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Railroad:
Date:
Location:
Kind of accident:
Train involved:
Engine number:
Consist:
Speed:
Track:

Weather:
Time:
Casualties:
Cause:

Baltimore \& Ohio
February 15, 1937
Dorsey, Ma.
Derailment
Passenger
5317
Four cars
$6 \mathrm{~b}-70 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{h}$.
Curve to left followed by 567 feet of tangent to point of accident.
clear
3:31 p. 11 .
TNo killeá, six injured
Motor truch driven upon highway crossirf at Erade directly in front of approaching train.

March 27, 1937.

To the commission:
On Februery 15, 193r, there was a derailment of a passenger train on the Ealtimore \& Ohio Eailroad as a result of striking a motor truck at a highway grade crossing at Dorsey, Md., which resulted in the death of the engineman of the train and the driver or the truck, and the injury of five passengers on the train and the fireman.

## Location and method of operation

Tris accident occurred on the Washington Sub-aivision of the Ealtimore Division, which extends between Camden Station, Baltimore, and $V$ inshinston, D. C., a distance of 36.8 miles, and is a double-track line over whion trains are operated by time table, train ordere, an sutomatic block-signal system, and an automatic train-stop system of the intermittent-inauctive type.. At the point of accident the tracke run nearly north and south, but the corresponding time tatle directions are eust and west and these latter $\dot{\text { airections are used in this report. The acci- }}$ dent occurred at a point where a public highwey known as Dorsey Road crosses the tracks just east of the station; approuching this point on the railroad from the west, there is a $l^{\circ}$ curve to the left whicn is 3,045 fett in length, Iollowed by tengent track extending 567 feet to the center of the crossing, and for a considerable distance beyond that point. The grede is 0.377 per cent descending for eastbound trains. At a point about 600 feet east of the crossing, a strean known as Deep Run oreek passes under the tracks through a lb-ioot stone arch, the bed of the creek being 37 fett below the rails.

Dorsey koad crosses the tracks from southeast to northwest at an angle of $72^{\circ}$, snd is a paved highway about 20 fcet in width, including shoulders. Approaching the crossing from the south on this highway, the grade is descendina for a considerable distance to a point about 440 feet south of the crossing and then therv is an ascerding gradu of 7.6 por cent to a point about ll3 feet from tho crossing, where it tepers off to about 3.8 per cent until within 20 feet of the nearest track, wherc it again ircreases until the level of the tracks is reached; the orossing is laid with a plank on each side ot' each rail.

The crossing is protectca by automatic ilashing lishts and bell, the control circuit in the eastbound track beginning at a point 3,393 feet west uf the crossing. The fleshine-light sienals on the south siac of the treck are mounted on a mast which is located 14.3 feet south of the center line of the

eastbound track and about 7 fect sast on the paved edge of the highway. Two sets of lights are mounted on this mast, one sut facing traffic approaching from the south on the main highway and the other facing truffic sppronching from the west on a side road which parillels the tracks; the crosoing bell is mounted on the mast on the north side of the tracis, on winich there also is mounted a pair of flashing lignts for the protection of coutnbound hignway traffic. Cross-bar signs of the usuel type are monnted on these maste ubove the flushing lights.

Whon rounding the curve the fircman of in esstbound truin can see the crossirg for a distance of obout 1,600 feet, but a low station ruilding on the south sice of the tracks, together witn a tre, tilephonc poles and a store building, all of which ere in the southwest angle of the intersection, restrict the fircman's view of highway traffic which muy be epproaching from the south; the engineman cen not sue tho crossing until within 700 fort or it, and at that tine, by iooking ecross the back of the station builaing, he cun see traffic on that portion of the highwar located betweon points 60 and 40 fect from tha crossing, and not until close to the east end of the stution, which is only 105 Ieet Irom the crossine, can he sec all of the highway for a distarce of about 60 feet soutin of thc tricks. The view to be had by the driver of a northbound vniticle on tile highway is restricted by the buildings, tree rad poles above mentioned; whon between points about 60 ind 40 feet from the orossing he could heve a briuf view of nomprocehang sustbound train, and ther his vizw would be out ont entirely lintil within lo feet of the nearust traci, st wich joint he could set about 1, doo feet.

The weather $w$ s cluri at the time of the scoident, which occurrod about 3:31 p.in.

