the Chairman

IN AM INVISTIGATION OF AN AUGIDENT WHICH OCCURRED ON THE BALTINGRY & ONIO RAIL to aD NEAR BROOKLYN, GHIO. ON SEPTEMBER 15, 1919.

Cateber 85, 1919.

On deptember 15, 1919, there was a headeand wellision between a freight train and a locometive hauling two eabooses, on the Baltimore & Chie Railroad near Brooklyn, Onio, which resulted in the injury of & employees on duty and 2 employees off duty. After investigation of this accident, the Chief of the Bureau of Safety reports as follows:

The C. T. & V. Sub-division of the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad, on which this accident coourred, extends from Cleveland. Ohio, to Valley Janotion, Ohio, a distance of 75 miles. From Cleveland to RD Tower, a distance of 5 miles, the line is deable-tracked while the remainder of the line is single-tracked. Train movements are governed by time-table and train orders, no block signal system being in use.

The accident occurred at a point appreximately 1 miles east of Brocklyn and appreximately 5 miles west of Willow. Beginning at a point 5.250 feet east of Brocklyn station and proceeding in an easterly direction there is a 2-degree curve to the left about 1,000 feet in length, about 500 feet of tangent track, and a 5-degree curve to the right about 1,650 feet in length. The collision occurred on this curve about 500 feet east of its western end. Appreaching from the cast, there is a compound curve to the right, having a maximum curvature of 5 degrees, followed by 550 feet of tangent and then the curve on

which the collision occurred. On account of a high embankment on the inside of this curve the view of engineers on trains approaching from either direction is limited to a distance of about 400 feet. The grade at the point of accident is level.

willow station, located 3.8 miles east of Brocklyn, is a non-telegraph station, but there is a telephone located at the western end of the building and connected with the dispatcher's office at Howard Street, Akron. On the south side of the main track at Willow there is a passing track having a capacity for holding a locemetive and 48 cars. The eastern end of the passing track is about 300 feet west of the station while the switch at the western end is located about 475 feet west of the eastern end of a 2-degree 30-minute curve about 1,250 feet in length. An embankment on the incide of this curve prevents the engineers of an approaching eastbound train from seeing a train on the passing track until only 150 or 200 feet away. The view from a lecomotive standing at the west end of the passing track is limited to the same distance.

On September 14th westbound lecometive 2627 pulling a train of freight cars and a caboose left New Castle Junction, Pa., on route to Cleveland, Ohio, at 7.25 p.m., the train being in charge of Conductor Underwood and Engineers Sense. At Akron Junction Conductor Richardson boarded the train to act as pilet for Conductor Underwood and reported to him at that point. This train was filled out to 80 freight cars at Akron and left Akron Junction at 4.50 a.m., September 15th, arriving at Akron Howard

Street at 5.02 a.m. At this point Disputcher Trisch delivered to Conductor Underwood a clearance card, form A, and 4 train orders, none of which are directly involved in this accident. Train order No. 406, however, designated this train as let No. Train let No. 97 departed from Akron Howard Street, the 97. last open train order station, at 5.47 a.c., 7 hours and 2 minutes late, and headed into the passing track at Willow to walt for eastbound passenger train No. 60. When train No. 60 appreached the west switch of the passing track the engineers of that train was flagged and given a signal that the rear end of train let No. 97 was not into clear. After train No. 60 passed the mead and of train let No. 97, the latter train headed out on to the Lain line to allow the passenger train to pass its rear It then proceeded toward its destination, leaving Willow at 7.30 s.m. At about 7.40 s.m. while train 1st No. 97 was travelling at a speed estimated at between 8 and 12 miles an hour, it collided with train 2d No. 60.

Eastbound passenger train let No. 60 was a regularly scheduled train running between Cleveland and Bridgeport, Ohio, and was in charge of Conductor Eurress and Engineers Burke. At Cleveland the crew received a copy of train order No. 407 reading as follows:

"No. Sixty 60 Eng. 1315 display signals RD Tower to Akron Junction for Eng. 2080."

