

Ohio Department of Transportation Library P.O.Box 899 Columbus, OH 43216-0899  $(614) 466 - 7680$ 

# **Traffic Monitoring Using Satellite and Ground Data: Preparation for Feasibility Tests and an Operational System**

Mark R. McCord<sup>1,2</sup> Prem K. Goel<sup>1,3</sup> Carolyn J. Merry<sup>1,2</sup>

**<sup>1</sup>**Center for Mapping <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Geodetic Science <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics

> **The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio**

Prepared in cooperation with the **Ohio** Department of Transportation and the U. **S.** Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration

ODOT Agreement No. 8494, State Job No. 14658(0)

Final Report

Research Foundation Project 864236/733062 Columbus, Ohio

## April, 2000

**716 .03 A37 2000x**  *daimer: The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for rcts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do no necessarily reflect the* ficial views or policies of the Ohio Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway *Administration. The report does not constitute a standard, specif cation or regulation.* 

**TE** 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}))$ 



 $\ddot{\nu}$ 

š

 $\ddot{\cdot}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \frac{1}{2} \leq i \leq n \end{array}$ 

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\log n))$  $\sim 10^{-1}$  $\label{eq:2} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) & = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{x}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}) \,,\\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}) \,, \end{split}$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$  $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\$  $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}d\theta\,d\theta\,.$  $\sim 12$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}$  $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{$  $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j$  $\Delta \sim 10^{11}$  $\sim 100$ 

 $\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}) \hat{h}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})] \geq \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \exp\left(\mathcal{H}\mathbf{x}\right) \leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \exp\left(\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\right) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \end{split}$ 

# **Traffic Monitoring Using Satellite and Ground Data: Preparation for Feasibility Tests and an Operational System**

# Mark R. McCord<sup>1,2</sup> **Prem K. Goel's Carolyn J. Merry'2**

<sup>1</sup> Center for Mapping <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Geodetic Science ?Department **of** statistics

## **The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio**

Prepared in cooperation with the **Ohio** Department of Transportation and the U. **S.** Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration

ODOT Agreement No. **8494,** State Job No. **14658(0)** 

Final Report

Research Foundation Project **864236/733062**  Columbus, **Ohio** 

#### April, **2000**

*Disclaimer: The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents* **ab** *no necessarily reflect the oficial views or policies of the Ohio Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway*  Administration. The report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\label{eq:2} \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{Z})=\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{Z})\,,\\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{Z})=\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{Z})\,,\\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{Z})=\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{Z})\,, \end{array}$  $\sim 10^{-10}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  . The set of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  $\sim$  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  $\bar{z}$ 

> $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right) \frac{d\sigma}{dt}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  .  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1$  $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} & = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \left[ \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} - \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right] \\ & = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \left[ \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} - \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right] \\ & = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \left[ \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} - \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right] \\ & = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \left[ \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} - \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \$ 

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim 10^7$ 

 $\bar{\rm t}$ 

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 



÷

 $\tilde{\omega}$  $\mathbf{A}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

# **APPENDICES**

# A. Description of the Software Code for Computing Traffic Measures ............. **A1**  C. Log-Normal and Poisson Traffic Count Data Simulation Programs ............... **C1 B.** Pattern Recognition for Stationary and Dynamic Pixels - Statistical Description and Program Listings ... **B1**  D. Traditional Method AADT and VMT Estimation Code ................................ **D1**  E. Model-Based Estimation Code ... **El**  F. Scatterplots of the Traditional Estimation Method *vs.* the Model-Based Estimation Method **(100** replications; *A4* = ... .) ... **F 1**

## **ILLUSTRATIONS**

 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

## *Figure* Page *Page*

*Appendix* 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$ 

 $\cdot$  .

*I* 



*Page* 

ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (linear model generation; traditional estimation method) ......................... **.44** 

- Average root mean squared relative errors in *AADT ARMSREaadt* as a function of proportion of movable ground sensors when using **only** ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (Poisson generation; traditional estimation method; equivalent satellite coverage  $\text{ESC} = 1.0$ )..46 **4.3**
- Average root mean squared relative errors in AADT *ARMSREaadt* as a function of number of proportion of ground sensors and variance in satellite-based estimates  $\sigma^{2(s)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellitebased and ground-based data (log-normal generation; model-based estimation method). ... **.49 4.4**

# **TABLES**

*Page* 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  . The  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ 

 $\hat{p}$  . The set of  $\hat{p}$  is  $\hat{p}$ 

a<br>NGC 197

- **2.1**  Volumes passing ground sensors estimated from air photos, video and recorded by ground sensors during estimated concurrent time intervals ................. 10
- **3.1**  Errors **of** omission and commission in determining dynamic pixels for three methods on a simulated pair of images, by prior estimate of the percentage of dynamic pixels (true number (%) of dynamic pixels = 83 **(14%))** ........... **16**

Values of input parameters used in simulation-estimation runs ............. **..38 4.1** 

 $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$  .

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{x$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum$ 

.-

*Table* 

**Contract** 

#### **Executive Summary**

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Satellite imagery could conceivably be added to data traditionally collected in traffic monitoring programs to allow wide spatial coverage unobtainable from ground-based sensors in a safe, off-the-road environment. Previously, we estimated that 1-m resolution panchromatic imagery should allow accurate vehicle counts and rough vehicle classifications, while large vehicles might be accurately detected with only 4-m resolution. At least three private groups are planning to market such high-resolution satellite data in the near future, but several issues must be addressed before these data could be **used** to complement **traffic** monitoring programs. **This** report addresses the following issues:

,

 $^!$  ,

I

- demonstrating that vehicles can be identified and classified accurately from  $\bullet$ satellite imagery;
- developing efficient image processing methods; and
- determining methods to integrate the imagery with ground-based data and assessing the value of this integration.

Previously, we designed a process to compare image data with data obtained from ground-based sensors to investigate the accuracy in identifying and classifying vehicles from imagery. We also tested the process using aerial photographs to simulate satellite imagery. In **our** new work, we replicate these field tests and develop software that automates many of the analytical components involved with these tests. The software could eventually be used in tests conducted with real satellite data. The empirical results of our new field tests show that our approach and software work well. However, we notice discrepancies between image- and ground-based data that lead us to propose that there are inevitable differences between image- and ground-based data sets that cannot be attributed to misidentification of vehicles in the images. Therefore, data collected from ground-based sensors should not be considered as absolute ground **truth** against which image-based data should be evaluated. Further work is warranted to reduce the magnitude of these inevitable differences and to determine how to work with such differences when determining the *accuracy* of vehicle identification and classification from image-based data. Additional consideration should also be given to operational differences in the tests we have conducted using simulated satellite imagery (scanned aerial photographs) **and**  the ultimate tests of interest – those using real satellite data. For example, consideration should be given to anticipated data formats and the ease with which highway segments of interest can be identified and delimited in the images.

Based on our experience with simulated high-resolution imagery, we are optimistic that an individual could visually detect and develop vehicle classifications from 1-m satellite imagery. However, to be useful in practice, automated image processing must be used to perform the detection and classification. We had previously developed rules that could be coupled with thresholding methods to count and classify vehicles using panchromatic imagery. This approach worked well under conditions where vehicle shadows were

pronounced, but it did not perform well under different lighting conditions. We are now developing a more robust methodology that first identifies dynamic (moving) pixels by subtracting an image of a highway segment under current conditions from **a** steady-state *background* image intended to represent the same segment with no vehicles present. The effects of different lighting conditions in the current and background images are reduced by first transforming grey tones of one of the images. We develop an iterative, maximum likelihood-based procedure that requires **an** *aprior* estimate of the probability that a random pixel in the current image is dynamic. Tests on images generated from computer simulations and on images obtained from scanned aerial photographs show the promise of **this** approach and its robustness to the prior probability estimates required. Future work is needed to refine the image processing components we have been developing, to test them further, and to incorporate them with vehicle classification modules that would operate on the set of dynamic pixels identified.

The limited temporal coverage that would be possible from a sensor carried on a satellite in a nongeostationary orbit has led us to focus on using satellite imagery to improve estimates of Average Annual Daily Traffic **(AADT)** on highway segments and Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) over the network **of** these segments. We develop methods **to**  simulate the improvements in AADT and VMT estimates produced by combining data obtained on time scales consistent with satellite orbits with data collected on the ground. Numerical results indicate the potential **of** satellite-based data to complement groundbased data and markedly reduce the errors in AADT or VMT estimation and the personnel required to obtain sufficient ground data to produce a given level of accuracy. These encouraging results were obtained when using methods similar to those traditionally employed in practice. We improve estimates further by developing a method designed to take advantage of the assumed data models. However, we expected to see greater improvements when using **this** method. We, therefore, feel that **this** method can be refined and that other methods can be developed to exploit the different spatialtemporal natures of the satellite- and ground-based data.

**V** 

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  $\omega = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{N-1}$  $\Delta \sim 10^4$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{L}_1$  ,  $\mathcal{L}_2$  ,  $\mathcal{L}_2$  , and  $\mathcal{L}_2$ 

 $\sim$ 

an a shekarar 1970.<br>Tarihin kasar 1970  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ 

 $\sim 10^{-11}$ 

#### **Section 1. Introduction**

This report documents our continued research into the feasibility of using data obtained from satellite images to improve estimates of interest in traffic monitoring programs. Using satellite imagery is attractive for traffic monitoring programs, since imagery would allow wide spatial coverage unobtainable from ground-based sensors. In addition, sensors onboard satellites are *off-the-road*, and, therefore, there is no disruption to traffic flow or increased hazard to personnel during installation and repair. Moreover, high-resolution satellite imagery will soon be available for the first time in the non-military world.

Previously, we estimated that approximately 1-m resolution panchromatic imagery should allow accurate vehicle counts and rough vehicle classifications, while large vehicles might be accurately detected with only 4-m resolution (McCord *et al.* 1995a, 1995b). At least **three** private'groups are planning to market high-resolution satellite data in the near future (American Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 1996). EarthWatch, Inc. lost the EarlyBird satellite (3-m panchromatic data) shortly after launch in December 1997. However, the company is focused on the QuickBird-1 Satellite with a l-m panchromatic (0.45-0.9 **pn)** sensor and a 4-m multispectral **(MS)** sensor onboard. Orbital Sciences Corporation is presently developing OrbView-3, which will have l-m panchromatic and 4-m **MS** sensors. In April 1999, Space Imaging EOSAT lost the Ikonos-1 satellite that was to cany l-m panchromatic and 4-m **MS** sensors. Ikonos-2, an identical twin to Ikonos-1, was launched on 24 September, 1999. After an initial fourmonth calibration period, Ikonos images are now available for purchase by the public.

Several issues would need to be addressed before such high-resolution satellite imagery could operationally be used to complement traffic monitoring programs. This report addresses the following issues:

- To gain acceptance, it would be necessary *to demonstrate that vehicles can* indeed *be identified* and *classified* accurately from real satellite imagery.
- To be **used** operationally, it would be necessary *to develop methods that* efficiently *process image &tu* into data that *can* be **used** to improve traftic parameter estimation.
- **To** stimulate investment in implementation, it would be necessary *to assess the value*  that the processed imagery data would add to traditional traflic parameter estimation and *to Clevelop methods for integrating he abtu* with ground-based data to increase the value of the combined data.

Showing that the numbers of classified vehicles observed in satellite images match those obtained from ground truth data would demonstrate that vehicles could be counted and classified from satellite imagery. However, determining ground truth data comparable to the type of data observed in a satellite image would not be straightforward. To obtain the l-m ground resolution we are seeking to detect vehicles, the sensor would need to orbit at altitudes much less than those permitting geostationary orbits, orbits where the satellite

<span id="page-13-0"></span>can continually image a fixed location on the earth *(McCord et al.* 1995a). The nongeostationary orbits imply that the image-based data would consist of *snapshots* of different vehicles over wide spatial areas taken at instants in time (Merry *et al.* 1996, McCord *et* al. 1995a). On the other hand, data obtained from ground sensors would consist of vehicles passing a point in space over **an** interval of time. Previously, we designed and field tested a process to compare the image data with data obtained from ground sensors (Merry *et al.* 1996). We used aerial photographs to simulate the satellite imagery because of the unavailability of high-resolution satellite imagery.

In Section **2,** we report on new field tests, where we again used aerial photographs to simulate satellite imagery. In our new work, we also developed software to automate many of **the** calculations involved. The empirical results show that our approach and software work well. However, we still notice differences between vehicle classifications obtained **fiom** the image- and ground-based data. We propose that some differences are unavoidable because of the different nature of the data sets. Therefore, when conducting tests with real satellite data in the **future,** data obtained fiom ground-based sensors should not be considered as absolute ground **truth.** Further work seems warranted to reduce the size of the differences that can occur **and** to obtain a feel for the maximum difference that could be tolerated before the equivalence of the number of vehicles in the image- and ground-based data would be rejected with confidence.

Based on **our** experience with aerial photographs scanned to simulate 1-m imagery, we are optimistic about the ability to detect and classify vehicles from high-resolution satellite imagery. Specifically, we have always been able to visually detect in the 1-m images vehicles that appeared in the original aerial photographs. However, if such imagery is to be useful in practice, the detection and classification would need to be performed automatically.

In Section 3, we report on our progress in developing operational image processing methods for vehicle classification. The task is different from the presently popular one of detecting vehicle presence in video images of a **fixed** location. In video imaging, an extremely fine-resolution background of the location can be built up from thousands of frames under almost constant lighting conditions. Satellite-based images, on the other hand, will only yield pairs of overlapping images of a location, with each image in the pair taken several seconds apart, and different pairs of images taken days apart. We had previously developed classification rules that we coupled with *thresholding* methods to count and assign vehicles into two classes using panchromatic imagery. The method worked well under conditions where vehicle shadows were pronounced (McCord et *al.*  1995a, 199b). However, the method did not perform **as** well under different lighting conditions (Merry *et al.* 1996). We, therefore, have been developing and testing a more robust methodology. We describe **this** methodology in Section 3.1 and report the encouraging test results in Section 3.2. We propose further work to continue developing the components of this methodology, integrating these components into **an** operational program, and testing the program with simulated and real satellite data.

Although a sensor carried on a satellite in a nongeostationary orbit could image the same area on different orbits, the repeat period would be on the order of days (McCord et al., 199Sa). We propose that such data would be most useful for complementing traffic monitoring programs that collect and estimate state- or region-wide network traffic statistics over relatively long time periods. Compared to traditional ground-based methods, satellite imagery would detect concurrent traffic conditions on an increased number of highway segments. It could also more directly determine changes in conditions along a segment of highway. Figure 1.1 shows velocities along approximately 10 **km** of 1-70 in Central Ohio estimated from overlapping aerial photography that we have been using to simulate satellite data.

In our work reported in Section **4,** we have been concentrating on the ability of satellitebased data to improve estimates of Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) on highway segments and Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) over the network of these segments. In Section **4.1** we describe the methods we developed and coded to simulate *trafftc* patterns and true AADT and VMT statistics and estimate these measures from observations assumed to be obtained from samples of the **trafEc** patterns. The estimation component can use either a *traditional-based* method (what **has** traditionally been **used** to estimate these measures **fiom** ground-based sensors) or a model-based method that uses observations more efficiently when the data can be assumed to be compatible with a specified underlying stochastic process. In Section **4.2,** we report the results of numerical studies we conducted using our software. These results indicate the potential of satellitebased data to complement ground-based data and markedly reduce the errors in AADT or VMT estimation or the personnel required to maintain **an** accuracy level when estimating these parameters.

*5* 

In Section *5* we summarize the report and expand upon future work we feel is warranted in several areas.

**3** 



**Figure 1.1. Velocity profile along 1-70** E **in** Central **Oho, estimated from overlapping aerial photographs.** 

#### **Section 2. Air-Ground Coordinated Field Tests**

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Our previous work (McCord et al. 1995a, 1995b, Merry et al. 1996) indicates that 1-m resolution would be sufficient to identify vehicles and distinguish between large and small vehicles in digital images scanned from panchromatic aerial photographs. It would be necessary to demonstrate that vehicles could be identified in panchromatic imagery obtained from a satellite platform to convince potential users that satellite imagery *can,* in reality, be used to count and classify vehicles on highway segments.

In our previous work, we compared vehicles identified in digital images scanned from aerial photographs to vehicles identified in the photographs. That is, the photographs served as the *ground truth*. When conducting tests with satellite imagery, it would be difficult to simultaneously image the dynamic highway segments with photographs and satellite imagery. Therefore, vehicle data detected from ground-based sensors would need to serve **as** ground truth. However, vehicle data obtained from ground-based sensors consist of vehicles passing **a** fixed location **through** time *(ie.,* of temporal flow data at a point), whereas that collected by imagery consists of vehicles imaged at an instant across an area (i.e., of spatial density data at one time). We have been developing a means to compare the ground data to that collected from the satellite. We conducted a field test similar to that previously described (Merry et *ul.,* 1996) to test and refine our approach. We **also** wrote software that automates many **of** the calculations required and tested **this**  program on the data collected. *As* in the previous study where we conducted the analysis manually, we scanned aerial photographs to simulate the satellite imagery.

#### **2.1 Acquisition of Data**

 $\mathbb R$ 

깊

We conducted a new field test on 29 October 1996. The Ohio Department of Transportation's **(ODOT)** Bureau of Aerial Engineering obtained aerial photography of the same three highway sites in Central Ohio that were used in a test we conducted in a previous project (Merry *et ul.,* 1996) - 1-270 in Franklin County on the west side of Columbus, **1-70** in Madison County just west of Columbus, and 1-71 in Pickaway County **just** southwest of Columbus *(see* Figure **2.1).** Photographs were obtained at **a**  scale of 1 in. = **400** A with the highway centerlines located approximately in the center of the photos. The recorded weather indicated high overcast clouds, scattered at 1800 m (6000 **A).** 

While the aerial photographs were being taken, ODOT's Bureau of Technical Services was collecting vehicle data passing traffic sensors embedded in the highway. For each direction of the 1-70 and 1-71 facilities, volume-by-length sensors were used to collect 1 minute volumes by two length classes – under 20 ft  $(6.1 \text{ m})$  and 20 ft  $(6.1 \text{ m})$  and over. For each direction of the 1-270 facility, weigh-in-motion sensors were used to record FHWA vehicle class and the time to the nearest second that the vehicle passed the sensor.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Figure** 2.1. **Site location map showing the I-270,I-70 and 1-71 field sites used in the 1996 field test.** 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**To** provide additional control, we videotaped traffic in all but the **1-71** southbound directions during the data collection period. The videotape had time stamps to the minute.

We obtained the aerial photographs and ground sensor data in the same formats as those described in Merry *et al.* (1996).

#### **2.2 Analysis of Data**

*Our* analysis is similar to what we developed and documented in Meny *et ul.* **(1996).** The process compares the number of vehicles in a class passing a ground traffic sensor during a specified time interval to a projection of the number in that class that would pass the location of the sensor during the same time interval. The projections are based on vehicle locations and speeds obtained in the imagery. As such, the comparisons will be influenced not only by how well vehicles can be identified in the images, but also by how well the times that the identified vehicles will arrive at the sensor location, which we denote  $X<sup>ens</sup>$ , can be predicted.

We considered two vehicle classes, small and large, which we call **cars** and *trucks,* for simplicity. We based the classes on size, since it is a parameter that could conceivably be distinguished in images. In the volume-by-length sensor data, we classed vehicles less than **20 ft (6.1** m) long as cars and vehicles **20 A (6.1** m) or longer as trucks. In the weigh-inmotion data, we considered vehicles in **FHWA** classes **1,2,3,** and **5** to be in our *car*  category and vehicles in the other classes to be in **our** truck category. The sensor data is provided by lane, but we aggregated across lanes to obtain classified counts during a time interval by direction (see Merry *et ul.,* **1996).** In this way, the numbers of cars and trucks passing the sensor during a specified time interval were readily available from the data recorded by the ground sensor. The time intervals are those recorded by the ground sensor.

We visually classified vehicles in the aerial photographs **as** cars or trucks based on size. We also visually identified identical vehicles in different photographs and assigned each vehicle a 2-part identifier, where the first part indicated its class (C or *T,* for *car* or truck) and the second part **(an** integer number) allowed it to be identified **as** the same vehicle in different images: a vehicle with the same identifier in different photographs was believed to be the same vehicle. Ą.

The photographs were scanned and saved **as** digital %bit image files. The **x,y** locations of the vehicles were digitized from these image files. The times that the vehicles were imaged and vehicle identifiers were manually added to the file. Highway edgelines were also digitized from these image files. The images were placed in a common x,y coordinate system. This consisted of registering the images by identifying points that were common to pairs of images. The digitized locations of vehicles at specified times, the two-part identifiers of these vehicles, the digital locations from the reference edgeline of the

**7** 

highway, and the locations of the ground sensor and upstream and downstream ramps serve as input to the software. This software estimates the time that each vehicle passes the ground sensor location  $X^{sens}$  and totals the number of vehicles by class passing  $X^{sens}$ during a specified time interval. The software code is described fully in Appendix A. We explain the concepts used here and note that comparisons with the manual calculations conducted **as** described in Meny et *al.* (1996) show that our software works very well.

To control for horizontal curvature of the highway, we use the digitized edgeline of the highway as a linear reference. The program mathematically projects the digitized vehicle locations to this digitized edgeline, providing linear distances from a reference datum. A vehicle that appears in more than one image is automatically identified by its two-part identifier. The linear distance traveled between subsequent imaging of the same vehicle is calculated from the vehicle's locations along the edgeline. **This** distance is divided by the times between the images to yield an estimate of the vehicle's average velocity *U"* in the time between images. The closest imaged location  $X<sup>v</sup>$  of the vehicle to the ground sensor, the time the vehicle was imaged at this location, the estimated average velocity  $U^{\prime}(X^{\prime})$ traveled in the time between this image and the next photograph, and the location of the ground sensor  $X^{ens}$  are used to estimate the time the vehicle passes the ground sensor. Some vehicles may appear in only one image. These vehicles are assigned velocities equal to the average velocity of the other vehicles in its class - *i.e.,* a car is assigned a velocity equal to the average velocity of all the cars considered on the segment, and a truck is assigned a velocity equal to the average velocity of all the trucks considered on the segment. Once the time that each vehicle passes the ground sensor is estimated, it is straightforward **to** determine the number of vehicles that pass the sensor during any time interval. Since the identifier indicates the vehicle class, the number of vehicles in each class in any time interval can be readily determined. In this case, the times would correspond to the airplane clock, *i.e.,* the clock that assigns times to the photographs.

Although the process is conceptually straightforward, there are certain controls that must be exerted. The ground sensor data are tagged to ground sensor clocks, while the imagebased estimates are **tagged** to the airplane clock. Discrepancies in these clocks can lead to poor comparisons in a dynamic system such *8s* **this.** We compensated for time discrepancies by adding or subtracting **a** constant time offset to the clocks. The details are presented in Meny *et al.* **(1996),** but the basic approach is to **use** video data obtained at the site to independently reference the video camera clock to the airplane clock and to the ground sensor clock. **An** offset is found between the video camera and ground sensor clock that maximizes a correlation measure between video-based estimates of classified counts passing  $X^{sens}$  during short intervals and ground sensor-based estimates of classified counts passing *2"""* during intervals of possibly different durations over **a** relatively long time period. (we maximized Pearson's correlation factor, obtained video-based estimates of vehicles passing  $X^{gen}$  in 5-second intervals, used 1-min intervals for volume-by-length sensors and **1** -sec intervals for weigh-in-motion sensors, and compared the estimates over 12-minute periods.) *An* offset between the video and airplane clocks is found by

<span id="page-20-0"></span>averaging differences between the video times and estimated photo times when distinguishable vehicles pass  $X^{sens}$ . The time offset between the photo and ground sensor clocks is then determined by taking the differences of these photo-video and ground sensor-video time offsets. We expect that we will be able to control for the effect of clock differences more efficiently in tests with real satellite data by simply referencing the ground sensor clocks to the **UTC** (universal time code) time used in the satellite clocks.

We also control for vehicles entering or exiting the highway. For example, if time intervals analyzed are too long, some vehicles could enter the highway from ramps upstream of the ground sensor after the highway was imaged and pass the ground sensor during the analyzed interval. Similar problems could *occur* with upstream exit ramps and downstream entrance and exit ramps. Therefore, we limit the time intervals to those such that only vehicles that are imaged downstream of ramps upstream of the ground sensor and upstream of downstream ramps could pass the ground sensor during the time period of analysis. Doing **so** shortens the lengths of the analyzed intervals from what could otherwise be considered from the imagery, and in some cases we only analyze intervals of less than a minute.

#### **2.3 Results**

f.

After compensating for the time discrepancies among the various clocks, we compared volumes-by-class projected **as** passing the ground Sensors from the images, counted **fiom**  the video, and recorded directly by the ground sensors for estimated concurrent time intervals. We considered the longest time intervals such that vehicles using entrance and exit ramps would not confound the comparisons. That is, we determined the time intervals by estimating the earliest and latest times that imaged vehicles downstream of upstream ramps and upstream of downstream entrance ramps would pass the ground sensors, where upstream and downstream directions are defined with respect to the ground sensor. We shortened the intervals **to** the nearest minute for the volume-by-length sensors and to the nearest second for the weigh-in-motion based sensors.

The estimated volumes are presented in Table **2.1.** In general, the estimates compare favorably with the ground sensor **data** at the **1-70** and **1-71** sites and less favorably at the **1-270** site, although the **1-270** data compare fairly well with the video data. We investigated the **1-270** data in more detail and, upon contacting ODOT discovered that the ground sensor (weigh-in-motion) was malfunctioning during the relevant time interval at **this** site.

Despite the controls for clock differences and the effect of entrance and exit ramps, there could still exist discrepancies between the classification volumes recorded by the ground sensors and those estimated from the images that are not attributable to a failure to detect vehicle classes in the imagery. The discrepancies could result from errors in the estimated vehicle locations, which would cause errors in the  $X^{\nu}$  and the  $U^{\nu}$  discussed above. They

*9* 

<span id="page-21-0"></span>could also result from the fundamental difference in comparing data taken from images covering a stretch of highway at a point in time to data collected from ground sensors at a point in space during a time interval. In short, if a vehicle would accelerate or decelerate from the estimated speed  $U^{\prime}$  used to estimate when it would pass  $X^{sens}$ , the estimated time of passing  $X^{sens}$  would be wrong. Depending on where it fell in the interval of analysis, **this** could cause discrepancies between the image-estimated volumes and the ground sensor-recorded volumes used as ground truth, even if the vehicles were correctly detected in the images. We have, therefore, begun developing methods and accompanying software to determine upper and lower bounds on the classified volumes that would pass X<sup>sens</sup> during specified intervals. The bounds would account for reasonable errors in estimated vehicle locations and vehicle acceleration and deceleration characteristics.



Table 2.1. Volumes passing ground sensors estimated from air photos, video **and**  recorded by ground sensors during estimated concurrent time intervals.

 $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\cup\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}\cup\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{3}}\cup\mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{4}}\cup\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{5}}\cup\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{6}}\cup\mathbf{6}_{\mathbf{7}}\cup\mathbf{6}_{\mathbf{8}}\cup\mathbf{6}_{\mathbf{9}}\cup\mathbf{7}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\cup\mathbf{6}_{\mathbf{5}}\cup\mathbf{7}_{\mathbf{1}}\cup\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\cup\mathbf{7}_{\mathbf{1}}\cup$ 

المحلين

**[1](#page-78-0)**  ! į,

We have also begun investigating the contributions of various sources of error in these estimations. Errors due to pixel resolution, digitization of vehicle locations, and projected locations along digitized highway edgelines seem minor. It appears that errors due to estimating time offsets and to the registration of images could be more important. However, in tests using real satellite data, the time offset errors could be reduced by ensuring that the ground sensor clock is calibrated against a **UTC** clock, which would be the time of the satellite image. The error due to registration of overlapping images should also be reduced because of the precise locations associated with the satellite images. The most important and, perhaps, most irreducible source of error in estimating when vehicles imaged at a given time would pass a ground sensor, appears to be the error in determining

<span id="page-22-0"></span>the velocity profile of the vehicle between the time that the vehicle is imaged and when it passes the sensor. The bounds we are developing and the accompanying software should help in making useful comparisons between data collected from ground sensors and imagebased estimates collected with real satellite data.

Finally, discrepancies between image-estimated and ground sensor-recorded volumes could come from errors in the ground sensors themselves or the classification software used. We mentioned above that we only discovered that the 1-270 sensor was malfunctioning upon detailed analysis. We only thought to look at the sensor because of the independent (video) source of data **used** to form estimates. It actually appears that the image-estimated volumes generally agree with estimates derived from the video data better than with the volumes recorded fiom the ground sensors. In future feasibility tests, one must, therefore, be careful in considering data collected from ground sensors **as** ground **truth.** Obtaining concurrent video data might be necessary when conducting feasibility tests with real satellite data.

#### <span id="page-23-0"></span>3.1 Identifying Stationary and Dynamic **Pixels**

We assume that the remotely sensed image **has** been segmented for the appropriate highway section. In addition, we assume that we have a historical estimate of the gray-level image of the same highway segment in which all pixels represent the background pavement (stationary pixels). .Given a current image of the same highway segment with vehicles, registered appropriately with respect **to** the background image, we want to detect the pixels corresponding to vehicles. That is, we want to classify pixels in the new image as either stationary (pavement pixels) or dynamic (vehicle pixels). In this section, we present a brief introduction of the statistical pattern recognition procedure we developed to address this task. The technical dehils are presented in Appendix B. **Future** development will investigate: **(1)** how to obtain this initial estimate of the background scene, **and** (2) classification of clusters of moving pixels, *e.g.,* into cars and trucks (or neither).

Let  $B_{ii}$  denote the gray-level of the pixel in row i and column  $j$  in the estimated background image of the segment and let Yij be the gray-level of the *same* pixel of the current image. A priori, before seeing the new image Y, we start with a prior probability,  $\pi_{ii}$ , on the pixel (i, j) being stationary in the new image. Let

$$
\pi_{ij} = \text{Probability that pixel (i,j) is stationary in image Y.} \tag{3.1}
$$

-

In general, the new (current) image, Y, **may** not have the same overall *brightness* level **as** the e . **ted** background image, **By** due to different lighting conditions under which the two images were acquired. We, therefore, *transform* the brightness level of the background image to make it comparable to match the overall brightness level of the new image Y using a variety of point operations (see, *e.g., Castleman (1996), Section 6.3).* Let  $\phi(B_{ii})$ , where  $\phi:[0,255]$ ->[0,255] is a brightness adjustment transformation in a specified class of pomt operations, denote the transformed background image. The **parameters** of the (unknown) transformation are estimated adaptively from image to image.

Then we obtain the differences, **Ri,** in gray-level of the current image and the transformed background, *ie.,* 

$$
R_{ij} = Y_{ij} - \phi(B_{ij}).
$$
\n(3.2)

The stationary pixels in the current image, Y, **are** expected to have small values of **Rij,** whereas the dynamic pixels are expected **to** have **Rij** that are, in general, large in absolute values. We then estimate the distribution of R, given that the pixels are stationary,  $p_B$ , and its distribution, given that the pixels are dynarmc, **pv,** and compute the *posterior* probability of each pixel being stationary. The posterior probability of a pixel being static is used to classify the pixel into static or dynamic.

The estimation of the transformation  $\phi$ , differencing of the current image and transformed background image, computation of posterior probabilities, and classification of pixels are applied in an iterative manner, until the posterior probabilities converge.

<span id="page-24-0"></span>These posterior probabilities can either be used to classify each pixel individually or as input to a rule based clumping procedure. **A** more advanced statistical pattern recognition procedure, such **as**  a flexible template-matching procedure, which uses the spatial relationship of dynamic pixel clusters could also be used to classify groups of dynamic pixels.

## **3.2 Overview of the Iterative Procedure**

For each pixel in the current image, define the unobservable variables  $X_{ii} = 1$  if pixel (i,j) in the image Y is a stationary pixel, and 0 otherwise. Let  $\pi_{ij} = Prob(X_{ij} = 1)$  denote the prior probability that the pixel  $(i, j)$  is a background (stationary) pixel.

The conditional distributions of the differences  $R_{ii}$  of the background pixels and the vehicle pixels in the current image are defined **as** follows:

 $p(R_{ij} | X_{ij} = 1) = p_B(R_{ij})$ , probability density of the background pixel differences,  $p(R_{ij} | X_{ij} = 0) = p_V(R_{ij})$ , probability density of the vehicle/background pixel differences.

Note that **m(.)** should be **a** unimodal distribution centered at 0, but **pv(.)** depends **on** the gray levels of dynamic pixels in the image Y.

Now the joint density of  $R$  and  $X$  is given by

$$
p(R_{ij}, X_{ij}) = \pi(X_{ij}) p_B(R_{ij})^{x_{1j}} p_V(R_{ij})^{1-x_{1j}}.
$$
 (3.3)

Using Bayes theorem, the posterior probability of  $X_{ij} = 1$  is given by

$$
p(X_{ij} = 1 | R_{ij}) = \frac{p_B(R_{ij}) \pi_{ij}}{p_B(R_{ij}) \pi_{ij} + p_v(R_{ij}) (1 - \pi_{ij})}
$$
\n(3.4)

To be able to compute these posterior probabilities,  $p_V(.)$ ,  $p_B(.)$  and  $\phi(.)$  all need to be known. In general, these three components in the model are unknown and need to be estimated. A full Bayesian approach would include specifying priors on the unknown components. However, since the amount of information about  $p_v$  (.),  $p_B(.)$  and  $\phi(.)$  is overwhelming (tens of thousands of pixels - a small segment of the size 10 m **x 10,000 m has** 100,000 **1-m** pixels), **any** prior information would likely be **swamped** by the data. Therefore, the approach adopted here is to estimate **pv** (.) and  $p_B(.)$  and  $\phi(.)$  in an iterative fashion, ignoring the fact that they were estimated when computing the posterior probabilities  $p(X_{ii} = 1 | R_{ii})$  in each cycle of the iteration. The detailed descriptions of each component of **this** procedure are given in Appendix B. We illustrate the performance of **this**  procedure for a test image, **as** well **as** scanned **1** m x 1 m resolution aerial images in the next section.

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>**3.3 Numerical Study**

To illustrate the potential of the methodology described in the previous section, we conducted the following studies. The first study is based on simulated images, while the second uses images formed by scanning air photos taken during our field tests. In the future, we expect to form the background image from an average of several images of the same location. Under light traffic conditions, forming the average would smooth out any signals from vehicles, and the resulting image should be a **good** approximation of the pavement background. In the studies reported below, we did not have several images of the same location from which to form an average. We, therefore, simulated the background **as** explained in the studies. The results of both studies show the promise of our method in detecting dynamic pixels that would be associated with vehicles and the robustness of the results to the assumed prior probabilities required by our algorithm.

#### *3.3.1 Simulated Images*

To illustrate our approach under a controlled setting, we simulated two images. Specifically, we formed two 30 x 20 images and assumed that all pixels in the images were either static, representing the background pavement, or dynamic, representing vehicles. We assumed that there were two rectangular vehicles of dimensions *5* x **7** and 6 x 8 in the current image, *i.e.,* the image that would be analyzed for vehicle counts. In this way, there were truly  $14\%$  (=  $(5 \times 7 + 6 \times 8)$ ) (30 x **29)** x **1000/0)** dynamic pixels and 86% (= **100%** - **14%)** background pixels in the current image. The remaining pixels in **this** current image were **assumed** to be pavement pixels. The second image was simulated to represent the *background image.* All pixels in **this** image were assumed to be pavement. We generated gray tones from normal distributions. For the background image gray tones for pixels in columns **4-7,** columns **12-16** and columns **19-20,** respectively, were generated from **N(110,20), N(120,ZO)** and **N (80,20)** distributions. Gray tones for all other pixels were generated from a N( **150,20)** distribution. We considered gray tones of pixels in the current image to be the sum of the gray tones in the background image and a **N(0,7)** disturbance term. We considered the gray tones of the dynamic pixels to be produced by either reflectance **off** a vehicle or **off** the pavement covered with a vehicle shadow. The dynamic pixels produced from vehicle reflectance for one vehicle (a darker vehicle) were generated from a **N(40,5)** distribution. The dynamic vehicle reflectance from the other vehicle (a lighter vehicle) was generated from a N( **1703)** distribution. The dynamic shadow reflectance gray tones were generated from a **N(0,5)**  distribution for both vehicles. We regenerated values whenever a negative value or a value greater than *255* was obtained and quantized generated values to the nearest whole number. One realization of the images is shown in Figure 3.1.  $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ 

!

We compared **our** procedure on these images, using **1-** and 5-parameter transformations. We also compared these procedures against a variant of a thresholding procedure we had used previously (Merry et *ul.,* **1996).** When using the transformations, after the procedure has converged, we classified pixels with posterior probabilities greater than *0.5* **as** dynamic. For the thresholding procedure, we subtracted the gray tones of the pixels in the incoming image from those of the corresponding pixels in the background image. The assumption is that difference values of pixels that were static (pavement) in the two images would be closer to 0 than difference values of pixels that were static (pavement) in one image and dynamic (vehicle) in the other image. Based on **this** 



ź.





<span id="page-27-0"></span>assumption, we classified the pixels in the tails **as** dynamic, where the number of pixels chosen was obtained from the prior estimate of the number of dynamic pixels.

We calculated *errors of omission* and *errors of commission* for each of the procedures. Errors of omission occur when dynamic pixels are not classified **as** dynamic. Errors of commission occur when pixels that are classified **as** dynamic are in reality not dynamic. That is, **an** error of omission occurs when **a** dynamic pixel is classified **as** being a background pixel, and an error of commission occurs when a background pixel is classified **as** being dynamic.

These resulting errors of omission and commission for the three methods assuming three different prior probabilities of dynamic pixels  $(1 - \pi_{ij})$ , where  $\pi_{ij}$  is defined in eq. 3.1) are presented in Table **3.1. (As** mentioned above, **14%** of the pixels were **truly** dypnic in the incoming **image.** Therefore, this would be the *correct* prior probability that a random pixel would be dynamic.) The results show the superior performance of the transformation methods on **this** simulated set of images and its robustness across different prior estimates.  $\label{eq:3} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)\cong\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)\cong\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)\cong\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)\cong\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d).$ 

**Table 3.1 Errors of omission and commission in determining dynamic pixels for three methods** on a simulated pair of images, by prior estimate of the percentage of dynamic pixels<br>(true number  $(% )$  of dynamic pixels = 83 (14%)) (true number  $(\%)$  of dynamic pixels = 83 (14%)). a serial.<br>Naskiĝoj

 $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$  ,  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$ 



The **usual** tradeoff between errors of omission and commission is apparent in Table **3.1** for all methods, but it is much less pronounced in the transformation method than in the thresholding method. This tradeoff occurs because the chance of misclassifying a background pixel as dynamic can be reduced by classifying fewer pixels as dynamic, but doing so will increase the chance of. omitting a dynamic pixel from being correctly classified **as** dynamic. If the prior estimates are small or large enough, the thresholding procedure will have very few errors of commission or omission, respectively. In the limit, when the prior estimate goes to 0, no pixels will be classified **as**  dynamic in the thresholding procedure, **and** there will be no possibility for errors of commission.

<span id="page-28-0"></span>This occurs, however, at the expense of a large number of errors of omission, which will go to 100% as the prior estimate goes to 0. *On* the other **hand, as** the prior estimate becomes large enough, so many pixels will be classified **as** dynamic that no dynamic pixels will be omitted. The percentage of errors of omission will go to 0, but the percentage of errors of commission will become very large, **as** many background pixels will be wrongly classified **as** dynamic. These extremes are apparent in Table **3.1** for the thresholding procedure. Because of **tbis type** of extreme behavior, the thresholding procedure outperforms the transformation method on errors of commission at low (5%) prior estimates. However, the improved performance is only marginal, and the thresholding procedure performs markedly poorly on errors of omission. Similarly, the better performance of the thresholding procedure on errors of omission is overwhelmed by its poor performance on errors of commission at the **high (25%)** prior estimate.

When considering the errors of omission and commission together, the transformation methods perform much better than the thresholding procedure. Moreover, Table **3.1** indicates that the performance of the transformation methods is not affected much by the prior estimate of the percentage of dynamic pixels. **This** insensitivity to the prior estimate is encouraging, since it indicates that good results could be produced from even poor estimates of traffic conditions that were present when the image was obtained.

#### **3.3.2** *Scanned Images*

**.P** 

ĭ3

We also investigated the performance of our method on **a** pair of air photos scanned to simulate **1**  m resolution. We used two overlapping photos taken **from 1-70.** We present these two images, which we call Image A and Image B, in Figure **3.2.** 

We conducted two experiments on these images. In one we **used** Image A of Figure **3.2** as the current image, representing the image containing dynamic and static pixels, and formed the background image, representing an image of static pixels, from Image **B.** In the other experiment, we reversed the roles, using Image **A** to form the background image and Image B **as** the current image. To form the background images, we manually replaced the gray values of what we observed to be pixels corresponding to vehicles and their shadows *(ie.,* the dynamic pixels) with **gray** values corresponding to the surrounding pavement.

To conduct the experiments, the images had to be registered to a common coordinate system. In both cases we registered the incoming image to that of the background image. Therefore, the registrations were independent in the two experiments. We shall see the effect of imperfect registration below.

We ran the thresholding method and 1-, 2-, and 5-parameter transformations on the images for prior estimates of dynamic pixels  $(1 - \pi_{ii})$ , where  $\pi_{ii}$  is defined in eq. 3.1) of 1%, 3%, and 7%. (In reality, approximately **3%** of the pixels were dynamic.) For each procedure and prior estimate, we calculated errors of omission and commission **as** we did in the experiments on simulated images in Section **3.3.1.** 

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

**Figure 3.2. Images obtained by scanning** *air* **photos to represent 1-m resolution.** 

In Figure 3.3, we plot the errors of omission against the errors of commission for the procedures. The numbers next to the plotted points represent the value, in percent, of the prior estimate of dynamic pixels used in the procedures.

Whether image A is used as the current image (Fig. 3.3a) or **as** the background image (Fig. 3.3b), the transformation procedures are seen to produce fewer errors of omission than the thresholding procedure for any prior estimate of dynamic pixel probability. For 1% and 3% prior estimates of dynamic pixel probability, the thresholding procedure produces markedly fewer errors of commission than the transformation procedures for the corresponding prior dynarmc probability estimates. **This** is not surprising, however, **as** explained in Section 3.3.1. When prior estimates are **SO** low, the thresholding procedure is expected to produce a low number of commission errors, but it does so at the price of a large number of omission errors.

*J.* 

**r** 

 $\mathcal{H}$ 

Moreover, the effect of many of the errors of commission would be reduced when rules, such **as**  those proposed in Merry et *al.* (1996), are applied to determine whether the dynamic pixels are dynamic and static pixels appear in Figures 3.4 and **3.5.** In these figures, black pixels are those classified **as** dynamic, and white pixels are those classified **as** static. In the transformation images, there are many more isolated pixels being classified **as** being dynamic. Comparing the images of Figures 3.4 and 3.5 to those of Figure 3.2, one sees that the vehicles correspond to the clumps of dynamic pixels seen in the processed images. The isolated pixels would be eliminated **as** noise when examined in the context of rules designed to classify groups of dynamic pixels output from the transformation **as** being vehicle or nonvehicle elements. Moreover, one **sees** that the shapes of the groups of pixels classified **as** dynamic in the transformation procedures correspond closely to the **shapes** of the vehicles seen in Figure 3.2, indicating that vehicle classification rules should perform well when operating on the output of the transformation method. *<sup>J</sup>*associated with a vehicle or with nonvehicle elements. Images representing the classification of

Examination of Figures 3.4 and 3.5 also shows that many errors of commission result fiom pixels near the median of the highway segment being classified **as** dynamic. The long, narrow **pattern**  observed would again be conducive to rules correctly classifymg the groups of pixels **as** not being the images in the common coordinate system. The median shows up much less in Figure 3.5, where Image B is **used as** the incoming image, than in Figure 3.4, where Image A is **used as** the incoming image. *(As* **a** result there are many fewer errors of commission in Figure 3.3b than in Figure **3.3a)**  We believe that our registration was significantly better in the former case than in the latter case. Better registration should be available fiom satellite imagery than fiom the manually registered scanned images **used** in this *study.* Still, we expect that the effects of registration will need to be investigated in real satellite images before we feel comfortable in interpreting the outputs of our transformation procedures. **<sup>i</sup>**associated with vehicles. Moreover, this phenomenon results in large part **from** errors in registering

The results *again* show the robustness of the transformation procedures. Specifically, when the prior estimates vary fiom 1% to **7%,** the thresholding errors of commission and omission vary over ranges of approximately **50%** in Figure 3.3a and 35%40% in Figure 3.3b. The curves produced from the transformation procedures vary over much smaller ranges - approximately **15%** and *5%,*  for errors of commission and omission, respectively, in **the** two figures. Again, it appears that even rough estimates of trafic conditions when the images are taken can lead to good performance.



