Technical	Report	Documentation	Page
	1100010	Documentation	

		Technical Report Documentation Page	
1. Report No. SWUTC/08/167764-1	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle REAL-TIME DATA FOR HURRICANE EVACUATION IN TEXAS		5. Report Date February 2008	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) Darrell W Borchardt and Darryl D) Puckett	8. Performing Organization Report No. Report 167764-1	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas Transportation Institute		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
Texas A&M University System 3135 TAMU		11. Contract or Grant No. 10727	
College Station, Texas 77843-313	5		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Southwest Region University Tran	sportation Center	13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report	
Texas Transportation Institute	-	14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
College Station, Texas 77843-313	5		
15. Supplementary Notes Supported by general revenues from	m the State of Texas.		
16. Abstract			
In September 2005, the threat of H of more than one million persons f overloaded all routes leaving the H While traffic and emergency mana areas, no such information was ava was clearly a need to expand video better traffic management in respon	urricane Rita to the Houston-Galvestor rom the Texas coastal and urban areas louston area, resulting in traffic queue gement personnel had access to real-ti- tilable in rural areas where the roadwar and traffic monitoring capabilities be nse to evacuations as well as normal to	on region resulted in the evacuation s. This concentration of evacuees s on freeways as long as 36-hours. ime traffic information in the urban may had restricted capacity. There eyond the urban areas to allow for raffic operations.	
HURREVAC is a restricted-use Internet based computer program used by government emergency			

managers to track hurricanes and assist in evacuation-related decision making. The program includes an ETIS (Environment Transport Integrated planning System) module included which allows for inclusion and access to real-time traffic information by emergency managers. This report documents attempts to complete the integration of the module with traffic data for Texas coastal regions. It also provides for general equipment and installation guidelines for deployment of video and sensor detection stations along hurricane evacuation routes. In addition, a list of recommended additional deployments of such monitoring equipment to allow for improved monitoring and managing of traffic during evacuation events in Texas.

^{17.} Key Words Hurricane Evacuation, Real-Time Traffic Monitoring, Remote Sensing		 18. Distribution Statement No restrictions. This document is available to the public through NTIS: National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161 http://www.ntis.gov 		
19. Security Classif.(of this report)20. Security Classif.(of the UnclassifiedUnclassifiedUnclassified		nis page)	21. No. of Pages 46	22. Price

REAL-TIME DATA FOR HURRICANE EVACUATION IN TEXAS

by

Darrell W. Borchardt, P.E. Senior Research Engineer

and

Darryl D. Puckett Associate Research Scientist

Texas Transportation Institute The Texas A&M University System 701 North Post Oak, Suite 430 Houston, Texas 77024

Report No. SWUTC/08/167764-1 Project 167764 Project Title: Data for Evacuation Transportation Information System in HURREVAC

Southwest Region University Transportation Center

Texas Transportation Institute The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135

February 2008

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors recognize that support for this research was provided by a grant from the U.S. Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program to the Southwest Region University Transportation Center which is funded 50% with general revenue funds from the State of Texas.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this project was to access the feasibility of integrating real-time traffic data in Texas coastal regions into the ETIS model of HURREVAC. HURREVAC is a restricteduse internet based computer program used by emergency managers to track hurricanes and assist in evacuation-related decision making. The program has an Evacuation Transportation Information System (ETIS) model included which, estimates or provides available real-time traffic data on the evacuation routes for select states in the southeastern United States. There is presently no data for Texas evacuation traffic in the ETIS model within HURREVAC. The September 2005 evacuation of southeast Texas in anticipation of Hurricane Rita further identified this need for providing real-time traffic information on Texas evacuation routes.

