TTI: 0-7086



# MASH TEST 3-11 ON F-SHAPE PORTABLE CONCRETE BARRIER WITH X-BOLT CONNECTION





# Test Report 0-7086-R1

**Cooperative Research Program** 

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

**TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** 

in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration and the Texas Department of Transportation http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/0-7086-R1.pdf

		<b>Technical Report Documentation Page</b>	
1. Report No. FHWA/TX-21/0-7086-R1	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle MASH TEST 3-11 ON F-SHAPE I	5. Report Date Published: October 2021		
BARRIER WITH X-BOLT CONN	VECTION	6. Performing Organization Code	
<sup>7. Author(s)</sup> Roger P. Bligh, Wanda L. Menges Bill L. Griffith, Sarah A. Wegenas	8. Performing Organization Report No. Report 0-7086-R1		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas A&M Transportation Institu	te	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135	m 5	11. Contract or Grant No. Project 0-7086	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation Research and Technology Implement	on entation Office	13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report: September 2020–August 2021	
Austin, Texas 78701-2483		14. Sponsoning Agency Code	
<ul> <li>15. Supplementary Notes</li> <li>Project performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway</li> <li>Administration.</li> <li>Project Title: Roadside Safety Device Analysis, Testing, and Evaluation Program</li> <li>URL: http://tti tamu edu/documents/0-7086-R1 pdf</li> </ul>			
16. Abstract An implementation agreement was adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and the Federal Highway Administration that coincided with the publication of the second edition of the AASHTO <i>Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)</i> . Subsequently, numerous roadside safety devices have been crash tested to evaluate compliance with <i>MASH</i> impact performance criteria.			
The Texas Department of Transportation used this <i>MASH</i> testing need as an opportunity to improve the design of some devices in its roadside safety standards. One of the devices for which improvements were investigated is the portable concrete barrier with X-bolt connection. The fabrication cost of this barrier has limited its implementation to date. Several changes were made to the design to help optimize its fabrication prior to <i>MASH</i> testing.			
The modified F-shape portable concrete barrier with X-bolt connection subsequently met the performance criteria for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11 for longitudinal barriers.			
17 Key Words	18 Distribution	Statement	

17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement		
Longitudinal Barrier, Median Barrie	No restrictions. This document is available to the			
Concrete Barrier, PCB, Temporary Barrier, TCB,		public through NTIS:		
Crash Testing, Roadside Safety, MASH		National Technical Information Service		
		Alexandria, Virg	inia	
		http://www.ntis.g	gov	
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of the	his page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified		60	
m DOT E 1700 7 (9 72) Depreduction of completed norse ontherized				

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page authorized

#### MASH TEST 3-11 ON F-SHAPE PORTABLE CONCRETE BARRIER WITH X-BOLT CONNECTION

by

Roger P. Bligh, Ph.D., P.E. Senior Research Engineer Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Wanda L. Menges Research Specialist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

William J. L. Schroeder Engineering Research Associate Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Bill L. Griffith Research Specialist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Sarah A. Wegenast Research Associate Texas A&M Transportation Institute

and

Darrell L. Kuhn, P.E. Research Specialist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Report 0-7086-R1 Project 0-7086 Project Title: Roadside Safety Device Analysis, Testing, and Evaluation Program

> Performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration

> > Published: October 2021

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE College Station, Texas 77843-3135

### DISCLAIMER

This research was performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of FHWA or TxDOT. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

This report is not intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. The engineer in charge of the project was Roger P. Bligh, P.E. #78550.

The United States Government and the State of Texas do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

## TTI PROVING GROUND DISCLAIMER

The results of the crash testing reported herein apply only to the article tested.

## **REPORT AUTHORIZATION**

— DocuSigned by: Bill Griffiftu

Bill L. Griffith, Research Specialist Deputy Quality Manager

— DocuSigned by:

Matt Robinson

Matthew N. Robinson, Research Specialist Test Facility Manager & Technical Manager

DocuSigned by: Danall Lubr

Darrell L. Kuhn, P.E., Research Specialist Quality Manager

DocuSigned by: Roger Blyh

Roger P. Bligh, Ph.D., P.E. Senior Research Engineer

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was conducted in cooperation with TxDOT and FHWA. The project team would like to thank Mr. Wade Odell, P.E., with the TxDOT Research and Technology Implementation Office, for his assistance as project manager. Additionally, the contributions and input of Mr. Ken Mora, P.E., with the TxDOT Design Division, were instrumental to the success of the project and are greatly appreciated.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figure	°S	. X
List of Tables	5	. X
Chapter 1.	Introduction	.1
1.1. Backgr	ound	. 1
1.2. Objecti	ve	. 1
Chapter 2.	System Details	.3
2.1. Test Ai	ticle and Installation Details	. 3
2.2. Design	Modifications during Tests	. 3
2.3. Materia	al Specifications	. 3
Chapter 3.	Test Requirements and Evaluation Criteria	.7
3.1. Crash 7	Fest Performed/Matrix	. 7
3.2. Evaluat	tion Criteria	. 7
Chapter 4.	Test Conditions	.9
4.1. Test Fa	cility	. 9
4.2. Vehicle	e Tow and Guidance System	. 9
4.3. Data A	cquisition System	. 9
4.3.1.	Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing	. 9
4.3.2.	Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation	10
4.3.3.	Photographic Instrumentation Data Processing	10
Chapter 5.	MASH Test 3-11 (Crash Test No. 440861-01-1)	13
5.1. Test De	esignation and Actual Impact Conditions	13
5.2. Weathe	er Conditions	13
5.3. Test Ve	ehicle	13
5.4. Test De	escription	14
5.5. Damag	e to Test Installation	14
5.6. Damag	e to Test Vehicle	17
5.7. Occupa	int Risk Factors	18
Chapter 6.	Summary and Conclusions	21
6.1. Assessi	ment of Test Results	21
6.2. Conclu	sions	21
Chapter 7.	Implementation	23
References	<b>F</b>	25
Appendix A.	Details of F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection	27
Appendix B.	Supporting Certification Documents	31
Appendix C.	MASH Test 3-11 (Crash Test No. 440861-01-1)	39
C.1. Vehicle	Properties and Information	39
C.2. Sequen	tial Photographs	43
C.3. Vehicle	e Angular Displacements	44
C 4 Vehicle	Accelerations	44
	· / •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	r-T

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	Details of F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection.	4
Figure 2.2.	F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection prior to Testing.	5
Figure 3.1.	Target CIP for MASH Test 3-11 on F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection	7
Figure 5.1.	F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection/Test Vehicle Geometrics for	
	Test No. 440861-01-1.	13
Figure 5.2.	Test Vehicle before Test No. 440861-01-1	14
Figure 5.3.	F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection after Test No. 440861-01-1.	15
Figure 5.4.	Field Side of F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection after Test No.	
	440861-01-1	16
Figure 5.5.	Test Vehicle after Test No. 440861-01-1.	17
Figure 5.6.	Interior of Test Vehicle after Test No. 440861-01-1.	18
Figure 5.7.	Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-11 on F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt	
-	Connection	19