**a. Image A.** 

Figure 3.3. Percent errors of omission *vs.* percent errors of commission in identifying dynamic **pixels for the thresholding and transformation (1-, 2-, and 5-parameter) procedures using the images of Figure 3.2, for varying prior estimates of dynamic pixel probabilities (3% dynamic pixels in the** image).



I

**b. Image B.** <sup>I</sup>

Figure 3.3. Percent errors of omission *vs.* percent errors of commission in identifying dynamic **pixels for the thresholding and transformation (1-, 2-, and 5-parameter) procedures using the images of Figure 3.2, for varying prior estimates of dynamic pixel probabilities (3% dynamic pixels in the image).** 



a. Thresholding.

b. 1-parameter.

Figure 3.4. Pixels contained in Figure 3.1 classified as dynamic (black) and static (white) for the thresholding procedure and 1-, 2-, and 5-parameter transformations (image A used as incoming image; modified image B used as background image; prior estimate of dynamic pixel probability was 1%).



c. 2-parameter.

Ġ.

 $\dot{\mathbf{z}}$ 

 $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^0$ 

d. 5-parameter.

Figure 3.4. Pixels contained in Figure 3.1 classified as dynamic (black) and static (white) for the thresholding procedure and 1-, 2-, and 5-parameter transformations (image A used as incoming image; modified image B used as background image; prior estimate of dynamic pixel probability was 1%).



**a. Thresholding.** 

**b. 1-parameter.** 

**Figure 3.5. Pixels contained in** Figure **3.1 classified as dynamic (black) and static (white) for**  thresholding procedure and 1-, 2-, and 5-parameter transformations (image B used as incoming **image; modified image A used as background image; prior estimate of dynamic pixel probability**  was 1%).


**c. 2-parameter.** 

Ł

3

Ą

ر<br>م

 $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ 

C

ã.

d. 5-parameter.

**Figure 3.5. Pixels contained in Figure 3.1 classified as dynamic (black) and static (white) for thresholding procedure and 1** -, **2-, and 5-parameter transformations (image** B **used as incoming image; modified image A used as background image; prior estimate of dynamic pixel probability**  was **1%).** 

### Section **4. Use** of **Image Data**

As mentioned earlier, the satellite data would consist of *snapshots* of the highway segments at instants in time. Several snapshots could be obtained over time, and the greatest benefit in the satellite data might be found in identifying spatial patterns in traffic characteristics. For example, the data might indicate consistently **high** or low velocities on certain segments. These indications could then be confirmed with traditional spot speed studies. *Or,* the series of snapshots might show that certain segments exhibit temporal patterns different fiom those of other segments in the same traffic monitoring sampling class. Aggregate estimates could then be improved by redefining the sampling classes.

Despite these potential advantages, we limit our analysis in *this* study to the potential of satellite data to improve estimates of Average **Annual** Daily Traffic (AADT) in homogeneous classes of highway segments. The AADT estimates are used to estimate Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) in the class of highway segments, and ,we **also** investigate the ability of snapshot data to improve VMT estimates. We base homogeneity of traffic classes on similarity of temporal *expansion* factors described below. We develop computer software to conduct this analysis. *Our* software **contains** two **main** components, a generation component and an estimation component, which we describe in Section 4.1. The generation component simulates true values of AADT and values that would be observed in traffic counting programs. As explained below, we consider 24-hour observations to be representative of data obtained from traditional ground-based **sensors**  and shorter duration observations to be representative of satellite **snapshots.** The estimation component produces AADT and VMT estimates fiom the values produced in the generation component.

In Section 4.2, we describe the application of our software to investigate the benefits of combining satellite-based data with ground-based data in the estimation component. The benefits are considered in terms of reduced errors when estimating AADT and VMT, and we investigate the reduction in errors **as** a function of the number of ground counts, amount of satellite coverage, and variability associated with expanding a satellite snapshot to **a** daily count.

## **4.1 Methodology**

We consider a highway network consisting of *N* segments or links with length  $d_l$ ,  $l = l$ , 2, ..., N. We specify N as an input to the simulation program, and we randomly generate the link lengths *d<sub>l</sub>* from a truncated normal distribution,  $d_l \sim N(\mu_d, \sigma_d)$ ,  $d_l \geq d_{min}$ 

## *4.1.1 Generation of Volume Data*

Of the *N* highway links, we consider that *P* are equipped with automatic traffic recorders (ATR's) that can count and record daily volumes every day of the year. We also consider that two 24-hour volumes are recorded on  $M$  different links with movable traffic recorders. The two daily (24-hour) volumes recorded by the movable recorders occur on

consecutive days. The parameters  $P$  and  $M$  determine the supply of ground count information collected and are specified **as** inputs.

The supply of satellite data is determined by inputs on the time  $T<sup>R</sup>$  between satellite passes that image links in the network and the number  $N<sup>t</sup>$  of links imaged each time the satellite passes. Each time the satellite images the area,  $N<sup>d</sup>$  of the total *N* links to be imaged are generated at random. A satellite that images with the  $T<sup>R</sup>$ -day repeat period will produce images of  $N^I$  links in the network  $365/T^R$  times per year. That is, there will be  $365 N/T^R$  link-images produced per year.

An Average Annual Daily Traffic  $AADT<sub>l</sub>$  is generated for each link  $I = I, 2, ..., N$  of the network fiom **a** uniform distribution with exogenously input lower and upper **bounds,**  *AADT<sub>min</sub>* and *AADT<sub>max</sub>*. Using the generated true AADT's and randomly generated link lengths, the corresponding value of the true Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) is calculated **as:** 

$$
VMT = \sum_{l=1,\dots,N} d_l * AADT_l. \tag{4.1}
$$

AADT's are converted into 24-hour counts for day-of-the-year  $\delta$ ,  $\delta \in \{1, 2, ..., 365\}$ , by calculating a deterministic component *U* of the 24-volume using day-of-the-week and month-of-the-year expansion factors (McShane and Roess, 1990) and imposing random error on *U*. Specifically, a set of month-of-the-year or variation expansion factors  $EF^M$  =  $\{EF^{M}_{m}, m = 1, 2, ..., 12\}$  and day-of-the-week expansion factors  $\vec{EF}^{D} = \{EF^{D}_{d}, d = 1, 2, ...\}$ ..., 7} are specified as input, where, for example, month  $m = 1$  corresponds to January, month  $m = 2$  corresponds to February, and so on, and day  $d = 1$  corresponds to Monday, day d = 2 corresponds to Tuesday, and so on,. The factors are chosen *so* that they would represent expansion of the average volumes on a given month or day to the AADT - i.e.,  $(I/12)$   $\sum_{m=1,...,12}$   $(EF^M_m)^{-1} = (I/7)$   $\sum_{d=1,...,7}$   $(EF^D_M)^{-1} = I$ .

The deterministic component of the 24-hour volume for link  $l$  on day  $\delta$  is then:

$$
U_{\mathcal{L}\delta} = AADT_{\mathcal{L}}^* EF^M{}_{M\delta\delta}^{-1} * EF^D{}_{D\delta\delta}^{-1},\tag{4.2}
$$

where  $AADT<sub>l</sub>$  is the AADT of the link *l* generated as described above, and  $M(\delta)$  and  $D(\delta)$ , respectively, represent the month-of-the-year  $(M(\delta) \in \{1, 2, ..., 12\})$  and day-of-the-week  $(D(\delta) \in \{1, 2, ..., 7\})$  corresponding to day-of-the year  $\delta(\delta \in \{1, 2, ..., 365\})$ . Multiplying by  $EF^M{}_{M(\delta)}$ <sup>1</sup> imposes the temporal effect associated with month *M(* $\delta$ *)*, whereas multiplying by  $EF^{D}$ <sub>D( $\delta$ </sub><sup>-l</sup> imposes the temporal effect associated with day-of-the-week *D(* $\delta$ *)*.

The 24-hour count on link  $\ell$  on day  $\delta$  is generated from the det considering that the true volume varies from the deterministic model of (4.2) through a specified stochastic model. We use two stochastic models: one uses a log-normally distributed error term; the other generates volumes from a Poisson model (see Appendix *C).*   $\cdot$  stic  $U_{\ell\delta}$  by

4.1.1.1 Log-Normal *Generation*. We primarily used the "log-normal error term" model in our analysis. In this model, we generate a 24-hour count  $V^{(g)}$  that would be observed **from** a ground sensor (either a permanent ATR or a movable sensor) on link *<sup>I</sup>* and day  $\delta$  as:

$$
V^{(g)}_{l,\delta} = U_{l,\delta} * \exp(\varepsilon^{(g)} - \sigma^{(g)2}/2),\tag{4.3}
$$

where *exp (.)* is the inverse function of the natural logarithm and  $\varepsilon^{(g)} \sim N(0, \sigma^{(g)})$ . (This formulation ensures that the expectation of the error term is one, *i.e.*,  $E$ [exp( $\epsilon^{(g)}$  - $\sigma^{(g)/2}$ )]=1.) We assume that  $V^{(g)}$  is observed without any measurement error. That is,  $V^{(g)}$ is both the true 24-hour volume on link *I* and day  $\delta$  and that which is observed from the ground sensor on this link and day.

To simulate the volume estimated fiom the satellite image, we assume that a satellite image of a link is converted into a 24-hour count  $V^{(s)}$  and simulate this 24-hour count as:

$$
V^{(s)}_{l,\delta} = U_{l,\delta}^* \exp(\varepsilon^{(s)} - \sigma^{(s)2}/2),\tag{4.4}
$$

where *exp* (.) is again the inverse function of the natural logarithm and  $\varepsilon^{(s)} \sim N(0, \sigma^{(s)})$ . (Again, in this formulation the expectation of the error term is one, *i.e.*,  $E/exp(\epsilon^{(s)} - \epsilon)$  $\sigma^{(3)}(2)/[-1]$ .) The error associated with converting the satellite image into a 24-hour count is handled through the magnitude of  $\sigma^{(s)}$  relative to  $\sigma^{(g)}$ . This process implies that, unlike in the case when generating 24-hour volumes  $V^{(g)}$  obtained with ground sensors, the 24hour counts  $V^{(s)}$  estimated from the satellite data are not necessarily the true 24-hourvolumes on the segment on the day of observation. We note here that determining the relative magnitudes of  $\sigma^{(s)}$  and  $\sigma^{(g)}$  to appropriately account for the error in estimating a 24-hour volume **fiom** the satellite **data** is **an area** for future research. We present **our**  results below **as** a function of the relative difference in these terms.

4.1.1.2 Poisson *Generation*. The second stochastic model considers volumes to be Poisson distributed. To generate a 24-hour volume obtained from a ground sensor, we use the deterministic component  $U_{\ell, \delta}$  of Equation (4.2) as the mean of a Poisson distribution for 24-hour volumes and generate the volume **fiom** this distribution. That is:

 $V^{(g)}_{\mathcal{A}\delta} \sim \text{Poisson}(U_{\mathcal{A}\delta}).$  (4.5)

Again, the 24-hour volume obtained with the ground-based sensor is **assumed** to be the **true** volume in this process.

To generate satellite observations, we simulate a 5-minute volume fiom a Poisson distribution and convert this generated 5-minute volume to an estimated 24-hour volume. (Time intervals other than five minutes could be used in our program, but we used five minutes **as** a first approximation of the time interval corresponding to satellite **data.)** We assume that the 5-minute volume is observed without error, but that there could be error in expanding the 5-minute volume to a 24-hour volume.

To generate the 5-minute volume, we convert the deterministic component of the 24-hour volume  $U_{l,s}$  of Equation (4.2) to a simulated 5-minute volume obtained in hour h,  $h = I$ . 2, ..., 24, where, for example, hour  $h = 1$  corresponds to 12:00a.m. - 1:00 a.m.,  $h = 2$ corresponds to 1:00 a.m. 2:OO a.m., and so on. The deterministic component of the 5 minute count  $U_{h}$  in hour h is obtained by factoring the 24-hour U by an hourly expansion factor  $EF<sup>H</sup>$ , taken from a set of exogeneously specified hourly factors  $EF<sup>H</sup> = {EF<sup>H</sup>_{h}$ ,  $h =$ I, *2,* ..., *241,* and converting this hourly volume to a 5-minute count by assuming **equal**  distribution among the twelve 5-minute intervals in the hour:

$$
U_{\mathit{L}\delta h}^{\delta} = U_{\mathit{L}\delta} * (EF^{H}{}_{h})^{-1} / 288 \tag{4.6}
$$

As with the monthly and daily expansion factors, the hourly expansion factors  $EF<sup>H</sup><sub>h</sub>$  are specified to represent expansion about average hourly volumes -- i.e.,  $\left(\frac{1}{24}\right) \sum_{h=1,\dots, 24}$  $EF<sup>H</sup>_{h}$ <sup>-1</sup> = 1. Dividing by 288 in Equation (4.6) represents the fact that there are 288 5minute intervals in 24 hours and assumes an equal distribution of a given hour's volume into twelve 5-minute intervals. Unequal distributions could be handled by an expansion factor for subperiods, but since the actual volume will be a randomly generated realization, it would seem overzealous to consider expansion factors for such a short period.

To generate a 5-minute volume  $V^{\delta(s)}$  *i*  $_{l\delta h}$  obtained in hour *h* on day  $\delta$  on link *l* from a satellite sensor, then, we use the deterministic component  $U_{l, \delta h}^{\delta}$  of Equation (4.6) as the mean of a Poisson distribution for 5-minute volumes and generate the volume **fiom this**  distribution. That is:

$$
V^{(3)}_{l,\delta,h} \sim \text{Poisson}(U^{\delta}_{l,\delta,h}).\tag{4.7}
$$

We then expand this 5-minute volume to an hourly estimate in hour h by multiplying by 12 and then the hourly estimate to a 24-hour estimate by multiplying by 24 times an "estimate" of the hourly expansion factor  $EF<sup>H</sup>$ <sup>'</sup><sub>h</sub>. That is:

$$
V^{(s)}_{l,\delta} = 12 * 24 * EF^{H_1}{}_h * V^{5(s)}_{l,\delta h} = 288 * EF^{H_1}{}_h * V^{5(s)}_{l,\delta h}.
$$
\n(4.8)

In the work reported here we set  $EF<sup>H</sup>$ <sup>*i*</sup>, either equal to the true expansion factor used in generation or to  $EF<sup>H</sup>_{h}$ , but future work could investigate the sensitivity of the solution to erroneous estimates of the hourly expansion factor. In this way, the  $EF<sup>H</sup>$ <sup>'</sup><sub>h</sub> value used is not truly an estimate that depends on observations, but **an** exogenously specified parameter.

Β

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

*4.1.1.3 Output of* Data **Generation** The simulation program considers one year **as** the analysis **period** and uses either Equation (4.3) or Equation **(4.5)** to generate:

a 24-hour volume count for each of the 365 days of the year for each link assumed to be equipped with a permanent ATR;

two consecutive 24-hour volume counts for each of the links assumed to be covered by a movable ground sensor; these links are randomly generated (without replacement) fiom the set of links not equipped with permanent **ATR's,** and it is assumed that the first of the two days that a movable ground sensor collects data on a link is the day afler the second of the two days that the sensor collected data on the previously sampled link.

The simulation program also uses either Equation  $(4.4)$  or Equation  $(4.8)$  to generate:

an estimate of the 24-hour volume for each of  $N<sup>t</sup>$  links randomly generated with replacement every  $T^R$  days.

One can, therefore, think of partitioning the *N* links in the simulated network into the following sets based on the **types** of traffic volumes assumed to be collected on links in the set:

a set  $\underline{P}$  consisting of the links that are equipped with permanent ATR's;

a set **MS** consisting of the links for which 24-volumes are obtained from a movable ground sensor during the year *and* for which at least one 24-hour volume estimate is obtained fiom satellite data during the year;

a set **M** consisting of the links for which 24-hour volumes **are obtained** from a movable ground sensor but for which no satellite-based 24-hour volume estimates **are** obtained during the year;

a set S consisting of the links for which no ground-based 24-hour volumes are obtained, but for which at least one satellite-based 24-hour volume estimate is obtained during the year;

a set  $\underline{\mathbf{R}}$  consisting of the links for which neither ground-base nor satellite-based 24-hour volumes are obtained during the year.

We call  $N_P$ ,  $N_{MS}$ ,  $N_M$ ,  $N_S$ , and  $N_R$ , the numbers of links in the respective sets, with  $N_P$  +  $N_{MS} + N_M + N_S + N_R = N$ . We also assume that the links have been renumbered so that the first  $N_P$  links are those in set **P**, the next  $N_{MS}$  links are those in set **MS**, the next  $N_M$ links are those in set  $M$ , the next  $N_S$  links are those in set  $S$ , and the final  $N_R$  links are those in set **R**. In this way, the output of the simulation program consists of "ground" based" and "satellite-based" data. The ground-based data are comprised of:

$$
V^{(g)}_{l,\delta}, \qquad \delta = 1, 2, ..., 365; l = 1, 2, ..., N_P;
$$
  

$$
V^{(g)}_{l,\delta}, \qquad \delta = \Delta g(l), \Delta g(l) + l; \qquad l = N_P + l, N_P + 2, ..., N_P + N_{MS} + N_M;
$$

where  $\Delta g(l)$  indicates the day on which the first of the two consecutive 24-hour groundbased counts are obtained with movable ground sensors.

The satellite-based **data** are comprised **of** 

$$
V^{(s)}_{l,\delta}, \qquad \delta = \Delta s_l(l), \Delta s_2(l), ..., \Delta s_l(l); \qquad l = N_P + l, N_P + 2, ..., N_P + N_{MS},
$$

$$
N_P + N_{MS} + N_M + l, ...,
$$

$$
N_P + N_{MS} + N_M + N_S
$$

where  $\Delta s_i(l)$  indicates the day on which a satellite-based estimated daily volume was produced on link *l* for the *i*<sup>th</sup> time in the year, and *Il* indicates the number of times that a satellite-based estimated volume was produced on link I during the year.

i

!

The simulation program also produces the true values of the AADT's and link lengths for each link *1* and the true VMT **as** output, *i. e.* :

 $d_l$   $l = 1, ..., N$ ;

AADT<sub>I</sub>,  $l = 1, ..., N$ 

VMT.

A listing of the generation programs can be found in Appendix D.

### *4.1.2 Estimation of Trari Parameters*

Our estimation programs use the output of the simulation programs **as** input and estimate *Annual* Average Daily Traffic **(AADT)** for each link I in the network and then Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) from these AADT's and the corresponding segment lengths  $d_l$ . We consider two methods - what we call the *traditional method* and what we call a *model-bused method* - to produce these estimates. We produce estimates when using only the ground-based **data** and when combining the ground-based and satellite-based **data.** 

## *4.1.2.1 Traditional Esti n Mahod*

*Ground-based data only: Estimating AADT's using the traditional method with only* ground-based **data** is similar **to** the commonly proposed method **(U.S.** Department of Transportation **1992,** McShane **and Roess 1990) of** 

- i) estimating expansion factors fiom **data** obtained fiom permanent **ATR's;**
- ii) using these expansion factors to convert 24-hour volumes into annual average estimates;
- iii) averaging the different annual average estimates for the same link to produce an estimate of that link's AADT;
- iv) estimating AADT on links with no observations from the AADT estimates of the links for which there were observations.

Specifically, the AADT's for the  $N_p$  links in set  $\bf{P}$  equipped with permanent ATR's are estimated **as** the average of the **365** hourly volumes:

$$
AADT^{(g)} = \sum_{\delta=1,...,365} V^{(g)}_{\delta} / 365, \qquad l = 1,..., N_P; \qquad (4.9)
$$

where we append  $``(g)$ " to the AADT variable to indicate that the AADT is estimated from ground data only.

The 365  $V^{(g)}$  volumes on these  $N_P$  links are also used to estimate the month-of-year and day-of-week expansion factors **as:** 

$$
EF^{(g)M}_{m} = [AADT^{(g)}_{l} / \langle V^{(g)}_{l,\delta} >_{M(\delta)=m}]_{l \in \{1, ..., NP\}}, \quad m = 1, 2, ..., 12;
$$
 (4.10)  

$$
EF^{(g)D}_{d} = [AADT^{(g)}_{l} / \langle V^{(g)}_{l,\delta} >_{D(\delta)=d}]_{l \in \{1, ..., NP\}}, \quad d = 1, 2, ..., 7;
$$
 (4.11)

where  $[\cdot]_{i \in \{1, ..., NP\}}$  represents the harmonic average over the  $N_P$  segments with permanent ATR's, and  $\lt$ .  $>_{M(\delta)=m}$  and  $\lt$ .  $>_{D(\delta)=d}$  represent the arithmetic averages over all days-of-the-year  $\delta$  that are, respectively, in month *m* and on day-of-the-week  $d$ , and the " $\mathcal{B}$ " 's appended to the *EF*'s indicate that the factors are estimated from ground-based data only.

The AADT's using ground-based data **only** for the links in sets **MS** and **M** where counts have been taken with movable ground sensors are estimated **as:** 

$$
AADT^{(g)} = \sum_{\delta = Ag(I), \, \Delta g(I)+1} V^{(g)}_{\delta, \delta} * EF^{M}_{\mathcal{M}(\delta)} * EF^{D}_{\mathcal{D}(\delta)} / 2,
$$
  
 
$$
I = N_P + I, \, \dots, N_P + N_{\mathcal{M}S} + N_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad (4.12)
$$

I

that is, the average of the two 24-hour volumes obtained on the link on consecutive days  $(Ag(l)$  and  $Ag(l)+1$  after "expanding" the 24-hour volume into an estimate of the annual average using the appropriate monthly and day-of-the-week expansion factors.

The **AADT's** estimated when using only ground-based **data** for the links in **sets S** and & where no ground-based data have been obtained, are estimated **as** the arithmetic average of the estimated **AADT's** of the links for which ground-based data have been obtained:

$$
AADT^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1,...,NP+NMS+NM} AADT^{(2)} + (N_P + N_{MS} + N_M),
$$
  
 
$$
I = N_P + N_{MS} + N_M + 1, ..., N. \quad (4.13)
$$

The VMT using ground-based data only  $VMT^{(g)}$  is estimated as:

$$
VMT^{(g)} = \sum_{l=1,...,N} d_l * AADT^{(g)}.
$$
\n(4.14)

*Combined satellite-based and ground-based data:* When combining the satellite-based data with the ground-based data in the traditional method, we treat 24-hour volumes generated from simulated satellite observations in the same way that we treat 24-hour volumes generated from simulated ground observations, except when simulated satellitebased estimates occur on one of the  $N_P$  links assumed to have permanent ATR's. In this case, we ignore the satellite observation, since the ground-based data on the links equipped with permanent ATR's are assumed to be error-free data.

Specifically, the AADT's for the  $N_P$  links of set **P** equipped with permanent ATR's are estimated from ground data only, so that:

$$
AADT(sg) = AADT(g) \tag{4.15}
$$

where  $AADT^{(g)}$  is determined from Equation (4.9), and we now use "<sup>(sg)</sup>" to indicate that we are considering the case where we can combine the satellite-based data with the ground-based **data** to produce estimates. The month-of-year and day-of-week expansion **factors** are again estimated **using** the ground-based **data** on the links assumed to be equipped with permanent ATR's so that;

$$
EF^{(sg)M}_{m} = EF^{(g)M}_{m}, \qquad m = 1, ..., 12; \qquad (4.16)
$$
  
\n
$$
EF^{(sg)D}_{d} = EF^{(g)D}_{d}, \qquad d = 1, ..., 7. \qquad (4.17)
$$

where  $EF^{(g)M}$ <sub>m</sub> and  $EF^{(g)D}$ <sub>d</sub>, respectively are determined from Equations (4.10) and (4.11).

For the *N<sub>MS</sub>* links on which 24-hour volumes are observed with a movable ground sensor and for which at least one satellite observation is obtained during the year  $-i.e.,$  the links in set **MS** - the 24-hour volumes (whether obtained from the ground sensor or estimated fiom the satellite observation) are expanded to an estimate of the annual average using the appropriate expansion factors and then averaged. That is:

$$
AADT^{(sg)} = (\sum_{\delta = \Delta g(l), \Delta g(l)+1} V^{(g)}_{l, \delta} * EF^{M}_{M(\delta)} * EF^{D}_{D(\delta)} + \sum_{\delta = \Delta g(l), ..., \Delta g(l)} V^{(s)}_{l, \delta} * EF^{M}_{M(\delta)} * EF^{D}_{D(\delta)} \t) (2 + l_l), l = N_P + l, ..., N_P + N_{MS}, \t(4.18)
$$

where the average is seen to be taken over the 2 ground-based observations and the *II*  satellite-based observations.

The **AADT's** for the set **M** of **links simulated** to have ground-based observations taken **from a** movable ground **sensor but** for which no satellite data are obtained **are** estimated from the ground-based data only **as** in Equation (4.12). When only considering groundbased data, Equation (4.12) was used to estimate  $\text{AADT's}$  for all  $N_{\text{MS}}+N_{\text{M}}$  links where ground-based **data** were obtained with movable sensors. The equation would **only** be **used**  for the  $N_{\mathcal{M}}$  links in set  $M$  when considering combined satellite-based and ground-based data. That is:

$$
AADT(sg)l = AADT(g)l, \t\t\t l = NP+NMS+1, ..., NP+NMS+NM, \t\t(4.19)
$$

where  $AADT^{(g)}$  *i* is determined in Equation (4.12).

 $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ 

 $\mathcal{P}$ 

The AADT's for the set *S* of links for which no ground-based **data** were simulated, but for which at least one satellite observation is obtained during the year are estimated **as** the average of the expanded satellite-based estimates of the 24-hour volumes. That is:

$$
AADT^{(sg)} = \sum_{\delta = \Delta s I(l), ..., \Delta s II(l)} V^{(s)}_{l, \delta} * EF^{M}_{M(\delta)} * EF^{D}_{D(\delta)} / I_{l},
$$
  

$$
I = N_{P} + N_{M} + N_{M} + I, ..., N_{P} + N_{M} + N_{M} + N_{S} \qquad (4.20)
$$

where the average is seen to be taken over the *I<sub>I</sub>* satellite-based observations.

Finally, **as** before, the AADT's of links for which no **data** are available - *i.* **e.,** the links in set **R** - **are** estimated **as** the arithmetic average of the estimated AADT's of the links for which some data have been simulated:

$$
AADT(sg)l = (\sum_{k=1, ..., NP+NMS+NM+NS} AADT(sg)k / (NP+NMS+NM+NS),\nI = NP+NMS+NM+NS+1, ..., N.
$$
\n(4.21)

When combining ground-based and satellite-based data, the VMT, now denoted VMT<sup>(sg)</sup>, is estimated as:

$$
VMT^{(sg)} = \sum_{l=1,...,N} d_l * AADT^{(sg)}.
$$
\n(4.22)

**A** listing of the traditional method estimation code is provided in Appendix D.

### *4.1.2.2* Model-Based *Estimaabn Method*

*Ground-based data only:* When **assuming** the log-normal error model **as** that which generates the link volumes, our model-based method uses a least squares approach to estimate AADT's. Unlike the traditional estimation method, the model-based model uses all observations to estimate the parameters of the model assumed to produce the observations.

Specifically, when using ground-only **data** the model-based method assumes that Equations (4.2) and (4.3) produce observed link volumes. Substituting Equation (4.2) into EQuation (4.3) **and** taking the natural logaritbm of **both** sides produces:

$$
\ln V^{(g)}_{l,\delta} = \ln AADT_l - \ln EF^{M}_{M(\delta)} - \ln EF^{D}_{D(\delta)} - \sigma^{(g)}^{2}/2 + \varepsilon^{(g)}_{l,\delta}
$$
\n(4.23a)

for the  $N_P$  links in set  $P$ , and

$$
\ln V^{(g)}_{l,\delta} = \ln AADT_l - \ln EF^{M}_{M(\delta)} - \ln EF^{D}_{D(\delta)} - \sigma^{(g)/2} + \varepsilon^{(g)}_{l,\delta},
$$
  

$$
\delta = \Delta g(l), \Delta g(l) + l; \quad l = N_P + l, ..., N_P + N_{MS} + N_M; \quad (4.23b)
$$

for the  $N_{MS}+N_M$  links in sets **MS** and **M**. These  $365N_P+2(N_{MS}+N_M)$  equations are used in a least squares routine to minimize the sum of the squares of the  $\varepsilon^{(g)}_{l,\delta}$  terms and produce estimates of the  $(N_P+N_M+N_M)$  *In AADT<sub>i</sub>*'s, the 12 *In EF<sup>M</sup><sub>M(* $\delta$ *)*</sub>'s, the 7 *In EF<sup>D</sup><sub>D(* $\delta$ *)*</sub>'s, and

 $\sigma^{(g)/2}$ . We denote the estimated values of the In AADT's by In *AADT*<sup>(g)</sup>. Unbiased AADT estimates  $AADT^{(g)}$  can be shown to be:

$$
AADT^{(g)} = \exp(\ln AADT^{(g)} - \tau_1^2/2), \qquad l=1,\dots, N_P + N_{MS} + N_M; \qquad (4.24)
$$

where  $\tau_l^2$  is the (estimated) variance of the In *AADT*<sup>(g)</sup>' estimate.

Unbiased estimates  $AADT^{(g)}$  of the AADT's on the  $N_S+N_R$  links where no ground data were obtained can similarly be shown to be:

$$
AADT^{(g)} = \exp(\langle \ln AADT^{(g)} \rangle_{\xi} > \xi = 1, \dots, NP + NMS + NM} - \text{Var}\langle \ln AADT^{(g)} \rangle_{\xi} > \xi = 1, \dots, NP + NMS + NM/2),
$$
\n
$$
l = N_P + N_M + N_M + 1, \dots, N; \tag{4.25}
$$

where  $\langle \ln$  *AADT*<sup>(g)</sup>  $\cdot_{\xi} >_{\xi=1,\dots,N}$  *N*+ $\cdot$ *NMS*+*NM* and  $Var\langle \ln$  *AADT*<sup>(g)</sup>  $\cdot_{\xi} >_{\xi=1,\dots,N}$  *NP*+*NMS*+*NM*  $\cdot$ respectively, represent the arithmetic average and variance of the average of the estimated "ln AADT's" for the links in sets **P, MS,** and **M** output from the least squares routine.

The estimated VMT using ground data only  $VMT^{(g)}$  is then computed as:

**I** 

 $\mathcal{Z}$ 

 $VMT^{(g)} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} I_{l} = N \ d_{l} \cdot (4.26)$ 

where the  $\widehat{AADT}^{(g)}$  values are determined from Equations (4.24) or (4.25), and the  $d_l$ values were generated in the simulation program.

*Combined satellite-based andground-based data:* When assuming the log-normal error model and combining satellite and ground **data,** the model-based method parallels that described when using ground-based data alone and assuming the log-normal error model. Equations (4.2) and (4.3) are again assumed to produce 24-hour link volumes that are observed by ground-based sensors, and Equations (4.2) and (4.4) are assumed to produce 24-hour estimated link volumes derived from satellite observations. Therefore, in addition to Equations (4.23a) and (4.23b), the satellite-based **data can** be used with Equations (4.2) and (4.4) *to* produce:

$$
\ln V^{(s)}_{l,\delta} = \ln AADT_l - \ln EF^{M}_{M(\delta)} - \ln EF^{D}_{D(\delta)} - \sigma^{(s)2}/2 + \varepsilon^{(s)}_{l,\delta},
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta = \Delta s_l(l), ..., \Delta s_{ll}; \qquad l = N_P + l, ..., N_P + N_{MS};
$$
  
\n
$$
N_P + N_{MS} + N_M + l, ..., N_P + N_{MS} + N_M + N_S; \quad (4.27)
$$

The  $365N_p + 2(N_{MS} + N_M)$  equations associated with the ground-based data (Equations  $(4.23a)$  and  $(4.23b)$ ) and the  $\sum_{l=N P+1,...,N P+NMS} (I_v) + \sum_{l=N P+NMS+NM+1,...,N P+NMS+NM+NS} (I_v)$ equations associated with the satellite-based **data** (Equations (4.27)) are used in a weighted least squares routine (Chambers and Hastie, 1992) to minimize the (weighted) sum of the squares of the  $\epsilon^{(g)}_{l,\delta}$  and  $\epsilon^{(s)}_{l,\delta}$  terms to produce estimates of the  $(N_P + N_{MS} + N_M +$ *N<sub>S</sub>*) In *AADT<sub>i</sub>*'s, the 12 In  $EF^{M}_{M(\delta)}$ 's, the 7 In  $EF^{D}_{D(\delta)}$ 's,  $\sigma^{g/2}/2$ , and  $\sigma^{g/2}/2$ . (The weights used in the routine are inversely proportional to the variances  $\sigma^{(g)2}$  and the  $\sigma^{(g)2}$ , which are

assumed to be known **as** inputs for the routine in this preliminary work. In reality, these variances would be unknown - indeed, they are estimated in the routine, **as** seen in Equations **(4.23)** and **(4.27).** A process could be developed that iterates until the variances assumed when dete the routine.) .. g the input weights are close to those that are estimated from

We now denote the estimated values of the  $\ln$  AADT's by  $\ln$  AADT<sup>(sg)</sup> to indicate that both satellite and ground **data** have been used in this estimate. Similar to what we did above, we form the unbiased **AADT** estimates **as:** 

$$
AADT(sg)l = \exp(ln AADT(sg)'l - \taul2/2), \qquad l=1,..., NP+NMS+NM+NS; \qquad (4.28)
$$

 $\lambda$ 

**I** 

\

I

where  $\tau_l^2$  is, again, the (estimated) variance of the estimated In *AADT*<sup>(sg)</sup>;

The unbiased estimates of the AADT's on the  $N_R$  links in set  $\underline{\mathbf{R}}$  where no ground data were obtained are, then: we also as the contract of the set of the set of the set of the set of  $\mathcal{A}$ 

$$
AADT^{(sg)} = \exp(\langle ln \, AADT^{(sg)} \rangle_{\xi} >_{\xi=1,\dots,NP+NMS+NM+NS} - \nabla \ar \langle ln \, AADT^{(sg)} \rangle_{\xi} >_{\xi=1,\dots,NP+NMS+NM+NS}/2),
$$
\n
$$
l = N_P + N_{MS} + N_M + N_S + 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.29)
$$

where  $\langle In$   $AADT^{(sg)} \rangle_{\xi \geq \xi = 1,..., N}$   $NP+NMS+NM+NS$  and  $Var(\langle In$   $AADT^{(sg)} \rangle_{\xi \geq \xi = 1,..., N}$   $NP+NMS+NM+NS$ , respectively, represent the arithmetic average and variance of **the** average of estimated "log **AADT's"** for the links in sets **P, MS, M,** and **S** output from the least squares routine.

The estimated VMT using combined satellite and ground data  $VMT^{(sg)}$  is then computed **as:** 

$$
VMI^{(sg)} = \sum_{l=1,...,N} d_l * AADT^{(sg)}.
$$
\n(4.30)

where the  $AADT^{sg}$  values are determined from Equations (4.28) or (4.29), and the  $d_1$ values were generated in the simulation program.

We developed but did not implement the underlying theory of the methodology for model-based estimation when assuming volumes were generated from a Poisson distribution. That is, when assuming Poisson generation, we only used the traditional model.

A listing of the model-based estimation code is presented in Appendix E.

#### **4.2 Numerical Study**

We ran our simulation program for several sets of input values. In all cases, we considered a network with  $N = 100$  links and  $N_p = 3$  links; *i.e.*, we assumed that 3% of the links were equipped with permanent **ATR's,** a percentage roughly equal to that in the Ohio Department of Transportation system. We generated the link lengths  $d_l$  from a

truncated normal distribution with  $\mu_d = 1.5$ ,  $\sigma_d = 1.0$ , and  $d_{min} = 0.3$ , and the true link AADT's from a uniform distribution with  $AADT_{min} = 10,000$  vehicles and  $AADT_{max} =$ *90,000* vehicles (see Section 4.1 and [Table 4.1\).](#page-49-0) We set the variance of the error-term of Equation (4.3)  $\sigma^{2(g)} = 0.04$  and the satellite repeat period at  $T^R = 18.25$  days.

We considered different numbers of movable ground sensors, variance of the error term associated with satellite data in Equation (4.4), and number of links imaged by the satellite per repeat period. Specifically, we considered combinations of  $N_M = 0$ , 12, 25, 38, 50;  $\sigma^{2(s)} = 0.04$ , 0.16, 0.36, and  $N^f = 5$ , 10, 15. In McCord *et al.* (1995) we estimated that a 1-m resolution satellite would be capable of imaging roughly 0.5% of the links in the continental United States per **day.** This percentage accounts for the fact that images could not be obtained in cloudy conditions or at nighttime. Therefore, a 1-m sensor on a satellite platform would be capable of imaging *365\*0.5%* of the *N=IOO* network links per year. Since the satellite is assumed to image  $N^I$  links each of the  $365/T^R$  times per year it repeats its coverage of the region, we *can* consider the "equivalent satellite coverage" ESC **as:** 

$$
ESC = N' * (365 / T^R) / (365 * 0.005 * N) = 200 * (N^J/N) / T^R
$$
  
= 200 \* (N<sup>J</sup>/100) / 18.25 = N<sup>J</sup> / 9.125. (4.31)

This equivalent satellite coverage represents the fraction of data from a 1-m resolution sensor equivalent to that which would be produced with the assumed  $N'$  and  $T''$  values. For example,  $N = 5$  links would correspond to using roughly half *(i.e., ESC =* **5/9.Z254.5)** of the **data** p;oduced from a **1-m** sensor on a **satellite** platform.

į.

We summarize these input parameters and the expansion factors used in [Table](#page-49-0) **4.1.** 

For each set of input values, we ran the simulation-generation program 100 times, simulating 100 independent replications of a one-year analysis **period.** Each run produced for each link *I* one **true** AADT, one AADT estimated when **using** the ground-based data only, and one **AADT** estimated fiom combined ground-based and satellite-based data. *As*  above, we denote these values  $AADT<sub>b</sub>$ ,  $AADT<sup>(g)</sup><sub>b</sub>$ , and  $AADDT<sup>(g)</sup><sub>b</sub>$ , respectively. We formed the relative **AADT** error for link *I* for **each** simulation run *r* when either using groundbased data only or when combining satellite-based data with ground-based data as **mean** squared relative error in AADT across all links for a given simulation run **as** *r:*   $(AADT^{(1)}_{1r} - AADT_{1r}) / AADT_{1r}$ ,  $\overline{S} = \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\overline{S}$ ,  $\overline{S}$ ;  $I = 1, ..., N$ ;  $r = 1, ..., 100$ ; and the root

RMSREaad<sup>(.)</sup><sub>r</sub> = (
$$
\sum_{l=1,...,N}
$$
 ((AADT<sup>(.)</sup><sub>l,r</sub> - AADT<sub>l,r</sub>) / AADT<sub>l,r</sub>)<sup>2</sup>)<sup>0.5</sup>,  
\n( $\sum_{l=1}^{N} S_{l,r} = 1, ..., 100$ ; (4.32a)

From these 100 values, we formed the average of the root mean squared relative errors across all runs **as:** 

$$
ARMSREaadt(.) = \Sigma_{r=1,\dots,100} RMSREaadt(.) r / 100, \qquad \qquad ^{(.)} = ^{(8)}(98). (4.32b)
$$

<span id="page-49-0"></span>

*I* 

I

/-

i

 $\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2}}$ 

, **-s**  *I*  I

. . . . -. .. .

# Table 4.1 Values of input parameters used in simulation-estimation runs.

We used the generated link lengths to estimate the true VMT, the VMT estimated when using ground-based data **only,** and the VMT estimated when combining satellite-based with ground-based data **as** in Equations (4. l), (4.14), and **(4.22)-** Somewhat **similar** to what we did in summarizing the AADT errors, we formed the relative VMT error for a given run *r* and the average relative errors across all runs, respectively, **as:** 

$$
REvm^{(1)} = |VMT_r - VMT^{(1)}_r| / VMT_r, \qquad {}^{(1)} = {}^{(g)}S_r; r = 1, ..., 100; \qquad (4.33a)
$$

$$
AREvm^{(1)} = \sum_{r=1,\dots,100} REvm^{(1)} r / 100 , \qquad {}^{(1)} = {}^{(8)}S
$$
 (4.33b)

In Figures 4.1a-4. IC, we graph the average **AADT** errors *AMREaadt* of Equation  $(4.32b)$  as a function of the number of movable ground sensors  $M$  when using only ground-based data (solid curve) and when combining satellite-based and ground-based &(dashed curves). In these figures, the abscissa portrays **the** number of moveable gound sensors **as** a proportion of theN=lOO **links** in the network. That is, an abscissa value of 0.2, for example, is obtained from  $M/N = 20/100$ . The results in these figures were produced using the log-normal generation and traditional estimation programs. We present results for equivalent satellite coverage ESC approximately **equal** to **0.5** satellites  $(i.e., N' = 5)$  in Figure 4.1a, 1.0 satellite  $(i.e., N' = 10)$  in Figure 4.1b, and 1.5 satellites  $(i.e., N' = 15)$  in Figure 4.1c.

f

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

The different **curves** for the combined satellite-based and ground-based data represent the use of different variances of the error term in the satellite-based information. As mentioned above, we held  $\sigma^{2(g)} = 0.04$  for all runs. The lowest combined satellite-based and ground-based data curves in the figures were produced with  $\sigma^{2(s)} = 0.04$ , representing a case where the 24-volume estimates from the satellite data would be **as** good **as** those obtained fiom ground sensors. This would be an unrealistic case, but it serves as a lower bound on the combined satellite- and ground-data case. The middle and highest combined satellite-based and ground-based data curves were produced with  $\sigma^{2(s)} = 0.16$  and  $\sigma^{2(s)} = 0.36$ , respectively. As mentioned above, an appropriate relation between  $\sigma^{2(s)}$  and  $\sigma^{2(g)}$  is unknown at this time, and deter unknown at this time, and dete g such a relation would require future research. Still, we note that when  $\sigma^{2(s)} = 0.36$  the variance of the error term used in producing satellitebased estimates would be nine times that of the error term used in producing the groundbased volumes, which could be considered a large increase.

In Figures 4.la-4. IC, we see that all the curves produced when combining satellite-based and ground-based data lie entirely below the curve produced when using **only** groundbased data. (The ground-based data only curve is the same in the three figures, since the figures differ only in the amount of equivalent of satellite coverage.) More specifically, even when covering up to *50%* of the links **per year** with movable sensor (Proportion of movable **ATR's** - 0.50) and when using the equivalent of only one-half of available satellite data (Figure 4.1a), using satellite data markedly decreases AADT error from that produced when using ground-based data only, even when the error associated with scaling up the satellite snapshot to a 24-hour volume is considered high  $\sigma^{2(s)} = 0.36$ .

Note also that the error associated with using only ground-based data when the proportion of movable ground sensors is 0.50 (50% of the N links) is greater than that associated with combined satellite-based and ground-based **data** when the proportion of moveable ground sensors is 0.12 (12% of the *N* links), even in the  $\sigma^{2(s)} = 0.36$  case and when using only half the available satellite data (Figure 4.1a). Since we are considering a time period of one year, a 0.12 proportion of movable ground sensors represents a scenario in which all the links of the network would be covered with movable counts

Root mean squared relative error in AADT estimates: Traditional estimation



sensors and variance in satellite-based estimates  $\sigma^{(s)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (log-normal generation; traditional estimation method),

 $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ 

Root mean squared relative error in AADT estimates: Traditional estimation

 $\frac{d\vec{r}}{dt}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\omega$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\dot{\underline{\alpha}}$ 



sensors and variance in satellite-based estimates  $\sigma^{(s)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (log-normal generation; traditional estimation method).

 $\vec{r}$ 

Root mean squared relative error in AADT estimates: Traditional estimation



sensors and variance in satellite-based estimates  $\sigma^{(s)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and Figure 4.1 Average root-mean-squared relative errors in AADT - ARMSREaadt - as a function of proportion of movable ground ground-based data (log-normal generation; traditional estimation method).

approximately every eight years, whereas a 0.50 proportion represents one in which the network would be completely covered with movable counts every two years. According to these results, then, incorporating satellite **data** into the estimation of AADT's would allow ground crews to operate on an 8-year cycle and still produce better estimates than if they operated on a 2-year cycle without satellite **data,** even when there is great variability in scaling up satellite snapshots to 24-hour volume estimates. Fewer DOT resources would be required for an 8-year cycle *(i.e., the "with satellite data" scenario)* than for a 2year cycle *(i.e., the "without satellite data" scenario).* 

In Figure 4.2 we graph the average relative VMT errors *AREvrnt's* of Equation (4.33b) for equivalent satellite coverage of 1.0. Again, we *see* that the combined satellite-based and ground-based **data** curves lie below the ground-based only **data** curve. From the figure, we **see** again that the error when a proportion of 0.12 of the **links** is covered with movable ground sensors on the  $\sigma^{2}(s) = 0.36$  combined satellite-based and ground-based **data** curve is no worse than the error at a 0.50 proportion on the ground-based **data** only curve. That is, covering the links of the network with movable ground sensors on **an** 8 year cycle when incorporating satellite **data** would lead to VMT estimates that are as accurate on average as those produced when covering the network on a 2-year cycle when not using the satellite **data,** even when scaling up satellite snapshots to 24-hour estimates is very "noisy" (high  $\sigma^{2(s)}$ ).