The findings of this research did not result in satisfying the project objective of integrating Texas traffic data into HURREVAC. A factor in this was that the developers of the program could not work with the researchers without an offer of funding. The scope and budget of this project did not allow for such financial support to any private sector partners. As a result, the researchers pursued the project in terms of developing an evacuation traffic information system that, with support from other state and local agencies, can be used by traffic and emergency managers as well as the general population.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Background	1
Integration of Texas Traffic Data In Hurrevac	3
Use of Traffic Operations Data	5
Existing (2007) Available Real-Time Traffic Data	9
Future Data Needs	11
Guidance for Field Equipment Selections and Communication	13
Steps Needed for Deployment	19
References	21
Appendix A – Installed Cameras and Sensors	23
Appendix B – Recommended Camera and Sensor Locations	27
Appendix C – Hurricane Evacuation Route Maps	33

LIST OF FIGURES

Page	
I ULL	1

Figure 1. HURREVAC Storm Tracking Screenshot	2
Figure 2. US 290 Congestion During Rita Evacuation	3
Figure 3. Video Server and Cellular Modem in a Traffic Cabinet	6
Figure 4. Handheld view of Video Image from a VIVDS Camera	7
Figure 5. Screenshot of TranStar Regional Webpage	9
Figure 6. Locations of TxDOT Evacuation Cameras and Traffic Sensors	10
Figure 7. Locations of TxDOT Evacuation Routes	11
Figure 8. Deployed equipment along TxDOT Evacuation Routes	12
Figure 9. Video Splitters in Traffic Cabinet	13
Figure 10. PTZ Camera on a Signal Pole	14
Figure 11. PTZ Camera and Sensor on a Sign Bridge	15
Figure 12. PTZ Camera and Sensor on a Light Standard	15
Figure 13. Weatherproof Cabinet with Components	16
Figure 14. Photocell Power Adaptor for Light Standard	17
Figure 15. Estimated Video/Sensor Costs (per site)	20

BACKGROUND

According to the National Hurricane Center (1), a total of 279 hurricanes have had a direct hit on the mainland United States coastline between 1851 and 2006. Of the 60 that struck the Texas coastline, 19 were classified as "major" hurricanes [Saffir/Simpson Category 3-5]. Texas is second only to the Florida coastline [113 hurricanes – 37 major] in direct hits during that time period. Coastal regions throughout the country are experiencing rapid growth and development; this has taxed the ability of many routes to adequately serve the increasing evacuation traffic demand. The 2005 Texas State Legislature passed H.B. 3111, which gives the county traffic judge or mayor of a municipality the authority to order an evacuation in an emergency and allows those officials to control ingress to and egress from a disaster area. It is expected that this "mandatory evacuation" will cause an additional demand increase on the designated evacuation routes as compared to previous years.

From November 2004 through January 2005, the Office of Homeland Security and the Governor's Division of Emergency Management held a series of hurricane evacuation workshops to complete a comprehensive assessment of Texas' current evacuation plans and capabilities. One recommendation offered is "install a system of real time traffic counters at key points along main evacuation routes to provide information on evacuation traffic flow". (2) This recommendation further states that these counters would be linked to local emergency operations centers and to the State Operations Center; there is no mention of providing the data in the HURREVAC Model.

On September 24, 2005, Hurricane Rita made landfall just east of the Texas/Louisiana border as a Category 3 hurricane. As Rita approached the Texas coast as a then Category 5 hurricane on September 21-23, it provoked one of the largest evacuations in U.S. history. Media reports indicated that the number of evacuees in Texas could have exceeded two million. Additional evacuations involving smaller numbers took place in Louisiana. While seven fatalities have been directly attributed to the hurricane itself, at least 55 "indirect" fatalities were reported in Texas. This includes a bus fire south of Dallas during the evacuation that killed 23 elderly from a Houston area nursing home. Other persons reportedly died due to heat exhaustion during the evacuation (3). Damages have been estimated to exceed \$11.8 billion (1).

The massive evacuation from the Houston area resulted in congested roadways; a typical 4-hour drive from Houston to Dallas was reportedly taking in excess of 24-hours. Although fuel shortages, medical issues, and personal comfort needs complicated the effort, all roadways in the hurricane impact zone were cleared of motorists 12-hours before landfall. In October 2005, the Texas Governor appointed a 14-member task force to, in part; make recommendations on how to improve evacuation plans for future emergencies in Texas. The task force recommended that the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Texas Department of Public Safety (DPS) jointly develop contra-flow plans for major evacuation routes (4). Traffic managers and law enforcement agencies throughout the state worked together to develop the required plans including the installation of traffic monitors. However, no plans or funding were made available to integrate the data into HURREVAC.