## LIST OF TABLES

## Page

3
7
iers 8
ape PCB

	SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS					
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS						
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol		
-	•	LENGTH				
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm		
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m		
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m		
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km		
		AREA		0		
in <sup>2</sup>	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm <sup>2</sup>		
ft <sup>2</sup>	square feet	0.093	square meters	m²		
yd²	square yards	0.836	square meters	m²		
ac	acres	0.405	nectares	na km²		
1111-	square miles		square kilometers	KIIIT		
floz	fluid ounces	29 57	milliliters	ml		
nal	allons	3 785	liters	1		
ft <sup>3</sup>	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	m <sup>3</sup>		
vd <sup>3</sup>	cubic vards	0.765	cubic meters	m <sup>3</sup>		
<i></i>	NOTE: volumes of	reater than 1000L	shall be shown in m <sup>3</sup>			
		MASS				
oz	ounces	28.35	grams	g		
lb	pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg		
Т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or metric ton")	Mg (or "t")		
	TEMPE	RATURE (exac	t degrees)			
°F	Fahrenheit	5(F-32)/9	Celsius	°C		
		or (F-32)/1.8				
	FORCE a	and PRESSURE	or STRESS			
lbf	poundforce	4.45	newtons	N		
lbf/in <sup>2</sup>	poundforce per square inch	6.89	kilopascals	<u>kPa</u>		
	APPROXIMATI	E CONVERSION	S FROM SI UNITS			
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol		
		LENGTH				
mm	millimeters	0.039	Inches	in "		
m	meters	3.28	reet	π		
m km	kilomotors	1.09	yards	ya mi		
NIII	KIIOITIEIEIS		Times	1111		
mm <sup>2</sup>	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in <sup>2</sup>		
m <sup>2</sup>	square meters	10 764	square feet	ft <sup>2</sup>		
m <sup>2</sup>	square meters	1 195	square vards	vd <sup>2</sup>		
ha	hectares	2.47	acres	ac		
km <sup>2</sup>	Square kilometers	0.386	square miles	mi <sup>2</sup>		
		VOLUME	· ·			
mL	milliliters	0.034	fluid ounces	oz		
L	liters	0.264	gallons	gal		
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic meters	35.314	cubic feet	ft <sup>3</sup>		
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic meters	1.307	cubic yards	yd <sup>3</sup>		
		MASS				
g	grams	0.035	ounces	oz		
kg	kilograms	2.202	pounds	lb		
Mg (or "t")	megagrams (or "metric ton")	1.103	snort tons (2000lb)	I		
	TEMPE	RATURE (exac	t aegrees)			
<i>с</i>	Ceisius	1.8C+32	Fanrenheit	٣F		
	FORCE	and PRESSURE	or STRESS			
N	newtons	0.225	poundforce	lbf		
l kPa	kilopascals	0.145	poundforce per square inch	lb/in <sup>2</sup>		

\*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units

## **Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1. BACKGROUND

An implementation agreement was adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) that coincided with the publication of the second edition of the AASHTO *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) (1)*. Subsequently, numerous roadside safety devices have been crash tested to evaluate compliance with *MASH* impact performance criteria.

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) used this *MASH* testing need as an opportunity to improve the design of some devices in its roadside safety standards. One of the devices for which improvements were desired is a portable concrete barrier with X-bolt connection. Some of the details incorporated into this barrier system result in a relatively high fabrication cost that has limited its implementation to date.

In a previous research project, a 42-inch-tall single-slope precast barrier system with X-bolt connection was developed (2, 3). Changes were made to the X-bolt connection design to help optimize its fabrication. Specifically, the welded X-bolt connection assemblies, which consisted of an embedded steel connection plate, a welded steel connection pipe through which the threaded rod was inserted, and two welded deformed bar anchors, were eliminated. The embedded steel connection plate was replaced with a plate washer, and the steel connection pipe was replaced with a PVC pipe. Some additional U-bars were placed longitudinally at the ends of the segment for additional structural capacity. The single-slope precast concrete barrier with modified X-bolt connection was successfully tested to *MASH* Test Level 4 (TL-4) impact conditions in both restrained and freestanding configurations (2, 3).

TxDOT wished to incorporate similar connection details into the F-shape precast concrete barrier system. The reduced fabrication cost of the modified design may lead to more widespread implementation of this low-deflection portable concrete barrier system. The low deflection provides advantages in some work zones by reducing the buffer or offset distance required between the barrier and work zone personnel and equipment.

#### **1.2. OBJECTIVE**

The objective of the testing reported herein was to assess the performance of a freestanding, precast F-shape barrier with modified X-bolt connection according to the safety-performance evaluation guidelines included in AASHTO *MASH* for TL-3 longitudinal barriers. This report presents details of the crash test performed, an assessment of the results, and implementation recommendations for the precast F-shape barrier with modified X-bolt connection.

## Chapter 2. SYSTEM DETAILS

#### 2.1. TEST ARTICLE AND INSTALLATION DETAILS

The test installation consisted of seven 30-ft-long precast steel reinforced portable concrete barrier (PCB) segments placed end to end, for a total length of 210 ft. The barriers were connected to each other using two 29-inch-long sections of a <sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch-diameter B7 threaded rod and associated hardware at each joint. The threaded rod passes through PVC pipe cast into the ends of the barrier segments at two different elevations that form an "X" in plan view. Recesses were cast in the exterior faces of the barriers so that the ends of the threaded rod and associated hardware did not protrude past the exterior faces. All barriers were freestanding (not secured to the concrete apron).

The barrier segments measured 24 inches wide at bottom, 9½ inches wide at top, and 32 inches tall. The traffic and field side faces were symmetric, with an F-shape profile.

Figure 2.1 presents the overall information on the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection, and Figure 2.2 provides photographs of the test installation. Appendix A provides further details on the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection. The barrier segments were fabricated by Summit Precast Concrete based on drawings provided by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) Proving Ground. The barriers were installed by TTI Proving Ground personnel.

#### 2.2. DESIGN MODIFICATIONS DURING TESTS

No modifications were made to the installation during the testing phase.

#### 2.3. MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

The specified compressive strength of the TxDOT Class H concrete used in the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection was 3600 psi. On April 16, 2021, the day after the test, the average compressive strength of the concrete for barrier segment 3 was 5750 psi and for barrier segment 4 was 6410 psi.

Appendix B provides material certification documents for the materials used to construct the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection.



Figure 2.1. Details of F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection.



Figure 2.2. F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection prior to Testing.

## **Chapter 3. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA**

#### 3.1. CRASH TEST PERFORMED/MATRIX

Table 3.1 shows the test conditions and evaluation criteria for *MASH* TL-3 for longitudinal barriers. This report presents testing of the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection in accordance with *MASH* Test 3-11 evaluation criteria. The target critical impact point (CIP) for *MASH* Test 3-11 was determined using the information provided in *MASH* Section 2.3.2.1 and *MASH* Table 2-7, which is depicted in Figure 3.1.

Test Article	Test	Test Vehicle	Impact Conditions		Evaluation
	Designation		Speed	Angle	Criteria
Longitudinal	3-10	1100C	62 mi/h	25°	A, D, F, H, I
Barrier	3-11	2270P	62 mi/h	25°	A, D, F, H, I
Barrier Numbers 1	2 3	51-5/8"	[4.3ft] 5		6 7
	25°	mpact Bath	F	Plan View	

Table 3.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified for MASH TL-3Longitudinal Barriers.

#### Figure 3.1. Target CIP for MASH Test 3-11 on F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection.

*MASH* also recommends performing Test 3-10 with the small passenger car. However, based on the performance of the F-shape barrier in previous testing, Test 3-10 was not considered necessary. Further discussion of the rationale for this conclusion is provided in Chapter 7.