Désoription
Triin No. 150, en ucistbound passengur tri.jn, corisisted of thrue coches rad ont buege ge cer, in the order nomod and cll of stecl construction, hauled by enginc 5317, and was in charbe of genductor Hile nud Enginemen pope. This trajn luft Jessup, Mä., ?. 4 aiies west oí Lorsєy, ubout 3:29 p.r., 2 minutes late, and was derajled atter striling a notor truck on the highway crossing it Dorsey wile travujing re a speed ustimated to huve been betiveen vo anc 70 miles per inour.

The truck involvod was a $2 \frac{1}{2}$-ton Autocer tiuck, owned and operated by tie Deviason Trensfer \& storact company of Baltimore, and was beine criver by Sol Ely. Tris truck was proceeding lorthwird on Dorsuy Ro.d, approwching the erossing at a specd variously estimatud to hive been between 5 and 25 miles por hour, chd had startud ovur the crossing when it was struck by Triilu No. 150.

The triir wis derriled to the right and the engine stopped bottom up in the bed of Deop Run Creek, with its head ond ncarly buried in the creek bud; the tender also was bottom un, dircctly benind the engine. The first three cors were entirely deriiled cnd the fourth car portly derailed, but none of these cars wis overturned. The motor truck was demolished, some of the wreckage baing carried on the front end of the engine to the point whore it finclly stopped. Thu railroad employee killed wis the engineman.

Surmary of evidence
Eireman Eeard, of Train No. 150, soid ho was on his sect box as the triin rounded the curve at a speed of 60 or 65 miles per hour and thet the crossing was unocoupied when it came within nis range of vision. He did rot soe the truck approuching the crossing from the engineman's side and his first knowledge of anything wrong was when the crush actually occurred, at winch tine the front end of the engine seemed to be enveloped in fire and e.t the same time to rise in tho air. The usual whistle signsl had beell sounded for the crossing, and Firemun Beard said it still wis blowing at the time of the accident; he had not heard the engineman say unything, nor was he uble to state whethor tho enginemsn applied thc brakes before the crash. Fireman Beard further stated that both standing end running tests of the air brakes had been mede in the Woshington Termincl ind. that the brakes had sunctioned properly in meking two stops en route.

Conductor Fale and Flamman omalley corroborated the fireman's ststements as to the testing of the brakes and their proper functioning en route, and said their first knowledge of danger was when they felt ar emergency apnlication of the brakes, two or three car lengths before the accident occurred; the flagman thought the speed was about 70 miles per hour at the time. Conductor Hile suid the crussing whistle signal had been sounded, and was either continued or repeated up to the crossing, while the flagman thought the signal was repeated. Flagman o'Malley went back to flag, and on his way back he lleard the crossing bell ringing and saw the flashing-lignt gignal on the south side of the tracks, which was still operating:

Supervisor of Locomotive operation clark, who was in the third car in Train No. 150, said the speed was 68 or 70 miles per hour and that the whistle was being sounded when he felt an emergency application of the brakes. on going back to a telephone after the accicent he saw that the flasning lights were operating and said that they continued to operate, the circuit remaining open on account of the damage caused to the cross-over located just east of the crossing. In looking over
the crossing Supervisor Clark did not see any skid marks to indicate that the wheels of a motor venicle had been sliding, although he said he misht not have noticed such marks if they were 20 or 30 feet from the tracks; the paveinent wes dry. He was unable to state positively what part of the truck could have gotten under the engine and eaused it to become derailed, but he did notice what he thought might have been the crank case, bady mutilated and bearing marks which led him to think it might have caused the derailment of the engine truck. It also appeared from the statements of Supervisor clark that in the usual course of his duties he had exmmined engine 5317 at the roundhousc in Washington prior to its departure on Train No. 150, and had found it to be in good condition; he also had talked with the engineman and the firsman, and said they appeared to be in normal physical condition.

Assistant Trainmaster Narfield, who also was riding on Train No. 150, corroborated the stateatents or other witnesses as to testing of brakes, speed, stops, whistling, etc. on examining the clossing after the accident the only tire marks he could find were in about the center of the crossing, near the left rail of the eastbound track.

Assistant Division Engineer Clopton said the first marks of derailment consisted of wheel marks on the ties winch begen about 33 feet east of the certer line of the crossing; these marks first appeared on the inside of the left ruil about 10 inches from the rail, and indeated that one pair of leadtruck wheels had been derailed. The mirks ran alone parallel with the rails until they had passed the frog of the trailing switch of the cross-over, where they turned off toward the right, while the track was torn up berirning about at the heel of the switch.

