IN RE INVESTIGATION OF AN ACCIDENT THICH OCCURRED ON THE PENN-SYLVANIA RAILROAD NEAR COLONIA, N. J., ON OCTOFEP 18, 1920.

January 19, 1931.

On October 18, 1930, there was a rear-end collision between a passenger train and a freight train near Colonia, N. J., resulting in the death of 2 employees, and the injury of 4 passengers and 1 Pullman porter. After investigation of this accident the Chief of the Bureau of Safety reports as follows.

The accident occurred on the Nev York Division, which in the vicinity of the point of accident is a four-track line over which train movements are governed by time-table, train orders transmitted by telephone, and an automatic block-signal system. Approaching the point of accident from the rest, beginning at signal 322 and extending to signal 314, a distance of 4.295 feet, there is a series of short curves and tangents, the track is tangent from signal 314 to the point of accident, a distance of a little more than 500 feet. Between signal 322 and signal 314 the grade is practically level, from signal 314 eastward to beyond the point of accident it is 1.06 per cent ascending.

The signals are of the upper-quadrant, three-position, normal-clear, semaphore type, hight indications being red, yellow and green for stop, caution and clear, respectively. Signal 223, located on the first signal bridge west of Colonia Station, is a single-arm signal, and signal 214, located on the first signal bridge east of Colonia, is a two-arm semaphore signal, the top arm being used as the distant signal for DK tower. At the time of the accident there was a dense fog.

Eastbound freight train MD-6, en route from Eagemoor, Del.,

engine 3507, and was in charge of Conductor Love and Engineman Scheeffer. It left Figemoor at 9.19 p.m. October 17, and passed Metuchen, N. J., the last reporting station 4.3 miles west of the point of accident at 2.34 a.m. October 18. Signal 31: indicated caution and a service application of the brakes brought the train to a stop more quickly than the engineman intended, with the rear end of the train a short distance vest of signal 37:. After some difficulty in getting started again, it was moved anead a distance estimated to have been about 15 car-lengths and again care to a stop, the second stop was made on account of air-brake trouble. Shortly afterwards the rear end of train MD-6 was struck by train No. 303, the accident occurring at about 3.5* a m.

Factbound passenger train No. 203, en route from Philadelphia to New York, consisted of 1 baggage car 3 coaches, 2 Pullman sleeping cars, and 2 coaches, in the order named, all of all-steel construction, nauled by engine 530, and was in charge of Conductor Tulcany and Engineman Quail. This train left Philadelphia at 12.30 a.m., passed Metuchen at 2.43 a.m., passed signal 222 at caution, signal 214 at stop, the flagman of train MD-6 and a curning red fusee, and collided with the rear-end of train MD-6 while traveling at a speed variously estimated to have been from 30 to 50 riles an nour.

The choose and six cars of train ND-6 were completely destroyed, and one car badly damaged, by the collision and by fire which broke out in the wrockage; the second car from the engine buckled and was after and destroyed. In train No. 202, the engine was defauled, turned over on its side, and cally damaged:

the baggage car has also derailed and over-turned. With the exception of the first cost, none of the other cars in this train was derailed or damaged. The employees killed were the engineeran and fireman of the passenger train.

According to Engineman Schaeffer, of train MD-6, signal 314 was in the caution position as his train approached and he made a 30-pound application of the air brakes. The train ctopped sooner than he had expected, and after pumping up the prake-pipe pressure to 65 pounds he finally succeeded in starting the train after a delay estimated by him to have been 8 or 10 minutes, out had proceeded a distance which he estimated to have been only 15 carlengths when he felt the air brakes applying and noted that the brake pipe pressure had gone down to 40 pounds. The train was brought to a stop and ne sent a orakeman back to locate the trouble, it was while this was being done that the accident occurred. The estimates of the engineman, fireman, and of one brakeman as to the interval which elapsed between the time of the second stop and the time of the collision varied from 2 to 4 minutes, the middle brakeman estimated that 6 minutes elapsed between the time of the first stop and the time of the collision, while Conductor Lowe estimated that interval to have been 8 or 10 minutes. According to the statements of Conductor Lowe, the flagman went back with a lighted fusee when the train first came to a stop, the conductor calling nim in when the train started to move ahead. Conductor Lowe said the train proceeded very slowly and that he nimself therefore threw off some more fusees. He verified the statement of Flagman Weaver that the

