways.

| Loca | of | ercial | Ta | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { Collisio } \end{aligned}$ | Ro | Type: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ons |
| Interstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 5 | 15.6\% | 67 | 12.7\% | 175 | 14.5\% | 247 | 14.0\% |
| Urban | 3 | 9.4\% | 42 | 8.0\% | 81 | 6.7\% | 126 | 7.1\% |
| U.S. or State Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 18 | 56.3\% | 175 | 33.3\% | 282 | 23.3\% | 475 | 26.9\% |
| Urban | 2 | 6.3\% | 64 | 12.2\% | 149 | 12.3\% | 215 | 12.2\% |
| Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 3 | 9.4\% | 90 | 17.1\% | 213 | 17.6\% | 306 | 17.3\% |
| Urban | 1 | 3.1\% | 88 | 16.7\% | 308 | 25.5\% | 397 | 22.5\% |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 1.8 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 526 \\ 29.8 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1208 \\ 68.4 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | 1766 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 41 shows the number of collisions by severity that each type of commercial motor vehicle was involved in for 1999 to 2002.

| Table 41 <br> Collisions Involving Commercial Motor Vehicles by Vehicle Type : 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| Bus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Collisions | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | -50.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Injury Collisions | 41 | 34 | 42 | 42 | 0.0\% | 3.2\% |
| Property Damage Collisions | 110 | 93 | 118 | 116 | -1.7\% | 5.7\% |
| Single Unit Truck |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Collisions | 8 | 6 | 11 | 8 | -27.3\% | 29.2\% |
| Injury Collisions | 210 | 190 | 211 | 175 | -17.1\% | 0.8\% |
| Property Damage Collisions | 427 | 437 | 417 | 360 | -13.7\% | -1.1\% |
| Single Unit Truck with Trailer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Collisions | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | -100.0\% | -33.3\% |
| Injury Collisions | 47 | 36 | 20 | 25 | 25.0\% | -33.9\% |
| Property Damage Collisions | 116 | 106 | 83 | 72 | -13.3\% | -15.2\% |
| Truck Tractor Only (Bobtail) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Injury Collisions | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 20.0\% | -6.0\% |
| Property Damage Collisions | 17 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 40.0\% | -6.1\% |
| Single-Trailer Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Collisions | 14 | 14 | 15 | 19 | 26.7\% | 3.6\% |
| Injury Collisions | 242 | 204 | 248 | 253 | 2.0\% | 2.9\% |
| Property Damage Collisions | 513 | 591 | 601 | 559 | -7.0\% | 8.4\% |
| Double-Trailer Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Collisions | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | -25.0\% | 65.0\% |
| Injury Collisions | 43 | 47 | 32 | 40 | 25.0\% | -11.3\% |
| Property Damage Collisions | 112 | 111 | 104 | 108 | 3.8\% | -3.6\% |
| Trip le-Trailer Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Injury Collisions | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% | 12.5\% |
| Property Damage Collisions | 10 | 12 | 14 | 11 | -21.4\% | 18.3\% |

** Crashes between vehicle types are not mutually exclusive. In other words, a crash involving a bus and a single unit truck would be represented in both catagories

Table 42 shows different vehicle types as a percent of all vehicles in collisions excluding pedestrians, bicyclists, and non-motor vehicles.

