

26 Design Tool) global commercial aircraft emissions. Results show a 31.4 ppb change in CH₄
27 concentration when estimated using the parameterization and a 1.4 feedback factor, and a 28.9
28 ppb change when the concentration was directly calculated in the CH₄ flux simulations. The
29 model calculated value for CH₄ feedback on its own lifetime agrees well with the 1.4 feedback
30 factor. Systematic comparisons between the separate runs indicated that the parameterization
31 technique overestimates the CH₄ concentration by 8.6%. Therefore, it is concluded that the
32 estimation technique is good to within ~10% and decreases the computational requirements in
33 our simulations by nearly a factor of 8.

34 **1. Introduction**

35 Methane (CH₄) plays an important role in atmospheric chemistry and as a greenhouse gas
36 that can affect climate. Since pre-industrial times (~1750), atmospheric CH₄ has increased about
37 150% and it accounts for 20% of the change in radiative forcing on climate from all long-lived
38 greenhouse gases from 1750 to 2000, excluding water vapor (IPCC, 2013). NO_x emissions affect
39 atmospheric concentrations of CH₄ as an indirect result of their effect on concentrations of ozone
40 (O₃) (Wild et al., 2001). Resolving the resulting effects of aviation NO_x emissions on ozone and
41 methane remains an important issue towards understanding the effects of aviation emissions on
42 climate. Many studies have shown that aviation NO_x emissions at cruise altitudes (~200 – 300
43 hPa in the UTLS) increase the production of ozone in this region (Derwent et al., 1999;
44 Fuglestedt et al., 1999; Stevenson et al., 2004; Köhler et al., 2008; Hoor et al., 2009; Koffi et
45 al., 2010; Hodnebrog et al., 2011; Khodayari et al., 2014; and others). Additionally, these studies
46 show that increased concentrations of O₃ will increase the atmospheric concentrations of
47 hydroxyl (OH). OH is a major sink for CH₄ in the troposphere and stratosphere, and thus
48 decreases its concentration, while also affecting the feedback of CH₄ on its own lifetime (Prather,
49 1994; Wild et al., 2001; IPCC, 2007).

50 The lifetime of methane has been evaluated in many studies. IPCC (2001, 2007) reported a
51 methane lifetime of 9.6 years against reaction with OH as evaluated with contemporary
52 chemistry-transport models (CTMs) (Ehhalt et al., 2001). Due to the near decadal lifetime of
53 methane, in order to directly calculate the changes in its atmospheric concentration and response

54 time, three-dimensional (3-D) models using CH₄ surface fluxes must run for more than 40
 55 simulated years to reach steady-state. Simulations of this length are, although computationally
 56 feasible (Hsu and Prather, 2010; Prather and Hsu, 2010), often too computationally expensive;
 57 therefore, past studies have used fixed mixing ratio lower BCs for CH₄ and then applied a
 58 parameterization to estimate the change in CH₄ concentrations that would have occurred if CH₄
 59 surface fluxes had been used. The parameterization equation (Equation 1), is derived from a
 60 one-box model assumption that assumes the change in CH₄ concentration is proportional to the
 61 change in CH₄ lifetime obtained from simulations with and without perturbations (e.g., aviation
 62 emissions), using fixed mixing ratio BCs for CH₄ (Fuglestedt et al. 1999). The
 63 parameterization coefficient is derived from a CTM study (Fuglestedt et al. 1999).

$$64 \quad \Delta[CH_4] = [CH_4]_{bg} \times \left(-1.4 \left(\frac{\Delta\tau}{\tau_{bg}} \right) \right) \quad (1)$$

65 $[CH_4]_{bg}$ and τ_{bg} represent the CH₄ concentration and lifetime in the control simulation.

66 $\Delta[CH_4]$ and $\Delta\tau$ are the changes in CH₄ concentration and lifetime due to the aviation NO_x
 67 emissions, and 1.4 represents the CH₄ feedback on its own lifetime.