In Figure 4.3 we graph the average VMT errors *ARMSREvmt* of Equation (4.33b) when using the traditional estimation method, but when assuming that volumes are generated **fiom a** Poisson distribution. We again graph as a function of the number of movable ground sensors Mwhen using only ground-based **data** (solid curve) and when combining satellite-based and ground-based **data** (dashed curve). Since there is only one parameter of the Poisson distribution (the mean), we cannot parameterize the simulation by variances, as in the log-normal case. Therefore, there is only one curve for the combined satellite-based and ground-based **data** estimation.

Under this different set of assumptions (Poisson generation) the value of the satellite **data**  in reducing the error in **AADT** estimation is again strikingly apparent. The curve produced when combining the satellite-based **data** with the ground-based **data** lies below that produced when **using** only the ground-based **data. Again,** covering the network with ground-based counts on an 8-year cycle when coupled with satellite **data** produced better results than covering the network on a 2-year cycle **without** satellite **data.** 

Ø

We also investigated the improvements **that** would stem fiom using the model-based estimation procedure with the log-normal generation assumption (see Section 4.1.1.1). *As*  we did in Figures 4.1a-4.1c, we plot in Figures 4.4a-4.4c the average AADT errors *AWREuadt* of Equation (4.32b) **as** a function of the proportion of movable ground sensors when using only ground-based **data** (solid curve) and when combining satellitebased and ground-based **data** (dashed curves). Whereas the results in Figure 4.1a-4. IC were produced when using the traditional estimation method, the results graphed in Figures 4.4a-4.4c were produced when using the model-based method.

Absolute relative error in VMT estimate: Linear model estimation



based estimates  $\sigma^{(e)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (linear model generation; traditional estimation method).

 $\frac{4}{3}$ 

Absolute relative error in V T estimate: Traditional estimation



Absolute relative error in VMT estimate: Poisson generation



using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (Poisson generation; traditional estimation Figure 4.3 Average root mean squared relative errors in VMT AREvmt as a function of proportion of movable ground sensors when method; equivalent satellite coverage  $ESC = 1.0$ ).

Ş

Absolute relative error in VMT estimate: Poisson generation

Á

Ż



using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (Poisson generation; traditional estimation method.

Absolute relative error in VMT estimate: Poisson generation



using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and ground-based data (Poisson generation; traditional estimation

 $\frac{8}{3}$ 

Root mean squared relative error in AADT estimates: Model based estimation

 $\Delta$ 

š

Ż

þ,



Figure 4.4 Average root mean squared relative errors in AADT ARMSREaadt as a function of number of proportion of ground sensors and variance in satellite-based estimates  $\sigma^{l(s)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and groundbased data (log-normal generation; model-based estimation method).

<u>ဇ္</u>

Root mean squared relative error in AADT estimates: Model based estimation



Figure 4.4 Average root mean squared relative errors in AADT ARMSREaadt as a function of number of proportion of ground sensors and variance in satellite-based estimates  $\sigma^{(e)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and groundbased data (log-normal generation; model-based estimation method).

Root mean squared relative error in AADT estimates: Model based estimation

ž

Ø



Figure 4.4 Average root mean squared relative errors in AADT ARMSREaadt as a function of number of proportion of ground sensors and variance in satellite-based estimates  $\sigma^{(s)}$  when using only ground-based data and when combining satellite-based and groundhased data (hog-normal generation; model-based estimation method),

The results in this set of figures again show that adding satellite **data** is markedly reduces estimation errors even in the high variance  $(\sigma^{2(s)}=0.36)$  case and when using only half the satellite **data** *(ESC* - **0.5).** Once again, lower average error is produced **from** covering the network with ground counts on an 8-year cycle with only half the satellite data *(ESC = 0.5)* than on a 2-year cycle without satellite **data,** even in the **hgh** variance case (see Fig. 4.4a).

**A** comparison of the Figures 4.4a-4.4~ curves to their counterparts in Figures 4.la-4.1c shows that our model-based estimation method improved on the traditional estimation method. The improvement was most pronounced when using ground-based **data only** and seemed least pronounced for the combined satellite-based and ground-based **data** curves with high variance in the satellite error term  $\left(\sigma^{2(s)}=0.36\right)$  with the highest satellite coverage *(ESC=l.5).* 

We also note that the  $\sigma^{2(s)}$ -0.36 combined satellite-based and ground-based data curve produced when **using** the traditional estimation method **has** smaller errors than the **Example 2018 Level III 2018 Level III** 2018 **Level 2 2018 Level 2 2018 Level 2 2018 Level 2 Level 2** That is, even when the satellite-based **data** are "noisy," using these noisy **data** with an inferior (traditional) estimation method decreases AADT estimation errors more than using a better (model-based) estimation method without the data,. **using** a better (model-based) estimation method without the **data,.** 

I

i

The errors graphed in Figures 4.4a-4.4c are based on averages over 100 replications of a one-year analysis period. In Appendix F, we present **scatter** plots of the **100** paired (traditional method *vs.* model-based method) *WREaadt* values of Equation (4.32a) when **using only** ground-based **data** and when combining the **satellite-based** and **ground**based data at various  $\sigma^{2(s)}$  values for 0.25 (M=25) and 0.50 (M=50) proportion of links covered with moveable ground-based sensors, and at **ESC=l.J.** Comparing the results of Figures 4.4a-4.4c to Figures 4.1a-4.1c, we saw that our model-based estimation method **performs** better on average **than** the traditional method. The scatter plots confirm that the model-based method does better than the traditional method in most individual replications. Still, there are many cases where the traditional method **outperforms** the model-based method, and we feel that future improvements could be made to our modelbased method.

#### **Section** *5.* **Summary and Future Work**

In this report, we documented progress on three issues that would need to be addressed before high-resolution satellite imagery could be used to complement traffic monitoring programs:

- demonstrating that vehicles can be identified and classified accurately from real satellite imagery;
- developing efficient image processing methods;
- dete g methods to integrate the imagery with ground-based data and assessing the value of this integration.

Although substantial progress **has** been made, we feel that further work is needed in each of these areas.

We have been developing a methodology **to** compare vehicle classifications obtained from satellite images with those obtained **from** traditional ground counts and writing software that would automate much of the analysis. The results of field tests designed to demonstrate the methodology, where we used scanned aerial photographs to simulate satellite imagery, were encouraging and instructive.

When high-resolution satellite data becomes available, the methodology we have been developing should be applied to show that vehicles could in fact be identified and classified in hgh-resolution satellite imagery. Because of the different **types** of data data obtained over space at **an** instant of time in the images, and data obtained over time at a point in space in the ground data - discrepancies *can* occur between the two classifications. These discrepancies can **occur** even if every vehicle is correctly identified and classified in the satellite imagery. Therefore, we suggest that more work be devoted to reducing the **size** of this discrepancy and developing a **maximum** size of discrepancy that *can* be tolerated and still conclude **that** vehicles are being classified acceptably in the two data sets. **When** pl **g** for tests with real satellite **data,** additional thought will also have to be given to differences that *can* **arise** when using **real** satellite data. For example, thought should be given to differences in data format, the ease with which the appropriate highway segments can be identified in large area images, and an edge detection algorithm to efficiently determine the highway edge lines.

We are also encouraged by the progress made in our image processing approach. Specifically, we have developed a means to transform the steady-state *background* image of a highway segment to those of a *time t-image* that is to be analyzed for vehicles. **Our**  objective is to classify the subtracted pixel values of the two images into dynamic and static pixels, where the dynamic pixels would serve **as an** indication of movement attributed to vehicles. Experiments on simulated images and scanned aerial photographs

produced encouraging results and demonstrated the robustness of the results to prior estimates of traffic density, estimates required as input to our approach.

Future work would be necessary to develop, test, refine, and code the image processing algorithms we have been developing. Until now, we have used simulated images or scanned aerial photographs to serve **as** the steady-state background images of the highway pavement. In practice, we would expect that the background image would be constructed from a series of images taken over time. For example, the background image could be obtained by averaging images of a specific segment acquired at different times. Each time a new image is acquired, it would be combined with the present background to form **an** updated background image. Averaging the images should substantially reduce the contribution of the dynamic signals (principally, vehicles) after a sufficient number of observations, leaving a background image that corresponds almost entirely to **an** average of pavement signals. This averaging procedure could be tested using a series of satellite images when such images become available. Until then, a series of scanned aerial photographs or digital photographs of the same highway segment at different times could be used. This approach is motivated by an assumption that the dynamic (vehicle) signals are sufficiently few that they would be filtered out after averaging a few images. This should be the case on lower vehicle density highways. However, it is also necessary to determine **a** good procedure for constructing the background image on highways with higher vehicle densities.

**m** 

It appears that our transformation procedure is working well. Still, it should be tested more systematically **and** under a variety **of** conditions. It would be more efficient to conduct large-scale testing on simulated images, but some real images - either scanned aerial photographs, digital images taken fiom an aircraft, or real satellite images - should also be investigated to ensure reasonableness of the process generating the simulated images.

The transformation and subtraction procedure must also be integrated with a vehicle classification module. The classification module would operate on the pixels that received **a** sufficiently **high** probability **of** being dynarmc after subtracting the transformed background image fiom the time t-image. Decision rules *can* be used to determine whether groups of such dynarmc pixels constitute a vehicle or a nonvehicle object. If the group of pixels is identified **as** *a* vehicle, the group of pixels **must** then be classified by vehicle type. Previously, we developed rules to operate on a binary output of a thresholding procedure (Merry et *al.* **1996).** These rules worked well in conditions where vehicle shadows were pronounced. We feel that it will be possible to modify these rules to work well with our transformation and subtraction approach under a wider set of conditions, but other methods should **also** be investigated.

Further work is also warranted in determining the value that imagery **data** would add to traflic monitoring programs and to integrating these data with those obtained from ground sensors. We have been concentrating on estimating Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) and Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). Based on results produced **from** the

simulation and estimation programs we have developed, it appears that adding satellite data to ground-based data would improve the quality of the **AADT** and VMT estimates while requiring fewer ground personnel to collect ground-based traffic counts.

These encouraging results were obtained even when using methods similar to those traditionally employed, methods that were not designed to take advantage of the two different types of data. **Our** first attempt at "model-based" methods improved the estimates further. However, we expected to see greater improvement with the modelbased method, and we therefore feel that this method can be refined in the future. *Also,*  the method should be investigated for robustness to data that are not entirely compatible with the assumed model. More radically different methods should also be investigated for combining ground-based and image-based data more effectively - for example, methods that take advantage of spatial correlation in the traffic patterns that can be observed in the satellite images.

We also feel that slight modifications in the generation and estimation software we have developed would produce powerful tools for investigating other questions. For example, this **type** of software could be used to identrfy temporal patterns in traffic flows that lead to especially large or small additional value that could be contributed by the satellite data. Such knowledge would ultimately be useful in deciding which **highway** segments to target with pointable satellite sensors. The software could also be used to assess the relative effectiveness of ground-based sampling patterns when using satellite data. **This**  information could then be used to design sampling strategies in state Departments of Transportation **(DOT'S),** or other agencies interested in estimating AADT and VMT.

**Y** 

In addition, other issues not addressed in this study should be investigated if satellite imagery is to be incorporated in traffic monitoring or other transportation programs. For example, institutional issues associated with obtaining data in standard formats on a long-term and reliable basis, preprocessing these data, making them accessible to state DOT's, and having the **DOT'S** integrate them into their operations would need to be addressed. Moreover, exploring the use of the imagery data to identify parameters other than **AADT** or VMT seems ted. For example, the image data could be useful in developing classes for volume or weight samples, targeting resources for speed studies, detecting **high** truck volumes on alternative routes to those **passing** open weigh stations,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ or calibrating flow prediction models.

## **References Cited**

American Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 1996. "Land satellite information in the next decade - The World Under a Microscope," Executive Summary, American Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Bethesda, Maryland, 72 **p.** 

Castelman, K.R., 1996. *Digital Image Processing,* Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.

Chambers, J.M., and J. Trevor J. Hastie [e&.], 1992. *Statistical Models, S.* **Wadsworth**  and Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, California.

McCord, **M.R.,** C.J. Merry and J.D. Bossler, 1995a. The feasibility of traffic data collection using satellite imagery, Final Report to Federal Highway Administration, The Ohio **State** University, Research Foundation: Columbus, Ohio, April, 208 p.

McCord, M.R, C.J. Merry, X.D. Sun and F. Jafar, 1995b. Resolution effects on vehicle counts and classification through remote sensing, Journal *of the Transportation Research Forum*, 35(1):41-52

i,

I

McCord, MR, C.J. Merry and P. Goel, 1998. Incorporating satellite imagery in traffic monitoring programs, **Proceedings** of the North American Travel Monitoring Exhibition and Conference (NATMEC '98), Charlotte, North Carolina, 11-15 May, 18 p.

McShane, W.R. and R.P. Roess, 1990. *Traffic Engineering*, Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 660 p.

Merry, C.J., M.R. McCord, J.D. Bossler, F. Jafar, L.A. Peréz, 1996. Feasibility of using simulated satellite data coordinated with traffic ground counts, Final **Report** to Federal **Highway** Administration, The **Ohio State** University, Research Foundation: Columbus, Ohio, August, 80 p. **College** 

U.S. Department of **Transportation,** 1992. **Traffic** Monitoring Guide, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Highway Information Management, FWWA-PL92-017, October, **Washington,** DC.

**Appendix A. Description of the Software Code for Computing Traffic Measures** 

# **Introduction**

We developed software that computes traffic measures at a location on the highway during a time interval from a snapshot of the highway. We called this software COUNT. The basic input data for COUNT are the highway **axis,** vehicle records, count location, and the time interval during which the measures are to be computed. The output data are traffic measures during **this** time interval at the specified location. Additionally, this software has the capability **to** compute the maximum time interval allowed by the highway limits for extracting traffic measures. COUNT is written in **FORTRAN** and is complied and linked using a FORTRAN-77 compiler on a workstation platform. It *can*  easily be adapted to any other FORTRAN compiler or other platforms.

In **this** chapter we describe the input data required by **this** software, the output, and the code of the software. The next section describes the input and output data and gives examples of the data format. The following sections describe the various modules of the program.

#### **Software Input Data**

In this section we describe the input data for COUNT and provide examples to illustrate these data. The data format described is that read by the version of COUNT used at the time of **this** writing. This version is the one described in here. All the components of the data must be included as input to COUNT; however, the format and order of the components can be changed. The modules that read the input data may be modified to read the input in different formats. **Thus,** the input format would have to be changed to fit the requested input format by that version.

In **this** section, we first explain the highway **axis** data, then the vehicle record data, the highway count data, and the highway limit data.

#### Highway *Axis Data*

Highway **axis** data are **used as** an axial reference for all the vehicle locations on the highway at different times. The Euclidean distance computed using the coordinates of two locations would determines the straight line distance between these two locations on the highway. However, distances on highways are not necessarily straight. For example, a vehicle does not travel in a straight line when navigating a horizontal curve. The highway geometry can be represented by the highway **axis.** The **axis** is a linear feature of the highway. We found it useful to have this axis correspond to the inner edge of road pavement. In this research, we refer to this highway inner edge **axis as axis** for simplicity.

Highway axis data **used** in this software are a highway datum point and the digitized highway axis coordinates. The datum point is an arbitrary distance corresponding to the first point of the axis. It could, for example, be the linear distance from a **known-** landmark on the road to the point, the mile marker distance of the point, or any other arbitrary distance specified. The coordinates of each digitized point are denoted  $(xa_i,ya_i)$ , where  $xa_i$ refers to the xa coordinate of the ith digitized point and ya; refers to the ya coordinate of the ith point **on** the **axis.** These coordinates could be given with reference to any coordinate system, but the digitized axis coordinates for one highway segment should refer to the same coordinate system and datum.

**This** version of **COUNT** assumes that the datum point is given in **units** of meters because **this** software is set to process images with resolution given in Metric units. Figure A-1 shows **an** example of **a** highway **axis** input data file corfesponding to the images shown in Figure A-2. The first line in **this** data is the datum point distance, which was arbitrarily set to a value of 2000. If desired, the real mile marker distance could have been used as the reference distance for the datum point. We choose the datum value to be some distance greater *tban* zero so that if **an** extension beyond the beginning of the highway axis is extended by some **distance** from the starting end, the axis distance in the extended part of the **axis** will remain positive. We explain **this** aspect in more detail when we **talk** about the highway **axis** module.

*Axis* coordinate **data** *start* on the second line in Figure A-1. **This** line contains the coordinates of the datum point **of** the highway axis whose arbitrary distance was given in the first line. In this example, the point-at  $xa = 1087$  and  $ya = 6106$  is 2000 m from some datum. The coordinates **of** the following points along the axis follow in order.

**Contractor** 

.<br>Seriya mir bir di hatir

 $\Delta \sim 10^{-11}$ 

 $\sim 2.5$ 

**A2** 

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\sim 10^7$ 

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) \left( \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right)$ 

 $\sim 10^{-10}$  km  $^{-1}$ 

 $\sim 8.5\%$ 

,

## Vehicle Record Data

Location and time **data** for imaged vehicles are also required as input for this software. Using the location of a vehicle on an image, the time when the vehicle was imaged at that location, the location of the count point, and the speed of the vehicle, the time when the vehicle would pass the count point is estimated. (Count point is where vehicles are to be estimated to pass during the time interval of interest.) Using time and location records of a vehicle in two consecutive images, the average speed of the vehicle when traveling between these two locations *can* be estimated.

I

I

I

i.

Each vehicle observed in **an** image receives a record. Records of vehicles in different vehicle classes are saved in separate lists. The version of COUNT described here only considers two classes of vehicles, large vehicles and small vehicles. For simplicity we refer to them **as** trucks and cars in this research. **Thus,** the vehicle records are **sorted** into **two**  lists, one list for **cars** and one list for trucks. **A** record contains information that identifies the vehicle with **an** integer identity number, locates it in the coordinate system through its **x** and **y** coordinates, and indicates when the vehicle was at the given location with a time stamp.

A vehicle that is imaged more than once will have more than one record. However, the integer identification number would be the Same for different records corresponding to this vehicle. Identifying the same vehicle at different locations in different images leads to velocity estimates **of** the vehicle. The velocity is estimated as the **distance** traveled **between** the image when the vehicle **was** at these locations.

Vehicle coordinates are the coordinates of the vehicles located with reference to the coordinate system used for the highway **axis.** The vehicle coordinates are referenced by  $(x^v_i, y^v_j)$ , where  $x^v_i$  represents the x coordinate of the jth vehicle and  $y^v_i$  represents the y coordinate of the jth vehicle. The time when the vehicle is seen at the specified location  $(x^{\nu}j, y^{\nu}j)$  is the time when the vehicle was imaged at these coordinates.

**To** illustrate, consider the vehicle record data in Figure A-3. The first line in the file is a **<sup>1</sup>** to indicate that the following **are** records of cars, which are identified **as** class **1** of vehicles in **this study.** The first line in the records of cars contains the record of a vehicle that is identified **as** car **4.** The following two numbers are the **x** and **y** coordinates of the location of **this** *car.* The last number in the record is the time when this car **4** was at these coordinates, represented in h0urs:minutes:seconds. **This** line indicates that car **4** was at  $x=1018$  and  $y = 5846$  at time 10:54:31. The second line contains the records of vehicle 5. **This** record indicates that vehicle *5* was at **x=1017** and **~5828** at **10:54:31.** Line **7**  contains the records of car 11, which indicates that car 11 was at  $x=921$  and  $y=5451$  at **10:54:3** 1. Lines **17** and **24 also** contain records of car 1 1. However, these records correspond to car **11** being imaged at times **10:54:36** and **10:54:41,** respectively. Line **27 has** the values **(-1,** -1, **-1,** -1, -1, **-1).** This **is** the indictor for the end of car data. The next

line contains a 2, which indicates that the following data are data records of trucks, the record category of vehicles in this study. The truck data are arranged in the same format **as** the car data. Like the car **data,** the last line has the values **(-1,** -1, -1, **-1,** -1, -l), which indicate the end of the data in this class. If more vehicle classes are eventually used, then class numbers can be added. The module that reads the data would have to be modified to read data of more classes. We will indicate the lines code where this module needs to be modified to read more data when we explain the modules in the following sections.

Vehicle data are listed in order of the time when the images were taken. The records of the vehicles imaged at **an** earlier time are listed before the records of the vehicle imaged at a later time. The software assumes that the data are arranged in this time ascending format in the input data file. Ifvehicles are not arranged in **an** ascending order, we could write a module to rearrange it in **this** ascending format.

## *Highway Count Data*

To compute level of service measures at a location, the software requires highway parameters, count location **data,** and count interval. Highway parameters are the number of lanes of the highway and the passenger car equivalent of trucks. The number of lanes of the highway must be recorded for the specific highway at the given location. **The**  passenger *car* equivalent of a truck is also predefined for the specific highway depending on the terrain of the highway at the specific location. (Highway terrain is classified as level, rolling, or mountainous, and each type of terrain has a different passenger car equivalent of trucks for different highway class **(see** Highway Capacity Manual (TRB, **1997).)** Count location data consist **of** the **x and** y coordinates at the location on the highway where the traffic measures are estimated. (Traffic measures are estimated at *a*  point location on the highway to compare the measures estimated from the image data to the measures estimated from at ATR location at **this** point. **This** work was motivated in a large part by **our** desire to compare measures estimated from satellite data to those estimated from ATR data.) The time interval is the time during which traffic measures are computed at the count location. We denote the beginning of **this** time interval by t' and the end by  $t^2$ .

To illustrate, consider the example **of** highway count data in Figure A-4. These data correspond to the same highway for which the axis and vehicle data in Figures A-1 and A-**3** were obtained. The highway has three lanes (line **1) and has** a passenger *car* equivalent of trucks of 1.5 (line **2)** (The passenger *car* equivalent of **1.5** was **obtained** from Table **A-1**  of the HCM for level terrain. The three lanes **and 1.5** passenger car equivalent are entered to this input file manually.) The count location coordinates are  $(x=903, y=5393)$  and the time interval for the count begins at10:54:30 (line **5)** and ends at 10:55:00 (line 6).

### *Highway Location and Limit Data*

We mentioned earlier that the COUNT software has the capability to compute the largest time interval allowed by the highway limits for extracting measures. Given images of a
highway segment we can estimate traffic measures at any location on this highway. Time interval for computing these traffic measures is limited by the length of the highway segment imaged or by ramps. This software requires the limits of the highway and the count location **as** an input to compute the largest possible time interval for computing traffic measures. The highway location is defined **by** the x and **y** coordinates of the count location. Highway limit **data** include the farthest points **of** the highway that have been imaged. Figure **A-5** shows **an** example of count location and highway limit data. The first two lines present the coordinates  $(x=1011, y=5795)$  of the count location. The next two lines indicate the coordinates of the limits of the highway. For example, the first limit of the highway is at  $(x=1080, y=6077)$  and the other limit is at  $(x=947, y=5530)$ .

## **SOFTW MODULES**

In **this** section we describe the main program of the COUNT software and its various modules. We present the general logic in flowcharts **and** explain the code in detail. COUNT first reads the highway axis **from** input files described in the previous section and computes the linear distances of these points from the datum. It then reads the vehicle coordinate data from input files and projects the vehicle coordinates to locations along the highway axis defined by the highway axis coordinates. Then the software gives the user the option to compute traffic measures during a specified time interval at a specified location, **or** to compute the largest time interval possible for computing traffic measures at a specified location for given highway limits. If the user chooses to compute traffic measures during a specified time interval, the software requires the user to input the count location and count time interval. If the user asks the **software** to compute the time interval, the software requires the user to input the count location and the highway limits. Figure A-6 shows the general flowchart of this software.

#### *The Main Program*

The main program declares variables and calls modules. This program is listed in Appendix Al. Lines 4 through 63 in **this** listing declare the variables **used** in the program. Comment lines have been added to explain where each variable is first **used** in the program.

The main program first calls the module **CENTERLINE.** This module reads the highway **axis** data and computes the axial **distances** fiom the original data of the coordinates in the highway axis data file. The command to call **this** module is in line 66 of the **main** program listing found in Appendix A1. In line 67, the main program then calls MINMAX C, the module that **uses** the axial distance to find the minimum and maximum distance of the **axis**  coordinate point in the output from **CENTERLINE.** 

The main program then calls the VEHICLE, **LOG-VEH,** ORDER **WH,** DIRECTION, and SPEED modules to read the vehicle data and process them to determine the individual vehicle speeds and average speeds of cars and trucks. The commands to call these

**A5** 

modules and associated comment are in lines 68 through **100.** These are **12** command lines to call modules in these lines are only **12.** These **12** lines call **7** modules, *5* of which are called twice, once for cars and once for trucks. Some of the call command lines take more than one line of program list lines due to the large number of variables being passed to and from these modules and due to the length of the variable names. (Most of the command lines that call modules require more than one program list line and there are comment lines that explain the program within the command lines.)

Next the main program calls **CNT-TYPE,** the module that **asks** the user to choose between computing traffic measures during a time interval or computing the time interval for the given highway limits. It does this by asking the user to respond with **1** to compute traffic measures during a time interval and with **2** to compute the time interval for the given highway limits. **CNT-TYPE** also accepts the user's response. Depending on the user's choice, the main program calls different sets of modules. The flowchart in Figure A-6 depicts the options. Line **104** in Appendix **A1** is where the call is made to the module that gives the user the choice and reads the user's response. If the user chooses **"l",** the main module **calls** the.modules to compute the traffic measures for the given count location, and lines **106** through line **124** are processed. If the **user** chooses **"2",** it calls the modules that compute the time interval for given highway limits, and lines **126 through**  line **187** are processed.

### Highway *Axis Module*

The **CENTERLINE** module, which process the highway **axis** data to compute linear distances along **the axis,** is listed in lines **1** through **74** of Appendix *A2.* **This** modie reads the coordinates of the points **that** define the highway **axis** contained in Highway *Axis*  Data Input file and computes the distances of these points from the same reference datum **as** the first point in the file. The **x** and y coordinates of the points are saved in arrays **XC**  and **YC.** The distances at these **axis** points are saved in **an** array, **LOC-CL.** The coordinate values and the distance for a given point are saved at the *same* reference location in their respective arrays.

The **XC, YC, and LOG-CL arrays** are sized at the beginning of the main module and the highway **axis** module. The statement to declare the sizes of the arrays is found in line 6 of the main module (Appendix Al) and in line *5* of the highway axis module (Appendix *A2).*  Presently these arrays are sized to 800 spaces. If there are more than *800* points that define the highway **axis,** the statements to set the sizes of these arrays should be modified in these *two* arrays. (The FORTRAN compiler **used** to compile **this** software does not allow for dynamic allocation of memory and has problems with global variables. Therefore, we allocate **a** memory size for the **arrays** at the beginning in the main module. For the same reason we allocate the memory size at the beginning of each module for the arrays that are being used in that module.)

After reading the data and assigning distances to the coordinate points, the axes are extended at the edges and an extra point is added to each end of the highway axis. The axes are extended **so** that vehicles that lie around the beginning or end of the **axis** can be projected to the **axis.** This extension becomes important when the highway **axis** is at an angle with reference to the coordinate **axis** of the images. **(This** case is explained in more detail in the **LOG-VEH** module section.) The need to do **this** will become clear when we explain the method of assigning distances to vehicles with reference to the highway axis. **To** allow for these "extensions", the first place in each array is saved for the extension of the beginning of the highway **axis.** The extension at the end of the **axis** is saved in the place following the last point of the **axis.** 

**CENTERLINE** first **asks** the user for the name of the file that contains the centerline data in line 13 and accepts the user's response in line 14 (see Appendix *A2).* After reading the name of the file, the **CENTEXLINFi** module calls the command to open the file (line **16** of Appendix *A2).* If the file is opened with no problem, lines **26 through 68** are processed. Otherwise, a failure message is printed at line 70, and the entire program is terminated. When the file containing the **axis** data is opened, the value in the first line of the data file is read (line **26)** and saved in the second space in the array of centerline distances. As explained above, **this** number represents the distance from some exterior datum to the first **axis** point, the coordinates of which are listed in the second line of the **axis** data file. As mentioned above, the first space in the **LOG-CL** array is kept vacant to save the distance at the extended point of the **axis.** 

Next, **CENTERLINE** reads the coordinates of the highway **axis** points in a loop (lines **29**  through line **38** of Appendix *A2).* After reading the first line of the data file the loop starts. The x and **y** coordinates of each point are read and saved into arrays XC and **YC**  sequentially through **this** loop. While reading the data the module checks for invalid data. Any data other than numerical values are considered invalid. Alphanumeric characters or any other symbol characters in the **data** are considered invalid data. Similarly numerical data with more than one decimal point, for example **2.2.0** or **2.2.0.0** are considered invalid input. If any invalid data are read the program is terminated.

In addition *to* reading the data and checking for validity, the module checks for the end of file within the loop and **counts** the number of **axis** points. The number of **axis** points is used to define the size of the axis arrays to be used to save the data and to read data from. A counter is used to count the number of **axis** points and **this** counter increments by **1**  every time a new coordinate set is read. When the end of file is encountered the counter stops incrementing and the loop is terminated. These checks are performed through decision statements listed in lines 31 through *38.* 

When the loop is terminated *two* extra data records are added to the array. The first is added at the first location, and the second is added at the location following the last record in the array. These records are for the extension of the **axis.** The beginning of the axis is

extended by creating a point located at a distance from the first point of the axis data that is equal to three times the linear distance between the first two points of the input data. The end is also extended in a similar manner, **by** creating a point located at a distance from the last point of the axis that is equal to three times the linear distance between the last two points of the axis. The beginning of the axis is extended by adding **x** and y coordinates to the first space in the **arrays XC** and YC. **This** is done in lines **43** and **44 of** Appendix *A2.* The last point is extended by adding **x** and **y** coordinates to the spaces following those where the last point of **axis** had been saved. This is done in lines **47** and **48.** 

The distance read from the first line in the **axis data** input file was assigned to the second space in array LOG-CL because the coordinates of the point with this distance (i.e., the second line in the axis coordinate data file) are saved in the second spaces of arrays XC and YC. Given the coordinates of this point and those representing the extension of the axis explained above, the Euclidean distance of the extended chord is computed. **This**  distance is subtracted from the distance **of** the first **axis** point to yield the **distance** at the **extended first point of axis. The distance is saved in the LOG CL array in the first space.** The software then processes a loop (lines 61-68 in Appendix *A2),* beginning with the third point., that computes the distances of each point and saves them at the appropriate locations in the distance array, LOG-CL. The distances are determined by computing the Euclidean distance between each point and the previous point and adding this incremental distance to the cumulative distance of the previous point. The logic of this module is illustrated in the flowchart shown in Figwe A-7.

Within the same loop (lines **61-68)** the module **checks** for the largest distance in the x or **y**  direction between two consecutive points. **This** distance is used later in the module that computes the distance of vehicles along the road axis. The largest distance is assigned to a variable called DINC. The module initializes DINC to zero (line 10). Whenever, the loop increments to compute the distance at a point on the **axis,** the linear distance between the present point and the previous **axis** point is checked to determine if it is larger than DINC (lines *65* and 66). **E** the distance is larger than DINC, this distance value is assigned **to**  DINC. When the loop is terminated, the value of DINC is the largest difference in either **x**  or y direction between the coordinates of consecutive points. **This** value is saved and passed to the main program.

When completed, **CENTERL,INE** returns the control to the main program. It also returns the values of the **axis** coordinates, the distances along the **axis,** and **DINC** to the main module of the software. After completing the **CENTERLANE** module, the main program calls the MINMAX C module that determines the minimum and maximum values of the array LOG-CL. These values are needed in later modules. They are saved in variables CMIN and CMAX and passed to the main program.

**A8** 

### *Vehicle* Modules

à.

Ã

á

There are six modules that read vehicle data and process them to obtain vehicle speeds and then the average speed of each class of vehicles. We call these modules the vehicle modules. The first vehicle module is called only once. The other five are each called twice, once for processing car data and again for processing **truck data.** The first module, called VEHICLES, reads the *car* and truck data and saves them in **arrays.** The other five modules use these arrays to determine distance and speeds of cars and trucks. The flowchart shown in Figure **A-8** illustrates the order in which these modules are called. The first of these five modules is **LOG-VEH. This** module uses the vehicle data **arrays** and the centerline data to compute locations of vehicles, represented as distances, along the centerline. **This** module is called once for each class of vehicles, cars and trucks in **this**  research. Module ORDER-VEH is called next for each class of vehicles. **This** module sorts the vehicle data by their ID numbers and returns the vehicle data in the sorted format. After sorting the vehicle data the **DIRECTION** module is called. This module returns a value of **+1** for the variable DlRECT if the distances of the vehicles increase as they travel downstream, otherwise it returns a -1 for the value of the variable DIRECT; that is, a **+1** if the distances are measured in the direction of traffic flow and -1 if the distances are measured opposite to the direction of flow. This is important in computing the speeds of vehicles to ensure that the speed values are all positive. It is also important when estimating the times when vehicles pass the count location. We explain **this** in more detail when we explain the modules that estimate the time when vehicles pass the count location. Once the direction of the increase in the vehicle distances is determined, the **SPEED** module is called to compute the speeds of the vehicles. Again, SPEED is called once for each class of vehicles. After the speeds of individual vehicles have been computed, module **AVG-SP** is called. **This** module computes the average of all the speeds of the vehicles. It computes the average speeds of each class of vehicles separately and is called once for each class. The commands to call the vehicle modules are listed in lines 68 through 100 of Appendix **Al.** Next, we describe these modules in more detail.

**VEHICLES** Module. **This** module reads the data in the format explained in the VEHICLE **RECORD** DATA section. Every vehicle has a record for every time when it was imaged. **The** record contains the vehicle identification number, **x** and y coordinates of location of the vehicle, and the time when the vehicle was at that location.

The code for **this** module is listed in lines *76* through 132 of Appendix *A2.* This module first asks the user for the name of the file that contains the vehicle data (line 88). After reading the name of the file input by the user (line **89),** the module calls the command to open the file (line **91).** If the file is opened without problem, lines **94** through 129 are processed. Otherwise, a failure message is processed and printed (line **132),** and the program is terminated.

-- -

When the file is successfully opened the counters for cars and trucks are set to initial values of l(lines 95 and 96), and a loop to read the data is executed. (The counters are defined by variables **CRS** and TKS for cars and trucks, respectively.) One large loop (lines 98 through **124)** is executed once for each class of vehicles. **This** loop **starts** by reading the class of the vehicles and, depending on the value of the class, one of two smaller internal loops is executed. If the class is 1, the loop that reads the car data is executed (lines **102 through** 107), and if the class is **2,** the loop that reads the truck data is executed (lines 1 10 **through 1** 15).

The loop to read car data **starts by** reading the first *car* identification number, the **x** and y coordinates **of** the car location, **and** the time when **this** car **was** at **this** location. The time is given in a format consisting of three numbers that represent the hours, minutes, and seconds. The time is then converted to units of hours by calling module T\_CONV. The car data is saved in the space defined by the counter for cars, which **starts** with 1, in the arrays *CAR-ID,* **XCAR, YCAR,** and **CAR-TIME-ID.** The values saved in these arrays are the car identification number,  $x$  coordinates of the car location,  $y$  coordinates of the car location, and **the** time in the units of hours. If the car identification number is not -1, the counter for the number of cars is incremented by one (line 106) and the loop is repeated. The next time through the loop the data **of** the next vehicle is read and saved in the arrays at the location defined by the counter. If the car identification number is  $-1$ , which indicates the end of car data records **(see** the Highway *Axis* Module section), the loop terminates.

The loop to read the truck data is similar to the loop that reads the car data. The truck data is saved in the arrays TRK-ID, XTRK, YTRK, and TRK TIME ID at the locations defined by the counter for trucks. The values saved in these arrays are the truck identification number, x coordinates of the truck location, y coordinates of the truck location, and the time in the units of hours when the truck was at that location.

After both the *car* and truck data are read, the larger loop is terminated and the module passes the data to **the** main program. **This** module presently considers only two vehicle classes, cars and trucks. It *can* be expanded to accommodate more classes of vehicles. More loops can simply be added to read data for more classes. The new loops would have to be added within the larger loop that contains the smaller read loops.

*LOG-VEH Module.* The module LOG-VEH computes the linear distances (i.e., distances measured along the road **axis)** of the vehicles with respect to the externally defined datum. The input data for **this** module are the arrays that contain the highway axis and vehicle data and the value of **DJNC.** (Recall that **DINC** was defined in module **CENTERLINE** above and represents the largest distance in the x and y direction between two consecutive points on the axis line.) The LOG VEH module passes back to the main program the **array** of linear distances that represent the vehicle locations along the highway **axis.** To calculate the linear distance of a vehicle, a perpendicular to the centerline is projected fiom the **x** and y coordinates of the vehicle to the centerline **axis.** Then, the distance from the external datum to the point where the perpendicular line intersects the axis is computed and assigned **as** the vehicle location distance.

A flow chart of this module is presented in Figure **A-9.** The code for this module is listed in lines **1** through **181** in Appendix *A3.* The distances of all the vehicles are computed through a loop that repeats once for each vehicle record.

**To** determine the distance of a vehicle, the road **axis** points that are within a given proximity of the vehicle location are identified. The module defines a search proximity **box** with the vehicle location coordinates in the center and a width and height that are equal to **4** times **DINC,** which **was** determine in module **CENTERLINE. Any** chord that is partially within the search box is inspected. Imaginary perpendicular lines to these chords are **drawn from** vehicle location. The point of intersection between the perpendicular line and the chord or its extension is determined by calling module **INTERSECT** (line **26** of Appendix **A3).** If the point of intersection between the chord and the perpendicular *is* on the chord, this is defined as the point to reference the vehicle **by.** If the point of intersectin is on the extension of the chord, the chord is disregarded, and the next chord is checked.

**To** illustrate, consider the schematic of a highway **axis and** a car represented in Figure A-**10.** In this figure highway **axis** is represented **by** points **C1, C2, C3,** and **C4** by the chords **(Cl,C2), (C2,C3),** and **(C3,C4),** where **C1, C2, C3,** and **C4** are the points whose coordinates are saved in arrays **XC** and **YC** that represent the highway **axis.** The car location is represented by the center of the rectangle labeled **CARl** . The perpendicular drawn from the car location to the chords **(Cl,C2), (C2,C3),** and **(C3,C4)** or their extension are points **X1,** *X2,* and X3, respectively. Points *X2* is on chord **(C2,C3),** while **X1** and X3 are on the extension of the chords **(Cl,C2)** and **(C3,C4),** respectively. Therefore, we consider point *x2* to represent the location of the vehicle. We determine the distance of **CARl** location as being the distance at **C2** added to the Euclidean distance between point **C2** and *X2.* 

**This** process is done **through a** loop that **goes** through many checks. Lines 3 **1** through **174**  are the list of the different check code lines for the intersection point of the two lines. When the intersection is determined on axis chord, module D<sub>LOG</sub> is called to compute the distance along the intersection point on the axis. This is done by adding the Euclidean distance **fiom** the intersection to the chord edge point to the distance at the end of **the**  chord. **This** distance is then assigned to the vehicle **as** its location distance.

Lines **15** through **178** are the commands that process the loop to find the distance location of one vehicle. The large loop determined by lines **12** through **179** is processed once for each vehicle. When all the vehicle distances are computed, the module passes the new vehicle records to the main program. The new vehicle records contain the vehicle

identification number, the vehicle distance along the highway axis, and the time when the vehicle **was** at this location. Figure A-1 1 presents car record **data,** for the vehicles in [Figure A-2,](#page-69-0) in the format passed from **this** module to the main program.

Module INTERSECT takes the coordinates of the end points of the two lines, the highway **axis** chord line and the perpendicular line, **as** input and returns the coordinates of the intersection point. This module listed in lines **183 through** lines 197 uses basic trigonometry to find the intersection of two lines. It takes line **1,** which represents the chord on the highway **axis,** and line 2, which represents the perpendicular to the chord from the vehicle location, and finds their intersection. Line 1 is defined by coordinates (xl,yl).and **(x2, y2)** and line **2** is defined by coordinates (x3,y3) and (x4,y4). Point of intersection is defined by point  $(x5,y5)$  and the equation to compute these coordinates are listed in lines **194** and 195.

In determining the vehicle location distance with reference to the road axis for the vehicles **that lie at the beginning or end of the axis, the perpendicular may intersect at a point on** the first chord outside the axis limits. When the axis of the highway is at an angle with reference to the coordinates of the first image, locations of some vehicle could be out of the range of the **axis. This** case is represented in the schematic of Figure 12. The schematic represents a case of a first image in a series of images. The **axis** of the highway in this image is at a sharp angel with the respect to the image X **axis** of the image. Truck-1 is out of the ranges of the highways **axis.** When **a** perpendicular is dropped **fiom the** location **of**  Truck-1 to the axis, the intersection of the axis and the perpendicular lies outside the ranges of the image limits and thus the range of the **axis.** 

This case is treated in our work **by** extending the **axis** beyond the starting point at the limit of the image. **This** extension should be long enough to ensure that the intersection of the **axis** and the perpendicular on the **axis** of the highway lie on this extension.

Recall, we explained in the Highway Axis Module section that the highway axis are extended at the ends to consider the vehicles that may lie at the beginning and end of the highway. **This** was the reason for extending the **axis** at the beginning and the end in the **CENTERLINE module.** ....

*ORDER-VEHModule.* Module **ORDFR-VEH** *sorts* the vehicle data in ascending order of vehicle identification number. The new **sorted** vehicle data and identification numbers are saved in new arrays. Vehicle data are ordered such that vehicles with similar identification numbers are in consecutive locations. Figure A-13 presents the vehicle records of Figure A-1 **1** in the new format.

The general process of this module is presented in the flowchart shown in Figure A-14. The code for **this** module is listed in Appendix **A3** in lines **229** through **297. As** seen in the flowchart, we determine a vehicle to be the present vehicle under consideration. We call the vehicle that is being processed the present vehicle and use the variable **LATESTVEH** to indicate the ID of **this** vehicle. We *start* the loop by defining the present vehicle to be the vehicle with the smallest identification number of all the vehicles in the class (line 254 in Appendix *A3).* The smallest identification number is defined by calling module MINMAX with the array that contains vehicle identifications (line 249 of Appendix *A3).* This array returns the smallest and largest vehicle identification numbers.

The vehicle records are sorted **through** two nested loops. The outer loop changes the present vehicle ID every time the loop is incremented. The inner loop checks the entire set of vehicle records to find all the vehicles with the same identification number. Each vehicles with identification numbers identical to **the** present vehicle identification number is saved in a new array N VEH ID in the order that it is found in VEH ID each in the next available cell. At the same time these vehicles are marked for deletion in the old array vEH\_ID of identification number. These vehicles are marked for deletion **so** that **this** cell will not be checked the next time we go **through** the array to check a different vehicle identification. When the last vehicle in the array VEH-ID has been checked to find all the vehicles with identical ID **as** the present ID, the LATEST-VEH variable is incremented (line 266) and the smaller loop is terminated. The larger loop checks for the LATEST-VEH to be less than or equal to the largest vehicle ID. When an ID greater than that of the LATEST-VEH is found there are no more vehicles left to be ordered, and the larger loop is terminated.

As the vehicle identification numbers are saved, their distances and time data are also saved in the same reference location in new arrays N-VEH-LOG, and N-VEH-TIME-ID, respectively. This module process all the vehicle data and passes the new set of arrays that contain the vehicle data **sorted** by vehicle identification number to the main program. These new vehicle **data** are used in the next modules.

SPEED *Module.* This module computes the speed of every vehicle that is listed more **than** once in the vehicle **data.** A vehicle is repeated more than once when its identification number is repeated more than once in the list of identification numbers. This would be the case when the vehicle is imaged more than once. Vehicles that do not appear more than once are given **a** speed of zero. The speed of every vehicle is saved in **a** new **array** called **VEH-SP** in the same reference location as that of the corresponding vehicle **as**  the other arrays. The vehicle location and identification are saved in N<sub>LOG</sub> VEH and N-VEH-ID in a location marked by the vehicle counter. The speed is saved in the array **VEH-SP** at the location marked by the same counter. The **data** used in **this** module are the **sorted** data that were passed from module ORDER-VEH. The process of this module is presented in the flowchart of Figure A-14. The code for **this** module is listed in lines 290 **through** 3 12 of Appendix *A3.* 

 $\frac{3}{2}$ 

Speeds are computed in a loop that starts at the second location in the vehicle identification array. If the identification of the vehicle in this record is equal to the identification number of the vehicle in the first location, the speed of the vehicle is computed and saved in array **IEH-SP.** Otherwise, the vehicle is assigned a speed of zero **and** the module proceeds to process the next vehicle. Only consecutive vehicle . identifications have to be checked because the vehicles have been ordered in the previous module such that the consecutive appearances of the same vehicle are in consecutive locations in this list.