| Table 42 <br> Vehicles in All Collisions by Vehicle Type: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { 2001-2002 } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. Change 1999-2001 |
| Passenger Cars | 22,320 | 23,149 | 22,421 | 23,102 | 3.0\% | 0.3\% |
| \% | 50.9\% | 50.6\% | 49.3\% | 49.9\% | 1.3\% | -1.6\% |
| Pickups, Vans, and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport Utility Vehicles (SUV's) | 18,807 | 19,790 | 20,140 | 20,334 | 1.0\% | 3.5\% |
| \% | 42.9\% | 43.2\% | 44.3\% | 43.9\% | -0.8\% | 1.6\% |
| M edium Trucks* | 819 | 793 | 770 | 652 | -15.3\% | -3.0\% |
| \% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | -16.8\% | -4.8\% |
| Large Trucks** | 991 | 1,032 | 1,067 | 1,057 | -0.9\% | 3.8\% |
| \% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | -2.6\% | 1.9\% |
| Buses | 155 | 127 | 166 | 163 | -1.8\% | 6.3\% |
| \% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | -3.5\% | 5.0\% |
| M otorcy cles | 257 | 373 | 392 | 415 | 5.9\% | 25.1\% |
| \% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 4.0\% | 22.3\% |
| All Other*** | 472 | 508 | 545 | 577 | 5.9\% | 7.5\% |
| \% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 4.0\% | 5.5\% |
| TOTALS | 43,821 | 45,772 | 45,501 | 46,300 | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |
| *Medium trucks are single unit trucks with more than 2 tires per axle or more than 2 axles. <br> **Large trucks include bobtail tractors and tractor-semitrailer combinations. <br> ***Includes Farm Equipment, Recreational Vehicles, Construction, ATVs, Trains, Snowmobiles, Other and Unknown or Missing data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 43 presents injury severity comparisons by vehicle type for all persons in CMV collisions. In 2002 there were 4,666 persons involved in CMV collisions. Occupants of passenger vehicles combined to comprise $43 \%$ of the persons involved in CMV collisions. Of the 37 fatalities that occurred in CMV collisions, $84 \%$ were occupants of passenger cars, pickups, vans, or other vehicles while $16 \%$ were occupants of CMV's.

| Table 43 <br> Comparison of Injury Severity for Persons in Commercial Motor Vehicle Collisions: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Injury S everity | Commercial <br> Motor Vehicle | Car | Pickup, Van and S UVs* | All Other** | Totals |
| Fatalities | 6 | 13 | 12 | 6 | 37 |
| \% of Fatalities | 16.2\% | 35.1\% | 32.4\% | 16.2\% | 0.8\% |
| Serious Injuries | 30 | 62 | 55 | 4 | 151 |
| \% of Serious Injuries | 19.9\% | 41.1\% | 36.4\% | 2.6\% | 3.2\% |
| Visible Injuries | 111 | 83 | 76 | 4 | 274 |
| \% of Visible Injuries | 40.5\% | 30.3\% | 27.7\% | 1.5\% | 5.9\% |
| Possible Injuries | 143 | 143 | 121 | 4 | 411 |
| \% of Possible Injuries | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 29.4\% | 1.0\% | 8.8\% |
| Non-Injury | 2,211 | 726 | 647 | 35 | 3,619 |
| \% of Non- Injury | 61.1\% | 20.1\% | 17.9\% | 1.0\% | 77.6\% |
| Unknown | 90 | 33 | 44 | 7 | 174 |
| \% of Unknown | 51.7\% | 19.0\% | 25.3\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% |
| Column Totals | 2,591 | 1,060 | 955 | 60 | 4,666 |
| (\% OF TOTAL) | 55.5\% | 22.7\% | 20.5\% | 1.3\% |  |
| *SUV is an acronym for <br> **Includes pedestrians, | port Utility Vehicle yclists, motorcycli | $m$ vehicl | struction equip | RVs, and trains |  |

In 2002, the economic cost of collisions involving commercial motor vehicles was $\$ 169.0$ million dollars. This represents $10 \%$ of the total cost of Idaho collisions (as shown in Table 4).

## Motor Vehicle Collisions in Work Zones

Table 44 shows the collisions that took place in work zones for 1999 through 2002.

| Table 44 <br> Collisions in Work Zones: 1999-2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change <br> 1999-2001 |
| Work Zone Collisions | 258 | 309 | 256 | 266 | 3.9\% | 1.3\% |
| Fatalities | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | -66.7\% | 337.5\% |
| Serious Injuries | 16 | 25 | 20 | 27 | 35.0\% | 18.1\% |
| Visible Injuries | 45 | 65 | 49 | 49 | 0.0\% | 9.9\% |
| Possible Injuries | 94 | 89 | 120 | 70 | -41.7\% | 14.8\% |
| \% All Collisions | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.9\% |
| Workers Injured | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 100.0\% | -50.0\% |

Prior to 2001, most of the crashes that have taken place in work zones have not involved workers in the construction zone. The 9 worker injuries, 2 of which were fatal injuries, in 2001, resulted from a single collision on I-15. The 4 workers injured in 2002 resulted from 3 separate collisions; 2 sustained serious injuries and 2 sustained visible injuries. Workers on the roadway are especially vulnerable since their attention is focused on the task at hand rather than on the traffic passing by.