68 The feedback of CH₄ on its own lifetime lengthens the duration of a CH₄ perturbation (CH₄
 69 response time) to 12 years (Prather, 1994; IPCC, 1995). This can be illustrated as follows: the
 70 initial perturbation in nitrogen oxides (NO_x) (ΔE_{NO_x}) affects the amount of ozone, which
 71 produces an effect on OH ($\Delta[OH]_0$) that then affects CH₄ ($\Delta[CH_4]_0$) (e. g. Prather et al., 1999;
 72 Wuebbles and Hayhoe, 2000; and Stevenson et al., 2004). The change in CH₄ then leads to
 73 further effects on OH and CH₄ ($\Delta[OH]_1, \Delta[CH_4]_1, \Delta[OH]_2, \Delta[CH_4]_2, etc$) until eventually the

74 system reaches steady-state (the feedback effect). The entire process can be illustrated as the
75 following sequence of feedbacks (Fuglestvedt et al., 1999):

$$76 \quad \Delta E_{NO_x} \rightarrow \Delta[OH]_0 \rightarrow \Delta[CH_4]_0 \rightarrow \Delta[OH]_1 \rightarrow \Delta[CH_4]_1 \rightarrow \Delta[OH]_2 \rightarrow \text{etc.}$$

77 The feedback effect due to the initial sequence of feedbacks can then be calculated from
78 Equation 2.

$$79 \quad R_1 = \frac{\Delta[CH_4]_1 / \Delta[CH_4]_0}{\Delta\tau_1 / \Delta\tau_0} \quad (2)$$

80 Here, R_1 corresponds to the initial feedback effect. $\Delta[CH_4]_0$ and $\Delta\tau_0$ are the initial changes
81 in CH_4 concentration and lifetime and $\Delta[CH_4]_1$ and $\Delta\tau_1$ are the changes in CH_4 concentration
82 and lifetime after the first sequence of feedbacks. The initial feedback (R_1) can be calculated by
83 performing two simulations, first a NO_x -perturbed simulation with fixed CH_4 mixing ratio BCs
84 and then a similar NO_x -perturbed simulation, but with a small increase in CH_4 mixing ratio at
85 the boundary layer (i.e., $\Delta[CH_4]_1 / \Delta[CH_4]_0 = 0.20$ when there is a 20% increase in CH_4 mixing
86 ratio at the boundary layer). The corresponding CH_4 lifetime is calculated in each simulation.

87 Assuming that the feedback strength does not vary significantly with the strength of the
88 initial perturbation, the feedback factor due to the whole sequence can be derived from Equation
89 3 (Fuglestvedt et al., 1999; Karlsdottir and Isaksen, 2000; IPCC, 2013):

90
$$f = \frac{1}{1-s} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{R_1}}; \text{ where } s = \frac{1}{R_1} \quad (3)$$

91 IPCC (2001) calculated a feedback factor with a range of 1.33 – 1.45 (with an average value
92 of 1.4). This feedback factor of 1.4 has been widely accepted and used (e.g., IPCC, 2001;
93 Holmes et al., 2011).

94 In this study we used the latest version of the atmospheric component of the Community
95 Earth System Model (CESM), CAM5, to evaluate the parameterization commonly used to
96 correct for assuming fixed CH₄ mixing ratio BCs and also to evaluate the validity of the 1.4
97 feedback factor for the case of aviation perturbations. Estimates of the methane feedback on its
98 own lifetime have been evaluated for aviation perturbations previously, e.g., feedback factors of
99 1.3 and 1.52 were calculated in Köhler et al. (2008) and Holmes et al. (2011), respectively, and
100 in this study we re-evaluate this feedback factor using CAM5. However, there has been no
101 previous evaluation of the validity of the aforementioned parameterization (Equation 1) for the
102 case of aviation perturbations.

103 The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: section 2 describes the data and model
104 used in the study, section 3 describes the model configuration, section 4 describes the model
105 simulations done to test the accuracy of Equation 1, section 5 will discuss the results, and section
106 6 provides a concluding argument.