Speed is computed by dividing the difference in the location distances by the time difference of these two vehicle locations. Recall that the distances are linear distances, since the vehicles locations were projected to the **axis** in module **LOG-VEH.** The calculated speed represents the average speed between these two locations during the time when the vehicles were imaged at these locations. The speeds of the vehicles are saved in the array in the same reference location parallel location to the second appearance of the vehicle. The speed in the location referenced by the same reference location **as** first appearance of the vehicle is given a zero in the speed array. The speed of the vehicle is assumed to be meters and the time in hours; therefore, speed is divided by **1000** (line **303)**  to convert the speed to units of kilometers per hour **(KPH). Computed in line 303 in Appendix A3. In the present version, the distance of vehicles is** 

I

**This** module passes the array of speeds of vehicles to the main program. These speeds are **used** in later modules.

*AVG-SPD Module.* This module computes the average speed of all the vehicles in the array that contains the speed data. This module calculates the average speed as the sum of the speeds divided by the number of non-zero speed values. This gives the average speed of the vehicles in the class for which the data are being processed. This average corresponds to the space mean speed of the vehicles. The code for **this** module is listed in lines **314** through line **329** of Appendix *A3.* 

**This** space mean speed is then substituted for the speed of vehicles that have been imaged **only** once. The speeds of these vehicles **had** been temporarily set to zero. Recall that the speeds of speeds of cars are generally greater **than** speeds of trucks; therefore, substituting the average speed of cars for the speeds of a cars would **tend** to lead to **more**  accurate results than when substituting the average speeds of all the vehicles. Similarly, substituting the average speed of trucks for the speeds of trucks would tend to lead to more accurate results than when substituting the average speeds of all the vehicles. For this reason, we compute the average speed of each vehicle class separately by calling this module to compute the average speeds of cars once and to compute the average speed of trucks once.

#### *Count* Type

This is a simple module that asks the user to enter the choice of modules to run. It requires the user to enter a **1** to compute traffic measures at a given location and time

**A14** 

interval or to enter a **2** to compute the largest time interval for which parameters can be estimated for the given road location highway limit. The user might not be able to define the count interval from the data, in this case the user can define the highway limits from the image and determine the maximum count interval that this highway limits would allow. This interval then can be used to determine the count intervals, within this interval, that the user wishes to use to get traffic parameters.

This is the module that represents the choice in the general flowchart of the program shown in Figure A-15. This module is listed on lines 1 through 37 of Appendix A4. If the user enters a **1** or a 2 as a response, the module returns the control to the main program and passes the response back too. If the user's response is anything else other **than** a 1 or a **2,** a message is presented to indicate that the response is invalid, and the response is requested from the user again.

According to the user's response, different sets of lines are processed in the main program. When the user's response is **1,** lines **107** through 148 of the main program, listed in Appendix A1, are processed. These lines call a series of modules called COMPUTE-1. When the **user's** response is **2,** lines **15** 1 through **188** are processed. These lines call a series of modules called **COMPUTE-2.** 

### *COMP -1* Modules

!?

Compute **modules are modules** that read the highway **data** file and compute traffic **m**  Figure A-16 shows the general process of this set of modules. at the given location during the **giva** time interval. The flowchart presented in

In COMPUTE-1, traffic measures are computed from the estimated times of when the vehicles pass the count location. Since **this** work is motivated in large part by a desire to compare measures estimated from satellite images to those that would be estimated from **an** ATR (Automatic TrafEc Recorder), we refer to the count location a ATR location. The count location does not have to correspond to a true ATR location; it could be any location on the given highway. This name is used for simplicity to identify the count location.

The times when the vehicles would pass the **ATR** locations are estimated from the given location and time data in arrays **N-VEH-LOG** and **N-VEH-** ID. When a vehicle has more than one location and time data, the closest location of the vehicle to the ATR either use the average speed of the vehicles of the class or the speed of the individual vehicle at the location where it resides to estimate the time it would take to travel from the given location to the ATR location. We use these options to compare the measures that we estimate using each speed to check the accuracy of both versus the measures estimated from an ATR. **<sup>Y</sup>**location is used to estimate the time when it would pass the ATR location. The user can

In COMPUTE-1 series of modules, the first module called is XYATR, which reads the highway data from a data file and passes the data back to the main program. Then, the user is given **a** choice of which speed to use to project the vehicles to the ATR location. If the user chooses to use the average speed of vehicles, then the BRING-TO-ATR\_A\_SP module is called twice, once with truck data and once with car data. Otherwise, if the user chooses to use individual speeds of vehicles, BRING-TO-ATR module is called twice, again once for truck data and once from car data. The BRING-TO-ATR and BRING-TO-ATR-A-SP modules pass the estimated time when the vehicles pass the ATR location to the main program. When these modules are completed, the COMP PAR module, which computes the parameters and prints them, is called.

In the following sections we present details of the modules used in COMPUTE-1 in the order that these modules are called. .

Count *Locations Module,* This module is called XYATR and it is listed in lines 1 **through 48 of Appendix A4. XYATR first asks the user for the name of the file that** contains the count location data (line 15) and accepts the user's response (lines 17). After reading the filename, the module calls the command to open the file (line 21). If the file is opened successfully, lines 21 through 42 are processed. Otherwise, a failure message is printed at line **44,** and the module and the entire program are terminated.

When the file is opened, the module reads the data. The number **of** lanes and the passenger *car* equivalent of trucks are read and assigned to variables **NL** and Et, respectively, in lines 21 and 22. Line **23** reads the **ATR** location **x** and y coordinates, **start**  of count interval, and end of count interval. Each of the times is read in three numbers that represent hours, minutes, and seconds. Module T COW is called to convert each of the times to one number in hour units. **This** module, called twice (lines 29-30), converts each of the times - count start time, and count end time - to hour units. These times are returned **as** values of the variables **T1** and T2.

Module LOG-LOCATION, called in line 34, computes the count location distance along the highway **axis and** assigns it to **DIS-ATR.** After determining the count location distance, this XYATR module terminates and passes all the data to the **main** program.

BRING\_TO\_ATR\_A\_SP Module. The BRING\_TO\_ATR\_A\_SP module estimates the time when each vehicle passes the ATR location using the average speed of the vehicles of the class of the vehicle being estimated. When a vehicle has **only** one location record, this location is used to estimate the time when it passes the ATR location. When a vehicle has more than one location record, the location closest to the ATR is used to estimate the time when the vehicle passed the **ATR** location. The time when the vehicle was at the location of the ATR is computed by estimating the time that the vehicle would take to travel from the defined location to the ATR location and adding this time to the time when the vehicle was imaged at the location of record closest to the

ATR. The speed of the vehicle while traveling to the ATR location is the average speed of the vehicles of the class of vehicles that are being processed. Recall that when the user chooses to use the average speed of vehicles, module BRING-TO-ATR-A-SP is called. Figure **A-17** presents a flowchart of the process of this module. The code for this module is listed in lines 49 through 200 in Appendix **A4.** (The data that are used in this module are the data that are sorted in the ORDER-VEH module. Thus, the location and time records of a vehicle are listed in consecutive order.) Line 93 is the **start** of a large loop that repeats with every vehicle record. Each time through **this** large loop, a small loop listed in lines 96 **through** 102 is processed. This smaller loop checks whether the vehicle has more **than** one record. The first and last records of the same vehicle are determined in this small loop.

*L* 

-3

If the vehicle has only one record the time when it would have passed, the ATR location is computed in the equation listed in lines 106 and 107. In these lines, atr t-veh is the variable representing the estimated time when the vehicle pass the ATR location, n-veh-time-id is the variable representing the time when the vehicle was imaged, log-atr is the variable representing the location of the ATR, n-log-atr is the variable representing the location of the vehicle, and spd is the variable representing the average speed of vehicles.

If the vehicle **has** more **than** one record, lines **105 through 15** 1 are processed. In these lines first the location of the **ATR** is checked (lines 114 through 133) to determine whether it is located between any consecutive locations of the vehicle. **Ifthis** is the case, then the time when **this** vehicle passed the **ATR** is estimated using the first one of these two locations for **this** vehicle. This is done in the loop that is listed in lines 11 1 through 122. If the location of the ATR is not between 2 consecutive locations of the vehicle, then the location record closest to the **ATR** location is determined and used to compute the time when the vehicle would have passed the ATR. This is done in lines 123 through line **150.** 

The new times when the vehicles are estimated to pass the ATR location are saved in **a**  new array called ATR-T-VEH. The minimum and maximum values in **this** array are determined in line **158 and 159 and** saved in variables TMIN and TMAX, respectively. The identification numbers of these vehicles are saved in array ATR V<sub>ID</sub> in parallel locations to their times in the array ATR<sub>T</sub> VEH. When the data of the vehicle with the same identification have been processed, the loop finishes one cycle at line 163 and increments to run the for a vehicle with new identification number. If **the** last vehicle **has**  been processed, **this** loop terminates and line 164 is processed. The check for more vehicle data is performed at line 160.

After the times that the vehicles are estimated to pass the ATR locations have been determined, the number of vehicles estimated to pass the ATR location during time interval  $[t^1,t^2]$  is determined. This is done in a loop that starts at line 173 and runs through line 179. The vehicle identification numbers and speeds for the vehicles that are in the

time interval are saved in the new arrays ID-IN-T and **SP-IN-T,** respectively. Then the vehicles that have speeds are counted and the average of these speeds is computed. This is done in a loop listed in lines **185** through line **194.** 

After computing the average speeds of vehicles in the count interval, this module terminates and passes the data to the main program.

*BMNG-TO-ATR* Module. The **BRING-TO-ATR** module estimates the time when each vehicle passes the ATR location using the individual speed of the vehicle. Recall that when the user chooses to use the individual speed of vehicles, module **BRING TO-ATR** is called. This module uses the individual speeds to project the vehicles to the ATR location.

**This** module works in the same manner **as** the previous module,

**BRING-TO-ART A-SP, except that the speed used to bring the vehicle to the ATR.** record and no speed was estimated for **this** vehicle, the average **speed** of the vehicles of the class is used in the equation to estimate the time at the **ATR.** If the vehicle has only one speed record, **this** speed is used to estimate the time at the **ATR** location. When a vehicle has more than one speed record, the speed of the vehicle at the location closest to the **ATR, as** explained in module **BRING-TO ATR-A-SO,** is used **to** estimate the time. **This** module is listed in lines **202 through** <sup>363</sup>**if** Appendix A4. **1** location is the average speed of the individual vehicle. If the vehicle has only one location

**COMPUT\_PAR Module.** The COMPUTE\_PAR module computes the traffic parameters at the given **ATR** location during the time interval given. Module **BRING-TO-ATR** or **BRING-TO-ATR-A-SP** computed the number of cars and the number **of** trucks that are estimated **to** pass the **ATR** location in the given time interval [t',?]. The average speeds of ail the vehicles that pass **this** location in this time interval **was** also computed. Module **COMP-PAR** takes **this** speed and the number of cars and trucks that are estimated to have passed the ATR location during time interval  $[t^1, t^2]$  and the highway count data described in the Highway Count Data section and computes traffic parameters. The parameters computed in **this** module are the volume of *cars* in time interval  $[t^1, t^2]$ , the number of trucks, total number of vehicles, percent of trucks, flow in passenger car equivalent *(PC)*, the space mean speed, and the density in vehicles and in **PC.** This module then lists the output to the screen.

The code for **this** module is listed in lines **1 through 41** in Appendix **AS. Traffic**  parameters are computed in lines **15** through 23 and printed out in lines **25 through 39.**  Figure **A-18** shows an example of an output printed out by **this** module.

### *COMPUTE-2* Modules

Compute modules are modules that read the highway data file and compute the largest count time interval for the given data. In *COMPUTE-2,* the time interval is determined.

As in BRING TO ATR and BRING TO ATR A SP, explained in the COMPUTE-1 Modules section, the times when the vehicles pass the specified location, ATR location, are estimated. From these times the earliest time and the latest time when a vehicle passes the ATR are determined. These earliest and latest times determine the allowable time interval for the count. Module  $X1X2$  is called to read the count location time and highway limits data explained in the Highway Location and Limit Data section. Modules BRING TO ATR X1X2 AS and BRING TO ATR X1X2 are called to estimate the time when the vehicles pass the ATR location using the average speed of vehicles and the individual speeds of vehicles, respectively. Module CHECK-TlT2-XlX2 is called to determine the maximum allowable time interval for the count.

The first module called is XlX2. This module reads the highway limit data and passes the data back to the main program. (Highway limits data are the coordinates of the first and last location on the highway segment under consideration.) Then the user is given a choice of which speed to **use** to project the vehicles to the ATR location. If the user chooses the average speed of vehicles, then BRING TO ATR X1X2 AS module is called once with truck data and once with *car* data. Otherwise, the individual speeds of vehicles are used to project these vehicles to the ATR location. In this case BRING-TO-ATR-XlX2 module is called. Both modules pass the estimated time when the vehicles pass the ATR location to the main program. Then CHECK-TlT2-XlX2 module, which prints out the time interval is called.

*XlX2* Module. The X1X2 module is listed in lines 1 through 57 of Appendix A6. Module X1X2 **starts** by prompting the user for the name of the file that contains the count location data and waits for the user to enter the filename. The commands for this prompt and response are listed in lines 21 and 22 of Appendix A6. After reading the filename in line 23, the module calls the command to open the file. If the file is opened successfully lines 27 through 51 are processed. Otherwise, a failure message is printed at line **53,** and the module and the entire program are terminated.

When the file is opened, the module reads the data. The loop listed in lines 29 through line 38 reads the x and y coordinate data for the count location, the beginning limit of the highway, and ending limit of the highway. Module LOG\_LOCATION is called next to compute the **distances** along the highway **axis** for the location and limits of the highway. After being determined, the location distances are printed and module X1X2 terminates and passes the ATR location and highway limits data to the main program.

**Y** 

k

-

BRING TO ATR XIX2 AS Module. The code for the BRING TO ATR X1X2 AS module is listed in lines 59 through 211 of Appendix A6. This module estimates the times when the vehicles pass the ATR location using the average speeds of vehicles. It has the same logic as modules BRING TO ATR A SP used in COMPUT-I, which was explained in the BRING-TO-ATR-A-SP Module section. It differs in that the estimated time that a vehicle passes the ATR in

BRING-TO-ATR-X1X2-AS is checked against the maximum and minimum times. If the estimated time that a vehicle passes the ATR is larger than the maximum time, **this**  time is set to be the maximum. Similarly, if the estimated time that the vehicle passes the ATR is smaller than the minimum time, this time is set to be the minimum time. The maximum time is determined to be the latest time when the vehicles of the class pass the ATR locations. The minimum is determined to be the earliest time when the vehicles of the class pass the ATR location. These minimum and maximum times are the times to determine the count interval to estimate trafllc measures from the given satellite **data.** The values of the minimum time and the maximum time are passed to the main program when each of the modules terminates. This module is called twice, once for cars and once for tucks.

*BRING-TO-ATR-X1X2 Module.* The code for the BRING-TO-ATR-X1X2 module is listed in lines 213 through 374 of Appendix A6. This module estimates the times when the vehicles pass the ATR location using the individual speeds of vehicles. It has the same logic as module BRING TO ATR used in COMPUT-1, which was explained in the BRING TO-ATR Module section. As in BRING TO ATR X1X2 AS, this module checks the estimated time that the vehicles pass the ATR location against the maximum and minimum times. If the time that a vehicle passes the ATR is larger than the maximum time, **this** time is set to be the maximum. Similarly, if the time that the vehicle passes the ATR is smaller than the minimum time, **this** time is set to be the minimum time. The maximum time is determined to be the latest time when the vehicles of the class pass the **ATR** locations. The minimum isdetermined to be the earliest time when the vehicles of the class pass the **ATR** location. *As* explained in the previous section, these minimum and maximum times are the times to determine the count interval to estimate traflic measures from the given satellite data. The value of the minimum time and the maximum time is passed to the main program when each of the modules terminates. This module is called **twice,** once for cars and once for tucks.

*CHECK-TlT2-XlX2* Module. The code for the CHECK-TlT2-XlX2 MODULE module is listed in lines 104 **through** 129 in Appendix A7. This module takes the minimum and maximum times that **cars** and trucks would have passed the ATR location, which were estimated in modules BRING TO ATR X1X2 or in BRING TO ATR X1X2 AS, and determines the maximum allowable interval for the count. The largest of the minimum car and trucks times is considered the start of the count interval and the smallest of the maximum car and truck times is considered the end of the count interval.



 $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda}$  ,

**Figure A1** . **Sample of highway axis data.** 

**Figure** *A2.* **Photographs 94 and 95. The reference axis of the photographs and the first axis point.** 



þ					
þ,		1018, 5846,	10	54	31
	1017,	5828,	10	54	31
þ, 7,	959,	5615,	10	54	31
þ,		936, 5527,	10	54	31
þο,		931, 5481,	10	54	31
hı,		921, 5451,	10	54	31 <sub>1</sub>
þ2,	910, $\sim$	5413,	10	54	31
þз,	922,	5439,	10	54	31
þ4,	914,	5405,	10	54	31
þ5,	887,	5293,	10	54	31
þб,		878, 5272,	10	54	31
		922, 5472,	10	54	36
ի, թ,	903,	5392,	10	54	36
þ,	896,	5331,	10	54	36
þο,	890,	5306,	10	54	36
hı,	884,	5297,	10	54	36
h2,		876, 5278,	10	54	36
þЗ,		880, 5270,	10	54	36
þ4,	872,	5239,	10 <sup>°</sup>	54	36
þs,	844,	5121,	10	54	36
þб,		837, 5103,	10	54	36
hο,		848, 5135,	10	54	41
hı,		846, 5144,	10	54	41
þ4,		832, 5074,	10	54	41
ŀ1,		$-1, -1, -1,$	$-1$ ,	$-1$	
orano 6.					
		1050, 5981,	10	54	31
		1042, 5951,	10	54	31
		1036, 5921,	10	54	31
	1017,	5862,	10	54	31
þ,		979, 5687,	10	54	31
þ,		939, 5527,	10	54	31
þ,		946, 5550,	10	54	36
þ,		904, 5379,	10	54	36
þ,		892, 5349,	10	54	36
Η,		$-1, -1, -1, -1,$		$-1$	

**Figure A3. Sample of vehicle record data.** 



# Figure A4. Sample of highway count data.

# Figure A5. Sample of highway limits data.





.?.

**Y** 

 $\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ 

ć,

ځا

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ 

**Figure A6. General flowchart of the COUNT software.** 

*Highway* **axis data** include:

- 
- Highway Datum point<br>• Highway axis coordina Highway *axis* **coordinates** (xc,yc)

Vehicle **record data contains:** 

- Vehicle coordinates *(XVJV)*
- *0*  Time vehicle was *at (xv,yv)* **coordinates**
- *0*  Identifier of vehicle at  $(xv,yv)$  coordinates
- **Class** of vehicle *at (XV,* **yv)** coordinates.

*Highway* **data** include:

- Highway number of lanes NI
- *0* Truck Terrain factor Et

**Count** location **data** include:

- Traffic estimate location (A) coordinates (xa,ya)
- Time point A was imaged

Interval data include:

Time interval limits [tl,t2]

**Couut** location **data** include:

- *0*  Traffic estimate location (A) coordinates (xa,ya)
- Time point A was imaged

*Highway* limits **data** include

- Highway limits coordinates (x1,y1), (x2,y2)
- *0*  Time when these **limits** of **the** mad were **imaged.**

Traffic measures at the fixed location in time interval [tl,t2]:

- Volume of cars
- Volume of trucks
- Total volume
- Percent of trucks
- **Equiv.** Of passenger car flow
- Space mean speed
- **Equivalent passenger car density**

# **A- AXIS MODULE**



Figure A7. Flowchart of highway axis module.

### **B- WHICLE MODULES**

**1** 

i

,,-



Figure A8. Flowchart that shows the order for calling the vehicle modules.

### **B-LINEAR DISTANCES OF VEHICLES**



Figure A9. Flowchart of LOG\_VHE module.

# -B2- SORT VEHICLE RECORDS BY IDENTIFIER



Figure A14. Flowchart of the general process of Module ORDER\_VEH.



 $\lambda$ 

Figure A15. Flowchart of SPEED module.





..

,

.-

**t** 

 $\epsilon$  : \



Figure A17. Flowchart of the BRING\_TO\_ATR\_A\_SP module.



I

 $\lambda$ 

,

Λ

*I* **i-**

Ł

 $\ddot{\rm s}$ 

 $\vec{\omega}$ 

 $\mathbb{E}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



**c** 

بد

*I* .

116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 ! **37**  138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 **154**  155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 + n\_car\_id,n\_car\_time\_id,<br>+ car an direct a sn\_cars car sp<sub>,</sub>direct a\_sp\_cars,dis\_atr, + atr\_c\_id,atr\_c\_t,t1,t2,tminc,tmaxc. + **cars\_in-t,a-sp-c-in-t,c-sp-i\_t)**  call trucks call bring to atr a sp(tks, n\_log\_trk, + n\_trk\_id,n\_trk\_time\_id, + **trk\_sp,direda\_sp-trksks,dis-atr,**  + atr\_t\_id,atr\_t\_t,t1,t2,tmint,tmaxt, + **trks-in-t,a-sp-t-in-t,t-sp-i-t)**  else if(speed-type.eq.2) then call cars read\* **call bring\_to-atr(crs,n-log\_car,n-car-id,n-car-time-id.**  car\_sp,direct,a\_sp\_cars,dis\_atr, atr\_c\_id,atr\_c\_t,t1,t2,tminc,tmaxc, + **cars-in-t,asp,c,in\_tc-sp-i-t)**  read\* call trucks call bring\_to\_atr(tks,n\_log\_trk,n\_trk\_id,n\_trk\_time\_id, trk sp,direct,a sp trks,dis\_atr, atr<sup>t</sup> id.atrt<sub>t.t1</sub>,t<sub>2</sub>,tmint,tmaxt, + **trks-in-t,a-sp-t-in-t,t-sp-i-t)**  end if call out times(t1,t2,tminc,tmaxc,tmint,tmaxt) call **check-cl\_limits(direct,tl .t2,tminc,tmaxc,tmint,tmaxt,**  + **a\_sp-cars,a-sp\_trks,dis\_atr,**   $log$ <sub>cl</sub>(2),  $log$ <sub>cl</sub>(numcl-1), tc\_st, tc\_end, tt\_st, tt\_end) call check\_t1t2(t1,t2,tminc,tmaxc,tmint,tmaxt,fail) if (fail.gL0) stop call comp\_par(NL,Et,t1,t2, cars\_in\_t,trks\_in\_t, + **a\_sp-c-in\_t.c\_sp\_i\_t,a-sp\_t\_in\_t,t\_sp-i-t)**  elseif(f\_type.eq.1)then call x1x2(NL,Et,dinc,numcl,xc,yc,log\_cl, call check\_xlx2(dis\_xl **,dis\_x2,direct,log-cI,numcl)**  call veh\_in\_x1x2(dir,t1,t2,d\_x1,d\_x2,crs,n\_car\_id, **n\_log\_car,n\_car\_time\_id,car-sp, x12~car~tl2~idc,x12~lgc~t12~tc,x12~spc)**  call veh\_in\_x1x2(dir,t1,t2,d\_x1,d\_x2,tks,n\_trk\_id, n\_log\_trk,n\_trk\_time\_id,trk\_sp, **xl2~~x12~idt,x12~lgt,x12~tt,x12~spt)**  call which-sp(speed-type) if(speed\_type.eq.1)then call cars call bring to atr\_x1x2as(crs,n\_log\_car,n\_car\_id, + **dis-xl,dis-x2,dis\_atr,tO,tl,t2)**  + n-car-time-id. + **car\_sp,direct.a\_sp\_cars.dis\_atr,dis-xl** ,dis-x2, + tminc-x12,tmaxc\_xl2, + **atr-c-id,atr-c-t,atr-c-sp,**  + **cars-in-t,a-sp-c-in-t,c-sp-i-t)**  + n-trk-time-id, + **trk\_sp.direct,a\_sp\_trks.dis-atr,dis\_xl** ,dis-x2, + tmint\_xl2,tmaxt\_xl2, call trucks call **bring\_to-atr-xlx2as(tks,n-log-trk,n-trk-id.** 

# APP\_A1arev.xls

i

I



i

\



Page 1

 $\mathcal{F}$ 

APP\_A2.XLS

 $.$   $\ddot{\sim}$ 

/

 $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}$ 

 $\tilde{2}$ 





 $app_22$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

*I*  \

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

بأمر

 $\sqrt{2}$ 

z,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\lambda$ 

I

*i*


 $\cdots$   $\mathbb{R}^{12}$ 

-.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\mathcal{E}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_i}$ 

 $\mathbf{z}$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

ś

 $\bar{\psi}_k$  .



\ -

/

**6** 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

*I:* 

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$ 

ý.

J.



*i kl* 

 $\tilde{\omega}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}% _{G}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\sqrt{2}$ s person

×.

 $\bar{z}$ 

÷.

 $\hat{\vec{r}}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^3$  $\chi_{\rm jet}$  .

> $\bar{\phantom{a}}$  $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  .

 $\beta \geq \alpha$ 

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\hat{z}$  ,  $\hat{z}$  ,  $\sim$  $\sqrt{2}$ 

> $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ l.

> > $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\star$  )  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim 10$ 



ł

 $\ddot{i}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\tilde{f}$ 

 $\bar{t}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\frac{1}{\zeta}$ 

 $\frac{\epsilon}{4}$  $\lambda$ 

 $\sqrt{2}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$  $\frac{1}{t}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ 



ś,

ż

 $\Delta$ 

 $\vec{w}$ 

-í

 $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{total}}$ 



I

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}$ 

 $\frac{3}{4}$ 

 $\frac{7}{4}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\sim 10^{-11}$ 

 $\bar{\bar{z}}$ دد.<br>مونو

 $\pm$  )

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

APP\_A3.XLS

I

%

*i* 

Ŀ.



352<br>353  $\begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \bf{end} \begin{minipage}{0.9\linewidth} \bf{end} \begin{min$ 

 $\alpha^2\chi^2$  .  $\lambda_{\rm eff}$  $\Delta$ 

Ó 45  $\ddot{\cdot}$ Ń,

÷,

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\ddot{\cdot}$ 

Ÿ,  $\lambda$ 

÷į.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

ć

ò,

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ 



ă

Ž.



App\_A4rev.xls





 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

Ò,

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\mathcal{S}$ 

٤

 $\ddot{\mathcal{Z}}$ 

Í.



 $\bar{z}$ 



App\_A4rev.xls



App\_A4rev.xls

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\hat{\vec{r}}$  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  .

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

in de la<br>1994<br>1940

المعادل المحدودي الأمريكي<br>المعلوم المحدودي المحدودي<br>المحدودي المحدودي المحدودي

 $\sigma_{\xi_1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  and

 $\phi_{\rm{th}}$ 



 $\sim$ 

 $\hat{z}$  :

 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ 

App\_A4rev.xls

 $\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}} & = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{A}} \\ & \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{A}} \\ & \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{A}} \\ & \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{A}} \\ & \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{A}} \end{split}$ 

Õ,

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\tilde{\omega}$ 

 $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ 

 $\mathbf{I}$ 



 $\sim \sqrt{2}$ 



\

APP\_A6rev.xls

57	end
58	
59	! NOTES
60	! This Subroutine is based on the fact the same vehilce that
61	! appears more than once is organized in the format that the
62	! the vehicle's first appearance is listed firts.
63	
	! This subroutine is only for the vehicles that are between
64	! the X1-X2 locations.
65	! This subroutine brings all the vehicles back to the ATR
66	! using the individual speeds of vehicles.
67	
68	subroutine bring_to_atr_x1x2as(x12_veh,x12_lgv,x12_idv,
69	x12_tv,x12_spv, ٠
70 <sub>1</sub>	dir,a_sp_veh,x_atr,x1,x2, ۰
71	tminv_x1x2,tmaxv_x1x2, ٠
72	atr v_id, atr t_veh, atr_v_sp, ٠
73	i,a_sp_in,i_sp) ۰
74	
75	implicit none
76	integer dir, x12_veh, k, i, i1, i2, flag1, flag2, flag3, iii, i_sp
77	integer x12 idv(x12 veh), atr v id(400), casev(400)
78	integer id_in_t(400)
79	real sp_in_t(400)
80	real x12 lgv(x12 veh),x12 spv(x12 veh),x12 tv(x12 veh)
	real atr_t_veh(x12_veh), atr_v_sp(400)
81	
82	real a_sp_veh,spd
83	real x_atr,x1,x2,tminv_x1x2,tmaxv_x1x2
84	real I_i1, I_i2
85	real tmin, tmin_diff, t_diff, t_diff2, tt
86	real a_sp_in,sum_sp
87	real log_min, log_max
88	i_sp = $0$
89	$spd = 0$
90	tminy $x1x2 = 9999.9999$
91	$t$ maxv_x1x2 = 0
92	print13,x_atr,x1,x2
93	13 format(60('-'), /ATR dist inside the subroutine is:', f9.1,
94	<b>/Start distance for count is = ', f11.5,</b> ۰
95	<b>/End distance for count is = ', f11.5,</b> ۰
96	//'The values of the log and speed are:'// ۰
97	Dist_veh time_veh Speed'/60('-')) '# ID ۰
98	
99	tmin = $1/(30.*3600.)$
100	tmin_diff = $5.73600$ .
101	flag1 = $0$
102	$i = 0$
103	$i1 = 1$
104	$i2 = 1$
105	do while(flag1.eq.0)
106	i=i+1
107	flag $2 = 0$
108	do while(flag2.eq.0)
109	if(x12_idv(i1).eq.x12_idv(i2+1))then
110	$i2 = i2 + 1$
111	else
112	flag $2=2$
113	end if
114	end do
115	$at_{y_id(i)} = x12_idv(i1)$



i

**'rn** 

```
APP_A6rev.xls
```


APP\_A6rev.xis



 $\mathfrak t$ 

Ť



:\*

ر<br>مه

i.<br>Ka

 $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\frac{3}{40}$ 

÷,

 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ 



ΩÜ,

APP\_A7rev.xls

 $\mathbf 1$  $\overline{2}$ subroutine cnt\_type(f\_type)  $\mathbf{3}$  $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ implicit none 5 integer iof, f\_type, ior 6  $\mathbf{iof} = \mathbf{0}$  $\overline{7}$ do while(iof.eq.0) 8 print 133  $\boldsymbol{9}$ 133 format(/66('=')/ 10 4x, You have 2 choices to choose from. These are: '//  $\ddot{}$ 11 4x, '1- Enter (X, Y) of ATR and t1, t2 data. '//  $\ddotmark$  $12$ 4x, 2- Enter (X, Y) of X1, X2 points and t value.'/ 13 + 4x,' This will compute traffic measures as in 17 14 + 4x,' but after eliminating the values outside X1, X2'// 15  $\ddot{\bullet}$  $4x$ , PLEASE Enter which method you want 1, or  $2:3$ 16 read(5,\*,iostat = ior)f\_type  $17$ if(ior.eq.0)then 18 print\*.\*\* 19 if(f\_type.ne.1.and.f\_type.ne.2)then 20 print<sup>\*</sup>.' print\*.'\*\*\*\*  $21$ Invalid data try again. print\* \*\*\*\*\*  $22$ Hit return to continue 23 print\*, 24 read\* 25 else 26  $i$ of =  $9$ 27 end if 28 else 29 print\*, print\*,\*\*\*\*\* 30 Invalid data try again.  $31$ print\*,'\*\*\*\* Hit return to continue 32 print". 33 read<sup>\*</sup> 34 end if 35 end do 36 return 37 end 38 39 subroutine out\_times(t1,t2,tminc,tmaxc,tmint,tmaxt) 40 41 implicit none 42 real t1,t2,tminc,tmaxc,tmint,tmaxt 43 print 31, t1,t2, tminc, tmaxc, tmint, tmaxt 44 31 format(/The value of t1 is = ',f9.5,' and t2 is = ',f9.5/ 45  $\ddot{}$ The times of cars, min = ',f9.5,' and max =',f9.5/ 46  $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ The times of trucks, min = ',f9.5,' and max =  $(9.5)$ 47 return 48 end 49 50 subroutine check cl limits(dir,t1,t2,tminc,tmaxc,tmint,tmaxt, 51 a\_sp\_cars,a\_sp\_trks,dis\_atr, 52 cl\_1st,cl\_last, 53 tc\_st,tc\_end,tt\_st,tt\_end) 54 55 implicit none 56 integer dir

APP\_A7rev.xls



## APP\_A7rev.xls

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  $\bar{\tau}$  .

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



 $\geq$ 

 $\vec{\omega}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ 

 $\tilde{\omega}$ 



 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ila.<br>T

 $\dot{\xi}_\star$  $\bar{z}$ 



P.

 $\mathfrak{L}$ 

ď



 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

### **Appendix B. Pattern Recognition for Stationary and Dynamic Pixels** - **Statistical Description and Program Listings**

# **1 Notations**

i<br>I

In chis appendix, we provide the detail description **of** various components of the statistical pattern recognition procedure discussed in Chapter **3.** We **will use** the notations of Section **3.1.** However for completeness, we are reproducing some of these notations here.

- $B_{ij}$ : the gray-level of the pixel of size  $1m \times 1m$  in row *i* and column *j* in the estimated background image *B.*
- $\bullet$   $Y_{ij}$ : the gray-level in the same pixel of the current image.
- $B'_{ij}$ : transformed value of the brightness matching transform  $\phi(B_{ij})$  for the background pixel  $(i, j)$ , where  $\phi(\cdot)$  is *unknown* and must be estimated.
- $\bullet$  *R<sub>ij</sub>* = *Y<sub>ij</sub> B'<sub>ij</sub>*: differenced current image and the transformed background image. Note that *&j.E* **[-255,255].**
- *p~:* distribution of *R* for pixels that are given to **be** stationary.
- $\bullet$  *p<sub>V</sub>*: distribution of *R* for pixels that are given to be dynamic.
- $\bullet$   $X_{ij}$ : (unobservable pixel labels, in general),

$$
X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if pixel } (i,j) \text{ in the image } Y \text{ is a stationary pixel,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise, (dynamic pixel).} \end{cases} \tag{1}
$$

- $\pi_{ij} = Prob(X_{ij} = 1)$ : the *prior* probability that the pixel  $(i, j)$  in the current imnage is a stationary pixel.
- The conditional distributions **of** the diferenced gray-levels:

$$
p(R_{ij}|X_{ij}=1)=p_B(R_{ij}), \text{ (density of the backg. diff.)}, \qquad (2)
$$

$$
p(R_{ij}|X_{ij}=0) = p_V(R_{ij}), \text{ (density of the veh./background.)} \tag{3}
$$

It is clear that  $p_B(\cdot)$  should be an unimodal distribution centered at zero, but  $p_V(\cdot)$  depends **on the** grey-levels **of dynamic** pixels in the current image (e.g. **vehicle** could be both lighter and darker than the background pixels, and shadows may or may not be present).

. We can also write **down** the joint density **of** *R* and *X* **as** 

$$
p(R_{ij}, X_{ij}) = \begin{cases} p_B(R_{ij})\pi_{ij}, & \text{if } X_{ij} = 1, \\ p_V(R_{ij})(1 - \pi_{ij}), & \text{if } X_{ij} = 0. \end{cases}
$$
 (4)

• Then the posterior probability of  $X_{ij} = 1$  is given by

$$
p(X_{ij} = 1 | R_{ij}) = \frac{p_B(R_{ij})\pi(X_{ij} = 1)}{p_B(R_{ij})\pi(X_{ij} = 1) + p_V(R_{ij})\pi(X_{ij} = 0)}
$$
(5)

$$
=\frac{p_B(R_{ij})\pi_{ij}}{p_B(R_{ij})\pi_{ij}+p_V(R_{ij})(1-\pi_{ij})}.
$$
\n(6)

### **2 Functional Form of Various Components**

## **2.1 The Background Transformation,**  $\phi(\cdot)$

At present, our program alllows for two types of background transformations:

**(1)** *A monotonic increasing transformation.* **This** transformation is defined by

$$
\phi(B) = \alpha + e^{\beta} * \left( \sum_{b=0}^{B} \exp[S(b)^{\prime} \theta] - 1 \right). \tag{7}
$$

Where  $S(b) = (S_1(b), \ldots, S_p(b))$  and  $S_1, \ldots, S_p$  are the natural-spline base function (evaluated at b). Various special cases of this monotonic transformation, that have been used by **us** are give below:

- (i) If  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\theta = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\phi(\cdot)$  is just the identity transformation, i.e, no transformation is used.
- (ii) If  $\theta = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\phi(\cdot)$  is just the one parameter transformation, with just a change in location.
- (iii) If  $\theta = 0$  then  $\phi(\cdot)$  is a two parameter, linear transformation with intercept  $\alpha$  and slope  $e^{\beta}$ .
- (iv) With the shift and a slope term and two knots in the natural splines, one has the five parameter transformation.
- (2) A natural-spline transformation. With  $S(B)$  as defined above, this transformation is simply

$$
\phi(B) = \alpha + S(B)^{\prime}\theta. \tag{8}
$$

In this case,  $\phi(B)$  does not have to be monotone.

Of course, one could investigate other suitable transformations, **as** well **as** quantize the transformed variables differently.

#### **2.2**  The Background Difference Distribution,  $p_B(\cdot)$

We have limited ourselves to two probability distributions at this point:

- (1) Student's t-distribution. The difference R, given that  $X_{ij} = 1$ , is assumed to follow a student's t distribution with median zero (location parameter), scale parameter  $\sigma$  and degrees of freedom  $df$ . Both  $\sigma$  and  $df$  need to be estimated.
- (2) *Gaussian (normal) distribution.* The difference *R*, given that  $X_{ij} = 1$ , is assumed to follow a normal distribution with location zero and standard deviation  $\sigma$ , which is estimated from the data.

Recall that the normal distribution is a limiting case of the t-distribution when *df* goes to infinity. In the image processing literature, the folklore is that the residuals  $R$  follow the Laplace distribution. We intend to examine this aspect in the future.

#### **2.3**  The Vehicle/Background Differences Distribution,  $p_V(\cdot)$

Since, one expects a large variety of images, we have limited to ourselves to maximum entropy distribution on a finite interval (the uniform distribution) and a non-parameteric density function to allow for a large number of shapes.

- (1) *Uniform distribution*. The difference  $Y_{ij} B'_{ij}$ , when  $X_{ij} = 0$ , is just assumed to be uniform in the range  $[-255, 255]$ .
- (2) Smooth density (natural-spline). The difference  $Y_{ij} B'_{ij}$ , when  $X_{ij} = 0$ , is assumed to be smooth and natural-spline function are used to capture the distribution.

$$
p_V(R) = \frac{\exp[S(R')'\eta]}{\sum_{r=-255}^{255} \exp[S(R)'\eta]}.
$$
\n(9)

As before,  $S(R) = (S_1(R), \ldots, S_p(R))$  is a set of base spline functions evaluated at R. Also, note that the distribution should be continuous, but is quantized on a discrete grid  $($ {-255} $,$ -254,  $\dots$ , 255}), with  $R'$  representing the nearest integer value of R.

## **3 Estimation Procedure**

-

*2* 

First, note that if the  $X_{ij}$ 's (stationary or dynamic) were observables, one could estimate  $(p_B, \phi)$ and  $p_V$  by the maximum likelihood method, i.e.,

$$
(p_B, \phi) = \arg \max_{p_B, \phi} \sum_{(i,j): X_{ij} = 1} \log[p_B(Y_{ij} - \phi(B_{ij}))]
$$
(10)

$$
= \arg \max_{p_B, \phi} \sum_{i,j} X_{ij} \log[p_B(Y_{ij} - \phi(B_{ij}))], \tag{11}
$$

$$
p_V = \arg \max_{p_V} \sum_{(i,j): X_{ij} = 0} \log[p_V(Y_{ij}) - \phi(B_{ij})]
$$
 (12)

$$
= \arg \max_{p_V} \sum_{i,j} (1 - X_{ij}) \log[p_V(Y_{ij} - \phi(B_{ij}))]. \tag{13}
$$

However, the  $X_{ij}$ 's are not observables, and we are basically interested in finding their posterior distribution. Therefore, instead of  $\qquad$  ing the log likelihood, we  $\qquad$  e the expected log likelihood, with respect to the missing data  $(X_{ij})$ . Thus we are using the E-M algorithm to estimate the unknown parameters of these densities, in **an** iterative manner.

$$
(p_B, \phi) = \arg \max_{p_B, \phi} \sum_{i,j} p(X_{ij} = 1) \log[p_B(Y_{ij} - \phi(B_{ij}))], \tag{14}
$$

$$
p_V = \arg \max_{p_V} \sum_{i,j} p(X_{ij} = 0) \log[p_V(Y_{ij} - \phi(B_{ij}))].
$$
 (15)

The iterative estimation procedure is **as** follows:

(1) Initilize  $\phi = \phi^{(0)}$  (e.g.  $\phi^{(0)}(B) = \alpha + B$  - just a shift in the identify transformation). Let  $R_{ij}^{(0)} = Y_{ij} - \phi^{(0)}(B_{ij})$  and initilize  $p_B = p_B^{(0)}$  and  $p_V = p_V^{(0)}$ .

(2) Compute the posterior probability distribution of  $X_{ij}$ 

$$
p^{(0)}(X_{ij}|R_{ij}^{(0)}) = \frac{\pi_{ij})p_B^{(0)}(R_{ij}^{(0)})}{\pi_{ij})p_B^{(0)}(R_{ij}^{(0)}) + (1 - \pi_{ij})p_V^{(0)}(R_{ij}^{(0)})}.
$$
(16)

(3) Update  $P_B$  and  $\phi$ . Let

$$
(p_B^{(1)}, \phi^{(1)}) = \arg \max_{(p_B, \phi)} \sum_{i,j} p^{(0)}(X_{ij} = 1 | R_{ij}^{(0)}) \log[p_B(Y_{ij} - \phi(B_{ij}))]. \tag{17}
$$

(4) Update  $p_V$ . Let

$$
p_V^{(1)} = \arg\max_{p_V} \sum_{i,j} p^{(0)}(X_{ij} = 0 | R_{ij}^{(0)}) \log[p_V(Y_{ij} - \phi^{(1)}(B_{ij}))]. \tag{18}
$$

- (5) Repeat steps (2) to (4) to get  $p_n^{(k)}$ ,  $\phi^{(k)}$  and  $p_n^{(k)}$  for  $k = 2, 3, \ldots$ , until  $p^{(k)}(X_i, R_i)$ 's converge according to the following criteria.
- (6) The convergence of the posterior probabilities  $p_{ij}^{(k)} = p^{(k)}(X_{ij} = 1 | R_{ij})$ , is judged by the sum of Kullback-Liebler distance between  $p_i^{(k-1)}$  and  $p_i^{(k)}$ , over all the pixels, i.e.,

$$
d(k-1,k) = \sum_{i,j} \left[ p_{ij}^{(k)} \log \left( \frac{p_{ij}^{(k)}}{p_{ij}^{(k-1)}} \right) + (1-p_{ij}^{(k)}) \log \left( \frac{1-p_{ij}^{(k)}}{1-p_{ij}^{(k-1)}} \right) \right].
$$
 (19)

Once the value of  $d(k-1, k)$  falls below a certain threshold value, we stop and accept the  $p_{ij}^{(k)}$ **as** the converged posterior probabililities.

### **4 S+Code**

**t** 

I

In this section, we first list the generic Splus functions for various components of the pixel classification procedure, which can be called from within S+ session. The we list the **S+** code for the implementation of this procedure on the test and scanned **images, as** described in Section 3.2. Fi**nally,** we also list S+ code for generic image processing, including plotting of images, edge detection, and other filters.

