Hoveeber 30, 1912.

In reinvestigation of accident on the Delaware, Laokawanna & Western Adiloowi, near Hallstead, Pa., on October 18, 1912.

On Votober 18, 1912, there was a rear-oud collision between two Sreight trains on the Delaware, Lackswanna & Western Reilrowd, near Hallatesd, Pa., resulting in the decth of two expl. yees.

after investigation, I beg to subsit the following report:

This socident courtes on the Soranton Mixision of the Jelaware, Lackowanna & Western Railmod. It is a double-track line equipped with two-arm, lower quarant, automatic block signals of the cornal clear type. The signals are so ar maged that shen a block is complex the first signal to the rear of the train indicates stop, both semachores peing horizontal. The second signal to the rear of the train indicates dout on, the upper semphore being horizontal and the lower semathore being at an angle of 48 degrees. The third signal in the rear indicates clear, both semaphores being at an angle of 48 degrees. Approaching the cold of the cold of 48 degrees.

The trains involved to this addisont were eastbound extra fet ht trains 708. 748 and 755. "Extra 740, consisting of english 748 at de londed cars, in charge

96

of Conductor Smith and Engineman Mahoney, left 'lmira, N.Y., at 11:15 p.m., October 17th. Fifteen minutes later, at 11:30 p.m., extra 755 left Maira with engine 755 and 56 Loaded cars, in charge of conductor Mill and agineman Nemmond. Both these trains reached Binghamton, new yard, to clear east-bound passenger train No. 14 at 4:45 a.m., on October 18th. After the departure of No. 14, sater No. 745 left Binghamton, new Yard, at 4:65 A.m., and stopped about 1 mile west of Hallstead, a station 14 miles distant from Binghamton, at 5:35 a.m., to secure a helper engine to assist the train into Hallstead yard. Engineman Nahoney sounded three blacts of the whistle as a signal to the helper engine, and then gave the whistle signal for a flagman to go back and protect the rear end of his train.

Flagman Young of extra 745 stated that as soon as his train stowed he left the caboose with one red and one white lantern, four torpedoes and one red fuses. He started back in the direction of the approaching train, but had gone only 76 feet from the rear of his train when his red lantern went out. He then returned to the caboose for another red light. After procuring the second red light he again started back, but had reached a point only about 260 feet in the rear of his train when he saw extra No. 755 approaching. He gave a stop signal with the red light, and when the engineer

-2-

failed to acknowledge the signal he used the white lantern. He hid not use torpedoes or fusees, stating that he was so soared he overlooked it. Extra 755 passed the flagman at a speed estimated to be 8 or 10 miles per hour, and collided with the rear of train 745 at 5:48 a.m., killing Conductor Smith and Brakeman Farber who were in the caboose of extra 745.

Extra 758 left Binghamton new yard at 5:02 a.c., seven minutes later than extra 746. Engineman Hammond found the first block signal east of Binghamton in the danger position and brought his train to a stop. After this signal cleared up he proceeded; thinking that he might have to stop for the next signal also, he ran his train slowly and the next signal went to the caution position before he reached it, allowing him to pass by it without stopping. The next signal was also in the caution position, but the next succending one was clear, indicating that two blocks ahead were unoccupied. This was the only clear signal that engineman Hammond received between Binghamton and the place of the accident, the other signals being at caution when he parsed by them except the one immediately in the rear of extra 745, which was in the danger position.

Engineman Hammond said that when he passed a caution signal he knew that the second block ahead of him was occupied, and he expected to find the next signal

-3-

in advance in the stop position in case the train had not yet passed out of the block ahead. After passing the last caution signal previous to the accident he watched for the next signal continuously but the weather was very foghy and he turned around at one time to work with his injector and passed the signal without seeing it while his attention was diverted in that way. He ran by this stop signal a distance of 2,869 feet to the point of collision.

The fog began to get very dense at Conklin. the first station wast of Hallstead. In about it was so foggy that the headlight had no effect, and then again for a little listance, say the longth of the train, it was possible to see 5 or 6 car lengths shead. At the time the flagman flagged him Engineman Temmond said that it was not possible to see sore than 2 car lengths. Ba was not depending upon a flag, but was expecting to find the block against him, or in the caution position. He knew that train extra 745 was ahead of him and expected to find a flag when it stopped for the helper, but on account of the fog he was partially lost and expected to find one more block between the one he passed in the caution position and the rear end of the preceding train.