This train left Cleveland at 7.00 a.m., on time, and stopped at RD Tower where green signal flags are claimed to have been placed on the locomotive in accordance with train order No. 407; it left RD Tower at 7.16 a.m., 3 minutes late, met train let No.

97 at Willow and proceeded on its way eastward, reaching South Fark the first open day truin order office beyond RD Tower at 7.31 a.m.

cabooses and was in charge of Conductor "iley and Engineers" McBurney. At AD Tower the crew received train order No. 407 and a clearance card form A. It left RD Tower at 7.32 a.m. and collided with train 1st No. 97 about 1 mile east of Brooklyn while running at a speed of 25 or 30 miles an hour. The weather at the time of the accident was cloudy.

The force of the collision drove train 24 No. 60 back a distance of a bout 30 feet. The front end of the locometive of that train mounted the front end of the locometive of train let No. 97 and its engine trucks became aerailed. Both cabeses and the tender of train 24 No. 60 remained on the track. The engine of train let No. 97 was derailed but remained on the readbed parallel to the track, while the tender remained on the rails, which had been turned over under the wheels. The leading track of the first car of the train and one wheel of the fifth car were derailed. The twelfth car from the head ond was slightly damaged and the fifth car from the rear end buskled up so that the body of it was afterwards destroyed.

Dispatcher Trisch, on duty at akron Howard Street, stated that on the morning of the accident he delivered 4 train orders and a clearance card to Conductor Underwood of train lat Mo. 97, but gave no capies of the orders to Filet Richardson as required by rule. He stated that he thought Conductor Underwood

was more qualified to have charge of the train than the pilet, not knowing at that time that filet Richardson had been acting as brakeman over the J. T. & Y. Sub-division for the past 10 months.

Enginemen Hense of train let No. 97 stated that when he left Akron Howard street he figured that he had time to go to Brooklyn for train No. 60 but upon reaching Brecksville he discovered he could not make Brooklyn and therefore upon reaching Willow pulled into the passing track at that point. He stated that he pulled into the siding as for as possible but about 2 cars still pretruded out on to the main track at the eastern and of the passing track. The sead brakeman then went forward with a flag to protect against train No. 60. His train had been in the miding about 16 minutes before train Ho. 60 arrived and when he heard the passenger train approaching he arese and started his lubricator. When train No. 60 appeared he was looking out of the front window, but said that if it was displaying signals he did not notice them. He stated that the speed of train No. 60 was about 20 or 30 miles at hour and en account of the curve he was able to see the locemotive for only about one engine longth. He stated that he heard the enginemun of train No. 60 answer the head brakenan's flagging signals but did not hear him sound any whistle signal calling attention to the signals said to be displayed on the legemetive. that there were no unusual conditions that would have prevented his bearing whatever signals were sounded by the engineeun of train No. 60 and he was of the opinion that it would have been

impossible for the engineman of train No. 60 to sound any signal directly after answering the flagman's signals without his noticing it. After train No. 60 passed he called in the flagman and received a signal to go shead. The conductor boarded the train about 35 cars back from the locomotive and as his train was pulling out of the siding so as to clear the rear end of the main track, the conductor gave him a proceed signal. He stated that the speed of his train at the time of the collision with train 2d No. 60 was about 6 miles un hour.

train let No. 60 was approaching the passing track at Willow he heard 2 short blasts of the whistle in answer to the head brakement's flagging signals. He was not looking out of the window when train No. 60 came into sight and did not see the locometive until the cub was opposite his own locomotive. He therefore could not say whether or not the locomotive of train No. 60 was carrying signals. He further stated that he did not hear any whistle signal sounded by the engineers of train No. 60 other than the 2 short blasts in answer to the head brakement's signals and is sure that if any other signals were seemed he would have noticed them. He estimated the speed of his train at the time it collided with train 2d No. 60 at 4 or 5 miles an hour.