HURREVAC is a restricted-use internet based computer program used by more than 4,450 official government emergency managers to track hurricanes and assist in evacuation-related decision making (Figure 1). It is used by emergency management officials throughout Texas coastal regions as a critical tool in determining when to make a decision to call for evacuation during hurricane events. It was first used in South Carolina and Georgia during the 1989 hurricane season, specifically with Category 4 Hurricane Hugo. Subsequently, the program was developed for 13 states, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Puerto Rico. HURREVAC tracks hurricanes on computer plot maps using information from the National Hurricane Center, the data from federal hurricane evacuation study for the area, and provides an estimate of when various evacuation decisions should be made. The current version of the software [HURREVAC 2000] also includes National Weather Service official rainfall forecasts out to three days. Developed and maintained by Sea Island Software, Inc., the program couples FEMA and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers hurricane evacuation data and current weather forecast data from the National Weather Service.



Figure 1. HURREVAC Storm Tracking Screenshot

In 2007, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) funded a complete rewrite of HURREVAC in the Microsoft.NET programming environment. The new program is expected to be operational in 2010. The upgraded program will incorporate newer web and mapping standards into the model. A survey of registered users was completed by the selected contractor in November 2007 to gather input regarding experiences using the software.

INTEGRATION OF TEXAS TRAFFIC DATA IN HURREVAC

As the primary objective of this research was to determine the feasibility of integrating real-time traffic data from Texas coastal regions into the ETIS model of HURREVAC, the initial task within the project was to make contact with the software developers. Several conversations were made with the developers (Sea Island Software) as well as with the engineering firm (PBS&J) responsible for the "traffic end" of the software about working cooperatively with TTI to integrate Texas data within their existing systems. Neither of those private sector companies was able to work with the TTI staff unless funding could be secured to support their efforts.

Further research into the ETIS model determined that it is a product of the Southeast U.S. Hurricane Evaluation study. The systems consist of a traffic demand forecast model that accepts inputs on storm category, evacuation, compliance rate, and tourist occupancy information. Based upon this information, the model estimated the traffic on the evacuation routes (5). Although provisions were supposed to be made for real-time information which could assist in the determination of available routes and/or detours, no such data has been integrated as yet.

As was shown in dramatic fashion during the Hurricane Rita evacuation of the Houston/Galveston region in September of 2005, significant congestion on evacuation routes (Figure 2), particularly at points of restricted capacity, the lack of real-time knowledge of that congestion, hampered the orderly evacuation of the residents in affected areas.



Figure 2. US 290 Congestion During Hurricane Rita Evacuation

USE OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS DATA

HURREVAC is used as a tool by emergency management personnel as a source to obtain the necessary information to make evacuation decisions. The software combines real-time weather forecast information with hurricane evacuation study data. This allows Texas emergency managers to estimate evacuation times specific for hurricanes approaching the Texas coastal region. HURREVAC can provide information as to when decisions about evacuations should be made; providing guidance such that all necessary evacuations are expected to be completed prior to the arrival of sustained tropical storm force (39 miles per hour) winds.

Many emergency managers are expected to oversee many activities during an evacuation. As they are typically not traffic engineers by education or training, the task of traffic management is often delegated to others. Ideally, local or state traffic engineers or law enforcement are assigned traffic management duties during evacuation events. Hence, the inclusion of real-time traffic information in the ETIS model of HURREVAC may not be a high priority from an emergency management perspective. The ETIS system in the software is not a tool used by traffic managers in Texas. The evacuation in Southeast Texas in anticipation of the landfall of Hurricane Rita in September 2005 significantly changed how evacuations are handled for Texas coastal regions. Prior to this event, it was assumed that the evacuations would only occur in the coastal regions that might likely flood due to storm surge. The evacuation plans and roadway capacity for this scenario was more than sufficient to handle traffic demands as the distance traveled by evacuees was typically less than 100 miles. However, the Hurricane Rita evacuation included a significant increase in the volume of traffic departing from regions that are typically not in danger of a flooding event; many of these drove 200-300 miles to their intended destination. Therefore, emergency and traffic management personnel jointly developed revised evacuation plans for the region. TxDOT took a leading role in developing plans for contra-flow operations on major evacuation routes and began deploying monitoring cameras and traffic data collection stations at critical locations along these routes prior to the 2006 hurricane season. Given this change, the researchers determined that the development of a system independent of HURREVAC and primarily for traffic management and public information purposes is the best option to facilitate hurricane evacuations in Texas. As HURREVAC is only available to emergency managers, the development of a system which can provide real-time information to the general public is desirable.

