## INTERSTATE CONCERCE COMMISSION

# REPORT OF TYL DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF SAFETY IN PE INVESTIGATION OF AF ACCIDENT FICH OCCUFFED ON THE CHICAGO BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILROAD AT ST. PAUL, MINN., OF MARCH 23, 1938.

April 18, 1928.

To the Commission:

On March 23, 1028, there was a rear-end collision between two transfer trails on the Chicago, Builington & Quincy Railroad at St Pavl, Minn., resulting in the death of one employee and the injury of one employee.

Location and method of operation

St. Antrony interlocking tower is located at a point about 2,300 feet west of the point of accident, just north of the main tracks of the Great Northern Railway, which tracks extend east and west in this Koppers lead track extends eastward from vicinity. the tower and parallels the main tracks of the Great forthern Railway on the north. At a point approximately 1,900 feet east of the tower a single-brack connection, known as the Builington cut-off, 2,052.6 feet in length, leads off of Koppers lead track to ord the northeast and connects with the main tracks of the Northern Pacific Railway, which at this point parallel those of the Great Northein Reilway. The accident occurred on this cutoff at a point opproximately 650 feet cast of the Leadtrack switch, approaching the point of accident from the west around the cut-off, beginning of the switch, there is a 5° curve to the loft 140 first in length and then the track is targent for a distance of do feet followed by a 40 curve to the left 583 rest i length, the accident occurring on this curve at a point approximately 438 fect from its western end. The grade for easthead trains is 0 22 per cent asceneing at the point of accilent. The view from the fireman's sine of an elabound entry a is unobscured from the switch to the point of accident.

The weather was clear and the sun was shiring at the time of the accident, which occurred at about 7.03 a.m.

## Description

Fastbound transfer train extra 5251 consisted of 21 cars and a cancess, hauled by engine 5251, and was in charge of Conductor Rodgels and Erginamar Davis (1993) train left Clearwater Junction yard, of the Great Normal A Failway, about 5 miles distant, at about 6 a. m., and was brought to a stop on the eact end of the Barlington cut-off at about 6.55 a.m., with its rear end approximately 650 feet cast of the lead track switch. Permission with the nottained, by telephone, to use the Northern Pacific Reilway main tracks and just as extra 5251 started to love its rear end was strack by transfer train 5265.

Eastbound transfer train extra 5215 consisted of nine cars and a caboose, hauled by engine 5265, and was in charge of Conductor Allert and Engineman Brown. This train left Minneapolis Junction yaid, of the Great Northern Railway, about 2 miles distant, at about 6.40 a m., and was moving through the cut-off at a speed estimated to have been between 10 and 15 miles per hour when it collided with the rear end of extra 5251.

The echeose of extra 5251 was demolished and the two rear cars were clightly damaged, as was the case with engine 5235 The employee killed was the conductor of extra 5251

### Summary of evideres

Flagman Fliesbach, of extra 5251, stated that after proceeding ground the cut-off his train was brought to a stop in order to get permission to use the Northern Proific main tracks and he went outside of the capoose. Shortly afterwards he went back inside the copoose and as the train started it was struck by extra 5265, he had not heard that train as it approached the point of accident. Flagman Fliesbach also stated that trains are operated around the cut-off the same as elsewhere in the terminals within yard limits, that is, only as the track is seen or known to be clear, and he cald that he had mever made it a prachace to afford flag protection on this cut-off, nor was flag protection afforded under ordinary conditions, although occasionally he aid afford rear-end protection from the platform of the choose, he stated, horever, that he never got down on the ground for that purpose.

Engineman Drvis, Fireman Simien and Herd Brakeman Spreigh, of extra 52ch, were unchare of anything wrong prior to the occurrence of the accident, at which time their train had just started. Engineman Davis said it had always been his understanding that yard rules governed the operation of trains over the lead track and around the cut-off and that be use always operated accordingly, expecting to find the track occupied, that it rad never been the custom to flag on the cut off nor aid he remember of ever laving been flagsed there, and that frequently trains are left standing on the cut-off while the engine proceeds to a hearby yard to pick up cars. Enginemen Davis also stated that the type of engines used on these transfers are stoker-fired and that on approaching the cut-ofr he makes it a point to know that the fireman is muntaining a proper lookout ahead, the curve being on the fireman's side, and that he depends on the fireman to ascertain whether the cutoff is clear. It also appeared from his statements that the switch leading from the lead track to the cutoff is left in either position after being used. The statements of Fireman Sinnen and Head Prake us. Spreigl corroborated in substance those of Engineman Davis. particularly with respect to yard rules governing the operation of transfer trains over the cut-off and elso as to the practice for the fireman to maintain a proper lookout across the inside of the curve on entering the cut-off so as to inform the enginemen if the track is not clear.

Fireman Kallin, of extra 5265, stated that he was riding on his cent box looking shead on entering the cut-off and that there was nothing to prevent him from seeing extra 5261, but that he must have been thinking of something else because he did not see that train until about the time that the engineman saw it, when it was not more then two car-lengths distant, at this time the speed of his train was about 15 riles per hour, and the engineeron immediately applied the air brakes in energency and shut off steam, this being followed by the occurrence of the accident. Fire an kellin send it was his understanding that trains should be operated over the lead track and cut-off the same as on the main track, on the other hand, however, he ack nowledged that so etimes his train would be loft stand ing on the cut-off without protection while the engine proceeded to a nearby yard to pick up cars, also that it is the practice to operate only as the track is seen or known to be clear, expecting to find it occupied. Fireman Kellin seid hé wes not äsleep w'en his traid was approaching the point of accident, but he could give no reason for not observing extra 5201 and warning Enginehan Brown accordingly, he could that he should have seen the train shead when it was about 12 car-lengths distant and that had he given Ly, inchan Brown proper warning there would have been ample time in which to have brought the train to a stop. He undervent a physical examination on March 20, 1928, which developed nothing wrong, and serve that on the joining of the accident he was feeling all right, he recorded full responsibility for the occurrence of the accident.