Head Brakeman Cooper of train let No. 97 stated that when his train pulled into the siding at willow he saw that the rear end was not entirely into clear, so went forward to flag. He had reached a point about 10 car lengths west of the west

passing track switch when he saw train No. 60 approaching, being about 10 car lengths distant when he first saw it. The ongineman of train No. 60 answered his signals with 8 short blasts of the whistle and he them gave the engineman a signal to indicate that train 1st No. 97 was not into elser at the east end of the passing track. As the locomotive passed by, the engineman gave him a signal indicating that he understood. He stated that the speed of train No. 60 as the locometive passed him was about 30 miles an hour. He is positive that there were no signals displayed on the locomotive and the only whistle short blasts in answer to his flag. Brakeman Gooper stated that after the train passed, he walked back to the passing track switch, opened it, the train headed out on the main line, and he bourded the locomotive as it passed him.

Flagman Doone of train let No. 97 stated that when his train pulled into the siding at Fillow the train stepped with about 2 cars out on the main track and he went back about 20 car lengths to flag. On the arrival of train let No. 60 from the west, he was called in by the engineman and he ran in, closed the east switch and caught the caboese. He stated that train No. 60 had to slow down approaching the east switch to allow his train to get into clear. He further stated that the engineman of train No. 60 sounded the whistle when passing the caboese to indicate that there was a following section and he admowledged this signal by holding up his hand. He stated that he did not see any flags displayed on the locametive but was not in a posi-

tion to see them if they had been displayed. As the rear end of train No. 60 passed the caboose, the conductor of that train asked where they were going for train 2d No. 60 and he gave the conductor a signal to indicate that they were going to remain at Willow. He stated that his own train kept on moving and he swang a stop signal with his red flag but on account of the surve he could not see any one on the head end of his train and his signals were therefore not observed. He and Pilot Richardson then decided that train 1st Bo. 60 must have left orders with the head and rewarding train 2d No. 60. After the caboose had reached a point about 15 car lengths beyond the west switch of the passing track. Conductor Inderwood came back to the cabcose and the flagman asked him what orders he had concerning train 2d No. 60, the conductor replying that they were going to RD Tower and that train 2d Bo. 60 had better stay into clear there, He further stated that he did not say engthing to the conductor about train let No. 60 carrying signals for a following section and that inamuch as he called the conductor's attention to the fact that there was another section of train No. 60, he thought he had properly fulfilled his duties.

Pilot Hichardson of train lat No. 97 stated that when he was called to not as pilot, he told the call boy that he had not been examined on the C. T. & V. Sub-division and was not qualified, but the call boy told him that Terminal Trainmaster Gensley had said he should go. He therefore took charge of train lat No. 97 at Akron and while at Akron Janetien, Conductor

Underwood told him that he had been running over this territory for the past 6 or 7 months and did not need a pilot. At Akron Howard Street the enginemen and conductor were given orders and the conductor read the orders to him. He did not, however, receive copies of the orders for himself. Shen the train stopped at Willow, he remained in the caboose to see that the switch was properly set; the flagman went back to flag while the conductor went up toward the head end of the train. He did not hear the engineman of train Ho. 60 sound the whistle as it passed the head end of his train. At the time train No. 60 passed the cabeace he was standing on the rear platform and noticed the green flag signals on the locomotive. The engineers of train No. 60 also called his attention to the signals by sounding the whictle, which he answered by holding up two fingers and then drepping his hand toward his engine. He stated that as the rear part of train So. 60 passed, the conductor of that train asked him where they were going for train 24 No. 60 and he shrugged his shoulders to indicate that he did not know. His train then pulled out on to the main track and when Conductor Underwood came back to the gaboose he told him train let No. 60 was carrying signals and asked him where they were going for train 2d No. 60: the conductor remarked that train 2d No. 60 had better stay at RD Tower as that was where they were going for it. Pilot Richardson then took it for granted that the conductor had received information from train 1st No. 60, and he therefore made no attempt to hold the train at Willow; neither did he insist on seeing the conductor 's