In the Spring of 2006, TxDOT undertook a deployment of commercially available equipment to monitor selected locations that had proven to be of interest during the Hurricane Rita evacuation. Using existing video detection equipment (VIVDS) already installed at signalized intersections, relatively inexpensive and expedited installations were able to be accomplished with only the addition of cellular modems and video servers in signal cabinets. An example of the installation in a signal cabinet is shown in Figure 3. Figure 4 illustrates the visual observation that could be obtained from one of the cameras at the site. This particular example utilizes a hand held device (PDA) but similar displays were utilized on Blackberry and other such web-enabled devices by transportation officials when desired. These images are also available through the Internet to the TranStar webpage.



Figure 3. Typical Video Server and Cellular Modem Installation in a Traffic Signal Cabinet



Figure 4. Handheld Display of Video Image from VIVDS camera

EXISTING (2007) AVAILABLE REAL-TIME TRAFFIC DATA

Prior to the 2006 hurricane season, the availability of any real-time traffic data beyond large urban areas in Texas was limited. Only the travel-time and speed information data from the Houston TranStar (Greater Houston Transportation and Emergency Management Center) was available during the 2005 Hurricane Rita evacuation. Because of the nature of the evacuation, this data was of limited use in terms real-time traffic management during the evacuation. There was no data available in rural areas along the evacuation routes; where a significant amount of congestion occurred due to lower roadway capacity. TxDOT began installing cameras and traffic data recorders at critical locations of evacuation routes in the Houston-Galveston and Beaumont-Port Arthur regions prior to the 2006 Atlantic Hurricane Season. These sensor locations were mostly located in the rural regions where congestion began to develop during the Hurricane Rita evacuation. Although the focus of the research project has moved outside of HURREVAC, the task of identifying the locations where information will aid emergency and traffic management personnel remains critical.

The goal for the installation of the TxDOT traffic sensing equipment was to have the capability to monitor traffic operations at critical points along critical evacuation routes in southeast Texas. In addition to the ability to receive real-time traffic information, cameras were installed at these locations to provide snap-shot images of the roadway. To allow monitoring of the traffic and images, each is accessible through the Houston TranStar website at http://traffic.houstontranstar.org/maps/traffic_region_nav.html (Figure 5)



Figure 5. Screenshot of TranStar Regional Webpage

While each of the monitored locations provide images, only selected freeway sites were equipped with radar sensors to monitor and provide real-time traffic information. Location of these regional sites is presented in Figure 6. A more detailed location list is included in Appendix A. These locations are in the Houston and Beaumont regions and can be used to supplement similar information available from Houston TranStar during any evacuation scenarios as well as for routine traffic management. The regional cameras and traffic sensors are a part of the Houston TranStar network.



Figure 6. Locations of Houston TranStar/TxDOT Evacuation Cameras and Traffic Sensors

FUTURE DATA NEEDS

When compared to the 2005 Hurricane Rita evacuation scenario, the only regions of Texas with sufficient coverage to more effectively manage evacuation traffic are the Houston and Beaumont regions. Video and traffic sensor capabilities are needed at additional locations throughout the State. Although the specific details concerning the ideal placements for cameras and traffic sensors are best left to local emergency and traffic management personnel, a list of recommended generalized locations are presented in Appendix B. These locations were determined by evaluation of the published evacuation routes for the various population centers along the Gulf Coast which are included in Appendix C and summarized in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Locations of TxDOT Evacuation Routes

These locations have also been categorized by an immediate and long-term priority. The immediate priority needs are defined as those locations located closest to the coastal regions where evacuations are most likely to occur. The long-term priority location are those that while on Texas hurricane evacuation routes, are inland such that each may not be needed for

evacuation traffic management, but will serve an important tool in determining evacuation response. The basis for the route selection is the evacuation routes as provided to the general public and are illustrated in Appendix C (6). It is also recommended that each of the locations as identified in Appendix B include both camera and traffic sensors, and that each can be viewed remotely in real-time mode. The specifics of equipment, communication, and access for the field sites are examined in the next section of this report. An overview of the current deployment of equipment overlayed on the evacuation routes in southeast Texas is shown in Figure 8 below.