Engine on Brown, bi extra 5285, stated that on entering the cut-ouf, the switch having been left lined for the cut-off, he conserved the finchan sitting on the left seat box, erect, with his arm on the arm rest, eyes

wide open, and apparently reinterning a proper lookout ahead, and as the firstal did not give any warning of unger the engine will befored that the track was clear. When about one or two car-lengths from the train ahead, at which the his own engine was working steam and the speed of his train was about 15 miles per hour, Enginehan Brown saw extra 5251 and inmediately applied the air brakes in elergency and shut off stead; the brakes seemed to take hold properly but it was too late to avert the accident. Engine on Brown coud it was the practice at this location for the fireman to notify the engineers of the condition of the track shead, and be felt that the fireman should have done so, sying that had the fireman given proper warning of Canger the accident could eacily nave been averted, without resorting to an elergency application of the air brakes. T118 cut-off is not shown on the tire cald and Engine ma brown sold that to his knowledge no instructions had ever been issued covering its operation. It was bis , understanding, hower, that it was operated the same as main truck, although he acknowledged that he had found the cut-off occupied by cars without flag protection and that on previous occasions he had left his own train standing on the cut-off without protection while the engine proceeded to a nearby yard to pick in cars, st other times no had been flagged by proceeding trains.

Head Brakeman McKusick, of extra 5265, stated that he was riding on top of the second car in the train approaching the cut-off, from which position he could plainly see the engineman and also the irreman locating out of their respective cab windows. At about the time the car on which he was riding entered the switch the engineman began to work steam and, realizing that the herbers of the engine crow were unaware of the presence of the train shead. Here Brakeman McKusick began giving stop signals and at the come time no shouted to them in an attempt to attract their attention, but was unable to do so. Head Brake an McKusick stated that there was no doubt in his mind that the train could have been brought to a stop in time to have averted the accident had the firming properly warned the engineman of the presence of the train aherd.

Conductor Allert, of extra 5265, vos unaware of anything vong until the slock of the train ran in intediately prior to the accident. While he considered tris cut-off as a yard trach, yet he stated that as an additional procedution he afforded rear-end protection when conditions would so permit, by what he termed, "short flagging", that is, having the flagman rowin in the intediate vicinity of the rear of the train, the same as would be uppe on any word track. The st tements of Flagmar Cluary, of extra 3265, were so conflicting, and in some instances so far from the truth, as to be valueless.

Assistant Superintendent Jones, of the Great Northern Failway, cald the switch leading to the cutoff is left in the josition in which it is last used. Superintendent Brown, or the C. B & Q. R. R., stated that the Barlington cut-off was considered as a yard track and is so used while engines of transfer trains proceed to a nearby yard and pick up cars, but to his knowledge no instructions of record have ever been issued covering the operation of trains over this track. He also be a that rule 308, under the special rules contained in the Rules of the Operating Department of the C., B. & Q. K. R., governs the protection of trains on the cut-off, this rule reads as follows:

"Ergines and cars rust be noved on yard tracks only as such tracks are seen or inown to be clear."

### Conclasions

This accident was caused by the failure of Fireman Kallin, of extra 5200, to laintain a proper lockout and to notify Engine an Brown that the track was not clear

Fireman Kaliin acknowledged that there was nothing to have prevented him from seeing the train ahead in ample time to have averted the accident. According to his statements he was not asleep but was looking straight ahead, and could have seen extra 5251 for a distance of about 12 car-lengths; he could give no reason for not observing the train shead and varning Engine an Brown accoloringly, other than the fact that he must have been thinking of sometand else, and sold that had he warned the engineman the accident could have seen prevented. Firewan Kallin accepted full respondibility for the courrence of the accident, re had had 17 years' experience, was promoted in 1920, and had been caployed regularly in transfer service for sole time.

On entering the cut-ofi Engineeran Brown observed the internan sitting on the left seat box, erect, apparently wide avake, in full possession of his faculties and mintaining a proper lockout enced, in which latter statement he is supported by the statement of the head brakeman. Under these circumstances, since the internan gave no varing of danger, the engineeran assured that the interview of danger, the engineeran assured that the interview of data not show there was a train anced until 1 and it when it was only two car-lengths distant, at this is it is it as too late to avert the accident. Under an operating rule of t is railroad it was the duty of Engineeran Brown to "see of know" that the track shead of his engine was clear before foring, and he admitted his responsibility under the rule. Under the circulistances of this case, however, in is believed that Engineeran Brown was justified in assuming that Fireman Kallin was alert and paying proper attention to his duty.

The investigation developed that a uniform understanding apparently does not exist among the employees as to the method of operation on Koppers lead track or on the Burlington cut-off, and it also appeared that no instructions of record had ever been issued governing train movements over the cut-off. It may be additted that some of the opinions advanced by the employees probably were influenced by their possible responsibilities in connection with the occurrence of the accident, but at the same time this is a matter which should be cleared up beyond any question by the iscuance of the necessary bulletin instructions.

While there was nothing to indicate that the condition of the air brakes had enything to do with the occurrence of this accident, yet the statements of the members of the two train crews clearly showed that no proper air-brake test was made before these trains departed from their respective yards, and that in meither eace did they have any real knowledge as to the condition of the sir brakes, about all that the tests showed was the fact that there were no closed angle cocks. This matter also is one for the attention of the proper supervising officials.

All of the exployees involved were experienced ien, at the tike of the focident ione of their had been on duty in violation of any of the provisions of the hours of service law.

Respectfully submitted,

A. P Borsland,

Director.