IN RE INVESTIGATION OF AT ACCIDENT WHICH OCCUPRED ON THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILTRY AT MCGRAT, KANS, ON OCTOBER 11, 1920

January 14, 1921

On October 11, 1920, there was a side collision between a passenger train and a work train on the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway at ToGraw, Kans, which resulted in the death of 1 employee and the injury of 1 employee. After investigation of this accident the Chief of the Bureau of Safety reports as follows

The Middle Division of the Western District, on which this accident occurred, is a single-track line over which trains are operated by ting-table, train orders transmitted by telephone, and a ranual block-signal system station 2.9 miles west of Newton, Kans, and within its vard limits, is the junction of the Sand Creek line with the Newton lines, the junction switch being protected by an interlocking The home signal governing westbound movements on plant the Newton line is a single-arm semaphore, located 300 feet east of the switch; there is no distant signal Train-order signals are used as block signals, and at McGraw the block signal is located directly opposite the switch Eastbound movements are governed by a two-arm semaphore located 50 feet west of the switch, the upper arm governing movements for the Yeston line and the lover arm controlling movements towards Sand Creek

Approaching the point of accident from Newton there is a tangent about 4,000 feet long, followed by a 9-degree curve to the left 300 feet long, and 700 feet of tangent to the

point of accident Approaching from the west the track is straight for approximately 1 hale. The grade is practically level. The weather at the time of the accident was clear

Vestoound passenger train No 113, in charge of Conductor Vilcox and Engineran McNeil, consisted of engine 1489, 1 cagage car, 1 coach, 2 chair cars, and 1 Pullman sleeping car. This train left Jewton, the initial terminal for this crev. at 4 10 pm, on time, and at about 4 15 pm, while travelling at 2 speed estimated to have been about 40 miles an nour, passed the interlocking and clock signals at JoGram, both of much were in the stop position, and collided with work extra 1139.

Figureran Mondall, and no time of the addition tonsisted of engine 1139, I derrick tender, I derrick car, 2 flat cars, I caboose, and I flot car, in the order named. This train was working between mcGraw and Putnam, 3 2 rives west, under authority of train order No. 19, form 31 which read as follows.

'Engine 1139 work seven o'clock 7 00 s m to five thirty 5 30 p m bet een mcGram and Putnam not protecting against extras until twelve thirty 12 30 p m."

Among other orders the crew of ork extra 1139 were also in possession of a copy of train order No. 33, form 19, reading as follows

""estward extras vait at .cGrav until three thirty 3 30 p. for work extra 1130 "

Ind work sytth had been picking up material between Putisand McGraw, and was backing upon the Sand Creek line at McGraw

for the purpose of clearing train No. 113 when the accident occurred. The speed of the ork train at the time of the accident was estimated to have been about 15 or 20 miles an hour.

Engine 1489, of train No 113, was derailed to the left and partly overturned, the baggage car of that train was also partly derailed. Engine 1139, of the work extra, was turned over on its right side and considerably daraged. The exployerabled as Entinerank Kendall of extra 1139.

Conductor Nixon, of work extra 1139, was under the impression that train No 133 was not due at McGraw until 4.25 p m, but while enroute from Putham to McGraw, the roadmaster called his attention to the fact that it was due there at 4.15 p m Conductor Nixon realized that his train was on short time but thought it would be acle to reach McGraw without delaying the passenger train, and he said he felt safe in making the movement on account of the fact that he had previously left Brakeman Stevens at McGraw to protect his train According to Conductor Nixon's own statement, however, Brakeman Stevens, although in possession of all the orders held by the work extra, had not been given any specific flagging instructions except to "Protect re", unile brakeman Stevens said that his instructions were to protect only against extras, and he understood that he was not to stop first-class trains although nothin, was said on this point and the rules require protection against first-clast trains within yard limits - Brakeman

Stevens had taken his position at the tower, inasmuon as under train order No. 33, previously quoted, he had to protect his train against all westbound extras after 3:30 p m and these trains might approach either from Neston or from SandCreek Brakeman Stevens knew that work extra 1139 had left Putnas. .hich is the first station west of AcGraw, he could see it in the distance, and knew that it was nearly on the time of train No. 113, but he said he thought Conductor Nixon had received an order giving him more time on train No. 113 the steps of the tower when he saw train No 113 approaching, and he then started toward it out had gotten only 3 or 4 carlengths from the tower when the train passed him Brakeman Stevens' statement that he did not think he should flag firstclass trains vas contradicted by another statement made by him that he had intended to stop train No. 113

