# WSDOT Foundation and Fill Settlement Case Histories

WA-RD 884.1

Tony M. Allen

August 2018





**WSDOT Research Report** 

Office of Research & Library Services

#### **Research Report**

#### WA-RD 884.1

# **WSDOT Foundation and Fill Settlement Case Histories**



by Tony M. Allen, P.E. Washington State Department of Transportation HQ Geotechnical Office Olympia, Washington

Prepared for

The State of Washington Department of Transportation Roger Millar, Secretary

And in cooperation with **U.S. Department of Transportation** Federal Highway Administration

August 2018

WA-RD 884.1       5. REPORT DATE         4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE       5. REPORT DATE         WSDOT FOUNDATION AND FILL SETTLEMENT CASE HISTORIES       4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. AUTHOR(S)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. AUTHOR(S)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       10. WORK UNIT NO.         Washington State Department of Transportation       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         State Materials Laboratory       1655 South Second Avenue         Tumwater, WA 98512-6951       12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS         Research Office       Is. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERF         Washington State Department of Transportation       Final Research Re         Transportation Building, MS 47372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS         No. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         16. ABSTRACT:       Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnica requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured s values. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlement s fless than 0.5 inch. The avai		2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO.	<ol><li>RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NO.</li></ol>
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE       5. REPORT DATE         WSDOT FOUNDATION AND FILL SETTLEMENT CASE HISTORIES       4. August 2018         7. AUTHOR(S)       6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. AUTHOR(S)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. AUTHOR(S)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       10. WORK UNIT NO.         Washington State Department of Transportation       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         State Materials Laboratory       10. WORK UNIT NO.         16.55 South Second Avenue       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         Tumwater, WA 98512-6951       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERF         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERF         Research Office       Final Research Re         Washington State Department of Transportation       Final Research Re         Transportation Building, MS 47372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         16. ABSTRACT:       Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnica requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured s values. Based on long-term expr	3D 884.1		
WSDOT FOUNDATION AND FILL SETTLEMENT CASE HISTORIES       August 2018         * PERFORMING ORGANIZATION TONY M. Allen       * PERFORMING ORGANIZATION * PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS         * PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       10. WORK UNIT NO.         Washington State Department of Transportation State Materials Laboratory       10. WORK UNIT NO.         1655 South Second Avenue Tumwater, WA 98512-6951       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERF Research Office         Washington State Department of Transportation Transportation Building, MS 47372       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERF Final Research Re         This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         16. ABSTRACE       Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnica requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured s values. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 in the	ND SUBTITLE		5. REPORT DATE
CAUTHOR(S)       6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. AUTHOR(S)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. AUTHOR(S)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         7. AUTHOR(S)       10. WORK UNIT NO.         Washington State Department of Transportation       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         Variation State Department of Transportation       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         10. WORK UNIT NO.       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         10. WORK UNIT NO.       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         11. State Materials Laboratory       1655 South Second Avenue         Tumwater, WA 98512-6951       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERF         Research Office       Washington 98504-7372         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. ASTRACT       State Second below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnica         requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na       WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured svalues. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates ar	WSDOT FOUNDATION AND FILL SETTLEMENT		August 2018
7. AUTHOR(S)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO         Tony M. Allen       9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       10. WORK UNIT NO.         Washington State Department of Transportation       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         State Materials Laboratory       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         1655 South Second Avenue       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         Tumwater, WA 98512-6951       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERFORMENCE OF REPORT AN	THSTORIES		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
Tony M. Allen       10. WORK UNIT NO.         9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       10. WORK UNIT NO.         Washington State Department of Transportation       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         State Materials Laboratory       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         1655 South Second Avenue       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         Tumwater, WA 98512-6951       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERI         Research Office       Final Research Re         Washington State Department of Transportation       Final Research Re         Transportation Building, MS 47372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         16. ABSTRACT:       Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured svalues. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support th	(S)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPOR
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       10. WORK UNIT NO.         Washington State Department of Transportation       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         State Materials Laboratory       1655 South Second Avenue         Tumwater, WA 98512-6951       11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERI         Research Office       Final Research Re         Washington State Department of Transportation       Final Research Re         Transportation Building, MS 47372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       16. ABSTRACT:         Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na         WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured svalues. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlement s fless than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.	M. Allen		
<ul> <li>Washington State Department of Transportation</li> <li>State Materials Laboratory</li> <li>11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.</li> <li>12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS</li> <li>13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERI</li> <li>Research Office</li> <li>Washington State Department of Transportation</li> <li>Transportation Building, MS 47372</li> <li>Olympia, Washington 98504-7372</li> <li>Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307</li> <li>14. SPONSORING AGENCY COL</li> <li>It supplementation.</li> <li>16. ABSTRACT:</li> <li>Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na</li> <li>WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured s values. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.</li> </ul>	MING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. WORK UNIT NO.
1655 South Second Avenue         Tumwater, WA 98512-6951         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS         Research Office         Washington State Department of Transportation         Transportation Building, MS 47372         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         16. ABSTRACT:         Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured s values. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.	Washington State Department of Transportation		11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.
Tumwater, WA 98512-6951         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS         Research Office         Washington State Department of Transportation         Transportation Building, MS 47372         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         16. ABSTRACT:         Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summethods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured s values. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.	outh Second Avenue		
11. Initial and a construction       13. Type of Report and Period         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. Type of Report and Period         Research Office       Final Research Re         Washington State Department of Transportation       Final Research Re         Transportation Building, MS 47372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307       14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         16. ABSTRACT:       Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na         WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summ methods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured svalues. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.	outil Second Avenue $M_{\Lambda} = 0.000$		
Research Office       Final Research Re         Washington State Department of Transportation       Final Research Re         Transportation Building, MS 47372       Its Sponsoring Agency coer         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372       Its Sponsoring Agency coer         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307       Its Sponsoring Agency coer         Its study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.       Its a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na         WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summethods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured setvalues. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 in	DRING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVE
Washington State Department of Transportation       Final Research Re         Transportation Building, MS 47372       Item Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307       Item Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307         Its. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         Ite. ABSTRACT:       Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summethods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured settlement sof less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.	rch Office		
Transportation Building, MS 47372         Olympia, Washington 98504-7372         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307 <sup>14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         <sup>16. ABSTRACT:</sup>         Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summethods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.   </sup>	noton State Department of T	ansportation	Final Research Report
Olympia, Washington 98504-7372         Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307 <sup>14. SPONSORING AGENCY COE         This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration.         <sup>16. ABSTRACT:</sup>         Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summare thods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 in   </sup>	ngton State Department of The		
Project Manager: Mustafa Mohamedali, 360-705-6307 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration. 16. ABSTRACT: Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summethods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 in the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5	Dia Washington 0850/ 7272	,	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE
<ul> <li><sup>15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ABSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ABSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ABSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ABSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ADSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ADSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ADSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ADSTRACT:</sup></li> <li><sup>16. ADSTRACT:</sup><!--</td--><td colspan="2">Olympia, Washington 98504-7372</td><td></td></li></ul>	Olympia, Washington 98504-7372		
This study was conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Highway Administration. <sup>16. ABSTRACT:</sup> Estimation of settlement below foundations and embankment fills is a routine geotechnical requirement. A couple of settlement estimation methods are typically used for this purpose na WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summethods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured settlement sof less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 inch.	MENTARY NOTES	uall, 300-703-0307	
WSDOT. These include the Hough and Schmertmann methods. This report provides a summethods, and an assessment of their accuracy through comparison of predicted and measured s values. Based on long-term experience, it is usually assumed that settlement estimates are not to predict settlements of less than 0.5 inch. The available data appear to support this in that the measured settlement is greater than the settlement prediction for settlements of less than 0.5 in	/ay Administration.       ACT:       timation of settlement below for	Indations and embankment fill	s is a routine geotechnical desig
The scope of this research is limited to sandy WSDOT sites where settlement was measured settlement in clay is not included). Measured settlements ranged from 0.5 inch to 40 inches.	The arrow of this research is limited	d Schmertmann methods are typically d Schmertmann methods. Thi curacy through comparison of e, it is usually assumed that set nch. The available data appear settlement prediction for settled to sandy WSDOT sites when	s report provides a summary of predicted and measured settlen ttlement estimates are not reliab r to support this in that the scatt ements of less than 0.5 inch.
Overall the Hough Method provided a more accurate prediction than did the Schmertman	ient in clay is not included). Me	asured settlements ranged from	m 0.5 inch to 40 inches.

None	None		178	
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this report)	20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)		21. NO. OF PAGES	22. PRICE
Settlement, foundations, embankments		through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22616		
17. KEY WORDS		18. DISTRIBUTION STAT	TEMENT	11

#### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author, who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Washington State Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, or U.S. Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 9
THE PROBLEM	10
BACKGROUND FOR AND SUMMARY OF MOST COMMONLY USED SETTLEMENT PREDICTION METHODS	11
Prediction of Stress Increase as a Function of Depth	11
The Hough Method	15
The Schmertmann Method	18
OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF THIS REPORT	23
CASE HISTORY DESCRIPTIONS	24
SR395, BNSF Railroad Tunnel	24
SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls	30
I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange	34
CASE HISTORY SETTLEMENT PREDICTIONS	41
SR395, BNSF Railroad Tunnel	41
SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls	44
I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange	45
CASE HISTORY SETTLEMENT MEASUREMENTS	50
SR395, BNSF Railroad Tunnel	50
SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls	51
I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange	53
CASE HISTORY DATA ANALYSES	55
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	51
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	62
REFERENCES	53

# FIGURES

Figure 1. Influence factors for vertical stress under a very long embankment (after Osterberg 1957 as reported in Holtz and Kovacs, 1981)	12
Figure 2. Influence values for vertical stress under the corners of a triangular load of limited length (after NAVFAC, 1971 as reported in Holtz and Kovacs, 1981)	13
Figure 3. Boussinesq Vertical Stress Contours for Continuous and Square Footings Modified after Sowers (1979)	14
Figure 4. 2V:1H method to estimate vertical stress increase as a function of depth below ground (after Holtz and Kovacs, 1981)	w 15
Figure 5. Bearing Capacity Index versus Corrected SPT (Hough, 1959, as modified in Samtani and Nowatzki, 2006)	17
Figure 6—(a) Simplified vertical strain influence factor distributions, (b) Explanation of pressure terms in equation for Izp (Samtani and Notatzki, 2006, after Schmertmann, et al., 1978).	f 21
Figure 7. SR395 BNSF Railroad tunnel vicinity map.	25
Figure 8. Cross-section of spread footing supported arch tunnel.	26
Figure 9. Close-up of spread footing that supports arch tunnel	26
Figure 10. Overview showing arch and fill under construction	27
Figure 11. Plan view of tunnel showing boring locations.	28
Figure 12. Geologic profile along tunnel showing subsurface conditions	29
Figure 13. SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls vicinity map	31
Figure 14. Plan View of Sites No. 7 and No. 8	32
Figure 15. Subsurface Profile for Sites No.7 and No.8.	33
Figure 16. I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange vicinity map	35
Figure 17. P-line Bridge Pre-load between Abutments (Sites No.10 and No.11).	35
Figure 18. Typical Section of Pre-load for the P-Line Bridge at Sites No.10 and No.11 (width of structure was assumed to be 40 ft).	36
Figure 19. Plan View of Site No. 9, Section 15+00, on the P-Line with Boring Location	s. 36
Figure 20. Plan View of Sites No.10 and No.11, the P-Line Bridge Pre-Load Area with Boring Locations	37
Figure 21. Plan View of Sites No.12 and No.13, Embankment between Stations 19+87 and 23+83 on the R-Line with Boring Locations.	37

Figure 22. Site No.9, P-Line Cross-Section at Station 15+00 (The location of site/settlement plate No.9 was not underlain by stone columns)
Figure 23. Profile of P-Line Bridge for Sites/Settlement Plates No.10 and No.11 39
Figure 24. Typical Embankment Cross-Section for Sites/Settlement Plates No.12 and No.13 (R-Line Stations 19+87 and 23+83)
Figure 25. Installation Details for Settlement Plates at Site No's 7 and 8
Figure 26. Settlement Plate Detail
Figure 27. Predicted and measured settlement values for the Hough Method, comparing the WSDOT case history data to the rest of the data presented in Samtani and Allen (2018)
Figure 28. Predicted and measured settlement values for the Schmertmann Method, comparing the WSDOT case history data to the rest of the data presented in Samtani and Allen (2018)
Figure 29. Bias versus predicted settlement for the Hough Method
Figure 30. Bias versus predicted settlement for the Schmertmann Method
Figure 31. Measured settlement and Schmertmann Method settlement predictions using both CPT and SPT data for the WSDOT case histories
Figure 32. Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDFs) of Hough Method prediction bias values for the WSDOT case histories, using (a) bias plotted on a lognormal axis, and (b) ln(bias) plotted on a normal axis
Figure 33. Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDFs) of Schmertmann Method prediction bias values for the WSDOT case histories, using (a) bias plotted on a lognormal axis and (b) ln(bias) plotted on a normal axis
Toghormar axis, and (b) in(blas) protoco on a normal axis.

### TABLES

Table 1: Correlations between Elastic Soil Modulus and SPT N160 or static Cone qcvalues for the Schmertmann Method (Schmertmann 1970, and Samtani and Nowatzki
2006)
Table 2. Summary of example Hough analysis (analyzed near mid-point of tunnellength) for BNSF Railroad tunnel, based on Test Hole RR-5-04 (Site No. 4).42
Table 3. Summary of example Schmertmann analysis (analyzed near mid-point of tunnellength) for BNSF Railroad tunnel, based on Test Hole RR-5-04 (Site No. 4).43
Table 4. Summary of Estimated Settlements using Schmertmann Method for the BNSFRailroad tunnel

Table 5. Summary of Hough analysis at Site #7, based on Test Hole H-32-02.44
Table 6. Hough settlement predictions at settlement plate at Site No's 7 and 8
Table 7. Schmertmann analysis at Site No. 7, based on Test Hole H-32-02.45
Table 8. Summary of Estimated Settlements using Schmertmann Method for SR 522UWB CCC Campus S. Access
Table 9. Summary of example Hough analysis (site No's 10 and 11), based on Test HoleH-23-08.47
Table 10. Hough Method Settlement predictions at site No's 9 through No.13
Table 11. Summary of example Schmertmann analysis for I-5/SR432 Talley WayInterchange R-Line Station 20+00 based on CPT 10-08 (Site No.12).48
Table 12. Summary of Estimated Settlements using Schmertmann Method for
I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange
Table 13. Final settlement measurements and corresponding predictions for SR395BNSF Railroad Tunnel.50
Table 14. Settlement measurements of settlement and corresponding predictions atsettlement plates at sites No's 7 and 8.51
Table 15. Settlement measurements and corresponding predictions at settlement platesNo's 9 through 13.54
Table 16. Summary statistics for each settlement case history, for both the Hough andSchmertmann methods, based on SPT blow counts.59
Table 17. Summary statistics for the case where CPT data are available as the basis forestimating settlement using the Schmertmann Method.59

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Summarized in this report are comparisons between measured and predicted settlements obtained from three project sites in the State of Washington (13 individual measurements and predictions), two sites in western Washington and one site in eastern Washington. The eastern Washington case is a cut-and-cover tunnel in which the tunnel footing settlement was measured; however, the surrounding fill was the driver regarding the settlement measured there. The two western Washington cases represent bridge abutment fill settlement, though two of the measurement locations included an MSE wall. These measurements and predictions are used to determine the accuracy of two settlement estimation methods, the Hough Method and the Schmertmann Method, in Washington soils. The database of measurements reported here are not comprehensive, but do represent some typical cases.

Based on these data, both methods appear to be reliable, though in general, settlement estimates were conservative. The Hough Method was more consistent than the Schmertmann Method when SPT data are used, but on average was conservative by an approximate factor of 1.5 for the sites investigated. The Schmertmann Method predictions using CPT data were the most accurate, but that data set is extremely limited, so all that can be said at this point is that the Schmertmann Method has the potential to provide the most accurate results when CPT data are available.

#### **THE PROBLEM**

Estimation of settlement due to structure loads and beneath embankments is a common geotechnical design requirement. For structures, this settlement has a direct impact on the loads induced in a structure, affecting the structural design of the structure. For embankments, settlement can affect pavement design, impacts to adjacent structures, differential settlement between the bridge abutment and the approach fill, down drag loads on structure foundations, and the need to add fill to reestablish the embankment grade.

Settlement estimation methods typically used (e.g., Hough 1959) were developed decades ago, were based on limited data, and were not specifically developed for Washington soils. Settlement data collected for WSDOT projects can be used to assess the accuracy of these existing methods for soils commonly encountered in the state of Washington.

# BACKGROUND FOR AND SUMMARY OF MOST COMMONLY USED SETTLEMENT PREDICTION METHODS

Two empirical settlement prediction methods have been commonly used for WSDOT projects to estimate "elastic" (i.e., not time dependent, but immediate) settlement in cohesionless soils: the Hough Method, and the Schmertmann Method, though the Schmertmann Method does have an empirical coefficient that can be used to simulate potential time dependency. Of these two methods, the Hough Method has been the most often used for WSDOT projects. Settlement of cohesionless soils usually occurs rapidly, essentially as soon as the foundation is loaded. Hence, this type of settlement is often characterized as elastic.

Note that it may be necessary to use more than one settlement estimation approach for layered profiles consisting of a combination of cohesive soil, cohesionless soil and/or rock. For example, for a cohesive soil layer, the settlement should be estimated using consolidation theory in combination with undisturbed soil samples tested using a laboratory consolidation test. For the cohesionless soil layers, the settlement estimation methods described in this report should be used. An appropriate settlement estimation procedure for each layer within the zone of influence of induced stress beneath the footing or embankment should be used.

Settlement prediction requires knowledge of:

- The applied stress increase due to the structure footing or embankment,
- The rate at which the stress increase dissipates with depth below the structure footing or embankment, and
- The compression characteristics of the soil layers (e.g., as estimated using the Hough or Schmertmann methods).

#### Prediction of Stress Increase as a Function of Depth

To estimate settlement, the stress increase at various depths below the applied load (i.e., due to the foundation or embankment load) must be estimated. Typically the estimation of stress increase as a function of depth is accomplished with linear elastic half-space methods such as by Boussinesq (1885) or Westegaard (1938). While most soils are not elastic materials, the theory of elasticity is the most widely used methodology to estimate the stress distribution in a soil deposit from a surface load.

The equations for the theory of elasticity have been incorporated into design charts and tables for typical loading scenarios, such as below a foundation or an embankment. Almost all foundation engineering textbooks include these charts. For convenience, charts to evaluate embankment loading are included as figures 1 and 2.



Figure 1. Influence factors for vertical stress under a very long embankment (after Osterberg 1957 as reported in Holtz and Kovacs, 1981).



Figure 2. Influence values for vertical stress under the corners of a triangular load of limited length (after NAVFAC, 1971 as reported in Holtz and Kovacs, 1981).

The distribution of vertical stress increase below circular or square foundations, and long rectangular footings (i.e., where L > 5B), may be estimated using Figure 3.



Figure 3. Boussinesq Vertical Stress Contours for Continuous and Square Footings Modified after Sowers (1979).

A more simplified approach that is sometimes used to estimate stress distribution at depth is the 2V:1H (vertical to horizontal) method. This empirical approach is based on the assumption that the area the load acts over increases geometrically with depth as depicted in Figure 4. Since the same vertical load is spread over a much larger area at depth, the unit stress decreases.



Figure 4. 2V:1H method to estimate vertical stress increase as a function of depth below ground (after Holtz and Kovacs, 1981).

The Hough Method uses the methods described above to estimate the stress increase at various depths below the applied load. The Schmertmann Method, however, uses its own approach developed from finite element modeling and greatly reduced scale laboratory experiments to estimate the stress and strain increase at various depths below the applied load (Schmertmann et al., 1978). Since the Schmertmann Method stress increase as a function of depth was originally developed for rigid footings, it may not be as applicable to embankment settlement.

#### The Hough Method

Estimation of settlement on cohesionless soils using the Hough Method is determined using Eqs. 1 and 2. Standard Penetration Test (SPT) blow counts are corrected as specified in the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications (AASHTO 2017), Article 10.4.6.2.4, for depth, i.e. overburden stress, and hammer efficiency, before correlating the SPT blow counts to the bearing capacity index, *C*'.

$$S_e = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta H_i \tag{1}$$

in which:

$$\Delta H_i = H_c \frac{1}{C'} \log \left( \frac{\sigma'_o + \Delta \sigma_v}{\sigma'_o} \right)$$
(2)

where:

n = number of soil layers within zone of stress influence of the footing

- $\Delta H_i$  = elastic settlement of layer *i* (ft)
- $H_C$  = initial height of layer *i* (ft)
- C' = bearing capacity index from Figure 5 (dim)
- $\sigma'_o$  = initial vertical effective stress at the midpoint of layer *i* (ksf)
- $\Delta \sigma_v$  = increase in vertical stress at the midpoint of layer *i* (ksf)

Figure 5 provides the empirical correlation between the Hough bearing capacity index C' and the corrected SPT blow counts,  $N1_{60}$ .



Figure 5. Bearing Capacity Index versus Corrected SPT (Hough, 1959, as modified in Samtani and Nowatzki, 2006)

The Hough Method was developed for normally consolidated cohesionless soils. The "Inorganic Silt" curve should generally not be applied to soils that exhibit plasticity. The settlement characteristics of cohesive soils that exhibit plasticity should be investigated using undisturbed samples and laboratory consolidation tests.

The Hough Method has several advantages over other methods used to estimate settlement in cohesionless soil deposits, including express consideration of soil layering and the zone of stress influence beneath a footing of finite size.

The subsurface soil profile should be subdivided into layers based on stratigraphy to a depth of about three times the footing width. The maximum layer thickness should be about 10 ft.

While Hough (1959) did not specifically state that the SPT N values should be corrected for hammer energy in addition to overburden pressure, due to the vintage of the original work, hammers that typically have an efficiency of approximately 60 percent were in general used to develop the empirical correlations contained in the method. If using SPT hammers with efficiencies that differ significantly from this 60 percent value, the *N* values should also be corrected for hammer energy, in effect requiring that  $N1_{60}$  be used (Samtani and Nowatzki, 2006).

Studies conducted by Gifford et al. (1987) and Samtani and Nowatzki (2006) indicate that Hough's procedure is more conservative, but has less prediction variability, than the Schmertmann Method. However, this difference is mostly taken into account through the load factor,  $\gamma_{SE}$ , since it has been calibrated using reliability theory (Kulicki, et al., 2015; Samtani and Kulicki, 2018; and Samtani and Allen, 2018).

#### The Schmertmann Method

The Schmertmann Method was originally developed for rigid footings in sand using CPT data (Schmertmann 1970; Schmertmann et al. 1978), and has also been adapted for use with SPT data in Samtani and Nowatzki (2006). This method was originally developed for use with the static cone bearing resistance  $q_c$ , in which  $q_c$  was correlated to the soil modulus, E, and E is used directly in this method. The original formulation by Schmertmann (1970) for this correlation assumed E was in units of tsf (i.e., E (in tsf) =  $2q_c$  (in tsf or kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). The correlation in Table 1 predicts E in ksi. Correlations between E and the SPT N value are also available and provided in Table 1.

Equations 3 through 6 are used to estimate spread footing immediate, or elastic, settlement, *S<sub>i</sub>*, on cohesionless soils by this method (Samtani and Nowatzki 2006).

$$S_i = C_1 C_2 \Delta p \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta J_i$$
(3)

in which:

$$\Delta J_i = H_c \left( \frac{I_z}{144 \, XE} \right) \tag{4}$$

$$C_I = 1 - 0.5 \left(\frac{p_o}{\Delta p}\right) \ge 0.5 \tag{5}$$

$$C_2 = 1 + 0.2 \log_{10} \left( \frac{t}{0.1} \right) \tag{6}$$

where:

 $\Delta J_i$  = elastic spring stiffness of layer *i* (ft/ksf)

 $H_C$  = height of compressible soil layer *i* (ft)

- $I_z$  = strain influence factor from Figure 6. The dimension  $B_f$  represents the least lateral dimension of the footing after correction for eccentricities, i.e. use least lateral effective footing dimension. The strain influence factor is a function of depth and is obtained from the strain influence diagram. The strain influence diagram is constructed for the axisymmetric case  $(L_f/B_f = 1)$  and the plane strain case  $(L_f/B_f \ge 10)$  as shown in Figure 6a. The strain influence diagram for intermediate conditions should be determined by simple linear interpolation.
- n = number of soil layers within the zone of strain influence (strain influence diagram).
- $\Delta p$  = net uniform applied stress (load intensity) at the foundation depth (see Figure 6b) (ksf).
- E = elastic modulus of layer i based on guidance provided in Table 1 (ksi).
- X = a factor used to determine the value of elastic modulus. If the value of elastic modulus is based on correlations with  $NI_{60}$ -values or  $q_c$  from Table 1, then values of X are as follows:

X = 1.25 for axisymmetric case  $(L_f/B_f = 1)$ X = 1.75 for plane strain case  $(L_f/B_f \ge 10)$ Use interpolation for footings with values of  $L_f/B_f$  between 1 and 10. If the value of elastic modulus is based on other sources, such as in-situ testing (e.g., pressuremeter), use X = 1.0.

- $C_1$  = correction factor to incorporate the effect of strain relief due to embedment
- $p_o$  = effective in-situ overburden stress at the foundation depth pressure as shown in Figure 6b (ksf)
- $\Delta p$  = net uniform applied stress (load intensity) at the foundation depth as shown in Figure 6b (ksf).
- $C_2$  = correction factor to incorporate time-dependent (creep) increase in settlement for time *t* after construction
- t = time t from completion of construction to date under consideration for evaluation of C<sub>2</sub> (yrs)

The  $C_2$  parameter is not used to estimate time-dependent consolidation settlements. Where consolidation settlement can occur within the depth of the strain distribution diagram, the magnitude of the consolidation settlement should be estimated from consolidation tests conducted on undisturbed samples (see AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Article 10.6.2.4.3) and added to the immediate settlement of other layers within the strain distribution diagram where consolidation settlement may not occur.

The variables in the equation for  $\Delta J_i$  (Equation 4) require specific units for  $H_c$  (ft) and E (provided in Table 1) is in ksi. This results in the units for  $\Delta J_i$  being ft/ksf. Furthermore, in Equation 3 and 5, units of  $p_o$  and  $\Delta p$  must be ksf.

For  $C_2$  correction factor the time duration, t, in Eq. 6 is set to 0.1 years to evaluate the settlement immediately after construction, i.e.,  $C_2 = 1$ . If long-term creep deformation of the soil is suspected then an appropriate time duration, *t*, should be used in the computation of  $C_2$ . Creep deformation is not the same as consolidation settlement. This factor can have an important influence on the reported settlement since it is included in Eq. 3 as a multiplier. For example, the  $C_2$  factor for time durations of 0.1 yrs, 1 yr, 10 yrs and 50 yrs are 1.0, 1.2, 1.4 and 1.54, respectively. In cohesionless soils and unsaturated fine-grained silts with low plasticity, time durations of 0.1 yr and 1 yr, respectively, are generally appropriate and sufficient for cases of static loads.

20



#### <u>(b)</u>

Figure 6—(a) Simplified vertical strain influence factor distributions, (b) Explanation of pressure terms in equation for Izp (Samtani and Notatzki, 2006, after Schmertmann, et al., 1978).

# Table 1: Correlations between Elastic Soil Modulus and SPT N160 or static Cone q<sub>c</sub> values for the Schmertmann Method (Schmertmann 1970, and Samtani and Nowatzki 2006).