107 **2. Model Description and Configuration**

108 CAM5 is the atmospheric component for the Community Earth System Model (CESM)
109 (<http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/>). The previous version of the Community Atmosphere Model
110 (CAM) and CAM5 have been documented and discussed in other studies (e.g. Neale et al., 2011,
111 Gent et al., 2011, Lamarque et al., 2012). CAM5 was successfully coupled to the full chemical
112 mechanism and released in CESM 1_2_0 and versions thereafter. We used the development
113 version of CAM5 (Community Atmosphere Model version 5, cesm1_1_alpha17b_modal) in this

114 study since the coupling of aerosols and chemistry in CAM5 has not been released at the time
115 model runs were completed.

116 CAM5 includes tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry with 133 species and 330
117 photochemical reactions as described in Lamarque et al. (2012). A major improvement in
118 CAM5 over CAM4 is a new modal aerosol module (MAM) (Liu et al., 2012) with two different
119 versions available: a version with seven lognormal modes (MAM7), and a version with three
120 lognormal modes—Aitken, accumulation, and coarse (MAM3) (Liu et al., 2012). For this study,
121 CAM5 was configured with MAM3. MAM simulates the size distribution of aerosols for both
122 internal and external mixing, the chemical and optical properties of aerosols, as well as various
123 other complicated aerosol processes (Liu et al., 2012). Further details on CAM5 can be found on
124 the NCAR website (<http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/cesm1.0/cam/>).

125 CAM5 was run with a horizontal resolution of 2° latitude x 2.5° longitude with 30 vertical
126 levels from the surface up to ~2 hPa. To reduce the year-to-year climate variability in the model
127 simulation and to be able to detect the aviation NO_x-induced signal, specified dynamics (“off-
128 line” mode) simulations were performed. The model was driven with the 2005 meteorology from
129 a coupled CAM5 simulation. The aviation NO_x emissions are from the AEDT aviation emissions
130 scenarios for the year 2006 (Roof et al., 2007; Wilkerson et al., 2010; Olsen et al., 2012). The
131 NO_x emissions used as the input to the model had a horizontal resolution of 1° latitude x 1°
132 longitude, vertical resolution of 150 m, and hourly temporal resolution. The total NO_x emissions
133 amounted to 2.7 Tg (NO₂)/yr. The NO_x emissions were then regridded to the model resolution.
134 Background emissions of non-aviation short-lived species (e.g., NO_x, volatile organic
135 compounds (VOCs)) and longer-lived species (e.g., CO₂, chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), and
136 nitrous oxide (N₂O)), which were specified as mixing ratio lower BCs, are from the IPCC
137 RCP4.5 scenario (van Vuuren et al., 2011). Biogenic emissions were from the Model of
138 Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) (Guenther et al., 2006).

139

140

141 **3. Experimental Design**

142 We evaluated the CH₄ feedback factor and the parameterization commonly used to calculate
143 the change in CH₄ concentration based on the changes in its lifetime by performing three sets of
144 simulations: Set 1- a control (1c) and an aviation NO_x-perturbed (1p) run with fixed mixing ratio
145 BCs for zonal mean CH₄ that had a monthly temporal resolution and were interpolated to the
146 model resolution, Set 2- an aviation NO_x-perturbed (2p) run with a 20% increase in CH₄ mixing
147 ratio at the boundary layer, and Set 3- a control (3c) and a NO_x-perturbed (3p) run with CH₄
148 surface fluxes. The changes induced by aviation NO_x were then obtained by taking the
149 difference between the control and the NO_x-perturbed simulation in each set of simulation.

150 The purpose of Set 1 was to calculate the changes in CH₄ lifetime with fixed CH₄ mixing
151 ratio at the boundary layer. After running these simulations for 7 years, the steady-state condition
152 was reached. Data from the 7th year was used to calculate the steady state change in CH₄ lifetime
153 due to its reaction with tropospheric OH. The parameterization described in Equation 1
154 (Fuglestvedt et al., 1999) was then used to calculate the steady state change in CH₄ concentration
155 using the changes in its lifetime and the 1.4 feedback factor.

156

157 The purpose of Set 2 was to calculate the CH₄ feedback on its lifetime. By using the CH₄
158 lifetime obtained in this run (2p) and the CH₄ lifetime obtained in the NO_x-perturbed run from
159 Set 1 (1p) with Equations 3 and 4, the CH₄ feedback factor was calculated.