orders. He thought that imagement as Conductor Underwood had been on this run for the past 6 or 7 menths he knew more about the work than himself. Pilot hichardson maintained that he was not qualified to act as pilot on the C. T. & V. Sub-division, claiming that he was not thoroughly familiar with a few of the curves and grades. However, when questioned he stated correctly the legation of all train order signals and sidings and the especity of some of the sidings. His statements indicated that he had worked on this part of the road for 10 months in local freight service; also that there was no difference in the operating rules.

Conductor Underwood of train 1st No. 97 denied that he told Pilot Richardson that he had been running ever the C. T. & V. Sub-division for the past 6 or 7 months, but told him that he had run over the road between akren Junetien and Cleveland, although not this year. He stated that when his train stopped at Willow. Flagman Doone went back to protest the rear of the train as it was not entirely clear of the main track, while he himself went to the head and of the train to see if it were not possible for the train to pull entirely on to the passing track. finding it was not possible he walked to the west switch and observed Brakeman Cooper about 10 car lengths west of the switch and still walking westward. As train No. 60 approached he heard the engineers of that train sound 2 short blasts in answer to the brakemen's signals. This was not the proper signal with which to answer flags and he was not therefore sure that the engineesen of train We. 60 had seen Brakessin Cooper. He stated that he had a view of the legemetive of train Ro. 60 for about 15 car lengths

but did not observe any signal flags displayed on the locomo-The only whistle signal that as heard sounded by the enginemen of train No. 60, other than the 2 short blasts, was when the locomotive was nearly to the cast end of the passing track. After train No. 60 had passed, the enginesse called in the flagman, and he walked toward the locomotive to get a signal from the rear end of the train if one should be given. His train then pulled out on the sain track and we bearded the train about 20 or 25 car lengths from the west switch. He then gave his engineman a proceed signal and went back to the caboose. Shen he reached the caboose. Flagman Doone asked him where they were going for train 2d Re. 50 and he told the flagmen that he had nothing on train 2d Bo. 60 and that as far as he knew they were going to RD Tower. He stated that he was not aware that train let No. 60 had displayed signals indicating that another section was following and that the flagman's question did not suggest anything of the kind to him. He said the pilot asked him nothing.

Engineman Burks of train let No. 60 stated that on the day of the accident he received an order at Cleveland to carry signals from RD Tower to Akron Junction to indicate that locomotive 2080 was following. He saw his fireman place the green signal flags on the head end of the locomotive at RD Tower, after which he called them to the attention of train No. 91, standing nearby, by sounding one long and two short blasts on the whistle, and the engineman of train No. 91 answered him.

Approaching the west switch at Willow he was flagged about 10 car lengths from the switch by the head brakeman of train let He first saw the head brakemen when only about 3 car lengths from him; he answered the flagging signals with 2 short blacts of the whistle, because as did not have time to give the correct signal of 2 short and 1 long blast, and also shut off steam and apply the brakes, before reaching the switch. He stated that the brakeman then gave him a proceed signal and a signal indicating that train let No. 97 was not into clear at the east end of the passing track. He stated that he passed the locemotive on the siding at a speed of 15 or 20 miles an hour and saw Ragineman Sense sitting in the cab. Shen about opposite the locometive he sounded one long and two short bleats of the whistle to indicate that he was corrying alguals for a following train. His signal was followed by the sound of the whistle on the locomotive of train let No. 97, but on account of the noise made by his own locametive he could not say positively whether or not Engineman Sense answered his signal. However, he took it for granted that he did. He sounded the same signal again at the rear end of the train and saw two men standing on the rear platform of the cabcese, one of whom gave him a hand signal. stated that the caboose was moving when he passed it.