Correlation between <i>E</i> and SPT N1 <sub>60</sub> Value		
Soil Type	E (ksi)	
Silts, sandy silts, slightly cohesive mixtures	0.056 N1 <sub>60</sub>	
Clean fine to medium sands and slightly silty sands	0.097 N1 <sub>60</sub>	
Coarse sands and sands with little gravel	0.139 N1 <sub>60</sub>	
Sandy gravel and gravels	0.167 N1 <sub>60</sub>	
Correlation between E and qc (static cone resistance, in tsf or		
<b>kg/cm</b> <sup>2</sup> )		
Soil Type	E (ksi)	
Sandy soils	$0.028q_{c}$	

#### **OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF THIS REPORT**

The objective of this report is to summarize several WSDOT case histories in which settlement was measured and compare those measured settlements to the predicted settlement using both the Hough and Schmertmann methods. The data from these case histories can then be used to begin developing a database of predicted and estimated settlements to refine WSDOT design practice. The scope of this report is limited to cases in which the native soils are generally cohesionless in nature (i.e., silts, sands, and gravels). While some of the case histories have included foundation elements, in those cases, new fill was adjacent to or even surrounding the foundation elements such that the total load applied to the foundation soil is strongly influenced by the fill load.

#### **CASE HISTORY DESCRIPTIONS**

Case histories addressed in this report are as follows:

- SR395, Francis Avenue to US2 Structures, BNSF Railroad Overcrossing Tunnel
- SR522, University of Washington Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls
- I-5, I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange

#### SR395, BNSF Railroad Tunnel

This project involved construction of a spread footing supported (arch) tunnel to allow the proposed State Route (SR) 395 alignment/fill to pass over the existing Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Railroad at the north end of Spokane, Washington. The project location is shown in Figure 7. The arch had a clear span (i.e., interior width) of approximately 51 feet at the base, and the footings were designed for a service limit state bearing stress of approximately 7 kips per square foot to keep the footing stress approximately the same as the added overburden stress due to the fill located beside the tunnel. A cross-section of the tunnel is provided in Figure 8, and a tunnel footing detail is provided in Figure 9. The proposed embankment over the existing BNSF tracks varied from 50 to 58 feet in total height. Figure 10 shows the tunnel and fill under construction. Due to the large amount of fill over and around the tunnel, the fill footprint is what controlled the stress increase with depth below the tunnel.

The subsurface conditions consisted of loose to dense sands above granitic bedrock at depths of 45 to 110 feet. The layout of the tunnel and the soil boring locations are shown in Figure 11. A longitudinal soil profile along the tunnel is provided in Figure 12.

Settlement predictions and measurements for this case history were conducted at eight locations along the tunnel alignment. The settlement estimates and measurements near the ends of the tunnel were treated as outliers for this study due to the extreme changes in fill/tunnel geometry that happen there. Therefore, only data at test holes RR-2-04 through RR-7-04 are used, corresponding to Site No's 1 through 6, respectively.

24



Figure 7. SR395 BNSF Railroad tunnel vicinity map.



Figure 8. Cross-section of spread footing supported arch tunnel.



Figure 9. Close-up of spread footing that supports arch tunnel.



Figure 10. Overview showing arch and fill under construction.



Figure 11. Plan view of tunnel showing boring locations.



Figure 12. Geologic profile along tunnel showing subsurface conditions.

	2020-
	2000-
	-
	1980-
4	-
0.23 LT.	1960-
•	-
	1940—
	_
- ?	1920-
- ? -	
	1900-
	-
	1880-
	-
	1860—
	-
	1840-
	-
	1820-
S.R. <u>395</u> C.S. <u>320</u>	
tures BNSF	Tunnel
WASHINGTON STATE	DATE 1/2005
SPORTATION COMMISSION RTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	SCALE 1"=30" VERT.
ATERIALS BRANCH	1"#150" HDRIZ. SHEET 3_ OF 4_
BAKER MATERIALS ENGINEER	DRAWN BY DWG

# SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls

This project involved construction of a number of transportation and associated infrastructure improvements intended to provide improved access to the University of Washington Bothell/Cascadia Community College campus from SR522 in Bothell, Washington. The project location is shown in Figure 13.

One of the project infrastructure improvements consisted of widening the SR522/28N Bridge and constructing geosynthetic approach walls (Walls 9 and 10) to accommodate this widening. The walls are located on the north side of the proposed widening, and they both were designed to match to the curtain walls of the bridge widening. Wall 9 is on the west abutment, and Wall 10 is on the east abutment. The general plan locations of the walls along with a subsurface profile are provided in figures 14 and 15, respectively. The walls were generally 7 to 8 feet tall in the areas monitored, and approximately 175 ft long. At the settlement plate locations, the soil was characterized as very loose to medium dense interbedded silt, organic silt, clayey sand, silty sand, and poorly-graded sand for the upper 10 ft to 20 ft (recent alluvium). Below that, it transitioned to a very dense silty sand and gravel (glacial till). Groundwater at this site was generally encountered within the upper 10 feet to 20 feet of the deposit. Due to near surface organic soils, the top 3 ft of soils at Wall 9 were over-excavated and replaced with compacted gravel borrow. Both walls were surcharged by over-building the wall by 5 feet in height, which was removed after the majority of the settlement occurred.

Settlements were predicted and measured at one location for each wall. These two locations are designated as Site No's 7 (i.e., Wall 9) and 8 (i.e., Wall 10).



Figure 13. SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls vicinity map.



Figure 14. Plan View of Sites No. 7 and No. 8.





#### I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange

This project involved construction of a number of transportation and associated infrastructure improvements intended to provide improved access from I-5 to SR432 and Longview, Washington. The project location is shown in Figure 16. Deep soft soils at the project location created geotechnical design complications due to settlement and liquefaction effects such as lateral spreading and liquefaction induced settlement that had to be addressed during design and monitored during construction. Liquefaction problems were addressed in the vicinity of the structures through the use of stone columns.

Settlements were predicted and monitored at 16 sites across the project area, including both areas where stone columns were installed to mitigate liquefaction problems and areas where fill and wall settlement due to the static loads was expected. These sites were located along fill walls, embankments and bridge approaches. There were only five of the 16 settlement plates that were not installed in stone column improved areas, and these five settlement plates were used in this study. The locations of these five settlement plates were used to monitor settlement under fill embankments, a geosynthetic wall, and under a pre-load embankment between bridge abutments during the construction of the I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange. These five individual sites are designated as Site No's 9 to 13.

The new embankments typically ranged from 20 ft to 30 ft in height. The geosynthetic wall was approximately 17 feet tall at the settlement plate location, and the pre-load embankment was typically 20 feet tall. A photograph of the pre-load embankment during construction is presented in Figure 17, and its cross-section is presented in Figure 18. The subsurface conditions consisted of up to 100 feet of very loose to medium dense sandy silt (alluvium), underlain by very dense sand, silt, or bedrock. Plan views of the approximate settlement plate locations including boring locations are provided in figures 19 through 21. Typical sections with soil profile data are provided in figures 22 through 24.

34



Figure 16. I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange vicinity map.



Figure 17. P-line Bridge Pre-load between Abutments (Sites No.10 and No.11).



Figure 18. Typical Section of Pre-load for the P-Line Bridge at Sites No.10 and No.11 (width of structure was assumed to be 40 ft).



Figure 19. Plan View of Site No. 9, Section 15+00, on the P-Line with Boring Locations.


Figure 20. Plan View of Sites No.10 and No.11, the P-Line Bridge Pre-Load Area with Boring Locations.



Figure 21. Plan View of Sites No.12 and No.13, Embankment between Stations 19+87 and 23+83 on the R-Line with Boring Locations.



Figure 22. Site No.9, P-Line Cross-Section at Station 15+00 (The location of site/settlement plate No.9 was not underlain by stone columns).





Figure 23. Profile of P-Line Bridge for Sites/Settlement Plates No.10 and No.11.



Figure 24. Typical Embankment Cross-Section for Sites/Settlement Plates No.12 and No.13 (R-Line Stations 19+87 and 23+83).

#### CASE HISTORY SETTLEMENT PREDICTIONS

#### SR395, BNSF Railroad Tunnel

Settlements were predicted and monitored at six sites along the locations of the spread footings for the tunnel structure. For design of the structure, settlements were estimated using the Hough Method. The combined footing/fill applied stress ranged from 650 psf near the ends of the tunnel to 9,000 psf near the mid-point of the tunnel length where the fill depth was deepest. The footing was essentially considered to be infinitely long to determine the distribution of stress with depth below the footing/fill. The soil was characterized as a clean uniform sand for much of the deposit, with layers of well graded silty sand and gravel and well graded fine to medium sand. The sand was loose to medium dense in the upper 35 to 40 ft of the deposit, and was medium dense to dense below that. Ground water at this site was deep and was not a factor in the design. Settlement at a given point along the tunnel was estimated using the depth of fill over the tunnel was variable due to the fill side slopes and due to the extreme skew of the tunnel relative to the fill centerline – Figure 10 provides an illustration of the fill depth that was to be placed over the tunnel.

Table 2 provides a summary of one of the Hough Method settlement calculations. This example calculation was conducted near the halfway point along the tunnel's length. The input parameters used for the Hough analysis as well as the calculated values are summarized in this table and are based on Test Hole RR-5-04 (i.e., Site No. 4). All of the predicted settlements along the tunnel profile, using the Hough Method, are provided in Figure 25.

Settlement of this tunnel was also estimated by others using the Schmertmann Method (Naresh Samtani, personal communication, 1-27-2018). Table 3 provides a summary of Schmertmann Method settlement calculations at the same location (Test Hole RR-5-04) where the Hough Method calculations were performed in Table 2. The input parameters used for the Schmertmann analysis as well as the calculated values are summarized in this table. The soil modulus E was obtained through correlation to SPT N values (see Table 1). All of the predicted settlements along the tunnel profile, using the Schmertmann Method, are provided in Table 4.

Depth Below Footing (ft)	SPT N Field (bpf)	Soil γ <sub>sat</sub> (pcf)	N'/N	SPT N' Corrected	Material Type	Hough C'	Effective Stress (psf)	I	Stress Change (psf)	Cumm. Settle. (in)
0 (N/A)		125	2.00	14	1	70	125	0.000	0.00	0.00
4	7		1.76	12	1	66	625	0.993	6580	0.85
9	7		1.12	8	1	55	1250	0.992	6576	1.77
14	8		0.96	8	1	54	1875	0.991	65690	2.55
19	9		0.88	8	1	55	2500	0.990	6562	3.20
24	13		0.82	11	1	61	3125	0.988	6554	3.73
29	12		0.77	9	1	58	3750	0.987	6544	4.19
34	8		0.73	6	4	36	4375	0.986	6534	4.69
39	22		0.66	15	2	64	5000	0.984	6523	5.19
44	50		0.60	30	2	102	5625	0.982	6512	5.43
49	37		0.60	22	2	82	6250	0.980	6500	5.64
54	24		0.60	14	2	64	6875	0.978	6487	5.90
59	10		0.60	6	4	36	7500	0.976	6474	6.23
64	24		0.60	14	2	64	8125	0.974	6460	6.58
74	43		0.60	26	2	91	9375	0.970	6432	6.95
80	50		0.60	30	2	102	10130	0.967	6414	7.12
85	41		0.60	25	2	88	10750	0.965	6398	7.25
90	19		0.60	11	2	57	11380	0.963	6382	7.42
95	23		0.60	14	2	62	12000	0.960	6366	7.61
100	38		0.60	23	2	83	12500	0.958	6350	7.76
105	19		0.60	11	2	57	12810	0.955	6333	7.92
107							12880	0.955	6330	7.95

Table 2. Summary of example Hough analysis (analyzed near mid-point of tunnellength) for BNSF Railroad tunnel, based on Test Hole RR-5-04 (Site No. 4).

		Layer			Raw	Corrected				
Layer	ΔZ	Mid-	Layer Midpoint		N-	N-Val.			$\Delta Z(I_z/E)$	Settle.
No.	( <b>ft</b> )	Depth	Depth Below D <sub>f</sub>	USCS	Value	N160	Iz	E (ksf)	(ft/ksf)	S (ft)
1	4.6	2.3	2.3		11.0	11.0	0.201	269	0.00343	0.0227
2	5	7.1	7.1	SP	7.0	13.0	0.202	318	0.00318	0.0211
3	5	12.1	12.1	SP	7.0	10.8	0.204	265	0.00385	0.0255
4	5	17.1	17.1	SP	8.0	10.9	0.206	267	0.00384	0.0255
5	5	22.1	22.1	SP	9.0	11.1	0.207	273	0.00380	0.0252
6	5	27.1	27.1	SP	13.0	14.8	0.209	362	0.00288	0.0191
7	5	32.1	32.1	SP	12.0	12.7	0.210	310	0.00339	0.0226
8	5	37.1	37.1	SM	8.0	7.9	0.212	138	0.00767	0.0509
9	5	42.1	42.1	SW	22.0	20.4	0.214	714	0.00150	0.0099
10	5	47.1	47.1	SW	50.0	43.7	0.215	1530	0.00070	0.0047
11	5	52.1	52.1	SW-SM	37.0	30.6	0.217	750	0.00145	0.0096
12	5	57.1	57.1	SP	24.0	18.8	0.218	462	0.00237	0.0157
13	5	62.1	62.1	SM	10.0	7.5	0.220	131	0.00843	0.0559
14	10	69.6	69.6	SP	24.0	17.1	0.222	418	0.00533	0.0353
15	5	77.1	77.1	GW	43.0	27.8	0.225	1168	0.00096	0.0064
16	5	82.1	82.1	SP	50.0	30.9	0.226	757	0.00150	0.0099
17	5	87.1	87.1	SP	41.0	24.2	0.228	594	0.00192	0.0127
18	5	92.1	92.1	SM	19.0	10.7	0.230	188	0.00611	0.0405
19	5	97.1	97.0	GW	23.0	12.5	0.231	523	0.00221	0.0147
20	5	102.1	102.1	GW	38.0	19.7	0.233	827	0.00141	0.0093
21	5	107.1	107.1	GW	19.0	9.6	0.235	1000000	0.00000	0.00001
22	100	159.6	159.6	GW	55.0	27.3	0.252	1000000	0.00003	0.00017
23	100	259.6	259.6	GW	100.0	100	0.284	1000000	0.00003	0.00019
24	100	359.6	359.6	GW	100.0	100	0.316	1000000	0.00003	0.00021
25	100	459.6	459.6	GW	100.0	100	0.348	1000000	0.00003	0.00023
26	100	559.6	559.6	GW	100.0	100	0.381	1000000	0.00004	0.00025
27	100	659.6	659.6	GW	100.0	100	0.413	1000000	0.00004	0.00027
28	100	759.6	759.6	GW	100.0	100	0.445	1000000	0.00004	0.00030
29	100	859.6	859.6	GW	100.0	100	0.477	1000000	0.00005	0.00032
30	100	959.6	959.6	GW	100.0	100	0.510	1000000	0.00005	0.00034
31	100	1059.6	1059.6	GW	100.0	100	0.513	1000000	0.00005	0.00034
32	100	1159.6	1159.6	GW	100.0	100	0.495	1000000	0.00005	0.00033
33	100	1259.6	1259.6	GW	100.0	100	0.478	1000000	0.00005	0.00032
34	100	1359.6	1359.6	GW	100.0	100	0.461	1000000	0.00005	0.00031
35	100	1459.6	1459.6	GW	100.0	100	0.443	1000000	0.00004	0.00029
30	100	1559.6	1559.6	GW	100.0	100	0.426	1000000	0.00004	0.00028
<u>3/</u>	100	1039.6	1039.0		100.0	100	0.408	1000000	0.00004	0.00027
38	100	1/59.0	1/59.0	GW	100.0	100	0.391	1000000	0.00004	0.00026
39	100	1050 6	1039.0	GW	100.0	100	0.373	1000000	0.00004	0.00023
40	100	1939.0	1939.0	UW	100.0	100	0.330	1000000	0.00004	0.00024
Coloulo	to C1						1	000	<u>Σ</u> =	0.442
Calculate C2 1.000										
Immed	Immediate Settlement (Start to and of construction)     0.442 ft     5.21     inches									
Creen	Infineurate Settlement (Start to end of construction)       U.442 II       5.51       Incnes         Croop (Long Torm) Sottlement (During Design Life)       0.000 ft       0.00 ft       0.00 inches									
Tatal	attle	omt (84	of Corret 42 1	dootan He		0.00	<b>) II (</b>		<b>,</b>	
1 otal S	ettiem	ieni (Stari	or Const. to end of	uesign ille)	1	U.44	⊿n 3	o.51 inches	S I	

Table 3. Summary of example Schmertmann analysis (analyzed near mid-point oftunnel length) for BNSF Railroad tunnel, based on Test Hole RR-5-04 (Site No. 4).

Test Hole Number	Settlement (inches)
RR-2-04 (Site No. 1)	1.26
RR-3-04 (Site No. 2)	3.50
RR-4-04 (Site No. 3)	5.04
RR-5-04 (Site No. 4)	5.31
RR-6-04 (Site No. 5)	2.98
RR-7-04 (Site No. 6)	2.28

Table 4. Summary of Estimated Settlements using Schmertmann Method for the<br/>BNSF Railroad tunnel.

### SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls

Settlements were predicted and monitored at each of the two geosynthetic approach walls (Walls 9 and 10, corresponding to Site No's 7 and 8). For design of the walls, settlements were estimated using the Hough Method. The applied design stress was approximately 1,625 psf at the settlement plates. The wall footings were essentially considered to be infinitely long, and Figure 1 was used to determine the distribution of stress with depth below the footing/fill.

Table 5 provides a summary of one of the Hough Method settlement calculations, and Table 6 summarizes the predicted settlements for both wall locations. The example calculation was conducted for the Wall 9 settlement plate at Site #7. The input parameters used for the Hough analysis as well as the calculated values summarized in Table 5 are based on Test Hole H-32-02. A detailed summary of the Schmertmann Method settlement estimate for Site No. 7 provided by others (Naresh Samtani, personal communication, 1-27-2018) is shown in Table 7, and Table 8 summarizes the predicted settlements for both wall locations.

Depth Below Wall (ft)	SPT N Field (bpf)	γ <sub>sat</sub> (pcf)	N'/N	SPT N' Corrected	Material Type	Hough C'	Effective Stress (psf)	Ι	Stress Change (psf)	Cumm. Settlement (in.)
1.5	35	130	1.78	83	2	300	195	1	1625	0.12
6.4	14	90	1.36	25	6	49	694	0.83	1349	0.90
13.1	64	90	1.15	98	6	158	1301	0.56	910	1.01
18.3	64	130	1.03	88	4	140	1833	0.43	699	1.05
22.5	50	67.6	0.97	64	4	235	2229	0.36	585	1.08
27.5	50	130	0.90	59	4	97	2723	0.3	488	1.13

Table 5. Summary of Hough analysis at Site #7, based on Test Hole H-32-02.

Site #	Settlement Prediction (in)
H-32-02 (Site 7)	1.1
H-31-02 (Site 8)	0.8

 Table 6. Hough settlement predictions at settlement plate at Site No's 7 and 8.

	Table 7.	Schmertmann	analysis at	Site No. 7.	based on	Test Hole H-32	-02.
--	----------	-------------	-------------	-------------	----------	----------------	------

		Layer	Layer Midpoint		Raw	Corrected				
Layer	ΔZ	Mid-	Depth		N-	N-Val.			$\Delta Z(I_z/E)$	Settle
No.	(ft)	Depth	Below D <sub>f</sub>	USCS	Value	N160	Iz	E (ksf)	(ft/ksf)	<b>S</b> (ft)
1	3	1.5	1.5		35	35.0	0.288	858	0.00101	0.00166
2	7	6.5	6.5	CL	14	28.0	0.580	392	0.01036	0.01709
3	5	12.5	12.5	ML	64	100	0.450	1400	0.00161	0.00265
4	5	17.5	17.5	SM	50	75.5	0.305	1322	0.00115	0.00190
5	5	22.5	22.5	ML	50	69.1	0.160	968	0.00082	0.00136
6	5	27.5	27.5	ML	50	62.3	0.015	872	0.00008	0.00014
7	5	32.5	32.5	SM	50	59.4	0.000	1039	0.00000	0.00000
8	5	37.5	37.5	ML	50	54.7	0.000	766	0.00000	0.00000
9	5	42.5	42.5	SM	50	50.8	0.000	890	0.00000	0.00000
									Σ=	0.025

Calculate C1		1.000	
Calculate C2		1.000	
Immediate Settlement (Start to end of construction)	0.025 ft	0.31	inches
Creep (Long-Term) Settlement (During Design Life)	0.000 ft	0.00	inches
Calculate Total Settlement (Start of Const. to end of design life)	0.025 ft	0.31	inches

## Table 8. Summary of Estimated Settlements using Schmertmann Method for SR522 UWB CCC Campus S. Access.

Test Hole Location	Settlement, inches
H-32-02 (Site 7)	0.31
H-31-02 (Site 8)	0.30

#### I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange

Settlements were predicted and monitored at sixteen sites across the project area. These sites were along fill walls, embankments and bridge approaches. There were only six of the settlement plates that were not installed in stone column improved areas, and these six settlement plates were used in this study. For design of the wall and embankments, settlements were estimated using the Hough Method. The applied stress was approximately1,620 psf for site No.9, 2,700 psf for site No's 10 and 11 and 4,400 psf for site No's 12 and 13. The wall footing at site No. 9 was essentially considered to be infinitely long, and Figure 3 was used to determine the distribution of stress with depth below the footing/fill. The embankments at site No's 10 through 13 were essentially considered to be infinitely long, and Figure 1 was used to determine the distribution of stress with depth below the fill. The soil at the sites was generally characterized as loose to very dense sand and gravel with varying amounts of silt (fill) for the upper 10 ft to 15ft. It transitioned to a very loose to medium dense sandy silt (alluvium) to depths of 100 ft to 150 ft, underlain by very dense sand, silt, or bedrock. Groundwater at this site was generally encountered within the upper 10 feet of the deposit.

Table 9 provides a summary of one of the Hough Method settlement calculations. This example calculation was conducted for the preload embankment on the P-Line for settlement plates No.10 and No.11. The input parameters used for the Hough analysis as well as the calculated values are summarized in this table and are based on Test Hole H-23-08. Predicted settlements at each of the settlement plates, using the Hough Method, are provided in Table 10.

Settlements for the Talley Way Interchange were also estimated by others using the Schmertmann Method (Naresh Samtani, personal communication, 1-27-2018). Table 11 provides a summary of Schmertmann Method settlement calculations for Site No's 10 and 11 as an example. The input parameters used for the Schmertmann analysis as well as the calculated values are summarized in this table. All of the predicted settlements using the Schmertmann Method for this case history are provided in Table 12. Settlement analyses for Site No's 10 through 13 were performed using CPT results since the logs for test holes at these locations showed a SPT N-value of zero at some depths. For such cases, it is not possible to obtain a reliable value of elastic modulus, since the elastic modulus is a direct function of the N-value. In these cases, results from CPTs in the vicinity of the test holes were utilized. When CPTs were used, the elastic modulus,  $E_s$ , was calculated using  $E_s$  (tsf) = 3.5q<sub>c</sub>, where q<sub>c</sub> is the cone tip resistance. This is based on the equation in Table 1 where E (ksi) =  $0.028q_c$  which  $q_c$  is in tsf. For E in tsf, E = 2.0qc. Since the wall geometry can be considered to be plane-strain (i.e., its length is much greater than its width), the elastic modulus is multiplied by X=1.75. Thus, E (tsf) =  $(1.75)(2.0)q_c = 3.5q_c$ .

Deredle Delerer	SPT N	Soil		SPT N'	Mater	II	Effective		Stress	Cumm.
Depth Below	Field	γsat	N'/N	Cor-	-ial	Hough	Stress	Ι	Change	Settlement
Embank. (It)	(bpf)	(pcf)		rected	No.	C	(psf)		(psf)	( <b>in</b> )
2	5	120	2.00	10	2	54	115	1	2700	0.31
7	6	120	2.00	12	2	58	403	1.00	2691	1.41
12	23	120	1.64	38	2	125	691	0.98	2655	1.77
14	15*	120	1.48	22	2	80	806	0.97	2631	1.92
15	10*	100	1.43	15	5	43	844	0.97	2617	2.09
17	2	100	1.35	3	5	27	919	0.96	2586	2.56
24	1	100	1.16	1	5	25	1182	0.91	2452	4.28
29	3	100	1.07	3	5	27	1370	0.87	2341	5.32
32	0	100	1.04	0	5	23	1483	0.84	2273	5.92
37	3	100	1.00	3	5	27	1671	0.80	2159	6.80
42	0	100	0.97	0	5	23	1859	0.76	2047	7.62
51	3	100	0.92	3	5	27	2198	0.69	1860	8.86
57	0	100	0.89	0	5	23	2423	0.65	1746	9.58
64	0	100	0.86	0	5	23	2686	0.60	1624	10.36
67	1	100	0.85	1	5	24	2799	0.58	1576	10.65
74	0	100	0.82	0	5	23	3062	0.55	1472	11.29
77	0	100	0.81	0	5	23	3175	0.53	1430	11.54
84	5	100	0.79	4	5	28	3438	0.50	1341	12.03
87	9	100	0.79	7	5	32	3551	0.48	1305	12.19
94	3	100	0.77	2	5	26	3814	0.45	1228	12.56
97	5	100	0.76	4	5	28	3927	0.44	1198	12.71
102	6	100	0.75	5	5	29	4115	0.43	1150	12.94
109	6	100	0.73	4	5	29	4378	0.40	1088	13.24
112	6	100	0.72	4	5	29	4491	0.39	1063	13.35
119	4	100	0.69	3	5	27	4754	0.37	1010	13.62
122	6	100	0.68	4	5	28	4867	0.37	988	13.72
129	5	100	0.65	3	5	27	5130	0.35	941	13.95
132	0	100	0.65	0	5	23	5243	0.34	922	14.06
137	2	100	0.65	1	5	25	5431	0.33	892	14.22
141	8*	100	0.60	5	5	30	5582	0.32	869	14.33
142	10*	110	0.60	6	6	23	5629	0.32	864	14.37
144	13	110	0.60	8	6	26	5724	0.32	853	14.42
147	30	110	0.60	18	6	39	5867	0.31	837	14.49
152	30	140	0.60	18	1	81	6135	0.30	812	14.56
157	28	140	0.60	17	1	78	6523	0.29	789	14.60
162	101	140	0.60	61	1	337	6911	0.28	766	14.61

Table 9. Summary of example Hough analysis (site No's 10 and 11), based on TestHole H-23-08.

The asterisk in **Table 9** indicates that the value was interpolated.

Site/Settlement Plate No.	Settlement Prediction (in)
9 (H-28-08)	3.1
10 (H-23-08)	14.6
11 (H-23-08)	14.6
12 (H-38-08)	30.0
13 (H-38-08)	24.1

Table 10. Hough Method Settlement predictions at site No's 9 through No.13.

# Table 11. Summary of example Schmertmann analysis for I-5/SR432 Talley WayInterchange R-Line Station 20+00 based on CPT 10-08 (Site No.12).