The crash tests and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *MASH*. Chapter 4 presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

#### **3.2. EVALUATION CRITERIA**

The appropriate safety evaluation criteria from Tables 2-2 and 5-1 of *MASH* were used to evaluate the crash test reported herein. Table 3.1 lists the test conditions and evaluation criteria required for *MASH* TL-3, and Table 3.2 provides detailed information on the evaluation criteria. An evaluation of the crash test results is presented in Chapter 6.

Evaluation Factors	Evaluation Criteria				
Structural Adequacy	A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.				
	D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.				
Occupant	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.2.2 and Appendix E of MASH.				
Risk	<i>F.</i> The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.				
	H. Occupant impact velocities (OIV) should satisfy the following limits: Preferred value of 30 ft/s, or maximum allowable value of 40 ft/s.				
	I. The occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Preferred value of 15.0 g, or maximum allowable value of 20.49 g.				

 Table 3.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH TL-3 Longitudinal Barriers.

### **Chapter 4. TEST CONDITIONS**

#### 4.1. TEST FACILITY

The full-scale crash test reported herein was performed at the TTI Proving Ground, an International Standards Organization (ISO)/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 17025-accredited laboratory with American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Mechanical Testing Certificate 2821.01. The full-scale crash test was performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures, as well as *MASH* guidelines and standards.

The test facilities of the TTI Proving Ground are located on The Texas A&M University System RELLIS Campus, which consists of a 2000-acre complex of research and training facilities situated 10 mi northwest of the flagship campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly a United States Army Air Corps base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, highway pavement durability and efficacy, and roadside safety hardware and perimeter protective device evaluation. The site selected for construction and testing of the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection was along the surface of an out-of-service apron. The apron consists of an unreinforced jointed-concrete pavement in 12.5-ft  $\times$  15-ft blocks nominally 6 inches deep. The aprons were built in 1942, and the joints have some displacement but are otherwise flat and level.

#### 4.2. VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE SYSTEM

The vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point and through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A 2:1 speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released and ran unrestrained. The vehicle remained freewheeling (i.e., no steering or braking inputs) until it cleared the immediate area of the test site.

#### 4.3. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM

#### 4.3.1. Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing

The test vehicle was instrumented with a self-contained onboard data acquisition system. The signal conditioning and acquisition system is a 16-channel Tiny Data Acquisition System (TDAS) Pro produced by Diversified Technical Systems Inc. The accelerometers, which measure the x, y, and z axis of vehicle acceleration, are strain gauge type with linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate sensors, measuring vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw rates, are ultra-small, solid-state units designed for crash test service. The TDAS Pro hardware and software conform to the latest SAE J211, Instrumentation for Impact Test. Each of the 16 channels is capable of providing precision amplification, scaling, and filtering based on transducer specifications and calibrations. During the test, data are recorded from each channel at

a rate of 10,000 samples per second with a resolution of one part in 65,536. Once data are recorded, internal batteries back up the data inside the unit in case the primary battery cable is severed. Initial contact of the pressure switch on the vehicle bumper provides a time zero mark and initiates the recording process. After each test, the data are downloaded from the TDAS Pro unit into a laptop computer at the test site. The Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) software then processes the raw data to produce detailed reports of the test results.

Each of the TDAS Pro units is returned to the factory annually for complete recalibration and to ensure that all instrumentation used in the vehicle conforms to the specifications outlined by SAE J211. All accelerometers are calibrated annually by means of an ENDEVCO<sup>®</sup> 2901 precision primary vibration standard. This standard and its support instruments are checked annually and receive a National Institute of Standards Technology (NIST) traceable calibration. The rate transducers used in the data acquisition system receive calibration via a Genisco Rateof-Turn table. The subsystems of each data channel are also evaluated annually, using instruments with current NIST traceability, and the results are factored into the accuracy of the total data channel per SAE J211. Calibrations and evaluations are also made anytime data are suspect. Acceleration data are measured with an expanded uncertainty of  $\pm 1.7$  percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k = 2).

TRAP uses the data from the TDAS Pro to compute the occupant/compartment impact velocities, time of occupant/compartment impact after vehicle impact, and highest 10-millisecond (ms) average ridedown acceleration. TRAP calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with an SAE Class 180-Hz low-pass digital filter, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals, and then plots yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation being initial impact. Rate-of-rotation data are measured with an expanded uncertainty of  $\pm 0.7$  percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k = 2).

#### 4.3.2. Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation

According to *MASH*, use of a dummy in the 2270P vehicle is optional, and no dummy was used in the test.

#### 4.3.3. Photographic Instrumentation Data Processing

Photographic coverage of the test included three digital high-speed cameras:

- One placed overhead with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point.
- One placed upstream from the installation at an angle to have a field of view of the interaction of the rear of the vehicle with the installation.
- A third placed with a field of view parallel to and aligned with the installation at the downstream end.

A flashbulb on the impacting vehicle was activated by a pressure-sensitive tape switch to indicate the instant of contact with the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection. The flashbulb was visible from each camera. The video files from these digital high-speed cameras were analyzed to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A digital camera recorded and documented conditions of each test vehicle and the installation before and after the test.

## Chapter 5. MASH TEST 3-11 (CRASH TEST NO. 440861-01-1)

#### 5.1. TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

*MASH* Test 3-11 involves a 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 lb  $\pm$  110 lb impacting the CIP of the longitudinal barrier at an impact speed of 62 mi/h  $\pm$  2.5 mi/h and an angle of 25 degrees  $\pm$  1.5 degrees. The CIP for *MASH* Test 3-11 on the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection was 4.3 ft  $\pm$  1 ft upstream of the centerline of the joint between barrier segments 3 and 4. Figure 3.1 and Figure 5.1 depict the target impact setup.



Figure 5.1. F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection/Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test No. 440861-01-1.

The 2270P vehicle weighed 5031 lb, and the actual impact speed and angle were 62.4 mi/h and 24.8 degrees. The actual impact point was 4.0 ft upstream of the centerline of the joint between barrier segments 3 and 4. Minimum target impact severity (IS) was 106 kip-ft, and actual IS was 115 kip-ft.

#### 5.2. WEATHER CONDITIONS

The test was performed on the morning of April 15, 2021. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: wind speed: 9 mi/h; wind direction: 65 degrees (vehicle was traveling at a heading of 350 degrees); temperature: 65°F; relative humidity: 86 percent.

#### 5.3. TEST VEHICLE

Figure 5.2 shows the 2016 RAM 1500 pickup truck used for the crash test. The vehicle's test inertia weight was 5031 lb, and its gross static weight was 5031 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 11.75 inches, and the height to the upper edge of the bumper was 27.0 inches. The height to the vehicle's center of gravity was 28.25 inches. Tables C.1 and C.2 in Appendix C.1 give additional dimensions and information on the vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system and was released to be freewheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.



Figure 5.2. Test Vehicle before Test No. 440861-01-1.

#### 5.4. TEST DESCRIPTION

Table 5.1 lists events that occurred during Test No. 440861-01-1. Figures C.1 and C.2 in Appendix C.2 present sequential photographs during the test.