160

161 The purpose of Set 3 was to directly calculate the changes in CH₄ concentration caused by
162 aviation NO_x emissions. A control run and a NO_x-perturbed run with CH₄ surface fluxes were
163 done to calculate the actual changes in CH₄ response time and concentration. The CH₄ fluxes
164 used in Set 3 were obtained using the global annual mean steady-state loss of CH₄ from the
165 control run of Set 1 (1c) since at steady-state, production is equal to loss. This resulted in a
166 global annual mean CH₄ flux of 453 Tg that is well within the IPCC (2007) reported range (428-
167 507 Tg) and close to the most recent reported range of 554±56 Tg/yr (Prather, 2012; IPCC,
168 2013). Next, the CH₄ fluxes from IPCC RCP 4.5, that had a horizontal resolution of 2.8° latitude
169 x 2.8° longitude and monthly temporal resolution, were scaled to match the global annual mean

170 CH₄ flux calculated from Set 1 (453 Tg). This was done to produce a regional and temporal
171 distribution of CH₄ input flux that matched the background atmosphere of Set 1, for Set 3. The
172 two simulations were then run until steady-state conditions were achieved. By comparing the
173 result from Set 1 to the direct changes in CH₄ concentration calculated from Set 3, using CH₄
174 fluxes, the accuracy of Equation 1 was evaluated.

175

176 **4. Results and Discussion**

177

178 Based on our three sets of simulations the accuracy of the parameterization used in Equation
179 1 has been evaluated and the feedback factor used to describe CH₄ feedback on its lifetime has
180 been calculated. Set 1 resulted in a global annual mean background CH₄ concentration of 1713.0
181 ppb in both the control and the NO_x-perturbed simulations, since both simulations were run with
182 the same CH₄ mixing ratio BCs. The calculated CH₄ lifetime for the control run and the NO_x-
183 perturbed simulations using the global burden and the global loss of CH₄ were 10.74 and 10.58
184 years, respectively. The global losses do not include losses to soils, the stratosphere, or Cl-atom
185 losses. The reduction in CH₄ lifetime is about 1.3% (1.60%/[TgN/yr]), which falls within the -
186 1.4±0.40 (%/[TgN/yr]) to -1.6±0.37 (%/[TgN/yr]) range reported by Hodnebrog et al. (2011)
187 using an ensemble of six different atmospheric chemistry models with different emissions. A
188 global mean aviation NO_x-induced CH₄ change of 31.4 ppb was calculated by using the CH₄
189 lifetimes and the global annual mean background CH₄ concentration in Equation 1.

190 Based on Set 2, the CH₄ feedback on its lifetime was calculated. The CAM5 calculated
191 feedback factor was 1.39, very close to the average feedback factor of 1.4 adopted in most
192 studies.

193 Based on Set 3, the change in CH₄ concentration was calculated directly. As discussed
194 earlier, the runs in this set used CH₄ fluxes to produce the actual change in CH₄ concentration.
195 Both the NO_x-perturbed run and the control run were run until reached steady-state.

196 Since the surface CH₄ fluxes used in Set 3 were calculated based on the steady-state loss
197 of CH₄ in the control simulation of Set 1, the background CH₄ concentration calculated in the

198 control simulation of Set 3 should be very similar to the background CH₄ concentration in the
199 control simulation of Set 1. A comparison of the background concentration in the two sets
200 indicates that the CH₄ concentration in the background atmospheres between the two sets were
201 essentially the same ($\pm 0.10\%$ difference at a global annual level and $\pm 0.35\%$ difference (with a
202 max difference of 3%) at a monthly grid box level).

203 The aviation NO_x-induced global mean changes in CH₄ concentration calculated from the
204 Set 3 simulations was 28.9 ppb which is about 8.6% lower than the change calculated from
205 simulations in Set 1.