		Layer	Layer Midpoint		Raw	Corrected				
Layer	ΔΖ	Mid-	Depth	Hada	N-	N-Val.	Ŧ		$\Delta Z(I_z/E_s)$	Settle.
No.	(ft)	Depth	Below D <sub>f</sub>	USCS	Value	N160		E (kst)	(ft/ksf)	<b>S</b> (ft)
1	4./	2.35	2.35		35.0	35.0	0.209	140	0.00702	0.0310
2	5	1.2	7.20	GM	32.0	59.9	0.228	140	0.00813	0.0359
3	5	12.2	12.20	GM	24.0	37.5	0.247	140	0.00882	0.0390
4	5	17.2	17.20	GM	12.0	17.6	0.266	140	0.00950	0.0420
5	5	22.2	22.20	ML	2.0	2.8	0.285	245	0.00582	0.0257
6	5	27.2	27.20	ML	2.0	2.7	0.304	245	0.00621	0.0275
7	5	32.2	32.20	ML	3.0	3.9	0.324	245	0.00661	0.0292
8	5	37.2	37.20	ML	5.0	6.3	0.343	70	0.02449	0.1083
9	5	42.2	42.20	ML	2.0	2.4	0.362	70	0.02586	0.1143
10	5	47.2	47.20	ML	3.0	3.5	0.381	70	0.02723	0.1204
11	5	52.2	52.20	ML	2.0	2.3	0.400	70	0.02860	0.1264
12	5	57.2	57.20	ML	1.0	1.1	0.420	70	0.02998	0.1325
13	5	62.2	62.20	ML	1.0	1.1	0.439	70	0.03135	0.1386
14	5	67.2	67.20	ML	2.0	2.1	0.458	70	0.03272	0.1446
15	5	72.2	72.20	ML	3.0	3.1	0.477	70	0.03409	0.1507
16	5	77.2	77.20	ML	2.0	2.0	0.496	280	0.00887	0.0392
17	5	82.2	82.20	ML	3.0	3.0	0.516	280	0.00921	0.0407
18	5	87.2	87.20	ML	5.0	4.8	0.535	280	0.00955	0.0422
19	5	92.2	92.20	ML	11.0	10.4	0.554	280	0.00989	0.0437
20	5	97.2	97.20	ML	0.0	0.0	0.573	105	0.02730	0.1207
21	5	102.2	102.20	ML	4.0	3.6	0.580	105	0.02761	0.1220
22	5	107.2	107.20	ML	4.0	3.6	0.570	105	0.02714	0.1200
23	10	114.7	114.70	ML	4.0	3.5	0.555	105	0.05290	0.2338
24	5	122.2	122.20	ML	6.0	5.1	0.541	71	0.03818	0.1687
25	6	127.7	127.70	ML	5.0	4.1	0.530	58	0.05489	0.2426
26	4	132.7	132.70	ML	9.0	7.3	0.520	102	0.02040	0.0902
27	5	137.2	137.20	ML	13.0	10.4	0.512	145	0.01761	0.0778
28	5	142.2	142.20	ML	24.0	18.8	0.502	264	0.00952	0.0421
29	5	147.2	147.20	ML	27.0	20.8	0.492	291	0.00845	0.0373
30	5	152.2	152.20	ML	50.0	37.9	0.482	530	0.00455	0.0201
31	5	157.2	157.20	ML	50.0	37.2	0.473	521	0.00453	0.0200
32	5	162.2	162.20	SP	50.0	36.6	0.463	897	0.00258	0.0114
33	5	167.2	167.20	SP	50.0	36.0	0.453	882	0.00257	0.0114
34	5	172.2	172.20	SP	100.0	100.0	0.443	2450	0.00091	0.0040
35	5	177.2	177.20	SP	100.0	100.0	0.434	2450	0.00089	0.0039

36	5	182.2	182.20	SP	100.0	100.0	0.424	2450	0.00087	0.0038
37	5	187.2	187.20	SP	100.0	100.0	0.414	2450	0.00085	0.0037
38	5	192.2	192.20	SP	100.0	100.0	0.405	2450	0.00083	0.0037
39	5	197.2	197.20	SP	100.0	100.0	0.395	2450	0.00081	0.0036
40	5	202.2	202.20	SP	100.0	100.0	0.385	2450	0.00079	0.0035
									$\Sigma =$	2.78

Calculate C1		1.000	
Calculate C2		1.000	
Immediate Settlement (Start to end of construction)	2.78 ft	33.3	inches
Creep (Long-Term) Settlement (During Design Life)	0.000 ft	0.00	inches
Calculate Total Settlement (Start of Const to end of design life)	2.78 ft	33.3	inches

# Table 12. Summary of Estimated Settlements using Schmertmann Method forI-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange.

Test Hole Location	Settlement, inches
H-28-08 [Site No.9]	4.3
H-23-08 (CPT-5-08) [Site No.10]	11.3
H-23-08 (CPT-5-08) [Site No.11]	11.3
H-38-08 (CPT-10-08) [Site No.12]	33.3
H-38-08 (CPT-10-08) [Site No.13)]	22.3

#### CASE HISTORY SETTLEMENT MEASUREMENTS

#### SR395, BNSF Railroad Tunnel

Settlement was monitored using a survey method, using targets established on the stem wall inside the tunnel. Settlement was monitored beginning with the placement of the targets on the stem walls after it was constructed. Settlement of the ground due to placement of the stem wall structure was assumed to be less than 0.5 inch. Settlement monitoring targets were established on both sides of the tunnel. Final settlement measurement results are provided in Table 13. Once the structure and fill were completed, settlement was also completed (no long-term settlement). For comparison to the predicted settlements along the length of the tunnel, the measured settlements were the average of the two settlement monitoring points (i.e., east and west stem walls) at each section of the tunnel, as shown in Table 13.

Station	Corresponding Site	Measured Settlement (in.)		Average Measured	Hough Method	Schmertmann Method
Station	No. (Boring No.)	West Wall	East Wall	Settlement (in.)	Settlement (in.)	Predicted Settlement (in.)
18+00		3.36	2.52	2.94		2
17+00	Site No. 1 (RR-2-04)	4.20	5.04	4.62	3.8	1.3
16+00		4.20	5.88	5.04		
15+00	Site No. 2 (RR-3-04)	5.64	5.76	5.70	7.2	3.5
14+00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.92	4.32	4.62		
13+00	Site No. 3 (RR-4-04)	4.68	4.56	4.62	7.9	5.0
12+00		4.32	4.08	4.20		
11 + 00		4.44	3.96			
10+50*	Site No. 4 (RR-5-04)			3.87	8.0	5.3
10+00		4.32	2.76			
9+00	Site No. 5 (RR-6-04)	3.24	2.28	2.76	6.3	3.0
8+00		3.24	1.92	2.58		
7+00		2.52	1.32	1.92		
6+00	Site No. 6 (RR-7-04)	3.00	2.04	2.52	5.0	2.3

 Table 13. Final settlement measurements and corresponding predictions for SR395

 BNSF Railroad Tunnel.

\*Since there were no settlement monitoring points at this station, the settlement measurements at both station 10+00 and station 11+00 were averaged together.

<sup>1</sup>Tunnel begins at tunnel station 5+00 and ends at tunnel station 18+24. The roadway alignment stationing increases in the opposite direction, however.

### SR522, University of Washington – Bothell/Cascadia Community College South Campus Access Walls

Settlement was monitored using a survey method. The method consisted of survey of settlement plates. See Figure 25 for the contract settlement plate detail. The settlement plates were placed a foot below the ground surface after clearing and grubbing operations were completed. A steel pipe was welded to the base of the steel plate, and steel riser pipe was used as the fill was placed. The pipe was wide enough for a survey rod to be inserted down to the plate. The plates were surveyed at the beginning and ending of each shift until the engineer allowed survey at longer intervals. Settlement was monitored until the survey readings approached zero vertical displacement. Final settlement measurement results, with their corresponding settlement predictions, are provided in Table 14.

Table 14. Settlement measurements of settlement and corresponding predictions at<br/>settlement plates at sites No's 7 and 8.

Site No.	Settlement Measurement (in)	Hough Method Predicted Settlement (in.)	Schmertmann Method Predicted Settlement (in.)
7	0.37	1.1	0.31
8	0.2	0.8	0.30



Figure 25. Installation Details for Settlement Plates at Site No's 7 and 8.

#### I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange

Settlement was monitored using a survey method. The method consisted of survey of settlement plates. See Figure 26 for the contract settlement plate detail. The settlement plates were placed a foot below the ground surface after clearing and grubbing operations were completed. A steel pipe was welded to the base of the steel plate, and steel riser pipe was used as the fill was placed. The pipe was wide enough for a survey rod to be inserted down to the plate. The plates were surveyed at the beginning and ending of each shift until the engineer allowed survey at longer intervals. Final settlement measurement results, with their corresponding settlement predictions, are provided in Table 15. Settlement was monitored until the survey readings approached zero vertical displacement.



#### TYPICAL SETTLEMENT PLATE

#### NOT TO SCALE

#### Figure 26. Settlement Plate Detail.

	1	8	
	Sattlamont	Hough Method	Schmertmann Method
Site No.	Moosurement (in )	Predicted Settlement	Predicted Settlement
	Weasurement (III.)	(in.)	(in.)*
9	1.3	3.1	4.3
10	12.2	14.6	11.3
11	11.4	14.6	11.3
12	41.0	29.8	33.3
13	24.7	24.1	22.3

# Table 15. Settlement measurements and corresponding predictions at settlementplates No's 9 through 13.

\*Sites 10 through 13 are based on nearest CPT data.

#### CASE HISTORY DATA ANALYSES

Analyses were carried out to assess the ability of each settlement prediction method to accurately predict the settlement that was measured in the case histories presented in this report. This assessment of prediction accuracy is carried out using the bias, which is defined as the measured/predicted value. Predicted values have been generated using the Hough and Schmertmann methods.

Figure 27 shows measured and predicted values for settlement using the Hough Method. Dark symbols represent the WSDOT case history data, whereas the white symbols represent the data presented in Samtani and Allen (2018) except for the WSDOT case histories. This figure shows that the WSDOT cases settlement estimates tend to be conservative relative to the rest of the data presented in Samtani and Allen (2018) and relative to the one-to-one correspondence line shown.



Figure 27. Predicted and measured settlement values for the Hough Method, comparing the WSDOT case history data to the rest of the data presented in Samtani and Allen (2018).

Similar to Figure 27, Figure 28 shows measured and predicted values for settlement using the Schmertmann Method. While data scatter for the Schmertmann Method appears to be greater than for the Hough Method predictions, the Schmertmann Method appears to be a little less conservative than the Hough Method.



Figure 28. Predicted and measured settlement values for the Schmertmann Method, comparing the WSDOT case history data to the rest of the data presented in Samtani and Allen (2018).

Figures 29 and 30 show the same data, but instead prediction bias is shown on the vertical axis. When the bias is less than 1.0, the prediction is conservative (i.e., less settlement occurred than was predicted), and when the bias is greater than 1.0, the prediction is unconservative. Based on these figures, both prediction methods are conservative for settlements of approximately three-fourths inch or more. However, at a settlement of approximately 0.5 inch or less (vertical dotted line in figures), data scatter increases significantly. The increase in data scatter at 0.5 inch or less could not be verified for the WSDOT data, due to lack of WSDOT settlement data less than 0.5 inch.



Figure 30. Bias versus predicted settlement for the Schmertmann Method.

Figure 31 shows the Schmertmann Method predictions for the WSDOT case histories site using CPT and SPT data. While a direct comparison between the SPT and CPT based settlement estimates was not possible, this figure shows that estimates using both types of data track reasonably well on the one-to-one correspondence line.



Figure 31. Measured settlement and Schmertmann Method settlement predictions using both CPT and SPT data for the WSDOT case histories.

Table 16 summarizes the mean and coefficient of variation (i.e., COV) for each case history for both settlement prediction methods. Table 17 summarizes the mean and COV for the case where CPT data are available for estimating settlement using the Schmertmann Method. Based on these results, the Hough Method has less data scatter than the Schmertmann Method when basing the settlement estimates based on SPT data. There were not adequate data available to assess the potential accuracy of CPT based Schmertmann Method estimates, though for the data that were available, the CPT based estimates followed the one-to-one correspondence line fairly closely, indicating that if CPT data are available, the Schmertmann Method has the potential to be the most accurate prediction method. Considering that the Schmertmann Method was developed with CPT data in mind, the potential for the Schmertmann Method to be the most accurate alternative when CPT data are available was not unexpected.

Table 16.	Summary statistics for each settlement case history, for both the Hough
	and Schmertmann methods, based on SPT blow counts.

- - -

. .. ..

Cose History	NI	Hough Method		NI	*Schmertmann Method		
Case mistory	IN	Mean Bias	COV	IN	Mean Bias	COV	
SR395, BNSF	6	0.60	26.5%	6	1 22	55 604	
Railroad Tunnel	0	0.00	20.3%	0	1.55	55.0%	
SR522, U of W	2	0.20	20.80/	2	0.02	40.10/	
Bothell Campus	Z	0.29	20.8%	2	0.95	40.1%	
I-5/SR432 Talley Way	5	0.80	20.10/	1	0.20		
Interchange	3	0.89	39.1%	1	0.30		
All WSDOT Case	13	0.67	16 00/	0	1 13	61 70/	
Histories	13	0.07	40.9%	9	1.15	01.7%	

\*Based on SPT data only.

Table 17. Summary statistics for the case where CPT data are available as the basis for estimating settlement using the Schmertmann Method.

Case History	NI	*Schmertmann Method		
Case History		Mean Bias	COV	
I-5/SR432 Talley Way Interchange	4	1.11	8.2%	

\*Based on CPT data only.

Figures 32 and 33 show the Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDFs) for both the Hough and Schmertmann method predictions, respectively. Both bias and ln(bias) plots are shown. These figures indicate that the data are generally lognormally distributed. This is consistent with the larger data set gathered and analyzed by Samtani and Allen (2018).



Figure 32. Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDFs) of Hough Method prediction bias values for the WSDOT case histories, using (a) bias plotted on a lognormal axis, and (b) ln(bias) plotted on a normal axis.



Figure 33. Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDFs) of Schmertmann Method prediction bias values for the WSDOT case histories, using (a) bias plotted on a lognormal axis, and (b) ln(bias) plotted on a normal axis.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Summarized in this report are comparisons between measured and predicted settlements obtained from three project sites in the State of Washington (13 individual measurements and predictions), two sites in western Washington and one site in eastern Washington. The eastern Washington case is a cut-and-cover tunnel in which the tunnel footing settlement was measured; however, the surrounding fill was the driver regarding the settlement measured there. The two western Washington cases represent bridge abutment fill settlement, though two of the measurement locations included an MSE wall. These measurements and predictions are used to determine the accuracy of two settlement estimation methods, the Hough Method and the Schmertmann Method, in Washington soils. The database of measurements reported here are not comprehensive, but do represent some typical cases.

Based on these data, both methods appear to be reliable, though in general, settlement estimates were conservative. The Hough Method was more consistent than the Schmertmann Method when SPT data are used, but on average was conservative by an approximate factor of 1.5 for the sites investigated. The Schmertmann Method predictions using CPT data were the most accurate, but that data set is extremely limited, so all that can be said at this point is that the Schmertmann Method has the potential to provide the most accurate results when CPT data are available.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the support provided by two of my staff, Andrew Fiske and Mark Frye, in the development of their case histories, including both design and construction monitoring, that are contained in this report. The author also wishes to acknowledge the assistance of Dr. Naresh Samtani of NCS GeoResources, LLC, Tucson, AZ, who performed parallel settlement calculations using the Schmertmann Method for all of the case histories presented in this report, as the original case history designs utilized only the Hough Method. His help in supplying those calculations is much appreciated.

#### REFERENCES

- AASHTO, 2017. AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Eighth Edition, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Boussinesq, J., 1885, "Application des Potentiels a L'Etude de L'Equilibre et due Mouvement des Solides Elastiques," Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- Gifford, D. G., J. R. Kraemer, J. R. Wheeler, and A. F. McKown. 1987. Spread Footings for Highway Bridges, FHWA/RD-86/185. Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, DC, p. 229.
- Holtz, R. D., and Kovacs, W. D., 1981, An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering, Prentice-Hall, Inc, Eaglewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Hough, B. K. 1959. "Compressibility as the Basis for Soil Bearing Value," Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division. American Society of Civil Engineers, Weston, VA, Vol. 85, Part 2.
- Kulicki, J., W. Wassef, D. Mertz, A. Nowak, N. Samtani, and H. Nassif. 2015. "Bridges for Service Life Beyond 100 Years: Service Limit State Design." *SHRP 2* Report S2-R19B-RW-1, SHRP2 Renewal Research, Transportation Research Board. National Research Council, The National Academies, Washington, D.C.
- NAVFAC, 1971, Design Manual: Soil Mechanics, Foundations, and Earth Structures, DM-7. (note: included as Appendix A in US Department of Defense, 2005, Soil Mechanics, Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC), UFC 3-220-10N).
- Osterberg, J. 0., 1957, "Influence Values for Vertical Stresses in a Semi-Infinite Mass Due to an Embankment Loading," *Proc., Fourth Intern. Conf. on Soil Mech. and Found. Engr.*, Vol. 1, pp. 393-394.
- Samtani, N. C., and Nowatzki, E. A. 2006. Soils and Foundations, FHWA NHI-06-088 and FHWA NHI 06-089, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, DC.

- Samtani, N. C., Nowatzki, E. A., and Mertz, D.R. 2010. Selection of Spread Footings on Soils to Support Highway Bridge Structures, FHWA-RC/TD-10-001, Federal Highway Administration, Resource Center, Matteson, IL
- Samtani, N. and J. Kulicki. 2018. Incorporation of Foundation Deformations in AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Process. Second Edition. SHRP2 Solutions. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. Washington, DC.
- Samtani, N. and J. Kulicki. 2018. Incorporation of Foundation Movements in AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Process - Second Edition. FHWA-18-007. Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Samtani, N. and T. Allen. 2018. Implementation Report Expanded Database for Service Limit State Calibration of Immediate Settlement of Bridge Foundations on Soil. FHWA-18-008. Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Schmertmann, J. H., 1970. "Static Cone to Compute Settlement over Sand," American Society of Civil Engineers, *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, Vol. 96 (No. SM3), 1011-1043.
- Schmertmann, J. H., Hartman, J. P., and Brown, P. R. 1978. "Improved Strain Influence Factor Diagrams." American Society of Civil Engineers, *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 104 (No. GT8), 1131-1135.
- Sowers, G. F. 1979. Introductory Soil Mechanics and Foundations: Geotechnical Engineering. MacMillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, p. 621.
- Westergaard, H., 1938, "A Problem of Elasticity Suggested by a Problem in Soil Mechanics: A Soft Material Reinforced by Numerous Strong Horizontal Sheets," in Contribution to the Mechanics of Solids, Stephen Timoshenko 60<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Volume, Macmillan, New York, New York, pp. 268-277.

### APPENDIX A

### SUBSURFACE DATA FOR SR395 BNSF TUNNEL PROJECT



## Test Boring Legend

#### Page 1 of 2

	Sampler Symbols
	Standard Penetration Test
	Oversized Penetration Test (Dames & Moore, California)
	Shelby Tube
P	Piston Sample
	Washington Undisturbed
$\square$	Vane Shear Test
	Core
0.07	Becker Hammer
B	Bag Sample
	Well Symbols
	Cement Surface Seal
	Piezometer Pipe in Granular Bentonite Seal
• • • •	Piezometer Pipe in Sand
•	Well Screen in Sand
	Granular Bentonite Bottom Seal
	Inclinometer Casing in Concrete Bentonite Grout
l	aboratory Testing Codes
UU	Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial
cu	Consolidated Undrained Triaxial
CD	Consolidated Drained Triaxial
UC	Unconfined Compression Test
DS	Direct Shear Test
CN	Consolidation Test
GS	Grain Size Distribution
мс	Moisture Content
SG	Specific Gravity
OR	Organic Content
DN	Density
AL	Atterberg Limits
PT	Point Load Compressive Test
SL	Slake Test
DG	Degradation
1 A	LA Abrasion

				i uge i ei z		
		Soil Density	y Modifie	ſS		
Gravel, Sand & Non-plastic Silt			Elastic	c Silts and Clay		
SPT Blows/ft	De	nsity	SPT Blows/ft	Consistency		
0-4	Very	'Loose	0-1	Very Soft		
5-10	Loos	se	2-4	Soft		
11-24	Medium Dense		5-8	Medium Stiff		
25-50	Den	se	9-15	Stiff		
>50	Very	Dense	16-30	Very Stiff		
			31-60	Hard		
			>60	Very Hard		
	A	ngularity of G	ravel & C	Cobbles		
Angular		Coarse particles have sharp edges and relatively plane sides with unpolished surfaces.				
Subangular		Coarse grained particles are similar to angular but have rounded edges.				
Subrounded		Coarse grained particles have nearly plane sides but have well rounded corners and edges.				
Rounded		Coarse grained particles have smoothly curved sides and no edges.				

Sc	il Moisture Modifiers
Dry	Absence of moisture; dusty, dry to touch
Moist	Damp but no visible water
· Wet	Visible free water

Soil Structure
Alternating layers of varying material or color at least 6mm thick; note thickness and inclination.
Alternating layers of varying material or color less than 6mm thick; note thickness and inclination.
Breaks along definite planes of fracture with little resistance to fracturing.
Fracture planes appear polished or glossy, somtimes striated.
Cohesive soil that can be broken down into smaller angular lumps which resist further breakdown.
Soil structure is broken and mixed. Infers that material has moved substantially - landslide debris.
Same color and appearance throughout.
HCL Reaction
No visible reaction.
on Some reaction with bubbles forming slowly.
ion Violent reaction with bubbles forming imediately.
of Vesicularity of Pyroclastic Rocks
5 to 10 percent of total

Moderately Vesicular10 to 25 percent of totalHighly Vesicular25 to 50 percent of totalScoriaceousGreater than 50 percent of total



## Test Boring Legend

		Grain Size
Fine Grained	< 1mm	Few crystal boundaries/grains are distinguishable in the field or with hand lens.
Medium Grained	1mm to 5mm	Most crystal boundaries/grains are distinguishable with the aid of a hand lens.
Coarse Grained	> 5mm	Most crystal boundaries/grains are distinguishable with the naked eye.

## Weathered State

Term	Description	Grade
Fresh	No visible sign of rock material weathering; perhaps slight discoloration in major discontinuity surfaces.	I
Slightly Weathered	Discoloration indicates weathering of rock material and discontinuity surfaces. All the rock material may be discolored by weathering and may be somewhat weaker externally than its fresh condition.	II
Moderately Weathered	Less than half of the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. Fresh or discolored rock is present either as a continuous framework or as core stones.	III
Highly Weathered	More than half of the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. Fresh or discolored rock is present either as discontinuous framework or as core stone.	IV
Completely Weathered	All rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. The original mass structure is still largely intact.	v
Residual Soil	All rock material is converted to soil. The mass structure and material fabric is destroyed. There is a large change in volume, but the soil has not been significantly transported.	VI

		Relative Rock Strength	
Grade	Description	Field Identification	Uniaxial Compressive Strength approx
R1	Very Weak	Specimen crumbles under sharp blow from point of geological hammer, and can be cut with a pocket knife.	1 to 25 MPa
R2 <sup>·</sup>	Moderately Weak	Shallow cuts or scrapes can be made in a specimen with a pocket knife. Geological hammer point indents deeply with firm blow.	25 to 50 MPa
R3	Moderately Strong	Specimen cannot be scraped or cut with a pocket knife, shallow indentation can be made under firm blows from a hammer.	50 to 100 MPa
R4	Strong	Specimen breaks with one firm blow from the hammer end of a geological hammer.	100 to 200 MPa
R5	Very Strong	Specimen requires many blows of a geological hammer to break intact sample.	Greater than 200 MPa

## Discontinuities

S	pacing .	. Condition				
Very Widely	Greater than 3 m	Excellent	Very rough surfaces, no separation, hard discontinuity wall			
Widely	1 m to 3 m	Good	Slightly rough surfaces, separation less than 1 mm, hard discontinuity wall.			
Closely	50 mm to 300 mm	Fair	Slightly rough surfaces, separation greater than 1 mm, soft discontinuity wall.			
Very Closely	Less than 50 mm	Deen	Clickensided surfaces, or soft gouge less than 5 mm thick, or open			
R	QD (%)	Poor	discontinuities 1 to 5 mm.			
100(length of c Leng	core in pieces > 100mm) th of core run	Very Poor	Soft gouge greater than 5 mm thick, or open discontinuities greater than 5 mm.			

Fracture Frequency (FF) is the average number of fractures per 300 mm of core. Does not include mechanical breaks caused by drilling or handling.