Fireman Bowman of train let No. 60 stated that he put two green signal flags on the head end of the locasotive at ND Tower; the condition of these flags was fair, they being neither new nor old. he stated that he heard his engineman answer the brakeman's signals approaching willow and is positive that

Engineman Burke sounded one long and two short blasts of the whistle to call attention of the engine crew of train lat No.

97 to the signals they were carrying. He said the locomotive of his train was making an unusual amount of noise on account of popping and he old not know whether or not the engineman of train lat No. 97 answered the signal. He is sure that his engineman also sounded the whistle again, calling the attention of the members of the crew on the rear end of train lat No. 97 to the signals on his locomotive, and he saw the two trainmen standing on the rear platform of the caboose give his engineman a proceed signal. Fireman Bowman further stated that he aid not ask his engineman whether or not the engineman of train lat No.

97 answered his signal for the reason that he aid not think of doing so.

Jonductor Burress of train let No. 60 stated that while ut RD Tower he saw the fireman of his train with the green signal flags in his hand and also saw him when he started to put them on the locomotive. He also stated that when passing the head sni of train let No. 97 at Willow he heard 2 short blasts of a whistle, but could not say whether it was the whistle of train let No. 97 or the whistle of his own train. When passing the caboose, he called to the two men standing on the rear platform, asking thes where they were going for train 2d No. 60, and these men gave him a hand signal indicating that they were to remain at Willow.

Brakeman Grook of train 1st No. 60 stated that he saw

the green signal flags put on the locamotive at AD Tower. On arrival at sillow he heard his engineess sound one long and two short blasts on the whistle indicating that they were carrying eignals for a following section. However, he did not remember hearing an acknowledgment of this signal from train lat No.

97; neither did he hear his engineesan sound the whistle again when passing the cabecse.

Baggageman McCleary of trein let No. 60 stated that his train was flagged at Willow and he heard Engineman Burks answer with 2 short and one long blast of the whistle, followed closely by a whistle indicating that the train was carrying signals for a following section. He stated that he did not hear the train on the siding acknowledge the angineman's whistle signals. He heard Engineman Burks again sound the same signal at the rear end of the caboose but as he was on the other side of the baggage car he did not see anyone on the caboose of train ist No. 97. He stated further that after the accident he remarked to Engineman Burks, "You sounded a whictle beth at the head and the rear end of the train," to which Engineman Burks replied, "I did, but I don't know whether or not the man at the head end answered."

Engineers McBurney of train 2d Do. 60 stated that at RD Tower he received train order 407 which stated that train 1st Mo. 60 would display signals for his train from that point to Akron Junction. His train was held at RD Tower until train 1st Mo. 60 reached South Park. He stated that he first saw train

let So. 97 when not more than 100 yards away at which time his train was running at a speed of about 80 miles an hour.

Firemen Christ of train 2d No. 60 stated that he did not see train 1st No. 97 until the trains were within three ear lengths of each other.

conflicting as to whether or not the engine of train 1st No. 60 was carrying signals. The members of the crew of that train state that the proper signal flags had been placed on the engine. The engineman of train 1st No. 97 apparently did not notice particularly, and the statements of the head brakeman and fireman indicate that they did not lock, while the flagman said that he was not in position to see them. The conductor said that he did not see them, but the pilot did see them, and in view of the apparent inattention to duty on the part of the other members of the crew it is believed that his statement is correct.