206 Four approaches (lifetime approaches) were used to calculate the atmospheric concentration
207 of CH₄ and its lifetime as all these approaches were used alternatively in previous studies (e.g.,
208 Dentener et al., 2003; Stevenson et al., 2004; Stevenson et al., 2006). The most representative
209 lifetime approach was the global annual mean, which was calculated by using the global annual
210 mean burden and the global annual mean loss of CH₄. The other three lifetime approaches
211 differed by: (1) using the tropospheric CH₄ burden and loss, with the tropopause marked by the
212 pressure level of the tropopause, (2) using the tropospheric CH₄ burden and loss, with the
213 tropopause marked by the altitude where the ozone concentration dropped below 150 ppb, and
214 (3) using the global CH₄ burden and tropospheric CH₄ loss with the tropopause marked by the
215 altitude where the ozone concentration dropped below 150 ppb. Using these four different
216 approaches, a range for the values of interest is presented in the third column of table 1. Table 1
217 shows the results from the three sets of simulations using the aforementioned approaches.
218 Columns 2 and 3 show the values of the quantities of interest using the global mean lifetime
219 approach and the range of values obtained using other defined lifetime approaches (approaches
220 1-4).

221 The most representative and thereby accurate lifetime method was the global mean approach
222 (global burden and loss of CH₄). The feedback factor using this lifetime approach was 1.39, very
223 close to the IPCC reported value of 1.40 (0.4% lower). For the other lifetime approaches, the
224 feedback factor ranges from 1.35 to 1.43 (differs from the IPCC adopted value of 1.4 by -3.7% to
225 2.2%). The CH₄ concentration change calculated from the Set 1 simulations using Equation 1 is

226 about 8.6% higher than the actual CH₄ concentration change calculated from the simulations in
227 Set 3. For the other lifetime approaches, the change in CH₄ concentration calculated from the Set
228 1 simulations using Equation 1 is about 12.1% to 20.0% higher than the actual change in CH₄
229 concentration calculated from the Set 3 simulations. Thus, the parameterization used in Equation
230 1 is good to within ~10% when using the global mean CH₄ lifetime and decreases the
231 computational requirements by nearly a factor of 8.

232 **5. Conclusion**

233 We evaluated the accuracy of the parameterization widely used in estimating the changes in
234 CH₄ concentration based on the changes in its lifetime and calculated the feedback factor that
235 describes CH₄ feedback on its own lifetime. Results show a 1.3% decrease in CH₄ lifetime due
236 to total aviation NO_x emissions from the year 2006 in the simulations with fixed CH₄ mixing
237 ratio as the boundary condition. The CAM5 calculated global mean CH₄ feedback factor of 1.39
238 compared well with the IPCC adopted feedback factor of 1.4. It was concluded that for the
239 simulations with fixed CH₄ at the lower boundary condition, the parameterizing technique using
240 the global mean lifetime approach overestimates the change in CH₄ by 8.6% compared to the
241 change calculated directly from the model using CH₄ surface emissions. The overestimation is
242 12.1% to 20.0% if using other lifetime approaches. Thus, for these simulations, the
243 parameterization technique is good to within ~10% when using the global mean lifetime
244 approach and decreases the computational requirements by nearly a factor of 8.

245 **Acknowledgements**

246 The authors would like to thank the Federal Aviation Administration, Aviation Climate
247 Change Research Initiative (ACCRI) for support under Contract #: 10-C-NE-UI amendment 001
248 and The Partnership for AiR Transportation Noise and Emissions Reduction (PARTNER). The
249 opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of
250 the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of ACCRI, PARTNER, or the FAA. We
251 would like to acknowledge high-performance computing support from Yellowstone

252 (ark:/85065/d7wd3xhc) provided by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems
253 Laboratory, sponsored by the National Science Foundation.

254 **References**

255 Dentener, F., W. Peters, M. Krol, M. van Weele, P. Bergamaschi, and J. Lelieveld, J., 2003:
256 Interannual variability and trend of CH₄ lifetime as a measure for OH changes in the 1979–1993
257 time period. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4442, doi:10.1029/2002JD002916.

258 Derwent, R., R. Friedl, I. L. Karol, H Kelder, V. W. J. H. Kirchoff, T. Ogawa, M. J. Rossi,
259 and P. Wennberg, 1999: Impacts of aircraft emissions on atmospheric ozone. In: *IPCC Special*
260 *Report on Aviation and the Global Atmosphere*. [Penner, J. E., D. H. Lister, D. J. Griggs, D. J.
261 Dokken, and M. McFarland (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom
262 and New York, New York, USA, 373pp.