-

1

	_											C		
	7		Vashing Departme	ton State ent of Tr	e ansportatio	on			LO	G OF	TEST	BORING Start Card S-23984		
		<b>VI</b> XI-2201 CD 395 Elevation 15								<b>-</b>	".	HOLE No. <u>RR-1-04</u>		
	Job No_ <u>NL-2201</u> SR <u>335</u> Elevation <u>1350.4 R (355.4 m)</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>5</u>										2550			
		Project_	NSLAC	-BNSF F	R Over C	rossing	Tun	nel				Driller Kerry Cooper L	ic#4	2002
	Site A	ddress .	Vicinity	of Mark	et Street a	nd Pipe	er Ro	bad				Inspector Cleo Andrews		-
		Start _	July 13,	2004	Corr	pletion <u>-</u>	July	14, 2004		Well	ID#	Equipment CME 45 w/ autohamme	r	
		Station _	LR 359	+40.23		Offset _	255	5.87' LT.		Ca	sing <u>H</u>	Q 3" x 105.0' Method Wet Rotary		-
	N	orthing	620162	2		Easting _	282	2883		Latit	ude	Longitude		-
		County_	Spokar	1e	Sub	section _	NE	1/4 of th	e SV	N 1/4		Section15Range _43 EWM Township 2	6	
	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	-	Standard Penetratic Blows/ft	l on 2 40		SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
L.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:51	5	-1-2-3						3 2 3 (5) 3 3 4 (7)		D-1	GS MC	<ul> <li>0.0' to 4.0' Poorly graded Sand with gravel as indicated by drilling and wash return. 100% drilling fluid return.</li> <li>Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Top surface gravel from RR access road.</li> <li>Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft</li> <li>SP, M.C. = 20%</li> <li>Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested.</li> <li>Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft</li> </ul>		
SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL								4 3 4 (7) 4 5		D-3		Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft Poorly graded SAND, loose, olive gray, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. 0.4' of volcanic ash pinkish gray		-



Start Card	S-23984	

Job No. XL-2201

SR \_\_\_\_\_395

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1953.4 ft (595.4 m)

HOLE No. <u>RR-1-04</u> Sheet <u>2</u> of <u>5</u>

Lic#\_2552 Project\_NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Kerry Cooper Groundwater Sample Type Standard Sample No. Instrument (Tube No.) Depth (ft) SPT Meters (m) Profile Lab Tests Penetration Description of Material Blows/6 Blows/ft (N) 20 30 40 10 in color from 20.1' to 20.5'. 5 Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (9) - 7 Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, moist, 5 D-5 Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. 1" layer of silt, olive 6 gray in color. 5 25 Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (11) 8 Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, moist, 6 D-6 Stratified, HCl reaction not tested, very little drilling fluid 7 9 6 loss. 1" layer of sandy silt. 30 Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (13) 10 XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:51 Well graded SAND with gravel, with silty sand lens, D-7 13 dense, dark brown, moist, Laminated, HCl reaction not 17 tested. 22 35 Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (39) - 11 >> SW-SM, M.C. = 9% 34 D-8 GS 12 Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, subrounded, very 29 MC dense, dark yellowish brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI 32 40-(61) reaction not tested, traces of oxidized stains and silt. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft 13 GRANITE, olive gray, coarse grained, completely D-9 8 SOIL 17 weathered, very weak rock. 45



Start Card	S-23984
------------	---------

Job No. XL-2201

395 SR -----

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1953.4 ft (595.4 m)

Sheet <u>3</u> of <u>5</u>

Lic# 2552

	riojeci	NOLAU.	-DNOL U	R Ove		ssing tu	nnei				Driller Kerry Cooper	_ic#255
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile		Stand Penetra Blows	ard ation s/ft		SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater
-			10         	20         	30         	40	20 (37)	X			Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.3 ft	
50-							10 18 24 (42)	X	D-10		GRANITE, olive gray, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft	
55 -	- 16					- - - - - - - - - -	14 38 40 (78)	X	D-11		GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.3 ft	
60-					La and Ann and and and and and and and and and a		16 28 45 (68)		D-12		GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft 07/15/2004	
65-	- 19						14 50/6 (50)		D-13		GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft	
	- 20						<ul> <li>◆ 36</li> </ul>		D-14		GRANITE, vellowish orange, coarse grained, completely	



Stort Cord	S-23984
Start Caru	0-2000+

Job No\_XL-2201

SR 395

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1953.4 ft (595.4 m)

HOLE No. RR-1-04

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

Lic#\_2552 Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Kerry Cooper Sample Type Groundwater (Tube No.) Standard Sample No. Instrument SPT Depth (ft) Vleters (m) Tests Profile Penetration Lab Description of Material Blows/6' Blows/ft (N) 30 40 10 20 Length Recovered 1.3 ft, Length Retained 1.3 ft 50/4 X (50) 22 GRANITE, brownish orange, coarse grained, completely 27 D-15 weathered, very weak rock. 50/6 Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (50) 75 23 24 GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely 28 D-16 weathered, very weak rock. 50/4 Length Recovered 0.8 ft, Length Retained 0.8 ft (50) 80 25 XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL GDT 12/20/04,12:06:51 >> GRANITE, dark brown, coarse grained, completely 🗙 D-17 60/5 weathered, very weak rock. (60) Length Recovered 0.4 ft, Length Retained 0.4 ft 85 26 27 >> GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely 75/6 X D-18 weathered, very weak rock. (75) Length Recovered 0.5 ft, Length Retained 0.5 ft 90· 28 GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely D-19 22 SOIL weathered, very weak rock. 50/4 95



Start Card	S-23984

Job No. XL-2201

SR \_\_\_\_\_395

\_\_\_\_

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1953.4 ft (595.4 m)

HOLE No. RR-1-04

Sheet _	5	of	5
		~	

		Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel									Driller <u>Kerry Cooper</u>	_IC#4	2002		
	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Standa Penetra Blows	ard ition /ft	0	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		— 29				30 4             		(50)					Length Recovered 0.8 ft, Length Retained 0.8 ft	-	
	- 100 —	- 30			         			28 50/6 (50)	X	D-20			GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
	-												End of test hole boring at 100 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data.	-	
	105—	- 32											(Water table in hole before bailing is 7.0', bailed hole to 78.0' after 15 minute delay water table stayed at 78.0', pulled 5.0' of casing after 30 minutes stabilized at 59.4', hole left open over night water table remained the same. Ended and abandoned test boring at 100.0' below ground elevation.		
12/20/04,12:06:51	110	- 33													
IG TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT		- 34			,										
PENSF RR OVER CROSSIN	115-														
OIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC															
271	- 120-		<b></b>		······										
SOIL X1-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING	TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04	,12:06:52													
---	---	--	--	-------------------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--------------	------------------	----------------------------	---					
- 20	10-	5-	Depth (ft)			Site		•.							
	- 3	- 1 - 1	Meters (m)	Northing County	Station	Address Start	Project	Job No.							
			Profile	620374 Spokane	LR 361+54	Market St. July 14, 200	NSLAC-BN	XL-2201	Vashington Department o						
			Sta Pen- Bk 10 20		.19	& Piper R	SF RR Ov		State of Transpo						
			andard etration pws/ft <u>30 40</u>	Easting _	Offset _	d. Completion	ver Crossing	SR <u>3</u>	ortation	(					
3 5 5 (10)	2 4 6 (10)	2 4 4 (8)	SPT Blows/6" (N)	2822920 NE 1/4 of SV	231.65' LT.	July 15, 2004	Tunnel	395							
	X		Sample Type	N 1					LO						
D-3	D-2	D-1	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lati /4	Ca	We		Eleva	G OF						
	GS MC		Lab Tests	tude	ising 6"	ID#AI		ation _194	TEST						
Poorly graded SAND, I Homogeneous, HCI rea Length Recovered 1.0	SP-SM, M.C. = 16% Poorly graded SAND w Homogeneous, HCl rea Length Recovered 1.0	Poorly graded SAND, k HCl reaction not tested Length Recovered 1.0 t	Desc	Section 15	x 40' & 4" x 98'	HN-668		2.3 ft (592.0 m)	BORING						
oose, brow action not t	ith silt, loos action not to ft	pose, brown	ription of Ma	Longitude	Method	Inspector	Driller .	HOLE No.	Start Card						
n, moist, ested.	se, brown, dry, ested.	n, dry, Homogeneous,	terial	43 EWM Township _	Wet Rotary	Dave Nelson CME 850 w/ auto ham	Joe Judd	RR-2-04	R-65902						
	-		Groundwater	26		mer	Lic#								
			Instrument	-	_		2454								



Start Card	R-65902

Job No. XL-2201

395 SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1942.3 ft (592.0 m)

	Project	NSLAC	BNSF RR Over Crossing Tur	nnel				Driller _ Joe Judd	Lic#	<u>2454</u>
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Standard Penetration Blows/ft	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	7			4 5 6 (11)		D-4		Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		XXXXXXXXXX
25	5			4 9 11 (20)	×.	D-5		Poorly graded SAND, with silt, medium dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
36:52				17 22 25 (47)	X	D-6	GS MC	SW-SM, M.C. = 13% Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
ING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12: 20	5			18 20 27 (47)	X	D-7		Well graded SAND with silt, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		LEVELAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A
2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSS	- 12 0- 			13 15 15 (30)		D-8		Well graded SAND with gravel, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
-TX-IOS	5									



Job No. XL-2201

LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card R-65902

HOLE No. RR-2-04

Sheet 3 of 5

Lic#\_2454\_\_

Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel

SR

395

Elevation	1942.3 ft (	(592.0 m)

Driller Joe Judd

	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Standard Penetration Blows/ft	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument	
		- 14			3 5 8 (13)	X	D-9	GS MC AL	ML, M.C. = 23%, PI = 21 Sandy SILT, medium dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Layer of gravel from 42' to 43'. Length Recovered 1.5 ft			
		- 15			2 4 7 (11)		D-10		GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.5 ft			
52	- 55	- 17			2 6 13 (19)		D-11		GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.5 ft 07/15/2004	-		
VG TUNNEL GPJ SOIL GDT 12/20/04,12:06:	60 —	- 18			9 20 30 (50)		D-12		GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.5 ft			
SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSIN	65–	- 20			15 36 70 (106)		D-13		GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-		
SOIL XL-2201,	- 70-	21			•							



Job No. XL-2201

LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1942.3 ft (592.0 m)

Start Card R-65902

HOLE No. RR-2-04

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

		,		
Project	NSLAC-BNSF	RR Over	Crossing Tunnel	

SR

		Project_	NSLAC	-BNSF R	R Over	Crossi	ng Tu	nnel					Driller _ Joe Judd	Lic#	2454
	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Standa Penetra Blows	ard ition /ft 30	40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		- 22					<pre>&gt;&gt;+</pre>	13 100 (100)	X	D-14			GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 0.8 ft		
		-23					           	30 100 (100)	X	D-15			GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 0.7 ft		
	- - 80	24						◆ 33 50 (50)	X	D-16			GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 0.8 ft	-	
2/20/04,12:06:52	- - -	- 25					·								
ING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 1	85	- 26						◆ 30 50 (50)		D-17			GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 0.8 ft	-	
SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSS	90 -	21						◆ 50 (50)		D-18			GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 0.4 ft	-	
SOIL XL-2201, S	- 95	-												-	



Start Card	R-65902
stan Garu	11-00002

Job No. XL-2201

SR 395

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1942.3 ft (592.0 m)

Sheet <u>5</u> of <u>5</u>

		Project	NSLAC	-BNSF	RR O	er Cros	sing Tu	nnel				DrillerJoe Judd	Lic#	2454
	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Sta Pene Blo 20	ndard etration ows/ft 30	40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		- 29						50 (50)	X	D-19		GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 0.4 ft		
	-	30					         	100 (100)		D-20		No Recovery End of test hole boring at 98 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock		
	100	- 31										descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Bailed Hole to 60.3 with recharge to 56.2	-	
	-	- -			F							DATE DEPTH 8/17/04 56.1	-	
	105					-								
20/04,12:06:52		33												
EL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/	110												-	
R CROSSING TUNNE							1999 Viry and and and an						-	-
SLAC-BNSF RR OVE	115-	- 35			-									
XL-2201, SR-395, N:		- 36											_	
Sol	120-	-												-

				( <sup>1</sup> ··· ·								
		Vashingto Jepartme	on State	ortation		LO	G OI	= TE	EST	BORING Start Card S-23984		
*4		oparatio								HOLE Nø		
	Job No.	XL-220	1	SR39	95		Elev	ation	195	1.1 ft (594.7 m) Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>5</u>		
	Project	NSLAC-	BNSF RR O	ver Crossing	Tunnel					Driller Kerry Cooper	Lic#	2552
Site	Address	Vicinity of	of Market Str	eet and Piper	Road					Inspector Cleo Andrews		-
	Start .	July 14, 2	2004	Completion Ju	uly 15, 200	)4		ell ID#	!	Equipment _CME 45 w/ autohamm	er	
	Station	LR 363+	23.5	Offset	119.49' LT	Г.	c	asing	H	Q 3" ID x 115.0' Method Wet Rotary		-
	Northing	620536		Easting	2823042		La	titude		Longitude		
	County	Spokane	9	Subsection	NE 1/4 of	the S	W 1/4			Section15 Range 43 EWM Township	26	-
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Sta Pen Bl	andard etration ows/ft	SPT Blows/ (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
								_				
	-	· · · · · · · · · · · ·									-	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									_	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•								_	
					2 3	Y	D-1			Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Top surface erupted reak from from PD access read. 0.0' to 4.0'		_
5-					(7)	A				Poorly graded Sand with some gravel as indicated by drilling and wash return 100% drilling fluid return.		
					-					Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft	-	_
	-										_	
	-											_
	_										-	
40	-3				3		0-2			Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested.		-
10-					(8)							
	-											-
	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									-	
											-	-
	_								CS	$SP_{\rm M} = -20\%$	-	
15.	_				4	X			MC	Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested, very little drilling		-
15					(8)					fluid loss. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
	5										-	-
	-										F	
											Ļ	-
	_		↓ ↓ ↓ ↓							Poorly graded SAND loose brown moist	-	
	6				3	X	U-4			Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested.		_
- 20												

SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:53



Start Card	S-23984
------------	---------

Job No. XL-2201

395 SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1951.1 ft (594.7 m)

HOLE No. RR-3-04 Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

	Project	NSLAC-B	NSF RR Over (	Crossing Tur	nnel				Driller Kerry Cooper	_ic#2	2552
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Standar Penetrati Blows/t	d on it 30 40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No.) (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
					5	X			Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
25					(10) 5 5 5 (10)		D-5		Poorly graded SAND, with 1" layer of sandy silt, loose, brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
30					10 12 10 (22)	X	D-6	GS MC	ML, M.C. = 50% SILT and sand, medium dense, olive gray, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested, (material is Volcanic Ash very fine grained). Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
NNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04.12:06:53 55					12 15 16 (31)	X	D-7		Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, with sandy silt lens, subrounded, dense, dark brown, moist, Laminated, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TL 05	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				20 20 38 (58)		D-8		Well graded SAND with silt, with sandy silt lens, subrounded, very dense, grayish brown, moist, Laminated, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
SOIL X1-2201, SR-395, I					13 14	X	D-9	GS MC	SW-SM, M.C. = 14% Well graded SAND with silt, dense, grayish brown, moist,		



Start Ca	d S-23984	

Job No. XL-2201

Profile

Depth (ft)

Meters (m)

SR 395

Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1951.1 ft (594.7 m)

Driller Kerry Cooper

Lic#\_2552

5

14 - 15 50 - 16 55-- 17 SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL GDT 12/20/04,12:06:53 - 18 60 - 19 65-- 20 - 21 70

40	Standard Penetration Blows/ft	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						Groundwate	Instrument
		15 (29)	X				Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.2 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
		10 9 9 (18)	X	D-10			Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, medium dense, dark yellowish brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
		7 13 13 (26)	X	D-11			Poorly graded SAND with gravel, with 0.3' of sandy Silt, dense, dark yellowish brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested, traces of mica. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
		9 9 10 (19)	X	D-12			Silty SAND, with horizontal fine grained sand lenses, medium dense, olive gray, moist, Laminated, HCl reaction not tested, lenses are brown in color, traces of mica. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
		12 18 12 (30)		D-13			Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, with 0.3' of poorly graded sand with some coarser grains, dense, dark yellowish brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested, very little drilling fluid loss. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
		27 25	X	D-14	( 	GS VIC	07/15/2004 SW-SM, M.C. = 11% Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, subrounded, very	Ţ	



Job No. XL-2201

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1951.1 ft (594.7 m)

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

.

	Project	NSLAC	-BNSF R	R Over	Crossing	g Tur	nel				Driller _Kerry Cooper	Lic#	2552
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Stand Penetra Blows	ard ation s/ft 30 40		SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	22						30 (55)		C-15		dense, dark yellowish brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested, Note switched over to HQ core barrel at 71.5' refusal with HQ advancer. Changed to dark gray in color at 71.5', changed back to yellowish orange in color at 73.0' Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft Well graded SAND with gravel, with (3) 1", 2" and 4" long pieces of basalt rock, dense, brown, moist,		
75-	23				•		18 23 17 (30)		D-16 C-17		Stratified, HCI reaction not tested, traces of mica. Length Recovered 3.3 ft, Length Retained 3.3 ft Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, with one thin lens of sandy silt, brownish orange in color, dense, gravish brown, moist, Laminated, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft Well graded SAND with gravel, with 0.6' of elastic silt, dense, brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 2.6 ft, Length Retained 2.6 ft		
80-	- 24						8 10 17 (27)		D-18 C-19	GS MC AL	CL, M.C. = 18%, PI = 15 Sandy lean CLAY, with gravel, very stiff, dark brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock, HCI Reaction not tested. Length Recovered 3.5 ft, Length Retained 3.5 ft		
85-	- 26	$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 1$				>>`	◆ 75/3 (75) RQD 0 FF 26	X	D-20 C-21		GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are very widely spaced and in very poor condition. Length Recovered 0.2 ft, Length Retained 0.2 ft GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock, HCI reaction not tested.	-	
- 06 - 06	- 27	$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \left[ \left( $				>>	<ul> <li>€ 60/4"</li> <li>(60)</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>0</li> <li>FF</li> <li>26</li> </ul>		D-22 C-23		Discontinuities are very widely spaced and in very poor condition. Percent Recovered 82.0% GRANITE, grayish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.3 ft, Length Retained 0.3 ft GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, highly weathered, very weak rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are very widely spaced and in very poor condition. Percent Recovered 82.0%		
						->>	•						



Start C	ard	S-23984	
		ALL	

Job No. XL-2201

395 SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1951.1 ft (594.7 m)

HOLE No. RR-3-04 Sheet 5 of 5

Project\_NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Lic# 2552 Driller Kerry Cooper Groundwater Sample Type Standard Sample No. Instrument (Tube No.) Depth (ft) Meters (m) SPT Lab Tests Profile Penetration Description of Material Blows/6" Blows/ft (N) 10 20 30 40 ~ GRANITE, grayish brown, coarse grained, completely 29 D-24 60/4 weathered, very weak rock, HCl reaction not tested. (60) C-25 Discontinuities are very widely spaced and in very poor condition. Length Recovered 0.3 ft, Length Retained 0.3 ft No Recovery 30 >> 100 GRANITE, yellowish orange, coarse grained, completely 75/4 D-26 (75) C-27 weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 0.3 ft, Length Retained 0.3 ft RQD 0 FF 31 GRANITE, yellowish gray, coarse grained, highly weathered, very weak rock, HCI reaction not tested. 26 Discontinuities are very widely spaced and in very poor condition. (Note water table in casing before bailing hole is 7.0', bailed hole to 88.0' bailer lost in hole. Over night reading is 68.9'., Percent Recovered 1.7% 105 32 SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:53 33 110-End of test hole boring at 110 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications 34 and laboratory test data. 35 115-36 120

Job No Project	Washington S Department of XL-2201 NSLAC-BNS	tate Transportation SR F RR Over Crossing	<u>395</u> g Tunnel	LO	G OF Eleva	TEST I tion _194	BORING         Start Card         R-65902           9.5 ft (594.2 m)         HOLE No         RR-4-04           Sheet          of           Driller         Joe Judd	ic#2	2454
Site Address	July 13, 2004	Completion	July 14, 2004		Well	ID# Ał	HN-669 Equipment CME 850 w/ auto hamm	ıer	-
Station	LR 365+39.0	08 Offset	116.61' LT.		Cas	sing 6"	x 15.0 & 4" x 135 Method Wet Rotary		-
Northing	620750	Easting	2823058		Latit	ude	Longitude		-
County	Spokane	Subsection	NE 1/4 of SV	N 1/	4		Section15 Range43 EWM Township _2	6	[]
Depth (ft) Meters (m)	Profile	Standard Penetration Blows/ft	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
			2 3 3 (6) 2 3 3 (6) 2 3 3 (7)		D-2		Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		



.

Start Card	R-65902	
	11 00002	

Job No. XL-2201

SR <u>395</u>

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1949.5 ft (594.2 m)

HOLE No. RR-4-04

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_6\_\_\_

	Project	NSLAC	-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tur	nnel				Driller Joe Judd	ic#	2454
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Standard Penetration Blows/ft 10 20 30 40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	- 7			3 4 4 (8)		D-4	GS MC	SP, M.C. = 19% Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
25-				3 6 6 (12)	X	D-5		Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested, silt stratified. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
30-				5 7 7 (14)	X	D-6		Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 1/4/05,9:12:09 	- - - - - - -			5 13 17 (30)		D-7		Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
R-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING 05	- 12			7 9 10 (17)	X	D-8	GS MC	SP-SM, M.C. = 13% Poorly graded SAND with silt and gravel, medium dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
SOIL XL-2201, S									1	



Start Card	R-65902	
Jian Jan	100002	

Job No. XL-2201

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1949.5 ft (594.2 m)

-	Project	NSLAC-E	BNSF RR Over Crossing Tun	inel				DrillerJoe Judd L	ic#	2454
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Standard Penetration Blows/ft	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	14			18 26 22 (48)	X	D-9		Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, dense, grey, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.2 ft		
50-				12 16 21 (37)		D-10	GS MC	SW-SM, M.C. = 12% Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
55	17			12 14 12 (26)	X	D-11		Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
10NNEL.GPJ SOIL.GUT 1/4/05,81/210	- 18			5 5 8 (13)		D-12	GS MC	ML, M.C. = 27% Sandy SILT, medium dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
01, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CRUSSING 9				7 9 10 (19)		D-13		Well graded SAND with silt, medium dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
SOIL XL-22(	21									



Start Card	R-65902
------------	---------

Job No. XL-2201

SR <u>395</u>

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1949.5 ft (594.2 m)

HOLE No. <u>RR-4-04</u> Sheet <u>4</u> of <u>6</u>

	Project_NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel												Driller _ Joe Judd	Lic#	2454
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Drofilo		40	Stan Penet Blov	dard ration vs/ft	40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No.	(Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
				10			40 	5	V	D-1	14		Silty SAND, dense, brown, wet, Stratified, HCI reaction	-	
	-						1	11					not tested, strained with wen graded sand, traces of mica.	F	
							1	(26)					Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
	-22			1	1									-	
	-			l I		1	l I								
	_			1		ļ	1								
					İ	İ									
75	-				. i ♦			12	V	D-'	15	GS	SM, M.C. = 17%	-	
	- 25	· · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		İ	İ	1	12 12	X			MC	HCl reaction not tested, traces of mica.	-	
								(24)					Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
	+	· · · · · · · · · · ·													
	-													-	
	24	•••		Ì										-	
80				1	•			12	W	D-	16		Silty SAND with gravel, dense, brown, wet,		
		· · · · · · · · · · · ·				V		12 13					Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested, traces of mica. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
				1	1			(25)							
	25													-	
	_						V.							-	
12:10	-					1									
1/05,9:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													
5 85	- 26					1		35	V	D-	·17		Silty SAND, very dense, yellowish brown, moist,	-	
DIL.G							1	50 (50)					Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested, traces of mica. Length Recovered 0.5 ft	-	
L SC															
NEL.G	+			1											
NUL	_						l.							-	
SSING	- 27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1								-	
R CRO	-	· · · · · · · · · ·			l	Ì								-	
00 90	)			İ		Ì	>>	÷ 70	-	D.	-18		Silty SAND, very dense, vellowish brown, moist,	-	
ISF RF		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		l	ł	(70)			·		Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested, traces of mica.	-	
AC-BN		••••					-								
, NSL	28			.			 							-	
R-395				1			1								1444
201, S	F	$\left  \right\rangle$	_`-												
XL-2				-										F	
loc of	<u>.</u>	^\`	14		!		>>								MAA



	D 66002	
Start Card	R-00902	

Job No\_XL-2201

395 SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1949.5 ft (594.2 m)

HOLE No. RR-4-04

Sheet <u>5</u> of <u>6</u>

#	2454

Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Joe Judd Lic Groundwater Sample Type Sample No. Standard Instrument (Tube No.) Meters (m) SPT Depth (ft) Profile Tests Penetration Lab Description of Material Blows/6" Blows/ft (N) 30 10 20 40 GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely 29  $\mathbf{X}$ D-19 100 weathered, very weak rock, HCl reaction not tested. (100) C-20 Length Recovered 0.3 ft RQD GRANITE, light olive grey, coarse grained, highly 100 weathered, very weak rock, HCI reaction not tested. FF Discontinuities are closely spaced and in fair condition. 0 Percent Recovered 100.0% 30 100 GRANITE, light olive grey, coarse grained, highly RQD C-21 90 weathered, very weak rock, HCl reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in fair FF condition. Percent Recovered 100.0% 0 105-- 32 GRANITE, bluish-grey, coarse grained, moderately weathered, moderately strong rock, HCl reaction not RQD C-22 90 tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in fair FF condition. Percent Recovered 100.0% 1 33 SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL GDT 1/4/05, 9:12:10 110 C-23 GRANITE, bluish-grey, coarse grained, slightly RQD 50 weathered, moderately strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in fair FF 2 condition. Percent Recovered 100.0% 34 35 115-GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, slightly RQD C-24 weathered, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. 98 Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in good FF condition. Percent Recovered 100.0% 1 36 120



Charl Card	R-65002	
Start Card	N-00902	

Job No. XL-2201

SR \_\_\_\_\_\_395\_\_\_\_

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1949.5 ft (594.2 m)

	Project	NSLAC	-BNSF R	R Over C	Prossing Tu	nnel				Driller _Joe Judd Lic#_		
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Standard Penetratio Blows/ft	d on : 0 40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	- 37					RQD 95 FF 1		C-25		GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, slightly weathered, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in good condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%		
125						RQD 98 FF 0		C-26		GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, fresh, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in excellent condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%		
130						RQD 98 FF 0		C-27		GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, fresh, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in excellent condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%		
GDT 1/4/05,9:12:10	- 41								2	End of test hole boring at 135 ft below ground elevation.		
R CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL										This is a summary Log of Test Boring, Soil/Rock         descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data.         Bailed hole to 130 with no recharge         WATER LEVEL READINGS         DATE       DEPTH         8/17/04       dry	1	-
SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVE												_
SOIL XL-2201.	44											

	▼//	Job No.	Vashingt Departme XL-220 NSLAC	on State ent of Tra 11 -BNSF F	anspoi 	rtation SR er Cros	<u>395</u> ssing Tu	nnel	LO	G OF	TES	ST E 1949	ORING Star 9.6 ft (594.2 m)	rt Card <u>S-23984</u> LE No. <u>RR-5-04</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>5</u> Driller Kerry Cooper 1	.ic#	2552
	Site A	Address	Vicinity	of Marke	et Stre	eet and	l Piper R	load					In:	spector Cleo andrews		_
		Start	July 15,	2004		Comple	<sub>etion</sub> July	16, 2004			IID#_		Equ	ipment_CME 45 w/ autohamme	er	
		Station	LR 367	+04.82		O	ffset 18	3.89' LT.		Ca	asina	HG	3" ID x 115.0'	Method Wet Rotary		_
	N	lorthing	620910			Eas	sting 28	23160		Lat	itude _		Lo	ngitude		_
		County	Spokan	e		Subsec	ction <u>NE</u>	∃ 1/4 of th	e S\	N 1/4			Section15	Range 43 EWM Township 2	26	-
	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile		Star Pene Blo	ndard tration ws/ft		SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Descriptio	n of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:55	5	-1 -2 -3 -4 -5						3 3 4 (7) 3 4 3 (7) 3 4 4 8)		D-1			Poorly graded SAND, loose Homogeneous, HCI reactior gravel from access road. 0 with some gravel as indicate 100% drilling fluid return. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Le Poorly graded SAND, loose Homogeneous, HCI reaction fluid loss. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Le Poorly graded SAND, with s Laminated, HCI reaction no Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Le	a, brown, moist, n not tested. Top surface .0' to 4.0' Poorly graded sand ed by drilling and wash return. angth Retained 1.0 ft brown, moist, n not tested, very little drilling angth Retained 1.0 ft silt lens, loose, brown, moist, t tested. angth Retained 1.0 ft		
SOIL XL-22	-	-6		•	   			3 4		D-4	G	is IC	SP, M.C. = 18% Poorly graded SAND, loose	e, brown, moist,		



Start Card	S-23984

Job No. XL-2201 SR 395

Elevation 1949.6 ft (594.2 m)

HOLE No. RR-5-04 Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

Korni Co

	Project	NSLAC-E	BNSF RR Over Cr	ossing Tu	nnel				Driller Kerry Cooper	Lic#_2	2552
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Standard Penetratior Blows/ft 10 20 30	n 40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
					5 (9)	X			Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
25-					6 7 7 (13)		D-5		Poorly graded SAND, with silt lens, medium dense, brown, moist, Laminated, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft	-	
30-	9				5 5 7 (12)	X	D-6		Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
35-	- 10				3 4 4 (8)		D-7	GS MC	SM, M.C. = 19% Silty SAND, loose, brown, moist, Stratified, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
NSLAC-BNSF RK OVER CROSSING TONNE	- 12				9 9 13 (22)		D-8		Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, medium dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
SOIL XL-2201, SR-395 7	- 13				28 50/4	X	D-9		Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, with silt lens, subrounded, very dense, dark yellowish brown, moist,		