### **4.1 The** *S+* **Motion Detection Code**

```
#####w##t+######t#####a#ut 
# 
## GJ: 19-APR-98 (25-June-98)<br>##
# S+ Codes for Variuos Components of Pixel Classification Procedure 
**<br>##
##<br>##
~~t#~#t~~t#~#~#t~~~#$~~t## 
M FIRST, FOR THE BACKGROUND TRANSFORMATION t+t# 
# all background transformation are evaluated on the grid 0,1, ..., 255. 
# The natural-spline transformation -- not monotonic increasing. 
## is of the form: f(x) = a + ns(x, knots) - i f 2 param, then ns() is linear
get .back.ns. trans .base .mat <- function(back,n=6,knots=N~.pixels=O :255) < 
  tt to get the ns() base-matrix (X matrix) 
 # back: the backg. pixel value 
  # n: the number of parameters in the transformation 
  if(n=1)# only intercept 
   matrix(l.nro~lengh(pixels),ncol=l) 
  else if(n==2) 
    # intercept and slope 
    cbind(rep(l.lengh(pixels)).pixels) 
  else I 
    #! intercept and ns-term 
    if (is.null(hots)) 
      knots <- quantila(back,(l:(n-2))/n) 
    cbind(rep(l,length(pixels)) ,115 (pixels ,knots=knots, intercept=F)) 
  1 
\mathbf{r}init.back.ns.trans <- fuuction(res.base.mat) 
  # initial estimate of the background trans. parameters. 
  c(maan(res.trim=0.5),rep(0.ncol(base.mat)-l)) 
get.back.ns.trans <- function(param.base.mat) I 
  # param: the parameters in the n-s transformation (beta) 
  # base-mat: the natural-spline matrix (511 rows) 
  pred <- as.vector(base.mat %*% param) + 0:255 
  pred[pred<Ol <- 0 
  pred Cpred>255] <- 255 
  pred 
1 
t## A monotonic. increasing, transformation. 
tt transform background -- monotonic increasing transformation: 
 get.back.mono.trans <- function(param,base.mat=NULL) 
  # param: the parameters in the transformation (beta) 
  # base.mat: the natural-spline (ns) matrix with 511 rows.
```

```
pred <- svitch(as.character(length(param)), 
                 '1' = \text{param}[1] + 0:255,'2' = \text{param}[1] + \exp(\text{param}[2]) * (0:255),paramC11 + exp(paramC21) * as .vector(cumsum(exp(base .mat. X*X param[-c(l.2)] )) -1) 
                 ) 
 pred[pred<O] <- 0 
 predCpred>255] <- 255 
 names(pred) <- 0:255
 ttround(pred) 
 pred t not round things 
> 
## get the natural-spline matrix
get.back.mono.trans.base.mat <- function(back,n=2,pixels=0:255,knots=NULL) {
  if(n)=4 { \sharp have at least on knot in the ns() function
    if(is.null(knots))
      knots <- quantile(back,(l:(n-3))/(n-3+1)) 
    ns(pixels,knots=knots,intercept=F) 
  1else { 
    NmL 
  1 
1 
# initial estimate of the background trans. parameters. 
init .back.mono.trans <- function(res,n=2) 
  c(mean(res, trim*. 5) ,rep(O,n-l)) 
tt plot the background transformation 
plot.back.trans \leftarrow function(back.trans, img, back, back.probs, thresh=0.5) {
  # back.trans: the value of the backg. transf. at 0.1, .... 255
  plot<c(0,255) .c(O,255) .typ="n" ,xlab="Background image (pixel value)". 
        ylab="leu image (pixel value)") 
   ind <- back.probs>thresh 
   points(back[ind] ,imgCind] .pch="." .cex=par()$cex*1.5,~01=2) 
   points(back[! ind] ,imp[! indl ,pch=l , ~0113, cex=par() $cex*O. 8) 
   abline(aZ0 .b=l) 
   lines(0:255.back.trans.lud=3.col=l,lty=3) 
   fit <- smooth.spline(back,img,w=back.probs,df=lO) 
   lines(f it ,lwd=4, co1=3 .lty=2) 
   key(x=-30,p310.transparent=T. 
       lines=list (lty=c(3.2), lvd=c(3.3), col=c(3,2)), 
       text=list (c ("Transf . " , "5-s (df=lO) "1) 
       <sup>1</sup>
   key(~256/2.g-~10,transparent=TT. 
       \text{points}=\text{list}(\text{pch}=c(16,1), \text{cex}= \text{par}()$cex*c(0.6,0.8), \text{col}=c(2,3)),
       textslist (c (paste ("P(backg . ) > " ,thresh, sep=" "1, 
         paste("P(backg.) <= ".thresh,sep=""))) 
        ) 
   return(invisible(NULL))
 ŀ
                 # # # #t#tt FOR DENSITIES #8# 
  tt get the marginal density of the residuals: 
  get.marg.dens <- function(veights.res.ind,un.res=(-255):255) 
    # res.ind: points to un.res -- discreate residuals are un.res[res.indl 
    n <- length(un.res) 
   marg-dens <- tapply(c(veights.rep(O.n)),c(res.ind.l:n).sum) 
    names(marg.dens) <- un.18~ 
    narg .dens
```
*1*
```
# the background difference density -- t-density: 
get.back.t.dens <- function(param,res) { 
1 
  dt (res/exp(param[13 .df =exp(paramC2] ) )/exp(param[il) 
## compute initial estimate for the backgr. difference density
## based on residuals only when using t-density:
init.back.t.dens <- function(res,df=\overline{6},red=0.05) {
  ## initial estimates for the t-distribution:
```

```
tmp <- abs(res) 
res <- resctmp <= quantile(tmp.1-red)] 
c(log(sqrt(var(res)/(df/(df-2)))), 
  log(df))
```
**1** 

**1** 

```
# the background difference normal density -- don't need this 
get.back.norm.dens <- function(param,res) 
  dnorm(res, 0, param)
```

```
tt compute the vehicle density for -255...., 255 
get .veh.dens <- function(param,base .mat .un.res=(-255) :255) C 
  tt using natural-spline to construct density: 
 tt param: beta in X*beta 
  tt base.mat: the natural b-spline matrix, X 
  probs <- as .vector(exp(base .matX*Xparam)) 
  names(probs) <- un.res 
 probs/sum(probs)
```

```
get.veh.dens.base.mat.and.tot.probs <- function(res.ind.back.probs. 
                                                          q.probs=(l:S)/b. 
                                                          un.res=(-255):255) { 
           # returns the ns base matrix, the tot. veh. probs for each pixel value. 
           # q.probs (quantiles) are used to find the knots to use in ns0 
           if(!missing(res.ind) && !missing(back.probs)) 
           else 
           cum.tot.veh.probs <- cumsum(tot.veh.probs/sum(tot.veh.probs)) 
           # find one quantile: 
           one.quantile <- function(pr0b.x) 
           all.quant <- sapply(q.probs,one.quautile.x=cum.tot.veh.probs) 
             tot.veh.probs <- get.marg.dens(1-back.probs,res.ind) 
             tot. veh. probs \leftarrow get. marg. dens (rep(1,length(un. res)),1:length(un. res))
rev(as.numeric(names(x))[x<=prob])[1]
```

```
# then. get the base matrix: 
#base.mat <- bs((-2SS) :255.Imots=all.quant .int=F) [.-length(q.probs)-3] 
base.mat <- ns(an.res,knots=all.qaant,int=F)
```

```
.c return(base.mat=base.mat,tot.veh.probs=tot.veh.probs)
```

```
H plot back. and veh. dens: 
plot.back.dens <- function(res,back.probs.back.dens) .( 
 ## back.dens: the density evaluated at (-255):255
```

```
use.breaks <- seq(-255.5.255.5,by=7) 
res.breaks <- cut(res,breaks=use.breaks) 
bg.hist <- tapply(back.probs,res.breaks,sum) 
bg.hist[is.na(bg.hist)l <- 0 
bg.hist <- bg.hist/(7+sum(bg.hist)) 
pix <- (-255):255 
plot(c(-255,255),c(O,max(back.dens,bg.hist)).type="n". 
panel .histogram(use .breaks, c(NA ,bg .hist) ,border=-1) 
     xlab"Pixe1 difference (New - Backg. 1'' ylab"Density"1
```

```
lines(pix,back.dens.lvd=3,~01=3)
```

```
plot. veh.dens \leq function(res, back. probs, veh.dens) {
 #t veh.dens: the veh./backg. diff. density evaluated at (-255):255 
 use.breaks <- seq(-255.5,255.5,by=7) 
 res.bre&s <- cut(res,breaks=use.breaks) 
 veh.hist <- tapply(1-back.probs.res.breaks,sum) 
 veh.hist[is.na(veh.hist)l <- 0 
 veh.hist <- veh.hist/(7*sum(veh.hist)) 
 pix C- (-255):255 
 plot (4-255.255) .c(O.max(veh.dens ,veh.hist) 1, type=%", 
       xlab="Pixel difference (Nev - Backg.)", yfab="Density") 
 panel.histogram(use.breaks,c(NA,veh.hist),border=-1)
 lines(pix.veh.dens,lvd=3,col=3)
```
### ##### ESTIMATING AND UPDATING #####

```
8: estimate both the background difference denstiy and transformation 
## vhen using the t-density and monotonic backg. transformation: 
est.back.t.dens.and.mono.trans <- 
 function(img,back.back.probs.param.start, 
           back.trans.base.mat) {
 # the negative log-likelihood:
```

```
opt.func \leftarrow function(param) {
  trans .bg <- get .back.mono .trans (param[-c (I ,2)] .base .mat=back.trans .base .mat) 
  - sum( back.probs * log(get.back.t.dens(param=param[1:2], 
                                             res = img - trans.bg [back-ind}]))
```
**3** 

```
# optimize -- minimize the negative log-likelihood: 
assign("img" .img.vhere=O. immediatemf) 
assign("back",back,vhera=O. immediate=T) 
assign("back.probs",back.probs,vhere=O,immediate=T) 
assign("back. trans .base .mat", back. trans. base .mat, vhere=O, immediate=?) 
assign("back. ind",back+l .vhere=O,immediate=T) 
fit <- nlminb(start=param.start, objective=opt.fuac, 
remove( c( "kg", "back" . "back.probs" , "back. trans .base .mat") ,vhere=O) 
remove("back. ind",where=O) 
               control=nlminb.control(eval.max=400, iter.max=200))
```
# $return (fit)$

>

```
# estimate both the background difference denstiy and transformation 
# vhen using the normal density and monotonic backg. transformation: 
est.back.norm.dens.and.mono.trans <- 
 fanction(img.back,back.probs.param.start. 
           back. trans .base .mat) <
```

```
t# opt. function: minimizing sum of squares (prop. to neg-loglikelihood) 
  trans .bg <- get .back.mono, trans (param.base .mat=back. trans. base .mat) 
  sum( back.probs \bullet (img-trans.bg[back.ind])<sup>-2</sup>)
opt.func <- function(param) < 
1
```

```
## optimize -- minimize the negative log-likelihood: 
assign("img", img,vhere=O. immediate=T) 
assign("back" ,back,vhere=O, immediate=T) 
assign("back.probs",back.probs,vhere=O,immediate=T) 
assign("back.trans.base.mat".back.trans.base.mat,vhere=O,inrmediate=T) 
assign("back.ind",back+l,vhere=O,immediate=T) 
fit <- nlminb(start=param.start, objective=opt.func.
```
**3** 

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

```
m.EH.t.and.mono <- 
  function(img,back.back.prior.probs=NULL,traffic.dens=O.OS, 
           back.dens . control=list (param=NULL,df=S, trim=O.S), 
           back.trans.control=list(param=NULL.nr.trans.param=S, 
           veh.dens.control=list(probs=c(O.OS,O.2,0.4,0.6.0.9.0.95~). 
           max. iter-20. ask. iter=F, conv. crit=l/lO. 
           update.veh.dens=T 
             knots=NuLL), 
           ).( 
    tt img: the image (the pixels in the image) 
    tt back: the current estimate of the background pixels 
    #8 back.prior.probs: the prior prob for pixel being a background pixel. 
    t8 traffic.dens: a prior estimate of traffic density (used if 
                      back.prior.probs is NULL).
    # The other parameters are input parameters to other functions -- see use 
  back.ind <- back+l 
t index for the background. color 0 is index 1 
  res <- img - back 
8 current difference (residuals) 
  n \leftarrow \text{length}(\text{res})tt first, initial estimate of transformation: 
  cat("Getting initial estimate of backg. transf. . . .\n") 
  back.trans.base.mat <- get.back.mono.trans.base.mat(back=back, 
                                                    n=back.trans .control$nr .trans .param. 
                                                    kuots=back.trans. controlSknots) 
  if (is.null(back. trans.control$param)) 
  else 
  back.trans <- get.back.mono.trans(back.trans.param,back.trans.base.mat) 
    back.trans.param <- init.back.mono.trans(res.n=back.trans.control$nr.trans.param) 
    back.trans.param <- back.trans.control$param 
  88 new residuals 
  res \leftarrow img - back.trans[back.ind]
  # initial baekg. difference density -- if param. missing 
  cat("Getting initial estimate of backg. diff. density . . .\n") 
  if (is. null (back. dens. controlSparam) ) 
    back.dens.param <- init.back.t.dens(res,df=back.dens.control$df. 
                                         red=traffic.dens) 
  else 
  back.dens <- get.back.t.dens(back.dens.param,res) 
  tt initial veh./backg. diff. density: 
  cat("Getting initial estimate of veh./backg. diff. density . . .b") 
  88 it is just uniform 
  veh. stuff <- get .veh.dens .base .mat. and. tot .probs(q.probs=veh.dens . controlSprobs1 
  veh.dens .param <- rep(O.ncol(veh. stuff $base .mat)) 
  un . veh.dens <- get. veh .dens (veh. dens. param.veh . stuff $base .mat) 
  res. ind <- round(res)+256 
  veh.dens \leftarrow un.veh.dens[res.ind]
    back.dens.param <- back.dens.control$param 
  # initial estimate of back.probs -- if missing 
  cat("Getting initial posterior estimates of backg. prob's . . .b") 
   if(is.null(back.prior.probs)) 
   back.probs <- update.back.probs(back.prior.probs. 
     back.prior.probs <- 1-traffic-dens 
                                     back.deus=back.dens, 
                                     veh.dens=veh.dens) 
   cat(" Have ".round(sum(back.probs)/n*100.4), 
       "% are backg. pixels.\n",sep="") 
   tt start EM 
   iter <- T
```
**nr.iter** <- **1 cat("Starting the EM** . . **.\n") vhile(iter)** .(

# cat<sup>("</sup> Iteration", nr.iter,":\n")

```
88 estimate backg. diff. density and backg. transf.: 
            Estimating new backg. transf. and density \ldots \n\ranglen")
 back.trans.and.dens.fit <- 
   est.back.t.dens.and.mono.trans(img,back.back.probs. 
                                       param.start=c(back.dens.param. 
                                         back.trans.param), 
                                       back.trans.base.mat= 
                                       back. trans. base .mat) 
 back.trans.param \leq back.trans.and.dens.fit$param[-c(1,2)]
 cat ('I 
              Backg. transf. param. are", 
     round(back.trans .param.4), "\n") 
 back.dens.param <- back.trans.and.dens.fit$param[c(1,2)]<br>cat(" Backg, diff, density param, are"
              Backg. diff. density param. are".
      round(exp(back.dens .param) .4) ."\on) 
 back.trans <- get.back.mono.trans(back.trans.param. 
                                  back.trans.base.mat) 
 res \leq img - back.trans[back.ind] # new residuals
 88 estimate veh./backg. dens. diff.: 
 if (update.veh.dens) i 
   cat(" 
               Estimating the veh/backg. density . . .\n") 
    r = \frac{1}{255} res.ind \langle -\text{round}(\text{res}) + 256 # \text{gray-value} of -255 has index 1
   veh.stuff <- get.veh.dens.base.mat.and.tot.probs(res.ind,back.probs, 
                                                           veh.dens.control$probs) 
   veh.dens.fit <- est.veh.dens(veh.stnff$tot.veh.probs,veh.dens.param. 
                                     veh.stuff$base.mat) 
   veh.dens.param <- veh.dens.fit$param 
   un.veh.dens <- get.veh.dens(veh.dens.param, veh.stuff$base.mat) 
   veh.dens \leftarrow un.veh.dens [res.ind]
 1 
 ## Update back.probs:<br>cat(" Update the
            Update the backg. probabilities \ldots \ln")
 new.back.probs \leq update.back.probs(back.prior.probs,back.dens,veh.dens) cat(" Have ",round(sum(new.back.probs)/n*100,4),
              cat ('I Have " ,round(sum(new. back.probs) /n*100,4) , "X are backg. pixels. \n" , sep="") 
 88 compute iteration criteria: 
 back.probs.diff \leq sum(log(new.back.probs/back.probs)*new.back.probs,na.rm=T)+
    sum(log((l-new.back.probs)/(l-back.probs))*(l-new.back.probs),na.rm=T) 
  cat( 
"The convergence criteria is",back.probs.diff ,%'" 
 back.probs <- new.back.probs 
  if (ask. iter) {
    ask <- T 
    while(ask) c answer <- menu(c("To do another iteration."."To stop at this point"), 
                       titls="Shall we continue?") 
      if (ansver==l I I answers2) 
        ask <- F 
      else 
        cat("Se1ect 1 or 2 ... \n") 
    1 
    if (answer=2) 
      iter <- F 
  else i 
    if(m.iter >= -.iter II back.probs.diff <= conv.crit) 
      iter <- F 
  \mathbf{r}nr.ites <- nr.iter + 1 
\mathbf{r}return(back.probs=back.probs. 
        back.dens.param=back.dens.param. 
        back.trans.param=back.trans.param. 
        back.trans.base.mat=back.trans.base.mat.
```
**veh.dens.param=veh.dens.param, veh.dens.base.mat=veh.stuff\$base.mat)** 

J.

### 

# **Estimate everything: transformation, densities and weights**  # **using the M algorithm.**  # **?his is for the case when:**  # # # # **VERY slow function: (1) The backg. diff. density is a normal density (2) The backg. transformation is monotonic increasing (3) The veh./backg. diff. density can either by estimated or unif.**  mu. **M .norm. and .mono** < function(img,back,back.prior.probs=NULL,traffic.dens=0.05, **back.dens.control=list(param=NULL).**  back.trans.control=list(param=NULL.nr.trans.param=5.  $veh.dens.control=list(probs=c(0.05,0.2,0.4,0.6,0.9,0.95)),$ **max.iterx20, ask.iter=F, conv.crit=l/lOO. update.veh.dens=T bnots=NuLL),**   $\lambda$  C # *imp:* **the image (the pixels in the image)**  # **back: the current estimate of the background pixels**  # **back.probs: the prior prob for pixel** *being* **a background pixel.**  #\$ **traffic.dens: a prior estimate of traffic density (prop. of pixels**  #S **belonging to vehicles in the new image.**  # **The other parameters are input parameters to other functions** -- **see use back.ind** <- **back+l t index for the background, color** *0* **is index 1 res** <- *img* - **back**  \$ **current difference (residuals) n** <- **length(res)**  # **first, initial estimate of transformation: cat ("Getting initial estimate of backg. transf** . . . **.\n") back.trans.base.mat** <- **get.back.mono.trans.base.mat(back=back, n=back.trans.control\$nr.trans.param. knots=back. trans. control\$knots) if (is .null(back.trans .controlSparam)) else back.trans** <- **get.back.mono.trans(back.trans.param.back.trans.base.mat)**  # **new residuals**  res  $\leftarrow$  img - back.trans[back.ind] **m! initial backg. diff density (the SD in the normal) cat("Getting initial estimate of the SD in the backg. diff. density** ... **\n") tmp** <- **abs(res)**  tmp  $\leftarrow$  res[tmp  $\leftarrow$  quantile(tmp, 1-traffic.dens)] **back-dens .param** <- **sqrt(sum(tmp^2)/length(tmp)) back.dens** <- **dnorm(res.0,back.dens.param)**  # **initial veh./backg. diff. density: cat("Getting initial estimate of veh./backg. diff. density (unif** .) ... **\ne') t# it is just uniform veh.stuff** <- **get .veh.dens.base.mat .and.tot .probs(q.probs=veh.dens .control\$probs) veh. dens .param** <- **rep(0 ,ncol(veh. stuff \$base .mat)) back.trans.param** <- **init .back.mono.trans(res .n=back.trans.control\$nr.trans .param)**  back.trans.param <- back.trans.control\$param

**.I** 

**un.veh.dens** <- **get.veh.dens(veh.dens.param,veh.stuffSbase.mat)** 

**res. ind** <- **round(res)+256** 

**veh** . **dens** <- **un** . **veh .dens [res. ind]** 

```
' # initial estimate of back.probs -- if missing 
cat("Getting initial estimates of backg. prob's . . .\xi") 
if(is.null(back.probs)) 
back.probs <- update.back.probs(back.prior.probs. 
  back.prior.probs <- 1-traffic.dens 
                                  back.dens=back.dens, 
                                  veh.dens=veh.dens) 
cat ('I Have " .round(sum(back.probs) /n*100.4), 
    I''X are backg. pixels .\n",sep="")
```

```
tt Start En 
iter <- T 
=.iter <- 1 
cat("Starting the EH . . .\n") 
vhile(iter) \overline{\textbf{f}} cat(" Item
              cat(" Iteration",nr.iter.":\n")
```

```
# estimate backg. diff. density and backg. transf.: 
          Estimating new backg. transf. and density ... \n")
back.trans.and.dens.fit <- 
  est.back.norm.dens.and.mono.trans(img,back,back.probs. 
                                     param. start=back. trans .param. 
                                     back.trans.base.mat=back.trans.base.mat) 
back.trans.param <- back.trans.and.dens.fit$param 
            Backg. transf. param. are",
    round(back.trans .param.4) ,"\n") 
back.trans <- get.back.mono.trans(back.trans.param, 
res <- img - back.transback.ind1 
t nev residuals 
back.dens.param <- sqrt(sum(back.probs*res^2)/sum(back.probs)) 
cat ('I 
back-dens <- dnorm(res,O.back.dens.param) 
#S estimate veh./backg. dens. diff.: 
                                   back.trans.base.mat) 
            Backg. diff. density SD is".round(back.dens.param,2) ,"\n'')
```

```
if (update.veh.dens) < 
 cat(" 
  res.ind <- round(res) + 256 
1 gray-value of -255 has index 1 
  veh.stuff <- get.veh.dens.base.mat.and.tot.probs(ras.ind,back.probs. 
  veh.dens.fit <- est.veh.dens(veh.stuff$tot.veh.probs,veh.dens.param. 
  veh.dens.param <- veh.dens.fitSparam 
  un.veh.dens <- get.veh.dens(veh.dens.param. veh.stuff$base.mat) 
  veh . dens <- un .veh . dens [res. ind] 
            Estimating the veh/backg. density . . .\n") 
                                                     veh-dens . controlSprobs) 
                                veh.stuff$base.mat)
```

```
3
```
**k** 

I

```
# Update back.probs: 
cat(" 
new.back.probs \leq update.back.probs(back.prior.probs,back.dens,veh.dens)
cat(" Have ",round(sum(new.back.probs)/n*100,4),
         Update the backg. 'probabilities . . .\n") 
    "1 are backg . pixels. \n" , sept"")
```

```
tt compute iteration criteria: 
back.probs.diff <- 
sum(log(new-back-probs/back.probs)*new.back.probs,na.rm=T)+
cat ( "The convergence criteria is", back.probs.diff, "\n")
back.probs <- new.back.probs 
  sum(log((1-new.back.probs)/(1-back.probs))*(1-new.back.probs).<i>max-Tr</i>
```

```
if(ask.iter) {
  vhile(ask) I 
  ask <- T 
    answer <- menu(c("To do another iteration.","To stop at this point"), 
    if (answer==1 | <b>answer==2</b>)else 
                    title="Shall we continue?") 
      ask <- F 
      cat("Se1ect 1 or 2... \nn)
```
**3** 

```
if (answer==2)
    iter <- F 
3 else I 
  if(nr.iter >= max.iter || back.probs.diff <= conv.crit)
    iter <- F 
3
```

```
nr.iter <- nr.iter + 1
```

```
return(back.probs=back.probs. 
       back.dens.param=back.dens.param. 
       back.trans.param=back.trans.param, 
       back.trans.base.mat=back.trans.base.mat. 
       veh.dens.param=veh.dens.param. 
       veh.dens.base.mat=veh.stuff$base.mat)
```
**3** 

### ## *t~t+W~#t#W~#t###ttt~##* ###

 $####$  USING NORMALD BACKG. DENSITY AND N-S TRANSFORMATION  $####$ 

```
# Estimate everything: transformation. densities and ueights 
ot using the M algorithm. 
## This is for the case when:
W
# 
W 
W This is the fastest function: 
     (1) The backg. diff. density is a normal density 
     (2) The backg. transformation is natural-spline 
     (3) The veh./backg. diff. density can either by estimated or unif. 
run.M.norm.and.ns <- 
  function(img,back,back.prior.probs=NULL,traffic.dens=0.05,
```

```
back.dens .control=list(param=NUU), 
back.trans.control=list(param=NULL,nr.trans.param=5, 
veh.dens . control=list (probs=c(O. 05.0.2 ,O .4.0.6.0.8.0.95)), 
max.ite~20. ask.iter=F. conv.crit=l/lO. 
update.veh.dens=T 
  knots=NUU), 
)I
```
*t# imp:* **the image (the pixels in the image)** 

## **back: the current estimate of the background pixels** 

# **back.prior.probs: the prior prob for pixel being a background pixel.** 

# **traffic.dens: a prior estimate of traffic density (used if** 

## **back.prior.probs is MIU** -- **missing).** 

# **The other parameters** *are* **input parameters to other functions** -- **see use** 

```
back.ind \leq as.vector(back+1) #index for the background, color 0 is index 1
res.null <- as.vector(img - back) 
t the raw difference. 
n <- length(res.nul1)
```

```
# first. initial estimate of transformation: 
cat("Getting initial estimate of backg. transf. . . .\n") 
back.trans.base.mat <- 
  get.back.ns.trans.base.mat(back=back. 
                              n=back.trans.control$nr.trans.param, 
                              knots=back.trans.control$knots)
```
Laphate La Ma

الجرافية والواقب

**if(is.null(back.trans.control\$param))** 

```
back.trans.param <- init .back.ns.trans(res .null,back.trans.base.mat) 
else
```

```
back.trans.param <- back.trans.control$param 
back.trans <- get .back.ns.trans(back.trans.param.back.trans.base.mat) 
tt create the X matrix for the Isfit0 function 
back. trans .f it .mat <- back. trans .base .mat [back. ind.1
```
## **new residuals** 

## **res** <- **img** - **back.trans[back.ind]**

**4** 

!,

芟

```
tt initial backg. diff density (the SD in the normal) 
cat("Getting initial estimate of the SD in the 
backg. diff. density ... \n" ) 
tmp <- abs(res) 
tmp <- resCtmp <= quantile(tmp.1-traffic.dens)l 
back. dens .param <- sqrt (sum(tmp'2)/length(tmpmp)) 
back.dens <- dnorm(res, 0, back.dens.param)
```

```
e initial veh./backg. diff. density: 
cat("Getting initial estimate of veh./backg. diff. density (unif .) . . .\n") 
# it is just uniform 
veh.stuff \leftarrowget .veh. dens .base .mat. and. tot. probs (q.probs=veh. dens. controltprobs) 
veh.dens.param <- rep(O.ncol(veh.stuff$base.mat)) 
un .veh, dens <- get .veh. dens (veh. dens .param,veh. stuff $base .mat) 
res-ind <- round(res)+256 
veh . dens <- un . veh. dens [res. indl
```

```
tt initial estimate of posterior back.probs: 
cat("Getting initial estimates of backg. prob's . . .\n") 
8# get the backg. density for residuals: 
if(is.null(back.prior.probs)) 
  back.prior.probs <- I-traffic.dens # can be 1 number 
back.probs <- update.back.probs(back.prior.probs, 
                                  back.dens=back.dens. 
                                  veh . dens=veh .dens ) 
cat (" Have " ,round(sum(back.probs)/n*100.4), 
    "X are backg. pixels.\n",sep="")
```

```
tP start EM 
iter <- T 
nr.iter <- 1 
cat("Starting the EM . . .\nu') 
vhile(iter) {<br>cat(" Ite)
           Iteration", nr. iter, ":\n")
```

```
# estimate backg. diff. density and backg. transf.: 
       Estimating new backg. transf. and density ... \n")
back.trans.and.dens.fit <- 
listit(x=back.transpose.fit.max.py=res.null.int=F,wt=back.probs){c("coeff", "res")}back.trans.param <- back.trans.aud.dens.fit$coef 
res <- back.trans.and.dens.fit$res 
cat(" Backg. transf. param. are'. 
    round(back. trans. param, 4), "\n")
back.dens .param <- sqrt(sum(back.probs*res'2)/sum(back.probs)) 
            Backg. diff. density SD is", round(back.dens.param, 2), "\n")
```
.<br>Ngjarje

```
cat (I' 
back.dens <- dnorm(res, 0, back.dens.param)
```
# **estimate veh./backg. dens. diff.: if (update.veh.dens) cat(" res.ind** <- **round(res)** + **256**  *t* **gray-value of -255 has index 1**  veh.stuff  $\leq$  get.veh.dens.base.mat.and.tot.probs(res.ind.back.probs, **veh.dens.fit** <- **est.veh.dens(veh.stuff\$tot.veh.probs.veh.dens.param. veh.dens.param** <- **veh.dens.fitSparam un.veh.dens** <- **get.veh.dens(veh.dens.param. veh.stuffSbase.mat) veh.dens** <- **un.veh.dens[res.ind]**  Estimating the veh/backg. density ... \n") **veh.dens .control\$probs) veh.stuffSbase.mat) 1** 

```
tt Update back.probs: 
cat(" Update the backg. probabilities . . .\n") 
new.back.probs <- update.back.probs(back.prior.probs,back.dens,veh.dens)<br>cat(" Have ",round(sum(new.back.probs)/n*100,4),
              cat ('I Have " ,round(sum(neu. back.probs)/n*l00.4), 
     "X are backg. pixels.\n",sep="")
```

```
# compute iteration criteria: 
  back.probs .diff <- 
  sum(log(new.back.probs/back.probs)*new.back.probs,na.rm=T)+ 
  cat( 
"The convergence criteria is*',back.probs .diff ,"\n") 
  back.probs <- new.back.probs 
  if (ask.iter) < 
    ask <- T 
    while(ask) < 
    sum(log((l-neu.back.probs)/(l-back.probs))*<l-new.back.probs),na.rm=T) 
      answer <- menu(c("T0 do another iteration."."To stop at this point"), 
      if(answer==l I1 ansver==2) 
      else 
                      title="Shall we continue?") .. 
        ask <- F 
        cat("Se1ect 1 or 2 ... \n") 
    1 
    if(answe~2) 
      iter <- F 
  1 else I 
    if(nr.iter >= max.iter I1 back.probs.diff <= conv.crit) 
      iter <- F 
  1 
  nr.iter <- nr.iter + 1 
1
```

```
return(back.probs=back.probs. 
       back.dens .param=back.dens .param. 
       back.trans.param=back.trans.param, 
       back.trans.base.mat=back.trans.base.mat. 
       veh.dens.param=veh.dens.param. 
       veh.dens.base.mat=veh.stuff$base.mat)
```
#

# **4.2 The S+ Code for Implementation on Test and Scanned Images**

####

# *:#####4###tt#i+-##-#s#####-~#t*

```
# Gardar Johannesson: 23-June-98 
## file: detecting_motion_comands.s
# 
# S comands using the functions' in the file detecting_motion.s 
## and plotting figures for the file detecting_motion.tex
# 
# Revised June 1999- By Parag Coel 
# attaching sample images to use: 
                      #
```

```
attach ( /home/pxg/SATEIJ.ITE/ Image-analy s is/Sample- image s/ . Data") 
# attaching functions to plot images: 
attach ( "/home/pxg/SA~LITE/Image-analy s is/. Data")
```
### $\pmb{\ast}$

\*

### 222

, . . **P.** .

<sup>I</sup>...

.-

**##### FIRST, PLOT THE SAMPLE IMAGES #####** 

# **plot I70b photo** *nr.* **<sup>56</sup> tup** <- **i70b.56 tmp** ! **i70b. 56. cut. indl** <- **NA image. device("postscript" ,f ile="detecting-motion-img-56 .PSI', height=8,data.dim=dim(tmp)) plot. image(tmp) dev.off** () *tt* **plot only vehicles:** 

```
tmp [ ! i70b. 56 .veh . indl <- NA 
image. device ("postscript " , f ile="detecting-motion-img-56-veh .PSI', 
plot. image(tmp) 
dev.off 0 
# plot the background -- estimated 
tmp <- i70b.56.bg 
tmp[!i70b.56.cut.ind] <- NA 
image.device("postscript",file="detecting_motion_img_56_bg.ps",
plot. image (tmp) 
dev.off 0 
# plot I70b photo nr. 57 -- which vas resampled'urt nr.56 
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmpc ! i70b S6. cut. indl <- HA 
image. device ("post script", f ile="detect ing-mot ion-img-57. ps" , 
             height=8, data.dim=dim(tmp))
              height=8 ,data.dim=dim(tmp)) 
              height=8.data.dim=dim(tmp)) 
plot. image(tmp1 
dev. of f 0 
# plot only vehicles: 
tmp[!i70b.57.veh.indl <- NA 
image. device ("post script" , f ile="det ect ing-mot ion-img-57 -veh. ps " , 
plot. image(tmp) 
dev.off 0 
# plot the background -- estimated 
tmp <- i70b.57.bg.bi 
tmp C ! i70b. 56. cut. indl <- IA 
image.device("postscript",file="detecting_motion_img_57_bg.ps",
plot. image(tmp) 
dev.off 0 
              height=8 .data. dim=dim(tmp)) 
              height=E.data.dim=dim(tmp)) 
# plot histogram of pixelvalues in sample images: 
# for Image A: 
tmp <- i70b. 56 Ci70b. 56. cut. ind] 
trellis.device(postscript.file~"detecting~motion,hist~A.ps". 
                vidth=8 ,height=5 .horizontal=F) 
histogram(-tmp.breaks=seq(-0.5,255.5,byr2), 
           xlab="Pixel reflective value". 
           ylab="Dens it y " ) 
dev.off 0 
# for Image E: 
tmp <- i70b.57.biCi70b.56.cut.indl 
trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting-motion,hist-B.ps". 
                vidth=8, height=S .horizontal=F) 
histogram(<sup>-tmp</sup>, breaks=seq(-0.5,255.5, by=2),
           xlab="Pixel reflective value", 
           y1abr"Density") 
dev.off () 
# for Image A, vehicles only: 
tmp <- i70b.56~i7Ob.56.cut.ind t i70b.56.veh.indl 
trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting_motion_hist_A_veh.ps",
histogram(-tmp.breaks=seq(-O .5,255.5,by=2), 
                 width=8,height=5,horizontal=F) 
           xlab="Pixel ref lective value", 
           ylab="Dens it y" ) 
 dev. of f (1 
 S8 for Image E. vehicles only: 
 tmp \leftarrow i70b.57.bi[i70b.56.cut.ind & i70b.57.veh.ind]
 trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting~otionpist_B_veh.ps", 
 histogram(-tmp,breaks=seq(-O.5,255.5,by=2). 
                 width=8 .height=5, horizontal=F) 
           xlab="Pirel reflective value" , 
           ylab="Density") 
 dev. of f ()
```
-# **ESTIMATING THE BACKGROM) DIFFERENCE DISTRIBUTION** 

```
### AND THE TRANSFORMATION 
### First, shov the difference in the images as 'images' 
tmp <- i70b.56 
tmpC! i70b. 56. cut. indl <- NA 
tmp <- abs(tmp - i70b.57.bg.bi) 
image. device ("postscript" ,f ile="detect ing-motion-img-56m57 .ps" , 
plot. image(255-tmp) 
dev.off()
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmp[!i70b.56.cut.ind] <- IA 
tmp <- abs(tmp - i70b.56.bg) 
image.device("postscript",file="detecting_motion_img_57m56.ps",
plot. image (255-tmp) 
dev.off()
              height=8.data.dim=dim(trnp)) 
              height=8 .data.dim=dim(tmp)) 
tt Using image A as nev image and B as background: 
tmp.ind <- i70b.56.cut.ind 
tmp . img <- i70b. 56 [tmp . indl 
tmp . bg <- i70b. 57. bg . bi [tmp. indl 
tmp.back.probs <- rep(l.length(tmp.img)) 
tmp.back.probs[i70b.56.veh.ind[tmp.ind]] <- 0t 0 weights to vehicle 
tmp.res <- tmp.img - tmp.bg 
tS Use the t-distribution and monotonic transformation: 
# only using intercept in the transfoxmation: 
tmp.base.mat <- NULL 
tmp.start <- c(init.back.t.dans(tmp.res).init.back.mono.trans(tmp.res,n=l)) 
fit. t .mono. int <- est .back. t .dens. and.mono. transctmp. img. tmp. bg. tmp.back.probs, tmp. start .tmp. base .mat) 
# and plot: 
# (1) the histogram: 
tmp <- tmp. img - get .back.mono .trans(f it .t .mono. int$param[-c(i.2)], 
tmp <- tmp[tmp.back.probs==l] 
# vhere ve have background 
trellis.device(postscript.file="detecting_motion_hist,t_mono_l.ps". 
                 vidth=8 ,height=5,horizontal=F) 
histogram('tmp .breaks=seq(-255 -5,255.5 ,by=7), 
                                               tmp.base.mat) [tmp.bg+ll 
           xlab="Pixel ref lective value", 
           ylab="Density (XI", 
           panel=function(x,y,. ..) C
             panel.histogram(x,y.border=l, ...I 
             pix <- (-255):255 
             param <- fit.t.mono.int$param 
              \text{tmp} \leftarrow \text{dt}(\text{pix}/\text{exp}(\text{param}[1]), \text{exp}(\text{param}[2]))/\text{exp}(\text{param}[1])lines(pix.7*100*tmp,,lvd=3, col-3) 
           1 
            \mathbf{a}dev. of f () 
I# (2) the transformation: 
tmp <- get .back .mono. trans (f it. t .mono. inttparaml-c (1.2) 1 .tmp . base .mat) 
 trellis.device(postscript.file="detecting_motion-trans-t-mono-l.ps", 
 plot.back.trans(tmp,tmp.img,tmp.bg,tmp.back.probs) 
 dev.off()
                 vidth=8,height=8,horizontal=F) 
 ## using 5 parameters in the transformation:
 tmp .base .mat <- get .back .mono. trans .base .mat (tmp. bg.n=5) 
 tmp.start <- c(init.back.t.dens(tmp.res),init.back.mono.trans(tmp.res,n=5)) 
 fit. t .mono .ns <- est. back. t .dens. and .mono. trans (tmp . img , tmp .bg , tmp .back.probs , tmp. start .tmP .base .mat) 
 tt and plot: 
 tt (1) the histogram: 
 tmp <- tmp.img - get.back.mono.trans(fit.t.mono.ns$param[-c(l.2)1, 
 tmp <- tmp[tmp.back.probs=l] 
# vhere ve have background 
 trellis.device(postscript.file="detecting~motion~hist~t~mono~2.ps", 
                 vidth=8,height=5 ,horizontal=F) 
 histogram('tmp .breaks=seq(-255.5,255.5,by=7), 
                                               tmp.base.mat) [tmp.bg+1]
```
I'

```
xlab="Pixel reflective value". 
          ylab="Density (%)'I, 
          panel=function(x,y,...) {
            panel.histogram(x,y,border=l, ... ) 
            pix <- (-255):255 
            param <- fit.t.mono.ns$param 
            tmp \leftarrow dt(pix/exp(param[1]), exp(param[2]))/exp(param[1])lines(pix,7*100*tmp,lvd=3,col=3) 
          1 
           ) 
dev. of f 0 
# (2) the transformation: 
tmp <- get .back.mono .trans(f it .t .mono .ns$paramC-c(1,2)1 .tmp.base .mat) 
trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting_motion~trans~t~on0~2.ps", 
plot. back. trans (tmp, tmp. img ,tmp .bg .tmp .back.probs) 
dev. off 0 
                oidth=8, he ight=8, hor izontal=F) 
## Use the normal distribution and ns() transformation
# only using intercept in the transformation: 
tmp.base .mat <- get .back.ns .trans .base .mat (tmp .bg,n=l) 
fit .t .mono. int <- est .back. t .dens .aud.mono. trans (tmp. img, tmp.bg. tmp.back.probs, tmp. start .tmp.base .mat) 
# and plot: 
# (1) the histogram: 
tmp \leftarrow tmp.img - get .back.mono.trans(fit.t.mono.int$param[-c(1,2)],
tmp <- tmp[tmp.back.probs=l] 
t vhere ve have background 
trellis.device(postscript.file="detecting_motion_hist_t_mono_1.ps",
                vidth=8, height-5 ,horizontal=F) 
histogram('tmp,breaks=seq(-255.5,255.5.by=7), 
                                             tmp .base .mat) Ctmp. be11 
          xlab="Pixel ref lective value", 
          ylab="Density (X)". 
          panel=function(x,y,...) {
            pane1.histogram(x,y,border=1,...)pix <- (-255):255 
            param <- fit.t.mono.int$param 
            tmp \leftarrow dt(pix/exp(param[1]), exp(param[2]))/exp(param[1])
            lines(pix.7*100*tmp,lvd=3,col=3) 
          1 
          1 
dev.off() 
# (2) the transformation: 
tmp <- get.back.mono.trans(fit.t.mono.int$param[-c(l.2)1,tmp.base.mat) 
trellis .device(postscript ,f ile="detecting-motion-trans-t-mono-l .ps", 
plot.back.trans(tmp.tmp.hg,tmp.bg,tmp.back.probs) 
dav.off (1 
                vidth=8,height=8 .horizontal=F) 
#8 
## create table for the sigma and df of background distribution:
\tttmp.tab \leftarrow data.frame('Scale'=exp(c(fit.t.mono.int$param[1],\{\})fit.t.mono.ns$param[1])),'Df'=exp(c(fit.t.mono.int$param[1],\\
            fit.t.mono.ns$param[1])))
        param[-c(l.2)] , tmp .base .mat), get .back.mono. trans(\\ 
        fit.t. \texttt{mono}.\texttt{ns}$param[-c(1,2)], tmp.base.mat))[tmp.bg+1,]
tmp <- tmp.img - cbind(get.back.mono.trans(fit .t.mono.int$\\ 
tmp. tab$ 'SD' <- sqrt (apply (tmp [tmp. back.probs=l .I ,2 .var)) 
tmp.tab$'25% quantile' <- apply(tmp[tmp.back.probs==1,],2,quantile,probs=0.25)
tmp.tab$'75% quantile' <- apply(tmp[tmp.back.probs==1,],2,quantile,probs=0.75)
tmp.tab$'IQR' <- tmp.tab$'75% quantile' - tmp.tab8'25X quantile' 
dimnames(tmp.tab)[[1]] <- c('1 param.','5 param.')
round(tmp .tab, 3) 
###
```
*tt* **create table of log-likelihoods and test for better transformation: tmp.tab** <- **cbind('nr. of param.'=c(l,5), tmp.tab** <- **as.data.frame(tmp.tab1**  dimnames(tmp.tab) [[1]] <- c('1 param.','5 param.') **'log-likelihood'=-c(fit.t.mono.intSobj.fit.t.mono.ns\$obj))** 

```
tmp.tab$'log-lik. diff' <- c(NA.tmp.tab[2.'log-likelihood']-
tmp. tab$'p-value' <- round(c(NA, 1-pchisq(tmp. tab$'log-lik. diff'[2],4)))
tmp.tab 
                              tmp.tab[1,'log-likelihood'])
```

```
## Use the normal distribution and ns() transformation
# only using intercept in the transformation: 
tmp.base.mat <- get.back.ns.trans.base.mat(tmp.bg.ns1) 
tmp.fit.mat <- tmp.base.mat[tmp.bg+1,]
fit.norm.ns.1~ <- lsfit(x=tmp.fit.mat,y=tmp.res,int=F, 
                         ut=tmp . back. probs) Cc ("coef " , "res")] 
# and plot: 
# (1) the histogram: 
tmp <- tmp.img - get.back.ns.trans(fit.norm.ns.lp$coef, 
tmp <- tmp[tmp.back.probs==1] # where we have background
trellis. device (postscript ,f ila="detecting_motion-hist-no~-ns-l. ps" , width=8,height=5.horizontal=F) 
histogram('tmp,breaks=seq(-255.5,255.5.by=7). 
                                             tmp.base.mat) [tmp.bg+1]
          xlab="Pixel reflective value", 
           ylab=-"Density (X) ", 
          panel=function(x, y, \ldots) {
             panel.histogram(x,y.border-1, ... ) 
             pix <- (-255):255 
             param <- sqrt(sum(tmp.back.probs*fit.norm.ns.lp$res^2) / 
             tmp <- dnorm(pix,O,param) 
             lines(pi~.7*100*tmp,lwd=3 ,col=3) 
                            sum(tmp.back. probs) ) 
           3 
           \overline{)}dev. off () 
tt (2) the transformation: 
tmp \leftarrow get .back.ns.trans(fit.norm.ns.1p$coef,tmp.base.mat)
trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting_motion_trans_norm_ns_1.ps",
plot. back. trans(tmp,tmp. img,tmp.bg.tmp.back.probs) 
dev.off()width=8,height=8, horizontal=F) 
tt use 5 parameters in the ns transformation: 
tmp.base.mat <- get .back.ns.trans .base .mat (tmp.bg.n=5) 
tmp.fit.mat <- tmp.base.mat[tmp.bg+l.] 
fit .norm.ns .5p <- lsf it (x=tmp. f it .mat, y=tmp . res, int-F , 
wt=tmp. back.probs) Cc("coef" ."res")] . # and plot: 
tt (1) the histogram: 
tmp <- tmp.img - get.back.ns.trans(fit.nom.ns.5p$coef. 
 tmp \leftarrow tmp[tmp.back.probs==1] * where we have background
trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting_motion_hist_norm_ns_2.ps"
                 width=8,height=5,horizontal=F) 
histogram('tmp,breaks=seq(-256 .S,255.5 .by=7) , 
                                             tmp.base.mat) [tmp.bg+1]
           xlab="Pixel reflective value". 
           ylab="Density (X)", 
           panel = function(x, y, ...) {
             panel.histogram(x,y.border=l. ... ) 
             pix <- (-255):255 
             param <- sqrt(sm(tmp.back.probs*fit.norm.ns.5p$res-2) / 
             tmp <- dnorm(pix.O,param) 
             lines(pix,7*10O*tmp .lvd=3. col=3) 
                             sum(tmp .back.probs) ) 
           > 
            \overline{)}dev. off () 
 tt (2) the transformation: 
 tmp <- get.back.ns.trans(fit.norm.ns.5pScoef,tmp.base.mat) 
 trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting_motion_trans_norm_ns-Z.ps", 
 plot. back. trans (tmp, tmp. img, tmp .bg, tmp. back. probs) 
 dev .off C) 
                 vidth=8,height=8,horizontal=F)
```
*ttt* **ESTIMATING** THE **VEHICLE MINUS BACKGROUND DISTRIBUTION** 

*tt* **Using image A as nev image and B as background: tmp.ind** <- **i70b.56.cut.ind tmp** . *img* <- **i70b. 56 [tmp** . **ind] tmp.bg** <- **i70b.57.bg.bi[tmp.ind] tmp .back .probs** <- **rep( l,length(tmp.** *img)* **1**  # **use the ns transformation with 5 parameters**  *<sup>I</sup>***tmp.back.probs[i70b.56.veh.ind[tmp.indl]** <- **<sup>0</sup>***t* **'0 weights to vehicles** 

## \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*

*##Pt* **TESTING ITERATIVE EM PROCEDURE** *t##t* 

# #

# **Use a test images: tmp.true.bg** <- **matrix(150+20\*rnorm(30\*20),30.20) 1! the true background tmp.true.bg[,4:7]** <- **tmp.true.bg[,4:7]** - **<sup>40</sup> tmp.t1~~.bgC.12:161** <- **tmp.true.bgC.12:161** - **<sup>30</sup> tmp.true.bg[,19:20]** <- **tmp.true.bgC.l9:201** - **<sup>70</sup> tmp. true .bg[tmp** . **true .bg<Ol** <- **<sup>0</sup> tmp.true.bg[tmp.true.bg>2551** <- **255**  # **the brighness change:**  tmp.fit <- smooth.spline(x=c(0,50,100,150,200,255), **tmp. trans** <- **predict (tmp .f it** *,x=O* **:255)**  # **the new image: tmp.** *img* <- **matrix.(approx(tmp. trans\$x, tmp. trans\$y ,xont=tmp. true .bg)\$y** + **tmp.img[6:12.4:8]** <- **5\*rnorm(7\*5) #moving object nr. I (shadow) y=c(0,40.85,120,155,180) ,df'=5) 7\*rnorm(30\*20). 30.20)**  <sup>1</sup>**tmp.img[6:11,4:7]** <- **40+5\*rnorm(6\*4)** # **the object nr.1**  *<sup>Y</sup>***tmp.img[18:25.12:17]** <- **5\*rnorm(8\*6)** *8* **moving object nr. 2 (shadow) tmp.img[18:24,12:16]** <- **170+5\*rnorm(7\*5)** *t* **the object xu 2 tmp.imgCtmp.img>2551** <- **255**  # **number of vehicle pixels (7\*5+8\*6)/(30\*20)**  *t* **approx 14% or 83 pixels**  # **the observed background tmp.bg** <- **tmp.trne.bg** + **7\*rnorm(30\*20) tmp.imgctmp.imgc01** <- **0** 

**I +lot test images image. device ("postscript", f ile="detect ingaot ion-test** *-img.* **ps"** , **plot .i.mage(tmp.img) image. device ("postscript", f ile="detecting-motion-test-bg .ps", plot.image(tmp.bg) dev.off** *0*  height=6,data.dim=dim(tmp)) \* **dev** . **off** *0*   $height=6$ , data.dim=dim(tmp))

### *ttttt*

Ã.