Single vehicle collisions comprised $20 \%$ of the collisions in work zones in 2002, although neither of the fatal collisions was a single vehicle collision. While overturn and other object - not fixed were the predominant most harmful events in single vehicle collisions in work zones, rear end was the predominant most harmful event for multiple vehicle collisions in work zones.

Table 45 shows work zone collisions by road type.

| Table 45 <br> Work Zone Collisions by Roadway Type: 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fatal |  | Injury |  | Property <br> Damage |  | All <br> Collisions |  |
| Interstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 6.7\% | 18 | 10.3\% | 24 | 9.0\% |
| Urban | 0 | 0.0\% | 23 | 25.6\% | 40 | 23.0\% | 63 | 23.7\% |
| U.S. or State Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural |  | 50.0\% | 18 | 20.0\% | 27 | 15.5\% | 46 | 17.3\% |
| Urban | 1 | 50.0\% | 21 | 23.3\% | 36 | 20.7\% | 58 | 21.8\% |
| Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 4.4\% | 14 | 8.0\% | 18 | 6.8\% |
| Urban | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 20.0\% | 39 | 22.4\% | 57 | 21.4\% |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 0.8 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ \mathbf{3 3 . 8 \%} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 4 \%} \end{gathered}$ |  | 266 |  |

Table 46 shows the severity of crashes by transportation district. Transportation district boundaries can be found in Appendix A.


In 2002, the economic cost of collisions in work zones was $\$ 15.9$ million dollars. This represents $1 \%$ of the total cost of Idaho collisions (as shown in Table 4).

## Glossary of Terms

The following terms are used throughout this report and are provided to clarify the meaning of the data.

BICYCLE (PEDACYCLE): Every vehicle propelled exclusively by human power upon which any person may ride, having two tandem wheels, except scooters and similar devices.

CHILD SAFETY SEAT: A car safety seat that meets the requirements of Federal Motor Vehicle Standard 213. Every child under the age of four and weighing less than 40 pounds and is transported in a motor vehicle must be properly restrained in such a seat.

COLLISION (TRAFFIC): An unintended event that causes a death, injury, or damage and involves a motor vehicle on a public roadway.

DRIVER (OPERATOR): Every person who is in actual physical control of a motor vehicle upon a highway.

FATAL COLLISION: Any motor vehicle collision that resulted in the death of one or more persons due to injuries received from the collision within 30 days of the collision.

FATALITY: An individual involved in a motor vehicle collision who died within 30 days of the collision as a result of injuries sustained in the collision.

HEAVY TRUCK: A motor vehicle exceeding 8,000 pounds gross weight; has two or more wheels per axle or has more than two axles; and is designed, used, or maintained primarily for the transportation of property.

IMPAIRED DRIVING COLLISION: Any collision in which an officer indicated on the collision report that alcohol or drugs were used, or were a contributing factor in the collision.

INJURY: Bodily harm to a person as a result of a motor vehicle collision.

## INJURY SEVERITY:

Fatal Injury (Death) - Any injury that results in the death of a person within 30 days of the collision in which the injury was sustained.

Serious Injury (Incapacitating Injury) - Any injury, other than a fatal injury, which prevents the injured person from walking, driving, or normally continuing the activities the person was capable of performing before the injury occurred.

Visible Injury (Non-incapacitating, Evident Injury) - Any injury, other than a fatal injury or incapacitating injury, which is evident to observers at the scene of the collision in which the injury occurred.

