In re Investigation of Accident on the Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Railway at AGams, M. D., on September 6, 1913.

On September G, 1913, there was a rear-end collision between two freight trains on the Minneapolis, St. Faul & Sault Ste. Morio Railway at Adams, N. D., resulting in the death of 2 employees and the injury of 2 employees.

()

ť

After investigation of this accident and the circumstances connected therewith, the Chief Inspector of Safety Appliances reports as follows:

The fourth district of the Minniper division of the Minneapolis, St. Faul & Sault Ste. Marie Hailway, on which this accident occurred, is a single-track line extending between Overly and Adams, N. D., a distance of 101 miles. Trains are operated by the train-order system, no block signals being used. The trains involved in this collision were regular eastbound freight train No. 67 and eastbound freight train extra 808.

Train No. 60 in charge of Conductor Cellant and Enginesian Lotimore, left Overly at 8:05 a.m., September 5th, one hour and five minutes behind its scheduled leaving time. It was hauled by engine No. 146 and consisted of 30 loaded and 2 empty freight cars and a cebosae when it left Overly. This train was delayed on route doing station work and picking up and setting off cars, and did not arrive at Adams, its objective terminal, until about 10:20 p.m. Left ober 5th, mearly seven hours late, the train at that time consisting of 25 loade, 2 empties and a cebose.

Upon arrival at Adams, that is, 60 stopped on main track with caboose about ten car longths west of Depot. Head Brakeman Develing got off the snakes is it passed the telegraph office and asked operator wellow if there was a clear track in the yard. Upon being i formed by the operator that tracks No. 1 and No. 2 were clear, frakemen Develing threw the switch to permit his train to jull into the yard, but received a signal from the rear end of the train to cut engine off and go to engine house, leaving train stand on main line, which was done. Conductor Gallant and his three brakemen then went to the except the marker lights in the rear end of the caboose. The reason for leaving this train standing on main track was that upon arrival at Alems the orew had been on duty 15 hours and 50 ainutes, mamely, from 360 w.t. until 10120 p.s., and fonductor Gallant did act concluer that he had time to put his train away within the 15- or limit of service permitted by law.

At about 12120 a.a., September 6th, train extra 808, while approaching the station at Many, collided with the pear end of train No. 60, instantly killing Conductor Gallant and Brakeman Fashant, and seriously injuring Brakeman Fry and Develing, all four of whom were asleep in the caboose of train No. 60 at the time. The caboose and rear box car on train No. 60 were demolished and two other cars were derailed and turned over. The front end of engine No. 808 was considorably damaged.

Extra 308, in charge of Conductor Michaels and Enginessan Crick, left Adams light at 4:25 p.m. on September 5th, with orders to run extra from Adams to Egeland and return to Adams. Egeland is a junction point 52 miles west of Adams, where extra No.808 was to pick up a train of east-bound cars and move them to Adams. On its westbound trip extra 308 mat train No. d0 at Callo, a station 44 miles west of Adams, and Conductor Sellent and Engineers Larimore had a copy of the order given to extra 309 and knew that that train was to return to Adams from Egeland. Upon arrival at Egeland extra 608 turned end after picking up a train consisting of 59 loads and 6 amptics returned enstward to Adams, leaving Egeland at about 2:25 p.s. The enew of extra 308 did not communicate with the train dispatcher before leaving Egeland, as there was no night tolograph operator on duty at that place nor were there any telegraph offices open at night on the entire division between Adams and Overly, a distance of 101 miles. Meither was there any train register kept at Egeland, although it is a junction point where a brench line diverges from the spin line.

Approaching Adams from the west the track is level and struight for a distance of one sile and the view is unobstructed for that distance. On the night of the collision the sky was cloudy and it was very dark. No lights were used on main track switches at Adams, nor elsewhere on this division of the Hinneapolis, 3t. Faul & Sault Ste. Marie Ballway. At the time of the collision the caboose of train No. 60 was standing about 1,200 feet east of the yard limit board at the west end of Adams yard.

Ingineman Crick of extra 800 stated that on approaching Adams he shut off steam at the mile beard west of the station and drifted in with the intention of stopping his engine at the west end of the station platform. When his engine reached the yord limit board the speed of his train had been reduced to about 12 alles per hour. He then made a brake application which reduced the speed of his train to about 8 miles per hour, and when his engine struck the rear and of train No. 60 the apped of his train was about 6 miles per hour. Engine No. 60 the apped of his train was about 6 miles per hour. Engine No. 60 was equipted with an ordinary oil burning headlight, and inginemen Grick did not see the rear and of train No. 60 until his headlight showed on the caboose only a short distance away. We immediately and an emergency appliestion of the brakes but his train was too close to avoid the collision. Enginemen Grick stated that there was but one red light burning on the rear. I the caboose of train No. 60 and as 15 was on the left hand side of the caboogs almost directly in line with the order board at the station he took it for the order-board light. He further said that the order-board light was badly sucked so that it could not be seen at a greater distance away than 10 or 15 car lengths else he would have seen two lights instead of one.

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Brakeman Develing and Fry of train No. 60, who were injured in the collision, both stated that they looked at the markers before going to bed and found them burning brightly on both sides of the caboose and at that time there was also a brightly burning red lastern standing on the rear platform of the caboose. Brakeman Fry also stated that he accompanied Conductor Callant to the caboose from the head end of the train and when they arrived at the caboose Conductor Callant saked Rear Brakeman Fashant about the markers. Brakeman Fashant replied "They are 0.2. I just got through filling them and lighting them and putting them out again so I would be sure that they would burn all right."