Start Card	S-23984	
sian Caru	0-20004	

HOLE No. RR-5-04 Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1949.6 ft (594.2 m) 395 Job No. XL-2201 SR Sheet 3 of 5 Driller Kerry Cooper Lic# 2552 Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Sample Type Groundwater Sample No. Instrument Standard (Tube No.) Meters (m) SPT Depth (ft) Profile Lab Tests Penetration Blows/6" Description of Material Blows/ft (N) 20 30 40 10 (50) Y Laminated, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.5 ft, Length Retained 0.5 ft 14 SW-SM, M.C. = 10% D-10 GS 15 19 Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, subrounded, 20 MC dense, grayish brown, moist, Laminated, HCI reaction not 17 50 tested. Sand lens brownish orange in color. (37) Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft - 16 Poorly graded SAND, with gravel, medium dense, grayish 22 D-11 14 brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested, laminated with silty sand lens, brownish orange in color. 10 55 Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (24) - 17 SOIL X1-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:56 - 18 Silty SAND, with 0.3' of well graded sand with small D-12 12 gravel, loose, olive gray, moist, Stratified, HCl reaction 6 4 not tested, change is from 64.0' to 64.3', traces of mica. 60· Took moister can sample MC-12a from same depth, (10) retained 0.3'. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft 19 Poorly graded SAND, with 1" layer of silt, medium dense, 11 D-13 dark brown, moist, Stratified, HCl reaction not tested, 13 laminated with thin lenses of silty sand, traces of mica. 11 65-Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (24)- 20 21 70



Start Card S-23984	
--------------------	--

Job No. XL-2201

SR 395

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1949.6 ft (594.2 m)

HOLE No. RR-5-04

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ Lic# 2552 Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Kerry Cooper Groundwater Sample Type Sample No. Standard (Tube No.) Instrument SPT Meters (m) Depth (ft) Tests Profile Penetration Lab Description of Material Blows/6" Blows/ft (N) 20 30 10 40 22 0 0 o  $\square$ 8,8 0 0 Well graded GRAVEL with sand, with 0.2' of sandy silt, D-14 13 8 subrounded, dense, grayish brown, moist, Stratified, HCl 18 0.0 reaction not tested, traces of decomposed granite, light 25 75 5 gray in color, mica sand and brownish orange stain. (43) - 23 8 8 (Note refusal with HQ advancer at 76.5', switched over to 0. HQ coring at 75.5'). Length Recovered 1.2 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft 0 Well graded SAND with gravel, yellowish orange in color, very dense, gray, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not C-15 tested. 0.3' piece of Basalt. Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft 24 80 Poorly graded SAND with silt, dense, yellowish orange, 43 D-16 32 moist, Stratified, HCl reaction not tested, with pieces of basalt and granite gravel. 50/2" Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft C-17 (50) Well graded SAND with gravel, very dense, yellowish orange, moist, Stratified, HCl reaction not tested. 0.3' of 25 basalt rock, gray in color, Length Recovered 1.0 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft 85 Poorly graded SAND, dense, yellowish orange, moist, 15 D-18 - 26 Stratified, HCl reaction not tested. Pieces of basalt, gray 19 22 in color. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft (41) C-19 j. .A Silty SAND, medium dense, light gray, moist, 27 Ď Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. .Ď Length Recovered 0.3 ft, Length Retained 0.3 ft 90 Silty SAND, with Volcanic Ash, medium dense, yellowish 11 D-20 orange, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. 7 Changed to Basalt Rock at 92.0'. 12 Length Recovered 0.3 ft, Length Retained 0.3 ft (19)C-21 RQD 28 60 Basalt, gray, fine grained, slightly weathered, strong rock, FF HCI reaction not tested. Very little drilling fluid loss. 1 Percent Recovered 71.0%

SOIL XI-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:56



# LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1949.6 ft (594.2 m)

Start Card	S-23984	
------------	---------	--

	Job No.	XL-2201	SR3	95	Eleva	tion194	<u>9.6 ft (594.2 m)</u> Sheet <u>5</u> of <u>5</u>		
				Tunnel			Driller Kerry Cooper	_ic#2	2552
Cepth (ft)	Project_ (m)		Standard Penetration Blows/ft	SPT Blows/6" (N)	sample Type Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	- 29		10     20     30     40       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I       I     I     I     I	9 10 13 (23) RQD 38 FF 3	D-22 C-23		Silty SAND, with Volcanic Ash, medium dense, yellowish orange, wet, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.3 ft, Length Retained 0.3 ft Basalt, dark gray, fine grained, fresh, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Percent Recovered 71.0%		
100				9 10 28 (38) RQD 0 FF 26	D-24 C-25		Poorly graded SAND, with 1" layer of silty Sand, dense, grayish brown, moist, Stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft Basalt, highly fractured, dark gray, fine grained, slightly		
105	5			1 7 (19) RQD 0 FF	D-26 C-27		Silty SAND, with pieces of basalt rock, medium dense, yellowish orange, moist, Stratified, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
T 12/20/04,12:06:56				26	D-24	8	Basalt, highly fractured, gray, fine grained, slightly weathered, strong rock, HCl reaction not tested. Percen Recovered 85.0% Silty SAND, very dense, orange, moist, Homogeneous,	t	
SSING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GD	- 34			(55)			HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.4 ft, Length Retained 0.4 ft End of test hole boring at 110.4 ft below ground elevatio This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Water table in casing before bailing is 12.8', pulled 5.0' water table after 15 minute	on.	
NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CRO	15						is 106.2'. (Dry hole) Ended and abandoned test boring at 110.4' below ground elevation. 7/17/04.	-	
SOIL XL-2201, SR-395,	120	5							

			/ashington epartment XL-2201	State of Tran	sportatior SR	) 1 3	95	1	-00	G OF Elevat	TE:	ST E	BORING         Start Card         S-23984           B.9 ft (594.0 m)         HOLE No.         RR-6-04		
		Project_	NSLAC-BN	NSF RF	R Over Cro	ossing	Tuni	nel					Driller Joe Judd	c#_2	454
	Site /	Address _	Market St.	. & Pipe	er Rd.								Inspector Dave Nelson		
		Start _	July 17, 20	04	Comp	oletion <u>-</u>	July 1	18, 2004		Well	ID# -		Equipment CME 850 w/ autohamm	er	
		Station _	LR 369+2	0.01		Offset	36.	14' RT.		Cas	sing _	4"	x 100.0 Method Wet Rotary		
	I	Northing _	621127		E	asting _	282	3208		Latit	ude _		Longitude		
_		County _	Spokane		Subs	ection_	NE	1/4 of S	<u>N 1/</u>	4			Section15 Range43 EWM Township 2	<u>6</u>	
	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Standard Penetratior Blows/ft 20 30	י 40		SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No.) (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwate	Instrument
	5-							4 5 6 (11)		D-1			Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
J SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:57	10-	3		•				2 3 4 (7)	X	D-2			Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
35, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GF	15							2 3 4 (7)		D-3		GS MC	SP, M.C. = 10% Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
SOIL XL-2201, SR-35	- 20	6						3 5		D-4			Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, dry, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested.		_



Start Card	S-23984	
Stan Caru	0 20001	

HOLE No. RR-6-04

Sheet 2 of 5

Job No. XL-2201

395 SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1948.9 ft (594.0 m)

Lic# 2454 Project\_NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Joe Judd Groundwater Sample Type Sample No. Instrument Standard (Tube No.) SPT Meters (m) Depth (ft) Tests Profile Penetration Lab Description of Material Blows/6" Blows/ft (N) 30 40 10 20 Length Recovered 1.0 ft 7 (12) Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, dry, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. 5 D-5 8 Length Recovered 1.0 ft 7 25 (15) - 8 Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, dry, 7 7 D-6 Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. 9 Length Recovered 1.0 ft 7 30 (14) 10 D-7 GS SP-SM, M.C. = 20% 8 Poorly graded SAND with silt, medium dense, brown, wet, 8 MC Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. 11 35 (19) Length Recovered 1.0 ft - 11 11 D-8 GS SM, M.C. = 20% 12 Silty SAND, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI MC 13 reaction not tested. 17 40-Length Recovered 0.2 ft (30) 13 Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, dense, brown, 12 D-9 wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. SOIL 14 45

XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL GDT 12/20/04,12:06:57



395

SR

Job No. XL-2201

70

### LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1948.9 ft (594.0 m)

Chart Card	5-23084
Start Card	3-23904

HOLE No. RR-6-04

Sheet 3 of 5

Lic# 2454 Project NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Joe Judd Sample Type Groundwater Sample No. Instrumen Standard (Tube No.) SPT Meters (m) Depth (ft) Tests Profile Description of Material Penetration Lab Blows/6' Blows/ft (N) 10 20 30 40 Length Recovered 0.8 ft 14 (28) 14 D-10 GS SW-SM, M.C. = 12% 16 - 15 Well graded SAND with silt, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. MC 18 18 50 Length Recovered 1.0 ft (36) 16 Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, dense, brown, D-11 12 wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. 15 Length Recovered 1.0 ft 15 55 (30) - 17 SOIL XI-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL GDT 12/20/04,12:06:57 Silty SAND with silt and gravel, dense, brown, wet, 18 D-12 10 Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. 12 Length Recovered 1.0 ft 16 60 (28) 19 D-13 GS SM, M.C. = 16% 10 Silty SAND, dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI MC 13 reaction not tested. 18 65-Length Recovered 1.0 ft (31) - 20 21 D-14 GS SM, M.C. = 16% 7 Silty SAND, dense, brown, wet, Stratified, HCI reaction MC 17



Job No\_XL-2201

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1948.9 ft (594.0 m)

395

SR

HOLE No. <u>RR-6-04</u> Sheet <u>4</u> of <u>5</u>

.

		Project_	NSLAC-	BNSF RR Over Crossi	ng Tur	nel				Driller _ Joe Judd	Lic#2	2454
	Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Standard Penetration Blows/ft 10 20 30	40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		-			1	19 (36)	X			not tested, stratified w/ silt. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
	-	22										
	- 75—					18 28 38 (66)	X	D-15		GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	<u> </u>	
		- 24			           	70 (70)	X	D-16		GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rockHomogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.5 ft	-	
2:06:57		25				RQD 3 FF 4		C-17		GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, slightly weathered, moderately strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are widely spaced and in fair condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%		-
NNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,1:	85-	- 26				RQD 48 FF 2		C-18		GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, slightly weathered, moderately strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in fa condition Percent Recovered 100.0%	ir -	
1-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUN	90-	- 27				RQD 94 FF 1		C-19		GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, slightly weathered, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in good condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%		
SOIL	- 95-											



Start Card	S-23984
------------	---------

Job No\_XL-2201

SR

395

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_1948.9 ft (594.0 m)

HOLE No. RR-6-04 Sheet 5\_\_\_\_\_ of 5\_\_\_\_

Driller Joe Judd

Lic# 2454

	Project	NSLAC	C-BNSF F	RR Ov	er Cro	ossing Tu	nnel				Driller Joe Judd	Lic# 2454
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Star Pene Blo	ndard etration ows/ft 30	40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater Instrument
	- 29					40         	RQD 52 FF 2		C-20		GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, slightly weathered, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in good condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%	
	_ 30 _											-
100											End of test hole boring at 100 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data.	
105				55 855 900 VIII <sup>-</sup> 440 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b>		-					Bailed hole to 70.0 and after 24 hours W I @ 75.0	-
												_
12/20/04,12:06:57					ALL 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000							
JNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT					-							_
AC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TU	5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a								
SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSL												-

Site	Job No. Project	Vashingl Departme XL-220 NSLAC Vicinity	on State ent of Tra -BNSF R of Marke	( Insportation - SR - R Over Crossi Mathematical Street and P	395 ng Tunnel iper Road	2004	LO	G OF Eleva	TES	948.8 948.8	RING S t (594.0 m) H	itart Card <u>R-65902</u> HOLE No. <u>RR-7-04</u> Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> Driller <u>Kerry Cooper</u> Inspector <u>Cleo Andrews</u>	Lic#	<u>2552 _</u>
	Station	LR 370	+96.89	Offse	et 95.27'	RT.		Vve	n 10#	(HWT	4" ID x 12.0')(HQ 3" ID	xM5003 Wet Rotary		_
	Northing	621312		Eastir	g 282324	49		Lati	itude		L	Longitude		
	County	Spokar	e	Subsectio	n NE 1/4	of the	e SV	V 1/4	1		Section15	Range 43 EWM Township	26	-
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Standard Penetration Blows/ft	Bic 40	SPT ows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests		Descrip	tion of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
5-						4 5 5 (10) 3 3 4 (7)		D-1		Po Ho cru grei Le Po flu Le	orly graded SAND, loos mogeneous, HCl reacti lshed rock from RR acc ded Sand with gravel a urn. 100% drilling fluid ngth Recovered 0.5 ft, l orly graded SAND, loos mogeneous, HCl react id loss. ngth Recovered 1.0 ft,	se, brown, moist, ion not tested. Top surface cess road. 0.0' to 4.0' Poorly as indicated by drilling and was return. Length Retained 0.5 ft se, brown, moist, ion not tested, very little drilling Length Retained 1.0 ft	h	KAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKAKA
15						3 2 2 (4)		D-3	GS MC	SF Pc Hc Le	P, M.C. = 21% orly graded SAND, ver mogeneous, HCI react ngth Recovered 1.0 ft,	ry loose, brown, moist, tion not tested. Length Retained 1.0 ft		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
20	-6					5 5	X	D-4		P( br	oorly graded SAND, wit own, moist, Laminated,	h silty sand lense of, loose, ,Fissured, HCl reaction not		

SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:58



# LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card	R-65902	
Start Card	N-00002	

Job No. XL-2201

395 SR 

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1948.8 ft (594.0 m)

	Project	NSLAC-	BNSF RR C	Over Crossi	ng Tun	nel					Sheet <u>2</u> of <u>4</u> Driller <u>Kerry Cooper</u> L	ic#	2552
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	St Per B	andard netration Blows/ft	10	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	7				10             	4 (9)	X				tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft	-	KAKKKKKK
25-						5 6 9 (15)	X	D-5			Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, moist, Stratified, HCl reaction not tested, traces of root hairs, very little drilling fluid loss. With 0.3' layer of silt ( volcanic ash ), very fine grained, pinkish brown in color. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		THE REPERT REPERTS
30-	9					4 5 4 (9)	X	D-6	G	ic	SM, M.C. = 21% Silty SAND, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
L.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:58	10  					7 13 15 (28)	X	D-7			Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, with 1" layer of silty sand, dense, grayish brown, moist, Stratified, HCl reaction not tested Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNE	- 12					14 20 20 (40)		D-8	C	GS AC	SW-SM, M.C. = 13% Well graded SAND with silt, dense, brownish gray, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, 1	13				       >>+	32 37	X	D-9			Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, very dense, brownish gray, moist, Homogeneous, HCl reaction not	-	



Job No. XL-2201

# LOG OF TEST BORING

Sian Caru N-00004	Start Card	R-65902	
-------------------	------------	---------	--

395

SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1948.8 ft (594.0 m)

HOLE No. RR-7-04

		Project_	NSLAC	-BNSF F	RR Over (	Crossi	ng Tur	nnel				Driller Kerry Cooper Li	c#	2552
Depth (ft)	(1) Indo.	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Standar Penetrati Blows/f 20 3	rd on 't 30 ∠	40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		- 14				-		38 (75)	×			tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft	-	
Ę	50	- 15						26 35 38 (73)	X	D-10	GS MC	SW-SM, M.C. = 12% Well graded SAND with silt, very dense, brownish gray, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft	-	
	55	— 16 — 17					           	<ul> <li>38</li> <li>44</li> <li>40</li> <li>(84)</li> </ul>	X	D-11		Well graded SAND with silt and gravel, very dense, brownish gray, moist, Laminated, HCl reaction not tested, silty Sand lens, brownish orange in color. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.0 ft		
:06:58		-										07/21/2004	-Ţ	
EL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12	60-	- 18						<ul> <li>70/4</li> <li>(70)</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>48</li> <li>FF</li> <li>5</li> </ul>		D-12 C-13		Well graded SAND, very dense, yellowish orange, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested, (Material consists of decomposed GRANITE). Note refusal at 60.3' with HQ advancer, switched over to HQ core Barrel. Length Recovered 0.3 ft, Length Retained 0.3 ft GRANITE, pinkish gray, medium grained, slightly weathered, strong rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are closely spaced and in good condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%		
RR OVER CROSSING TUNN		- 19			21 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 -			RQD 98		C-14		GRANITE, light gray, coarse grained, fresh, strong rock, HCl reaction not tested. Discontinuities are closely		
SOL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF		- 21						FF 1				spaced and in good condition. Percent Recovered 100.0%	-	



Job No. XL-2201

## LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card	R-65902

395

SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1948.8 ft (594.0 m)

HOLE No. RR-7-04 Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

Project\_NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Kerry Cooper Lic#\_ 2552 Sample No. (Tube No.) Sample Type Groundwater Standard Instrument Depth (ft) SPT Meters (m) Profile Lab Tests Penetration Blows/6" Description of Material Blows/ft (N) 20 30 40 10 End of test hole boring at 70 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. - 22 Water table in casing before bailing hole is 12.5', bailed hole to 62.4', after 15 minutes delay water table stabilized at 54.7. Installed and bailed piezo. Ended test boring at 69.0' below ground elevation. 75· WATER LEVEL READINGS 23 DEPTH DATE 8/17/2004 dry 24 80 - 25 SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04/12/06:58 85 - 26 - 27 90-- 28 95

	_										C			
		Washingtor Department	n State t of Tra	nsportation			LO	g of	• T	EST	BORING Start Card S-23984			
•4	Ø			·	205					10	HOLE No. RR-8-04			
	Job No.	<u> </u>		_ SR _	395			Elev	atior	n <u>19</u>	Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>5</u>		-	
	Project	NSLAC-B	NSF R	R Over Crossir	ng Tui	nnel					Driller <u>Joe Judd</u>	Lic	#24	454
Site	Address	Market St	. & Pip	er Rd.							Inspector Dave Nelson			
	Start	July 16, 20	004	Completion	July	17, 2004		We	II ID	#	Equipment CME 850 w/ auto	o hamme	er	
	Station	LR 372+9	93.92	Offse	t_10	3.86' RT.		Ca	asing	g4	'x 110.5 Method Wet Rotary		<u> </u>	
	Northing	621515		Eastin	28	23226		Lat	itude	e	Longitude			
	County	Spokane		Subsection	NE	1/4 of S	N 1/	4			Section 15 Range 43 EWM Tow	/nship_26	<u> </u>	
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Standard Penetration Blows/ft	0	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)		Lab Tests	Description of Material		Groundwater	Instrument
5						2 3 3 (6) 3 3 4 (7) 2 2 2 (4)		D-1		GS MC	Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogene HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft SP, M.C. = 16% Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogene HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogene HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	ous,		
20						3 3	X	D-4			Poorly graded SAND, loose, brown, dry, Homogene HCI reaction not tested.	eous,		

SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL.GPJ SOIL GDT 12/20/04,12:06:59



Job No. XL-2201

LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1944.4 ft (592.7 m)

Start Card S-23984

Sheet 2 of 5

roioct	NSLAC-BNSF R	R Over Crossing Tunnel

SR

	Project	NSLAC	-BNSF RR (	Over Crossing Tu	nnel				Driller _ Joe Judd	Lic#2	2454
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	S Pe 10 2	itandard enetration Blows/ft 0 30 40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	- 7				3 (6)	X			Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
25					3 3 3 (6)	X	D-5	GS MC	ML, M.C. = 45% Sandy SILT, loose, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
30	9				4 6 11 (17)	X	D-6		Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, brown, moist, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.8 ft		-
3PJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:59 %	- 				11 13 17 (30)		D-7		Well graded SAND with gravel, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		-
AC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL C	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				10 11 15 (26)		D-8	GS MC	SW-SM, M.C. = 18% Well graded SAND with silt, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	-
SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSL	- 13				9 12	X	D-9	GS MC	SW-SM, M.C. = 13% Well graded SAND with silt, dense, brown, wet,	-	-



Start Card	S-23984	
	0 20001	

Job No. XL-2201

395 SR

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1944.4 ft (592.7 m)

HOLE No. RR-8-04 Sheet 3\_\_\_\_\_ of 5\_\_\_\_\_

Driller Joe Judd

	Project	NSLAC	-BNSF RR C	Over Cros	ssing Tur	nnel				Driller Joe Judd	Lic# 2454
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	Si Per B	tandard netration Blows/ft		SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater
					40	17 (29)	X			Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	
- 50-				•		12 14 14 (28)	X	D-10		Well graded SAND, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-
55 -	- 16					14 20 22 (42)	X	D-11		Well graded SAND with gravel, dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	
60 -					               	<ul> <li>23</li> <li>28</li> <li>50</li> <li>(78)</li> </ul>		D-12		Well graded SAND with gravel, very dense, brown, wet, Homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	
	- 19					15		D-13		GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely	
65-	- 20					(41)				Length Recovered 1.0 ft	
70	21					12 18		D-14		GRANITE, brown, coarse grained, completely weathere very weak rock.	d,



395

SR

Job No. XL-2201

SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL GDT 12/20/04,12:06:59

# LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1944.4 ft (592.7 m)

Start Card	S-23984
------------	---------

HOLE No. RR-8-04

Sheet <u>4</u> of <u>5</u>

	Project_	NSLAC	-BNSF F	R Over	Cross	ing Tun	inel					Driller Joe Judd L	ic#_2	2454
Depth (ft)	Meters (m)	Profile	10	Stand Penetra Blows	ard ation s/ft 30	40	SPT Blows/6" (N)	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
							24 (42)	X				Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
- 75 -	- 23						10 15 29 (44)	X	D-15			GRANITE, brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
- 80	- 24						18 18 30 (48)	X	D-16			GRANITE, brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
	25			-		>>•	11		D-17			GRANITE, yellowish brown, coarse grained, completely		
85	26			100 <b>100 100 100 100 100 100 100</b> 100			37 40 (77)					weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	~	
90	- 27						12 19 30 (49)	X	D-18			GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock. Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
95-	28						10 16		D-19			GRANITE, reddish brown, coarse grained, completely weathered, very weak rock.		-



Start Card	S-23984

Lic# 2454

Instrumen

Groundwater

HOLE No. RR-8-04 Elevation \_\_\_\_\_1944.4 ft (592.7 m) Job No. XL-2201 395 SR Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ Project\_NSLAC-BNSF RR Over Crossing Tunnel Driller Joe Judd Sample Type Sample No. Standard (Tube No.) Meters (m) SPT Depth (ft) Profile Tests Penetration Lab Blows/6 Description of Material Blows/ft (N) 10 20 30 40 29 29 Length Recovered 1.0 ft (45) 30 >> No Recovery GRANITE, bluish-grey, coarse grained, slightly 100 D-20 (100) C-21 weathered, moderately strong rock, HCl reaction not RQD 100 tested. Discontinuities are moderately spaced and in 0 poor condition, Percent Recovered 100.0% FF C-22 GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, fresh, strong 3 rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are RQD 31 moderately spaced and in excellent condition. Percent 98 FF Recovered 100.0% 1 105-- 32 GRANITE, bluish-grey, medium grained, fresh, strong RQD C-23 rock, HCI reaction not tested. Discontinuities are 98 FF moderately spaced and in excellent condition. Percent Recovered 100.0% 1 33 110 34 End of test hole boring at 110.5 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. - 35 115--36

SOIL XL-2201, SR-395, NSLAC-BNSF RR OVER CROSSING TUNNEL GPJ SOIL.GDT 12/20/04,12:06:59














.





# **APPENDIX B**

# SUBSURFACE DATA FOR SR522 CAMPUS ACCESS PROJECT

			Washing Departm	iton State ient of Tra	e ansport	ation			LC	)g of	TEST	BORING Start Card S-15283		
		Job No.	XL-23	11		SR _	522			Elev	ation _27	.6 ft HOLE No. <u>H-31-02</u>		
		Proiect	SR 522	2 UWB C	CC Car	npus S.	Acce	SS.				Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>3</u>	ic# 7	[2599
	Site	Address										Inspector Hanning	10# <u></u>	10000
	One	1001000	May 22	2002			May	22.200	 -					•
		Start .	iviay ZZ,	, 2002	C	ompletio	n <u>Iviay</u>	23, 200	4	We	11 ID#	Equipment CIVIE 850 W/ autonamin	er	
		Station _	R-C 61	2+49	<u> </u>	Offse	et <u>17</u>	.5ft Lt.		— (	lole Dia   inches)	IQ Method Wet Rotary		
		Northing	607316	5.14		Eastin	g16	35190.93	3	Coll	ected by	Datum		
Г		County	King		S	lubsectio	n_SE	/NE			1	Section <u>8</u> Range <u>5 EWM</u> Township <u>20</u>	<u>6 N</u>	
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>◆ Fi</li> <li>● M</li> <li>Ø</li> <li>Ø</li> </ul>	eld SPT loisture C RQD 40	(N) Content	30	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		-						47	V	D-1		Silty SAND with gravel and organics throughout, medium dense, olive brown, moist, homogeneous, no HCI		
	-	-    -			Í		İ	8 (15)	$\square$	1		reaction Length Recovered 0.5 ft, Length Retained 0.5 ft		
	-						   						-	
	-													
	-			•			   >>  	1		D-2	GS	ML, MC=117%	-	
	5—	-					   	3 (4)	Å		IVIC	Sandy ORGANIC SILT, very soπ, dark brown, moist, stratified, no HCI reaction Length Recovered 1.5 ft. Length Retained 1.5 ft		
	-	-	· · · · ·				   						-	
	-	-				   1	   					· · ·		
	-	20.0	· · ·				   						-	
	-	-	· · · ·		1		 						_ <u>_</u>	
	10-	 								S-3		05/23/2002		
122/16	10	-	· · ·									•		
GDT 6	-	-			T			1 2		D-4	GS MC	SP-SM, MC=39% Poorly graded SAND with silt and organics, very loose,		
PJ SOI	-	- 15.0						1 (3)				dark gray, wet, stratified, no HCl reaction Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft	-	
CESS.G	-	_		i		İ								
S S ACC	-	-							9	S-5		Sandy ORGANIC SILT, very soft, dark gray brown, wet,	-	
CAMPU	15—	-							1			stratified, no HCI reaction Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft	- 1	
2 UWB	-	-		•	ļ			. 2		D-6	GS	SP-SM_MC=45%	-	
-1542) SR-52	-							1 2 (3)	X		MC	Poorly graded SAND with silt and wood chunks, very loose, dark gray brown, wet, stratified, no HCl reaction Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft	-	
2311(XL	-	-		ļ										
SOILA XL-	-	-	<u>ः इ</u> ति  द्वित्तंतः	•				0 . 5	X	D-7	GS MC	ML, MC=57%, PI=11 SILT with sand, very soft, dark gray, wet, stratified, no	-	



SOILA XL-2311(XL-1542) SR-522 UWB CAMPUS S ACCESS.GPJ SOIL.GDT 6/22/16

#### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-15283

HOLE No. H-31-02

5<u>2</u>2 SR

Elevation \_ 27.6 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

Project SR 522 UWB CCC Campus S. Access.