Signal maintainer poler said he examincd the signals at the crossing $\varepsilon t$ 4: $\ddagger 5 \mathrm{f}$.m. and found them to be working properly with the uxception thet the pair of lights which had boer facing westword toward the road paralleling the tracks had becn knocked of fthe mast and brocen, apperently by flying wreckage. These signals ware installed in April, 1926, ard auring the 5 years they had been under his supervisjon thure hed been no failures other than an occasionel burred-out bulh. It was his practice to examine theso signals two or three times each week, and he had examinci thom about 9:35 $2 . \mathrm{m}$. on the day of the decident, at which time he found nothing wrong.
J. L. Reimsnider, owner of a groccry store located in the southwest angle ol tnc intersection, was standing behind the counter in his store when he saw a truck proceeding toward the crossing and at the same time heard an approaching eastbound
train; he said that he heerd the noise of the train itself and also the whistle. Fe thought at the tine thot it did not appecr as if the truck was going to stop for the train and then he heard the crash; on going to the door he sev wreckage of tine truck and also saw that the flasning lights were still operating. He did not see the lights immediately prior to the accident, but was outside his store at the time of the arrival of a westbound train about 2:20 p.ri. and at that time the lights were operating. Mr. Feimsnider estimated the speed of the truck wilen passing inis store to have been about 15 or 20 miles per inour and said that he did not know whether the driver looked up and down the tracks before starting over the crossing; he aid not, however, make any stop for the crossing. It also appeared from Mr. Reimsnider's statements that no motor vehicles were on the west side of the highway between his store and the crossing, where they would have interfered with the view.

Mrs. J. M. Powell, whose home is in the southeast angle of the intersection, said she first noticed the truck when it was about at the south end of Mr. Reimsnider's store, noving toward the crossing at a noderate rate of speed. She could hear the crossing bell ringing at the time and also could hear the whistle of the train, and on glancing through a door she saw that the flashing lishts were workins; irs. Fowell did not watch the truck, however, after it passed the store and did not actually see the collision. Mrs. Powell also stated that she had seen several trains puss over the crossing earlitr in the day, and at those times the flashing lights semed to be working properly.
H. O. Whattington, a foremen in the employ of the Consolidated Gas, Flectric Light \& Power company of Báltimore, said he was walking ur the grade toward the crossing on Dorsey Road when the truck passed $n i m$, moving at a speed of about 25 miles per hour, and at that time he heard the whistle of the train, saw the lights fleshine, ind heard the ringing of the crossing bell. Mr. Whittington paia no particular attention to the truck and subsequontly turnod around and stirrted back toward the bottom of th? grade, at which time the truck was from 50 to 75 feet from the crossing and he could still hoar the whistle and see the flasing lights, but could not hear the $b \in i l$ on recount of the noise of the train.
N. J. Smith, O. E. 'Tucker, and A. T. Myurs, working in connection witn the construction of an undorrass in the immcaiate vicinity of the point of accidunt, huerd the crossing bell ringing and also the whistle of the appronching train, and saw the truck as it started over the crossing directly in front of tho train; none of thece witnesses was in position to see
the flashing-light signals. Mr. Smith said the truck seemed to be traveling at a speed of 5 or 10 miles per hour and to be approaching the crossing with ceution, without any indication of motor troubie, and thet the front wheels had about reacifed the north rail when the accident occurred; Mr. Myers thought the speed of the truck was about 10 miles per hour. The statements of several otrer contractor's employees, as well as the statements of others who were in the vicinity at the time of the accident, added nothing of importance.

Livutenant Hiceage, Modjcal corps, U.S.A., on duty at Fort Meade, said that on the day of the accident he had talked with Driver Ely for about 15 minutes in connection with the delivery of a previous shipment, and at that time there were no indications thet the driver had been drinking or that he was not in good hualth. This was the first time he had come in contact with Driver Ely, but mr . Wassmrn, on duty st tho post exchange at Fort Meade, had seen Driver Ely on a few previous occasions and said thet on the dny of the accident he sppeared to be in normal condition; Mr. Nasman fixed the time of departure of Driver Ely on his way back to Beltimore ae approximately 3:00 p.m.