flag, an started back to flag before the train came to a full stop the second time; Flagman Weaver send he had reached a point 3 or 3 carlengths west of signal 21± when the engine of train No. 202 passed him at a speed estimated by him to have been about 50 miles an hour, with the engine working steam. Confuctor Lowe and one of his brakemen also estimated the speed of train No. 202 to have been about 50 miles an hour, and they thought the engine was working steam when the collision occurred. It was also stated by Flagman Weaver that he had not used torpedoes when flagging on either occasion, although their use in required by the rules, but that he had used five fusees.

The estimates of the tiern drew of train No. 202 as to the speed of their train varied from 30 to 40 miles an hour. Conductor Mulcany sind a service application of the air brakes had been made approaching Colonia, but he thought no application was made after passing signal 222, Head Brakeman Kiefer and Baggagenaster Bentivogli said there was an emergency application of the air brakes immediately prior to the collision. The conductor did not see any burning fusees when he got off the train, but Head Brakeman Kiefer said that there was a purning fusee under the fourth car.

Crossing Watchman Balarkies, who was on duty at the grade crossing located a few hundred feet east of signal 214, stated that train 'D-3 was moving very slowly, stopped with its caboose opposite his shanty, and then moved ahead about 5 minutes before train No. 302 approached. According to his statement Flagman 'baver used only two fusees, one being dropped about 2 rail-

lengths west of the crossing and another about $2\frac{1}{2}$ rail-lengths east of the crossing, the first one had burned out and the other had hearly burned out when train No. 202 passed.

After the accident matks made by five burned fusees were found, all of these being bothern a point 657 feet west of signal 314 and a point 210 feet east of that signal; it was not determined which of these fusees was thrown off by Conductor Love and Flagman Velver, or used by Rear Brakeman farrallo when he cent tack after the accident to protect one rear end of train Mo. 203. The evidence indicated that the automatic signals were in proper corking order and displaying the proper indications, but that the fog was so mense it was impossible to see more than 3 or a car-lengths.

This accident is raused by the failure of Engine.an Quart, of train No. 203, properly to observe and be soverned by automatic block-signal indications, and the failure of Conductor Love and Flagran Teaver of train MD-6, properly to protect their train.

Several 5-minute fisers had been used by the crew of train ND-6, but it seems probable that all of them had burned out before train No. 202 approached, with the possible exception of the these located 310 feet east of signal 21. If those members of the orem of train No. 202 who said there was an energency application of the brakes just before the oblination occurred are correct in their stationents, it is probable that PREINGRAM Quall had seen either this fusee or the stop indication of signal 21. The evidence indicates that signals 232 and

21* functioned properly, both before and after the accident, also that Engineran Quail sounded a rosh-crocking which esignal approaching the crossing at Colonia, the whistle post of which is located between signals 232 and 214. All of this evidence indicates that Engineeran Quail unwoubtealy was awake, but that for some unknown reason he failed to observe the caution indication of signal 232, his first intimation of danger being then he observed either the stop indication of signal 31%, or the burning fusee a short distance behind the rear of train MD-3.

Not only noes the evidence indicate that Flagman Weaver at no time went cache sufficient distance to protect his train, but that he fulled to use torpeaces, although according to his own statement he had them with him. The flagging rule of this railroad requires the use of torpedoes and had Flagman Weaver gone back as far as he could in the time he had at his disposal when his train first stopped, and placed two torpedoes on the rails, it is possible that in view of the existing weather conditions Engineman Quail would have brought his train sufficiently under control to have enabled him to avoid the accident.

Conductor Lowe was on the rear of his train and in position to supervise its proper protection. In view of the foggy weather prevailing, and knowing that train No. 202 has due, he aisplayed bad juagment in calling in Flagman Veaver.

All the employees involved were experienced men. At the time of the accident the crew of train MD-6 had been on duty approximately 8 nours, after periods off duty ranging from

14 hours to more than 3+ hours. The train crew of train No. 202 had been on iuty about 8 hours and the engine crew about 4 hours, previous to which they rad been off duty periods ranging from 11 to 18 hours.