The Fundamental Principles of Highway Construction

By Thos. H. McDonald, Chief, U.S. Burgen of Public Reads

The recent traffic counts made by the Bureau of Public Roads in Connectiont and Massachusetts show an average traffic of 1,140 tone of commodities per day of nine hours. Adding one-third, as a very conservative estimate, for the full day me have 1,520 gross tons delly, which includes the weight of the commodities and the carrying rehicles. From figures based on experiments conducted by the Isea Experiment Station, assuming gasoline to cost 24 cents per gallon, the cost of feel alone for moving this tonnage over an average dirt roof would be \$26.44 per mile per day, assuming the imposed ble, that such traffic could be carried over a dirt road. The cost of fuel for moving the same tennage over a paved road would be \$11.70. a difference of \$14.74 per day. On the basis of 300 days per year the setual saving on fuel alone for moving this tennage would be M. 422. Suppose the pared highway costs \$40,000 per mile. The average interest of 5 per cent would then be \$1,000 per year, which, deducted from the saving on faci, would leave a believe which would retire the cost of the road in a little over 11 years.

Here are the actomishing figures of the cost of an inproved highway, constructed at prices which are above what we believe will be the general average of such costs, and the saving in fuel consumption alone on the commodity traffic, in sufficient to pay the cost of the construction, and the transmission passenger traffic is carried, on this basis, free of cost.

Sith such facts as these before us, I think it must be agreed that highways, economically constructed with a view to accommodating the traffic are worth whatever they cost. In view of the actual financial return which their construction insures to the community and the large saving in the cost of tran vertation which follows the conversion of a bed road into a good one, the only question would seem to be whether we can afford not to build them.

and yet we find currelyes just now in a period of heattation or reluctance on the part of the public to carry the
responsibility of continuing the work of road improvement that
has been well begun to its legical conclusion - the provision
of an adequate system of highways for the ever increasing highway traffic. The suggestion is made in some quarters that we
have gone for enough in building highways, and many other
equally thoughtless comments and criticions are more or less
common. Enving been convents and criticions are more as good
thing we are half inclined to halt in our efforts to secure them
and thus not only fail to realize to the fail the benefits they

will bring with them but actually lose much of the benefit of the expenditure already made by failing to connect up the sections of roads already improved into a serviceable system which will permit the traveler to go from origin to destination without being hindered by had roads.

desired there is need of labor, material, organisation, contractors, engineers, transportation of material - all of which mean money. If we really want adequate highway service that money is absolutely essential. And yet it seems more than difficult to obtain from the public a general understanding of the transcadous effort that must be made in every State and in all the States to carry on the construction and maintenance of a sufficient mileage of highways to give adequate services.

Those in respensible charge of the road work in the States and Federal Government are making a serious effort to being before the public a full statement of the need and a fair and complete disclosure of their activities in meeting the need. They are endeavoring to make perfectly plain the principles by which they are governed, and the reasons for the course of action they are following. And, all too frequently, this policy of openness and sincerity is taken advantage of, and the information so freely disclosed becomes the basis of attacks, unfair, unjust, and viciously destructive.

It is surprising that such attacks are credited by thinking people. The medical quack who would deny all the experience,
studies and research of the medical profession which, year by year,
have developed into what is now the medera science of medicine and
surgery would have no following among the thinking public. It is
the same with the law. Society has secured for itself freedom of
action, protection of life and property after long years during
which principles were laid down one by one to form the structure
we call the law; and we do not lightly abandon a single principle.
So also the future of highway building in Kansas as well as every
other State will prosper or fail to prosper to the extent that it
follows or fails to follow and put into ferce-and effect these
principles which have been brought out of the experience of the past.

It has taken a long time and the road building efforts successes and mistakes - of many States, to crystallize these prisciples, but they are now so clearly established that he who would
deny them simply betrays his own lack of understanding of the definite
progress which has been made in the science of highway administration,
operation and financing.