Then approaching McGra. from Newton, the block-signal can be seen from a distance of about 4,400 feet. The home signal is visible beginning at a point about 3,000 feet from it and for a distance of 300 feet, it is then obscured and is not again visible to an approaching engineeran until he is within 1,300 feet of it. Engineeran icNeil, of train No. 115, stated that he missed the first opportunity of observing the filmals and called to the fireman, who was norking on the fire, but by the tild the fire ar heligotten upon his seat box and looked, the train was rounded the curve within a few numbed feet of the signals, and Engineeran McMoil then saw ther in the stop position, at much tile he applied the air brakes in

emergency he had seen nothing of a flagman. Under rule 777 all trains are required to approach interlocking plants under control, but Engineman McPeil said his train was not under control, for the reason that he always received orders when a train was to be met at McGraw, that the schedule of his train was so fast that if he reduced speed at all points where such speed reductions are required the train fould not even come close to making its scheduled time, and that he did not consider the plant at lcGraw to be an interlocking plant on account of the fact that no distant signal indication is provided. The statements of the engine crew indicated that the maximum speed of their train had been between 50 and 60 relies an hour

The statement of Operator Jett, on duty at McGraw at the tire of the accident, indicated that all of the signals were in the stop position when he saw the work extra approaching, that the engineeran of the work extra unistled for signals, and that he immediately lined up the route for the work extra to back in upon the Sand Creek Line

This accident was caused by the failure of Engineran McNeil, of train No 113, properly to observe and obey fixed signal indications, as well as by his failure to have his train under proper control approaching an interlocking plant, by the failure of Conductor Nixon and Engineman Kendall, of extra 1139, to clear the main line for train No 113 as required by the rules, and by the failure of Drakeman Stevens properly to protect his train by flag

Engineman woNell's failure proporty to observe and body signal indications resulted from his failure to have his train under control when approaching the interlocking plant, had his train been under proper control as required by rule 777, he would easily have been able to stop his train in tire to avert the accident

Fule 87 reads as follows

"An inferior train must keep cut of the may of opposing superior trains and failing to clear the main track by the time required by rule must be protected as prescribed by rule 39

Extra trains must clear at time of regular trains 5 minutes unless otherwise provided and will be governed by train orders with respect to opposing extra trains "

The failure of mork extra 1139 to be clear of the rain track on the time of train No 113 was, according to the statement of Conductor Nixon, due primarily to his carelessness in not adquainting rimself with the time-table schedule of that train Brakeman Stevens did not have specific instructions to stop first-class trains, and while Conductor Jixon is open to severe densure for his failure to give wrakeman Stevens specific flagming instructions, Brakeman Stevens knew that his train had left the preceding station, could see it in the distance, and knew that train No. 113 was due, under these directstances there is no excuse for his being on the steps of the tower, in no position to do his duty, nea train No 113 approached. It is true that he had to protect against trains arriving either from Newton or from Sand Creek, but he could sasily have ascertained from the tower operator what opposing trains were approaching and when he saw the work extra approaching the tower, he should have placed himself in position to stop train No. 113. Although he stated that he thought Conductor Nixon had received additional time on that train, he himself was in position to have easily ascertained the whereabouts of train No. 113 had he been sufficiently interested, and in any event such a belief is no excuse for his failure properly to protect his train

There is no distant signal installed in connection with the home signal governing westbound movements on the Newton line. On account of the poor view of the nome signal had by an engineman, even in clear weather, the operation of trains at this point would be greatly facilitated and greater safety afforded by the installation of a distant signal

Engineman McNeil had had over 40 years' experience as an engineman and had been discharged in 1915 and again in 1918 for responsibility in connection with collisions, at the time of this accident his record was clear. Brakeman Stevens was employed as a brakeman in March, 1916, while Conductor Nixon was employed as a brakeman in 1911 and pronoted to conductor in 1916, the records of both of these employees were clear at time of the accident

The crew of train No 113 had been on duty less than 1 nour after nearly 6 hours off duty, previous to which they had been on duty $2\frac{1}{2}$ nours after about 12 hours off duty. The crew of work extra 1139 had been on duty about 10 hours previous to which they had had about 9 hours off duty.