Jay I. Devidson, Superintendent of Equinment for the Davidson Trunsfer \& Storngu Compary, suid thet Driver ely was assigncd to locsl delivery service, which includod deliverios in Baltimore, Anncpalis, and adjacent territory. on the day of the accident he renorted for duty at 7:10 a.m., after more than 36 hours olf duty, and departed irom Britimore at 7:20 com . with consignments for Glenburniu, Annapolis and Fort meade, being on his way back to Bultimore, light, at the time of the time of the acciaent. Wen the truck reached Dorcey it had coverud about 65 milus on this partioular trip and the drivtr had lust been hucird irom jt obout $\mathbb{Z}: 00 \mathrm{p}$.ri., et minen time ne was at Fort Meade, 10 or 1.3 miles from Jorsuy. Driver kly had made meny trips in tnis territory, but Rr. Davidson suic thele was ino way of deterinining definitely whethoi he ever hra crossed the reilroad at this pertioulcr cressing. According to the company's records, Driver Ely was employed on Mcrch 19, 1930, and since that time hod had two accidents of a very minor character; on one occasion, in stirting on agrado in lane of treficic, his truck drifted b-ckward enoưh to contact un utomobile which had stoppud close behinc, resulting in dsmoge amountine to ext. 35 , while in the other cns a preceding truck stopoed suddenly without warning, but the rcciuent wns of io consequonce und tine compnay hrd no record of prying a:mages. ivocomplaints had been received from thr company's suporvisors or from uny of the insurnce compunies rulutive to Fly's Criving, wilile a platforn checkor emnloyed by the company rode to his home in Glenburnie with Driver Ely on the morning ol the accident ana reported that
he seemed to be in perfect healtn and handled the truck properly, while the brakes and motor of the truck were in good condition. Mr. Davidson also said that the shops of the company in Baltimor are operated on a 24 -hour basis, that drivers are required to report any difficulties immediately upon their arrival at the terminal, and in addition are required before starting on a trip to know that all safety devices are in proper working condition. Mr. Davidson examined the wreckage of the truck about li $\frac{1}{2}$ hours after the accident, and subsequently all parts which could be recovered were removed and taken to the company's shops, where inspection showed all brake linings, shoes and drums to be in proper working condition; no transmission or drive parts could be located. It also appeared from the statements of Mr. Daviason that his company requires ite drivers to stop at railroad grade crossings, regardless of the nature of the protection which may be provided.

Information furnished by the Davidson Transfer \& Storago company concerning truck ibs, the one involved in this accident, showed that it was en Autocar Blue streak, built in 1931, equipped with a parel-ácivery type of body, with the driver's cab mounted oil the chassis independently of the body, and that it had a total weight of 9,200 pounds; the over-all length was 25 feet 10 inches, width 7 feet $4 \frac{1}{3}$ inches, and height 9 feet 7 inches. Dual wheels were mounted on each end of the rear axle. The operating equipment for the brakes on the front axle was of the Lockheed hydraulic type, while the brakes on the rear axle were equipped with a B.K. booster. The engine was a $6-c y l i n d e r$ engine, with 38.4 horse power.

The records in the ofice of the conmissioner of protor Vehicles of the State ol Maryland showed that Driver Ely received an automobile driver's license in Junu, lG3l, and that it was still in effect, licenses or this hind not having to bu renewed. Chaufleur's Licenses, nowever, arc good only for one year from the date of issue, and it uppeared that $E$ river Ely obtnined such a license in August, 1954 , but dia not renew it unvil march,1936. It further appeared thet he never had been convicted of eny violations of traffic laws and regulations and thit there wure no reports of any accidents in which ne hed been involved prior to the one here under investigation; such reports are not required, however, if the particular sccident results only in ioss or damage to property. There was nothing in tho state laws requiring trucks of the tyre hure involved to ston cit railroad grede crossings.

Examiniation of the traci- by the Commission's inspectors showed that the filst mark appeartd on ar angle bar on the gauge side of the north or left rijl, approximately 20 feet east of the center of the crossing. The first tic mark eppeared at a
point 33 feet east of the crossing, 9 inches inside of the gauge side of the north rail; this mark at first appeared intermittently, but finally began marking each successive tie, continuing until it reached the trailing-noint switch of the crossover, where the track began to be torn up. Examination of the engine showed that the right rear engine-truck whecl had been pulled off the axle, and at the time of this examination the wheel had not been recovered; the flanges on all the other wheels were in good condition, end nothing was found which could have contributed in any way to the occurrence of the accident.