Figure 8. Deployed equipment along TxDOT Evacuation Routes

GUIDANCE FOR FIELD EQUIPMENT SELECTIONS AND COMMUNICATION

Although there is a plan for statewide deployment of fiber optic infrastructure to enable communications from field equipment in remote locations, the completed build out of that infrastructure remains in the unforeseen future. Based on the experience of the deployments of the cameras and sensors in the Houston and Beaumont non-urban areas, it is recommended that cellular modems be utilized for data communications in those remote areas where fiber optic infrastructure has not been deployed. Although this strategy does incur an ongoing monthly cost, the cellular networks have proven to be very reliable.

While other wireless Ethernet communications approaches (WiFi and spread spectrum) may be viable alternatives in some locations, they were not pursued due to more complex design and installation requirements.

The least complex and most inexpensive deployment of monitoring equipment is the use of an Ethernet video server that can be installed in an existing traffic signal cabinet where video detection equipment is already in use. In most cases, the existing video signals are of sufficient strength to allow for the interception of the signals prior to their input at the controller using splitters. An example of that interception is shown in Figure 9. The use of the video splitters does not impact the quality of the images for the operation of the detection system, except in the case of extremely long cable runs where a signal amplifier might be required.



Figure 9. Video splitters in traffic cabinet

Consumer grade video servers were selected for the initial demonstrations due to their ready availability and low cost. Experience in other outdoor applications has shown them to be perfectly acceptable for use in the Houston area environment. While not "field hardened" in the normal sense of standard TxDOT specifications, these units have been shown to perform adequately for several years with minimal maintenance. When and if malfunctions occur, the low costs and ready availability of replacement prove to be a viable alternative to more ruggedized components. The same consideration has justified the use of consumer grade PTZ cameras. The video server used in the initial deployment in the Houston area was an Axis 241Q which is a four port video server with Ethernet capability. It also is easily managed over a network and is responsive to relatively simple scripting to enable incorporation into the TranStar web applications.

The cameras were also selected based on prior experience in similar applications, low cost, and availability. Color networked PTZ cameras were utilized so as to not only provide static views as with the VIVDS installations, but also to enable the change of view by TranStar staff if required. Network management of these cameras is very similar to the video servers since both are Axis products. The camera is an Axis 213, mounted in a weatherproof outdoor housing. The PTZ camera is mounted usually, where existing power can be obtained from the infrastructure at the site. Signalized intersections without VIVDS equipment utilized the existing signal support poles for mounting as shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10. PTZ Camera on Signal Pole

In some cases where deployments were needed at locations other than signalized intersections, power for the equipment was obtained from existing infrastructure. Some locations utilized the power at a sign bridge as shown in Figure 11. Other locations utilized the power from a light standard as shown in Figure 12.



Figure 11. PTZ Camera and Sensor on a Sign Bridge



Figure 12. PTZ Camera and Sensor on Light Standard

A relatively small weatherproof cabinet was preconfigured in advance in order to provide for expedited installation in the field. This cabinet is shown in Figure 13.



Figure 13. Weatherproof cabinet with components

While not utilized in this deployment, a relatively simple component could be utilized on light standards with a photocell. It is inserted between the photocell and the light and 120v power can be made available for the equipment. That adapter is shown in Figure 14.



Figure 14. Photocell Power adapter for light standard

In all cases where fiber communications infrastructure is not available, only power is required to enable the deployment of the Hurricane Evacuation Monitoring equipment. The only impediment to statewide deployments with this equipment is the availability of cellular coverage. Prior to the deployments in the Houston and Beaumont areas, a survey of the anticipated sites was conducted to determine the availability of cellular communications at those locations. There were very few desired locations where sufficient signal strength was not available. One concern expressed was the possibility of service interruptions during periods of heavy use of the cellular network by the public during an event. Since the data traffic for these devices is separate from the voice traffic, it has not proven to be an issue.