It was for the purpose of encouraging the general adoption of these principles that the Federal Government in 1916, made its first appropriation for road building. The less is not an arbitrary set of rules and regulations, but rather a diesr-cut delineation of the principles which have proved successful in application over a period of 30 years. These principles have not only proved successful in the States where they have been in effect for the longest period; they have proved, in fact the only methods that will insure a continuity of successful administration of the highways and produce both new construction and continuous maintenance suited to the needs of any State.

One of these principles, clearly established by experience, is that the economic development of the country calls for reads of four classes. Whether they are recognized as such or not there are roads of the four classes in every State and these classes are: First, the interstate roads; second, the roads which are of importance to a whole State; third, the roads of county-wide importance; and fourth, the local roads or roads which serve only one amall community. As I say, the development of the country has already brought into existence reads of the four classes, and it is a first principle of proper highway administration to recognize the difference that exists. The roads should be grouped in their proper classes and the duty of constructing and saintaining each class should be acknowledged and accepted by the proper governmental unit. It is not right to expect, as we not infrequently have expected. the county to bear the expense of a highway which is actually of greater importance to the State than the county.

The existence of roads of interstate importance has been recognized by the Federal Highway Act and provision has been made for the segregation of such roads into an integral system, not exceeding in mileage seven per cent of the total read mileage of the States, upon which funds appropriated by the Federal Government are to be spent in aid of construction.

The interstate and State systems will generally be coincident, although probably not co-extensive but there will generally be a clear line of demarcation between the State and county reads and the county and local reads.

There follows from the acceptance of such a classification the necessity for the establishment of an adequate State highway organization to take over the duty of building and maintaining the roads which are peculiarly the interest of the State, and this is another of the principles, developed through years of experience, that is recognized and given the force of law by the Federal Aid measure.

farther to set up as a third fundamental principle the idea that the reads, which are of importance to the State as a whole and which the State has provided an organization to administer, should be built and maintained with State funds derived from the citizens of the States at large. This principle also, has been adopted by the States shich have recorded the greatest progress in highway development; but, in some States, it meets with remistance arising

out of the conception of local celf-government and the limitation of local responsibility to the imprevenent of local conditions. There is actually no conflict with the institution of local selfgovernment. On the contrary this principle secondises what I take to be an axion of political economy: That imprevenents which benefit equally more than one locality or governmental mait should not be held to be the obligation of any one locality. The counties and smaller units have a great responsibility. There is imposed upon them the barden of medataining the 90 per cent of all roads which are solely of local benefit. It is not right to expect them to build the main roads of the State which lie within their berdors when, as is often the case, such roads afford greater service to communities outside of the county borders than to the county itself. It is not fair to exhaust the credit of the counties by expectize them to pay for reads the income from which will return only in part, in small part, perhaps, to their ditisons,

Let me illustrate my point by referring to a certain county I know of, which is situated in a valley surrounded by mountains. In order to obtain an outlet it bonded itself to the very limit and spent every cent of the money on a main line of highway. Its money spent and its credit exhausted, this county now finds itself with a road running through the center of it, but with me connection on either end, and there is no possibility of making these connections unless the State takes over the responsibility. The read is really

a part of the main highway in that part of the State and it is the State's duty to take it over, reimburse the county for the money spent and connect it with the adjoining counties. It is not fair to place this burden on the county. It is not fair.

This principle was not included in the original Federal Aid

Road Act, but it is now embodied in the Federal Highway Act, and

hereafter it will be required of every State as a condition precedent

to the granting of aid by the Federal Government that the State shall

provide State funds under the control of its highway department to pay

the State's part of the cost of constructing the Federal-Aid roads
and to maintain them perpetually when completed.

When, in recognition of these established principles, the highways have been classified according to use and a State highway organization, provided with State funds, has been created to care for the construction of the State roads, when the individual counties have thus been freed of the burden of providing for the more expensive roads which are the concern of the entire State, the next problem is to determine the rate at which the improvement of all systems is to go forward, and in this there is involved directly the problem of financing the improvements.

