SERIOUS INJURY

Q: What is required for a State to meet the requirement on serious injury reporting?

- A: States are required to report serious injuries in compliance with 23 CFR Parts 490 and 1300. A State is considered compliant if it conforms with all of the following:
 - (a) Maintains a statewide crash database capable of accurately aggregating the Model Minimum Uniform Crash Criteria (MMUCC) 4th Edition injury status attribute "Suspected Serious Injury (A)",
 - (b) Ensures police crash forms employ the verbatim MMUCC 4th Edition injury status attribute "Suspected Serious Injury (A)",
 - (c) Ensures the State crash database data dictionary and crash report user manual employs the verbatim terminology and definitions for the MMUCC 4th Edition injury status attribute "Suspected Serious Injury (A)" and
 - (d) Ensures that none of the six serious injury types specified therein are included in any of the other attributes listed in the States' injury status data element.

Q: When must a State comply with the new definition?

A: States are required to comply with the new definition by April 15, 2019. However, it is recommended that States begin using the MMUCC 4th Edition definition and attribute beginning January 2019 in order to have a complete and consistent data file for the entire 2019 calendar year.

Q: What are the State Serious Injury Conversion Tables?

A: The State Serious Injury Conversion Tables assist a State in reporting the required number and rate of serious injuries until it becomes compliant with the new serious injury definition. States that do not currently use the MMUCC 4th Edition attribute for "Suspected Serious Injury (A)" shall use the State Injury Conversion Tables to convert the equivalent definition and attributes from the their State's Motor Vehicle Crash Database to report serious injuries.

Q: Where can I find the State Serious Injury Conversion Tables?

A: The State Serious Injury Conversion Tables can be found on the FHWA website at the link below: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/spm/conversion_tbl/.

NON-MOTORIZED

Q: Is the non-motorized safety performance measure two separate measures?

A: No. The non-motorized safety performance measure is one measure that combines non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries. The numbers are combined to account for the volatility of small data sets in some states and to minimize the number of safety performance measures that States must report.

Q: How do I determine the number of non-motorized serious injuries in my State Motor Vehicle Crash Database?

A: The number of non-motorized serious injuries is the total number of serious injuries where the injured person is, or is equivalent to, a pedestrian (2.2.36) or a pedalcyclist (2.2.39) as defined in the American National Standard (ANSI) D16.1-2007 Manual on Classifications of Motor Vehicle Traffic Accidents.





For States that do not use the ANSI D16.1 definition in their State's Motor Vehicle Crash Database, the State Serious Injury Conversion Tables provide equivalent definitions for pedestrian and pedalcyclist.

- Q: Is the non-motorized safety performance measure identical to NHTSA's pedestrian and bicyclist measures in the Highway Safety Plan (HSP) (23 CFR 1300.11 and document DOT HS 811 025)?
- A: No. The HSIP's non-motorized safety performance measure combines the total number of non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries during a calendar year in a State. The number of non-motorized fatalities is the total number of fatalities with the Fatality Analysis and Reporting System's (FARS) person level attribute codes: (5) Pedestrian, (6) Bicyclist, (7) Other Cyclist, and (8) Person on Personal Conveyance. The number of non-motorized serious injuries is the total number of serious injuries where the injured person is, or is equivalent to, a pedestrian (2.2.36) or a pedalcyclist (2.2.39) as defined in ANSI D16.1.

NHTSA has two separate measures that address non-motorized users: one for pedestrian fatalities and one for bicyclist fatalities. NHTSA does not include a performance measure for pedestrian or bicyclist serious injuries.

- Q: Where can I find more information to help set the HSIP target for non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries?
- A: A guide to help set the non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries target is located at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/spm/.

HSIP TARGET SETTING

- Q: What years of data are used to set HSIP targets and measure baseline performance?
- A: States should begin to set their targets by first looking at trends and annual counts from their State data and FARS data. This is done by examining data from previous years, and using that data to make estimates of the target for future years.

States can calculate the five most recent five-year averages of available data and draw a trend line using Excel or another software program. For example, to set a target for CY 2018 (5-year average data from years 2014-2018), States should use data from 2016 and prior years. The five consecutive five-year rolling averages to review would be 2008-2012 as the first five-year average, 2009-2013, 2010-2014, 2011-2015 and 2012-2016 as the most recent five-year average. These five different values are used to create a trend line. The trend line can be extrapolated and used to forecast future five-year averages, including 2013-2017 and 2014-2018 to set the CY 2018 target (5-year average data from years 2014-2018). The next step in deciding on a target is to consider the many elements that might modify the trend line.