Possible Injury - Any injury reported or claimed which is not a fatal injury, incapacitating injury, or non-incapacitating, evident injury.

LICENSED DRIVER: A person who is licensed by Idaho to operate a motor vehicle on public highways. A person who has reached the age of 15 years, and who has successfully completed an approved driver's training course, may apply for a class "D" license. Driving privileges are restricted to daylight hours only until the age of 16 .

LOCAL ROAD: Any road other than an Interstate, U.S. or State Highway

MOTOR VEHICLE: Every motorized vehicle which is self-propelled or propelled by electric power obtained from overhead trolley wires but not operated upon rails except motorized wheelchairs.

## Glossary of Terms (Continued)

OCCUPANT: A person who is in or on a vehicle.

PASSENGER: Any occupant of a vehicle other than its driver.

PEDESTRIAN: Any person afoot and any person operating a wheelchair or motorized wheelchair.

PROPERTY DAMAGE ONLY: Any collision in which there was property damage of $\$ 751$ or more to any one person but no injuries or fatalities.

RURAL: All areas, incorporated and unincorporated, with a population of less than 5,000 people.

SEAT BELT: A device designed to hold the occupant of a motor vehicle in the seat of a vehicle that was manufactured with safety belts in compliance with Federal Motor Vehicle safety standard number 208. Each occupant of the front seat of a motor vehicle which has a gross vehicle weight of not more than 8,000 pounds, and so manufactured, shall have a seat belt properly fastened about his body at all times when the vehicle is in motion.

STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM: Includes all Interstate, U.S. and State highways (i.e. I-84, US 95, SH 75)

TRACTOR: A motor vehicle designed and used primarily for drawing other vehicles but not so constructed as to carry a load other than part of the weight of the vehicle and load so drawn.

URBAN: Any incorporated area with a population of 5,000 or more.

VEHICLE: Every device in, upon, or by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a highway, excepting devices used exclusively upon stationary rails or tracks (examples, bicycle, horse-drawn carriage).

VIOLATION: A conviction of a misdemeanor charge involving a moving traffic violation, or an admission or judicial determination of the commission of an infraction involving a moving traffic infraction, except bicycle infractions.
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## APPENDIX A: Maps of Fatal Collision Locations

Each spot indicates the location of a fatal collision. The number of fatalities for each transportation district is also given. The maps are intended to give general locations of fatal collisions; the precise location cannot be determined from maps. For precise locations or for the number of collisions on a given roadway, please contact the Office of Highway Safety.

# APPENDIX B: State Highway System Crash Data 

The Idaho Transportation department is responsible for building and maintaining the State Highway System. The State Highway System includes the Interstate highways, US highways, and State highways. All other roads fall under the jurisdiction of counties, cities or local highway districts.

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| US 2 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Total Collisions | 73 | 94 | 73 | 85 | 60 | 385 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 3,971 | 4,134 | 4,225 | 4,291 | 4,296 | 20,918 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 113.6 | 140.5 | 106.7 | 122.4 | 86.3 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 7}$ |


| US 12 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1998-2002 <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collis ions | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 17 |
| Fatalities | 8 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 22 |
| Total Collisions | 172 | 165 | 168 | 198 | 201 | 904 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,186 | 2,167 | 2,201 | 2,144 | 2,135 | 10,841 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 2.3 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 127.8 | 123.6 | 123.9 | 149.9 | 152.8 | $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 5}$ |


| US 20 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 7 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 52 |
| Fatalities | 9 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 68 |
| Total Collis ions | 896 | 877 | 869 | 929 | 955 | 4,526 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 4,903 | 5,072 | 5,129 | 5,179 | 5,452 | 25,735 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 161.0 | 152.4 | 149.3 | 158.1 | 154.4 | $\mathbf{1 5 5 . 0}$ |