Time (s)	Events
0.0000	Vehicle impacts the barrier
0.0130	Left front tire lifts off the pavement
0.0380	Vehicle begins to redirect
0.0910	Right front tire lifts off the pavement
0.1230	Right rear tire lifts off the pavement
0.2220	Vehicle travels parallel with the barrier
0.2340	Left rear bumper impacts the barrier
0.4370	Left front tire makes contact with the pavement
0.5580	Right front tire makes contact with the pavement
1.0220	Left front tire makes secondary contact with the barrier

#### Table 5.1. Events during Test No. 440861-01-1.

For longitudinal barriers, it is desirable for the vehicle to redirect and exit the barrier within the exit box criteria (not less than 32.8 ft downstream from loss of contact for cars and pickups). The test vehicle exited within the exit box criteria defined in *MASH*. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 3.0 s after impact, and the vehicle came to rest 239 ft downstream of the point of impact and 23 ft toward the field side.

#### 5.5. DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

Figure 5.3 and Figure 5.4 show the damage to the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection. There was scuffing from multiple impacts downstream from the initial loss of contact. One of the bolts connecting barrier 3 to barrier 2 was deformed, and both bolts at the joint of barriers 3 and 4 were deformed. The red lines shown in the photos indicate cracks observed post-impact.

Table 5.2 and Table 5.3 provide barrier movement and barrier damage, respectively. Working width<sup>\*</sup> was 48.3 inches, and height of working width was 3.0 inches. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 26.6 inches, and maximum permanent deformation was 25.5 inches.



Figure 5.3. F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection after Test No. 440861-01-1.

<sup>\*</sup> Per *MASH*, "The working width is the maximum dynamic lateral position of any major part of the system or vehicle. These measurements are all relative to the pre-impact traffic face of the test article." In other words, working width is the total barrier width plus the maximum dynamic intrusion of any portion of the barrier or test vehicle past the field side edge of the barrier.



Figure 5.4. Field Side of F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection after Test No. 440861-01-1.

		Movement		
Joint	T/S	F/S	D/S	Notes
1				
1–2				
2–3		<sup>3</sup> ⁄4 inch	2 inches	Cracks at the T/S & F/S X-bolt locations
3–4		25 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> inches		Cracks at F/S X-bolt locations
4–5		3 inches		Cracks at the T/S & F/S X-bolt locations
5–6	<sup>3</sup> ⁄ <sub>4</sub> inch			
6–7		<sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> inch		
7				

 Table 5.2. Barrier Movement and Damage after Test No. 440861-01-1.

Note: T/S = toward traffic side; F/S = toward field side; D/S = downstream movement; — = no data to report.

Barrier	Spalling Location	Cracking Location	Notes
1			
2	Downstream Field Side		
3	Downstream Traffic Side	Upstream Field Side	Exposed rebar; 30-inch piece of concrete broken off from traffic side toe
4	Downstream Field Side		Scuffing and gouging on traffic side
5	Upstream Field Side		
6		Upstream Field Side	Gouging at downstream scupper on traffic side
7			

 Table 5.3. Barrier Damage after Test No. 440861-01-1.

Note: -- = no data to report.

#### 5.6. DAMAGE TO TEST VEHICLE

Figure 5.5 shows the damage sustained by the vehicle. The front bumper, hood, grill, left front fender, left front tire and rim, left front and rear doors, left front corner of the floor pan, left rear cab corner, left rear exterior bed, left rear tire and rim, and rear bumper were damaged. No fuel tank damage was observed. No windshield damage was observed. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 11.0 inches in the side plane at the left front corner at bumper height. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 1.0 inch in the left front firewall/toe pan area. Figure 5.6 shows the interior of the vehicle. Tables C.3 and C.4 in Appendix C.1 provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 5.5. Test Vehicle after Test No. 440861-01-1.



Figure 5.6. Interior of Test Vehicle after Test No. 440861-01-1.

#### 5.7. OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometers were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk, and the results are shown in Table 5.4. Figure C.3 in Appendix C.3 shows the vehicle angular displacements, and Figures C.4 through C.6 in Appendix C.4 show acceleration versus time traces. Figure 5.7 summarizes pertinent information from the test.

<b>_</b>		
Occupant Risk Factor	Value	Time
Occupant Impact Velocity (OIV)		
Longitudinal	17.6 ft/s	at 0.0071 a an left side of interior
Lateral	22.7 ft/s	at 0.0971 s on left side of interior
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations		
Longitudinal	4.2 g	0.2527–0.2627 s
Lateral	11.0 g	0.2565–0.2665 s
Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV)	8.8 m/s	at 0.0940 s on left side of interior
Acceleration Severity Index (ASI)	1.7	0.0529–0.1029 s
Maximum 50-ms Moving Average		
Longitudinal	-8.8 g	0.0228–0.0728 s
Lateral	12.3 g	0.0320–0.0820 s
Vertical	-3.6 g	0.0233–0.0733 s
Maximum Roll, Pitch, and Yaw Angles		
Roll	11°	0.4922 s
Pitch	8°	0.6045 s
Yaw	31°	0.2763 s

#### Table 5.4. Occupant Risk Factors for Test No. 440861-01-1.



General Information		Impact Conditions		Post-Impact Trajectory
Test Agency	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Speed	62.4 mi/h	Stopping Distance
Test Standard Test No	MASH Test 3-11	Angle	24.8°	
TTI Test No	440861-01-1	Location/Orientation	4.0 ft upstream of	Vehicle Stability
Test Date	2021-04-15		joint 3/4	Maximum Roll Angle
Test Article		Impact Severity	115 kip-ft	Maximum Pitch Angle
Туре	Longitudinal Barrier—PCB	Exit Conditions		Maximum Yaw Angle
Name	F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection	Speed	Out of view	Vehicle Snagging
Installation Length	210 ft—seven 30-ft segments	Trajectory/Heading Angle	Along barrier	Vehicle Pocketing
Material or Key Elements	32-inch-tall F-shape portable concrete	Occupant Risk Values		Test Article Deflections
	barrier; 7/8-inch diameter threaded rod	Longitudinal OIV	17.6 ft/s	Dynamic
	forms X-bolt connection	Lateral OIV	22.7 ft/s	Permanent
Soil Type and Condition	Concrete pavement, damp	Longitudinal Ridedown	4.2 g	Working Width
Test Vehicle		Lateral Ridedown	11.0 g	Height of Working Width
Type/Designation	2270P	THIV	8.8 m/s	Vehicle Damage
Make and Model	2016 RAM 1500 Pickup	ASI	1.7	VDS
Curb	5137 lb	Max. 0.050-s Average		CDC
Test Inertial	5031 lb	Longitudinal	-8.8 g	Max. Exterior Deformation
Dummy	No dummy	Lateral	12.3 g	OCDI
Gross Static	5031 lb	Vertical	−3.6 g	Max. Occupant Compartment

23 ft twd field side lity oll Angle ..... 11° itch Angle ..... 8° aw Angle ..... 31° gging..... No keting ..... No eflections ...... 25.5 inches dth..... 48.3 inches orking Width ..... 3.0 inches ige ..... 11FLEW3 r Deformation...... 11.0 inches ..... LF0010000 ant Compartment Deformation ..... 1.0 inch

Figure 5.7. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-11 on F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection.

19

## Chapter 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

#### 6.1. ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS

The crash test reported herein was performed in accordance with *MASH* Test 3-11. Table 6.1 provides an assessment of the test based on the applicable safety evaluation criteria for *MASH* Test 3-11 for longitudinal barriers.