But here let me say that there is this principle that may be set down as inevitable: That until a State has completed the backbone of its trunk line system with suitably paved or surfaced highways, the rate of construction should be independent of the source of the

revenue or the method of payment, and should be limited only by
the physical handicaps imposed by the available supply of labor
and materials. Only by accepting this principle can the ultimate
cost of the highways and their service be reduced. For, in a
State like Kansas, the use of the principle highways is so extensive
that the people pay for adequate highways whether they have them or
not, and they pay less if they have them than if they have not. As
I have already shown the saving in fuel alone is sufficient in many
cases to pay the entire cost of the improved road.

But I do not mean to say that all highways, even of the trank line system, should be paved. The type of improvement required is clearly dependent upon the traffic, and if the traffic be known the kind of surfacing or payement to be applied or whether to apply any surfacing at all will be a relatively simple matter to decide. It is merely a matter of balancing the aggregate saving in cost of operation, which is a product of the musber of vehicles and the reduction in epochating cost made possible by the various types of surface, against the costs of the several types of improvement. For any particular traffic density the economic type is that which can be raid for with the savings in operating cost accruing from the improvement. He read should be improved to an extent in excess of its sarning capacity: but all reads should be developed to the highest degree consistent with the return in the form of traffic economies. Fortunately, we are not limited to any type of

improvement. Our problem is to provide economical transportation, and in doing so we must resort to every material and every practice that can be utilized to obtain the end desired.

Wor do I mean to imply that every highway should be brought at once to its final state of improvement. We must know always in mind that the emount of construction possible in any State is necessarily restricted by the physical limits of labor and material available. But in a State as large as Kansas there are miles upon miles of road to be improved, and every mile in an unimproved condition entails a reducible expense to the traffic that uses it. The ideal solution would be to improve all such roads at once, raising each to the state of improvement justified by its traffic, but this it is physically impossible to do. What, then, is the better course to pursue? To confine all attention to a few roads each year, leaving all others in a totally unimproved condition, or to extend the mileage treated and develop a whole system progressively by stages? We have learned by experience that the stage-improvement plan is the better. It is the only plan that has been successful in such a large State as Kansas, and we have recognized the wiedon of it by advancing Federal Aid funds for improvements of this character. There will be certain roads of course, so important from the standpoint of traffic that they must be singled out for complete improvement at once, but generally speaking, the greater return will follow the simultaneous uplmilding of an entire system. In one State, with which I am very

familiar the application of this method has made it possible to improve 1,500 miles of secondary roads, surfaced with material available locally, which will last for a period of from five to ten years and which will greatly facilitate traffic during this period on the entire mileage improved. If the same resources had been applied to the construction of paved roads they would have produced only some 150 to 200 miles of pavement and the balance of the mileage would remain entirely unimproved.

I think there is no question that the improvement of the trunk line system should be carried into effect as rapidly as physical limitations will permit, and I have said that this should be done regardless of the methods of financing adopted; but I do not mean to imply that I regard the methods of financing as unimportant.

Let us consider briefly the principles of financing. In the first place, it seems to me that there are only three sources of revenue, and whatever money is needed sust come from one or more of them. One is the road user, from whom revenue may be derived in the form of automobile license fees or a gasoline tax; the second is property - real or personal - on which a direct tax may be collected; and the third is income. Them there are just two methods of expending the money derived from these sources, either by the pay-as-you-go plan or the deferred payment plan, which means a bond issue. New, it seems to me that it is entirely possible, by following certain well

known principles to finance a progressive progress of highest improvement in this or may other State which will be acceptable to all parties.

The first of these principles which I would lay before you is this:

That the total cash expenditures, in each State, for highest purposes shall be considered as the annual highway budget.

and the money to pay for all of them is derived finally from the same people. This being the case, unless you consider the financial meets of all classes of roads in preparing your highway budget, you are very apt to pile up an empense which it will be impossible to meet without excessive taxation. The business-like may to proceed is to recognise at the outset this first principle of successful financing which I have enunciated, set up a comprehensive budget which will cover the work for the year on all classes of roads and which will be adjusted to the peoples' ability to pay.

For the purpose of escaring efficiency all expenditures on all expressions on all expressions and the correlated under engineering and economic supervision; and the order, character and extent of the improvements under upon the several systems should depend upon the relative future traffic requirements. The attainment of these objects implies the creation of a bangetery authority to supervise all highest expenditures in the State, and each as anthority should, in my spinion, be created.