	Project_	SR 52	2 UWB CCC	Campus	S. Acce	SS.				Driller Harvey	Lic# <u>T2599</u>
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field</li> <li>Moist</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	SPT (N) ture Content	i 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater Instrument
-						12 (17)	X	4	AL	HCI reaction. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft SM, MC=26% Silty SAND, medium dense, moist to wet, stratified, no HCL reaction. Legth Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft	
- 25	- - - - - -		•	•		60/6" (60/6")	X	D-8	GS MC	SM, MC=11% Silty SAND, very dense, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, strong HCI reaction Length Recovered 0.5 ft, Length Retained 0.5 ft	
30	-			I		17 26 26 (52)	X	D-9		SILT with sand and some gravel, very dense, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, no HCI reaction Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft	
- 35—			•			16 32 50	X	D-10	GS MC AL	SM, MC=12% Silty SAND, trace gravel, very dense, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, no HCl reaction	
	- 					(82)			_	Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft	
- 40— -	-					28 50/5" (50/5")	X	D-11		No Recovery Coarse GRAVEL indicated from drilling action.	-
45	— -15 - -					80/6" (80/6")	X	D-12		Silty GRAVEL with sand, subrounded, very dense, dark greenish gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction	



### LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_27.6 ft

Start Card S-15283

HOLE No. H-31-02

Sheet 3 of 3

SR

522

	Project	SR 522	2 UWB CCC	C Campus S	. Acce	ss.						Driller <u>Harvey</u>	Lic#	T2599
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field</li> <li>Mois</li> <li>RQ</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	d SPT (N) sture Content D 40 60	80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	1.001 0001	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
-	- 					<del>70/3"</del> (70/3")	X	D-13				Length Recovered 0.5 ft, Length Retained 0.5 ft Silty GRAVEL with sand, subrounded, very dense, dark greenish gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction Length Recovered 0.2 ft, Length Retained 0.2 ft		
50 — - - 55 —	- 											End of test hole boring at 49.2 ft below ground elevation. Bailed boring after drilling, water level stabilized at a depth of 9 ft. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data.		
- - - 60-	- 					- 								
						_								17
- 70														

SOILA XL-2311(XL-1542) SR-522 UWB CAMPUS S ACCESS.GPJ SOIL.GDT 6/22/16

		Nashing	gton S	tate f Transr	oortat	tion			LC	G	OF	TEST	BORING		
		Sopular		ritanop	00110										
	Job No	XL-23	511		5	SR	522			E	Eleva	tion <u>26</u>	Oft HOLE No. 11-52-52		
	Project	SR 52	2 UWE	вссс	Cam	pus S. A	Acces	s.					Driller Joe Judd	2454	
Cite	Address												Increasing Dave Nelson		-
Sile	e Address												inspector <u>Dave Neison</u>		
	Start	April 29	, 2002	2	Co	mpletion	April	30, 2002	2		Well	ID#	Equipment CME 45 w/ auto hammer		
	Station	R-C 6	11+52			Offset	17.	6ft Lt.			Ho	ole Dia F	Q x 45.0 Method Wet Rotary		
	Northing	60725	4.24			Easting	163	5116.91			Colle	cted by	Datum		
		King			-		°E					<u>/</u>	5 EN/M		
	County				_ Su	bsection_	35-						Section Range Township _26 r	<u></u>	7
ų (#)	on (ff)	file		Field S	SPT (i ure Co	N) ontent		Blows/6" (N)	e Type	e No.	No.)	b sts		ment	
Dept	Elevati	Pro		RQD				and/or RQD	ample	Sampi	(Tube	Te, La	Description of Material 2	Instru	
	<u> </u>	×	2	20 40	) (	80 80		rr	Ľ					·	
	-25.0	×											-		
	П	××												-	
	Ť.	× ×											-		
	+	× ×											~		
	+	××	٠		<b>e</b>			2		D-	1	GS	MH. MC=46%. PI=23		
5-	1	××						6 8	X	_		MC AL	Elastic SILT, orange mottling, stiff, blue gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction	_	
·		××						(14)		E .			Length Recovered 1.0 ft		
:	20.0	×													
	+	× ×											-		
	+	×											_		
		×													
		×								S-	2		ORGANIC SILT, dark brown, wet, stratified, no HCI reaction.		
10-	†	×											Length Recovered 1.5 ft		
	- 15.0							32 31	Y	D-	3	GS MC	ML, MC=14% Sandy SILT with gravel, very hard, gray, moist,		
	+			i i 1 I				33 (64)					homogeneous, no HCl reaction Length Recovered 1.0 ft	-	
				  -								:			
	Ť	••••													
	+				•			50/6	X	D-	4	GS	SM, MC=10%		
15-	+							(50/6")				MC	Silty SAND with gravel, very dense, gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction	-	
	10.0												Length Recovered 0.5 ft	2	
	10.0												05/30/2002	-	
	+														
	+												-	-	
	+	<u> <u>i i i i</u></u>			٠			50/6		-	_	<u></u>	NI_NO_400/		
		· · ·						50/6 (50/6'')	à	D-	b	MC	Sandy SILT, very dense, gray, moist, homogeneous, no	_	
· 20-			·	L											

SOILA XL-2311(XL-1542) SR-522 UWB CAMPUS S ACCESS.GPJ SOIL.GDT 6/22/16



#### LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation 26.0 ft

Start Card \_ S-15283

HOLE No. H-32-02

Sheet 2 of 3

Project SR 522 UWB CCC Campus S. Access

522

SR \_

г		Project	011 022		7		1			Driller JOE Judd	Lic#	2454
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT (N)</li> <li>Moisture Content</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20 40 60 80</li> </ul>	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-	- 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							HCI reaction Length Recovered 0.5 ft		
	-											
	- 25—	+			50/6 (50/6'')	X	D-6			SILT with sand and gravel, very dense, gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction Length Recovered 0.5 ft		
	-	-0									-	
	-	-			50/3 (50/3")	x	D-7			Silty SAND with gravel, very dense, gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction		
	30—									Length Recovered 0.2 ft		
~	-	-			-		·					
5/22/16	35	-			50/3 (50/3")	X	D-8			SILT, very dense, gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction Length Recovered 0.2 ft	-	
GPJ SOIL.GDT 6	-											
IPUS S ACCESS.		-			50/3 (50/3")		D-9			Silty SAND / Sandy SILT with gravel, very dense, gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction	-	
SR-522 UWB CAN	40									Length Recovered 0.2 ft		
-2311(XL-1542) {	-	-								· · ·	-	
SOILA XL	45	-				-	_					



Job No\_XL-2311

### LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_26.0 ft

Start Card \_S-15283

HOLE No. H-32-02

Sheet 3\_ of 3\_

SR

522

	Project	SR 522	2 UWB CC	C Campu	s S. Acce	SS.					Driller Joe Judd	ic#	2454
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Fiel</li> <li>Moi</li> <li>RQ</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	d SPT (N) sture Conte D 40 60	ent 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
-		<u></u>				<del>50/3</del> (50/3")	×	<del>D-10</del>			Silty SAND with gravel, very dense, gray, moist, homogeneous, no HCI reaction Length Recovered 0.2 ft End of test hole boring at 45.2 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data.		
50—													
 55	- 								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 60	- - 												
	- - - 												
- 70-													

SOILA XL-2311(XL-1542) SR-522 UWB CAMPUS S ACCESS.GPJ\_SOIL.GDT\_6/22/16

# **APPENDIX C**

# SUBSURFACE DATA FOR SR5/SR432 TALLEY WAY I/C PROJECT



# **Test Boring Legend**

Page 1 of 2

	Sampler Symbols
	Standard Penetration Test
	Oversized Penetration Test (Dames & Moore, California)
	Shelby Tube
P	Piston Sample
	Washington Undisturbed
	Vane Shear Test
	Core
	Becker Hammer
B	Bag Sample
	Well Symbols
	Cement Surface Seal
	Piezometer Pipe in Granular Bentonite Seal
• • • • • •	Piezometer Pipe in Sand
	Well Screen in Sand
	Granular Bentonite Bottom Seal
	Inclinometer Casing in Concrete Bentonite Grout

# Laboratory Testing Codes

- UUUnconsolidated Undrained TriaxialCUConsolidated Undrained Triaxial
- CD Consolidated Drained Triaxial
- UC Unconfined Compression Test
- DS Direct Shear Test
- CN Consolidation Test
- GS Grain Size Distribution
- MC Moisture Content
- SG Specific Gravity
- OR Organic Content DN Density
- DNDensityALAtterberg Limits
- PT | Point Load Compressive Test
- SL Slake Test
- DG Degradation
- LA LA Abrasion
- HT Hydrometer Test

		a de la constitución de la constitución de la constitución de la constitución de la constitución de la constitu	
	Soil Den	sity Moo	difiers
Gravel,	Sand & Non-plastic Silt	Elasti	c Silts and Clay
SPT Blows/ft	Density	SPT Blows/ft	Consistency
0-4	Very Loose	0-1	Very Soft
5-10	Loose	2-4	Soft
11-24	Medium Dense	5-8	Medium Stiff
25-50	Dense	9-15	Stiff
>50	Very Dense	16-30	Very Stiff
	Pofusal	31-60	Hard
	Relusal	>60	Very Hard

A	ngularity of Gravel & Cobbles
 Angular	Coarse particles have sharp edges and relatively plane sides with unpolished surfaces.
Subangular	Coarse grained particles are similar to angular but have rounded edges.
Subrounded	Coarse grained particles have nearly plane sides but have well rounded corners and edges.
Rounded	Coarse grained particles have smoothly curved sides and no edges.

## Soil Moisture Modifiers

		and the second second second second
Dry	Absence of moisture; dusty, dry to touch	
Moist	Damp but no visible water	
Wet	Visible free water	

## Soil Structure

Stratified	Alternating layers of varying material or color at least 6mm thick; note thickness and inclination.
Laminated	Alternating layers of varying material or color less than 6mm thick; note thickness and inclination.
Fissured	Breaks along definite planes of fracture with little resistance to fracturing.
Slickensided	Fracture planes appear polished or glossy, somtimes striated.
Blocky	Cohesive soil that can be broken down into smaller angular lumps which resist further breakdown.
Disrupted	Soil structure is broken and mixed. Infers that material has moved substantially - landslide debris.
Homogeneous	Same color and appearance throughout.

-	HCL Reaction
No HCL Reaction	No visible reaction.
Weak HCL Reaction	Some reaction with bubbles forming slowly.
Strong HCL Reactio	nViolent reaction with bubbles forming immediately.

Degree of	f Vesicularity of Pyroclastic Rocks
Slightly Vesicular	5 to 10 percent of total
Moderately Vesicular	10 to 25 percent of total
Highly Vesicular	25 to 50 percent of total
Scoriaceous	Greater than 50 percent of total



# **Test Boring Legend**

		Page	2 of 2
		Grain Size	
Fine Grained	< 1mm	Few crystal boundaries/grains are distinguishable in the field or with hand lens.	
Medium Grained	1mm to 5mm	Most crystal boundaries/grains are distinguishable with the aid of a hand lens.	
Coarse Grained	> 5mm	Most crystal boundaries/grains are distinguishable with the naked eye.	

	Weathered State	
Term	Description	Grade
Fresh	No visible sign of rock material weathering; perhaps slight discoloration in major discontinuity surfaces.	Ι
Slightly Weathered	Discoloration indicates weathering of rock material and discontinuity surfaces. All the rock material may be discolored by weathering and may be somewhat weaker externally than its fresh condition.	II
Moderately Weathered	Less than half of the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. Fresh or discolored rock is present either as a continuous framework or as core stones.	III
Hiģhly Weathered	More than half of the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. Fresh or discolored rock is present either as discontinuous framework or as core stone.	IV
Completely Weathered	All rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. The original mass structure is still largely intact.	V
Residual Soil	All rock material is converted to soil. The mass structure and material fabric is destroyed. There is a large change in volume, but the soil has not been significantly transported.	VI

Relative Rock Strength											
Grade	Description	Field Identification	Uniaxial Compressive Strength approx								
R1	Very Weak	Specimen crumbles under sharp blow from point of geological hammer, and can be cut with a pocket knife.	150-3500 psi								
R2	Moderately Weak	Shallow cuts or scrapes can be made in a specimen with a pocket knife. Geological hammer point indents deeply with firm blow.	3500-7500 psi								
R3	Moderately Strong	Specimen cannot be scraped or cut with a pocket knife, shallow indentation can be made under firm blows from a hammer.	7500-15000 psi								
R4	Strong	Specimen breaks with one firm blow from the hammer end of a geological hammer.	15000-30000 psi								
R5	Very Strong	Specimen requires many blows of a geological hammer to break intact sample.	Greater than 30000 psi								

	Discontinuities										
S	pacing	Condition									
Very Widely	Greater than 3 m	Excellent	Very rough surfaces, no separation, hard discontinuity wall								
Widely	1 m to 3 m	Good	Slightly rough surfaces, separation less than 1 mm, hard								
Moderately	0.3 m to 1 m		discontinuity wall.								
Closely	Closely 50 mm to 300 mm		Slightly rough surfaces, separation greater than 1 mm,								
Very Closely	Less than 50 mm	_									
R	QD (%)	Poor	Slickensided surfaces, or soft gouge less than 5 mm thick, or open discontinuities 1 to 5 mm.								
100(length of c Leng	core in pieces > 100mm) th of core run	Very Poor	Soft gouge greater than 5 mm thick, or open discontinuities greater than 5 mm.								

Fracture Frequency (FF) is the average number of fractures per 300 mm of core. Does not include mechanical breaks caused by drilling or handling.

$\overline{\nabla}$	Washington State Department of Transportation								LC	)G OI	TEST	BORING Start Card <u>S-26387</u>		
-	Job No	XL-29	63		. S	R_	5			Elev	vation18	.0 ft		
	Project	I-5 / SF	R-432 Ti	allev \	Wav I	ntercl	hange					Sheet of	c# 2	2742 T
Site	Addrose	Vic SI	R-432 a	nd I-5			<u> </u>					Inspector Brian Hilts	<u></u>	
Olle /	1001055	<u></u>	2000		<u>,                                     </u>		Max	44 0000					<u></u>	•
	Start	May 11	, 2006		Cor	npletio	n iviay	11, 2006	•	We	II ID#	Equipment_CIVIE 650 W autonamin		
	Station	AL 110	)+46.77			Offse	et <u>84</u> .	.85ft Lt.	E.	ł	lole Dia 4 (inches)	4"ODx42' Method Wet Rotary		
٨	lorthing	291252	2.00		_	Eastin	g <u>103</u>	35869.00		Col	lected by	Datum		
I	County	Cowlitz	<u>z</u>		Sub	osectio	n_SV	/-NW			1	Section <u>12</u> Range <u>2 WWM</u> Township <u>7</u>	N	
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	◆ ● 20	Field S Moistu RQD	SPT (N ure Co	l) ntent	10	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	•					0 0	         					5/11/2006	_⊈ -	
-	- 15.0		•					2 -		D-1	GS	5/11/2006	. <b>⊻</b> . 	
5	• •							2 3 (5)	X	5-1	MC	Silty SAND with trace of brown organics, loose, very dark gray, wet, stratified, HCl reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.8 ft, Length Retained 0.8 ft		
+	- 10.0													
10								3 5 5 (10)	X	D-2		Silty SAND with gravel, loose, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 0.8 ft, Length Retained 0.8 ft Note: The bottom .1 ft was brown in color, with some dark brown organics and hair roots throughout.	•	
	~5.0					•		0	V	D-3	MC	M.C. = 68% SILT. verv loose, dark greenish grav, moist, stratified, HCl		
15	-0.0							1 (1)		S-4		reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft Note: From 14.9' to 15' was silty sand, with trace wood debris. A moisture can was obtained at the sample depth. SILT, loose, dark greenish gray, moist, homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 2.0 ft, Length Retained 2.0 ft	-	
20-								1 1	X	D-5	GS MC	ML, M.C. = 45%, PI = NA Sandy SILT with a trace of dark brown organics, very		

SOILA XL-2963(TA-3023 OLD)(TA-2322 OLD))+5 SR-432 TALLEY WAY INTERCHANGE.GPJ SOIL 6DT 9/3/09



5

SR \_

Job No\_XL-2963\_

#### LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_18.0 ft

Start Card S-26387

HOLE No. H-10-06

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

	Projec	Project I-5 / SR-432 Talley Way Interchange										DrillerDanny Henderson	Lic#	<u>2742 T</u>
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	۵ ا	Field Moist RQD	SPT (N ture Cor ) 0 60	) ntent ) 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No.	(Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-						2 (3)	X			AL	loose, very dark gray, moist, homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.2 ft, Length Retained 1.2 ft	-	
25-	-5						2 1 1 (2)	X	D-6	6	MC	M.C. = 43% SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.2 ft, Length Retained 1.2 ft		
30-			• •				7 7 7 (14)	X	D-7	7	GS MC	SW-SM, M.C. = 27% Well graded SAND with silt and a trace of black and brown organics, medium dense, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.1 ft, Length Retained 1.1 ft		
35					•		1 1 2 (3)	X	D-8	<b>***</b>	GS MC AL	ML, M.C. = 43%, PI = NA SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft Note: A moisture can was obtained at the sample depth.	-	
40		× × × ×			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0 1 1 (2)	X	D-9		МС	M.C. = 75% Elastic SILT with sand, soft, very dark gray, moist, homogeneous, HCI reaction not tested. Length Recovered 1.5 ft, Length Retained 1.5 ft Note: A moisture can was obtained at the sample depth. / End of test hole boring at 40.5 ft below ground elevation.		
-												descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Note: The water table after drilling was measured at 1 ft. After removing the casing, the hole stayed open to 25 ft, and the water table was measured at 3 ft.		

		Washing Departm	ton State ent of Transpo	ortation		LC	)g of	TEST	BORING Start Card <u>S-32519</u>				
	Job No	XL-29	63	SR <u>5</u>			Elev	ation24.	6 ft HOLE No. <u>H-23-08</u>				
	Project	I-5/SR	432 Talley Wa	y Interchang	e				Driller Shepherd, Robert	ic#2	2710		
Site	Address	Vic SR	432 and I-5						Inspector Brian Hilts				
	Start	April 7,	2008	Completion A	pril 7, 2008	}	We	ID#	Equipment_CME 850 with Autoham	mer			
	Station	AL 114	+86.90	Offset	120.51ft L		F	lole Dia 6	Method Wet Rotary				
I	Northing	291359	9.11	Easting_	1036297.4	6	( Coll	ected by F	IQ Geotech Division Datum State Plane South				
	County_Cowlitz												
Depth (ft)	levation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SI</li> <li>Moistur</li> <li>RQD</li> </ul>	PT (N) e Content	Blows/6 (N) and/o RQD	ample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument		
			20 40	60 80     	FF	0					X		
-													
-													
-													
-	20.0				4 3 2	Y	D-1	GS MC	GP-GM, MC=25% Poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand, angular, loose,				
5					(5)				Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.				
-										-			
-													
-					*								
-	- 15.0		♦		4	V	D-2		GP-GM, MC=25% Poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand, angular, loose,				
10-					3 (6)				black, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.6 ft. Length Retained:0.6 ft.	[-]			
-									during drilling.	┝,			
-													
-										╞╶┥			
-			•		14	V	D-3		GP-GM, MC=25%				
15—	10.0 				9 14 (23)	X			Poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand, angular, medium dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested.	- 1			
-		Ŏ							Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: At 17 ft the soil became less dense demonstrated				
-									by aniling.	$\left  \right $			
-	ŀ									$\left  - \right $			
-	-				0		D-4		SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCI	$\left  - \right $			
- 20	-5.0					X			not tested.				

SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE. GPJ SOIL. GDT 8/13/09



#### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. <u>H-23-08</u>

5

Elevation 24.6 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_8\_\_\_

SR

		Project_I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange									Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	<u>2710</u>
	nepm (itt)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field</li> <li>Mois</li> <li>RQI</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	I SPT (N sture Co D 40 6	N) Intent 0 80	Blows// (N) and/ou RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-	-					1 (2)	X			Length Recovered:1.4 ft. Length Retained:1.4 ft. Note: The top 0.6 ft consisted of silt with brown organics and hair roots, and the bottom 0.8 ft consisted of silt with sand.		
	25—	-0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						S-5		SILT, dark gray, homogenous.		
		-					0 0 1 (1)		D-6	GS MC AL	ML, MC=69%, LL=42 SILT with a trace of organics and hair roots, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft.		
:	30 —	—-5 -							S-7		No Recovery		
	-	-					1 2 1 (3)	X	D-8		Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.		
CPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09							0 0 (0)	X	D-9	GS MC AL	ML, MC=46%, LL=38 SILT with sandy silt lenses and some organics, very loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. <i>Note: At 34 ft we got our water return back.</i>		
963 I-5_SR432 TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE	40	- 					2 1 2 (3)		D-10		Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.		
SOILA XL-2	45-1				•		0 0	X	D-11	GS MC	ML, MC=52%, LL=35 SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not	-	



#### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. H-23-08

5 SR

Elevation \_24.6 ft

Sheet 3 of 8

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

		Project_	1-5/5R	432 Talley Way	Interchange					Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	2710
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SP1</li> <li>Moisture</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	Γ (N) Content 60 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0 (0)	X		AL	tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	- 50—	- 						S-12		No Recovery		
	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Ρ	S-13		Sandy SILT, dark gray, stratified.		
	55—					0 1 2 (3)	X	D-14		SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft.		
I SOIL.GDT 8/13/09		- 				0 0 0 (0)	X	D-15		SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
WAY LC WITH CONE.GPJ	- 65-							S-16	GS MC AL CN	ML, MC=45%, LL=37 SILT, dark gray, homogenous.		
963 1-5_SR432 TALLEN		-				0 0 0 (0)	X	D-17	GS MC AL	ML, MC=58%, LL=38 SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
SOILA XL-2	-  -  70					0 0	X	D-18		SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested.		



### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. H-23-08

Job No. XL-2963

5 \_\_\_\_ SR

Elevation 24.6 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_8\_\_\_

iect	1-5/SR	432	Talley	Way	Interchange

		Project_	1-5/SR	432 Talle	ey Way I	nterchar	ige					Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	2710
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>F</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li> <li>M</li></ul>	ield SPT Moisture C RQD 40	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument	
	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · ·				1 (1)	X				Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.	-	
									S-19			SILT with sand, dark gray, homogenous.	- - -	
	-						0 0 0 (0)		D-20			SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	- 80—						0 0 0 (0)	X	D-21			SILT with a 0.1 ft think lense of sandy silt, very loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:0.7 ft. Length Retained:0.7 ft.	-	
		- - 							S-22			No Recovery		-
GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09	-	-		•	*		1 2 3 (5)	X	D-23	C P	GS MC AL	ML, MC=40%, PI=NA SILT, loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft.		
TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE	- 90	- 		•			2 4 5 (9)	X	D-24			SILT with sand, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.		-
SOILA XL-2963 I-5_SR432	- - 95—	- - 						7	S-25			SILT with sand, dark gray, homogenous.	-	-



LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. <u>H-23-08</u>

SR 5 Elevation 24.6 ft

Sheet <u>5</u> of <u>8</u>

	Project	1-5/SR	432 Talley Way Interchange					Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic# <u>2710</u>
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT (N)</li> <li>Moisture Content</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20 40 60 80</li> </ul>	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater Instrument
			<ul> <li></li> </ul>	2 2 1 (3)		D-26	GS MC AL	ML, MC=33%, PI=NA SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-
100				0 3 2 (5)	X	D-27		SILT with sand and some wood debris, loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft.	
	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			0 3 3 (6)		D-28		SILT with sand, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.4 ft. Length Retained:0.4 ft.	-
- 110	- - 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				S-29		SILT with sand, dark gray, homogenous. Note: The bottom of the shelby had wood debris.	
			<ul> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li></ul>	3 3 3 (6)		D-30	GS MC AL	ML, MC=33%, LL=31 SILT with sand, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: The bottom 0.4 ft had grayish brown chunks of siltstone.	-
115-	- 		♦	1 3 3 (6)		D-31		SILT with sand and a trace organics, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	
						S-32		SILT, dark gray, homogenous.	

SOILA XL-2963 I-5 SR432 TALLEY WAY I C WITH CONE. GPJ SOIL. GDT 8/13/09



LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. <u>H-23-08</u>

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

5

SR

Elevation \_24.6 ft

Sheet <u>6</u> of <u>8</u> Driller <u>Shepherd, Robert</u> Lic# <u>2710</u>

	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Fiel</li> <li>Mo</li> <li>RC</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	d SPT (i sture Co D 40 6	N) ontent 60 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
				◆			0 2 2 (4)		D-33		SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	125—	- 		◆			1 3 3 (6)	X	D-34		Sandy SILT, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
	- - 130—	- 							S-35		SILT with sand, dark gray, homogenous.		
	-			◆			1 2 3 (5)		D-36	GS MC AL	ML, MC=50%, LL=38 SILT with sand and some organics, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
DT 8/13/09	- 135—						0 0 (0)	X	D-37		SILT with sand with organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: No blow count was obtained, the chain wrench broke and we dropped 120 ft of sample rods with 20 ft of free fall to obtain a sample.		
SR432 TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL GE	- - 140 -	- 		•			0 0 2 (2)	X	D-38		SILT with sand and some organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
SOILA XL-2963 I-5_S	- 145—	- —-120						7	S-39		SILT with sand, dark gray, homogenous.		



LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. <u>H-23-08</u>

5\_\_\_\_\_ SR

Elevation \_24.6 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_8\_\_\_

	Project_	I-5/SR	432 Talley Way Interchange					Driller <u>Shepherd, Robert</u>	Lic#	2710
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT (N)</li> <li>Moisture Content</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>40 60 80</li> </ul>	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
-	-		◆ ⊕	6 7 6 (13)		D-40	GS MC AL	CL, MC=32%, PI=16 Lean CLAY with sand and some organics, medium dense, dark greenish gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
				6 12 18 (30)	X	D-41		No Recovery		
	- - - 			5 10 20 (30)	X	D-42	GS MC AL	SM, MC=34%, PI=NA Silty SAND, dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.3 ft. Length Retained:1.3 ft.		
- - 160	- 			6 15 13 (28)	X	D-43	GS MC	SM, MC=28% Silty SAND, dense, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:0.9 ft. Length Retained:0.9 ft.		
- - 165 - -	- - - 			● 50/3" (REF)	×	D-44		Silty SAND, very dense, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.3 ft. Length Retained:0.3 ft. Note: At 161 ft the soil became more dense demonstrated by drilling.		
- 170-	- - 			50/3" (REF)	×	D-45		SANDSTONE, very dense, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested.		

SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09



LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. H-23-08

5 SR

Elevation 24.6 ft

Sheet 8\_\_\_\_\_\_ of 8\_\_\_\_\_

		Project_	I-5/SR	432 T	alley \	Nay Ir	ntercha	ange							Driller _ Shepherd, Robert _	Lic#	2710
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile		Field Mois	I SPT ( sture Co D	N) ontent	30	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	40	Teau	lests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-	-									C-46				Length Recovered:0.3 ft. Length Retained:0.3 ft. SANDSTONE CONGLOMERATE with interbedded siltstone, medium grained, fresh, moderately weak rock, Discontinuities are very widely spaced and in good condition. Recovered:100% RQD:100 FF:0.		
	175 - - -									en en en en en en en en en en en en en e	C-47				SANDSTONE CONGLOMERATE with interbedded siltstone, medium grained, fresh, moderately weak rock, Discontinuities are very widely spaced and in good condition. Recovered:100% RQD:100 FF:0.		
·	180—	-155				/////   	////   !	<u>     </u>   !									
SOIL.GDT 8/13/09	- - - 185— -	- - - - - - -													The implied accuracy of the borehole location information displayed on this boring log is typically sub-meter in (X,Y) when collected by the HQ Geotech Division and sub-centimeter in (X,Y,Z) when collected by the Region Survey Crew. End of test hole boring at 180 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Note: REF = SPT Refusal		
81-5_SR432 TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE GPJ_SC	- - 190 - -	- 															
SOILA XL-2963	- 195—	- 			     	     		     								_	

			Washing Departm	ton Sta ent of T	te Transport	ation		LC	)g of	TEST	BORING Start Card <u>S-32703</u>		
		Job No	XL-296	63		SR5			Elev	ation	.0 ft HOLE No. <u>H-24-08</u>		
		Project	I-5/SR 4	432 Tal	lley Way	Interchange	Э				Driller Shepherd, Robert L	.ic#	2710
	Site	Address	Vic SR	432 and	d I-5						Inspector Brian Hilts		-
		Start	May 5, 2	2008		Completion M	ay 7, 2008			11 JD#	Equipment_CME 850 with Autoham	nmer	-
		Station	AL 113	+55.10		Offset	115.25ft Lt.		н	iole Dia	Method Wet Rotary		
		Northina	291325	5.88		Easting	1036167.74		( Coll	inches)	IQ Geotech Division Datum State Plane South		_
		County	Cowlitz			Subsection	SE1/4 of N	N1/4	4		Section 12 Range 2WWM Township 7	,	
Γ		Ê			Fleid SPT	· (N)	Blows/6"	be	d 7			ter	Ħ
	Depth (fť)	Elevation (	Profile	4 20	Moisture RQD 40	Content 60 80	(N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Ty	Sample N (Tube No	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwa	Instrume
~													
	-	+			İ								
	-	-										-	
	-	-	• •									-	
	-	+		•	<b>.</b>		4	V	D-1	GS MC	ML, MC≂36% Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCl not	-	
	5-	- 10.0					2 (4)				tested. Length Recovered:0.9 ft. Length Retained:0.9 ft.		
	-	+	· · · · · ·								some hair roots.	-	
	-	-										-	
	-	-										-	
	-	-					1		D-2	GS	ML, MC=74%, LL=48	-	
	10	5.0		İ			1 (2)	Å		AL	HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	<u> </u>	
8/13/05	-	-	· · · · · ·								Note: The top 0.4 ft of sample was silty sand, and the bottom 1.1 ft was silt with some wood debris and hair roote throughout	-	
OIL.GDT	-	-			1							-	
CPJ S	-	-											
H CON	-	-	· · · · · ·						S-3		SILT, dark gray, homogenous.	-	
I C M	15—	-0.0										- 1	
EY WAY	-	-					1		D-4		SILT with some brown organics, very loose, dark gray,	-	
432 TALI	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	X			moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft.	-	
3 I-5_SR	-	-					(2)						
XL-2965	-	-	•••	•	4		2		Ď-8	69	ML MC=41%	-	
SOILA	20-						4	X	0-0	MC	Sandy SILT, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI	-	



SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09

\_\_\_\_5

SR

LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_ 15.0 ft

Start Card S-32703

HOLE No. H-24-08

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_7\_\_\_

	Project_	1-5/SR /	432 Talley Way Interchange					Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	2710
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT (N)</li> <li>Moisture Content</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20 40 60 80</li> </ul>	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		3 (7)	X			not tested. Length Recovered:1.1 ft. Length Retained:1.1 ft.		
	- 			0 0 1 1 (1)	X	D-6		SILT with some brown and black organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
 30 				3 7 7 (14)	X	D-7	GS MC	SP-SM, MC=27% Poorly graded SAND with silt, medium dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
35				0 1 1 (2)	X	D-8		SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
40	25			0 0 1 (1)	X	D-9	GS MC	ML, MC≕46% SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
45		· · · *		0 0		D-10		SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested.	-	-



LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32703

HOLE No. H-24-08

Elevation 15.0 ft Job No. XL-2963 5 SR Sheet 3 of 7 Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange Driller Shepherd, Robert Lic# 2710 Blows/6" (N) and/or Sample Type Sample No. (Tube No.) Groundwater Field SPT (N) Instrument Elevation (ft) Depth (ft) Lab Tests Profile ٠ Moisture Content Description of Material RQD FF  $\square$ RQD 20 40 60 80 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. 1 (1) SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not 0 D-11 0 tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. 1 50 -35 (1) SILT, dark gray, homogenous. S-12 55--40 SILT, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not D-13 4 3 tested. Length Recovered:1.3 ft. Length Retained:1.3 ft. 2 (5) SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not 0 D-14 0 tested. Length Recovered:0.6 ft. Length Retained:0.6 ft. 60-1 -45 (1) SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09 SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not D-15 0 1 tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. 1 65--50 (2) D-16 GS ML, MC=47%, PI=7 0 SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not MC 0 70



Job No\_XL-2963

LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32703	Start Card	S-32703
--------------------	------------	---------

SR \_\_\_\_5

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_15.0 ft

HOLE No. <u>H-24-08</u>

	000110							Sheet of		
	Project	1-5/SR	432 Talley Way Interchange					Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	<u>2710</u>
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT (N)</li> <li>Moisture Content</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20 40 60 80</li> </ul>	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
-				0 (0)	X		AL	tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
				2 2 3 (5)	X	D-17		SILT, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
- - 80 - -	- - 			0 4 3 (7)	X	D-18		SILT with sand, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft.	-	
85				4 8 8 (16)	X	D-19		Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.	-	
   90 		Ż		8 10 12 (22)	X	D-20	GS MC	SP-SM MC=24% Poorly graded SAND with silt, medium dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:0.9 ft. Length Retained:0.9 ft.		Ň
- 95		, , , ,	•	0 3	X	D-21		SILT with some wood debris, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested.		

SOILA XL-2963 H5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WTH CONE.GPJ SOIL GDT 8/13/09



LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation 15.0 ft

Start Card S-32703

HOLE No. <u>H-24-08</u>

Sheet 5\_\_\_\_\_ of 7\_\_\_\_

roject I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

SR \_\_\_\_\_

F		Project	1-5/01	102 1	andy	ivay	interor	lange	<u> </u>			T		Driller <u>Shepheid</u> , <u>Robert</u>		<u>2710</u>
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile		Field Mois RQI	I SPT sture ( D 10	(N) Content 60	80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No.) (Tube No.)	प्रा	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
				,					2 (5)	X	1011 1011			Length Recovered:1.3 ft. Length Retained:1.3 ft.	-	
	- 100										S-22			SILT, dark gray, homogenous.	-	
	-	-							0 3 2 (5)	X	D-23		gs MC AL	ML, MC=38%, LL=33 SILT with some brown organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	105 —													τ,		
L. GDT 8/13/09	- - 110 -	95 -		•					1 2 2 (4)		D-24			SILT with some brown organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
4432 TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE.GPJ SO	- - 115 	- 							0 0 0 (0)	X	D-25			SILT with a trace of brown organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		, î
SOILA XL-2963 I-5_SH	-	-							0	X	D-26			SILT with a trace of seashells and brown organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested.		



### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card	S-32703
------------	---------

HOLE No. H-24-08

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

SR	432	Talley Way	Interchange	

SR \_

5



Driller Shepherd, Robert

	Project	1-5/SR	432 T	alley Way	Interchange						Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	2710
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	¢	Field SPT Moisture C RQD 0 40	(N) Content 60 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No.) (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	-
						1 (1)	X				Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
- - 125—			•			0 3 4 (7)	X	D-27			SILT with some brown organics, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
- 130	- 					0 1 2 (3)	X	D-28			SILT with some brown organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
- 135—			♦			0 2 3 (5)	X	D-29		1	SILT with sand and some brown organics, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.		
-  140 	- 					1 4 4 (8)	X	D-30	0 N <i>i</i>	SS 1C NL	ML, MC=45%, LL=37 SILT with sand and some organics, loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. <i>Note: The bottom 0.3 ft ofthe sample was dark greenish gray silt with fine sand, at 140.2 ft a soll change was observed.</i>		
145-	-					4 8	X	D-31			Silty SAND, medium dense, dark greenish gray, wet, stratified, HCI not tested.	-	



#### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32703

HOLE No. H-24-08

Job No. XL-2963 5 Elevation 15.0 ft SR Sheet 7 of 7 Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange Driller Shepherd, Robert Lic#\_2710 Sample Type Sample No.) (Tube No.) Blows/6" Groundwater Elevation (ft) Field SPT (N) Instrument (N) and/or Depth (ft) Profile Lab Tests 1 Moisture Content Description of Material RQD FF RQD 80 20 40 60 Length Recovered:1.1 ft. Length Retained:1.1 ft. 15 Note: A 0.1 ft piece of sandstone was observed. (23)8 D-32 GS SM, MC=31% Silty SAND, dense, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, MC 18 HCI not tested,. 150-20 (38) Length Recovered:1.3 ft. Length Retained:1.3 ft. Note: The top 0.2 ft of sample was sandy silt, the middle 0.7 ft of sample was silty sand stratified with (SP) sand, and the bottom 0.4 ft of sample was weathered sandstone with FeO stains throughout. At 153.5 ft the soil became denser demonstrated by drilling. >> D-33 SANDSTONE, very dense, dark gray, moist, 50/1" (REF) C-34 homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.1 ft. Length Retained:0.1 ft. SANDSTONE/SILTSTONE, fine grained, fresh, 155---140 moderately weak rock, Discontinuities are very closely spaced and in fair condition. Recovered:97% RQD:31 **FF:3** 160---145 SANDSTONE , medium grained, fresh, moderately weak SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09 C-35 rock, Discontinuities are closely spaced and in fair condition, Recovered:100% RQD:52 FF:3. Note: The sample was stratified with some siltstone, the top 1 ft was fractured. The implied accuracy of the borehole location information displayed on this boring log is typically sub-meter in (X,Y) when collected by the HQ Geotech Division and sub-centimeter in (X,Y,Z) when collected by the Region Survey Crew. End of test hole boring at 165 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Note: REF = SPT Refusal

Washington State Department of Transportation										LO	g of	F TEST	BORING Start Card <u>S-32519</u>		
		Job No. XL-2963 SR 5 Elevation _ 24.5 ft											<u>5 ft</u> Sheet of5		
	Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange Driller Haller, Rol													l.ic#_	2779
	Site Address Vic SR432 and I-5 Inspector Cleo Andrews														_
		Start March 31, 2008 Completion March 31, 2008Well ID# Equipment CME 55 with A													_
		Station AL 110+72.76 Offset 52.34ft Lt.									1	lole Dia	Method Wet Rotary		_
	Northing 291202.58 Easting 1035896								96.31		Col	lected by	IQ Geotech Division Datum State Plane South		
<b>–</b>		County_	Cowlitz			Subsection SE1/4 of N			N1/4			Section12 Range 2WWM Township	nship_7		
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	€								Sample No.) (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-												Note: Silty SAND with gravel as indicated by drilling and wash returns, brown.	-	-
		-		*				6	6		D-1		Silty SAND with gravel, medium dense, dark gray, moist,		
	5	- 20.0				بلا يريبي بريبي بالبلار بالبلار بالبلار بالبلار بالبلار بالبلار بالب			7 8 (15)	X			homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.	-	
.GDT 8/13/09	- 10 -	- 15.0		•		· ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···			5 6 6 (12)	X	D-2	GS MC	SM, MC=27% Silty SAND with gravel, medium dense, brown, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.7 ft. Length Retained:0.7 ft. Note: Bottom 0.25 ft of sample was light gray. Approximately 60-70% of drilling fluid was lost starting at 6.0 ft.	-	
SR432 TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL	- 15— -	  							3 8 10 (18)	X	D-3		Silty SAND with gravel, medium dense, dark gray with FeO stains, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft. Note: The bottom 0.3 ft of sample was brown in color.	-	
SOILA XL-2963 H				•	•				3 2	X	D-4	GS MC	SM, MC=26% Silty SAND with gravel, loose, dark gray, wet,	-	


### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. H-28-08

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

5

SR

Elevation 24.5 ft

Driller Haller, Robert

Lic#\_2779\_

	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Fiel</li> <li>Moi</li> <li>RQ</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	d SPT (N) sture Content D 40 60 80	Biows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-					3 (5)	×			homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.		
	25	-				2 2 1 (3)	X	D-5	GS MC AL	ML, MC=31%, PI=NA Sandy SILT with silt lenses and trace organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, laminated, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
	30					0 1 3 (4)	X	D-6	GS MC AL	ML, MC=60%, PI=7 SILT with organic lenses and root hairs, very loose, dark gray mottled with dark brown and green, moist, laminated, HCi not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
3PJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09		- 				0 1 3 (4)	X	D-7		Sandy SILT with organic lenses, very loose, dark brown, moist, laminated, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.		~
3 1-5_SR432 TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.(	40	- 		•		4 6 7 (13)	X	D-8	GS MC	SM, MC=31% Silty SAND with wood debris and possible volcanic ash, medium dense, gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	· · ·	
SOILA XL-296	45-					1 1	X	D-9		Sandy SILT with organics and wood debris, very loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCl not tested.	-	



Start Card	S-3251	9
Vian Valu	0 0401	~

		XI20	33			5					24	HOLE No. <u>H-28-08</u>		
	JOD NO.	<u> </u>			SR				Eleva	ation _	<u> </u>	Sheet 3 of 5		
	Project	I-5/SR	432 Ta	lley Wa	iy Inter	rchange			······			Driller Haller, Robert	Lic#_2	779
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	♦ ₩ 20	Field SF Moistum RQD 40	PT (N) e Conte 60	ent 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-				ļ		1 (2)	X				Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	-						(2)							
50-							1 2 1 (3)	X	D-10			Sandy SILT with traces of organic material, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
55-	- 				•		0 1 1 (2)	X	D-11	GS MC AL		ML, MC=51%, LL=37 SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
							0		D-12			SILT with one organic lense (brown in color), very loose,		
60-							1 1 (2)	Å	-			dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
65-	- - - 		•				5 8 4	X	D-13			Silty SAND, medium dense, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.6 ft. Length Retained:0.6 ft.		

(12)

7 9

D-14

Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, darh gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested.

SOILA XL-2963 1-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.GP1 SOIL.GDT 8/13/09

45

70-



Start Card S-32519

HOLE No. H-28-08

Elevation 24.5 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_5

ert	Lic#	2779

5

SR

	Project	I-5/SR	432 Talley Way I	nterchange					Driller Haller, Robert	Lic#	2779
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT</li> <li>Moisture C</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	(N) Content 60 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
75-					10 (19)				Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.		
6DT 8/13/09 - 58					7 9 12 (21)	X	D-15	GS MC	SP-SM, MC=26% Poorly graded SAND with silt, medium dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.		
HS_SR432 TALLEY WAY I C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL											
Solla XI-298	70		•		9 11	X	D-16		Poorly graded SAND, dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested.		



Start Card <u>S-32519</u>

-12													_	HOLE No. H-28-08			
	Job No.	s	SR _	5		Elevation _24.5 ft					Sheet <u>5</u> of <u>5</u>	Sheet <u>5</u> of <u>5</u>					
	Project_	1-5/SR	432 Ta	alley V	Vay In	ntercha	ange							Driller Haller, Robert	Lic#	_27	779
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	•	Field Mois RQE	SPT (i ture Co	N) ontent	20	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Trube No.)		Lab	1 6515	Description of Material	Connector	GIOUDOWAIEI	Instrument
								7 14 (25) 7 11 11 (22)	San San	D-17				Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.         Poorly graded SAND, medium dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested.         Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.			
-						   	   										

SOILA XL-2963 1-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY 1\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL GDT 8/13/09

-95

120-

$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$		Washingt Departme	on State ent of Transp	portation			LO	G O	F TE	EST	BORING Start Card <u>S-32521</u>		
-	Job No	XL-296	3	SR	5			Ele	vation	_21.	<u>7 ft</u> HOLE №. <u>H-38-08</u>		
	Project	I-5/SR 4	32 Talley W	/ay Intercha	nge						Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	2710
Site	Address	Vic SR4	132 and 1-5								Inspector Brian Hilts		-
	Start	April 1, 2	008	_ Completior	April	3, 2008		W	ell ID#	ŧ	Equipment_CME 55 with Auto	hammer	-
	Station	AL 115+	+36.54	_ Offset	260	).37ft Rt.			Hole I	Dia 6	Method Wet Rotary		
1	Northing	292100	5.27	_ Easting	103	86401.82		Co	llecte	d b <u>y F</u>	Q Geotech Division Datum_State Plane South	1	
	County	Cowlitz		Subsection	SE	1/4 of NV	V1/4	<u>ا</u>			Section <u>12</u> Range <u>2WWM</u> Town	iship_7	1
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field \$</li> <li>Moistu</li> <li>RQD</li> </ul>	SPT (N) ure Content		Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	- 20.0					7 14 18 (32) 10 14 10 (24) 4 6 6 (12)	X	D-1			Silty GRAVEL with sand, sub-angular, dense, grayish brown, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.4 ft. Length Retained:0.4 ft. Silty GRAVEL with sand, sub-angular, medium dense grayish brown, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.3 ft. Length Retained:0.3 ft. Silty GRAVEL with sand, sub-angular, medium dense grayish green, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.		
20	-					0 0	X	D-4			SILT with gravel, organic soil and peat, very loose, da gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested.	rk _	



Job No\_XL-2963

LOG OF TEST	<b>F BORING</b>
-------------	-----------------

Start Card <u>S-32521</u>

HOLE No. H-38-08

Lic#<u>2710</u>

Project_	I-5/SR	432	Talley	Way	Interchange

----

SR

Elevation \_21.7 ft

\_\_\_\_\_

Sheet <u>2</u> of <u>7</u> Driller <u>Shepherd, Robert</u>

	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT (N)</li> <li>Moisture Content</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20 40 60 80</li> </ul>	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2 (2)	X			Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.		
	- 25—			◆	0 0 2 (2)	X	D-5	GS MC AL	SILT with some organic lenss, very loose, dark gray mottled with greenish gray, moist, laminated, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	- 30—	- 10		<ul> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I&lt;</li></ul>	1		S-6 D-7		Sandy SILT with a trace of organics, dark gray, homogenous. Sandy SILT with a trace of organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested.		
				<ul> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li></ul>	2 (3) 4 2 3		D-8		Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Sandy SILT, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.1 ft. Length Retained:1.1 ft.	- - -	
VE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09	1				(5)					+	
SR432 TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CO	- 40 -	- - 		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 1 1 (2)	X	D-9 S-10	GS MC AL GS MC AL CN	ML, MC=39%, PI=NA SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. MC=37%(POST TEST MC:) ML, MC=42%, PI=NA SILT, dark gray, homogenous.		
SOILA XL-2963 I-5	 45	<b>.</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	◆	2 2	X	D-11	GS MC	ML, MC=44%, LL=34 Sandy SILT with organic lenss, very loose, dark gray,	-  -  -	



### LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. <u>H-38-08</u>

\_\_\_\_\_ SR \_\_\_\_

Elevation \_21.7 ft

Sheet <u>3</u> of <u>7</u>

	Project_	1-5/SR 4	32 Talley	Way Interc	hange						Driller <u>Shepherd</u> , Robert	Lic#	2710
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	Field	d SPT (N) sture Conter D 40 60	nt 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No.	(Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
						1 (3)	X			AL	wet, stratified, laminated, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
50-						1 1 1 (2)	X	D-1	12		Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
55-						0 0 1 (1)	X	D-1	13		Sandy SILT with trace organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
L.GDT 8/13/09 						0 0 1 (1)		D-1	4		Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
SR432 TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.GPJ SOI						0 0 2 (2)	X	D-1	5	GS MC AL	ML, MC=56%, LL=40 SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
Soll A XL-2963 1-5	-		       				7	S-1	6		Sandy SILT, dark gray, homogenous.		



Job No\_XL-2963

LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. <u>H-38-08</u>

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

\_\_\_\_5

SR

Elevation \_21.7 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ - Shepherd, Robert Drille

	Project	I-5/SR	432 Talley	Way Interchang	je				Driller <u>Shepherd, Robert</u>	Lic#	<u>2710    </u>
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field</li> <li>Mois</li> <li>RQ</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	d SPT (N) sture Content D 40 60 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
-			◆	•	0 1 2 (3)		D-17	GS MC AL	ML, MC=55%, LL=38 SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
- 75	- - - 		● I I I I I I I		0 0 2 (2)	X	D-18		SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
80			◆               		1 1 2 (3)	X	D-19	GS MC AL	ML, MC=59%, LL=37 SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.		
			◆		2 3 2 (5)	X	D-20		SILT with sand, loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.9 ft. Length Retained:0.9 ft.		
H5_SR432 TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE GPJ_SOIL.( 6 6 1 1 1 1	- - 				2 5 6 (11)	X	D-21		SILT with sand, medium dense, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.		
SOILA XL-2963	-					7	S-22		SILT with trace fine sand, dark gray, homogenous.	-	



LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. H-38-08

Project 1-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

5

SR

Elevation 21.7 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ Driller Shepherd, Robert

		Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange												Driller Shepherd, Robert	Lic#	2710
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	♦ ♣ 21	Field Mois RQE 0 4	SPT ( ture Co 0 (	N) ontent	80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	C1021	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-								0 0 0 (0)		D-23	GS MC AL		ML, MC=48%, LL=28 SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft. Note: The bottom 0.3 feet of sample was silt with a trace of brown organics.		-
	- 100—			•					0 2 2 (4)	X	D-24			SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested, with a trace of brown organics. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
	- - 105— -			♦					0 2 2 (4)	X	D-25			SILT with some brown organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
8/13/09	- - 110	-		↓             		÷			0		S-26	GS		SILT, dark gray, homogenous.	           	
Y I_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8	- - 115—								2 2 (4)		0-21	MC AL		SILT with one gravel, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	 - -	
SOILA XL-2963 I-5_SR432 TALLEY WA	- - -	95 		♦					0 3	X	D-28			SILT, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested, (moisture tin obtained).		



Start Card \_S-32521

HOLE No. H-38-08

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft Job No. XL-2963 5 SR Sheet 6 of 7 Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange Driller Shepherd, Robert Lic#\_2710 Sample Type Field SPT (N) Blows/6 Groundwater € Sample No. Instrument € (Tube No.) (N) Lab Tests Profile Elevation ġ. Moisture Content Depth ( and/or **Description of Material** RQD FF  $\square$ RQD 20 40 60 80 3 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (6) -100 D-29 SILT with trace brown organics, loose, dark gray, moist, 0 homogenous, HCI not tested. 2 3 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. 125 (5) -105 S-30 SILT, dark gray, homogenous. 130 D-31 SILT with some brown organics, loose, dark gray, moist, 5 homogenous, HCI not tested. 4 -110 5 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (9) D-32 GS ML, MC=36%, PI=NA 0 6 MC SILT with sand, medium dense, dark greenish gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. AL 7 135-Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (13) -115 D-33 Sandy SILT with gravel, medium dense, dark greenish 9 gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. 11 Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft. 140-13 (24)-120

D-34

8

10

GS

MC

ML, MC=34%

Sandy SILT stratified with silty sand, dense, dark

SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09

145

ن ک



Start Card <u>S-32521</u>

HOLE No. <u>H-38-08</u>

Job No\_XL-2963\_\_\_\_\_ SR \_\_\_5\_\_\_\_

Elevation 21.7 ft

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

	Proje	ct_1-5/S	R 432 I	alley v	way II	itercna	inge						Driller Snephera, Robert	LIC#_	2/10
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile		Field Mois	1 SPT (I sture Co D 40 6	N) ontent 30 8	0	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	- - 	5	· · · · ·					17 (27)	X				greenish gray, wet, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft. Note: At 146 feet the soil became denser demonstrated by drilling.		
150	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		· · ·				>>	50/3" (REF)	×	D-35			Sandy SILT, very dense, dark gray, dry, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:0.3 ft. Length Retained:0.3 ft.		
155	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		· · ·				>>	28 50/4" (REF)	X	D-36	G N A	SS 1C AL	ML, MC=20%, PI=9 Sandy SILT, very dense, dark grayish brown, dry, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:0.8 ft. Length Retained:0.8 ft.		
160			· · · · · ////////////////////////////				>>•	50/3" (REF)	X	D-37			Poorly graded SAND, very dense, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.3 ft. Length Retained:0.3 ft.		
TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GD		5					>>	50/3" (REF)	X	_D-38_			Poorly graded SAND, very dense, gray, moist. Length Recovered:0.3 ft. Length Retained:0.3 ft. The implied accuracy of the borehole location information displayed on this boring log is typically sub-meter in (X,Y) when collected by the HQ Geotech Division and sub-centimeter in (X,Y,Z) when collected by		
SOILA XL-2963 1-5_SR432					           								the Region Survey Crew. End of test hole boring at 164.3 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Note: REF = SPT Refusal	-	

Department	of Transportation	L	OG OF	TEST	BORING Start Card <u>S-32521</u>		
Job No. XL-2963	SR5		Elev	ation <u>23</u> .	7 ft HOLE No. <u>H-40-08</u>		
Project I-5/SR 432	Talley Way Interchange		*******		Sheet <u>i</u> of <u>'</u> Driller <u>Haller, Robert</u> L	ic#_2	2779
Site Address Vic SR432	and I-5				Inspector Cleo Andrews		
Start <u>April 9, 2008</u>	B Completion April	11, 2008	We	II ID#	Equipment_CME 45 with Autohamn	ner	
Station AL 117+66	.5 Offset29	3.90ft Rt.	H	lole Dia 4	Method Wet Rotary		
Northing 290965.60	Easting 10	36583.61	Coll	ected by F	IQ Geotech Division Datum_State Plane South		
County Cowlitz	Subsection SE	1/4 of NW1	1/4		Section <u>12</u> Range <u>2WWM</u> Township <u>7</u>		
Depth (ft) Elevation (ft) Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT (N)</li> <li>Moisture Content</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>40</li> <li>60</li> <li>80</li> </ul>	Blows/6" (N) F and/or RQD FF	Sample Lype Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	20     40     00     00       1     1     1     1       1     1     1       1	14 8 5 (13) 13 13 8 (21) 5 8 8 (21)	D-1	GS MC GS MC	GP-GM, MC=18% Poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand, sub-angular, medium dense, dark gray, moist, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft. <i>Note: Gravel is slightly weathered. from 0.0 ft to 5.0 ft Silty SAND with gravel was indicated by drilling and wash returns.</i> 4/9/2008 GP-GM, MC=18% Poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand, sub-angular, medium dense, dark gray, moist, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft. GP-GM, MC=18% Poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand, sub-angular, medium dense, dark green, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.		