-4-

This accident was caused by the joint failure of Engineman Hammond and Flagman Young to perform the respective duties imposed upon them by the rules of the railroad company. Hule No. 4 of the Delaware, Laokawanna & Western Railroad reads as follows:

> Enginemen finding a distant signal at Caution must immediately bring their trains under control and be prepared to stop before reaching the home signal.

> > Aule No. 6 reads as follows:

In foggy or stormy weather, enginemen aust approach both distant and home signals with great care, and have train under control. This applies to both automatic block and interlooking.

These rules were disregarded by Engineman Reamond, who admitted that he failed to observe the home signal after fimiling the distant signal at caution.

Notwithstanding the failure of Engineman Hommond this accident sould not have occurred had Flagman Young properly performed his auty. Frain 745 stopped at the place of the accident at 5:55 a.s., the collision occurred at 5:48 a.s., 13 minutes later. The flagman therefore had apple time to take all necessary precautions for the protection of his teain. Following the accident at Corning, N.Y., on July 4, 1012, the Delawers, Lackawanna & Jestern Mailroad Company issued revised flagging regulations reading as follows:

+8-

Fule 99. When a train stops or is delayed, under circumstances in which it may be overtaken by another train, the flagman must go back immediately with stop signals a sufficient distance to insure full protection and place one torpedo on the rail. Then recalled he may return to his train, first removing the torpedo, except in foggy or stormy weather or should other conditions require it; when he will place an additional torpedo on the rail not more than two bundred fest from the first one. (Torpedoes should be placed not less than twelve fast from rail joints.)

99-(a) The front of a train must be protected in the same way, when necessary, by the baggageman, if one present, otherwise by the head trainman and in the absence of both, by the fireman.

92-(b) When necessary, red fusces must be used in addition to other stop signals to insure stopping trains.

99-(c) At night, and in fog or severe stors, day or night, flagman will, when recalled, place one lighted green fusee upright outside the rell on en inser's side, in addition to placing torpedoes.

99-(d) Green fusces, as may be necessary must be dropped off at intervals to insure protection.

94-(c) In stormy or foggy weather, the flagmen must not be recelled when a first-class train is duc.

99-(f) Torpedoes exploded by hand, velocipede or gasoline cars must be at once replaced.

These regulations were not observed by Flagman boung. He failed entirely to use torpedoes or fusees and didnot go back as far as he might have gone in the time at his disposal. On account of the heavy fog prevailing extra presentions should have been taken, and green fusees should have been dropped off at intervals, as provided in rule 99-(d). Knowing, also, that his train would stop west of Hallstead yard for a helper, in accordance with the usual custom, Flagman Young should have dropped off the caboose some distance back, before the train case to a full stop. Conductor Smith, who was killed in the collision, should have instructed the flagman to do this, especially in view of the weather conditions, and should have satisfied himself that a flagman was taking all proper precautions for the protection of his train.

Ingineman dammond entered: the service of the D.L.& 4. Anilroad as fireman in 1904 and was promoted to engineman January 14, 1910. He had been regularly employed as an engineman since September 30, 1910. He had been given demerits on two occasions since his promotion for minor infractions of the rules, but otherwise his record was clear.

Flagman Young entered the service of the company as a trainman in 1905. He was suspended ten days in 1910 for overlooking a switch which was in the wrong position, but aside from this his record was good. He had been employed as flagman since Harch 5, 1912.

All of the employees involved in this accident were working in conformity with the provisions of the hours of service law.

The circumstances entering into the cause of this accident are in all essential particulars the same as those which led to the accident at East Corning, N.Y.,

-7-

on Wie Delaware, Lackswanna & Western Railroad, on July 4, 1912. At Mest Corning the weather was forgy and the engineman failed to obey the indication of two fixed signals, ons at caution. the other at stop, due to his attention being diverted from the track by his injector. At Hallstead the weather was foggy, and the engineeran failed to obey the indication of two fixed signals, one at caution, the other at stop. At Hallstead the ongineman saw the caution signal, and was looking for the stop signal, but passed the latter without observing it, due to the dense for and the fact that his attention was for a short time diverted from the track by his injector. At East Corning the flagman was back a sufficient distance and used a green fusee, as well as hand signals, which would have given the engineman warning in time to have enabled him to avoid the sollision had be observed them; but considering the condition of the weather the flagman exercised poor judgment in not using torpedoes as well as hand signals and a fuses. At Ballstead the flagman did not go back a sufficient distance, and failed entirely to perform his duty.

The circumstances of this and similar accidents emphasize the inadequacy of signals and rules alone to prevent serious train accidents. No signal system, however, admirable, can prevent accidents unless its indications are observed and obeyed. No rule, however, explicit, can prevent accidents unless its direction is followed.

+8-