April 80, 1915.

In re investigation of accident on the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad at Wakeley, Wyo., on A pril 2, 1915.

On April 4, 1915, there was an accident on the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Emilrond at Wakeley, Wyo., which resulted in the death of I passenger and I express agent, and the injury of IV passengers, 5 employees, and I express messenger.

After investigation of this accident the Chief Inspector of Safety Appliances reports as follows:

The division of the Chicago, Surlington & Quincy Hallroad on which this accident occurred is a single-track line, operated under the manual block system. Train orders are issued both by telegraph and telephone. Approaching the east switch at Wakeley from the east the track is straight for about 1,300 feet, and approaching enginemen cannot see the switch until this straight track is reached. When near the switch the track curves to the left. This is a two-degree curve, about one-half mile long, reaching nearly to the west switch. The track is then straight for about one and one-half miles. The bank on the inside of this two-degree curve is about 15 feet high at the highest point. Just beyond Wakeley is the beginning of a slight grade about three miles in length, assenting for westbound trains.

Mesthound train No. 42 consisted of 1 baggage car, 1 mail car, 2 chair cars, 2 tourist sleeping cars, 1 dining car, 2 standard Pullman sleeping cars, and 1 deadhead chair car, all of moden construction and hauled by engine No. 2913. This train was enroute from Scattle, Wash., to St. Louis, No., and was in charge of Conductor Pay and Engineers M. E. Miller. At Sheridan, Wys., 5.19 miles west of Wakeley, the crow in charge received a copy of train order No. 30, reading as follows:

"No. 41 will most No. 48 at Wakeley."

On account of being inferior by time-eard right to train No. 41, train No. 42 should have entered the siding at Wakeley at the west match for the purpose of allowing train No. 41 to pass. As Auginemen Miller sounded the station whistle for Wakeley, his fireman, Y. R. Miller, called his attention to the fact that they were to meet train No. 41 at Wakeley. The engineman at once replied in the negative and named Armo, a station 6.6 miles beyond Wakeley, as the meeting point. No then took out his orders and upon examination found that the fireman was correct. Engineman Miller then applied the air brakes in emergency, bringing his train to a stop at a point about 600 feet west of the east switch. No then saw train No. 41 coming, sounded the whistle signal for the train to stop, reversed his angine, and tried to release the brakes for the purpose of backing the train, but was unable to release the brakes as the conductor, when the latter

noticed the train passing the west switch, where it should have aken the siding, had opened the conductor's emergency valve in the smoking car. It was while Engineman Miller was trying to beak his train that it was struck by train No. 41, the accident occurring at about 2:45 p.m.

Westbound train No. 41 consisted of 2 baggage cars, I mail eer, I coach, 2 chair cars, 2 tourist sleeping cars, I dining sar, and 2 standard sleeping cars, all of coden construction, hauled by engine No. 2918. The train was enroute from St. Louis, Mo., to Seattle, Wash., and was in charge of Conductor Beamond and Engineman Holden, At Verone, the last telegraph station east of Wekaley and 13.68 miles distant therefrom, the ores in change received a copy of train order Mo. 30, re uiring them to meet train No. 42 at Wakeley. Train No. 41 passed Verous at 2:30 panes and on nearing Wakeley Enginemen Holden sounded the station whistle. According to Fireman Miley the engineess said. "They are not here", and shut off steem, allowing the t ain to drift along, expecting train No. 42 to beed in at the west switch. The fireman mounted his cathor and leading over the bank on the inside of the gurve saw the tops of the engine and cars of train No. 42 near the east end of the passing truck. So thought the train was on the passing track and said to the engineers "They are just coming to a stop over by the telephone booth", and this apparently led the engineers also to think that the train was into clear. The telephone booth in question is located about 1,400 feet west of the east switch on the outside of the curve. After being told by the fireman that train No. 42 was just coming to a stop. Engineer Holden began working sherm and when near the east switch they heard the engineman of train Ho. 42 sound the whistle signal to stop. Fireman Miley, who was putting some coal in the firebox, then looked out, saw train No. 42 on the main traik and called to the enginemen to stop, at the same time jumping from the engine. The air braken were at once a plied in the energency, a few seconds after which the collision occurred, while the train was running at a speed of about 85 miles per hour.

Both engines were ruite badly damages. In train No. 41 the first baggage car and the mail our were slightly damaged, while the second baggage car was practically destroyed, having been telescoped nearly its full length by the mail car. Of the cars emposing train No. 42, the baggage car, he second chair car, and one of the tourist sleeping cars were slightly damaged, while the first chair car was telescoped by the mail car a distance of about 40 feet, the superstructure being nearly destroyed. The mail car of this train was also badly damaged. At the time of the secident a light snow was falling and a little wind was blowing, but these weather conditions did not seriously interfere with the view.

Engineers Miller of Train No. 42 stated that when his train was approaching the west switch at Vakeley the firement said scattling about as follows: "Meeting No. 41 here, are you?" and he said no, that they would meet it at Armo. No them had a feeling that somethings was wrong and at once looked at his orders. On seeing that the meeting point was in feet Wakeley instead of Armo, he at once applied the brakes, at which time the engine has probably passed the west switch one or two ear lengths. The air-whistle eignal from the conductor did not come until after he applied the brakes. Before leaving Sheridan he had it fixed in his mind that his train would meet train No. 41 at Armo, and he stated that he never thought of Wakeley at any time.

Concustor Tay of train No. We stated that after working a part of his train he started forward so as to be at the head and when the stop was made at Wareley for the purpose of taking the siding. On reaching the smoking car, the third car from the engine, he noticed that it had already passed the west switch, where it should have entered the ciding, and he at once signaled the engineers by means of the air-whistle signal to stop, then reached for the emergency cord and applied the air prokes.

Rule No. 88 provides in part that at meeting points of trains of the same class, the inferior train must pull into the siding when practicable, while rule No. 90 provides in part that trains should stop clear of the switch used by the train to be met when that train enters the siding. Under these rules train No. 41 had the right of track as for as the clearance point at the west switch.

This accident was caused by Engineman Miller failing to observe and be governed by train order No. 30. He reason can be assigned for his idea that Arms was the meeting point named in the order, as a result of which misunderstanding he ran his train past the west switch instead of taking the siding at that point to allow train No. 41 to page.

while this socident is due solely to the failure of the engineers to obey train order No. 30, yet, had the rules of this railroad required to conductor and engineers to each other, thus making sure that each had the same understanding as to their contents, this socident would probably been everted, as before lowling Cheridan the engineers was under the impression that Armo was the meeting point named in the train order, and had he compared the order with that held by the conductor the error which caused this socident would probably have been discovered.

The records of the employees involved were good; they were considered to be competent and reliable, and none had been on duty in violation of the provisions of the bours of service law.