263 Ehhalt, D., M. Prather, F. Dentener, R. Derwent, E. Dlugokencky, E. Holland, I. Isaksen, J.
264 Katima, V. Kirchhoff, P. Matson, P. Midgley, M. Wang, 2001: Atmospheric chemistry and
265 greenhouse gases. In: *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working*
266 *Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*
267 [Houghton, J.T., Y. Ding, D.J. Griggs, M. Noguier, P.J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and
268 C.A. Johnson (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York,
269 NY, USA, 996 pp.

270 Fuglestvedt J. S., T. K. Berntsen, I. S. A. Isaksen, H. Mao, X. Z. Liang and W. C. Wang,
271 1999: Climatic forcing of nitrogen oxides through changes in tropospheric ozone and methane
272 global 3D model studies. *Atmos. Environ.*, **33**, 961-77, doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00217-9.

273 Gent, P. R., D. Gokhan, J. D. Leo, M. M. Holland, E. C. Hunke, S. R. Jayne, D. M.
274 Lawrence, R. B. Neale, P. J. Rasch, M. Vertenstein, P. H. Worley, Z-L. Yang, and M. Zhang,
275 2011: The community climate system model version 4. *J. Climate*, **24**, 4973–91, doi:
276 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4083.1>.

277 Guenther, A., T. Karl, P. Harley, C. Wiedinmyer, P. I. Palmer, and C. Geron, 2006:
278 Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases
279 and Aerosols from Nature), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 3181-3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006.

280 Hodnebrog, Ø., T. K. Berntsen, O. Dessens, M. Gauss, V. Grewe, I. S. A. Isaksen, B. Koffi,
281 G. Myhre, D. Olivie, M. J. Prather, J. A. Pyle, F. Stordal, S. Szopa, Q. Tang, P. van Velthoven, J.
282 E. Williams, and K. Ødemark, 2011: Future impact of non-land based traffic emissions on
283 atmospheric ozone and OH – an optimistic scenario and a possible mitigation strategy. *Atmos.*
284 *Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 11293–317, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11293-2011.

285 Holmes, C. D., Q. Tang, and M. J. Prather, 2011: Uncertainties in climate assessment for the
286 case of aviation NO. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, **108**, 10997–
287 11002.

288 Hoor, P., J. Borken-Kleefeld, D. Caro, O. Dessens, O. Endresen, M. Gauss, V. Grewe, D.
289 Hauglustaine, I. S. A. Isaksen, P. Jockel, J. Lelieveld, G. Myhre, E. Meijer, D. Olivie, M.
290 Prather, C. Schnadt Poberaj, K. P. Shine, J. Staehelin, Q. Tang, J. van Aardenne, P. van
291 Velthoven, and R. Sausen, 2009: The Impact of Traffic Emissions on Atmospheric Ozone and
292 OH: results from QUANTIFY. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **9**, 3113-36, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3113-2009.

293 Hsu, J. and M. J. Prather, 2010: Global long-lived chemical modes exited in a 3-D chemistry
294 transport model: Stratospheric N₂O, NO_y, O₃, and CH₄ chemistry. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**,
295 L07805, doi:10.1029/2009GL042243.

296 IPCC, 1999: *Aviation and the global atmosphere: A Special Report of IPCC Working*
297 *Groups I and III. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. [Penner, J. E., D. H. Lister, D. J.
298 Griggs, D. J. Dokken, and M. McFarland (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
299 United Kingdom and New York, New York, USA, 373pp.

300 IPCC, 2001: *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I*
301 *to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Houghton,
302 J.T., Y. Ding, D.J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P.J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and C.A.

303 Johnson (eds.]). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
304 USA, 996 pp.

305 IPCC, 2007: *Climate change 2007: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working*
306 *Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*
307 [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H. L.
308 Miller (eds.]). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
309 USA, 881pp.

310 IPCC, 2013: *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working*
311 *Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*
312 [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G. K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V.
313 Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.]). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

314 Karlsdottir, S., and I. S. A., Isaksen, 2000: Changing methane lifetime: Possible cause for
315 reduced growth. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**, 1, 93-6, doi: 10.1029/1999GL010860.