- Q: Is there a prescribed methodology a State should use when setting the HSIP targets?
- A: No. FHWA does not prescribe a methodology for setting targets. States have the flexibility to use any methodology they deem appropriate. States should review data sets and trends and consider a variety of internal and external factors such as VMT, laws, and investments among others that may affect targets. Annual HSIP targets should be data driven, realistic and attainable.





- Q: Which safety stakeholders should be involved in setting targets?
- A: Coordinating for the target setting process is important to comply with the regulation on setting identical targets for the common measures in the HSIP and Highway Safety Plan (HSP). State DOTs and State Highway Safety Offices (SHSOs) will need to coordinate on setting the three identical targets. State DOTs and MPOs are also required to coordinate during the target setting process. States should include other stakeholders in the target setting process such as law enforcement, emergency medical services and others to garner buy-in from all safety stakeholders in the State.
- Q: Since FHWA will make the determination whether a State has met or made significant progress using FARS data, should a State use FARS data when setting their HSIP fatality targets?
- A: Setting HSIP targets involves a review of a variety of data sets. The FARS data is a good place to begin but it is not the only data to review. The State crash database can provide a validity check on the FARS data and should be consulted. State crash databases tend to have more timely data then FARS.
- Q: Do the 5 safety performance measures have to be reflected in a States' Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)?
- A: Yes. The SHSP must include goals that are consistent with the safety performance measures. States can achieve this by establishing goals in their SHSPs that consider reductions in serious injuries and fatalities for all road users on all public roads. States could also adopt SHSP goals that directly correspond to each of the safety performance measures.
- Q: Where can I find more information on setting targets and the SHSP?
- A: FHWA has several publications at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/spm/ on target setting practices. The SHSP information is located at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/shsp/.

MPO HSIP TARGETS

- Q: Can MPO HSIP targets be less stringent than the State DOT HSIP targets?
- A: The MPO may choose the target that is most appropriate for the planning area. Since States and MPOs must coordinate during the target setting process, they will both be aware of the methodologies each uses to set the HSIP targets. FHWA does not stipulate a specific methodology States or MPOs must use to establish HSIP targets. MPO and State targets should be data driven.
- Q: What does it mean if a bi-state MPO agrees to supports a States' HSIP target?
- A: If a bi-state MPO agrees to support a State HSIP target, the bi-state MPO would agree to plan and program projects that support each State achieving its target.
- Q: When do MPOs need to establish HSIP targets?
- A: MPOs have 180 days after the State establishes and reports their targets to establish HSIP targets. States establish and report HSIP targets in their HSIP Annual Report due August 31 each year. If a State submits its HSIP report prior to August 31st, FHWA still considers the HSIP targets as being established and reported on August 31. Therefore, MPOs must establish their HSIP targets no later than February 27 each year.
- Q: Where can I found more information on MPO requirements?
- A: A fact sheet on safety performance measure requirements specific to MPOs is located at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/spm/.





MET OR MADE SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS

- Q: How will significant progress be determined?
- A: A State has met or made significant progress when at least 4 out of the 5 HSIP targets are met or the actual outcome for the target is better than baseline performance.
- Q: When does FHWA determine if a State has met or made significant progress?
- A: FHWA determines whether a State has met or made significant progress toward meeting its HSIP targets at the end of the calendar year when target year data is available. FHWA will report its findings to States by March of the following year. For example, FHWA will begin to assess significant progress for the 2014-2018 HSIP targets in December 2019 and will report its findings to States no later than March 2020.
- Q: Will the State DOT be evaluated on the MPO HSIP targets for significant progress?
- A: No, State DOTs are not evaluated on MPO HSIP targets. When determining if a State has met or made significant progress, FHWA will only use the State's HSIP targets submitted to FHWA in the HSIP Annual Report.
- Q: What is the consequence if a State does not meet or make significant progress?
- A: If a State DOT does not meet or make significant progress toward meeting its HSIP targets, the State shall use obligation authority equal to the HSIP apportionment for the fiscal year prior to the target year only for HSIP projects and submit an HSIP Implementation Plan to FHWA. For example, if a State DOT does not meet or make significant progress towards meeting its 2018 safety targets, in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021, the State DOT must use obligation authority equal to the FY 2017 HSIP apportionment only for HSIP projects and submit an HSIP Implementation Plan by June 30, 2020.
- Q: Where can I find additional information on met or made significant progress?
- A: A fact sheet on the determination of Met or Made Significant Progress is located at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/spm/.