There is this fact also that we must constantly bear in mind:

That the expenditure for highways is only a portion of the measury
public expenditure. We dem not take all the income from public

revenue and devote it to highways. There are educational institutions
to be maintained and there are other public functions that require

money for their proper performance; and it may therefore be taken as

another fundamental principle that the samual highway budget should
be adjusted to the relative needs for other public purposes. The

revenues derived from various sources must be sufficient to cover all
these needs, and the portion allotted to highway improvement must not
be out of proportion to the relative need for highways. But in this

connection it should be added that all revenue secured from motor

vehicles or read users should be set aside for highway purposes.

Now, if the highway program, plansed and administered as I have described entails an expenditure greater than can be not with current funds without diverting money from other necessary purposes, the only recourse is to issue bonds, for I will residue you again that to fail to prosecute the work of highway improvement as repidly as physical limitations will permit is morely to shoulder the incompable expense in the form of greater operating costs for vahicles, a form in which it will be greater than if it is assumed as a road construction cost.

How, as to the problem of raising money, there is one very definite principle that will sid you in determining upon a wise policy. Concludy stated, it is this: That the cost of building and solutaining

an edequate system of highways should be distributed in equitable relation to the benefits derived.

I wish to develop this point very clearly and escents the various benefits derived becomes there is a tendency to endite too such of the original cost of construction was read more.

First and seet important are the general basefits to reality. rack as the influences as education, respection, health, the national defence, the postal service, living and distribution costs. In these, our general benefits, every our charge shellow he rides in an automobile or not. Every one remembers the absolutair indispensable part played by the highways during the way. It is calf-crident that the efficiency of the pural free delivery neetal parties is dependent absolutely upon the condition of the reads. He one is no get of touch with developments in molecularistical methods as not to know that the progress of resul edisation depends uses the displacement of the que-room school-boost by the controlled graded school and that this development in burn is deposited upon the improvement of the reads. The educators of improved yeals in making possible prospi metical elienties is east of sidences is too wall understood to be arrest over. And so with the best its that are derived by the farmer through intreased participation in the facilities for repressing efforted by the town and the pity and the personal influence of the reads upon living and distribution costs. It is only necessary to annexten these basefits for every one to realist how great an influence they have more the lives of all of us.

A second important group is made up of the special benefits, such as those to agriculture, manufacturers, labor, railroads, saturately, mining and forestry. Without roads there could be so agricultural development at all. Without roads there would be practically no freight for railroads and ships to transport. The need for roads to develop and protect our forests is so well understood that, as a nation, we are expending large sums of money for that special purpose. The advantage to manufacturers is two-fold - the roads facilitate the acquisition of raw material and sid in the distribution of the manufactured product. It is not necessary to go further in the development of the argument. It needs but a little reflection to convince one that every breach of industry is benefited directly because roads are built and maintained, and it follows that the economic improvement of the roads must increase these benefits.

The benefit to property is well understood, and the benefit to the road near is direct and obvious.

All these benefits must be taken into consideration in making the assessment for the construction and maintenance of the reads.

It is not fair to lay upon any one class a part of the cost disproportionate to the benefits it receives.

The wide variation in the present status of highway development in the several States prevents the adoption of a uniform policy for securing the funds necessary to the annual highway budget and expending these funds. Generally speaking, however, these principles may be emanciated.

- (a) States in the initial stage of highway development should issue bonds to defer that portion of the sanual charge for construction which would overburden either property or the read near.
- (b) States where original construction progress are well under way, can, in the main, finance further expenditures for escaturation by bond issues devoted to deferring the cest of special projects.
- (c) States where criginal construction is practically conpleted are concerned objectly with maintenance and reconstruction and should depend on current funds, save in cases of emergency.
- (d) The maintenance of interstate and State reads should be a charge against the read user.
- (e) Roads serving a purely local purpose will gamerally require only light upkeep and this should properly be a charge against the adjacent property, which in this case is the first and often the only beneficiary.
- (f) No road should ever be improved to an extent in excess of its earning especity. The return to the public in the form of economic transportation is the sole measure of the worth of such improvements.