For publication in a group of Chamber of Commerce monthly magazines
Sent to John M. Guild. Community Service Corp., Kansas City, Mo.
(This is the revised article) 10/19/36

Future of Our Righways
How Will Additional Mileage be Built and Old Construction Mainteined?
By Thos. H. MacDonald, Chief, U. S. Bureau of Public Reads

The Bureau of Public Roads, within the past year, has urged all State highway departments to join with it in a comprehensive study of the whole read situation. Forty States have thus far accepted the Bureau's invitation, and it is hoped that others will eventually follow. With minor variations, necessitated by local circumstances, the survey in each State follows a section plant lesigned to develop all facts needed as a basis for a rural read improvement program that will merit the faith and continued support of the public.

In the pre-depression period, 1925-1929, the State highway departments, with and without Federal assistance, built annually an average of 27,546 miles of highways. In the succeeding five-year period, 1930-1934, during which we bassed through the lowest depths of the depression, the average annual construction jumped to 37,580 miles. In the same period the mileage of local surfaced coads increased by 218,000, an average of more than 43,000 miles a year.

To a depression-weary country there was cause for congratulation in the mightened activity that these figures represent. But, from the standpoint of sound development of the highway system, there were several disturbing festers; and it is these factors of which I shall write briefly in this article.

If we examine more closely the 37,580-mile annual construction record of the State highway departments we find that in the later years Jederal funds, eministered through the Bureau of Fublic Roads, accounted for more than 25,000 t these miles. And if we ask who paid for the large mileage of surfaced local record, we find that it was in large part not the local governments that have

mediate authority over them, but the Federal government again, acting through Public Works Administration, the Works Progress Administration and other bacies established to provide employment.

And finally, if we look further into the financial side of the picture, we that there has been a sharp rise in the expenditures of the State highest partments for maintenance - a rise that undoubtedly reflects the increased maintance liability growing out of the recent construction of greater mileages of cost road types, as well as the normal increase due to simple growth of proved mileage.

Briefly, the condition to which these indications point is this? Both its and local revenues available for highway purposes have been sharply reduced; the same time improved mileage has grown at an unprecedented rate, largely in less durable types, with consequently increased maintenance liability; and it would otherwise have been an impossible situation has been saved by largely breased contributions by the Federal government.

Fortunately, there is promise that the Pederal government will not sharply too soon reduce its assistance; but it cannot be expected to continue its enditures on anything like the scale of the years just past. And when they reduced, what then?

The cause of the reduction of local expenditures is mainly a sharp line in the yield of real property taxes; in the case of the States it is an ally serious diversion of read-user revenue to other than highway purposes. In the Federal government reduces its aid, will these lost revenues return?

The shrinkage in yield of property texes and the pressure for further for from such taxes constitute the principal incentive toward transfer of

jurisdiction over local roads from local to State authorities. It is well known
that a number of States have already placed all or a large part of the local road
systems under State control. It is not so well known that in no case has the
transfer of these large responsibilities been accompanied by transfer of the
Tunds formerly available to the local authorities for their discharge.

The effect in every case has been to place responsibility for all highway improvement or a much larger part of it where it will have to be met with
motor vehicle instead of property tax revenue. In no case, I believe, has the
shange yet resulted in an actual increase in rates of motor vehicle and gas
makes; but this has been due only to the availability of increased Federal contributions. When these are reduced the prospect is clear: Notor taxes must go
unless other meens of support are found.

Thile in some States the road building responsibilities shifted in the irrection of the motor vehicle taxpayer have been thus largely increased, and chile in others the tendency in the same direction is strong, there has been a imultaneous diversion of road-user taxes in large amount to other than higheap exposes. The actual diversion would have run to very much larger sums.

Acquestionably, had it not been for the penalties threatened by the Federal eghway laws; and the tendency remains. Thus we see on the one hand a trend is easier to divert these supporting revenues to other purposes.

If in a situation such as I have here shatched there were a reduction in need and demand for highways there would be less cause for concern; but I'm is not the case. The default of the local property tempayer is accompanied

demand for greater and more rapid extension of local reed improvement. And diversion of the only revenues available for the preservation and further evenuent of the main highways occurs simultaneously with a demand and an estioned need for enlarged expenditure to modernize these reads.

What results is a rather grave situation which has been prevented these from becoming really serious only, as I have previously said, by the large said contributions of the Federal government. It is a situation that calls belancing of desires and needs against the means that may be found for fulfillment; and it is with this object in view that the highway planning bys are being conducted.