Fireman Solth of extra 300 stated that as his train approsched Adams he was sitting on the fireman's seathor on left hand site of engine with front window of gab open, watching for the train-order signal light. He saw a real light about the time that enginement Crick whistled for the station, which he took to be the train-order signal light, and did not discover that it was the merker on a caboose until Enginement Crick called to him to jump at which time he saw the caboose only shout two car lengths shead of his engine. At no time did he see but one red light, which was the marker on the left hand side of the saboose, and he stated positively that there was only one red light burning on the rear and of train No. 50.

Read Brakeman Battleson when whet he saw but one red light on the left hand side of the coboose. We could not say whether the marker on the right non- side of the coboose was lighted or not; if it was he did not notice it. By measurements taken in the course of this investigation it was determined that the station order-board light at Adams is located il feet above the level of the ground while the height of a caboose marker is log feet. These measurements tend to confirm the statement of Engineman Crick that the schoole barker was in line of vision of the station order-board, on the left hand marker and the order-board ware both on the north side of the track.

Operator Dalton, who was on duty at Adams on the night of the colligion, stated that train So. 60 errived at Adams at 10:20 p.m. and tied up at 10:00. Conductor Gallant came into the office and registered and sold that his train was tied up on main line on recount of time being up. Operator Dalton sold that he at once called the dispatcher and told his that train No. 60 was tied up on main line at his station and the dispatcher answered 0.K. Operator Dalton further stated that train he reported So. 60 he reminded the dispatcher that train No. 60 was tied up on main line and again received the dispatcher's 0.K.

(_`

Ć

Dispetcher Roven, who was on duty at the time train No. 60 arrived at Adams, stated that his offlos received a report from the operator at Adams about train No. 60 being tied up on main line, and when this was called to his attention he replied O.K. About 11:15 p.m. he asked the operator at Adams for report of train No. 60. The operator gave it to him but said nothing about No. 60 being on main line. The dispatcher further sald that nothing was done by him about protecting No. 60 because when a train fied up on mein line at any station it was outtosary for the conductor to ask for protection, and if it was possible to give it to him he received a second or order that his train would be protected on main line. When this was not asked for it was understood that the train was fully protected. In this case the dispetcher stated that he could not have protected train No. 37 by train order on account of there being no night offices on the division, thus making it impossible to comminicate with the grew of extra 808. It developed at the investigation, however, that Dispatcher Rouan ande no inquiry of the operator at Adams as to whether or not train No. 60 was protected, and did nothing to provide protection for that train. Dispatcher Nowan went off duty at aldnight and in making transfer of train orders, etc., to the dispatcher the successful him he said nothing about train No. 60 being tied up on main track at Adams without protection by meessys or train order.

The direct deuse of this adoldent was the absolute failure of Conductor Gallant of train Ro. 60 to protect his train. It is ispossible to understand why the conductor of a train, responsible for its safety, should have left his train standing on the sein line track under the conditions existing at Adams at the time Conductor Gallant's train arrived there. At that time there were two clarr tracks in the yard just shand of his engine and he still had 10 minutes invhich to yull into a close treek before the expiration of ble 16-hour period of service. Head Brakeman Develing already had the switch lined up to permit the train to pull into the yard but was ordered to cut the engine off and send it to the engine house. After committing this initial error Conductor Gallant was further negligent in falling to exercise even ordinary precaution to protect his train while it was standing on the main line. He all not communicate with the dispatcher directly nor receive ony assurance from the dispetcher that his train would be protected, as was austomory in cases of this kind. He did not even teke the obvious precaution to throw a switch behind his train leading into the passing track on the south side of the win track. Had this switch been thrown, a train approaching Adams from the west would have been diverted from the main track into the passing track. Neglecting to exercise these ordinary precautions, Conductor Gallant and his three brokenen went to sloep in the caboose standing on the main line, depending only for protection against following trains on the carkers on the rear and of the caboose. Although knowing that ertre 308 was following them into Adams, and knowing further

71 4

a change and a set of a

Contributing to the accident was the motion of Dispatcher Rowan in Tailing to eatiefy bisself that train No. 40 was properly protected when notified by the operator at Adams that the train was tied up on the main line. Mad he directed the operator at Adams to look out for the rear end of train No. CO until after the errival of extra 503 or notified the dispatcher who relieved him at aidnight to dado, this accident might have been averted.

The facts developed in this investigation indicate that the operating prections on this division of the Minneapolis, St. Paul & Jault St. Marie Rellway are not conducive to esfety. It & Jault St. Marie Rellway are not conducive to earety. appeared that trains were frequently tied up on sain line some distance from terminals on account of not baing able to complete their runs inside of 16 hours. This indicates inefficient menegement of a district only 111 miles between terminals. 7210 operation of trains over 101 siles of track without any alght telegraph service is sloo on unsafe prectice and is likely to lead to accident as inthis case. Had there been a night office between Eggland and Adens the dispatcher would have been able to notify the crew of extra 203 of the condition of train No. 60. Egeland is a junction point where trains are turned and run to different points, yet there is no night operator employed there and no train register is kert. Unless there is a reformation in the operating practices here referred to, acoldents such as this may be exceeded to occur.

All the employees involved in this accident ware experienced men with good records. At the time of the accident the crew of extra 808 had been on duty 7 dours and 80 minutes after 28 hours and 80 minutes off duty. The crew of brain No. 60 tied up after having been 16 hours and 50 minutes on duty after 8 hours and 15 minutes off duty. There we no sufficient reason for this the up, so the 16-hour law Jose not contemplete that the sen shall stop their trains on wein line at the expiration of 16 hours and leave lives and property a cosel to such unprotected obstruction.

1 34

ì.

(