# **use unif. veh. dens. with the same prior (traffic.dens). but different**  # **transformation U Use 1 param: tmp.fit.1** <- **w.EU.nonn.and.ns(tmp.img.tmp.bg,update.veh.dens=F. traffic.dens=O.14, back.trans.control=list(nr.trans.param=l))** 

```
## plot weights:
tttmpO <- round(255*tmp.fit .l$back.probs) 
tmp[] <- ifelse(tmp.fit.1$back.probs>=0.5,255,0)
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion-test_pp_l.ps", 
             data.dim-time(tmp), height=6)
plot. image(tmp) 
dev.off()
tt plot background transformation 
tmp.back.trans <- get.back.ns.trans(tmp.fit.1Sback.trans.param. 
                                  tmp.fit.l$back.trans.base.mat) 
trellis.device(postscript.file="detecting_motion-test_bt_i.ps", 
plot.back.trans(tmp.back.trans,tmp.img,tmp.bg,tmp.fit.1$back.probs)
dev. of f ()
tmp <- tmp.img 
               width=6, height=6, horizontal=F# Use 5 param: 
tmp.fit.2 <- run.EM.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg,update.veh.dens=F,
                                 traffic.dens=0.14,
                                 back. trans. control=list (nr . trans .parm=5)) 
## plot weights:
#tmpO <-.round(25S*tmp.fit.2$back.probs) 
tmpo <- ifelse(tmp.fit.2$back.probs>=0.5.255.0) 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting~motion~test~pp,2.ps". 
tmp <- tmp.img
```

```
plot. image(tmp) 
dev. of f () 
# plot background transformation 
tmp.back.trans <- get.back.ns.trans(tmp.fit.2Sback.trans.param. 
                                    tmp.fit.2Sback.trans.base.mat) 
trellis.device(postscript,file="detecting_motion_test_bt_2.ps",
plot. back .trans (tmp. back .trans, tmp . img.tmp .bg .tmp. i it. 2Sback .probs) 
dev.off 0 
              data. dim=dim(tmp) ,height=6) 
                width=6,height=6,horizontal=F)
```
# *t##\$*

```
tt plot histogram of new image: 
trellis.device(postscript.file="detecting-motion_test_hist.ps". 
histogram("tmp.img,breaks=seq(-0.5,255.5,by=7),
               width=8,height=5,horizontal=F)
          xlab="Pixel reflective value", 
          ylab="Density") 
dev.off()
```

```
# veh. only: 
tmp.veh.ind <- matrix(F.30.20) 
tmp.veh.ind[6:12,4:8] < -Ttmp.veh. ipd[18:25.12: 171 <- T 
trellis.device(postscript,file="detectinggpotion~test_hist_veh.ps", 
               width=8,height=5,horizontal=F)
histogam('tmp. img[tmp.veh. ind] ,breaks=seq(-O.5,255 .S .by=7), 
          rlab="Pixel reflective value", 
          ylab="Density")
dev.off ()
```

```
t##
```
*tt* create classification table for three methods:

```
# thresholding (use SX vehicles, 70% in lower tail) 
tmp <- order(tmp.img) 
n <- length(tmp. img) 
n.veh < -n*0.05thresh. ind <- c(tmp [l :round(n.veh*O .7)] , tmp[round(n-n.veh*O .3) :nl) 
tmp <- table(tmp.veh.ind[thresh.indl) 
tmp 
c('total'=sum(tmp),'correcr'=tmp['TRUE']/sum(tmp)*100,
  'vrong'=tmp [ 'FALSE' I /sum(tmp) *loo)
```

```
# thresholding (use 15X vehicles, 70% in lover tail) 
tmp <- order(tmp. img) 
n <- length(tmp.img) 
n.veh <- n*0.15 
{\tt thresh.ind} \leftarrow {\tt c(tmp[1:round(n.veh*0.7)],tmp[round(n-n.veh*0.3):n])}tmp \leftarrow table(tmp.veh.ind[thresh.ind])tmP 
c ('total '=sum(tmp) , ' correcr '3mp [ ' TRUE'] /sum(tmp) *loo, 
  'wrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)*100)
## thresholding (use 25% vehicles, 70% in lover tail) 
tmp <- order(tmp.img) 
n <- length(tmp.img) 
n.veh <- n*0.25 .. 
{\tt thresh.index} \leftarrow {\tt c(tmp[1:round(n.veh*0.7)],tmp[round(n-n.veh*0.3):n])}
```
 $\text{tmp} \leftarrow \text{table}(\text{tmp}.\text{veh}.\text{ind}[\text{thresh}.\text{ind}])$ 

```
tmP 
c( 'total'=sum(tmp) , 'correcr'=tmp [ 'TRUE'] /sum(tmp) *loo, 
 'wrong' =tmpC 'FALSE'] /sum(tmp)*100)
```
# ##

```
# 1 parameter transformation: 
Ut 5% traffic density at prior: 
tmp.f it <- run.EM.norm.and.ns(tmp. img.tmp.bg.update .veh.dens=F, 
                                traffic.dens=0.05, 
                                back.trans.control=list(nr.trans.param=l)) 
tmp <- tmp.veh.ind[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5] 
tmp <- table(tmp1 
tmP 
c('total'=sum(tmp), 'correcr '=tmpC'TRUE'] /sum(tmp)*lOO. 
  'wrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)*100)
# 15% traffic density at prior: 
tmp.fit <- run.EH.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F. 
                                traffic.dens=0.15. 
                                back.transposecontrol=list(nr.transpose_param=1))tmp \leftarrow tmp. veh. ind[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- table(tmp1 
cat ("15% at prior\n") 
tmp 
c( 'total'=sum(tmp), ' correcr'rtmpC'TR~'l/sum~tmp~*100, 
  'wrong' =tmp C ' FALSE ' I /sum(tmp) *loo) 
# 25% traffic density at prior: 
' tmp.fit <- run.M.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F,
```

```
traffic.dens=O.25. 
                                  back.transposecontrol=list(nr.transpose.param=1))tmp <- tmp.veh.ind[tmp.fit$back.probs<O.51 
tmp \leftarrow table(tmp)
cat("25% at prior\n")
Itmp
```
**c( 'totdL'=sum(tmp), 'correcr '=tmp['TRUE'] /sum(tmp)\*lOO.**  'wrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)\*100)

# ##

```
# 5 parameter transformation: 
# 5% traffic density at prior: 
tmp.fit \leftarrow run.EM.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg,update.veh.dens=F,
                                traffic.dens=0.05, 
                                back.trans.control=list(nr.trans.param=5)) 
tmp <- tmp.veh.ind[tmp.fit$back.probs<O.5] 
tmp <- table(tmp) 
cat("5 param. and 5% at prior\n") 
tmP 
 c( 'total'=sum(tmp), 'correcr'=tmpt'~UE'I /sum(tmp)*100. 
  'wrong a =tmp ['FALSE'] /sum(tmp) *loo)
```
*t8* **15X traffic density at prior:** 

```
tmp.fit <- run.EM.nonn.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F, 
                                  traffic.dens=O.l5. 
                                  back. trans. control=list (nr . trans .param=5) 
tmp <- tmp-veh. indCtmp.f itSback.probs<0.51 
tmp <- tabla(tmp1 
cat("5 param. and 15% at prior\n") 
tmP 
c(*totdl '=sum(tmp), 'correcr'=tmpC'~UE'1/sum~tmp)*100, 
  'urong'~~['FALsE'l//sum(tmp)*lOO) 
# 25X traffic density at prior: 
tmp .fit <- run.M .norm. and .ns(tmp . img ,tmp .bg .update .veh . dens=F, 
                                  traffic.denss0.25, 
                                  back. trans. control=list (nr. trans .param=5)) 
tmp \leftarrow tmp. veh. ind[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- table(tmp1 
cat("5 param. and 25% at prior\n") 
tmP 
c('total'=\text{sum}(\text{tmp}), 'correct'=\text{tmp['TRUE'}]/\text{sum}(\text{tmp})*100,
  'wrong '=imp ['FALSE'] /sum(tmp)*lOO) 
w#yt 
# use images A and B:(B image and A background) 
# use 3% traffic density as prior 
tmp.ind <- i70b.56.cut.ind 
tmp. img <- i70b. 57.bi [tmp. indl 
tmp.bg <- i7Ob.56.bgCtmp.indl 
# use 1 parameter model
```

```
-.fit <- run.EH.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F, 
                                   traffic.dens=O.03, 
                                   back.transpose.contrib=list(nr.transpose, param=1))tt plot weights: 
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
\tanh[!\tanh.ind] <- NA \tanh <- \tanhtmp1 <- tmp<br>tmp1[tmp.ind] <- round(255*tmp.fit$back.probs)
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_3pc_cc_1_2.ps",
plot. image(tmp1) 
               data.dim=dim(tmp1), height=6)
```

```
dev.off (1 
tmp[tmp.ind] <- ifelse(tmp.fitSback.probs>=O.5.'255,0) 
image .device( 'postscript ' ,f ile="detecting-motion-img-3pc-pp-l-2 .ps", 
              data.dim=dim(tmp) ,height=6) 
plot .image(tmp)
```

```
dev.off (1
```

```
tmp \leftarrow (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind]) [tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- table(tmp) 
cat("1 param. and 3% at prior\n") 
tmp
```

```
c('total'=\text{sum}(\text{tmp}), 'correct'=\text{tmp}['TRUE']/\text{sum}(\text{tmp})*100,'vr~ng'~tmp[~FALSE']/sum(tmp)*lOO. 
  'omission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
```
!

*tt* **use 2 parameter model** - **shift** *0* **slope tmp.f it** <- run. **EM. norm. and.ns(tmp.** *img* **.tmp .bg .update .veh .dens=F** , **traffic.dens=0.03. back.traus.control=list(nr.trans .param=l)) tt plot weights: tmp** <- **i70b.57.bi tmpC!tmp.indl** <- **NA tmpl** <- **tmp tmpl Ctmp. indl** <- **round(255\*tmp.f it\$back.probs)**  image.device('postscript',file="detecting\_motion\_img\_3pc\_cc\_2\_2.ps", **plot. image(tmp1) dev** . **off** (1 **data .dim=dim(tmpl) .height=6)** 

```
tmp Ctmp. indl <- if else(tmp. f itSback.probs>~O. 5,255.0)
```

```
image. device ( 'postscript ' ,f ile="detecting-motion-img-3pc-pp-2-2. ps" , 
plot. image(tmp1 
dev. off 0 
             data. dim=dim(tmp) ,height=B) 
tmp <- (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- table(tmp) 
cat("2 param, and 3% at prior\n") 
tmp<br>c('total'=sum(tmp),'correcr'=tmp['TRUE']/sum(tmp)*100,
  'vrong'=tmp[ 'FALSE'] /sum(tmp) *loo, 
  \cdotomission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
tl! use 5 parameter model 
tmp.fit <- run.M.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F. 
                                traffic.dens=0.03,
                                back.trans.control=list(nr.trans.param=5)) 
tt plot veights: 
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmpC!tmp.indl <- NA 
tmpl [tmp.indl <- round(255*tmp.f it$back.probs) 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_3pc_cc_5_2.ps",
plot. image(tmp1) 
dev.off()
tmp[tmp.ind] <- ifelse(tmp.fit$back.probs>=0.5,255,0)
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_3pc_pp_5_2.ps",
              data. dim=dim(tmp) , height=6) 
plot. image(tmp1 
dev.off()tmpl <- tmp 
             data.dim=dim(tmp1),height=6)
tmp <- (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind]) [tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- table(tmp) 
cat("5 param, and 3% at prior\n") 
tmp 
c('total'=sum(tmp),'correcr'=tmp['TRUE']/sum(tmp)*100,
  'wrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)*100,
  'omission'=(sum(i7Ob. 57. veh. ind[tmp. ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i7Ob. 57. veh. ind[tmp. ind])*100)
tt thresholding: 
tmp <- order(tmp.img) 
n <- length(tmp.img) 
n.veh <- n*0.03
thresh. ind \leftarrow c(\text{tmp}[1:round(n,veh*0.7)], \text{tmp}[round(n-n,veh*0.3):n])tmp <- table ( (i70b. 57 .veh. indctmp. ind] ) [thresh. indl ) 
tmP 
~~'tot~~=sum(tmp~.'correcr'=tmpC'~UE~3/sum(tmp~*i00. 
  %rong'=tmp['FALSE'] /sum(tmp)*lOO, 
   'omission'=(sum(i70b. 57. veh. ind[tmp. ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b. 57. veh. ind[tmp. ind])*100)
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmp0 <- 255 
tmp[tmp.ind] [thresh.ind] <- 0
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_3pc_thres_1_2.ps",
              data. dim=dim(tmp) , height=6) 
plot. image(tmp1 
dev.off()
```
# *Ottt:*

&'

*tt* use **images A** and **B:(B** image and **A** background) *tt* use 1% traffic density as prior  $tmpind \leftarrow i70b.56.cutind$  $tmp.ing < -i70b.57.bi[tmp.ind]$  $tmp.bg \leftarrow i70b.56.bg[tmp.ind]$ 

## use 1 parameter model

```
tmp.fit \leq run.EM.norm.and.ns(tmp.img.tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F,
                                traffic.dens=0.01, 
                                back.trans.control=list(nr.trans.param=l)) 
## plot weights:
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmp[!tmp.ind] <- NA 
tmpl <- tmp 
tmpl[tmp.indl <- round(255*tmp.fitSback.probs) 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_1pc_cc_1_2.ps",
plot. image(tmp1) 
dev.off 0 
tmp[tmp.indI <- ifelse(tmp.fitSback.probs>=0.5.255.0) 
image. device ( 'postscript ' ,f ile="detecting-motion~img,lpc-pp-l-2 .PSI', 
              data. dim=dim( tmp) , height=6) 
plot. image(tmp) 
dev.off 0 
             data.dim=dim(tmpl),height=6) 
tmp \leftarrow (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- table(tmp) 
cat("1 param, and 1% at prior\n") 
tmp 
c('total'=sum(tmp),'correcr'=tmp['TRUE']/sum(tmp)*100,
  'wrong '=tmp [ 'FALSE'] /sum(tmp) *loo, 
  'omission '=(sum(i7Ob. 57. veh. ind [tmp . indl )-trap C ' TRUE'] ) /sum(i7Ob. 57. veh. ind [tmp. indl 1 *loo) 
tt use 2 parameter model - shift L slope 
tmp.fit <- run.EM.norm.and.ns(tmp.img.tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F, 
                                traffic.dens=O.Ol. 
                                back.transpose.config=list(nr.transpose.param=2))tt plot veights: 
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmp[!tmp.indJ <- NA 
tmpl c- tmp 
tmpl[tmp.indl <- round(255*tmp.fitSback.probs) 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_1pc_cc_2_2.ps",
plot. image(tmp1) 
dev.off()
tmp [tmp.ind] <- ifelse (tmp.fit$back.probs>=0.5,255,0)
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_1pc_pp_2_2.ps",
              data.dim=dim(tmp), height=6)
plot. image(tmp) 
dev. of f 0 
              data. dim=dim(tmpl) , height=6) 
tmp <- (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp < - table(tmp)cat("2 param, and 1% at prior\n")tmp 
c('total' = sum(tmp), 'correct' = tmp['TRUE'] / sum(tmp) * 100,
  'wrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)*100,
  'omission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
t# use 5 parameter model 
tmp.fit <- run.En.nonn.and.ns(tmp.img.tmp.bg,npdate.veh.dens=F, 
                                 traffic.dens=O.Ol. 
                                 back.trans.control=list(nr.trans.param=5)) 
tt plot weights: 
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmp[! tmp. ind] <- NA 
tmpl [tmp. indl <- round(255*tmp.f it$back.probs) 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_1pc_cc_5_2.ps",
plot. image(tmp1) 
dev.off()
tmp[tmp. indl <- if else(tmp. f it$back.probs>=O .5,255,0) 
image .device( 'postscript ' .f ile="detecting-motion-img-lpc-pp-5-2 .ps", 
              data. dim=dim(tmp) , height=6) 
tmpl <- tmp 
              data.dim=dim(tmpl) ,height=6)
```

```
plot. image(tmp) 
dev.off()
tmp \leftarrow (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- table(tmp) 
cat("5 param. and 1% at prior\n") 
tmP 
c('total'=sum(tmp), 'correcr'=tmp[ 'mUE']/sum(tmp)*iOO, 
  'wrong'=tmp[ 'FALSE'] /sum(tmp)*100, 
  \cdotomission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
#8 thresholding: 
tmp <- order(tmp.img) 
n <- length(tmp.img) 
n.veh <- neO.01 
thresh.ind \leftarrow c(tmp[1:round(n,veh*0.7)],tmp[round(n-n,veh*0.3):n])tmp \leftarrow table((i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind]) [thresh.ind])
tmp 
c('total'=sum(tmp), 'correct'=tmp['TRUE']/sum(tmp)*100,'wrong '=tmp [ 'FALSE'I /sum(tmp)*100, 
  'omission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
ttmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmpo <- 255 
tmp [tmp . ind] [thresh. ind] <- 0
image. device ( 'postscript ' , f ile="detecting-mot ion-img-lpc-thres-1-2. ps" , 
plot .image(tmp) 
dev. off (1 
              data. dim=dim( tmp) , height=6) 
St#* 
*O use images A and B: (B image and A background) 
## use 7% traffic density as prior
tmp.ind <- i70b.56.cut.ind 
tmp . img <- i70b. 57. bi Ctmp . indl 
tmp . bg <- i70b. 56 .bg Ctmp . indl 
W use 1 parameter model 
tmp.fit <- run.EM.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F, 
                                traffic.dens=O.07. 
                                back.traus.control=list (nr-trans .param=l)) 
W plot weights: 
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmp[!tmp.indl <- NA 
tmpl <- tmp 
tmplctmp. ind] <- round(255*tmp.f it$back.probs) 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_7pc_cc_1_2.ps",
              data.diwdim(tmp1) ,height=6) 3
plot .image(tmpl) 
dev. off 0 
tmp[tmp.indl <- ifelse(tmp.fit$back.probs>=0.5,255.0) 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_7pc_pp_1_2.ps",
              data.dh=dim(tmp) .height=6) 
plot. image(tmp) 
dev. off (1 
tmp <- (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.indl~[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5] 
tmp <- table(tmp1 
cat("1 param, and 7% at prior\n") 
tmP 
c('total'=sum(tmp), 'correct'=\t{tmp['TRUE'}]/sum(tmp)*100,'wrong'=tmp ['FALSE ']/sum(tmp) *loo, 
   \cdotomission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
OP use 2 parameter model - shift & slope 
tmp.fit <- run.EM.norm.and.ns(tmp.img,tmp.bg.update.veh.dens=F. 
                                 traffic.dens=0.07. 
                                 back.trans.control=list(nr.trans.param=2)) 
Pt plot weights:
```

```
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmpC!tmp.indl <- NA 
tmpl <- tmp 
tmplCtmp.ind1 <- round(255*tmp.fit$back.probs) 
                                                                            .. 
image.device('postscript',file="detecting_motion_img_7pc_cc_2_2.ps",
              data. dim=dim(tmpl) , height=6) 
plot. image(tmp1) 
dev. of f () 
tmp[tmp.ind] <- ifelse(tmp.fit$back.probs>=0.5,255,0) 
image .device( 'postscript ' .f ile="detecting-motion-img-7pc-pp-2-2 .ps", 
              data.dim=dim(tmp), height=6)
plot. image (tmp) 
dev.off 0 .. 
tmp \leftarrow (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- tablectmp) 
cat("2 param. and 7% at prior\n") 
tmp 
c( total'=sum(tmp), ' correcr 'amp C ' TRUE 'I /sum(tmp) *loo, 
                                                                                             منتز
  'vrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)*100,
  'omission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
tt use 5 parameter model 
tmp . f it <- run. EM .norm. and .ns (trnp. img , tmp. bg .update. veh . dens=F. 
                                 traffic .dens=O .07, 
                                 back.trans .control=list (nr.traus .param=5)) 
tt plot weights: 
tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
tmpC!tmp.indl <- NA 
tmpl <- tmp 
tmpl [trnp. ind] <- round(255*tmp.f it$back.probs) 
image. device ( 'postscript ' .f ile="detecting_mot ion-img-7pc-cc-5-2. ps" , 
              data.dim=dim(tmp1),height=6)
plot. image(tmp1) 
dev.off()
tmp[tmp.ind] <- ifelse(tmp.fit$back.probs>=O.5.255,0) 
image. device ( 'postscript ' .f ile="detecting-motion-img-7pc-pp-5-2 .ps", 
              data.dim=dim(tmp), height=6)
plot. image (tmp) 
dev .off (1 
tmp \leftarrow (i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])[tmp.fit$back.probs<0.5]
tmp <- tablectmp) 
cat("5 para, and 7% at prior\n") 
 tmP 
c( 'tota'=sum(tmp), ' correcr '=tmp['TRuE'] /sum(tmp)*100, 
   'wrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)*100,
   \cdot omission\cdot=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp[\cdotTRUE\cdot])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
 88 thresholding: 
 tmp \leftarrow order(tmp.img) imp \leftarrow order(tmp.img)
 n <- length(tmp.img) 
 n.veh <- m0.07 
 thresh. ind <- c(tmp[l:roud(n.veh*O-7)] , tmp[round(n-n.veh*0.3) :d 
 tmp \leftarrow table((i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind]) [thresh.ind])
 tmp 
 c('total'=\text{sum}(\text{tmp}), 'correct'=\text{tmp['TRUE'}]/\text{sum}(\text{tmp})*100,'wrong'=tmp['FALSE']/sum(tmp)*100,
   \cdotomission'=(sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])-tmp['TRUE'])/sum(i70b.57.veh.ind[tmp.ind])*100)
 tmp <- i70b.57.bi 
 tmp<sup>[]</sup> <- 255
 trap Ctmp. ind] [thresh. indl <- 0 
 image. device( 'postscript ' ,f ile="detecting~motion~img~7pc~thres~l~2 .PSI', 
               data. dim=dim(tmp), height=6) 
 plot. image (tmp) 
 dev. off (1
```
i

I

# **4.3 The** *S+* **Image Processing Code**

```
#tt####t tt##ttt############t############## 
t CJ: 5-NOV-97 
t 
8 Collection of functions to deal with gray-scale images. 
It 
#<br>##### ##<br>#<mark>##</mark>##
                                t function to read in Images in ASCII format. 
t Returns a matrix 
imagine.2.s <- function(file,compresed=T) C 
  if (compresed) { 
    tmp.file <- tempfile0 
    unix(paste("uncompress -c ",file." > " .tmp.f ile, sep="") 1 
    on.exit(unix(paste("rm -f".tmp.file))) 
    file <- tmp-file 
  3 
  data <- matrix(scan(file,skip=4),byrov=T,ncol=3) t (x.y.z) data 
  tn <- sort(unique(data[,ll)) 
  uy \leftarrow sort(unique(data[,2]))
  data \leftarrow matrix(data[,3],byrow=T,nrow=length(uy),ncol=length(ux),
                 dimnames=list(uy.ux)) 
  attr(data,"header") <- scan(f ile .n=3,what="") C31 
  return(data)3 
t edge detection -- gradiant method on 3x3 mask 
detect.edge <- function(data) {
  dd <- dim(data) 
  n.na <- 0 
  search.na <- T 
  vhile(search.na) C 
     search.na <- all(is.na(data[,n.na+1]))
    n.na <- n.na + search-na 
  3 
  print (n.na) 
  x.r <- (n.na+2):(ddC2l-n.na-l) 
  y.r \leftarrow (n.na+2): (dd[1]-n.na-1)
   t in the x-direction: 
   data.x \leftarrow 2*data[y.r.]+data[y.r-1.]+data[y.r+1.]x.\text{grad} \leftarrow \text{data.x[, } x.r+1] - \text{data.x[, } x.r-1]data.y \leftarrow 2*data[, x.r] + data[, x.r-1] + data[, x.r+1]y.grad <- data.y[y.r-1.1 - data.yCy.r+l.l 
   1 size <- angle <- matrix(NA.nro~dd[l] .ncol=ddC2]) 
   angle Cy .r ,x .rl <- atau(y .grad/x. grad) 
   size[y.r,x.r] <- sqrt(y.grad^2+x.grad^2)return(size=size , angle=angle) 
 3 
 apply.filter \langle - function(data, weights=rbind(c(1,2,1),c(2,4,2),c(1,2,1)),<br>n.na=0) {
   t the veights are given-row by row. 
   t by default it is a 'binomial' mask. 
   weights <- weights/sum(weights) 
   n <- length(weights) 
   dd <- dimcdata)
```
 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

```
dv <- dim(veights) 
n0.na.s <- !is.na(data) 
data[!no.na.s] <- 0 
x.r <- l:(dd[2]-2*(n.na+l)) 
y.r <- l:(dd[11-2*(n.na+l)) 
result <- total .veights <- matrix(O,nrou=dd[l] .ncol=dd[2]) 
for(i in l:nrov(veights)) 
  for(j in 1:ncol(weights)) {
    result[y.r+n.na+l,x.r+n.na+l] <- result[y.r+n.na+l.x.r+n.na+l] + 
      veight s [i jl *data Cy. r- l+i , x. r-l+ j I 
  totdl.weights [y .r+n.na+l ,x .r+n.na+ll <- 
  3 
     total.veightsCy.r+n.na+l,x.r+n.na+ll + n0.na.sCy.r-l+i.x.r-l+jl 
result <- result*(length(veights)/total.veights) 
return(resu1t)
```
#### ####

**image. device** <- **function(device=c("motif** " , **"postscript** ") , **f ile="image. ps"** , height=10.5, vidth=8, dpi, n.colors=256, data.dim=NULL, **horizontal=F,** . . .) **<sup>i</sup>**

```
device <- match.arg(device) 
assign("gey1evels .256colors" .seq(O,l.le=n.colors) .where=O) 
ps.options(colors=greylevels.256colors.background=-l)
```
>

```
if (device=="motif") i 
  add.to.sgraphrc <- "-nm 'sgrapWotif .colorSchemes: name: \"256 
  greylevels\ "; background: vhite; lines: black h5 white; text: 
  black h5 vhite; polygons: black h2S4 white; images: black h254 
  vhite 'I' 
  motif(options=add.to.sgraphrc, ... ) 
  # a postscript file is created just to suround the image. 
  Sfigure out the size of the postscript file: 
  if ( ! is .null(data.dim)) { 
3 else < 
    d. ratio \leftarrow data.dim[1]/data.dim[2]
    p.ratio <- height/vidth 
    if (d.ratio>=p .ratio) 
    else 
      vidth <- height*(l/d.ratio) 
      height <- vidth*d.ratio 
  1 
  postscript(file=file,width=width,height=height,horizontal=horizontal,onefile=F,print.it=F, 
              colors=geylevels .256colors, image. colors=greylevels .256colors) 
1 
par (xaxs="i", yaxs="i") 
Par (mar=c (0.0.0.0) 1
```

```
$####rttt+t#####$#~###~###~~##~~##$##
```
return(invisible())

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

```
scale .image <- function(data,n. colors=256,reverse=F) C
  ind <- is .na(data) #background 
 d.r <- range(data[!ind]) 
 data \leftarrow round((data-d.r[1])/(d.r[2]-d.r[1]) * (n.colors-1))
  if (reverse) 
  datacind] <- NA 
 return(data)data <- (n.colors-1)-data 
>
```

```
plot.image <- function(data,add=F,n.colors=256,add.grid=F,add.frame=T. 
                         method=c("image", "polygon")) < 
  t data is a matrix with gray-scale values. 
  dd <- dim(data)
  n.row <- ddC11; n.col <- ddC21 
  d.ratio <- n.row/n.col 
  if (!add) 
  par(pin=par()$din)
  p.par \leftarrow par()$pin
  p. ratio <- p .par C2l /p .par Cil 
  if (d-ratio >= p.ratio) { 
    par(pin=c(p.parC2l/d .ratio.p.par[2] 1) 
  3 
  if(d.ratio < p.ratio) 
    par(pin=c(p-par[1], p-par[1]*d.ratio))3 
  if ( !add) 
    plot (c(0.n. col)+O .5. c(O.n.row)+O. 5. type="p", 
          xlab"" ,ylab="" .axes=F,xIafs="i" ,yaxs="i", col=O) 
  par(err-1) 
  ux <- seq(O.5 ,n. col+O. 5,by=1) 
  uy <- seq(n.rou+0.5.0.5.by=-i) 
  method <- match.arg(method) 
  if (method=="polygon") { 
    data[is.na(data)l <- -1 t the background 
    . C("polygon_matrix", 
       as.single(ux). 
       as. integer(length(ux)), 
       as.single(uy). 
        as. integer(length(uy)), 
        as.single(c(0,1:n.colors)[data+2])
        1 
  3 else < 
    image(x=ux,y=uy,z=t(data)+1,add=add)
  3 
  if(add.grid) {
    abline(v=ux, lwd=0.5, lty=1)
    abline(h=uy, lwd=0.5, lty=1)
  \mathbf{r}if(add.frame) {
    abline(v=range(ux), lwd=0.5, lty=1)
     abline(h=range(uy), lwd=0.5, lty=1)
  \mathbf{r}return(invisible0) 
3
```
*.i,* 

÷

ś

Ź

Δ

# **Appendix C. Log-Normal and Poisson Traffic Count Data Simulation Programs**

# **Documentation for Traffic Count Simulation\_Log-Normal Errors: v2.0A**

This program **simulates** a network of road links that **are** sampled by **satellite** photos and ATRs. The data **are** generated according to a log-linear model with normal errors. The segment lengths must **be** supplied in the file length. Expansion factors are now read from the file 'expfact0r.W ...

**V** LES

MAXLINK = maximum number of **links** possible idum = random number seed used by ranl() and gasdev() \*\*\*Using the same idum gives the same output\*\*\* **nlink** = actual **number** of links used nsat = number of **sats**  natr = **number** of perm **ATR**  nportatr = **number** of moveable ATR  $link_mean(i) = AADT$  of link i  $link_length(i) = length of link i$ mini = minimum **AADT** e.g. 10,000 maxi = maximum **AADT** eg 90,OOO  $hef(24) =$  hourly expansion factor. Not currently used. def(7) = day of **week** expansion factor  $mef(12) =$  monthly expansion factor dt = **#days** from one satellite overpass to another coverage = proportion of links seen by satellite for one overpass  $***e.g.,$  coverage =  $0.01 = 1\%$  of links seen\*\*\* timeint = effective length of time (in hours) of traffic "seen" by satellite. \*\*\*e.g., timeint =  $0.0167$  => satellite will **count** a **minutes worth** of **traffic. Not** currently **used.\*\*\***  \*\*\* It's the dimension of **linkMean()\*\*\*** 

!

sigma = variability of counts.  $***$  e.g., sigma =  $0.10$  =>  $10\%$  variability in recorded count\*\*\* \*\*+There **are** two sigmas **used:** sigmasat, sigmaground\*\*\*

# **LIST OF MODULES IN PROGRAM.**

*P* **Read** expansion factors **from** file 'expfactorin' \*/

*P* **Read** random seed from file 'idum.in' \*/

*P* **Read** input file and write to some parameter files \*/

*P* **Get** and **prepare** link lengths, write to file \*/

*P* Generate **EF and** write **to** files \*/

*P* Generate link parameters and write to files \*/

*P* Generate satellite data and write **to files** \*/

*<sup>P</sup>*Generate cts ATRs. The links **are** *0,* ..., **om-1, so** the link lengths for the cts ATRs **are** always the **same.** Write data **to** a file. \*/

*P* generate short term ATRs and write data to a file \*/

# **SUBRO USED:**

*P* **readseed:** return the random *seed* from file idurn-in. The random **seed** is a negative integer. \*/

/\* read\_EF: read the seasonal adjustment factors \*/

*P* read-input: read fde 'input' **for** parameters \*/

*P* Read **number** of links, **nlink** \*/

*P* Read **UB** andLB on **link** *AADT* \*/

<sup>&</sup>gt;*2 P* Read Sat **parameters** \*/

/\* **Read cts ATRparametas** \*/

*P* Enter portable **ATR parameters** \*/

*P* get-lengths: read and prepare the link lengths **as** follows:

Lengths are read from file length.in

The first natr **are** always assigned **to** the **PATR, so** that the **PATR**  always have the **same** link lengths.

Finally the remaining **nlink** - natr lengths **are** assigned randomly

to the links w/o **PATR, so** the **MATR are** assigned to random links.

**Lengths are** written to 1ength.out \*/

*P* Read the lengths from 'lengthin'\*/

*P* Scramble the last **nlink** - **natr links** \*/

*P* Write the results **to** file \*/

*P* **gen-EFO** generate expansion **factors and** write to **file** 'truth.out'. \*/

/\* gen\_link\_par VMT. Write above **to files.** \*/ : Generate linkMean, linkLength, total traffic, AADT,

*P* Generate **true** mean of daily traftic count for each **link** \*/

/\* Write out total volume of traffic for the year to 'truth.out'. \*/

/\* Write link-mean **(AADT** of **links)** to files 'truth.out' and 'aadt.out' \*/

/\* Compute **VMT** and write **to** file 'vmt.out' \*/

*P* gen-sat: write **simulated sat** counts to file 'sate-out' and write sampling design to file 'design.out\*. Write link and **number** of **times** each **link**  is sampled by sat to file 'sat\_samp.out' \*/

*P'* Initialize satsamp *\*I* 

*P* Sample **from sat** \*/

**Q** 

i

*P* **gea-Am generate ATR** counts *\*I* 

 $P^*$  **ranl:** generate a realization of a uniform(0,1) **rv**  $\star$ /

*P* gasdev: **return** a **realization** of a std normal **rv** \*/

/\* get\_sample: put sample of size **n** into first **n** slots of linkID 0 to n-1. These links **are** sampled by the *sat* on a given pass, or **used** by the **MATR.**  The first excl links are excluded. Excl = npatr for MATR, or 0 for sat  $*/$ 

# **PROGRAM:**

#include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.b #include <math.h> #include **<float&**  #include <limits.h> #include ctime.h>

#define MAXLINK *5000 P* Maximum number of links **allowed** *\*I* 

*P'* Function prototypes. *\*I* 

int readseed(void);

void read EF(double hef<sup>[]</sup>, double def[], double mef<sup>[]</sup>); void get\_lengths(double link\_length[], int nlink, int natr, int maxlink, double ranl(int \*idum); double gasdev(int \*idurn); void gen-EFO(double heff], double def[], double mef[]); void gen\_link\_parameters(double link\_mean[], double link\_length[], int maxlink, int **nlink,** double mini, double maxi, int \*idum); void gen-sat(double link-mean<sub>[]</sub>, int maxlink, int nlink, double dt, int \*idum); double defl], double mefl], double coverage, double sigma, int \*idum); void gen\_ATR(double link\_mean[], int maxlink, int nlink, int link, int yearday1, int yearday2, double hef[], double def[], double mefl], double sigmaground, int \*idum); void read\_input(int \*nlink, int \*nsat, double \*dt, double \*coverage, double \*timeint, double \*sigmasat, int \*natr, double \*sigmaground, int \*nportatr, double \*mini, double \*maxi); void get\_sample(int linkID[], int n, int maxlink, int nlink, int \*idum, int excl); void convertDayNumber(double time, double \*hh, int \*dd, int \*mm, int \*weekday); double max(double a, double b); double min(double a, double b);

*...* 

I

!

# main<sup>()</sup>

{

*P* Declare variables: *\*I* 

int i, idum, natr, **link,** yeardayl, yearday2, length, **start.,** nportatr, double link\_mean[MAXLINK], link\_length[MAXLINK]; double dt, coverage, timeint, sigmasat, sigmaground, **mini,** maxi; double hef<sup>[24]</sup>, def<sup>[7]</sup>, mef<sup>[12]</sup>;  $nlink$ ,  $nsat = 0$ ,  $exci$ ;

*P* **Read** expansion factors from file 'expfactorin' *\*I* 

read\_EF(hef, def, mef);

*P* **Read** random **seed** from file 'idumh' *\*I*   $idum = readseed()$ ;

*P* **Read** input file and write to some **parameter files** *\*I*  read\_input(&nlink, &nsat, &dt, &coverage, &timeint, &sigmasat, &natr, &sigmaground, **&nportatr,** &mini, &maxi);

*P* Get and *prepm* **link** lengths, write **to** file *\*I*  get\_lengths(link\_length, nlink, natr, MAXLINK, &idum);

*P* Generate **EF** and write *to* files *\*I*  gen\_EFO(hef, def, mef);

*P* Generate **link** parameters and write to files *\*I*  gen\_link\_parameters(link\_mean, link\_length, MAXLINK, nlink, maxi, mini, &idurn);

*P* **Genexate** satellite data **and** write to **files** *+I*  for  $(i = 1; i \leq max; i++)$  { gen\_sat(link\_mean, MAXLINK, nlink, dt, def, mef, coverage, sigmasat, &idurn);

**1** 

**1** 

**1** 

*<sup>P</sup>*Generate **cts** ATRs. The links **are 0,** ..., **natr-1, so** the **link** lengths for  $yearday1 = 1;$  $yearday2 = 365;$ the **cts** ATRs **are** always the **same.** *\*I* 

for  $(i = 0; i <$  natr;  $i++)$  {  $link = i;$ gen\_ATR(link\_mean, MAXLINK, nlink, link, yearday1, yearday2, hef, def, mef, sigmaground, &idum);

*P* genaate **short** term ATRs *\*I*  for  $(i = natr; i < natr + nportatr; i++)$  { length = **2;** /\* Number of **days** of **observations** at any **MATR link** *\*I*   $start = floor(ran1(\& idum) * (365-length + 1)) + 1;$ gen-ATR(lixkmean, MAXLINK, **nlink, i, start,** start+length-1, hef, **def,**  mef, sigmaground, &idurn);

return 0; } *P* End of **main** *\*I* 

*I\** Function definitions: *\*I* 

```
P readseed: return the random seed from file idurnin. The random seed is a 
int readseed(void)
  negative integer. *I 
{ 
 int c = 0;
 FILE *idump; 
 idump = fopen("idum.in", "r"); 
 fscanf(idump, "%d", &c);
 fclose(idump);
 if (!(c < 0)) 
 return c; 
1 
  printf("\nreadseed: error, random seed must be a negative integer.\n");
```

```
P read-= read the seasonal adjustment factors *I 
void read-EF(doub1e heft], double defl]. double meft]) 
{
```
int i;

FILE \*EFp;

**EFp** = fopen("expfactor.in", "r");

```
for (i = 0; i < 7; i++)for (i = 0; i < 12; i++)fscanf(EFp, "%If", &def[i]);
 fscanf(EFp, "%lf", &mef[i]);
```
fclose(EFp);

return;

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

/\* read\_input: read file 'input' for parameters \*/ void read-input(int **\*nlink.** int **\*nsat,** double \*dt, double \*coverage, double \*timeint, double \*sigmasat, int \*natr, double \*sigmaground, int \*nportatr, double \*mini, double \*maxi)

int i;

(

FILE \*parametersp; FILE \*truthp; FILE \*patrp; FILE \*matrp;

matrp = fopen("matr.out", "w");  $truthp = fopen("truth.out", "w");$  $patrp = fopen("patr.out", "w");$ 

parametersp = fopem("parameters.out", "w");

*P* **Read number** of **links, nlink** *\*I*   $***n**link = 0;$ do ( *I\** printf("Enter number of **links** for this run.W); *\*I*  scanf("%d", nlink); } while  $(*nlink < 1);$ fprintf(truthp, "\nThere are %d links for this run.\n", \*nlink); fprintf(parametersp, "There are %d links.\n\n", \*nlink);

*P* **Read UB** and **LB** on *link* **AADT** *\*I*   $***mini** = 0;$ do ( *<sup>P</sup>*printf("1nput lower bound **for** link **AADT** (min **1.0):** b"); *\*I*  scanf("%lf', mini); ) while (\*mini < **1);** 

 $*$ maxi =  $*$ mini; do ( *<sup>P</sup>*printf("1nput upper bound **for** link **AADT:** b"); *\*I*  scanf("%lf', maxi); ) while (\*maxi <= **\*mini);** 

fprintf(mthp, "Lower bound of **AADT** = **96f.** Upper bound <sup>=</sup>**%h",** \*mini,\*maxi); **fprintf(parametersp, "Bounds are from %f to %f.\n", \*mini, \*maxi);** 

*P* read **sat** data *\*I* 

\*nsat = -1, \*dt = 0, \*coverage = -1;

do (

/\* printf("Enter number of satellites: \n"); \*/ scanf("%d", nsat); ) while (\*mat < **0);**  \*nsat =  $(*$ nsat > \*nlink) ? \*nlink  $*$  **\*nsat; /\* Truncate nsat at nlink \*/** fprintf(parametersp, "There are %d satellites.\n", \*nsat);

do (

*P* printf("Input time **between** sat passes, in **days.\n");** *\*I*  scanf("%lf", dt);  $\}$  while (\*dt < .01); **fprintf(parametersp,** "Time between *sat* passes = %f **days.b",** *\*dt);* 

# do (

å.