STEPS NEEDED FOR DEPLOYMENT

Based on the deployment in the Houston and Beaumont areas, it has proven successful to utilize the experience and local knowledge of the maintenance forces within the various TxDOT area offices to prepare and install the monitoring equipment in the field. These technicians are familiar with the territory, infrastructure, and are best equipped complete the installation and maintenance activities. Their local knowledge assures that the installations are completed with minimal interference with any existing equipment.

Using the framework of the outline of suggested deployments (Appendix B) it is recommended that the local technicians perform a survey of the areas and determine the exact placements of individual components, depending on the desired functionality and available resources. During the survey and when candidate locations have been determined, a check of cellular signal strength should be conducted to assure that communication capability exists to provide connections to the host computers. If standard 120v AC power is available, then a weatherproof cabinet can be preconfigured to accommodate the requisite components as described earlier. In the event that higher voltage power is only available, such as at a light standard without a photocell, the cabinet should also be equipped with a step down transformer to provide the appropriate power.

The list and estimated cost of equipment for the monitoring locations are presented in Figure 15. These material costs are based upon that needed to deploy monitoring equipment in 2007. No labor costs are included in the estimates as most local agencies should be able to complete the installations using in-house technicians. These also assume that electrical power is available at the installation location; additional costs would be incurred for solar powered sites. As outlined in Appendix B, it is recommended that an additional 280 monitoring locations be installed in the near term and up to 158 considered in the next several years. While additional effort would be required to determine the exact locations and specifics of each installation, total equipment costs are estimated from \$518,000 to \$3,380,440 for the immediate installations, plus an additional \$292,458 to \$1,907,534 for the longer term sites. Monthly costs for the cellular modems are estimated at \$14,000 for the immediate installations and an additional \$7,900 for the longer term sites.

VIVDS monitoring at a signal cabinet					
		Unit	Extended		
Quantity	Part	Cost	Cost		
4	BNC tee adapters	\$4	\$16		
4	BNC right angle adapters	\$3	\$12		
4	BNC video cables 36 inch length	\$5	\$20		
1	Axis 241Q video server	\$750	\$750		
1	AirLink Raven-E cellular modem	\$950	\$950		
1	Ethernet cable 24 inch length	\$3	\$3		
1	external cellular antenna 48 inch length	\$100	\$100		
	Total		\$1,851		
Stand A	lone Camera and Sensor (maximum requirement)	Unit	Extended		
Quantity	Part	Cost	Cost		
1	weather proof cabinet with fan, circuit breaker, power filter and terminal blocks	\$550	\$550		
1	power strip for component power supplies	\$15	\$15		
1	AirLink Raven-E cellular modem	\$950	\$950		
1	Ethernet cable 50 foot length	\$10	\$10		
1	2 conductor 18ga camera power cable 50 foot length	\$18	\$18		
1	Axis 213 PTZ camera in weatherproof housing and gooseneck mount	\$2,700	\$2,700		
1	Wavetronix Smartsensor with 50 foot cable	\$8,000	\$8,000		
2	24VAC 50amp transformers for camera	\$15	\$30		
1	external cellular antenna 48 inch length	\$100	\$100		
	Total		\$12,273		

Figure 15. Estimated Video/Sensor Costs (per site)

REFERENCES

- 1. Blake, Eric S., Edward N. Rappaport, and Christopher W. Landsea, "The Deadliest, Costliest, and Most Intense United States Tropical Cyclones from 1851 to 2006 (And Other Frequently Requested Hurricane Facts)", National Weather Service, National Hurricane Center, Miami, Florida, April 2007.
- 2. "Report to the Governor on Texas Hurricane Preparedness", Office of Homeland Security, State of Texas, March 17, 2005.
- 3. Knabb, Richard D., Daniel P. Brown, and Jamie R. Rtiome, "Tropical Cyclone Report, Hurricane Rita, 18-26 September 2005", National Hurricane Center, August 14, 2006.
- 4. "Task Force on Evacuation, Transportation, and Logistics; Final Report to the Governor", Governor's Task Force on Evacuation, Transportation, and Logistics, February 14, 2006.
- 5. "HURREVAC: A Quick Reference Guide", 2006.
- 6. Accessed on the Internet at <u>http://www.texasonline.com/emergency/eng/evacuating.html</u> on 9/1/2007.