#### 6.2. CONCLUSIONS

The F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection met the performance criteria for *MASH* Test 3-11 for longitudinal barriers.

## Table 6.1. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-11 on F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection.

Tes	t Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Test No.: 440861-01-1	Test Date: 2021-04-15
	MASH Test 3-11 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Str	uctural Adequacy		
Α.	Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or	The F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection	
	bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle	contained and redirected the 2270P vehicle. The	
	should not penetrate, underride, or override the	vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override	Pass
	installation although controlled lateral deflection of	the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection	
	the test article is acceptable.	during the test was 26.6 inches.	
Occ	rupant Risk		
<i>D</i> .	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from	Some concrete spalling occurred at several	
	the test article should not penetrate or show potential	joints; however, this debris did not penetrate or	
	for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present	show potential for penetrating the occupant	
	an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or	compartment or present an undue hazard to	Pass
	personnel in a work zone.	others in the area.	1 455
	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant	Maximum occupant compartment deformation	
	compartment should not exceed limits set forth in	was 1.0 inch in the left front firewall/toe pan	
	Section 5.2.2 and Appendix E of MASH.	area.	
<i>F</i> .	The vehicle should remain upright during and after	The 2270P vehicle remained upright during and	
	collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not	after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch	Pass
	to exceed 75 degrees.	angles were 11 degrees and 8 degrees.	
Н.	Occupant impact velocities (OIV) should satisfy the	Longitudinal OIV was 17.6 ft/s, and lateral OIV	
	following limits: Preferred value of 30 ft/s, or	was 22.7 ft/s.	Pass
	maximum allowable value of 40 ft/s.		
Ι.	The occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy	Longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration	
	the following limits: Preferred value of 15.0 g, or	was 4.2 g, and lateral occupant ridedown	Pass
	maximum allowable value of 20.49 g.	acceleration was 11.0 g.	

### **Chapter 7. IMPLEMENTATION**\*

Based on the results of the testing and evaluation reported herein, the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection is considered suitable for implementation as a *MASH* TL-3 barrier system. The *MASH* matrix for TL-3 longitudinal barriers consists of two tests: Test 3-11 and Test 3-10. *MASH* Test 3-11 was performed under this project and successfully met all *MASH* evaluation criteria.

*MASH* also recommends performing Test 3-10 with the small passenger car. However, based on the performance of the F-shape barrier in previous testing, Test 3-10 was not considered necessary. The impact severity for *MASH* Test 3-10 is approximately half that of Test 3-11. Therefore, structural adequacy of the barrier is not an issue, and the barrier will deflect substantially less than in Test 3-11. When *MASH* Test 5-10 (similar impact conditions to *MASH* Test 3-10) was performed on a cast-in-place F-shape barrier with soundwall, all *MASH* criteria were satisfied (4). The passenger car was contained and redirected in a stable manner, and occupant risk criteria were below the preferred thresholds in *MASH*. Therefore, *MASH* occupant risk criteria associated with impacting the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection should be satisfactory. F-shape PCBs have also been successfully tested with the 1100C passenger car in both freestanding and restrained conditions that resulted in dynamic deflections ranging from 3 to 56 inches (5, 6, 7). The occupant risk indices for these tests were acceptable, and the maximum roll angle of the vehicle ranged from 9.2 degrees to 25 degrees. Therefore, stability of the 1100C passenger vehicle impacting the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection under *MASH* Test 3-10 conditions should be satisfactory.

The dynamic deflection for the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection during *MASH* Test 3-11 was only 26.6 inches. This is significantly lower than the deflection of other connection types included in TxDOT standards. The low deflection makes the F-shape PCB with X-bolt connection suitable for many applications, including as a median barrier or a work zone barrier when such deflections can be accommodated.

Statewide implementation of this barrier can be achieved by TxDOT's Design Division through revision of standard detail sheet CSB(1)-10. The barrier details provided in Appendix A can be used for this purpose.

<sup>\*</sup> The opinions/interpretations identified/expressed in this section of the report are outside the scope of TTI Proving Ground's A2LA Accreditation.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. AASHTO. *Manual for Assessing Roadside Safety Hardware, Second Edition.* American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2016.
- W. F. Williams, N. M. Sheikh, W. L. Menges, D. L. Kuhn, and R. P. Bligh. Crash Test and Evaluation of Restrained Safety-Shape Concrete Barriers on Concrete Bridge Deck. Test Report 9-1002-15-3, Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, January 2018.
- 3. N. M. Sheikh, R. P. Bligh, W. L. Menges, D. L. Kuhn, and G. E. Schroeder. *MASH TL-4 Testing and Evaluation of Free-Standing Single Slope Concrete Barrier with Cross-Bolt Connection*. Test Report 0-6968-R5, Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, June 2019.
- 4. FHWA Safety Roadway Departure Eligibility Letter B-339 (https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway\_dept/countermeasures/reduce\_crash\_severity/barrie\_ rs/pdf/b339.pdf).
- 5. FHWA Safety Roadway Departure Eligibility Letter B-307 (https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway\_dept/countermeasures/reduce\_crash\_severity/barrie rs/pdf/b307.pdf).
- 6. FHWA Safety Roadway Departure Eligibility Letter B-344 (https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway\_dept/countermeasures/reduce\_crash\_severity/barrie rs/pdf/b344.pdf).
- FHWA Safety Roadway Departure Eligibility Letter B-300 (https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway\_dept/countermeasures/reduce\_crash\_severity/barrie rs/pdf/b300.pdf).



Q:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\440861 - TxDOT FY2021\440861-01 PCB with X-bolt\Drafting, 440861-1 \440861-1 Drawing

2021-10-08

TR No. 0-7086-R1



Q:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\440861 - TxDOT FY2021\440861-01 PCB with X-bolt\Drafting, 440861-1\440861-1 Drawing

28





29





Q:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\440861 - TxDOT FY2021\440861-01 PCB with X-bolt\Drafting, 440861-1\440861-1 Drawing

## APPENDIX B. SUPPORTING CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS



CORE SUPPLY, LLC 1212 BAMMEL RD HOUSTON, TX 77073 281-847-3656

#### DISPATCH COPY



ORDER

PAGE 1 OF 1

						2103-502676	PAGE I OF 1		
		SOLD TO			SHIP TO	ACCOUNT	JOB		
SUM	WIT PRECA	ST CONCRET	E, LLC	SUMMIT PRECA	AST CONCRETE, LLC	SUMMITPREC	0		
15349 MON	9 SUMMIT F	PARK DR. ST	E 10	9930 INDUSTRI	AL DR	ORDERED ON	3/19/2021 9:21:42 AN		
141014	TOOMENT	17 11 300		MICHAEL 281-8	44-0046	DELIVER ON	03/19/2021		
						BRANCH	1000		
						CUSTOMER PO#	20-289		
l				L		STATION	C10		
				TRUCK WITH L	IFT	CASHIER	EDGAR		
						SALESPERSON	JAY		
						ORDER ENTRY	EDGAR		
						MODIFIED BY	EDGAR		
Yard	Quantity	Remaining	U/M	ltem	Description	Location	Notes		
	32	32	EA	MN502676-000	U1 #5 BAR				
	16	16	EA	MN502676 001					
	10	0	CA	WINDU2070-001	U2 #4 BAR				
	32	32	EA	MN502676-002	V1 #4 BAR				
			ļ						
			191				ion C		