316 Khodayari, A., Tilmes, S., Olsen, S. C., Phoenix, D. B., Wuebbles, D. J., Lamarque, J.-F.,
317 and Chen, C., 2014: Aviation 2006 NO_x-induced effects on atmospheric ozone and OH in
318 Community Earth System Model (CESM), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **14**, 9925–9939.
319 doi:10.5194/acp-14-9925-2014.

320 Köhler, M. O., G. Radel, O. Dessens, K. P. Shine, H. L. Rogers, O. Wild, and J. A. Pyle,
321 2008: Impact of perturbations to nitrogen oxide emissions from global aviation. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
322 **113**, D11305, doi: 10.1029/2007JD009140.

323 Koffi, B., S. Szopa, A. Cozic, D. Hauglustaine, and P. van Velthoven, 2010: Present and
324 future impact of aircraft, road traffic and shipping emissions on global tropospheric ozone.
325 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **10**, 11681–705, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11681-2010.

326 Lamarque, J.-F., L. K. Emmons, P. G. Hess, D. E. Kinnison, S. Tilmes, F. Vitt, C. L. Heald,
327 E. A. Holland, P. H. Lauritzen, J. Neu, J. J. Orlando, P. J. Rasch, and G. K. Tyndall, , 2012:

328 CAM-chem: description and evaluation of interactive atmospheric chemistry in CESM.
329 *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.*, **5**, 369 – 411, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-369-2012.

330 Liu, X., R. C. Easter, S. J. Ghan, R. Zaveri, P. Rasch, X. Shi, J.-F. Lamarque, A. Gettelman,
331 H. Morrison, F. Vitt, A. Conley, S. Park, R. Neale, C. Hannay, A. Ekman, P. Hess, N.
332 Mahowald, W. Collins, M. Iacono, C. Bretherton, and M. Flanner, 2012: Toward a minimal
333 representation of aerosols in climate models: description and evaluation in the Community
334 Atmosphere Model CAM5. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **5**, 709-739.

335 Neale, R. B., J. Richter, S. Park, P. H. Lauritzen, S. J. Vavrus, P. J. Rasch, and M. Zhang,
336 2013: The mean climate of the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM4) in forced SST and fully
337 coupled experiments. *J. Climate*, **26**, 5150–5168.
338 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00236.1>.

339 Olsen, S. C., D. J. Wuebbles, and D. Owen, 2013: Comparison of global 3-D aviation
340 emissions datasets. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **13**, 429-41. doi:10.5194/acp-13-429-2013.

341 Prather, M. J., 1994: Lifetimes and eigenstates in atmospheric chemistry. *Geophys. Res.*
342 *Lett.*, **21**, 801-4.

343 Prather, M. J. and J. Hsu, 2010: Coupling of nitrous oxide and methane by global
344 atmospheric chemistry. *Science*, **330**, 952-954.

345 Prather, M., R. Sausen, A. S. Grossman, J. M. Haywood, D. Rind, and D. H. Subbaraya,
346 1999: Potential climate change from aviation. In: *IPCC Special Report on Aviation and the*
347 *Global Atmosphere*. [Penner, J. E., D. H. Lister, D. J. Griggs, D. J. Dokken, and M. McFarland
348 (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, New York,
349 USA, 373pp.

350 Roof, C., Hansen, A., Fleming, G. G., Thrasher, T., Nguyen, A., Hall, C., Dinges, E., Bea,
351 R., Grandi, F., Kim, B. Y., Usdrowski, S., and Hollingsworth, P.: Aviation Environmental
352 Design Tool (AEDT) System Architecture AEDT-AD-01, 2007.

353 Stevenson, D. S., R. M. Doherty, M. G. Sanderson, W. J. Collins, C. E. Johnson, and R. G.
354 Derwent, 2004: Radiative forcing from aircraft NO_x emissions mechanisms and seasonal
355 dependence. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **109**, D17307, doi: 10.1029/2004JD004759.