LOG OF TEST BOR	ING
-----------------	-----

Elevation 23.7 ft

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. H-40-08

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_7\_\_

Job No. XL-2963

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

SR

5

	Project	1-5/SR 4	132 Talley Way	Interchange					Driller Haller, Robert	.ic#	2779
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field SPT</li> <li>Moisture 0</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20 40</li> </ul>	(N) Content 60 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
					0 1 1 (2)	X	D-4		Lean CLAY with gravel and root hairs, soft, dark olive gray with traces of green oxidized stains, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.4 ft. Length Retained:0.4 ft.		
25-					0 1 2 (3)	X	D-5	GS MC	ML, MC=40% Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.		
30-					1 1 1 (2)		SH-6 D-7		SILT with decayed wood particles and root hairs, dark gray. Note: 0 PSI was required to push a 3-inch OD shelby tube 2.0 ft. SILT with decayed wood particles, root hairs and other organic material, very loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
35 - 35			◆		1 3 3 (6)		D-8	МС	MC=35% Sandy SILT with traces of organic material, loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand.		
L-2963 I-5_SR432 TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.( 0 0					1 1 1 (2)		SH-9 D-10		Sandy SILT with traces of organic material, dark gray. Note: 0 to 25 PSI was required to push a 3-inch shelby tube 2.0 ft. Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand.		
× 45 –	  -									-	



Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. H-40-08

Job No\_XL-2963

SR

5

Elevation \_23.7 ft

Sheet 3\_\_\_\_\_\_ of 7\_\_\_\_\_

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange Lic#<u>2779</u> Driller Haller, Robert Field SPT (N) Sample Type Blows/6' € Sample No. Groundwater Depth (ft) (Tube No.) Instrument (N) Lab Tests Profile Elevation Moisture Content and/or **Description of Material**  $\square$ RQD RQD FF 20 40 60 80 0 D-11 GS ML, MC=53%, PI=NA 0 MC SILT with 6 inches of organic material in top of sample, very loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCl not tested. 1 AL (1) Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. -25 50 SH-12 Sandy SILT with organic material, dark gray. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. 0 to 25 PSI was required to push a 3-inch shelby tube 2.0 ft. MC D-13 MC=44% 0 0 Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, 1 HCI not tested. (1) Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. -30 55 0 D-14 Sandy SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. 1 1 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (2) Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. -35 60 SH-15 Sandy SILT, , dark gray. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. 0 to 25 PSI was required to push a 3-inch Shelby tube 2.0 ft. D-16 GS ML, MC=48%, LL=32 0 MC SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not 1 AL 1 tested. (2) Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. -40 Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. 65· 0 D-17 Sandy SILT with trace organic material, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. 1 1 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. (2) 45 70

SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ\_SOIL.GDT\_8/13/09



Job No\_XL-2963

95

LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation 23.7 ft

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. H-40-08

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_7\_\_\_

SR

5

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange Driller Haller, Robert Lic#<u>2779</u> Sample Type ا Field SPT (N) Blows/6' € Sample No. Groundwate (Tube No.) Instrument € (N) and/or Profile Tests Elevation Moisture Content Lab 16.0 Depth **Description of Material** RQD FF M RQD 40 80 20 60 SILT, dark gray. Note: 0 to 25 PSI was required to push a 3-inch Shelby SH-18 tube 2.0 ft. MC 0 D-19 MC=58% SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not 1 1 tested. (2) Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. -50 75 D-20 SILT, very loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCl not 1 tested. 1 1 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (2) Note: A pocket of light pink organic material was observed in the middle of the sample. -55 80 SH-21 Sandy SILT, medium dark gray. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. 0 to 350 PSI was required to push a 3-inch Shelby tube 2.0 ft. Sandy SILT, very loose, medium dark gray, wet, D-22 2 2 2 homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (4) Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. -60 85 D-23 MC MC=41% 2 2 Sandy SILT, very loose, medium dark gray, wet, SOILA XL-2963 1-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09 2 homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (4) Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. -65 90 MC MC=40% D-24 1 3 Sandy SILT, fine grained silt layers, light gray in color, loose, medium dark gray, wet, stratified, HCl not tested. 4 Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. (7) Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. -70



### LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation 23.7 ft

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. H-40-08

Sheet 5 of 7

SR \_\_\_\_\_

	Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange									Driller Haller, Robert	Lic#_	2779
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile		Field SPT ( Moisture C RQD 20 40	(N) ontent 60 80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		· · · · · · · · ·						SH-25		SILT, gray. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand. 25 to 250 PSI was required to push a 3-inch Shelby tube 2.0 ft.	-	-
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v			1 2 5 (7)		D-26	GS MC AL	ML, MC=41%, PI=NA SILT, loose, gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. Note: Sample becomes wet when shaken by hand.		-
100-			٠			3 6 6 (12)	X	D-27		Silty SAND with peat fibers, organic material (brown) and silt lenss (light gray), medium dense, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
105-	- - - - - - -		٠			4 4 (8)	X	D-28	мс	MC=43% SILT with organic material (brown) and tiny pieces of light pink shell light pink in color, loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		-
110-								SH-29		SILT, dark gray.	-	-
SOIL.GDT 8/13/09		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	٠			23		D-30		Note: 0 to 25 PSI was required to push a 3-inch Shelby tube 2.0 ft. SILT, traces of tiny pieces of shell, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested, traces of shell.	-	_
WITH CONE.GPJ	-90	· · · · · · · · ·				4 (7)				Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	-
- 115 –		· · · · · · · · ·						SH-31		Sandy SILT, dark gray. Note: 0 to 25 PSI was required to push a 2-inch Shelby tube 2.0 ft.		_
XL-2963 I-5_SR432			•			3 3 3 (6)	X	D-32	МС	MC=39% Sandy SILT with traces of organic material, loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	-
	<u> </u>		•									<u> </u>



LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation 23.7 ft

Start Card <u>S-32521</u>

HOLE No. H-40-08

Sheet <u>6</u> of <u>7</u>

Dealast	1-5/SR	432	Talley	May	Interchand	ar

SR

\_\_\_\_5

	Project_	I-5/SR	432 T	alley V	Vay I	ntercha	ange							Driller Haller, Robert	Lic#	2779
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile		Field Mois	SPT ( ture C )	(N) ontent 60 8	30	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No.	(Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	- - - - - - -				         			1 1 1 (2)	X	D-3	3			very loose, No recovery.		
125-										SH-3	34			SILT with organic material (brown), dark gray. Note: 0 to 25 PSI was required to push a 2-inch Shelby tube 2.0 ft.	-	
	- - - 		•					2 2 5 (7)		D-3	5	gs MC AL	6	ML, MC=45%, LL=42 SILT with organic material (brown), loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
130-			•					2 4 3 (7)	X	D-3(	6	МС	;	MC=45% SILT with decayed wood and other organic material (brown to black), loose, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft. <i>Note: Silty sand with organic material (green) was</i> <i>observed at top of the sample.</i>	- - -	
135-										SH-3	37	0		SILT with trace organic material, dark greenish gray , traces of organic. <i>Note: 0 to 50 PSI was required to push a 2-inch Shelby</i> <i>tube 2.0 ft.</i>	- 	
C WITH CONE. GPJ SOII	- 						       	8 8 (16)	X	U-3	8	MC AL		CH, MC=38%, PI=27 Fat CLAY with sand and organic material (brown), very stiff, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
140- 20117 XI-23631-5 SK432 TALLEY WAY 1- 145-								3 8 16 (24)		D-3	9	MC		MC=32% Silty SAND with sandy silt lenss, organic material (brown) and traces of small gravel, medium dense, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		



Elevation \_23.7 ft

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. H-40-08

Sheet \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

LE/OD 422 Talley May Interch

Job No. XL-2963 SR 5

		Project_	1-5/SR -	432 Talley	Way Interch	ange						Driller <u>Haller, Robert</u>	_ic#	2779
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Fiel</li> <li>Mo</li> <li>RC</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>◆ Field SPT (N)</li> <li>➡ Moisture Content</li> <li>■ RQD</li> <li>20 40 60 80</li> <li>&gt; 50/5"</li> <li>▲ D-40</li> <li>▲ Silty SAND with grave</li> </ul>							Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
							18 30 43 (73)	X	D-4	10	GS MC AL	Silty SAND with gravel and traces of organic material, very dense, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested, gravel is slightly weathered. Length Recovered:0.4 ft. Length Retained:0.4 ft. <i>Note: Started drilling harder at 144.0 ft.</i> SM, MC=24%, PI=NA Silty SAND with gravel/SANDSTONE, very dense, medium dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCl not tested, Sandstone is highly weathered. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	- - 155— -											The implied accuracy of the borehole location information displayed on this boring log is typically sub-meter in (X,Y) when collected by the HQ Geotech Division and sub-centimeter in (X,Y,Z) when collected by the Region Survey Crew.") End of test hole boring at 151.5 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Note: REF = SPT Refusal		
IL.GDT 8/13/09	- - 160 -	- 												
432 TALLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE.GPJ SO	- 165— -	- 												
SOILA XL-2963 I-5_SR	- - 170													

		Vashingt Departme	ton Sta ent of T	te Transp	oortati	on			LO	G OF	TEST	Start Card <u>S-32521</u>
	Job No.	XL-296	33		SI	R	5			Elev	ation <u>18</u> .	4 ft
	Drainat	1-5/SR /	132 Tai	llev M	lav Ini	terchan	ane					Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>6</u>
	Project	1-5/SIX -	+JZ Ta		vay in	GIGHAN	ige					
Site	Address	Vic SR4	432 an	d I-5								Inspector VINCE JOHNSON
	Start .	March 8	, 2008		Cor	npletion.	Marcl	h 8, 200	8	We	II ID#	Equipment_CME 55 with Autohammer
	Station	AL 121	+39.97		_	Offset	440	.48ft Rt.		H	lole Dia 4 inches)	Method _ Wet Rotary
I	Northing	290775	.23			Easting	103	6888.08		Coll	ected b <u>y</u> H	Q Geotech Division Datum State Plane South
	County	Cowlitz			Sub	osection	SE1	/4 of NV	N1/4	ļ		Section <u>12</u> Range <u>2WWM</u> Township <u>7</u>
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	◆ ♣ ☑	Field Moist RQD	SPT (N ure Co	i) ntent		Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material
			20	40	) 6 	0 80	<u> </u>	ГГ 	ľ			
-												
-			Í	l	ĺ							
												3/13/2008
-	15.0											[ -]
-	-		♦ ¦	i	i			1	V	D-1		SILT with trace gravel and root hairs, very loose, brown to
5—	-		i I	ĺ	Í			2 1 (2)	Å			Length Recovered:0.8 ft.
-	-	· · · · ·						(3)				
	-			ļ								
-	1	· · ·		į	i							
-	-10.0											F -
-				ļ		•		0		D-2	GS	ML, M.C.=70%, LL-43, PL=NP
10	ſ							1 1	X		MC AL	SILT with trace organics, very loose, dark gray, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested.
_	-		İ	i	i			(2)				Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.
		· · · ·										-
-	-											-
-	-50	· · · · ·										·
-			i	Ì						S-3		SILT, dark gray to brown, stratified.
15	ļ.											
	-											
-	-							2 2	Y	D-4		Sandy SILT, very loose, gray, wet, stratified, HCl not
-	-		ĺ	ĺ	ļ			1 (3)				Length Recovered:1.1 ft. Length Retained:1.1 ft.
-												
-	-0.0		♦	•				1		D-5	69	SM M C =31%
	╞				ļ			2	X	0-0	MC	Silty SAND, loose, gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not



LOG OF TEST BORING

Elevation \_\_\_\_\_18.4 ft

Start Card <u>S-32521</u>

HOLE No. H-43-08

Sheet 2\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_6\_\_\_

_		I CICE	1 422	Tollow	May	Inforo	hong

\_\_\_\_5\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

SR

		Project	1-5/SR 4	432 Talley	/ Way	Interch	ange					Driller <u>Henderson, Danny</u>	Lic# <u>2742</u>	<u>.</u>
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Fie</li> <li>Ma</li> <li>Ma</li> <li>Ra</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	eld SPT bisture QD 40	<sup>-</sup> (N) Content 60	80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	
		-						4 (6)	X	- - - - - - -		tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft.	_	
· · ·	- - 25 -	- - - - - - - - - - - - -		♦	<b>e</b>			0 2 1 (3)		D-6	GS MC AL	ML, M.C.=36% SILT with sand, very fine sand, very loose, gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:0.9 ft. Length Retained:0.9 ft.		
		- 		•				1 4 5 (9)	X	D-7	GS MC	SP-SM, M.C.=27% Poorly graded SAND with silt, loose, gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1 ft. Length Retained:1 ft.		
OIL.GDT 8/13/09		- 		•				2 2 1 (3)	X	D-8		SILT with sand, very loose, gray, wet, stratified, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.2 ft. Length Retained:1.2 ft. Note: The upper 0.6 feet of sample was well graded sand, and the bottom 0.6 ft of the sample was silt.		
432 TALLEY WAY LC WITH CONE.GPJ SC	- 40 -	- 		•	•			0 1 1 1		S-9 D-10	GS MC AL	SILT, gray. ML, M.C.=47%, LL=35, PL=NP SILT with sand, very fine sand, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested.		
SOILA XL-2963 1-5_SR	- 45	- 		•				(2) 0 1	X	D-11		SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested.		



Start Card S-32521

\_\_\_\_

HOLE No. H-43-08

Job No. XL-2963 \_\_\_\_\_ SR \_\_\_5

Elevation \_\_\_\_18.4 ft

Sheet <u>3</u> of <u>6</u>

	Project_	I-5/SR	432 Talley Way Intercha	inge					Lic#	2742		
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile				Cumple Ma	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1 (2)	2					Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
- 50 -			A matrix is a second s	0 0 2 (2)			D-12			SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
				0 0 1 (1)			D-13			SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
- 60	- 		•	0 0 2 (2)			D-14	G M A	S C L	ML, M.C.=49%, LL=30, PL=NP SILT with sand, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
65-			<ul> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li> <li>I</li></ul>	0 0 2 (2)			D-15			SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
- - - 70				0			D-16		-	SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, homogenous, HCl not tested.		

SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09



Job No\_XL-2963

LOG OF TEST BORING

Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. H-43-08

SR <u>5</u>

Elevation \_\_\_\_18.4 ft

Sheet <u>4</u> of <u>6</u>

		Project_	1-5/SR	432 T	alley \	Nay Ir	ntercha	ange					Driller Henderson, Danny	_ic#	2/42
	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile		Field Mois RQI	I SPT ( sture Co D	N) ontent 30 8	80	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		-	·			 		1	2	X			Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	_	
	-	-				       	       		(2)					       _	•
				•		       			2		D-17		SILT, loose, dark gray, wet, laminated, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
	75—	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			       			3 (5)	Å				   	
	-	- 				     	       	       							
والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة	- 80—	-		•					2 3 1 (4)	V	D-18		SILT, very loose, dark gray, wet, stratified, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
	-	- - - 												- - -	
13/09	85—	-		<b>*</b>					1 2 3 (5)		D-19	GS MC AL	ML, M.C.=37%, LL=NA, PL=NP SILT, loose, gray, wet, stratified, HCl not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.	-	
NE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/	-	- - 				         	         								
LEY WAY I_C WITH CC	 90	-			•	- - - - - - - - - -	         		8 9 20 (29)		D-20		SILT, dense, gray to greenish gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested. Length Recovered:1.5 ft. Length Retained:1.5 ft.		
-2963 I-5_SR432 TALI	-	- 75				       		     							
SOILA XL	- 95—	-	· · / × ×		i   	   		>>•   	50/6" (REF)	X	D-21		SILT, (SILTSTONE), very dense, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested, Actual blow count 80/6".		



Start Card <u>S-32521</u>

HOLE No. <u>H-43-08</u>

Job No. XL-2963	SR	5	

Elevation 18.4 ft

Sheet <u>5</u> of <u>6</u>

	Project	I-5/SR 43	2 Talley W	ay Intercha	inge	P				Driller Henderson, Danny	Lic#2	2742
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	<ul> <li>Field</li> <li>Moist</li> <li>RQD</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	SPT (N) ure Content	0	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No. (Tube No.)	Lab Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×								Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.		
100		*****			>>•	50/6" (REF)	X	D-22		SILT, (SILTSTONE), very dense, dark greenish gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested, Actual blow count 62/6". Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.		
105-	85	*****			           	50/6" (REF)	X	D-23		SILTSTONE, CHLORITE, very dense, black, moist, homogenous, HCI not tested, Drlling fliud indicates soil change at approx. 101' from greenish gray to dark brown. Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.		
	- - - - - - - - - -	*****			           	50/6" (REF)		D-24		SILTSTONE, CHLORITE, very dense, black, moist, stratified, HCl not tested, Actual blow count 52/6". Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft.		
LLEY WAY I_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.G	- - - 	****			>>	50/4" (REF)	×	D-25		SILTSTONE, very dense, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested, Actual blow count 60/5". Length Recovered:0.4 ft. Length Retained:0.4 ft.		
SOILA XL-29631-5_SR432 TA	- - 	****			>>	50/4" (REF)	×	D-26		SILTSTONE, very dense, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested, Actual blow count 100/5".	- -	



Start Card S-32521

HOLE No. \_\_\_\_\_\_

Job No. XL-2963 SR 5

Elevation <u>18.4 ft</u>

Sheet <u>6</u> of <u>6</u>

	Project_	roject_I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange												Driller <u>Henderson, Danny</u> L	ic#	2742
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Profile	◆	Field Mois RQC	SPT (N ture Col ) 0 60	∤) ntent 0 8	0	Blows/6" (N) and/or RQD FF	Sample Type	Sample No.	(Tube No.)	Lab	Tests	Description of Material	Groundwater	Instrument
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - 	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx						50/6" (REF)		D-ź	27			Length Recovered:0.4 ft. Length Retained:0.4 ft. SANDSTONE, very dense, dark gray, moist, stratified, HCI not tested, Actual blow count 87/6". Length Recovered:0.5 ft. Length Retained:0.5 ft. The implied accuracy of the borehole location information displayed on this boring log is typically sub-meter in (X,Y) when collected by the HQ Geotech Division and sub-centimeter in (X,Y,Z) when collected by the Region Survey Crew. End of test hole boring at 124.5 ft below ground elevation. This is a summary Log of Test Boring. Soil/Rock descriptions are derived from visual field identifications and laboratory test data. Note: REF = SPT Refusal		
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 115 - -   - - - - - - - - - - - - -															
445	-			i i	   	   										

SOILA XL-2963 I-5\_SR432 TALLEY WAY I\_C WITH CONE.GPJ SOIL.GDT 8/13/09

# WSDOT Geotech.Division

Operator: Brian Hilts Sounding: CPT-5-08 Elevation: CPT Date/Time: 4/16/2008 8:15:01 AM Location: N291319.5001 E1036246.802 Job Number: XL-2963



# WSDOT Geotech. Division

Operator: Brian Hilts Sounding: CPT-10-08 Elevation:

CPT Date/Time: 5/1/2008 1:30:08 PM Location: N291032.3104E1036434.908 Job Number: XL-2963



\*Soil behavior type and SPT based on data from UBC-1983

(ft)

			Washington State Department of Transp	ortation	LOG OI	F CONE	PENETROMET	ER TEST	Start Carc	S-32522		
		Job No.	XL-2963	SR _	5	Elev	vation _40.0 ft		HOLE No	<u>CPT-11-(</u>		
		Project_	I-5/SR 432 Talley W	ay Interch	ange				Shee	t <u>1</u> of . r <u>Brian Hilts</u>	3	Lic# <u>2249</u>
	Site	Address	Vic. Of I-5 and SR-4	32					Inspecto	r <u>Brian Hilt</u> s	8	
		Start	May 1, 2008	_ Completio	on_May 1, 2008	We	II ID#		Equipmen	t_CPT with /	Autohammer	·
		Station	AL 117+63.15	Offse	et_ 338.98ft Rt	t. H	lole Dia 1.5		Method	. Wet Rotar	у	
	ì	Northing	290914.11	Eastin	ng 1036592.06	6Col	(inches) lected b <u>y HQ Geotec</u>	ch Division	Datum	1 State Plan	e South	
		County_	Cowlitz	_ Subsection	on_SE1/4 of N	W1/4		Section12	Range	e 2WWM	Township_	7
	Depth (feet)	levation (feet)	Tip Resistan (tsf)	ce	Frictic (tsf)	on	Friction Ratio (%)	Pore Press (PSI)	ure	Seismic ve (feet/se	ocity c)	Inclination (degrees)
		ш 40	0 <u>55 110</u>	165 220 :	0 1.25 2.50	3.75 5	0 2.5 5.0 7.5	10 0 50 100 1	10 250	) 500 750	1000 12501500	0 5 10 15 5 : :
	- 5 -	35			Ş	8						
	 - 10 —	- 30	(		(		<u>}</u>					
-	- 15 -	25						, 				
ł	- 20	20			3		3	Ľ.				
		-	8				2 Mrs					
-	25	- 15  					Man					
3/09	30 —	- 10	Z		3		NVV.	Ŗ				
GDT 8/	 - 35 —	- 5	M		3		Wh	× ×				
SOILCPT	· -	-	{				March	D				
VE.GPJ	40 —	- 0	\ {}		<u>}</u>		MAN					
WTH COI	· -	-			Ş		North	N.				
MY1_CV	· 45 — ·       –		2		Ş		M	M				
ALLEY V	- 50 —	- - 	<u>}</u>		<u>}</u>		vw	M/M				
PT XL-2963 I-5 SR432 T	55 —	- - - 					Nichtheric	WWWWW				
OL	60 -	-20	L		L	•	·····					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

			Vashington State Department of Transportation	LOG OF CON	E PENETROMET	ER TEST	Start Card <u>S-32522</u> HOLE No. <u>CPT-11-08</u>	
		Job No.	<u>AL-2903</u> SR	<del></del> E	levation _40.0 It		Sheet <u>2</u> of <u>3</u>	
Г		Project_	1-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interc	nange			Driller Brian Hilts	_ Lic# <u>2249</u>
	တို (feet)	k, Elevation 6 (feet)	Tip Resistance (tsf) ▷ 55 110 165 22	Friction (tsf) 0 1.25 2.50 3.75	Friction Ratio (%)	Pore Pressur (PSI) static pressure D = Disapation te 10 0 50 100 150	re Seismic velocity (feet/sec) <sup>3st</sup> 0 250 500 750 1000 1250	Inclination (degrees)
	65	- - 				P		
	70 —	- 			{			
	75 — - - -	- 			www	Mary		
	- 80 - - -	-40			MMM	MAM		
	85 —	-45 - -	North A		MMM	hp. Ar.		
	90 — - - -					V		
	95		Mm	NWW WAA	Vmlm			
	100			A A				
GDT 8/13/09	105				S.M.	Mr. Mr.		
GPJ SOILCPT.	115	- - - - - 				June V		
C WTH CONE.	- - - 120-	- - - - 						
	- - - 125-	- - - 		}				
63 I-5_SR432 1	- - 130	- - 	}	}		- W		
CPT XL-29	135	- - - 		$\sim$	MM	W		



### LOG OF CONE PENETROMETER TEST

Elevation \_40.0 ft

Start Card S-32522

HOLE No. \_\_\_\_\_\_CPT-11-08\_\_\_\_

Sheet 3 of 3

Driller Brian Hilts Lic# 2249

Project I-5/SR 432 Talley Way Interchange

5

SR

Depth (feet)	Elevation (feet)	Tip Resistance (tsf)	Friction (tsf)	Friction Ratio (%)	Pore Pressure (PSI) static pressure D = Disapation test 0 50 100 150	Seismic velocity (feet/sec) 0 250 500 750 1000 12501500	Inclination (degrees)
135	-95				2		
						·	
		• 9				9	÷
1/13/09							
E.GPJ SOILCPT.GDT 8							
EY WAY I_C WITH CONE							
(L-2963 I-5_SR432 TALLI							
CPT							

.

## **APPENDIX D**

# **EXAMPLE HAND CALCULATION FOR SCHMERTMANN METHOD**

(Source: Naresh Samtani, personal Communication 1-27-18)

Job: Example Hand Cales : BNSFRR RR-5-04 Job #: of B Date: 1126/18 Computed by: NCS Page: Checked by: Sheet: of Test Hole Location : RR-5-04 Applied pressure: 6630 psf (6.63 ksf) from WSDOT Depth of embedment, = 0 ft (i.e. fill bottom at existing ground level) Net prossure, Ap= 6.63ksf Plane-strain case: width= 1000 ft Length= 10,000 ft from WSDOT L/B = 10 From Figure 6a choose the plane-strain influence diagram 4 At deph=0, I==0.20 At deph=B=1000 ft, Iz= 0.5+0.1 [AP]0.5 pop at deph=B=1000ft is calculated as follows Unit wreight (effective) = 125 pct = 0.125 kcf from WSDOT Pop = (1000 Pt) (0-125k cF) = 125 ksf  $I_{Zp} = 0.5 + 0.1 \left[ \frac{6.63 \text{ ksf}}{125 \text{ ksf}} \right] = 0.523$ Consider Layer 3 to demonstrate further cales Thickness of larger, DZ=5ft at a deptin Z=10ft p= 0.125kcfx10ft =1.25 KSF Deptor to mid-layer = 12. ift = Df =0.625tsf VSCS for soil at this deph = SP from WSDOT bony log (poorly graded sands) SPT N-Value, row = 7 from WSDOT boring 103 Nian = 10.8 based on following Overbundere correction factor, CN = 0.77 log [20] = 0.77 log [20] = 1.16 For auto-hammer, correction factor for N60=1.33 N1 = (7) (1.16) (1.33) = 10.8 [matches # in table]

Job: <u>Example Hand Calcs : BNSFRE RK-5-04</u> Job #: Computed by: <u>NCS</u> Date: <u>1/26/18</u> Page: 2 3 of Checked by: Date Sheet: of Colculate Iz at mid-layer depth of 12-1ft Intapolate between Iz=0.20 at fill base Izp=0.523 at D= 1000ft  $I_Z = 0.20 + 12.10 \begin{bmatrix} 0.523 - 0.20 \\ 1000 \end{bmatrix} = 0.204$ [matches # intable] Calculate Es Soil is "SP" From Table 1, choose "Clean fine to modium sands"  $E_{\rm S} = 0.097 \, N_{\rm i60} \, \text{in ksi}$ = 14  $N_{160}$  in lest  $N_{160} = 10.8 = -1870$ For plane strain case, X=1.75 Thus, Es = (14) (1.75) (10.8) = 264.6 ksf = 265 ksf [#intable] Calculate Elastic spring Shiffness of Layer FEq 10.6-2.4.20-2]  $\Delta J_i = \Delta Z \left( \frac{Z}{E_i} \right)$  $= 5ft \left(\frac{0.204}{265 \, \text{ksf}}\right) = 0.00385 \, \text{ft} \\ \frac{1}{265 \, \text{ksf}}$ Correction Factor G. [Eq 10.6.2.4.2d-3] original prosecure at ground  $C_{1} = 1 - 0.5 \left[\frac{p_{0}}{\Delta P}\right] = 1 - 0.5 \left[\frac{0 \text{ ksf}}{6 \text{ ksf}}\right] = 1.0$ Correction Factor C2 [Eq. 10.6.2.4.2d-1] Use end of construction t=0.145 (i.e. no long term creep settlement]  $C_2 = 1 + 0.2 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{0.149}{2.149} \right] = 1.0$ 

Job: Example Hand Calcs: BNSF PA RR-5-04 Computed by: NG Date: 126 116 -11-1 Job #: Date: 1/26/18-1/27/18 3 of 🕄 Page: Checked by: Date: Sheet: Calculate layer Settlement, Si Eq 10.6.24.2d-1  $S_i = C_i C_2 \delta \beta(AT_i)$  $=(1.0)(1.0)(6.63 \text{ ksp})(0.00 385 \frac{5t}{\text{ksp}})$ = 0.0255 ft I matches # in Takie] Sum all layer settlements to obtain to tel settlement Z=0.442ft=5.31 in des Comments · Boring depth a 110 ft Rock encountered 2106 ft Assume all layers below @ 106 ff to have an and havily high Es value Tido Dood het T which makes settlement contribution from rock to be negligible. . Thickness of top layer = 4.6ft based on following: Tup elevenin 1949.6 for (based on top of boring RR-5-04) Dept of first sample = 5 ft Elevation of 12 sample = 1949.6ft-5ft = 1944.6ft spreadsheet rounds off to rearest footsince that is more practical Thus, elevation of 1st sample Cather rounding) = 1945At. Thus, thickness of 15t layer = 1949.644 - 1945ft=4.6 ft All samples below 1st sample are at appropriate intervals based on logs.

#### Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Information:

This material can be made available in an alternate format by emailing the Office of Equal Opportunity at wsdotada@wsdot. wa.gov or by calling toll free, 855-362-4ADA(4232). Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may make a request by calling the Washington State Relay at 711.

#### Title VI Statement to Public:

It is the Washington State Department of Transportation's (WSDOT) policy to assure that no person shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin or sex, as provided by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise discriminated against under any of its federally funded programs and activities. Any person who believes his/her Title VI protection has been violated, may file a complaint with WSDOT's Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO). For additional information regarding Title VI complaint procedures and/or information regarding our non-discrimination obligations, please contact OEO's Title VI Coordinator at (360) 705-7082.