 Stager
 Loader
 Driver
 Truck
 Time
 BF
 SF
 Weight
 Cubes Route

 PM
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 Receiver:
 Received in Good Condition
 Received in Good Condition
 Received in Good Condition
 Received in Good Condition
 Received in Good Condition



CMC STEEL TEXAS 1 STEEL MILL DRIVE SEGUIN TX 78155-7510 CERTIFIED MILL TEST REPORT For additional copies call 830-372-8771 We hereby certify that the test results presented here are accurate and conform to the reported grade specification

Rolando A Davila

Quality Assurance Manager

HEAT NO.:3100467 SECTION: REBAR 16MM (#5) 20'0" 4 GRADE: ASTM A615-20 Gr 420/60 ROLL DATE: 10/03/2020 MELT DATE: 10/02/2020 Cert. No.: 83254414 / 100467A371	20/60	S Core Sup O L 1212 Bam D Houston US 77073 T 28184736 O 28184743	ply LLC mel Rd TX 0000 56 87	S H P T O	CPU Seguin 1 Steel Mill Dr Seguin TX US 78155-7510 99999999999		Delivery#: 83254414 BOL#: 1999234 CUST PO#: Ps1023-2 CUST P/N: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 4380.00 DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 210 E/	00 LB
Characteristic	Value		Characteristic		Value		Characteristic Value	
C 0 Mn 0 P 0 Si 0 Cu 0 Cr 0	).44% ).92% ).013% ).043% ).18% ).31% ).31%		Bend Test Dia	neter	2.188IN			
Ni 0 Mo 0 V 0 Cb 0 Sn 0 Al 0	).12% ).038% ).000% ).001% ).009% ).001%					The Following is *Material is fully k *100% melted an *EN10204:2004 3 *Contains no welk	true of the material represented by thi illed d rolled in the USA .1 compliant d repair	s MTR:
Yield Strength test 1 Tensile Strength test 1 Elongation test 1 Elongation Gage Lgth test 1 Tensile to Yield ratio test1 Bend Test 1	67.1ksi 106.8ksi 15% 81N 1.59 Passed					"Contains no Mer "Manufactured in a of the plant qua "Meets the "Buy A "Warning: This p known to the St or other reprodu to www.P65Warn	cury contamination accordance with the latest version lity manual America" requirements of 23 CFR635.410 roduct can expose you to chemicals whic ate of California to cause cancer, birth de ctive harm. For more information go ings.ca.gov	0, 49 CFR 661 ih are ifects

REMARKS :

2021-10-08

Page 1 OF 1 10/23/2020 15:22:44



CMC STEEL TEXAS 1 STEEL MILL DRIVE SEGUIN TX 78155-7510 CERTIFIED MILL TEST REPORT For additional copies call 830-372-8771 We hereby certify that the test results presented here are accurate and conform to the reported grade specification

Rolando A Davila

Quality Assurance Manager

HEAT NO.:3102247 SECTION: REBAR 13MM (#4) 20'0 GRADE: ASTM A615-20 Gr 420/60 ROLL DATE: 12/20/2020 MELT DATE: 12/11/2020 Cert. No.: 83316090 / 102247A130	S O L D T O	S Core Supply LLC O L 1212 Bammel Rd D Houston TX US 77073-0000 T 2818473656 O 2818474387			S H I P T O	CPU Seguin 1 Steel Mill Dr Seguin TX US 78155-7510 9999999999		Delivery#: 83316090 BOL#: 2024350 CUST PO#: 10995 CUST P/N: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 48202.000 LB DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 3608 EA		
Characteristic	Value				Characteristic		Value		Characteristic	Value
C Mn P S Si Cu Cr Ni Mo V Cb Sn Al Yield Strength test 1 Tensile Strength test 1	0.45% 0.79% 0.027% 0.20% 0.29% 0.14% 0.02% 0.048% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 63.0ksi 102.4ksi				Bend Test Diam	eter	1.750IN	The Following is *Material is fully *100% melted an *EN10204:2004 3 *Contains no wei *Contains no Me *Manufactured in of the plant qui	s true of the material repr killed nd rolled in the USA 3.1 compliant Id repair rcury contamination accordance with the latest lifty manual	esented by this MTR: version
Elongation test 1 Elongation Gage Lgth test 1 Tensile to Yield ratio test1 Bend Test 1	15% 8IN 1.63 Passed							*Meets the "Buy *Warning: This p known to the S or other reprodu to www.P65Warn	America <sup>®</sup> requirements of i product can expose you to tate of California to cause uctive harm. For more infor nings.ca.gov	23 CFR635.410, 49 CFR 661 chemicals which are cancer, birth defects mation go

REMARKS :

Page 1 OF 1 12/23/2020 09:33:42

eller en eller Statut

Sold To:

National CL.L.C. National Wire L.L.C 12262 FM-3083 Conroe, TX 77301 DELIVERY COPY S-6650 Summit Precast Concrete, LLC

> Bill of Lading: A12910 Order Number: S-6650 Order Date: 12/16/2020

Salesperson: Scott Zwahr

Ship To: Summit Precast (Navasota, TX) 9930 Industrial Drive

> Navasota, TX 77868 JARED 936-217-9030

Special Shipping Instructions:

Summit Precast Concrete, LLC 15349 Summit Park Dr. Suite 101

Montgomery, TX 77356

Customer I	PO		Ship Date	Delivery Da	te	Sh Sh	iip Via				
20-235			2/11/2021	2/11/2021	1		RDX				
						TITAL					
ORDERED	SHIPPED	ITEM		MARK #	UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)	WEIGHT (LBS)	SHIPPED WEIGHT (LBS)				
1216	64	VXV D24.8XD20.0 57"	+2 1/8",+2 1/8") X 29'8"(1 1/2",1 1/2")	FSHAPE30'-TY1-PCJJ	364.69	443,463.04	23,340.16				
						Total (Lbs): 443,463.04	Total Shipped (Lbs): 23,340.16				
Driver Cell RECEIVED	# BY:		DATE:								
	THANK YOU FO	R YOUR BUSINESS!		Please remit payment to:							
	Phone: 9367602	2040		12262 FM 3083							
	Fax: 936760408 www.nationalw	30 rirellc.com		Conroe, TX 77301							
"Carrier agrees that it v	arrier agrees that it will look solely to Broker for the payment of its charges and that it will not contact or pursue Broker's customers or the shipper or consignee for payment of freight, accessorial or other charges owed to Carrier.										

\*Carrier authorizes Freight Broker to levoice Shipper, receiver, consignor or consignee for freight charges and to receive payment as agent for and on behalf of the Carrier. Payment of the freight charges to Freight Broker shall relieve

Shipper, receiver, consignor or consignee of any liability to the Carrier for non-payment of charges."

Page 1 of 1



#### **Quality Control Department Certificate of Analysis and Test**

**Customer:** Summit Precast Concrete, LLC 15349 Summit Park Dr. Suite 101 Montgomery, TX 77356

#:3 P.0: 20-235 Order #: S-6650

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
64	VXV D24.8XD20.0 57"(+2 1/8",+2 1/8") X 29'8"(1 1/2",1 1/2")

#### Mechanical Properties

Test Date:	1/5/2021	1/25/2021			
Wire Size	D24.8	D20.0			
Heat Number	619515	619941			
Diameter	0.562	0.505			
Avg. Lbs Force	23,000	18,930			
Avg. Tensile (psi)	92,700	94,500			
Avg. Yield (psi)	90,100	92,200		1	
Avg.Weld Shear(psi)	49,700	49,700			
Bend Test	PASS	PASS			
Reduction of Area %	N/A	N/A			

The undersigned certifies that the material tested above complies with the ASTM A1064/A1064M-18a.