356 Stevenson, D. S., F. J. Dentener, M. G. Schultz, K. Ellingsen, T. P. C. van Noije, G. Zeng,
357 M. Amann, C. S. Atherton, N. Bell, D. J. Bergmann, I. Bey, T. Butler, J. Cofala, W. J. Collins,
358 R. G. Derwent, R. M. Doherty, J. Drevet, H. J. Eskes, A. M. Fiore, M. Gauss, D. A.
359 Hauglustaine, L. W. Horowitz, I. S. A. Isaksen, M. C. Krol, J-F. Lamarque, M. G. Lawrence, V.
360 Montanaro, J-F. Muller, G. Pitari, M. J. Prather, J. A. Pyle, S. Rast, J. M. Rodriguez, M. G.
361 Sanderson, N. H. Savage, D. T. Shindell, S. E. Strahan, K. Sudo, and S. Szopa, 2006:
362 Multimodel ensemble simulations of present-day and near-future tropospheric ozone, *J.*
363 *Geophys. Res.*, 111, D08301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006338.

364

365 UNEP, 2001: Annual Evaluation Report United Nations Environmental Programme,
366 Evaluation and Oversight Unit 2002. available from [http://www.unep.org/eou/Portals/52/Reports](http://www.unep.org/eou/Portals/52/Reports/AnnualEvalReportEnglish2001.pdf)
367 [/AnnualEvalReportEnglish2001.pdf](http://www.unep.org/eou/Portals/52/Reports/AnnualEvalReportEnglish2001.pdf).

368 van Vuuren, D. P., J. Edmonds, M. L. T. Kainuma, K. Riahi, A. Thomson, T. Matsui, G.
369 Hurtt, J-F. Lamarque, M. Meinshausen, S. Smith, C. Grainer, S. Rose, K. A. Hibbard, N.
370 Nakicenovic, V. Krey, T. Kram, 2011: The representative concentration pathways: an overview.
371 *Climatic Change*, **109**, 5-31, doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z.

372 Wild, O., M. J. Prather, and H. Akimoto, 2001: Indirect long-term global cooling from NO_x
373 emissions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28**, 1719-22, doi: 10.1029/2000GL012573.

374 Wilkerson, J. T., M. Z. Jacobson, A. Malwitz, S. Balasubramanian, R. Wayson, G. Fleming,
375 A. D. Naiman, and S. K. Lele, 2010: Analysis of emission data from global commercial aviation:
376 2004 and 2006. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **10**, 6391–6408, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6391-2010.

377 Wuebbles, D. J., and K. Hayhoe, 2000: Atmospheric methane: trends and impacts. *Non-*
378 *CO₂ Greenhouse Gases: Scientific Understanding, Control, and Implementation*, 1-44.

Table 1. Results from comparisons of the three sets of simulations, a control and a NO_x-perturbed simulation with fixed mixing ratio boundary conditions for CH₄, a NO_x-perturbed simulation with a 20% increase in CH₄ mixing ratio at the boundary layer, and a control and a NO_x-perturbed simulation with CH₄ fluxes.

	Global annual mean method (Approach 1)	Range using other methods (Approach 2-4)
CH ₄ lifetime (yr), control simulation, fixed CH ₄ mixing ratio boundary condition (Set 1)	10.74	9.70 to 11.4
CH ₄ lifetime (yr), NO _x -perturbed simulation, fixed CH ₄ mixing ratio boundary condition (Set 1)	10.58	9.52 to 11.21
Aviation-induced ΔCH ₄ (ppb) using Eq. 1	31.4	32.5 to 35.3
Aviation-induced ΔCH ₄ (ppb) using CH ₄ fluxes (Set 3)	28.9	28.9 to 29.4
CAM5 calculated feedback factor (Set 2)	1.39	1.35 to 1.43
Percent difference in feedback factor	-0.4%	-3.5% to 2.2%
Percent difference in CH ₄ concentration using CH ₄ surface fluxes compared to using fixed mixing ratio BCs	8.6%	12.1% to 20.0%

Parameterization used to calculate the change in CH₄ concentration was evaluated.

Our CAM5 simulations show that the parameterization technique is good within 10%.

Methane feedback on its own lifetime was calculated.

Our model dependent feedback factor is well within the range reported by IPCC (2001).

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
		11. Contract or Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes			
16. Abstract			
17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages	22. Price