

National Highway System (NHS) Freight Connectors

NHS freight connectors are the public roads that connect major intermodal terminals to the highway network. They are critical components of our transportation system and important conduits for the timely and reliable delivery of goods and services. Hence, it is important to evaluate the condition and performance of intermodal connectors and their related investment needs. Highlights of two FHWA studies on the condition of NHS freight connectors and needed improvements are discussed here.'

Public roads leading to major intermodal terminals are designated NHS connectors by the U.S. Department of Transportation, in cooperation with state departments of transportation and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs). Several criteria are considered, including the level of activity of an intermodal terminal and its importance to a state's economy. There are 5 17 freight-only terminals and 99 major airports that handle both

Table 1. NHS Connector Mileage

Function Class	Mileage	
Total Rural and Urban	1,222	
Rural	219	
Interstate	5	
Other principal arterial	32	
Minor arterial	57	
Major collector	88	
Minor collector	7	
Local	30	
Urban ^a	1,004	
Interstate/Expressway	89	
Other principal arterial	438	
Minor arterial	294	
Collector	117	
Local	66	

Note: Numbers do not add to total due to rounding.

"Urban encompasses facilities within an FHWA-adjusted Census urbanized area (UA). A UA is an area of 50,000 people or more with a minimum population density. Census UAs are adjusted to include additional areas, such as airports, satellite cities/towns, and strip developments adjacent to high-use roadways, that are important to or serve the urbanized area and, in some cases, land that will become urban in the near future (3-5 years).

'U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, NHS Intermodal Freight Connectors: A Report to Congress (Washington, DC: December 2000); and U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, 2002 Status of the Nation's Highways, Bridges, and Transit: Condition and Performance (Washington, DC: 2003).

passengers and freight. These **616** intermodal freight terminals are connected to the NHS by 1,222 miles of connectors (Table 1).

Although intermodal connectors account for less than **1** percent of total NHS mileage, they handle large volumes of trucks moving goods between terminals and the NHS or other modes. Intermodal connectors also support defense mobilization and national security. Because of the military's increasing reliance on commercial transportation to move supplies and personnel, intermodal linkages to ports and airports have become an integral part of national defense planning.

NHS freight connectors are typically located in older, industrialized and mixed land use areas that are subject to physical constraints. They usually average less than two miles in length and have lower design standards than mainline NHS routes (primarily Interstates and arterials). FHWA found that freight connectors are in poorer condition than NHS routes, which can slow freight movement, damage goods in transit, decrease efficiency, and negatively affect safety. Specifically, FHWA's NHS Intermodal Freight Connectors report found:

- Connectors to marine ports have twice the percentage of mileage with pavement deficiencies compared to non-Interstate routes.
- Connectors to rail terminals had 50 percent more mileage in the deficient category than non-Interstate routes
- Connectors to airport and pipeline terminals appeared to be in better condition, with about the same percentage of mileage with pavement deficiencies as those on non-Interstate NHS routes.
- ☐ The most frequently cited deficiencies were problems with shoulders, inadequate turning radii, and inadequate travel way width.

This **2000** report to Congress, based on an inventory conducted in **1998**, did not include an assessment of needed improvements or investment requirements. FHWA initiated a follow-on effort to develop an estimate of investment needs for NHS freight connectors based on the identified deficiencies. Table 2 shows connector deficiencies and the type of improvement needed.

Table 2. Deficiencies and Improvements Needed

		Mileage		
Identified Deficiency and Improvement Needed	Rural	Urban ^a	Total	
Capacity Needed	39	362 75	401 82	
Major reconstruction Major widening	32	287	319	
Lane Widening	29	81	110	
Minor reconstruction Minor widening	10 19	29 52	39 71	
Pavement Work	114	356	470	
Reconstruction	24	46	70	
Resurface shoulders	63	159	222	
Resurface	27	151	178	
No Action Needed	62	181	243	

Note: Numbers do not add to 1,222 miles due to rounding.

The principal findings of the needs analysis are:

- Nearly one-third of total connector miles were judged to be in need of additional capacity.
- Approximately 38 percent of connector miles needed pavement work, which includes resurfacing and reconstruction of lanes and shoulders.
- Only 20 percent of total connector miles were considered to have adequate pavement and lane or shoulder width.
- A program to eliminate identified deficiencies is estimated to cost more than \$2.5 billion (current 1996 \$) (Table 3).
- If a systemic effort were initiated to improve the overall level of service of the NHS connectors, more in accordance with the conditions and service of the mainline NHS routes, then an investment of approximately \$4.2 billion (current 1996 \$) would be required (Table 4).
- The cost of improving spot deficiencies would add another \$87.1 million (current 1996 \$) to the total costs for both estimates.

There is wide agreement among freight stakeholders that intermodal connectors need to be improved. As with all transportation improvements, funding is a major concern. The issues related to funding NHS connector improvements are similar to those of freight investments in general. States and MPOs, for example, often see intermodal connectors as a low priority when compared to pressing needs of passenger travel. Compounding this issue is the fact that more than half of NHS connector mileage is under local jurisdictional control (Table 5). Localities may not have the money to fund needed improvements, and states **may** not have the authority to

Table 3. Cost to Eliminate Deficiencies (1996 Current \$ Millions)

	Investment Needed		
Identified Deficiency and Improvement Needed	Rural	Urbanª	Total
Total Costs	141.5	2.368.3	2,509.8
Capacity Needed Major reconstruction Major widening	112.5 18.8 93.7	1,979.7 565.2 1,414.5	2.092.2 584.0 1,508.2
Lane Widening Minor reconstruction Minor widening	2.6 6.1	209.4 108.2 101.2	218.1 110.8 107.3
Pavement Work Reconstruction Resurface shoulders Resurface	20.3 6.5 10.6 3.2	179.2 70.5 59.9 48.8	199.5 77.0 70.5 52.0

"See Table 1 for definition

Table 4. Cost to Improve Performance Level (1996 Current \$ Millions)

Improvements	Inve	Investment Needed		
	Rural	Urbanª	Total	
Total Costs	171	4,032	4,203	
Capacity Needed Lane Widening Needed Pavement Work Needed	113 7 51	2,252 220 1,560	2,365 227 1,611	

Note: Numbers do not add to 4,204 million due to rounding.

Table 5. NHS Connector Mileage by Jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	Mileage	Percent
Total	1,222	100
State Local State and Local	349 635 238	29 52 19

spend funds on local roadways. The result is that intermodal connector needs are not met. Concerns related to intermodal connectors are among the many issues that Congress will consider in its reauthorization of the highway program.

For More Information Please Contact

Joanne Sedor Office of Freight Management and Operations Federal Highway Administration 202-366-8959 joanne.sedor@fhwa.dot.gov



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

June 2003 FHWA-OP-03-088 EDL 13796

aSee Table 1 for definition.

[&]quot;See Table 1 for definition.