APPENDIX A

		Number of Devices		ices
	Approximate ¹	Cameras ²		
Roadway Limits	Distance (miles)	CCTV	VIDS	Sensors ³
Houston Region				
1-10 West	110	10		0
Luling to Katy	110	13	-	9
US 200 Northwest				
Giddings to Waller	70	0	4	1
Oldenings to watter	70	0	4	4
SH-36/US-59				
Needville to Rosenberg	19	3	16	_
	17	5	10	
SH-6				
SH-6 @ FM 521 in Arcola	-	-	4	-
SH-146				
SH-146 @ FM 646 in Bacliff	-	-	4	-
I-45 North				
Huntsville to Conroe	37	10	-	6
SH-71				
SH-71 @ US-90 in Columbus	-	1	-	-
Beaumont Region				
115 50				
Nacoadoches to Cleveland	05	3	20	
Nacoguoenes to creverand	95	5	20	-
SH-105				
SH-105 @ SH-321 in Tarkington	-	1	-	-
		-		
US-90/SH-146				
Dayton to Livingston	57	1	7	-
US-69				
Woodville to Lumberton	44	4	12	-
FM-105				
Evddak to Vidor	14	2	-	-
SH-8/	42	1	4	
Deweyville to Newton	43	1	4	-
L 10 East				
Orange to Baytown	82	8		6
Totals		55	71	25
10000		55	/ 1	25

TxDOT Installed Hurricane Cameras and Traffic Sensors

¹Distances not reported for locations along a roadway that are not consecutively adjacent. ²There are two types of cameras: a) CCTV- these have PTZ capabilities, b) VIDS – views from traffic signal video detection cameras. ³Sensors include data for both direction of travel.

APPENDIX B

		Number of Locations	
Roadway	Limits	Immediate	Long-Term
US-281	Brownsville to Pharr	3	-
	Pharr to Falfurrias	7	-
	Falturnas to Three Rivers	10	-
	Pleasanton to San Antonio	4	-
118-83	Brownsville to McAllen	6	2
05-05	McAllen to Laredo	2	6
		-	Ū
SH-186	Raymondville to Linn	1	1
FM 1017	Linn to Hebbronville	1	3
SH-285	Rivera to Hebbronville	3	1
SH-359	Hebbronville to Laredo	1	2
SH-41/FM 2295	Kingsville to Benavides	2	-
GH 220	Dana da Franci	1	1
SH-339	Benavides to Freer	1	1
SH 16	Habbronville to Freer	2	r
511-10	Freer to San Antonio	1	2 8
	Ther to San Antonio	1	0
US-59	Freer to Laredo	-	2
			-
FM 665	Corpus Christi to Alice	2	2
	1		
SH-44	Corpus Christi to Alice	3	1
	Alice to Freer	1	2
	Freer to Encinal	-	1
I-35	Laredo to San Antonio	3	15
FM 624	Corpus Christi to US-16	2	4
1.27	Comus Christita San Antonia	10	4
1-37	Corpus Christi to San Antonio	12	4
SH 72	Three Rivers to Tildes	1	
511-72	Three Rivers to Thides	1	-
US-181	Corpus Christi to San Antonio	10	4
00101		10	
SH-188	Aransas Pass to Sinton	1	-
SH-35	Rockport to Tivoli		1
SH-239	Tivoli to Goliad		1
US-77/US-77A/SH-183	Corpus Christi to Cuero		2
GII 122	Kamaa Cita ta Cita 1-1-1-		1
511-123	Karnes Ulty to Stockdale		
	Stockuale to Seguin Seguin to Son Morrow		1
1	Seguin to San Marcos	1	1