Examination of the switch circuit controller at the west end of the crioss-over, conducted several days subsequent to the accident, disclosed that on one of the normally closed contacts the driving mechanism, which drives the segment to operate the controt, was brofen, the contact failing to open when the switch was opened; the other normally closed contact also stuck closed, but the two normally open contacts used to shunt the track operated as intended. The effect of the failure of the two contacts to open as intended when the switch was opened would be to allow the stick relay to stick up after it had picked up and under certain conditions to stop the operation of the crossing signals. The crossing signal will not operate in some sections when switching or irregular movements are made, even with the contects stuck closed, but this wroula not effect the protection afforded for through movements and the crossing signals would be operative for sil through movements on tither track approaching the crossing. Generally speaking, the switch cirouit controller appeared to have suffered from lack of maintenance; the cams were rusty and an accumulation of old grease and dist had collected on them, the box was dirty, and all parts' seemed to have been neglected as to lubrication.

The records of the Baltimore \& Ohio Railroad showed that there have been five motor vehicle accidents at this crossing sirce the installation of the flashing-light signals in 1926, in three of these cases an automobile was struck by a passenger train and in one case by a light engine, winile in the remaining case an automobile ran into a passenger train. The oniy casualty was in the case of one of the automobiles being ntruck by a passenger train, the driver in that case being killed. Notwithstanding the number of accidents which rave occurred at this point, the notor trafiic is nct heavy; between the hours of 3:00 and 4:00 p.m., embracirg the time at which this accident occurred, there were 12 trucks and 27 automobiles, wille during the same period there were 4 trains which passed. This crossing, however, is one which is scheduled for elimination, and at the time of this accident the work incident to the construction of an under-* pass for highway traffic was in progress.

## Discussion

The evidence in this investigatión showed that the driver of the truck involveß had completed all of his delivery work and was en route to Baltimore with an empty vehicle, his last stop apparently having been at the post exchange at Fort Meade, approximately 10 miles fron the point of accident. The evidence further indicated that he was operating the vehicle at a moderate rate of speed but that he did not stop before starting over the crossing, the front end of the truck being squarely on the eastbound track when struck by Train No. 150, wnich was moving at a speed of 60 or 70 miles per hour. The fireman on the engine hauling that train said he was on his seat box maintaining a lookout ahead but that at no time did he see the truck, and this statement was corroborated indirectly 8 y the statements of eyewitnesses, which were to the effect that the truck had just reached the crossing when the accident occurred.

Investigation further brought out the fact that there was ample warning of the approach of a train; the whistle was heard by many eyewitnesses, as well as by employees on the train, and the eyewitnesses also heerd the crossing bell, while some of them saw the flashing-light signals in operution as the truck was closely approaching the crossing. It also is to be noted that the roudway was dry, it was a bright sunny day, the truck was known to have been in good operating condition, and nothing was developed to indicate that there was anything wrong with the driver, either physicnlly or mentally, which could have caused him to fail to hoed the whistle of the engine or the warning signals provided for the purpose of indicating the approach of a train. It is not known whether the driver wes thoroughly familiar with this particulor crossing, although he had been operating a truck in this general territory ior several months, and under the various circumetances as above set forth there is
 failure to stop and wait until the train had passed and it was sufe for him to proceed.

The truck was demolished and the princiral mechanical parts were so badly drmaged that it was impossible to tell in what gear the truck was being operated or what purticulur part of the mechanism resulted in the derailment of the train, although one witness thofgt it might havo been the crank case. In view of the fact, however, that the locomotive had been txamined before departing from wasing ton, and in view of the further fact that subsequent examinstion failed to reveal any defective condition which could have cuused or contributed to the accident, it is believed that some portion of the truck lodged under tne front end of tho engine in such a way as to result in the dureilment of tho lead pair of engine-truck wheels.

Light flunge marks on the tivs, starting a short distance east of the crossing, clesrly showed thet only one Fair of truck whetls had buen dorciled, and that they than continued purallel with the rails until the cruss-over was encountercd, at which point the track began to be torn up and the complete derailment of the engine and folloving cars resulted.

Conclusion
This accident wes caused by a motor truck being driven upon a highway crossing cut grade directly in front of an approaching trein.

Rospectfully submitted,

> W. J. PATTERSON,

Iiructor.