| US 26 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 3 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 28 |
| Fatalities | 3 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 33 |
| Total Collis ions | 162 | 160 | 177 | 200 | 205 | 904 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,641 | 2,672 | 2,718 | 2,783 | 2,881 | 13,695 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.4 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 5.2 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 130.1 | 127.0 | 138.1 | 152.4 | 150.9 | $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 0}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| US $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 5 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 26 |
| Fatalities | 6 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 30 |
| Total Collisions | 321 | 326 | 331 | 329 | 354 | 1,661 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 3,579 | 3,716 | 3,830 | 3,897 | 3,890 | 18,912 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 127.6 | 124.7 | 122.9 | 120.1 | 129.4 | $\mathbf{1 2 4 . 9}$ |


| US 89 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Total Collisions | 21 | 17 | 42 | 24 | 32 | 136 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 1,494 | 1,573 | 1,624 | 1,639 | 1,529 | 7,859 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 4.1 | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 88.0 | 67.6 | 161.9 | 91.7 | 131.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 8 . 3}$ |


| US 91 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| Fatalities | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 16 |
| Total Collisions | 295 | 297 | 253 | 252 | 247 | 1,344 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 3,883 | 3,940 | 4,043 | 4,075 | 4,119 | 20,060 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 4.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 247.9 | 246.0 | 204.3 | 201.8 | 195.7 | $\mathbf{2 1 8 . 7}$ |


| US 93 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 6 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 32 |
| Fatalities | 8 | 12 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 46 |
| Total Collis ions | 424 | 445 | 412 | 483 | 512 | 2,276 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,088 | 1,988 | 1,992 | 2,044 | 2,082 | 10,193 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 131.1 | 144.5 | 133.5 | 152.5 | 158.7 | $\mathbf{1 4 4 . 1}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| US 95 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 23 | 28 | 21 | 18 | 21 | 111 |
| Fatalities | 25 | 35 | 28 | 20 | 25 | 133 |
| Total Collisions | 1,128 | 1,338 | 1,183 | 1,237 | 1,278 | 6,164 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 4,241 | 4,293 | 4,308 | 4,378 | 4,425 | 21,645 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.4 | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 135.7 | 159.1 | 140.2 | 144.2 | 147.4 | $\mathbf{1 4 5 . 3}$ |


| SH 3 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 10 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 11 |
| Total Collis ions | 102 | 97 | 94 | 101 | 93 | 487 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 1,459 | 1,496 | 1,446 | 1,480 | 1,500 | 7,381 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 6.2 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 3.1 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 162.3 | 150.5 | 150.9 | 158.4 | 143.9 | $\mathbf{1 5 3 . 1}$ |


| SH 6 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SH 8 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1998-2002 <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collis ions | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Total Collis ions | 142 | 129 | 134 | 89 | 125 | 619 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,837 | 2,796 | 2,817 | 2,815 | 2,768 | 14,033 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.6 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 317.5 | 292.7 | 301.8 | 200.6 | 286.5 | $\mathbf{2 7 9 . 9}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 13 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Collisions | 29 | 29 | 32 | 24 | 26 | 140 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 1,534 | 1,553 | 1,534 | 1,505 | 1,476 | 7,601 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 190.6 | 188.2 | 210.3 | 160.8 | 177.6 | $\mathbf{1 8 5 . 6}$ |


| SH 14 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Collisions | 6 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 38 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 520 | 520 | 520 | 520 | 520 | 2,600 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 63.8 | 117.0 | 85.1 | 74.5 | 63.8 | $\mathbf{8 0 . 9}$ |


| SH 16 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1998-2002 <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Fatalities | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Total Collis ions | 41 | 54 | 48 | 38 | 48 | 229 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 6,640 | 6,800 | 6,920 | 7,880 | 8,210 | 36,450 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 3.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 121.5 | 156.2 | 136.5 | 94.9 | 115.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 3 . 6}$ |