The wire was melted and manufactured in the United States of America and complies with Buy America Requirements.

File Jose V. Torres

2/11/2021 Date

Quality Control Manager

35

TR No. 0-7086-R1

# EVRAZ ROCKY MOUNTAIN STEEL A DIVISION OF EVRAZ INC. NA

2100 S. Freeway Pueblo, CO 81004 USA

# MATERIAL TEST REPORT Date Printed: 23-NOV-20

Certificate ID: 21249

Date S	hipped: 23-NOV-20	Produ	ct: ROD 5/8" Specification: AS	TM-A-510 AISI 1018
Mts A	STM A-510	FWIP: 77135004	Customer: NATIONAL WIRE LLC 12262 FM 3083 CONROE, TX 77301	Cust. PO: 1506
		11.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	CHEMICAL	ANALYSIS	(In Weight %, uncertainty of measurement 0.005%)	(MELTED AND CAST ON 11/16/20)

з.

Heat	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS					(In V	(In Weight %, uncertainty of measurement 0.005%)							(MEL	(MELTED AND CAST ON 11/16/20)			
Number	с	Mn	P	S	SI	Cu	Ni	Cr	Мо	Ai	v	В	СЪ	Sn	N	Ca	ΤΙ	Co
619515	0.18	0.65	0.013	0.020	0.18	0.23	0.08	0.20	0.017	0.003	0.000	0.0002	0.000	0.010	0.0081	0.0003	0.001	0.007

Г <u> </u>	MEC	HANIC	AL PROPERTIES	(ROLLED & TESTED ON 11/18/20)						
	URA	imate	Red/Area	Size		Ovi	Ovality			
	(Psi)	(MPa)	(%)	(in.)	(mm)	(in.)	(mm)			
Minimum	70630	487.0	53.6	0.623	15.82	0.008	0.20			
Maximum	71220	491.0	55.6	0.624	15.85	0.008	0.20			
Average	70925	489.0	54.6	0.624	15.85	0.008	0.20			
Std. Dev	295	2.0	1.0	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.00			
Count	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			

2.B.

Jon Ball

**General Supervisor of Quality** 

All melting and manufacturing processes of the material subject to this test certificate occurred in the United States of America. ERMS also certifies this material to be free from Mercury contamination.

This material has been produced, tested and conforms to the

requirements of the applicable specifications. We hereby certify that the

above test results represent those contained in the records of the Company.

Methods used: ASTM A370, A510, A615, A706.

Material test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without approval of the company.

# EVRAZ ROCKY MOUNTAIN STEEL A DIVISION OF EVRAZ INC. NA

2100 S. Freeway Pueblo, CO 81004 USA

619941

0.13

0.50

0.007

0.020

0.15

0.16

0.06

- 6 - 90 . 385

.

#### MATERIAL TEST REPORT Date Printed: 15-DEC-20

0.016

0.001 0.000 0.0001 0.000

CLAR

Jon Ball

**General Supervisor of Quality** 

Certificate ID: 22147

0.007 0.0096 0.001 0.007

(s. 3)

۵

Date Shi	ipped: 15-DE	C-20			Produ	et: ROD 9/16	**	Specification: AISI 1012M									
Ми ASTM A-510			FWIP: 77136025					Customer: NATIONAL WIRE LLC 12262 FM 3083 CONROE, TX 77301			Cust. PO: 1506						
Heat Number	CHEM	IICAL Ma	A N A	ALYSI	l S si	(In Weigh Cu	t %, unc Ni	ertainty Cr	of measur	rement 0. Al	005%) V	B	(MELTE Cb	D AND CA Sn	ST ON I	12/05/20) Ti	Co

0.09

----ON 12/09/201

		MECHANICAL	PROPERTIÉS	(RO	LLED & TESTED	ON 12/08/20)
Heat Number	Sample No.	Yield (Psi)	Ultimate (Pri)	Elongation (%)	Reduction (%)	Bend
619941 619941	01 02		65350 66300		56.3 58.4	

All melting and manufacturing processes of the material subject to this test certificate occurred in the United States of America. ERMS also certifies this material to be free from Mercury contamination.

This material has been produced, tested and conforms to the

requirements of the applicable specifications. We hereby certify that the

above test results represent those contained in the records of the Company.

Methods used: ASTM A370, A510, A615, A706.

Material test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without approval of the company.

Concre	<u>te Core Test Report</u>												
Report N Service D Report D Task:	umber:         A1171057.0183           ate:         04/16/21           atc:         04/21/21           PO# 440861-01									6198 Imp College 3 979-846-	perial Loop Station, TX -3767 Reg I	77845-5765 No: F-3272	;
Client							Project						
Texa Attn TTI 313: Call	is Transportation Institute : Gary Gerke Business Office 5 TAMU or Station TX 77842 2125						Riverside Ca Riverside Ca Bryan, TX	impus impus	-				
Matoria	Information						Sample Inf	ormation	,,				
Specifie	d Strength: d Length:						Placement Da Date Tested: Sampled By:	ate: Cullen 1	Гигпеу	т	ìme:		
Mix ID: Nomina	Maximum Size Aggregate:						Drill Directio Date Core Of Date Ends Tr Moisture Cor	ns: Vertical otained: 04/ immed: 04/ iditioning Hi	15/21 15/21 story:	T T According to A	ime: 0000 ime: 0000		
Labora	tory Test Data	Cored	Trim	Capped				0	·	Comp.			
Core ID	Location	Length (in)	Length (in)	Length (in)	Diam. (in)	Area (sq in)	Length / Diam. Ratio	Max Load (lbs)	Corr. Factor	Strength (psi)	Fracture Type	Density (pcf)	Tested By
$\frac{1}{2}$	Barrier 3 Barrier 4	6.30 6.80	4.15 4.40	4.65 4.83	4.05 4.05	12.88 12.88	1.15	81700 90140	0.906 0.916	5750 6410	3		SLS SLS

Comments:

Services: Terracon Rep.: Cullen Turney Reported To: Contractor: Report Distribution: (1) Texas Transportation Institute, Bill Griffith

Start/Stop: 1100-1500 Reviewed By: Nexander Danigan Project Manager

Test Methods:

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM. AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