*P* printf("Input fraction of links **seen** by satellite.\n"); *\*I*  **scanf("%lf',** coverage);  $\}$  while (\*coverage  $< 0$  **II** \*coverage  $> 1$ ); fprintf(parametersp, "Coverage = **8f** percent.b", \*coverage \* 100);

*P* printf("1nput fraction of hour equivalent **the** sat sees.\n");

printf("Be sure to make the value between **-001 and 24.0b");** *\*I*  scanf("%lf", timeint); fprintf(parametersp, "Equivalent time = %f.\n", \*timeint);

/\*printf("Input  $s > 0$ , where the error has  $exp(Normal[0, s^2])$  dist $\{m\}$ "); \*sigmasat  $= -1$ ; **do t**  scanf("%lf", sigmasat);  $\}$  while (\*sigmasat < 0); fprintf(parametenp, "Sigmasat = **%f.\n",** \*sigmasat); printf("and  $s < 1$  say.  $s$  is sigma\_sat.\n");  $\neq$ /

/\* Enter cts **ATR** parameters \*/

*I\** printf("Enter **number** of continuous **ATRs,** not greater **than** #links.b");\*/  $scanf("%d", nat);$ fprintf(parametersp, "There **are 46d** continuous **ATR** links.\n", \*natr); fprintf(patrp, "%5d\n", \*natr);

```
for (i = 0; i < *natr; i++)fprintf(patrp, "%8d\n", i+1);
```
/\* printf("Enter nonnegative sigma value for ground counts.\n"); \*/ scanf("%If", sigmaground);

fprintf(parametersp, "sigmaground = %f\n", \*sigmaground);

*P* Enter poxtable **ATR** parameters *\*I* 

scanf("%d", nportatr); fprintf(parametersp, "There are %d portable ATRs used.\n", \*nportatr); fprintf(matrp, " %d\n", \*nportatr);

```
for (i = *n \cdot x; i < *n \cdot x + *n \cdot \text{product}; i++)fprintf(matrp, "%5d\n", i+1);
```
fclose(matrp); fclose(truthp); fclose(parametersp); fclose(patrp);

return;

}

*P* get-lengths: read and prepare the **link** lengths **as** follows: Lengths are read from file length.in

The first natr are always assigned to the **PATR,** so that the PATR

always have the same link lengths.

Finally **the** remaining nlink - **nau** lengths are assigned randomly

to the links **w/o PATR, so** the **MATR** *are* assigned to random **links.**  Lengths are written to length.out \*/ void get\_lengths(double link\_length[], int nlink, int natr, int maxlink, int \*idurn)

 $\mathbf{f}$ 

 $\ddot{z}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}$ 

int i; int linkID[MAXLINK]; double garb\_dbl[MAXLINK];

FILE \*length\_inp; FILE \*lengthp;

 $length\_inp = fopen("length.in", "r");$ lengthp = fopen("length.out", **"w");** 

if (length  $\text{inp} = \text{NULL}$ ) printf("read\_lengths: file length.in not found");

*P* Read the lengths **from** 'length.in'\*/ for  $(i = 0; i < \text{nlink}; i++)$ fscanf(length-inp, **"%lf",** &link-length[i]);

/\* Scramble the last nlink - natr **links** \*/

get-sample(linkID, **nlink** - **natr, MAxLIM(, nlink,** idum, **natr);** 

for  $(i = 0; i < n$ link;  $i++)$  $garb_dbl[i] = link_length[i];$ 

for  $(i = natr; i < nlink; i++)$  $link_length[i] = garb_db][ linkID[i]$ ;

/\* Write the results to fiie \*/ for  $(i = 0; i < 0$  hink;  $i++)$ fprintf(lengthp, *"%5d* **%7.4f\n",** i+l, link-length[il);

fclose(length\_inp); fclose(1engthp);

return;

}

*P* gen-EFO: generate expansion factors and write to file 'truth.out'. *\*I* void gen\_EFO(double hef[], double def[], double mef[]) ( int i;

double **sum** = *0.0;* 

FILE \*truthp;

 $truthp = fopen("truth.out", "a");$ for  $(i = 0; i \le 22; i++)$ **sum** += **1 .O** / hef[i];  $hef[23] = 1.0 / (24.0 - sum)$ ;  $sum = 0.0$ ; for  $(i = 0; i \le 5; i++)$  $sum += 1.0$  / def[i];

 $def[6] = 1.0 / (7.0 - sum);$ 

 $sum = 0.0$ ; for  $(i = 0; i \le 10; i++)$  $sum \leftarrow 1.0 / \text{mef[i]}$ ; **meflll]** = 1.0 / **(12.0** - **sum);** 

fprintf(truthp, "Hourly expansion factors\n"); for  $(i = 0; i < 24; i++)$ fprintf(truthp, "From %d to %d, E.F.  $=$  %f\n", i, i+1, hef[i]);

fprintf(truthp, "\nWeekday expansion factors\n"); for  $(i = 0; i < 7; i++)$ **fprintf(truthp, "From %d to %d,**  $EF = %f(n", i, i+1, def[i]);$ 

fprintf(truthp, "\nMonthly expansion factors\n"); for  $(i = 0; i < 12; i++)$ **fprintf(truthp.** "From **96d** to %d, E.F. = **%flu",** i, i+l, mefli]);

fclose(truthp); **1**  return;

*P* gen-link-paramaers: **Generate linkMan, linklength,** total **traffic, AADT, VMT.** Write above to **files.** \*/

void gen\_link\_parameters(double link\_mean[], double link\_length[],

int **maxlink,** int **nlink,** double mini, double maxi, int \*idurn)

..

Story L

double sum = 0, adjust, proposed, mean\_length, sd\_length, min\_length, temp, timeint; int **i,** count;

FlLE **\*truthp;**  FILE **\*aadtp;**  FILE \*vmtp;

{

**truthp** = fopen("trurh.out", **"a");**  aadtp = fopen("aadt.out", **"w");**   $v$ mtp = fopen("vmt.out", "w");

```
P Generate true mean of daily traffic count for each link, then adjust to 
   ensure that the total traffic is (\text{min}+\text{max})/2.0<sup>*</sup>/
sum = 0.0;
for (i = 0; i < \text{nlink}; i++) {
  temp = ran1(idum);link-mean[i] = temp * (maxi - mini) + mini; 
  sum += link_mean[i];1 
 adjust = (float)sum I dink - (mini + maxi) / 2.0; 
 for (i = 0; i < nlink; i++)
  link mean[i] = adjust;
 P' Write out total volume of traffic for the year to 'auth.out'. */ 
 sum = 0.0;
 for (i = 0; i < \text{nlink}; i++)sum += link_mean[i];fprintf(truthp, "Total volume of traffic for year, all links = %.of\n\n", 
          365*sum); 
 /* Write link-mean (AADT of links) to files 'truth.out' and 'aadt.out' */ 
 sum = 0.0;
 for (i = 0; i < \text{nlink}; i++) {
  sum + = link_mean[i];fprintf(truthp, "Link %d has true AADT = %12.4\{n\}, i+1, link_mean[i];
  fprintf(aadtp, "%12.4f %d\n", link_mean[i], i+1);
 1 
 fprintf(truthp, "\nAverage AADT over all %d links = %12.4f\n", nlink,
          sum/( nliik)) ; 
 P Compute VMT and write to file 'vmt.out' */ 
 sum = 0;
 for (i = 0; i < nlink; i++)sum += link_mean[i] * link_length[i];fprintf(vmtp, " %.Of.", sum); 
 fclose(vmtp);
 fclose(aadtp);
 fclose(truthp);
 return;
\mathbf{I}I* gen-sat: write simulated sat counts to file 'sate.out' and write sampling 
  design to file 'design.out'. Write link and number of times each link
  is sampled by sat to file 'sat-samp.out' */ 
void gen_sat(double link_mean[], int maxlink, int nlink, double dt,
             double def[], double mef[], double coverage, double sigma,
             int *idum) 
{ 
 int n, i, mm, dd, count, weekday, excl;
```
int linkID[MAXLINK], satsamp[MAXLINK]; double time, AADT, rcount, **hh;** 

**I** 

I

```
FILE *parametersp;
FILE *satep; 
FILE *designp;
FILE *satsampp;
```
\_----

```
parametersp = fopen("parameters.out", "a");
 \text{satep} = \text{fopen}("\text{satc.out", "w"});\text{designp} = \text{fopen("design.001"," "w");satsamp = fopen("sat_samp.out", "w");
```
**n** = ceil(coverage \* nlink); /\* Number of links sampled. \*/

**fprintf(parametersp,** "Numbex of **links** *seen* by *sat* is %db", **n);** 

*P* Initialize satsamp \*/ for  $(i = 0; i < \text{nlink}; i++)$  $satsamp[i] = 0;$ 

```
P Sample from sat */
```

```
time = ranl(idum) * dt + 1;
excl = 0:
while (time < 366) ( 
 get-sample(linkJD, n, MAXLINK, nlink, idum, excl); 
 for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
  AADT = link-mean[ linkID[i] 1; 
  convertDayNumber(time, &hh, Btdd. &mm, &weekday); 
  if (bh >= -1 && hh <= 25) ( /* daytime: always for now */ 
       rcount = AADT / (def[weekday-1] * mef[mm-1]):rcount = rcount * exp(qasdev(idum) * sigma);rcount = rcount / exp( sigma * sigma / 2 ); /* bias correction */
       count = floor(round);fprintf(satep, "%5d %10d %3d %3d %2d\n", linkID[i]+1, count, mm, dd,
       fprintf(designp, "961Od 9658 82d %3db", count, linklD[i]+l, 
                weekday); 
                weekday, mm);
```
 $(satsamp[linkID[i])++;$ 

```
\mathbf{I}time = time + dt
```
 $\mathbf{I}$ 

```
\mathbf{I}
```
for (i = 0; i < nlink; **i++)**  fprintf(satsampp, "%d %d\n", i+1, satsamp[i]);

fclose(designp); fclose(parametersp);
fclose(satep); fclose(satsampp);

return; **1** 

{

*I\** gen-ATR: generate **ATR** counts *\*I* 

void gen\_ATR(double link\_mean[], int maxlink, int nlink, int link, int yearday1, int yearday2, double hef[], double def[], double meft], double sigmaground, int \*idum)

int dd, mm, weekday, i, count, isum  $= 0$ ; double AADT, rcount, suml, sumt, adjust, **hh;**  double temp[365];

FILE \*patrp; FILE **\*matrp;**  FILE \*designp;

matrp = fopen("matr.out", "a");  $design = fopen("design.out", "a")$ ; patrp = fopen("patr.out", **"a");** 

*I\** Sample from ATRs *\*I* 

```
if (yeardayl != 1 II yearday2 != 365) ( I* movable atr */ 
 for (i = \text{yearday1}; i \leq \text{yearday2}; i++) {
  AADT = link_mean[link];
  convertDayNumber((double)i, &hh, &dd, &mm, &weekday); 
  rcount = AADT / (def[weekday-1] * mef[mm-1]);
  rcount = rcount / exp( sigmaground * sigmaground / 2 );
  count = floor(round);isum = isum + count;fprintf(designp. "%lOd %5d %2d %3db". count, link+l, weekday, mm); 
  fprintf(matrp, "%5d 961Od %3d %3d %2db", link+l, count, mm, dd, 
 \text{c} rcount = rcount * exp( gasdev(idum) * sigmaground );
           weekday); 
 I 
] else ( I* permanent atr */ 
  sum1 = 0;
  sumt = 0;
```

```
for (i = \text{yearday1}; i \leq \text{yearday2}; i++)AADT = link_mean[link];sum1 += AADT;
     convertDayNumber((doub1e)i. &hh, &dd, Bimm, &weekday); 
     rcount =AADT / (def[weekday-1] * mef[mm-1]);
     rcount = rcount * exp( gasdev(idum) * sigmaground );
     rcount = rcount I exp( sigmaground * sigmaground I 2 ); 
     temp[i-1] = floor(round);sumt += temp[i-1 I;
```
**I** 

```
adjust = (sum1 - sum) / (yearday2 - yearday1 + 1);sumt = 0;
   for (i = \text{yearday1}; i \leq \text{yearday2}; i++)temp[i-1] += adjust;sumt += temp[i-1];
         count = floor(temp[i-1]);isum += count;convertDayNumber((double)i, &hh, &dd, &mm, &weekday);
         fprintf(pahp, "%5d %1Od %3d %'a %2dW, link+l, count, mm, dd, 
                  weekday); 
         fprintf(designp, "%10d %5d %2d %3d\n", count, link+1, weekday, mm);
   1 
  1 
 fclose(patrp);
 fclose(designp);
 fclose(matro);
 return;
\mathbf{)}P^* ranl: generate a realization of a uniform(0,1) rv */
double ranl(int *idum) 
( 
 int ia=16807, im=2147483647, iq=127773, ir=2836, ntab=32, ndiv, j, k;
 double r 1, am, eps, mmx; 
 P next two should be static or something */ 
 static int iy;
 static int iv[32]; P dim is NTAB */ 
 P statics are initialized to zero */ 
 am = 1 / (double)im;
 ndiv = 1 + (im - 1) / (double)ntab;<br>eps = . 12;
 eps =.
 rnmx = 1 - eps;
 if (*idum<=O I1 iy=O) ( 
   *idum = (int)max((double)(-(*idurn)), 1.0); 
   for (j = ntab+8; j \ge 1; j--) {
    k = *idum / (double)iq;*idum = ia * (*idum - k*iq) - ir*k;
    if (*idum < 0) 
          *idum +=im;
    if (i \leq ntab)iv[j] = *idum;1 
   iy = iv[1];1 
 k = *idum / (double)iq;*idum = ia * (*idum - k*iq) - ir*k;
 if (*idum < 0)
```

```
*idum +=im;
j=1+iy/ndiv;iv = iv[i];iv[i] = *idum;
```
 $r1 = min(am*iy, rnmx);$ return rl;

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

```
P gasdev: return a realization of a std normal rv */ 
double gasdev(int *idum) 
\left\{ \right.static int iset; 
 double fac, rsq, vl , v2. gdev; 
 static double gset; 
 if (iset == 0) \{one: 
  v1 = 2 * \text{ran1}(\text{idum}) - 1;
  v2 = 2 * \text{ran1}(\text{idum}) - 1;rsq = v1 * v1 + v2 * v2;if (rsq = 1 || rsq = 0}
    goto one; 
  fac = sqrt(-2 * log(rsq)/rsq); 
  gdev = v2 * fac;1 
 else ( 
   gdev = gset;\text{iset} = 0;I 
  gset = v1 * fac;\text{iset} = 1;
 return gdev; 
I 
/* get_sample: put sample of size n into first n slots of linkID 0 to n-1.
  These links are sampled by the sat on a given pass, or used by the MATR. 
  The first excl links are excluded. Excl = npatr for MATR, or 0 for sat */ 
void get_sample(int linkID[], int n, int maxlink, int nlink, int *idum,
                    int excl) 
( 
 int i, k, num, temp; 
 double rtemp; 
 P Initialize link IDS *I 
 for (i = 0; i < nlink; i++)linkID[i] = i;for (i = exc1; i < n + exc1; i++) {
```
I

```
rtemp = ran1(idum) * (nlink-i) + i; /* a number in i to nlink */
  nun = floor(rtemp); /* truncate so in i to nlink - 1 */ 
  temp = linkID(num);linkID(num] = linkID[i];linkID[i] = temp;1 
return; 
I 
/* convertDayNumber: */
void convertDayNumber(doub1e time, double *hh, int *dd, int *mm, 
              int *weekday) 
{ 
 int yearday; 
 double fraction; 
 fraction = time - floor(time); 
 yearday = floor(time - fraction);*weekday = yearday % 7 + 1;
 *hh = floor((time - yearday)*24) + 1;if (yearday <= 31 && yearday >=1) ( 
  *mm = 1;*dd = yearday; 
 I 
 if (yearday <= 59 && yearday >= 32) ( 
  *<b>mm</b> = 2;
  *dd = yearday - 31;
 1 
 if (yearday <= 90 && yearday >= 60) 
  *mm = 3;*dd = yearday - 59; 
 1 
 if (yearday <= 120 && yearday >= 91) ( 
  *mm = 4;*dd = yearday - 90, 
 I 
 if (yearday <= 151 && yearday r 121) ( 
  *mm=5; 
  *dd = yearday - 120; 
 1 
 if (yearday <= 18 1 && yearday >= 152) ( 
   *mm = 6;*dd = yearday - 151;
```
 $\sim$  .

```
if (yearday <= 2 12 && yearday >= 182) { 
 *mm = 7;*dd = yearday - 181; 
) 
if (yearday <= 243 && yearday >= 213) { 
 *mm = 8;*dd = yearday - 212; 
1 
if (yearday <= 273 && yearday >= 244) { 
  *mm = 9;
  *dd = yearday - 243; 
 1 
if (yearday c= 304 && yearday >= 274) { 
  *<sub>mm</sub> = 10;*dd = yearday - 273; ) 
if (yearday <= 334 && yearday >= 305) { 
  *mm= 11; 
  *dd = yearday - 304; } 
 if (yearday <= 365 && yearday >= 335) { 
  *mm = 12;*dd = yearday - 334; 
 1 
return; 
1 
I* max *I 
double max(doub1e a, double b) 
{ 
 double temp; 
 temp= (a>b)?a:b; 
 return temp; 
1 
P min *I 
double min(doub1e a, double b) 
{ 
 double temp;
```

```
temp = ( a < b) ? a: b; 
return temp;
1
```
 $\overline{ }$ 

à

 $\mathbf{J}$ 

## B2: **LISTING** OF POISSON **SIMULATION PROGRAM:**

```
............................................................................................. 
THIS PROGRAM IS WRITEN IN S-PLUS
* 
function(seed, obs.params)
{ 
          set.seed(seed) #DF and MF contain the appropriate daily and monthly 
# factors for each of the 365 days of the year 
          DF <- rep(DEF, 53)[1:365]
          MF <- c(rep(MEF[1], 31), rep(MEF[2], 28), rep(MEF[3], 31), rep(MEF[4],
                    30), rep(MEF[5], 31), rep(MEF[6], 30), rep(MEF[7], 31), rep(MEF[
                    8], 31), rep(MEF[9], 30), rep(MEF[10], 31), rep(MEF[11], 30),
                    rep(MEF[12], 31))
          EF <- DF * MF #read link parameters from linkparams: 
          nlink <- obs.params[1]
          alpha <- obs.params[2] 
#n. of links; alpha and beta for the gamma prior, 
          beta < - obs.params[3]
          nsat <- obs.params[4] 
          repeatcycle c- obs.params[5] 
          npatr <- obs.params[7]
          satcovg < - obs.params[6]nmatr <- obs.params[8]
          capacity < -obs.params[9]nsatdays <- (365 %/% repeatcycle) * nsat 
          nsatobsday <- satcovg * dink 
          \text{days} < \text{seq}(1, 365)evendays \langle- seq(2, 364, 2)links <- seq(npatr + 1, nlink) 
          monthofday <- c(rep(1, 31), rep(2, 28), rep(3, 31), rep(4, 30), rep(5,
                    31), rep(6,30), rep(7.31). rep@, 31). rep@, 30). rep(l0,31 
                    1, rep(ll,30), rep(l2,31)) 
          dateofday e- c(l:31. 1:28, 1:31. 130, 1:31, 13, 1:31, 1:31, 1:30, 1: 
                    31,1:30, 1:31) #generate link means 
          theta \leq beta * rgamma(nlink, alpha) + 10000
          for(j in 1:npatr) ( 
                                                 Msc objects 
                                                                     #generate PATR counts 
          adjpatr <- \text{matrix}(n \text{row} = 365 * \text{npatr}, \text{ncol} = 5)for(i in 1:365) \{\text{adj} \text{part}[i + (j - 1) * 365, 1] < -i\text{adj}<sup>\text{part}[i + (j - 1) * 365, 2] < -\text{array}(n = 1, \text{theta}[i])</sup>
                              \text{adj}\text{r}(i + (i - 1) * 365, 3 < - monthofdav[i]\text{adj}[\text{i} + (\text{j} - 1) * 365, 4] < -\text{d} \text{abcd}\text{adj}\text{part}[i + (j - 1) * 365, 5] < -i 9667 + 1EF[i])
                    I 
          1 #choose links for moveables. 
          mvblelinks e- (npatr + l):(npatr + nmatr) 
          mvbledays \lt\text{-} sample(evendays, size = nmatr, replace = \text{F})
          #generate MATR counts 
                                                           #choose days for moveables
```

```
adjmatr \leq- matrix(nrow = 2 * nmatr, ncol = 5)
for(i in 1:nmatr) { 
          \text{adj}(\text{part}[2 \cdot i - 1, 2] < \text{rpois}(n = 1, \text{theta}[m\nu\text{b}le] \text{links}[i])/\text{EF}[adjmatr[2 * i - 1.11 c- mvblelinks[i] 
          \text{adj} \text{mart}[2 \cdot i - 1, 3] < \text{monthof} \text{day}[m \text{vbledays}[i]]\text{adj} \text{matrix}[2 \cdot i - 1, 5] < - (mvbledays[i] %% 7) + 1
          adjmatr[2 * i - 1,4] e- dateofday[mvbledays[ill 
           \text{adj}(\text{matrix}[2 \cdot i, 2] < -\text{pois}(n = 1, \text{theta}[m\nu\text{triangle}[i])/\text{EFT}\text{adimatr}[2 * i, 1] < \text{mvblelinks}[i]adjmatr[2 * i, 31 <- monthofday[mvbledays[il+ 11 
           \text{adj} \text{matrix}[2 \cdot i, 5] \leq ((\text{mvbledavs[i]} + 1) \cdot 66 \cdot 7) + 1\text{adj}(\text{matrix}[2 \cdot i, 4] < \text{dateof}(\text{day}[mv \text{bedays}[i] + 1])1 #choose days for sat. 
firstday \langle- sample(c(1:7), size = 1)
satdays \lt- seq(firstday, by = repeatcycle %/% nsat, length = nsatdays)
#for each sat obs in each day choose an hour and set of links 
sathours <- matrix(nrow = nsatobsday, ncol = nsatdays) 
satlinks \leq- matrix(nrow = nsatobsday, ncol = nsatdays)
for(i in 1:nsatdays) {
                    mvbledays[il]) 
                    m\nu\text{bledays}[i] + 1]sathours[, i] < sample(c(1:24), size = 1)
           for(i in 1:nsatobsday) ( 
           1 
                     satlinks[i, i] <- sample(c(1:nlink), size = 1)
1 #generate satobs. 
adjsat <- matrix(nrow = nsatdays * nsatobsday. ncol = 5) 
for(i in 1:nsatdays) {
           for(i in 1:nsatobsday) ( 
                     linkvec <- rep(satlinks[i, j]. 2 * nmatr) 
                     dayvec \langle- rep(satdays[j], 2 * nmatr)
                     if(all((dayvec != mvbledays) 1 (linkvec != mvblelinks)) 
                               ) {<br>adjsat[nsatobsday * (j - 1) + i, 2] <- min(288 *
                                HEF[sathours[i, j]] * EF[satdaysljll* pis( 
                                 n = 1, theta[satlinks[i, j]]/(288 * HEF[
                                 sathours[i, j]] * EF[satdays[j]])), capacity)
                               adjsat[nsatobsday *(i - 1) + i, 1] <- satlinks[
                                i, jl 
                               adjsat[nsatobsday *(i - 1) + i, 3] <-
                                 monthofday [satdays [j]]
                                ad jsat [nsatobsday *(i - 1) + i, 4] \lt-
                                 dateofday[satdays[i]]
                                adisat[nsatobsday *(j - 1) + i, 5] <- (satdays[
                                i(96\% 7) + 11 
           1 
 1 #remove missing sat rows 
 adjsat <- adjsat[adjsat[, 1] != "NA", ]
 lengths <- scan("length.out") 
                                                   #calc true VMT
```
VMT.t <- sum(lengths[1:nlink]  $*$  theta)

#output data for traditional method

**write.table(npatr, file** = **"patr.out", dimnames.write** = **F) write.table(as.vector(c(l:npatr)), file** = **"patr.out", dimnames.write** =

 $F$ , append =  $T$ )

**1** 

write.table(adjpatr, file = "patr.out", dimnames.write = F, sep = "  $append = T$ )

write.table( $n$ matr, file = "matr.out", dimnames.write =  $F$ )  $write.table(a s.vector(c((npatr + 1):(nmatr + npatr))), file =$ 

 $"matrix.out", dimnames.write = F, append = T)$ 

write.table(adjmatr, file = "matr.out", dimnames.write =  $F$ ,  $\text{sep} =$  "  $\text{''}$ ,  $append = T$ )

write.table(adjsat, file = "sate.out", dimnames.write =  $F$ ,  $sep =$  ") **write.table(as.vector(theta), file** = **"aadt.out". dimnames.write** = **F)**   $write.table(VMT.t, file = "vm.out", dimnames.write = F)$ 

## **Appendix D. Traditional Method AADT and VMT Estimation Code**

/\*/

**PProgram of** VMT **Estimations\*/**  /\* Carolyn Kan 07/21/98 \*/<br>/\*

**#include Cstdi0.h #include <stdlib.b #include <string.h #include <sy&ype!s.b #include <sys/stat.h #include 4cntI.b** 

**Wine January 1 #define Febuary 2 #define March 3 #define April 4 #define May 5 #define June 6 #define July 7 #define August 8 #define September 9 #define October 10 #define November 11 #define December 12** 

 $\cdot$  4

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

**#define Monday 1 #define Tuesday 2 #define Wednesday 3 #define Thursday 4 #define Friday 5 #define Saturday 6 #define Sunday 7** 

**#defme no-link 100**  #define day\_of\_year 365 **Mefinemax-rd 36500**  /\* max-rd = **no-link** \* **day-of\_year**  *suppose* **it is not a leap year**  maximum records **allowed** \*/

/\*file pointer\*/ FILE \*file\_in; **FEE \*file-out; FILE \*aadtp;** 

**Pfile names\*/ char \*outfilel; char \*outfile2; char \*outfile3; char \*infidel;**  char \*infile2;

char \*infile3; char \*infile4: char \*infile5;

/\*no of permenent & moving ATR and Satellite **data** generated \*/ int p\_ATR;  $/*\#$  of permanent ATR\*/ int m\_ATR; /\*# of movable ATR\*/ int sate; /\*# of satellite images\*/ int p\_link[no\_link]; /\*list of link id for P ATR\*/  $int m_{\text{link}}[no_{\text{link}}]$ ; /\*list of  $link$  id for  $m_{\text{ATR}}$ \*/ int satc-link[no-link]; /\*list of **link** id for satellite image\*/ int no-mATR-rd; *P#* of **records** for **P ATRV** . int no\_pATR-r& /\*# of **records** for **m** Am\*/ int no\_sate\_rd;  $/*\#$  of records for satellite image\*/

int sate\_not\_ATR; /\*# of links without ground data only with satellite data\*/ int sate,only[no-link]; /\*list of **link** id without ground data only with satellite **data\*/** 

struct ATR\_data int linkID; /\*link identification \*/ float ADT: {

/\*ADT value for simulated ATR and satellite data \*/ int month; int day; int **week;**   $\}$ ; /\*end of struct\*/

struct sat\_data

int linklD; /\*link identification \*/ float **flow;**  /\*ADT value for simulated ATR and satellite **data** \*/ int month; int day; int **week;**  /\* float start\_time; \*/  $/$ \* float end\_time; \*/  $\cdot$ ; /\*end of struct\*/ **I** 

ي<br>مراجع ري

struct ATR\_data p\_ADT[max\_rd]; struct ATR\_data m\_ADT[max\_rd]; struct sat\_data sat\_vol[max\_rd];

float Jan\_sum[no\_link]; float Feb-sum[no-linkl; float Mar-sum[no-link]; float Apr\_sum[no\_link]; float May-sum[no-link]; float Jun-sum[no-linkl; float Jul\_sum[no\_link]; float Aug\_sum[no\_link]; float Sep-sum[no-link];

float Oct\_sum[no\_link]; float Nov\_sum[no\_link]: float Dec\_sum[no\_link];

float Mon\_sum[no\_link]; float Tue\_sum[no\_link]; float Wed-sum[no-link]; float Thu\_sum[no\_link]; float Fri\_sum[no\_link]; float Sat\_sum[no\_link]; float Sun\_sum[no\_link]:

**Y** 

į.

**L. I**  .

Ŀ.

float Jan\_AADT[no\_link]; float Feb\_AADT[no\_link]; float Mar\_AADT[no\_link]; float Apr\_AADT[no\_link]; float May\_AADT[no\_link]; float Jun\_AADT[no\_link]; float Jul-AADT[no-link]; float Aug\_AADT[no\_link]; float Sep\_AADT[no\_link]; float Oct\_AADT[no\_link]; float Nov\_AADT[no\_link]; float Dec-AADT[no-link];

float Mon-AADT[no-link]; float Tue\_AADT[no\_link]: float Wed\_AADT[no\_link]: float Thu\_AADT[no\_link]; float Fri-AADT[no-link]; float Sat\_AADT[no\_link]; float Sun\_AADT[no\_link];

float yr-AADT[no-link];  $/$ \*365-day avg AADT \*/ float wk-AADT[no-link]; /\*week avg AADT *\*I*  float checking[no\_link];

*P* declare the monthly and daily factors for each link \*/ float MEF\_Jan[no\_link]; float MEF\_Feb[no-link]; float **MEF** Marino-linkl: float MEF\_Apr[no\_link]; float MEF\_May[no\_link]; float MEF\_Jun[no\_link]; float MEF-Jul[no-link]; float MEF\_Aug[no\_link]; float MEF\_Sep[no\_link]; float MEF\_Oct[no\_link]; float MEF-Nov[no-link]; float MEF\_Dec[no\_link];

float DEF\_Mon[no\_link]; float DEF\_Tue[no\_link]; float DEF-Wed[no-link]; float DEF-Thu[no-link]; float DEF FriIno link]; float DEF\_Sat[no\_link]; float DEF\_Sun[no\_link];

/\* 1/factors for calculating harmonic mean of factors\*/ float tm1; float tm2; float **un3;** float tm4; float tm5; float tm6; float **tm?** float **tm8;**  float tm9; float tmlO; float **unll;** float **tm12;**  float twl; float **tw2;**  float tw3; float tw4; float tw5; float tw6; float tw7;

/\* declare the final averaged monthly and daily factors \*/ float **MEFI;** float **MEF2,** float **MEF3;**  float MEF4; float MEF5; float MEF6; float *MEF7;* float **MEF8;** float **MEF9;**  float **MEFIO;** float **MEFl1;**  float **MEF12;** float DEFI; float DEF2; float DEF3; float DEF4; float DEFS; float DEF6; float DEF7,

float **est\_AADT[no\_link][5];/\*declare** output array **[link** idlttrue AADTl[flag][est **AADT** Ground only][est **AADT** ground+satellitel\*/ *P* Definitions of Flag \*/  $/$ <sup>\*</sup> 0 -- link without data  $^*$ / /\* **1** -- link with permanent ATR only *\*I*  /\* **2** -- link with portable **ATR** only \*/ /\* 3 -- link with satellite data only \*/

*P* **4** -- link with permanent **ATR** & Satellite \*/

*P 5* -- link with portable ATR & Satellite *\*I* 

*P* 6 -- link with permanent & portable **ATR** \*/

*P* **7** -- link with permanent, portable **ATR** & satellite \*/

float true[no\_link]; /\*temp. storage for true AADT\*/ float link\_vmt[no\_link][5];  $/$ \*declare array for link length [link] id][length][vmt-ground only][vmt-ground+satellite][true vmt]<sup>\*</sup>/ double total\_t\_vmt;  $/*$  the true VMT  $*$ / double total\_G\_vmt;  $\prime^*$  estimated VMT -- ground only  $\prime\prime$ double total-GS-vmt; *P* **estimated** VMT - ground + satellite \*/ double vmt\_G\_err; /\* Absolute value of the % error of estimated VMT -ground only \*/ double vmt-GS-err; /\* Absolute value of the % *error* of estimated VMT ground  $+$  satellite  $*$ /

*x* 

int index; /\* counter for number of **records** read in\*/

int count; int link-order; int **p-rds;**  int m\_rds;

int s\_rds; int all\_link; int temp-count; *P* all are counters in "for" loop\*/

int dl; int *d2;*  int d3; int d4; /\*temporal storage for struct \*/ float fl; float **f2**; float **f3;** /\*temporal storage for struct\*/

 $int 1_id;$ int p\_id; int m-id; *P* temp storage for link ID\*/

int mm; int **wk;** 

,

..

float mon\_factor; float week\_factor; float est;

/\* **estimate** AADT **for** each data and save it into a array for further calculation.\*/ float mATR\_est[50000][2]; /\* array [link ID][est. for mATR data] \*/ float sat-est~500001[2]; *P* array **[link** IDl[est. for sat. data] \*/ float av&~ADT[500001151; *P* [link **ID][#** of mATR est.][sum of est. for mATRl[# of sat est.][sum of est. for sat] \*/ float avg; float sat\_avg; float mATR\_avg;

*P* Adding up the total monthly volumes and total daily volumes \*/ int no-Mon; int no-Tue; int no\_Wed: int no\_Thu; int no-Fri; int **no-Sat;**  int no-Sun;

int ground-also; /\*flag **for** checking if satellite covers the ground data also\*/ int diff;  $\ell^*$  # of links without any data= # of links  $-$  # of P ATR  $-$  # of M **ATR** - # of satellite\*/

 $\sim$  1  $\,$  7.

Pstorage for averaging est. **AADT** for nodata **link\*/**  float temp-total; float est-G-mean; *P* avg aadt for ground only *\*I*  float est-GS-mean; *P* avg aadt for ground + sat \*/ float est<sub>-G-err</sub>; float est\_GS\_err; float temp\_G\_err; float temp\_GS\_err;

int low-G-aadt; int low-GS-aadt; int low-G-mt;

int low-GS\_vmt;  $/*$  all counter to count if estimation  $<$  true value  $*/$ void **main()**  int fd; { /\*start of **main\*/**  outfile1 = "result.out";  $outfile2 = "adt_error.out";$  $outfile3 = "vmt_error.out";$  $infile1 = "patr.out";$  $infile2 = "matr.out";$  $infile3 = "state.out";$  $infile4 = "length.out";$ infile5 = "aadt.out";  $a$ adt $p = f$ open("AADTest.out", "w"); file\_out = fopen(outfile1, "w");<br>if (file\_out = NULL) { printf("Cannot **open** output file **96s** b".outfilel); fprintf (stderr,"Cannot **open** output file **9bs W',** outfilel); } /\*open file for output\*/ for ( link\_order=0; link\_order<no\_link; link\_order++) { **est\_AADT[link\_order][0]=0; /\* linkID\*/** est\_AADT{link\_order][1]=-1; /\*true AADT\*/ est\_AADT[link\_order][2]=0;/\* flag\*/ est\_AADT[link\_order][3]=-1;/\* estimations Ground only\*/  $est\_AADT[link\_order][4]=-1;$ /\* estimations ground + Satellite\*/ } /\*initialize the output array \*/  $fd = open(intfile1, O_RDOMLY);$  $file_in = fdopen(fd, "r");$ *P* read in simulated **data** for links **with** peamenent ATR \*/ if (file  $in = NULL$ ) { printf("Cannot **open** pATR file **%s** \n",infilel); fprintf (stderr,"Cannot open pATR file %s \n", infile1); ) /\*end if \*/ else  ${\rm index} = 0;$  /\*index of records\*/  $d1 = d2 = d3 = d4 = 0$ ;  $f1 = f2 = f3 = 0.0$ ; fscanf (file\_in, "%d\n", &p\_ATR); /\*read in number of permenent ATR \*/ printf("# of permenent ATR is %d \n", p\_ATR); /\* fprintf(file-out, **Y** of pennenent ATR is %d **b",** p-ATR);\*/ for ( count = 0; count <  $p_ATR$ ; count +  $\prime$  /\* read in link IDs for  $p_ATR$  \*/ { fscanf (file\_in, "%d\n", &p\_link[count]); /\* printf("link %d\n", p\_link[count]);\*/ ) /\*end for \*/ while **(1) I** 

int eof = fscanf (file-in, **"96d** %f %d %d *W,* &dl,&fl,&d2,&d3,&l4);

if  $(eof = EOF)$  break;  $p\_ADT$ [index].linkID = d1;  $p\_ADT$ [index]. $ADT = f1$ ;  $p_{\text{-}}ADT$ [index].month = d2;  $p\_ADT$ [index].day = d3;  $p\_ADT$ [index].week = d4; index=index+l ;  $}$  /\*end while \*/ fclose(file\_in);  $no\_pATR\_rd = index$ : **<sup>1</sup>***P* end else for **reading** p-ATR \*/ printf("\n# of P ATR records = %d\n", no\_pATR\_rd);  $\ell^*$ fprintf(file\_out, "\n# of P ATR records = %d\n", no\_pATR\_rd); \*/ *P* Adding up the total monthly volumes and total **daily** volumes \*/  $no\_Mon = no\_Tue = no\_Wed = no\_Thu = no\_Fri = no\_Sat = no\_Sun = 0;$  $for ( p_rds=0; p_rds<sub>10</sub> p_ATR_rd; p_rds++ )$ {  $l_id = p$  ADT $[p_rds]$ .linkID;  $mm = p\_ADT[p\_rds]$ .month;  $wk = p_{AD}T[p_{rad}s]$ .week;  $\ell^*$  printf("linkID, month, week = %d, %d, %d\n", l\_id, mm, wk); \*/ switch (mm) - { case January:  $Jan\_sum[1_id] = Jan\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$ break: *case* Febuary:  $Feb\_sum[1_id] = Feb\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$ **break; caseMarch:**   $Mar\_sum[1_id] = Mar\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$ **break;**  case April:  $Apr\_sum[1\_id] = Apr\_sum[1\_id] + p\_ADT[p\_rds].ADT;$ **break;**  *case* **May:**   $May\_sum[1_id] = May\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p\_rds].ADT;$ **break;**  case June:  $Jun\_sum[l_id] = Jun\_sum[l_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$ **break; case** July:  $Jul\_sum[l_id] = Jul\_sum[l_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$ break; **case** August:  $Aug\_sum[l_id] = Aug\_sum[l_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$ **break; case** September:  $Sep\_sum[1\_id] = Sep\_sum[1\_id] + p\_ADT[p\_rds]$ .ADT; **break;**  *case* October:  $Oct\_sum[i_id] = Oct\_sum[i_id] + p\_ADT[p\_rds].ADT;$ **break;**  case November:

*1.* 

*J* 

*2.* 

. ...

 $Nov\_sum[Lid] = Nov\_sum[L_id] + p\_ADT[p\_rds].ADT;$ break,  $Dec\_sum[1_id] = Dec\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$ break; printf("%d this is not a month?!\n", mm); case December: default: ) /\*end of switch (mm) \*/ switch **(wk)**  case Monday:  $\left\{ \right.$  $Mon\_sum[1_id] = Mon\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$  $no\_Mon = no\_Mon + 1;$ **break;**  case Tuesday  $Tue\_sum[1_id] = Tue\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$  $no\_Tue = no\_Tue + 1;$ **break;**   $Wed\_sum[1_id] = Wed\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$  $no$ -Wed  $= no$ -Wed  $+ 1$ ; break,  $Thu\_sum[1_id] = Thu\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds].ADT;$  $no\_Thu = no\_Thu + 1;$ break, case Friday  $Tri\_sum[1\_id] = Fri\_sum[1\_id] + p\_ADT[p\_rds].ADT;$  $no_Fri = no_Fri + 1;$ **break;**   $Sat\_sum[1_id] = Sat\_sum[1_id] + p\_ADT[p_rds]$ .ADT;  $no\_Sat = no\_Sat + 1;$ break; case Sunday  $Sun\_sum[1\_id] = Sun\_sum[1\_id] + p\_ADT[p\_rds].ADT;$  $no\_Sun = no\_Sun + 1;$ break; **prind("%d this** is not a day of the **week?!\n",wk);**  *case* Wednesday: case Thursday: **case** Saturdar default } /\*end of switch **(wk)\*/**   $}$  /\* end of for(p\_rds)\*/ *P* Calculation of expansion factors \*/ for (link\_order=0; link\_order<p\_ATR; link\_order++) (  $l_id = p_link[link-order];$ Jan\_AADT[1\_id]= Jan\_sum[1\_id]/31;  $Feb\_AADT[1_id]= Feb\_sum[1_id]/28;$  $Mar\_AADT[l_id]= Mar\_sum[l_id]/31;$ Apr\_AADT[l\_id]= Apr\_sum[l\_id]/30;  $May\_AADT[i\_id]= May_sum[i\_id]/31;$ Jun\_AADT[l\_id]= Jun\_sum[l\_id]/30;  $Jul\_AADT[l_id]= Jul\_sum[l_id]/31;$ Aug\_AADT $[l_id]=$ Aug\_sum $[l_id]/31;$ Sep-AADT[l-idl= Sep\_sum[l\_id]/30;

,-

 $\blacksquare$ 

 $Oct\_AADT[I_id]= Oct\_sum[I_id]/31;$ Nov\_AADT[l\_id]= Nov\_sum[l\_id]/30;  $Dec\_AADT[1_id]= Dec\_sum[1_id]/31;$ 

vr AADTII idl=

(Jan\_sum[1\_id]+Feb\_sum[1\_id]+Mar\_sum[1\_id]+Apr\_sum[1\_id]+May\_sum[1\_id]+Jun\_s um[l\_id]+Jul\_sum[l\_id]+Aug\_sum[l\_id]+Sep\_sum[l\_id]+Oct\_sum[l\_id]+Nov\_sum[l\_i  $d$ l+Dec sum $\lceil$ l  $id$ l)/365:

```
P putting the true AADT into the outputarray for permenent ATR *I 
     est\_AADT[link_order][0] = 1_id;
     est\_AADT[link_order][3] = yr_AADT[l_id];
     est\_AADT[link\_order][4] = yr\_AADT[1\_id];est\_AADT[link\_order][2] = 1;P printf("bFor P ATR link %f, the type is %f, the estimate is 
%fin, est-AADT[link-orderl[O], est-AADT€liuk-orderl[21, 
est-AADT(link-order][ 11); */
```
*I\** checking the calculation *\*I*   $checting [l_id]=$  $(Mon\_sum[1_id]+Tue\_sum[1_id]+Wed\_sum[1_id]+Thu\_sum[1_id]+Fri\_sum[1_id]+Sat\_s$ um<sub>[l]</sub> id]+Sun\_sum<sub>[l]</sub> id])/365; if  $(yr\_AADT[l_id] := \text{checting}[l_id])$ 

**fprintf(stderr,"the calclation might be wrong?!\n"); printf**("the calclation might be wrong?!\n");

**1**   $/$ \*end if\*/

{

Mon\_AADT[l\_id]= Mon\_sum[l\_id]/no\_Mon; Tue\_AADT $[1]$ \_id]= Tue\_sum $[1]$ \_id]/no\_Tue; Wed\_AADT[l\_id]= Wed\_sum[l\_id]/no\_Wed; Thu $\Delta$ ADT[l $\text{id}$ ]= Thu $\text{sum[}$ l $\text{id}$ /no $\text{Ind}$ ; Fri-AADT[l\_id]= Fri\_sum[l\_id]/no-Fri: Sat\_AADT[1\_id]= Sat\_sum[1\_id]/no\_Sat; Sun\_AADT[l\_id]= Sun\_sum[l\_id]/no\_Sun;

 $wk$  AADT[1\_id] = (Mon\_AADT[1\_jd]+Tue\_AADT[1\_jd]+Wed\_AADT[1\_jd]+Thu\_AADT[1\_jd]+Fri\_AADT[1\_jd]+ Sat\_AADT[1\_id]+Sun\_AADT[1\_id])/7;

I

I

MEF\_Jan[l\_id] =yr\_AADT[l\_id]/Jan\_AADT[l\_id]; MEF\_Feb[1\_id] = yr\_AADT[1\_id]/Feb\_AADT[1\_id]; MEF\_Mar[1\_id] =vr\_AADT[1\_id]/Mar\_AADT[1\_id]; MEF\_Apr[l\_id] =yr\_AADT[l\_id]/Apr\_AADT[l\_id]; MEF\_May[l\_id] =yr\_AADT[l\_id]/May\_AADT[l\_id]; MEF\_Jun[1\_id] =yr\_AADT[1\_id]/Jun\_AADT[1\_id]; MEF\_Jul[1\_id] =yr\_AADT[1\_id]/Jul\_AADT[1\_id]; MEF\_Aug[1\_id] =yr\_AADT[1\_id]/Aug\_AADT[1\_id]; MEF\_Sep[l\_id] =yr\_AADT[l\_id]/Sep\_AADT[l\_id]; MEF\_Oct[l\_id] =yr\_AADT[l\_id]/Oct\_AADT[l\_id]; MEF\_Nov[l\_id] = yr\_AADT[l\_id]/Nov\_AADT[l\_id]; MEF\_Dec[l\_id] =yr\_AADT[l\_id]/Dec\_AADT[l\_id];

DEF\_Mon[l\_id] =wk\_AADT[l\_id]/Mon\_AADT[l\_id]; DEF\_Tue[l\_id] =wk\_AADT[l\_id]/Tue\_AADT[l\_id];

```
DEF_Wed[l_id] =wk_AADT[l_id]/Wed_AADT[l_id];
DEF_Thu[l_id] =wk_AADT[l_id]/Thu_AADT[l_id];
DEF_Fri[l_id] =wk_AADT[l_id]/Fri_AADT[l_id];
DEF_Sat[l_id] =wk_AADT[l_id]/Sat_AADT[l_id];
DEF_Sun[l_id] =wk_AADT[l_id]/Sun_AADT[l_id];
} /*end for (link-order) */
```
*I*\* Averaging the MEF's and DEF's for this group of links \*/<br>/\* TAKING ONIC MEAN \*/ *PONIC MEAN \*/* **MEF1= MEF2= MEF3= MEF4= MEF5= MEF6= MEF7= MEF8= MEF9= MEF10= MEF11= MEF12=0; DEF1= DEF2= DEF3= DEF4= DEF5= DEF6= DEF7=0;** 