| SH 19 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total Collisions | 31 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 48 | 193 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 4,532 | 4,595 | 4,611 | 4,628 | 4,675 | 23,041 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 6.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 7.5 | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 116.0 | 140.2 | 139.7 | 139.2 | 174.1 | $\mathbf{1 4 2 . 0}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 2 1 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 |
| Fatalities | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 13 |
| Total Collisions | 75 | 72 | 84 | 102 | 88 | 421 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 1,070 | 1,117 | 1,144 | 1,188 | 1,159 | 5,678 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 6.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 7.5 | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 152.2 | 140.0 | 159.4 | 186.4 | 164.9 | $\mathbf{1 6 1 . 0}$ |


| SH 22 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Collisions | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 20 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 250 | 250 | 260 | 280 | 270 | 1,310 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 99.8 | 49.9 | 191.9 | 111.4 | 23.1 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 2}$ |


| SH 24 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} 1998-2002 \\ \text { Totals } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collis ions | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Collis ions | 52 | 62 | 41 | 46 | 65 | 266 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 1,446 | 1,436 | 1,466 | 1,499 | 1,462 | 7,308 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total Collis ion Rate | 146.9 | 176.3 | 114.2 | 125.4 | 181.5 | 148.6 |
| SH 25 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} 1998-2002 \\ \text { Totals } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Fatal Collisions | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Fatalities | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total Collisions | 71 | 79 | 61 | 64 | 42 | 317 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 1,889 | 1,925 | 1,945 | 2,044 | 2,069 | 9,872 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 8.7 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Total Collision Rate | 206.9 | 225.8 | 172.6 | 172.4 | 111.7 | 176.7 |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 28 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collis ions | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Total Collisions | 27 | 32 | 30 | 33 | 42 | 164 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 690 | 680 | 700 | 700 | 780 | 3,550 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 5.8 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 89.0 | 107.0 | 97.4 | 107.2 | 122.4 | $\mathbf{1 0 5 . 0}$ |

SH 33
SHal Collisions
Fatalities
Fatal Collis ions
Tot
A verage Daily Traffic

| SH 36 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Total Collisions | 34 | 41 | 40 | 55 | 55 | 225 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 439 | 464 | 543 | 543 | 664 | 2,654 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 12.3 | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ |
| Total Collis ion Rate | 316.3 | 361.2 | 301.0 | 413.9 | 338.4 | $\mathbf{3 4 6 . 5}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 37 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Collis ions | 14 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 39 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 370 | 360 | 360 | 370 | 370 | 1,830 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 331.9 | 97.5 | 194.9 | 260.8 | 47.4 | $\mathbf{1 8 7 . 0}$ |


| SH 39 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ (998-2002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SH 41 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Total Collisions | 128 | 132 | 134 | 105 | 146 | 645 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 5,173 | 5,190 | 5,311 | 5,707 | 5,665 | 27,046 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 173.2 | 178.0 | 176.6 | 128.8 | 180.4 | $\mathbf{1 6 6 . 9}$ |


| SH 44 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| Fatalities | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| Total Collisions | 155 | 161 | 168 | 190 | 200 | 874 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 10,045 | 10,244 | 10,911 | 11,991 | 12,407 | 55,599 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 5.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 182.8 | 186.2 | 182.4 | 187.7 | 191.0 | $\mathbf{1 8 6 . 2}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 45 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total Collisions | 155 | 160 | 184 | 168 | 130 | 797 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 5,280 | 5,340 | 5,380 | 5,659 | 5,698 | 27,357 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 5.7 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 445.5 | 454.7 | 519.0 | 450.5 | 346.2 | $\mathbf{4 4 2 . 1}$ |


| SH 46 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Total Collisions | 52 | 44 | 57 | 46 | 33 | 232 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 10,045 | 10,244 | 10,911 | 11,991 | 12,407 | 55,599 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 164.3 | 139.1 | 179.6 | 141.6 | 95.6 | $\mathbf{1 4 3 . 2}$ |


| SH $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Collisions | 35 | 49 | 39 | 16 | 14 | 153 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,200 | 2,170 | 2,110 | 1,920 | 1,980 | 10,380 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 178.6 | 253.5 | 207.5 | 93.5 | 79.4 | $\mathbf{1 6 5 . 4}$ |