There is also a question as to whether or not the enginemen of train let 80. 60 sounded the proper whistle signal when passing the head end of train 80. 60, calling attention to the flag signals displayed. There is an equal amount of testimony on both sides of the question, but when the condition existing is fully considered, it is believed to be possible that he did not sound this signal. The condition leading to this suggestion arises from the manner in which he was flagged. His engine was coming around a curve where he could see but a short distance and when he saw the flagman he thought it was a case of short flagging and did not take the time to sound the full

whistle signal in answer to the flag before shutting off steam and applying the air brakes. Having his mind occupied in this manner, it is possible that he did not sound the proper signals to call attention to the flags displayed, when passing the head end of train lat He. 97. He claimed that he did sound them, however, but said he was not sure they were asknowledged. In this event, he should have been governed by the prevision of rule No. 38, which reads as follows:

"One long and two short blasts of the whistle is an indication that a train is displaying signals for a fellowing section and will be given at both the front and rear end of trains affected thereby, and be answered by two short blasts of the whistle, and also from the rear of the train by trainmen in accordance with rule 12 (g). If not answered in accordance herewith the passing train will be stopped, reason for not answering obtained and a report made by telegraph to the Superintendent from the first open train order station at which train giving the signal stope."

The direct cause of this assistant was the failure of train let No. 97 to remain on the siding at Willew until the arrival of train 2d No. 60, for which Engineers Sense, Conductor Underwood and Pilot Richardson, being in charge of the train, are shiefly responsible, although all of the other members of the crew centribated more or less to the assistant.

That Engineman Burks sounded the proper signal when his train passed the rear of let No. 97 is not disputed, both the pilot and the flugman of the freight train hearing this signal and understanding clearly that there was a second section, while they were also asked by the conductor of train let No. 60 where they were going for the second section. When train let No. 97

started sheed after the departure of train let No. 60. the flarmen tried to signal the engineman to stop, using a red flag for the surpose, but on aggount of the curvature of the track, his signals were not seen at the sead on of the train. and Pilot Richardson could have stopped the train immediately by means of the conductor's valve, but neither of thom made any attempt to do so but decided that probably orders had been received and therefore they would mait until the conductor returned to the enhance. When the conductor returned, he was asked where he was going for the second section of train No. 60, and he claimed he said he had no orders relative to that train sud that so far as he knew they were going to RD Tower. There is a disputs as to the conductor's exact reply, the pilet and flagman elalming he said they were going to RD Tower and that train 2d No. 60 had better stay tasre. Apparently none of the three men paid any further attention to the matter, although the conversation cortainly should have raised a doubt in the minds of all three as to the right of their train to the main track, and they should have been governed by rule No. 40, which reads as follows:

"Conductors, enginemen and trainmen are required to give close attention to signals displayed by other trains and their significance, whether their attention is called to them or not. If in doubt, a train will not leave a station or widing until the conductor and enginemen agree as to what signals, if any, were displayed by opposing or passing trains, and will report to the superintendent failure to call attention to them."

A contributing cause was the failure of Engineman Burke of train lat So. 60 to bring sie train to a stop as required by rule No. 36 when he was in doubt as to whether or not the engine-

46.8

man of train let Ho. 97 had acknowledged his signal.

let No. 97, all of the employees involved were experienced men with good records, although it is noted that both Conductor Underwood and Pilot Michardson had charged against each of them one case of failing properly to protect by flag, while Engineeran Burks had been responsible for a rear-end collision due to his following a train too closely. The erew of train let He. 97 had been on duty about 13 hours after periods off duty ranging from 10 to 25 hours. Engineeran Burks had been on duty a little more than one hour after a period off duty of about 11 hours.

This investigation developed that the failure of the dispatcher to issue copies of the train orders to Pilot Richardson was because he considered Conductor Underwood to be better acquainted with the read. In reaching this conclusion, Dispatcher Trisch was acting on an unwarranted assumption. The responsibility for placing Pilot Richardson on the train was not nis; all he had to do was to issue the train orders correctly, which important of failed absolutely to do his duty. There is no excuse whatever for such a flagrant violation of important operating rules, and it may well be that this attitude on the part of the dispatcher, coupled with the alleged statement of Conductor Underwood that he hid not need a pilot, was responsible for the apparent lack of interest displayed by Filot Richardson in the handling of the train.