CR0X004, 11-16-12, Rev.5

Page 1 of 1

## APPENDIX C. MASH TEST 3-11 (CRASH TEST NO. 440861-01-1)

#### C.1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Date <sup>.</sup>	2021-4-1	15	Test No ·	44086	1-01-1	VIN No	1C6RR	-• 6GT5GS2	269374
Vaar	2016		Maka	RA	AM	Madali		1500	
rear:	2010		маке:	10				1000	
Tire Size	e: <u>265/70</u>	) R 17			Tire I	Inflation Pre	ssure:	35 p	si
Tread T	ype: <u>Highw</u>	ay				Odo	meter: <u>1286</u>	672	
Note an	y damage to	the veł	nicle prior to t	est: <u>Nor</u>	ne				
• Denot	tes acceleror	neter Ic	ocation.		-	◀X ◀₩►			
NOTES	None			1		717			
Engine <sup>-</sup> Engine (	Type: V-8 CID: <u>5.7 I</u>	-			EL CK				WHEEL TRACK
Transmi	ssion Type: Auto or FWD		_ Manual _ <b></b> 4WD		R H		TES	T INERTIAL C. M.	
Optional <u>None</u>	l Equipment:			P				1	Дв
Dummy Type: Mass: Seat P	Data: <u>NO</u> osition:	NE (	) lb	1 1- 1					
Geomet	try: inches				-	FRONT	- C	REAR	-
Α	78.50	F_	40.00	к	20.00	P _	3.00	U	26.75
в	74.00	G _	28.25	L	30.00	Q	30.50	V	30.25
с	227.50	Η_	59.81	M	68.50	_ R _	18.00	W	59.80
D	44.00	<u>ا</u> _	11.75	N	68.00	_ s _	13.00	_ X_	79.00
E	140.50	J _	27.00	. O 	46.00	_ T_	77.00 Bottom Erai		
He	ight Front	1	4.75 Cle	arance (Front	t)	6.00	Height - Fr	ont	12.50
VVhe He	el Center eight Rear	1	4.75 Cle	wheel we arance (Rear	" )	9.25	Bottom Frai Height - Re	me ear	22.50
	/IT: A=78 ±2 inches;	C=237 ±13	3 inches; E=148 ±12 i	inches; F=39±3 i	nches; G = > 28 ir	nches; H = 63 ±4 in	iches; O=43 ±4 inche	es; (M+N)/2=67	±1.5 inches
GVVVK I	3700		IVIASS: ID	<u>CL</u>	<u>ווט</u> 2971	<u>rest</u>	<u>neriiai</u> 2889	Gros	2889
Back	3900	_	Mrear		2166		2142		2142
Total	6700	_	M <sub>Total</sub>		5137		5031		5031
Mass Di Ib	istribution:	LF:	1449	RF:	(Allowable 1440	Range for TIM and	GSM = 5000 lb ±110	RR:	1043

#### Table C.1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 440861-01-1

# Table C.2. Measurements of Vehicle Vertical Center of Gravity forTest No. 440861-01-1.

Date: 20	021-4	1-15 To	est No.: _	440861-01-1		VIN:	1C6RR6GT5GS269374			74
Year:	2016	6	Make:	RAM	1	Model:		15	500	
Body Style	Qu	iad Cab				Mileage:		128672		
Engine: <u>5.</u>	7 L	١	/-8		Trans	smission:	Auto	matic		
Fuel Level:	En	npty	Ball	<b>ast</b> : <u>40</u>					(440	) lb max)
Tire Pressu	ıre:	Front: <u>3</u>	<u>5 ps</u>	i Rea	ır: <u>35</u>	psi S	Size:	265/70 R 1	17	
Measured	Vehi	icle Wei	ghts: (I	b)						
	LF:	1449		RF:	1440		F	ront Axle:	2889	
	_R:	1099		RR:	1043		F	Rear Axle:	2142	
L	eft:	2548		Riaht:	2483			Total:	5031	
								5000 ±1	10 lb allowed	
	Whe	el Base:	140.50	inches	Track: F:	68.50	inch	es R:	68.00	inches
	1	48 ±12 inche	es allowed			Track = (F+F	R)/2 = 6	67 ±1.5 inches	allowed	
Center of (	Grav	ity, SAE	J874 Sus	pension M	ethod					
	<b>X</b> :	59.82	inches	Rear of F	ront Axle	(63 ±4 inches	s allow	ed)		
	Y:	-0.44	inches	Left -	Right +	of Vehicle	e Cer	nterline		
	<b>Z</b> :	28.25	inches	Above Gr	ound	(minumum 28	8.0 inc	hes allowed)		
Hood H	leigh	nt:	46.00	inches	Front	Bumper H	eight	::	<u>27.00</u> i	nches
		43 ±4 ir	nches allowed							
Front Ove	rhan	g:	40.00	inches	Rear	Bumper H	eight		<u>30.00</u> i	nches
		39 ±3 ir	nches allowed							
Overall L	engtl	h:	227.50	inches						
		237 ±13	3 inches allow	ed						

Date:	2021-4-15	Test No.:	440861-01-1	VIN No.:	1C6RR6GT5GS269374
Year:	2016	Make:	RAM	Model:	1500

#### Table C.3. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test No. 440861-01-1.

#### VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET<sup>1</sup>

Complete Wh	en Applicable				
End Damage	Side Damage				
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1				
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2				
A2					
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant				
(check one)	$X1+X2$ _				
< 4 inches	2				
$\geq$ 4 inches					

Note: Measure C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>6</sub> from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

		Direct Damage									
Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	$C_1$	C <sub>2</sub>	$C_3$	$C_4$	C5	$C_6$	±D
1	Front plane at bmp ht	12	10	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-18
2	Side plane above bmp	12	11	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	70
	Measurements recorded										
	√ inches or ☐ mm										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Date:	2021-4-15	Test No.:	440861-01-1	VIN No.:	1C6RR6GT5GS269374
Year:	2016	Make:	RAM	Model:	1500









\*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kickpanel to passenger's side kickpanel.

#### OCCUPANT COMPARTMENT DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT

	Before	After	Differ.
		(inches)	
A1	65.00	65.00	0.00
A2	63.00	63.00	0.00
A3	65.50	65.50	0.00
B1	45.00	45.00	0.00
B2	38.00	38.00	0.00
B3	45.00	45.00	0.00
B4	39.50	39.50	0.00
B5	43.00	43.00	0.00
B6	39.50	39.50	0.00
C1	26.00	25.00	-1.00
C2	0.00	0.00	0.00
C3	26.00	26.00	0.00
D1	11.00	11.00	0.00
D2	0.00	0.00	0.00
D3	11.50	11.50	0.00
E1	58.50	58.50	0.00
E2	63.50	63.50	0.00
E3	63.50	63.50	0.00
E4	63.50	63.50	0.00
F	59.00	59.00	0.00
G	59.00	59.00	0.00
Н	37.50	37.50	0.00
I	37.50	37.50	0.00
J*	25.00	25.00	0.00

## C.2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS















Figure C.1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 440861-01-1 (Overhead and Frontal Views).

















Figure C.1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 440861-01-1 (Overhead and Frontal Views) (Continued).



0.000 s



0.100 s





1

0.300 s



0.400 s



0.500 s



0.600 s



0.700 s

Figure C.2. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 440861-01-1 (Rear View).



C.3.

VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS

Figure C.3. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 440861-01-1.





**C.4**.

VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS

Figure C.4. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 440861-01-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Test Number: 440861-01-1
Test Standard Test Number: MASH Test 3-11
Test Article: F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection
Test Vehicle: 2016 RAM 1500 Pickup
Inertial Mass: 5031 lb
Gross Mass: 5031 lb
Impact Speed: 62.4 mi/h
Impact Angle: 24.8°

#### Figure C.5. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 440861-01-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



50-msec average

SAE Class 60 Filter

#### Z Acceleration at CG

Test Number: 440861-01-1 Test Standard Test Number: MASH Test 3-11 Test Article: F-Shape PCB with X-Bolt Connection Test Vehicle: 2016 RAM 1500 Pickup Inertial Mass: 5031 lb Gross Mass: 5031 lb Impact Speed: 62.4 mi/h Impact Angle: 24.8°

2.0

1.5



Time (s)