| SH 51 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1998-2002 <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Fatalities | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Total Collisions | 74 | 60 | 73 | 66 | 65 | 338 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 810 | 860 | 880 | 910 | 910 | 4,370 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 3.6 | 13.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 267.0 | 203.9 | 242.4 | 212.0 | 208.8 | $\mathbf{2 2 6 . 0}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 52 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Collisions | 56 | 84 | 72 | 69 | 79 | 360 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 2,010 | 2,050 | 2,090 | 2,100 | 2,130 | 10,380 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 141.0 | 207.4 | 174.4 | 166.3 | 187.7 | $\mathbf{1 7 5 . 6}$ |


| SH 53 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Collisions | 25 | 28 | 76 | 40 | 51 | 220 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 5,933 | 5,978 | 6,125 | 6,547 | 6,569 | 31,152 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 6.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 82.2 | 91.4 | 242.1 | 119.2 | 151.5 | $\mathbf{1 3 7 . 8}$ |


| SH 54 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Total Collisions | 14 | 18 | 24 | 14 | 19 | 89 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 1,830 | 1,820 | 1,780 | 1,790 | 1,840 | 9,060 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 9.6 | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 135.1 | 174.7 | 238.2 | 138.2 | 182.4 | $\mathbf{1 7 3 . 5}$ |


| SH 55 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 7 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 21 |
| Fatalities | 8 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 23 |
| Total Collisions | 478 | 421 | 530 | 551 | 606 | 2,586 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 4,684 | 5,084 | 5,282 | 5,628 | 5,822 | 26,499 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 209.0 | 169.6 | 205.5 | 200.5 | 213.2 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 . 9}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 57 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Total Collisions | 27 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 28 | 129 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 1,340 | 1,330 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,370 | 6,740 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 5.5 | 10.9 | 5.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 148.3 | 138.3 | 136.3 | 130.8 | 150.4 | $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 8}$ |


| SH 67 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ (998-2002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SH 69 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Collisions | 43 | 81 | 63 | 60 | 62 | 309 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 8,443 | 8,562 | 8,595 | 8,702 | 11,054 | 45,356 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 173.0 | 321.2 | 248.9 | 234.1 | 190.5 | $\mathbf{2 3 1 . 3}$ |


| SH 71 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Collisions | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 23 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 390 | 390 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 1,650 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 97.6 | 48.8 | 98.4 | 164.1 | 295.3 | $\mathbf{1 3 2 . 7}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 75 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 19 |
| Fatalities | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 21 |
| Total Collisions | 168 | 181 | 143 | 170 | 161 | 823 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,690 | 2,670 | 2,670 | 2,720 | 2,810 | 13,560 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 2.3 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 100.3 | 108.8 | 86.0 | 100.3 | 92.0 | $\mathbf{9 7 . 4}$ |


| SH 77 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Total Collisions | 15 | 28 | 15 | 25 | 24 | 107 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 660 | 660 | 660 | 670 | 690 | 3,340 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 203.0 | 378.9 | 203.0 | 333.3 | 310.6 | $\mathbf{2 8 6 . 1}$ |


| SH 78 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Total Collis ions | 34 | 25 | 23 | 35 | 44 | 161 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 553 | 554 | 579 | 617 | 614 | 2,917 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 4.9 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 183.3 | 134.3 | 118.3 | 169.0 | 213.6 | $\mathbf{1 6 4 . 4}$ |


| SH 81 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| Total Collisions | 24 | 35 | 26 | 26 | 44 | 155 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 1,283 | 1,263 | 1,284 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 6,318 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 19.3 | $\mathbf{6 . 3}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 149.3 | 221.2 | 161.7 | 166.9 | 282.4 | $\mathbf{1 9 5 . 9}$ |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| SH 97 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Collisions | 22 | 24 | 24 | 28 | 20 | 118 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 600 | 600 | 615 | 615 | 675 | 3,105 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 211.6 | 230.8 | 225.2 | 262.7 | 170.9 | $\mathbf{2 1 9 . 3}$ |