,

tml= tm2= tm3= *tmk* tm5= **tm6= tm7= tms=** tm9= lmlo= m11= **tm12=0,**   $tw1 = tw2 = tw3 = tw4 = tw5 = tw6 = tw7=0;$ 

 $for$  (link\_order =0; link\_order<p\_ATR; link\_order++)

(  $l_id = p_link[linkį order$  $tm1 = tm1 + (1/MEF\_Jan[1\_id])$ ;  $rm2 = tm2+ (1/MEF_Feb[i_id]);$  $tm3 = tm3 + (1/MEF_Mar[1_id]);$  $tm4 = tm4+(1/MEF_Apr[l_id]);$  $tm5 = tm5 + (1/MEF_May[i_id]);$  $tm6 = tm6 + (1/MEF_Jun[]_id)$ ;  $tm7 = tm7 + (1/MEF_Ju[1_id])$ ; **tm8** = **tm8+** (lIMEF-Aug[l\_id]); **tm9** = tm9+ (lME€-Sep[l-id]);  $tm10 = tm10 + (1/MEF_Cct[1_id]);$  $tm11 = tm11 + (1/MEF_Nov[1_id]);$  $tm12 = tm12 + (1/MEF\_Dec[1\_id])$ ;

 $tw1 = tw1 + (1/DEF_Mon[1_id]);$  $tw2 = tw2 + (1/DEF_Trel_Lid);$ **tw3 = tw3+ (1/DEF\_Wed[l\_id]);**  $tw4 = tw4 + (1/DEF_1 \text{Tu}[1\_id])$ ; **tw5** = tw5+ (lIDEF-Fri[l\_id]);  $tw6 = tw6 + (1/DEF_Sat[1_id]);$  $tw7 = tw7 + (1/DEF_Sun[1_id])$ ;

) *I\** end of **for\*/** 



 $twl = twl/p_ATR;$  $tw2 = tw2/p_ATR;$ 

 $tw3 = tw3/p_ATR;$  $tw4 = tw4/p_ATR;$  $tw5 = tw5/p_ATR;$  $tw6 = tw6/p_ATR;$  $tw7 = tw7/p_ATR;$  $MEF1 = 1/m1;$  $MEF2 = 1/m2;$  $MEF3 = 1/m3$ ;  $MEF4 = 1/mm4;$  $MEF5 = 1/m5$ ;  $MEF6 = 1/m6$ ;  $MEF7 = 1/m7$ ;  $MEF8 = 1/mm8$ ; **MEF9** = l/tm9;  $MEF10 = 1/min10;$  $MEF11 = 1/min11$ ; MEFl2 = l/tm12;  $DEFl = 1/twl;$  $DEF2 = 1/tw2;$  $DEF3 = 1/tw3;$  $DEF4 = 1/tw4;$  $DEF5 = 1/tw5;$  $DEF6 = 1$ /tw6;  $DEF7 = 1$ /tw7; /\* **MEFs** and DEFs **are ready!** \*/ Pprintf("MEFl= **%h",** MEF1); printf("MEF2 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** *MEn);*   $print("MEF3 = %f\ln", MEF3);$ printf("MEF4 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** MEF4); printf("MEF5 <sup>=</sup>**%h", MEFS);**  printf("MEF6 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** MEp6); printf("MEF7 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** *MEF7);*  printf("MEF8 <sup>=</sup>**%h", MEF8);**  printf("MEF9 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** MEF9); printf("MEF10 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** MEFlO); printf("MEF12 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** MEF12);  $print("DEF1 = %f\ln", DEF1);$ printf("DEF2 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** DEF2); printf("DEF3 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** DEP3); printf("DEF4 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** DEF4); printf("DEF5 = %flu", **DEF5);**  printf("DEF6 <sup>=</sup>**%h",** DEF6); printf("DEF7 <sup>=</sup>**%h", DEF7);\*/**  printf("MEF11 <sup>=</sup>**%fw,** MEFll); Pfprintf(file-out, "MEFl <sup>=</sup>**%h",** MEFl); fprintf(file\_out, "MEF2 = %f\n", MEF2);  $fprint(file\_out, "MEF3 = %f\ln", MEF3);$ fprintf(fi1e-out, "MEF4 = **%f\n",** MEF4); fprintf(file-out, *"MEF5* <sup>=</sup>**%h", MEF5);**   $fprint(file\_out, "MEF6 = %f\nu", MEF6);$ 

fprintf(file\_out,  $"MEF7 = %f\ln"$ , MEF7);  $fprint(file\_out, "MEF8 = %f\omega", MEF8);$  I

-.

```
fprint(file\_out, "MEF9 = %f\nu", MEF9);fprint(file_out, 'MEF10 = %f\ln', MET10);fprintf(fi1e-out, "MEFI 1 =%h", MEFl1); 
fprintf(fde-out, 'mEF12 = %h", MEF12); 
fprintf(file_out, "MEF12 = %f\n", MEF12);<br>fprintf(file_out, "DEF1 = %f\n", DEF1);
fprint(file_out, "DEF2 = %f\ln", DEF2);for\text{inf}(\text{file\_out} \text{ "DEF3 = %f\!"}. DEF3);
fprint(file\_out, "DEF4 = %f\ln", DEF4);fprintf(fde-out,"DEF5 = %f\n", DEFS); 
for\text{inf}(file_out."DEF6 = %\text{Mn}", DEF6);
fprintf(fde_out,"DEF7 =%h", DEF7);*/
```
 $fd = open(infile2, O_RDOMLY);$ **Pprintf("open 8s as** fd %db",infde2, fd); *\*I*  file\_in =  $f\text{dopen}(fd, "r");$ *P* **read** in simulated **data** for links with portable ATR \*/ if (file\_in  $=$  NULL) {

printf("Cannot open mATR file %s\n", infile2); **fprintf** (stderr,"Cannot open mATR file %s\n", infile2); } /\*end if \*/ else {

 $index = 0;$ dl=d2=d3=d4=0,  $f1=f2=f3=0.0$ ; fscanf (file\_in, "%d", &m\_ATR); /\*read in number of portable ATR\*/ **printf("# of movable ATR is**  $% d \nvert m$ **, m\_ATR);**  $\prime$ <sup> $\star$ </sup> fprintf(file\_out, "# of movable ATR is %d \n", m\_ATR); $\star$ / for ( $count = 0$ ;  $count < m_ATR$ ;  $count++$ ) /\*read in link IDs for  $m_ATR$ \*/  $\mathbf{f}$ fscanf (file\_in, "%d", &m\_link[count]);

*P* printf("1ink %d **W,** m-link[count]); *\*I*  } Fend for *\*I* 

while **(I)** 

( int eof = fscanf (file-in. "%d **%f** %d **9bd %d",&dl,&fl,&d2,8zd3,&d4);**  if  $(eof = EOF)$  break:  $m_{\text{AD}}$ T $\left($ index $\right)$ .linkID = d1;  $m\_ADT$ [index]. $ADT = f1$ ;  $m\_ADT$ [index].month = d2;  $m_{\text{ADT}}$ [index].day = d3;  $m_{\text{A}}$ DT[index].week =  $d4$ ; index=index+l ;  $?$  /\*end while \*/ ) *P* end else for **reading** m-ATR \*/ fclose(file\_in);  $no\_mATR\_rd = index;$ printf("# of mATR records =  $%d\ln$ ", no\_mATR\_rd);  $\ell^*$ fprintf(file\_out, "# of mATR records = %d\n", no\_mATR\_rd); \*/

*I\** save m-ATR flag into output array\*/ for (count=0; count  $<$  m<sub>-</sub>ATR; count++) **I** 

```
for (\text{link\_order}=0; \text{link\_order} < no_{{\text{link}}}, \text{link\_order} ++){<br>if (est_AADT[link_order][0] = m_link[count] )
                  { 
                   est_AADT[link_order][2]=6;
                /* printf("\nfor MATR link %f, it is also PATR. The type is %h", 
             est_AADT[link_order][0], est_AADT[link_order][2]); */
                   break; 
                  1else if (est_AADT[link_order][0]=0) 
                     { 
                     est_AADT[link_order][0]= m_link[count];
                      est\_AADT[link\_order][2] = 2;break; 
                     ) P end else if+/ 
                ) /*end link-ordefV 
               \} /* end count*/
             P estimate the AADT for links with movable ATR */ 
             for ( m_rds=0; m_rds<no_mATR_rd; m_rds++)\begin{array}{ccc} \n\therefore & \text{or} \\
\downarrow & \text{or} \\
\downarrow & \text{or} \\
\end{array}l_id = m_ADT[m_rds].linkID;
               mm = m-ADT[m-rds].month; 
               wk = m_{AD}T[m_{ads}].week;
               switch (mm) 
             \ell^* printf("lid = %d, month = %d, week = %d\n",l_id,mm,wk); */
                  1 
                 case January: 
                    mon-factor = MEFl; 
                    break, 
                 case Febuary: 
                    mon-factor = MEF2; 
                    break;
                 case March: 
                    mon_factor = MEF3;
                    break, 
                 case April: 
                    mon-factor = MEF4; 
                    break;
                 case May:
                    mon-factor = MEF5; 
                    break, 
                 case June: 
                    mon\_factor = MEF6;break;
                 case July: 
                    mon-factor = MEF7; 
                    break; 
                 case August: 
                    mon-factor = MEF8; 
                    break; 
                    mon-factor = MEF9; 
                    break; 
                 case October:
                    mon-factor = MEFIO; 
                    break, 
                 case September:
```
 $\ddot{\mathbf{z}}$ 

```
case November: 
      mon-factor = MEF11; 
      break, 
      mon-factor = MEF12; 
      break;
      printf("%d this is not a month?!\n",mm); 
   caseDecember: 
   default:
   ) /*end of switch (mm) */ 
   switch (wk) 
   case Monday: 
      week-factor = DEFl; 
      break; 
   case Tuesday: 
      week-factor = DEn; 
      break;
      week_factor = DEF3;
      break; 
      week_factor = DEF4;
      break;
   case Friday:
      week_factor = DEF5;
      break;
   case Saturday: 
      week-factor = DEF6; 
      break;
   case Sunday: 
      week_factor = DEF7;
      break;
      printf("%d this is not a day of the week?!\n", wk); 
    ( 
   case Wednesday:
   case Thursday: 
   default: 
   \} /*end of switch (wk) */
 \ell^* printf("mon_factor = %f, week_factor = %f, data = %f\n", mon_factor,
week_factor, m_ADT[m_rds].ADT); */
     Ptemporal storage for eatimeated AADT for one daily data */ 
  est = m_ADT[m_rds].ADT * mon_factor * week_factor;mATR_cest[m_rds][1]=est;mATR_{est}[m_{rds}][0] = 1_id;
 P printf("for link %f, estimated aadt is %f\n",mATR-est[m-rds][O], 
mATR\_est[m\_rds][1]; */
```
I

I

```
\frac{1}{2} /* end of for(m_rds)*/
```
/\* Calculate ground-only Average AADT for each **link.\*/**  /\*initialize the array of **estimates\*/**  for (link\_order=0; link\_order<m\_ATR; link\_order++) **I**  avg\_ADT[link\_order][0]= 0;  $\frac{\text{#link}}{\text{#}}$  ink id \*/

 $avg\_ADT[link\_order][1] = 0$ ; /\*# of mATR estimates\*/ avg\_ADT[link-order][2]= 0; /\*sum of mATR estimates\*/ av&ADT[link-order][3]= *0;* /\*# of sat estimates\*/ avgADT[link\_order][4]= *0;* /\*sum of sat estimates\*/

```
P for movable ATR */ 
for \text{(count =0; count < no\_mATR\_rd; count++)}I 
  for (link_order=0; link_order<m_ATR; link_order++)
   i<br>if (m_link[link_order]== mATR_est[count][0])
     I 
     avg\_ADT[link\_order][0] = mATR\_est[count][0]; /*link id*/
     avg\_ADT[link\_order][1] = avg\_ADT[link\_order][1] + 1; /*# of estimates */
     avg\_ADT[link\_order][2] = avg\_ADT[link\_order][2] +\frac{1}{2} /*end if*/
mATR_est[count][1]; /* sum of estimates */
    ) /*end for link-order */ 
  \frac{1}{2} /*end for count */
  P testing 
  for (link_order=0; link_order<no_link; link_order++)
   { 
   printf("\nlink ID = %f, # of est. = %f, sum of est. = %f",
   ) end for*/ 
avg_ADT[link_order][0],avg_ADT[link_order][1],avg_ADT[link_order][2]);
P averaging ground-only estimates of AADT */ 
for (link_order=0; link_order<no_link; link_order++)
  I 
  if (avg\_ADT[link_order][0] == 0){ 
   break; 
   ) else 
     ( 
     for \text{(count = 0; count < no_link; count < +)}{ 
        mATR_avg = avg\_ADT[link_order][2]/avg_ADT[link_order][1];
        if \text{(est\_AADT[count][0]} = \text{avg\_ADT[link\_order][0]} /* link
         { 
         est\_AADT[count][3] = mATR_avg;\} /* end if*/
ID match*/ 
       ) I* end for count*/ 
    } P end else*/ 
 \} /*end for link_order*/
P check if there are links without groundsnly data */ 
if (m_ATR + p_ATR \ no\_link){ 
 printf("ALERT! SOMETHING IS WRONG! m_ATR + p_ATR no_link\n");
 printf("m_ATR = %d, p_ATR = %d \n", m_ATR, p_ATR);
 fprintf(file_out, "ALERT! SOMETHING IS WRONG! m_ATR + p_ATR no_link\n");
 fprintf(fie-out, "m-ATR = %d , p-ATR = %dh", m-ATR, p-ATR);
```
) /\* end if \*/

else if  $(m_ATR + p_ATR < no_{{\text{link}}})$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $diff = no_{\text{link}} - m_{\text{A}}TR - p_{\text{A}}TR$ ;

printf("There are %d links without any ground data.\n", diff);

 $\mathbf{R}$ 

**3** 

X

 $\prime^*$  fprintf(file\_out, "There are %d links without any ground data.\n", diff);\*/

 $}$  /\*end else if\*/

```
P Use ARlTHMETIC MEAN of estimated AADT as the estimations for the links 
without ground-only data */ 
temp-total =0;
for (count=0; count <no_link; count++)
  i (est_AADT[count][0]!=0)
   { 
   temp\_total = temp\_total + est\_AADT[count][3];\frac{1}{2} /* end if*/
 } /* end for*/ 
est_{\text{c}}G_{\text{c}} mean = temp_total/(no_link - diff);
P Read in Length of the links*/ 
fd = open(infile4, O_RDOMLY);file_in = fdopen(fd, "r");if (file_in = NULL)
 I 
 printf("Cannot open length file %s b",infile4); 
 fprintf (stderr,"Cannot open length file %s \n", infile4);
 } /*end if */ 
 else 
  { 
   index =0; /*index of records*/
   f1 = f2 = f3 = 0.0;
   for (count=0; count \ltno_link; count ++)
     { 
fscanf (file-in, "%f 9bW; &f 1, &a); 
     link\_vmt[count][0] = f!; /* link Id*/
     link\_vmt[count][1] = f2; /* link length*/
     link_vmt[count][2] = 0; \prime^* initialize vmt ground only*/
     link_vmt[count][3] = 0; /* initialize vmt ground + satellite*/
     index=index+l ; 
    ) Fend for count*/ 
   fclose(file_in);1 P end else for reading length */ 
if (index != no_link) /<sup>*</sup> checking if having exact # of length<sup>*</sup>/
  \mathbf{I}printf("\n# of link length is not equal to # of links?!"); 
 fprintf(file_out,"\n# of link length is not equal to # of links?!");
 } /* end ir/
```
I

*P* Calculate ground-only VMT \*/

 $\mathbf{f}$ 

for  $\text{(count =0; count < no\_link; count++)}$ 

for (link\_order  $=0$ ; link\_order< no\_link; link\_order++)

if  $\{link\_vmt[count][0] \rightleftharpoons est$  AADT $\{link-order[(0]\}$ {

```
{ 
link_vmt[countl[2] = link_vmt[countl[ll * est-AADT[link-order][3]; 
     break; 
     \uparrow /* end if*/
  )/* end for count*/ 
   \frac{1}{2} /* end for link_order*/
index = 0;
for (count =0; count <no_link; count ++)
 ( 
  if (est_AADT[count][2]==0) index = index+1;
 ) /*end for count*/ 
P check if the # of links without ground-only data is correct*/ 
if (index != diff) 
 \mathbf{I}printf("\nproblem about # of links without data?!");
 fprintf(file_out, "\nproblem about # of links without data?!");
 ) else 
    \mathbf{f}for (count=0; count \langleno_link; count ++)
      { 
      if link\_vm[count][2] == 0){ 
        link\_vmt[count][2] = link\_vmt[count][1] * est_G_mean; /* use
        ? /* end if*/
average est. AADT for links without data*/ 
      )P end for count*/ 
    ) Pend else*/ 
total_G_vmt =0;
for (count =0; count \leq link; count ++)
  I I (link_vmt[count][2]==0)
   ( 
   printf("We got problem for vmt array?!"); 
   fprintf(fde-out, "We got problem for vmt array?!"); 
   break. 
   } else 
      ( 
      total_G_{\text{wmt}} = \text{total}_G_{\text{wmt}} + \text{link}_\text{wmt}[\text{count}][2];} /*end else*/
                                                                . 
  } P end for*/ 
printf("\nThe total ground-only VMT for %d links in this class is %f.\n",
no-link, total-G-vmt); 
Pfprintf(frle-out, "96f " ,total-G-vmt); *I 
 /* end printing ground-only VMT */
fd = open(intfile3, O_RDOMLY);Pprintf("b open 96s as fd Wb", infile3, fd);*/ 
file_in = fdopen(fd, "r");/* read in simulated data for links having satellite data */ 
if (file_in = NULL)
```

```
{
```
I

Ż,

```
printf('7n Cannot open satellite file %s", infile3); 
 fprintf (stderr,"\n Cannot open satellite file %s", infile3);
 \frac{1}{2} /*end if*/
 else 
  { 
   index = 0;d1 = d2 = d3 = d4 = 0;
   f1=f2=f3=0.0;
   while (1)/* there is no input for number of satellite data and no link 
information */ 
     I 
     int eof = fscanf(fi1e-in, "%d %f %d %d %d",&dl,&fl,&d2,&d3,&d4); 
     if ( eof = EOF) break; 
     sat\_vol[index].linkID = d1;
     sat_vol[index].flow = f1; \prime*the input is 24-hr volume from Roger's
     sat-vol[index].month = d2; 
     sat-vol[indexl.day = d3; 
     sat_vol[index].week = d4;
     I^* sat_vol[index].start_time = \Omega; */
    /P^* sat, volfindex].end time = f3; \dot{f}index=index+l; 
     \} /*end while*/
no\_sate\_rd = index;printf("\n# of sate records is %d\n", no_sate_rd);
/*fprintf(file_out, "\n# of sate records is %d\n", no_sate_rd);*/
program*/ 
  ] P end else for reading sate */ 
P search for the # of links and list of link id*/ 
for (link_order =0; link_order <no_link; link_order++)
 { 
 sate_link[link_order]=0;
\} /*initialize the array*/ sate =0;
Psort the list of link ID*/ 
for (count=0; count<\text{no\_satc\_rd}; count++)
 { 
  for (link_order = 0; link_order<no_link; link_order\leftrightarrow)
    I<br>
if (sat_vol[count].linkID = sate_link[link_order]
     { 
      break; 
     ) else if (sate-link[link_orderl=O) 
      \cdot {
         sate_link[link_order]= sat_vol[count].linkID;
         \text{sat} = \text{sat} + 1;
         break; 
        } /* end else if*/
     ) P end for link-order*/ 
  } /* end for count*/ 
fclose(file_in);
```

```
P print # of satellite and the list of link ID*/ 
printf("# of satellite = %d\ln", sate);
\ell^*fprintf(file_out, "# of satellite = \%d\ln", sate);*/
/*for (link_order=0; link_order<sate; link_order++)
 { 
 printf("the sate link is %d\n", sate_link[link_order]);
 ] end for print*/ 
P estimate the AADT for links with satellite data */ 
for ( s_rds=0; s_rds<10 sate<sub>rd</sub>; s_rds++)
 { 
  l_id = sat_vol[s_rds].linkII
 mm = sat\_vol[s\_rds].month;
 wk = sat\_vol[s\_rds].week;switch (mm) 
     { 
   case January: 
      monfactor = MEF1;
      break, 
    case Febuary: 
      mon-factor = MEF2, 
      break;
    case March 
      mon_factor = MEF3;
      break; 
   CaseApril: 
      mon\_factor = MEF4;break;
    case May: 
      mon-factor = MEFS; 
      break; 
   case June: 
      mon_factor = MEF6;
      break; 
   case July: 
      mon-factor = MEF7; 
      break; 
   case August: 
      mon-factor = MEF8; 
      break; 
   case September:
      mon-factor = MEF9, 
      break; 
   case October: 
      mon-factor = MEF10; 
      break; 
      mon-factor = MEFl1; 
      break, 
      mon-factor = MEF12; 
      break. 
      printf("%d this is not a month?!\n",mm);
   case November 
   case December: 
   default: 
   ) /*end of switch (mm) */ 
   switch (wk)
```
,

**I**  case Monday:  $week_factor = DEF1;$ break; case Tuesday: week-factor = **DEF2;**  break: **case** Wednesday: week\_factor  $=$  DEF3; **break;**  case Thursday: week  $factor = DEF4$ ; **break;**  case Friday: week-factor = **DEFS; break;**  *case* Saturday: week\_factor = DEF6: break; *case* Sunday: week-factor = DEF7; **break;**  default: printf("%d this is not a day of the week?!\n", wk);  $\}$ /\*end of switch (wk) \*/  $\ell^*$  printf("mon\_factor = %f, week\_factor = %f, data = %f\n", mon\_factor, week\_factor, sat\_vol[s\_rds].flow);\*/ Ptemporal storage for eatimeated **AADT** for one daily **data** *\*I*   $est = sat\_vol[s\_rds].flow * mon\_factor * week\_factor;$  $sat\_est[s\_rds][1] = est;$  $sat\_est[s\_rds][0] = l_id;$ /\* printf("\nFor link %f, estimated aadt is %f\n",sat\_est[s\_rds][0], sat\_est[s\_rds][1]); \*/

!

 $\}$  /\* end of for $(s\_rds)$ \*/

```
P Calculate ground+satellite Average AADT for each link.*/ 
/* for Satellite */ 
P First to search for links with only satellite data*/ 
P' also save flags for links wl p-ATR and Satellite and for links w/ m-ATR 
and Sate*/ 
P' already KNOWN flag type 12, & 6 +/ 
for (count=0; count \lt sate; count ++)
 \mathbf{f}for (link_order =0; link_order<no_link; link_order++)
    I 
    if 
((\text{sat\_link}[\text{count}]=\text{est\_AADT}[\text{link\_order}](0)) & & (est_AADT[link_order][2]=1))
      I 
      est_AADT[link_order][2]=4;
      break: 
     \} /* end if the link is pATR */
    if 
((\text{sat\_link}[\text{count}]=\text{est\_AADT}[\text{link\_order}][0]) \& \& (\text{est\_AADT}[\text{link\_order}][2]=2))
```
ł est\_AADT[link\_order][2]=5; break;  $\}$  /\* end if the link is mATR \*/ if ((sate\_link[count]=est\_AADT[link\_order][0])&&(est\_AADT[link\_order][2]=6)) **I**  est\_AADT[link\_order][2]=7; **break;**  ) *P* end if the link is **pATR** + mATR \*/  $if ((\text{sat\_link}[\text{count}]\text{==est}\_\text{ADT}[\text{link}\_\text{order}](0))\&\&(0)$  $est\_AADT[link\_order][2] == 3)$  $\mathbf{I}$ break; ] *P* end if **the** link is sate \*/ if  $(est\_AADT[link-order][0] == 0)$  $\mathbf{I}$  $est\_AADT$ [link\_order][0] = sate\_link[count];  $est\_AADT$ [link\_order][2] = 3; **break;**   $\}$  /\* end if the link is new \*/ ) /\* end for link-order\*/ ] *P* end for count\*/ *P*\* add satellite estimates into averaging array for sate-only links and for links  $w/m$  ATR and Sate \*/<br>for (count =0; count < no\_sate\_rd; count ++) **links wlm ATR** and **Sate** \*/ for (count =0; count <  $no\_sat\_rd$ ; count ++) for (link\_order=0; link\_order<no\_link; link\_order++) if  $(\text{avg\_ADT}[\text{link\_order}][0] == \text{sat\_est}[\text{count}][0])$  /\* link ID match\*/ ( avg\_ADT[link\_order][3]= avg\_ADT[link\_order][3]+1; /\*# of estimates \*/  $avg\_ADT[link_{order}][4] = avg\_ADT[link_{order}][4] +$ sat,est[countl[l]; /\* **sum** of **estimates** \*/ **break;**   $\}$  else if (avg\_ADT[link\_order][0]==0) ( avg\_ADT[link\_order][3]= avg\_ADT[link\_order][3]+1; /\*# of **estimates** \*/  $avg\_ADT[link\_order][4] = avg\_ADT[link\_order][4] +$ sat-est[countl[l]; /\* **sum** of **estimates** \*/  $avg\_ADT[link\_order][0] = sat\_est[count][0];$  /\*  $link ID*/$ break; ] /\*end else *if\*l*  ] /\*end for link-order \*/ ) /\*end for count \*/ *P* testing for (link\_order=0; link\_order<no\_link; link\_order++) { printf("\nlink ID = %f, # of est. = %f, sum of est. = %f\n", avg\_ADT[link\_order][0],avg\_ADT[link\_order][3],avg\_ADT[link\_order][4]); ] end for\*/

```
/* averaging ground+satellite estimates of AADT */ 
for (link-order=0; link-order<no-link; link-order++)
  \overline{\mathfrak{l}}if (avg_ADT[link_order][0]==0)
   1 
    break, 
   ) else 
     ( 
     for (count = 0; count < no link; count ++)
         I 
         sat_avg = avg_ADT[link_order][4]/avg_ADT[link_order][3];
         avg = ((avg \quad ADT[link \quad order][2] + avg \quad ADT[link \quad order][4]) /
         if ((est\_AADT[count][0] \rightleftharpoons{ 
(\text{avg}\_\text{ADT[link\_order][1]} + \text{avg}\_\text{ADT[link\_order][3]}));avg_ADT[link_order][0])&&(est_AADT]count][2]!=1)&&(est_AADT[count][2]!=4))
P link ID match and it is not pATR link*/ 
          est\_AADT[count][4] = avg;\frac{1}{2} /*end if*/
         ) /* end for count*/ 
     ) P end else*/ 
  ) Pend for link-order*/ 
sate_not_ATR=0;
for (count =0; count \ltno_link; count ++)
  { 
  if (ext\_AADT[count][2]=3)( 
    satc\_not\_ATR = satc\_not\_ATR +1;\frac{1}{2} /* end if*/
 1/* end for count<sup>*</sup>/
P check if there are links without data */ 
diff =O; Pinitialize+/ 
if (m_ATR + \text{satc\_not}\_\text{ATR} + p_ATR \text{ no\_link})no_link\n");
 1 
  printf("ALERT! SO 
printf("m_ATR = %d, p_ATR = %d, sate_not_ATR = %d\n", m_ATR, p_ATR<br>sate_not_ATR);
  fprintf(file-out, "ALERT! SO 
  fprint(file\_out, "m_ATR = %d, p_ATR = %d, satc\_not_ATR = %dm",\mathcal{V}^* end if */
 else if (m_ATR + satc_not_ATR + p_ATR < no_link)G IS WRONG! m_ATR + p_ATR + sate_not_ATR <
\text{sate\_not\_ATR} < \text{no\_link}'n");
m_ATR, p_ATR, sate_not_ATR);
                                            G Is WRONG! m-ATR + p-ATR + 
     { 
      diff = no\_link - m\_ATR - p\_ATR - satc\_not\_ATR;printf("There are %d links without any ground data or satellite 
data.b", diff); 
satellite data.\n", diff);*/
     \prime <sup>*</sup> end else if*/
          /* fprintf(file-out, "There are %d links without any ground data or 
I* Use ARITHMETIC MEAN of estimated AADT as the estimations for the links
```
I

,

```
without ground+ sat data */ 
temp\_total = 0;
for (count=0; count \langleno_link; count++)
  ( 
if (est-AADT[count][O] !a) 
   I 
   temp\_total = temp\_total + est\_AADT[count][4];
   ] /* end if*/ 
 \frac{1}{7} /* end for */
est_GS_mean = temp\_total/(no\_link - diff);
```

```
P' Calculate ground+satellite VMT */ 
for \text{(count =0; count <i></i>-no_link; count <i>+</i>+)}{ 
 for (link_order =0; link_order< no_link; link_order++)
    ( 
    if (link_vmt[count][0] == est_AADT[link_order][0])
      ( 
      link\_vmt[count][3] = link\_vmt[count][1] * est\_AADT[link\_order][4];break; 
     \} /* end if*/
 ]/* end for count*/ 
   ] /* end for link-order*/
```

```
index = 0;
```
**ri** 

```
for (count =0; count \langleno_link; count ++)
```

```
I 
 if (est_AADT[count][2]==0) index = index + 1;
 } /*end for count*/ 
P' check if the # of links without data is correct*/ 
if (index != diff)
 \mathbf{\mathbf{f}}
```
printf("\nproblem about # of links without data?!");

fprintf(file\_out, "\nproblem about # of links without data?!"); ) else

 $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}}$ for (count=0; count  $\ltq$ no\_link; count  $++$ )

```
I 
if (count=0; count <a0_nnk; count ++<br><br>if (link_vmt[count][3] ==0)<br>\frac{1}{2}
```

```
( 
          \lim_{x \to \infty} vmt[count][3] = \lim_{x \to \infty} vmt[count][1] * est_GS_mean; /* use
average est. AADT for links without data*/
```
36. US

 $\}$  /\* end if\*/

```
)P end for count*/ 
\} /* end else*/
```

```
total_GS\_vmt = 0;
for (count =0; count <no_link; count ++)
  I
```

```
if (\text{link\_vmf}[\text{count}][3] == 0)
```

```
( 
printf("We got problem for vmt array?!"); 
fprintf(fiie-out, "We got problem for vmt array?!"); 
break,
```

```
) else 
   { 
    total_GS\_vmt = total_GS\_vmt + link\_vmt[count][3];) /*end else*/ 
} P end for*/
```

```
/* print out the estimated AADT for the links with data */ 
\cdotprintf("\n 0 - \text{link without data}");
printf("\n 1 -- link with permanent ATR only\n");
 printf("\n 2 -- link with portable ATR only\n");<br>printf("\n 3 -- link with satellite data only\n");
printf("\n 3 -- link with satellite data only\n");<br>printf("\n 4 -- link with permanent ATR & Satellite\n");
printf("\n 5 - link with portable ATR & Satelliteb"); 
printf("\n 6 -- link with permanent & portable ATR\n");
printf("\n 7 -- link with permanent, portable ATR & satellite\n");
/*fprintf(file_out, "\n 0 -- link without data\n");
fprintf(file_out, "\n 1 -- link with permanent ATR only\n");
fprintf(file-out, "\n 2 -- link with portable ATR only\n");
fprintf(file-out, "\n 3 -- link with satellite data only\n"); 
fprintf(file_out, "\n 4 -- link with permanent ATR & Satellite\n");
fprintf(file_out, "\n 5 -- link with portable ATR & Satellite\n");
fprintf(file_out, "\n 6 -- link with permanent & portable ATR\n");
fprintf(fiile-out, "b 7 -- link with permanent, portable ATR & 
satellite\n"); */
```
 $/*$  for (link\_order=0; link\_order<no\_link; link\_order++)

{ printf("\nFor link %f, the type is %f, estimated  $\text{AADT} = \% \text{M}$ ". fprintf(fi1e-out,"Wor link %f, the **type** is **%f, estimated** AADT = est\_AADT[link\_order][0], est\_AADT[link\_order][2], est\_AADT[link\_order][41); %f\n",est\_AADT[link\_order][0],est\_AADT[link\_order][2], est\_AADT[link\_order][4]); ) end for \*/

printf('7nThe total ground+satellite VMT for %d **links** in this class is %f.\n", no\_link, total\_GS\_vmt); *I\** \*/ /\* end of printing results\*/

```
P testing */ 
for (count =0; count < no_link; count ++)
 { 
 printf("\nFor link %f, the type is %f, the true AADT is %f. the G-AAJIT 
is %f, the GS_AADT is %f.\n",
est_AADT[count][0],est_AADT[count][2],est_AADT[count][1],est_AADT[count][3],
est_AADT[count][4]);
 } I* end for count*/
```
/\* **Read** True AADT for all **links** \*/  $fd = open(infile5, O_RDOMLY);$ file\_in = fdopen(fd, "r");<br>if (file\_in = NULL) {

```
printf("Cannot open length file %s b",infile4); 
  fprintf (stdem."Cannot open length file %s b", infile4); 
 ] /*end if */ 
 else 
    ( 
    index =0;
   f1 = 0.0;
   for \text{(count=1; count} \leq -\text{no\_link; count} \leq +\text{)}I 
     fscanf (file_in, "%f \n", &f1);
     true[count]=f 1; 
     index=index+l ; 
     \} /*end for count*/
   fclose(file in);
  } P end else for reading true AADT *I 
\ell^* check if # of true AADT = # of links*/
if (index != no_link)( 
 printf("# of true AADT is not # of links!!!");
/* fprintf(file-out. "# of true AADT is not # of links!!!");*/ 
 \frac{1}{7} /* end if */
/* testing*/
printf("G-mean = %f, GS-mean = %f. \n", est_G_mean, est_GS_mean);
\overline{\text{for}} (count =1; count <= no_link; count ++)
  ( 
  printf("\nTrue AADT =%f",true[count]);
 1 
P put true AADT into array est-AADT *I 
for \text{(count =1; count} \leftarrow \text{no\_link; count} \leftarrow \text{+}I 
  for (link\_order=0; link\_order < no_link; link\_order ++)( 
if ((est-AADT[link-orderI[21=3) &&(est_AADT[link_orderl[0] = count)) 
     ( 
     est\_AADT[link_order][1] = true[count];
     est\_AADT[link_order][3] = est_G_mean;
     break; 
     I 
    if ((est_AADT[link_order][0] = count) && (est_AADT[link_order][2]
!=0)&&(est_AADT[link_order][2]!=3))
     I 
     est\_AADT[link\_order][1] = true[count];
     break; 
     I 
    if ((est_AADT[link_order][2] = 0) && (est_AADT[link_order][0]=-count))
     ( 
     break:
     \overline{\phantom{a}}if ((est_AADT[link_order][0]==0)&&(est_AADT[link_order][2]==0))
      est\_AADT[link_order][0] = count;
      est\_AADT[link_order][1] = true[count];
```
..

*n* 

```
est_AADT\left[\text{link-order}\right]= est-G_mean;
      est\_AADT[link_order][4] = est_GS_mean;
     break; 
     1 
   ] /* end for link-order*/ 
 } /* end for count */ 
/* testing */
for \left(\text{count} = 0; \text{count} < \text{no\_link}; \text{count} \leftrightarrow \right){ 
printf('lnF0r link Sf, the type is %f, the true AADT is %f, the G-AADT 
is %f, the GS AADT is %f.\n",
est_AADT[count][0],est_AADT[count][2],est_AADT[count][1],est_AADT[count][3],
est_AADT[count][4]);
 ) /* end for count*/ 
P Calculate True VMT */ 
for (count =0; count <sub>1</sub> count ++)</sub>
  { 
  for (link-order =O, link-oder< no-link; link-order+t) 
    {<br>if (link_vmt[count][0] == est_AADT[link_order][0]]
      I<br>
Iink_vmt[count][4] = link_vmt[count][1] * est_AADT[link_order][1];
    break; 
     } P end if*/ 
   } /* end for link-order*/ 
  )/* end for count*/ 
total_t\_vmt = 0;for \text{(count =0; count <i></i>-no_link; count <i>+</i>)}{ 
  total_t\_vmt = total_t\_vmt + link\_vmf[count][4];\} /* end for*/
fprintf(file_out, "%f ", total_t_vmt);
fprintf(fiie-out, "%f " ,total-G-vmt); 
fprintf(fde-out, "%fin", total-GS-vmt); 
fclose(file_out);
<sup>*</sup> open for % error of VMT file and calculate % \epsilon or of VMT<sup>*</sup>/
file-out = fopen(outfile3, "w"); 
if (file_out = NULL)
     ( 
     printf("Cannot open output file %s \n",outfile3);
     fprintf (stderr,"Cannot open output file %s \n", outfile3);
     ] Popen output file for % error file*/ low_G\_wmt = low_GS\_vmt = 0;vmt_Gerr = (total_Gvmt - total_tvmt)/total_tvmt;if (vmt_G_err <0)
  \mathbf{f}vmt_Gerr = -vmt_Gerr;
  low_{\text{r}} G_{\text{r}} vmt = low_{\text{r}} G_{\text{r}} vmt + 1;
vmt_GS_error = (total_GS_vmt - total_t_vmt)/total_t_vmt;
```

```
if (rmt_GS_error < 0)€
  vmt_GS_error = -vmt_GS_errorlow\_GS\_vm1 = low_GS\_vm1 + 1;ł
fprintf(file_out, "%f %f\n", vmt_G_err, vmt_GS_err);
                                                               - 4 
fclose(file_out);
/* open for % error of AADT file*/ 
file\_out = fopen(outfile2, "w");if (file_out = NULL)
     \mathbf{f}printf("Cannot open output file 8s \n",outfile2); 
     fprintf (stderr,"Cannot open output file %s \n", outfile2);
    ) Popen output file for 8 error of AADT file*/ 
P Calculate the square percent Error of estimated AADT and print them into 
another file*/
est_{\text{eff}} = 0.0;
est_GS_error = 0.0;temp-G-em d.0; 
temp-GS-err =0.0; 
low-G-aadt = low-GS-aadt = 0; 
for \text{(count =0; count < no_link; count++)}{ 
  temp_G_err = (est_AADT[count][3]-est_AADT[count][1])/est_AADT[count][1];
  if temp_{er} - G_{err} < 0I 
   low\_G\_aadt = low\_G\_aadt + 1;)P end if *I 
  est_{\text{C}}G_{\text{c}}err = est_{\text{C}}G_{\text{c}}err + (temp_{\text{C}}G_{\text{c}}err + temp_{\text{C}}G_{\text{c}}err);
  temp_GS_err = (est_AADT[count][4]-est_AADT[count][1])/est_AADT[count][1];
  if (temp-GS-err < 0) 
    l<br>low_GS_aadt = low_GS_aadt +1;
   )P end if */ 
  est-GS-en = est-GS-m + (temp-GS-err*temp-GS-exr); 
 } /* end for */
fprintf(fie-out, "%f %h", est-G-err, est-GS-exr); 
fclose(file_out);
printf("b 9bd out of 100 est. AADT(G) are lower than true AADT.", low-G-aadt); 
printf("\n %d out of 100 est. AADT(GS) are lower than true AADT.",
low_GS_aadt);
printf("\n %d out of 100 est. VMT(G) are lower than true VMT.", low_G_vmt);
printf("\n 9bd out of 100 est. VMT(GS) are lower than true VMT.", low-GS-vmt); 
for (count = 0; count < no_link; count + +)
fprintf(aadtp. "%f %f %f %fin", est-AADT[count][O], 
est_AADT[count][1], est_AADT[count][3], est_AADT[count][4]);
fclose(aadtp);
```
..
## **Appendix E. Model-Based Estimation Code**

## \* \*

```
THIS PROGRAM IS WRITTEN IN S-PLUS
```
function(seed, **param,** reps)

# # This function generates the model based AADT and VMT estimates for a fixed

# *set* of input parameters.

#

{

# The output is me VMT, ground VMT **estimate,** ground & *sat* VMT **estimate,**  # ground residual, and ground & sat residual.

# # 'seed' must **be** a negative integer

# 'param' is the input parametas **for** run.traffic

# The simulation is **run** 'reps' **times** (the seed is incremented each **time).** 

#

#

*2* 

#

```
AAJ3T.G <- numeric( 100) 
AADT.GS \nless- numeric(100)var.G <- numeric( 100) 
var.GS <- numeric(100)MGE <- numeric( 100) 
MGSE <- numeric(100)
nsatobs \lt- (param[1] * param[6] * 365)/param[5]
print(nsatobs) 
vmt < - matrix(nrow = reps, ncol = 5)
write.table(param, file = "input", append = F)
for(i in 1:reps) {
         write(seed - j + 1, file = "idum.in", append = F)
         unix("cat input I run.traffic") 
         input.max \leftarrow matrix(scan("design.out"), by row = T, ncol = 4)lengths \leq- matrix(scan("length.out"), byrow = 2, ncol = 2)[, 2]
         AADT.T <- scan("aadt.out")
         nrow <- length(input.math, 1])wts <- as.vector(c(rep(param[8]^-2, nsatobs), rep(param[10]^-2,
         logCounts < log(input, mat[, 1]) + 1/(2 * wts)links.G <- unique(inpuLmat[(nsatobs + l):nrow, 21) 
         links.GS <- unique(inputmat[, 21) 
         dow.factor <- as.character(input.mat[, 31) 
         month.factor <- as.character(input.mat[, 4])
         link.factor <- as.character(input.mat[, 2])
         lm.GS <- lm(logCounts ~ linkfactor + dow.factor + monthfactor,
         lm.G <- lm(logCounts ~ link.factor + dow.factor + month.factor,
                  nrow - nsatobs))) 
                   weights = wts)
```

```
subset = as.vector((nsatobs + 1):nrow))c0ef.G <- dummy.coef(lm.G)$link.factor 
        mean.G <- dummy.coef(lm.G)$"(Intercept)"
        coef.GS <- dummy.cuef(lm.GS)$linkfactor 
        mean.GS <- dummy.coef(lm.GS)$"(Intercept)"
        \text{summary.G} < \text{summary}(\text{lm.G})summary.GS <- summary(lm.GS)sigma.G <- summary.G$sigma 
        sigma.GS <- summary.GS$sigma 
        par.cov.G <- (sigma.G^2) * (summary.G$cov.unscaled)
        for(k in l:max(links.G)) { 
        par.cov.GS \leftarrow (sigma.GS^2) * (summary.GS$cov.unscaled)c.k <- as.matrix(c(1, rep(0. k - l), 1, rep(0. mol( 
                          par.cov.G) - k - 1))var.G[k] <- crossprod(c.k, par.cov.G %*% c.k)
                 AADT.G[k] \leq \exp(mean.G + coef.G[as.character(k)] -var.G[kl/2) 
         I 
        for(k in (max(links.G) + 1): 100) { 
                 var.G[k] \leq par.cov.G[1, 1]AADT.G[k] < \exp(\text{mean}.G - \text{var}.G[k]/2)1 
        for(k in 1inks.GS) { 
                 1<- as.numeric(row .names( as.data.fiame(links.GS)) [ 
                 c.k < -\text{as}.matrix(c(1, rep(0, 1-1), 1, rep(0, ncol(var.GS[k] <- crossprod(c.k, par.cov.GS %*% ck) 
                 AADT.GS[k] <- exp(mean.GS + coef.GS[as.charactex(k)] - 
                          links.GS = k)par.cov(GS) - 1 - 1))var.GS [k]/2) 
         1 
        links \langle - seq(1:100)links.no.GS <- links[ - links.GS] 
        for(k in links.no.GS) {
                 var.GS[k] \leftarrow par.cov.GS[1, 1]AADT.GS[k] < \exp(\text{mean.GS - var.GS[k]/2})I 
         VMT.T <- sum(1engths * AADT.T) 
         VMT.G <- sum(lengths * AADT.G)
         VMT.GS <- sum(1engths * AADT.GS) 
        MGE[j] \leftarrow sqrt(mean(((AADT.T - AADT.G)/AADT.T)^2))MGSE[j] \leftarrow \text{sqrt}((AADT.T - AADT.GS)/AADT.T)^2)vmt[j, ] < c(VMT.T, VMT.G, VMT.GS, MGE[j], MGSE[j])vmt #?his is the real one, next line is temporary 
                                                                                    :
```
# cbindWGE, MGSE)

**1** 

**I** 

**Appendix F. Scatterplots of the Traditional Estimation Method** *vs.* **the Model-Based Estimation Method (100 replications;** *M=* ....)

÷,





 $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ 



F2



 $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ 



é

j,

 $F<sub>4</sub>$ 





 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ 



F6



 $\mathbf{E}$ 

Root mean squared relative error in AADT estimates across runs:



F8

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}$