In re: Investigation of accident which occurred upon the line of the Southern Pacific Company near Tonopah Junction, Nev., June 15, 1915.

(

On June 15, 1915, there was a derailment of a mixed train on the line of the Southern Pacific Company near Tonopah Junction, Nev., which resulted in the death of 2 employees and the injury of 1 employee. After investigation of this accident the Chief of the Division of bafety reports as follows:

bound sixed train so. 191, consisting of an empty box car, 5 box c rs loaded with one, I can of merchandise, 3 supty flat cars, I combination baggage and express car, and I couch, nauled by locomotives o and 14, and was in charge of Johnston Davidson and Engineers Fard and Hoyt. The train left Belleville, Nev., at 7.30 p.m., and at 7.53 p.c. was densited at a point 4.4 wiles west of Belleville, *hile runnin. *t c steed estimated to have been about 10 miles ser nour.

The second locomotive and the first two cars were derailed and turned over on their sides. The employees fill a vere the fireman of the second locomotive and the new brokesan.

This part of the Balt Lake Division of the Southern decific Company is single track, narrow gauge line. No block signal system is in use, trains being operated by train orders and time-card rights. The accident occurred about 150 feet west of the eastern end of a curve of 13 degrees to the left, on a descending grade for westbound trains of about 2½ per cent. The track is laid with 35-pound rails, 30 feet in length, with an average of 14 ties under each rail, rail braces being used on the curve on which the accident occurred. This is a branch line and has a dirt road bed, with no ballast. While not in the best of condition, it is believed to be safe for the speed at which trains are allowed to run on this grade, which is 15 miles per hour.

The only wheel marks on the ties were made by the first part of the train to be derailed, which was the second car in the train. This car run on the road bed a total distance of 115 feet before toing down the six-foot embankment and turning over. When it turned over the coupling held between it and the first car, an empty box car, so that the latter car also tipped over, to ether with the locomotive immediately shead. The coupling broke between the two locomotives, the leading one recaining on the rails.

Examination of the equipment showed that the bottom brake rod had broken on the rear end of the second car. This brake rod, which was used of five-eighths-inch iron, broke near the rear brake been, leaving about 4 feet 8 inches of it trailing. This loose and of the rod swung across the outside rail and was caught by the tread of the rear

wheel of the rear truck. This placed an unusual strain on the wooden brake beam on the forward end of the truck, causing it to break in two nearly in the center and allowing the rod to trail back far enough to catch under and derail the rear pair of wheels. The rod also had marks on it indicating that it had drabged under the wheel. The examination of the fractured surfaces of the broken brake rod showed slight evidences of defect, which could not, however, have been detected by car inspectors when making inspections of the equipment.

Engineers Ward of the leading locomotive stated that he had made a five or six pound application of the air brakes while on the tengent immediately east of the curve and had just released the brakes when he felt a jerk and on locking back he saw the second car tipping over, draging with it the first car and the second locomotive. The devaluent of the cars broke the train line, the air brakes being applied automatically, bringing the train to a stop in a short wistance.

assistant Superintendent Moore, who was a passenger on the derailed train, stated that the speed of the train was slow and that when it stopped he thought the enginemen had brought the train to a stop on account of cattle or some other obstruction on the track. He looked over the trucks, wheels, etc., of the derailed locomotive and cars, and discovered the broken brake rod attached at one end to a portion of the brake beam which had been torn from the brake

hanger. Mr. Woore stated that when he found the brake rod and the portion of the brake beam, the brake rod was lying on the track between the rails while the portion of the brake beem was on the fill just outside of the ties. This portion of the brake beam had been torn from the hanger on the left side of the car. Mr. Moore stated that he also walked back at least 1 mile to see if any of the equipment had dropped off on the track, and found nothing. With a track level and gauge he took measurements of the track every fifteen feet for u distance of 130 feet east of the initial marks on the ties. at no point was the gauge over five-eighths inch wide. point where the measurements were begun, 180 feet east of the initial a.rks on the ties, the aperelevation was five-eighths of an lach; this was just east of the point of curve. superelevation increased to four inches at a point 30 feet east of the initial marks on the ties. At the point of derailment the gauge was five-eights lach wide, while the superelevation was 3 inches. Mr. Moore further stated that the initial flames marks were on the inside of the right hand rail, 4 inches from the gauge side of the same. He examined the left hand rail but found no mark on it made by the wheels, the wheels on that side apparently having been thrown entirely across the rail without touching it. When the 5 cars of ore were picked up at Belleville, he inspected 2 of the cars and found the lading to be evenly distributed with respect to the

sides of the cars. Neither of these cars, however, was the one which afterwards derailed.

conductor Davidson stated that when the cars were picked up at Belleville, he looked inside to see how they were loaded. He found that the ore had been shoveled to the ends of the cars, tapering down as it neared the middle of the cars, where there was practically no ore. At the deepest point there was about three feet of ore.

Assistant Master Mechanic Jones, who had had about 13 years' experience, stated that when he examined the broken brake rod, he found that there were two welds in it; the rou did not, however, break at either of these welds. The break was a straight break and the iron appeared to be in very good condition except that it showed slight flaws and some evidence of crystallization. It did not, however, show that it had been overheated when the welding had been done.

This accident was caused by the derailment of the rear truck of the second car in the train, due to the break-in, of the bottom brake rod on that car, which in turn, being caught by a wheel, resulted in the breaking of the brake beam, which allowed the bottom rod to trail back far enough to derail the rear wheels of the car. What caused the breaking of the brake rod a t this particular time was not determined.