| SH 200 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} 1998-2002 \\ \text { Totals } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Fatalities | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total Collisions | 59 | 59 | 56 | 36 | 54 | 264 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 2,838 | 2,962 | 3,028 | 3,076 | 3,123 | 15,027 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 2.8 | 2.6 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Total Collision Rate | 162.9 | 156.1 | 144.9 | 91.7 | 135.5 | 137.7 |


| I-15 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 22 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 59 |
| Fatalities | 28 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 71 |
| Total Collis ions | 569 | 499 | 464 | 540 | 497 | 2,569 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 9,000 | 9,560 | 9,560 | 9,580 | 9,820 | 47,520 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 88.4 | 73.0 | 67.8 | 78.8 | 70.7 | $\mathbf{7 5 . 6}$ |


| I-84 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Collision Information for Selected Routes on the State Highway System: 1998-2002

| I-86 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{I - 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collisions | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 17 |
| Fatalities | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 21 |
| Total Collisions | 355 | 394 | 412 | 432 | 492 | 2,085 |
| A verage Daily Traffic | 14,230 | 15,153 | 15,296 | 15,566 | 16,023 | 76,268 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 80.2 | 84.0 | 87.1 | 89.7 | 99.2 | $\mathbf{8 8 . 3}$ |


| I-184 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 2}$ <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal Collis ions | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Collisions | 73 | 63 | 73 | 53 | 52 | 314 |
| Average Daily Traffic | 52,400 | 48,150 | 51,830 | 55,290 | 54,290 | 257,480 |
| Fatal Collision Rate | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| Total Collision Rate | 105.4 | 99.0 | 106.6 | 72.5 | 72.5 | $\mathbf{9 0 . 7}$ |

## State Highway Information by Roadway Classification and Speed Limit: 2002



## APPENDIX C: Five-Year Collision History

## Appendix C: Idaho Fatal and Injury Collision Data, Five-Year History



## Appendix C: Idaho Fatal and Injury Collision Data, Five-Year History

| Table C-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { 2001-2002 } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. Change 1998-2001 |
| Total Vehicles - Fatal/Injury Collisions | 16,743 | 16,939 | 17,287 | 16,932 | 17,825 | 5.3\% | 0.4\% |
| Passenger Cars - Fatal/Injury Collisions | 8,678 | 8,638 | 8,820 | 8,433 | 8,839 | 4.8\% | -0.9\% |
| \% of Vehicles | 51.8\% | 51.0\% | 51.0\% | 49.8\% | 49.6\% | -0.4\% | -1.3\% |
| Pickups, Sport Utility Vehicles, Vans, and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PU's with Campers - Fatal/Injury Collis ions | 6,583 | 6,774 | 6,924 | 6,930 | 7,343 | 6.0\% | 1.7\% |
| \% of Vehicles | 39.3\% | 40.0\% | 40.1\% | 40.9\% | 41.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% |
| Commercial Motor Vehicles - Fatal/Injury Collis ions | 581 | 630 | 559 | 611 | 590 | -3.4\% | 2.2\% |
| \% of Vehicles | 3.5\% | 3.7\% | 3.2\% | 3.6\% | 3.3\% | -8.3\% | 1.9\% |
| Motorcycles - Fatal/Injury Collisions | 267 | 231 | 326 | 354 | 365 | 3.1\% | 12.1\% |
| \% of Vehicles | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | -2.1\% | 11.6\% |
| Bicycles - Fatal/Injury Collis ions | 294 | 353 | 335 | 275 | 316 | 14.9\% | -1.0\% |
| \% of Vehicles | 1.8\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 9.2\% | -1.5\% |
| Pedestrians - Fatal/Injury Collisions | 205 | 188 | 206 | 190 | 206 | 8.4\% | -2.2\% |
| \% of Vehicles | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 3.0\% | -2.6\% |

## Appendix C: Idaho Fatal and Injury Collision Data, Five-Year History




[^0]:    * 18-19 y ear old drivers combined
    ** 20-24 year old drivers combined