Recommended Hurricane Camera and Traffic Sensor Locations

		Number of Locations		Number of Locations	f Locations
Roadway	Limits	Immediate	Long-Term		
SH-87	Port Lavaca to Victoria	2	-		
	Victoria to Cuero	2	1		
	Cuero to San Antonio	6	4		
US-77	Victoria to Schulenburg	6	4		
	Schulenburg to LaGrange	2	2		
	LaGrange to Giddings	1	1		
	Giddings to Rockdale	1	2		
SH-111	Midfield to Edna	2	1		
	Edna to Yoakum	3	1		
110, 100		1			
US-183	Cuero to Yoakum	1	I		
LIG 102/011 111	Verlage to Consulta	2	1		
US-183/SH-111	Y oakum to Gonzales	2	1		
110 192	Conceles to Luling	2			
05-185	Conzales to Luning	2	-		
	Luing to Austin	2	2		
SH 71	Midfield to El Campo	1	2		
511-71	Fl Campo to Columbus	1	2 1		
	Columbus to LaGrange	2	1		
	Le Crange te Austin	1	1		
	LaGrange to Austin	1	2		
SH_35	Blessing to Bay City	1	_		
511-55	Dessing to Day City	1	-		
SH-60	Bay City to Wharton	2	1		
	Wharton to Wallis	2	1		
		2	1		
SH-36	Brazoria to West Columbia	1	1		
	West Columbia to Rosenberg	2	2		
	Rosenberg to Sealy	$\frac{1}{2}$	2		
		_	_		
I-10	Katy to Sealy	6	-		
	Sealy to Columbus	5	-		
	Columbus to Weimer	3	-		
	Weimer to Luling	3	2		
	Luling to Seguin	2	2		
	Seguin to San Antonio	2	1		
SH-288	Lake Jackson to Houston	4	2		
SH-6	Hitchcock to Alvin	1	1		
	Alvin to Arcola	1	1		
	Arcola to Sugar Land	1	1		
	Sugar Land to Cypress	2	2		
	Hempstead to College Station	2	2		
	College Station to Hearne	1	1		

Recommended Hurricane Camera and Traffic Sensor Locations (Cont.)

		Number of Locations	
Roadway	Limits	Immediate	Long-Term
US-290	Cypress to Hempstead	1	2
	Hempstead to Brenham	2	1
	Brenham to Giddings	1	1
	Giddings to Austin	2	3
1.45		0	2
1-45	The woodlands to Huntsville	8	2
	Huntsville to Buffalo	6	2
	Butfalo to Ennis	4	-
	Ennis to Dallas	2	1
US-59	Kingwood to Cleveland	3	1
0000	Cleveland to Livingston	3	1
	Livingston to Corrigan	3	1
	Corrigan to Lufkin	3	1
	Lufkin to Nacogdoches	2	1
	Luikin to Macoguoches	2	2
SH-287	Corrigan to Crockett	1	2
CH 146		C	
SH-146	LaMarque to La Porte	8	4
	Baytown to Mount Belvieu	2	l
	Mount Belvieu to Dayton	1	l
	Liberty to Livingston	2	2
SH-321	Dayton to Cleveland	1	1
FM 563	Wallisville to Liberty	1	-
US-90	Dayton to Liberty	2	1
00,00	Liberty to Beaumont	2	1
		-	-
SH-105	Beaumont to Moss Hill	2	-
US-69	Beaumont to Kountze	3	1
	Kountze to Woodville	3	1
	Woodville to Lufkin	4	2
			-
FM 92	Silsbee to Colmesneil	2	1
US-96	Nederland to Beaumont	3	1
	Beaumont to Silsbee	3	1
	Silsbee to Evadale	1	-
	Evadale to Buna	1	-
	Buna to Jasper	3	1
	Jasper to San Augustine	3	1
FM 105	Vidor to Evadale	1	1
SH-62	I-10 to Buna	2	1

Recommended Hurricane Camera and Traffic Sensor Locations (Cont.)

		Number of Locations	
Roadway	Limits	Immediate	Long-Term
SH-87	Bridge City to Orange	2	1
	Orange to Bleakwood	3	1
	Bleakwood to Burkeville	2	1
	Burkeville to Hemphill	2	1
	Hemphill to Center	3	1
I-10	Mount Belvieu to Wallisville Wallisville to Winnie Winnie to Beaumont Beaumont to Orange	3 3 3 8	2 2 3 4
Total		280	158

Recommended Hurricane Camera and Traffic Sensor Locations (Cont.)

APPENDIX C

Hurricane Evacuation Route Maps





