US Department of Transportation	
	March 1995
Intelligent Tran Impact Asse	sportation Systems
Version A.4	DRAFT
Prepared by:	Prepared for:
U.S. Department of Transportation Volpe National Transportation Systems Center Office of Research and Analysis Cambridge, MA 02142-1093	ITS Program Office Federal Highway Administration Washington, DC 20591



#### **Executive Summary**

#### Introduction

The Volpe Center has developed an integrated Framework of traffic models to simulate a number of Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) services, specifically the user services related to Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS). The Framework includes a regional planning model and traffic simulation models for freeway and signalized arterial streets, as well as modules to estimate the measures of effectiveness described below. The Framework was applied to simulate ATMS user services on a 37 mile north-south corridor, comprising a freeway (I-880) and a major parallel arterial, in Alameda County near San Francisco, CA.

The results indicate that operational performance of the corridor is boosted in terms of increased speed and reduced total delay due to services such as dynamic coordination of signals on arterial streets. Additional benefits are seen when ramp metering and arterial signal coordination are simultaneously implemented.

#### **Scenarios**

Impacts of these services upon the network corridor for operational performance, total emissions and safety were measured across a matrix of alternative ATMS scenarios. Performance of the corridor under each simulated scenario was compared to the conditions obtained for a baseline (existing network). The six scenarios considered during the analysis are listed below and include high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes as part of the simulation.

- Scenario 1 (PIFI T2) Fixed time signal coordination based on morning peak volume.
- Scenario 2 (Pl FIT3) Demand-based signal coordination over a 3 hour morning period from 7:00am to 10:00am.
- Scenario 3 (P2FIF2T2) Fixed time metered freeway ramps, based on morning peak volume, combined with fixed time signal coordination on the parallel arterial.
- Scenario 4 (P2FlF3T2) Synchronized freeway ramp metering, optimizing free flow, combined with fixed time signal coordination on the parallel arterial.
- Scenario 5 (P2FIF3T3) Synchronized freeway ramp metering combined with demand-based signal coordination.
- Scenario 6 (P2FIF2T3) Fixed time metered freeway ramps, based on morning peak volume, combined with demand-based signal coordination.

#### **Measures of Effectiveness**

Operational MOEs, used to quantify network characteristics, are vehicle miles traveled, average vehicle speed (mph), traffic volume, vehicle hours of delay and fuel consumption (gallons). Emission MOEs are expressed in units of kilo-grams and predict carbon

- STOPPHENT ST WELFER BAR HER ATHERSTOT

monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons HC) and nitrogen oxide (NOx) pollutants. The last MOE category deals with safety issues and predicts personal injury levels, property damage only (PDO), and total accident predictions. All safety MOEs are expressed in units of incidents per million miles traveled.

#### **Corridor Study Area Results**

#### **Operational Measures**

The impact of each scenario upon vehicle miles traveled, average vehicle speed, traffic volume, vehicle hours of delay and fuel consumption are documented below.

#### 1880 Freeway (excluding ramps)

- Fixed ramp metering led to overall average freeway speed increases in the range of 2.4% to 3%. Speed increases were sensitive to density with rural areas increasing by 11% to 12%.
- A decrease of 38% is observed in total VHD with the implementation of ramp metering. The largest impact is seen in rural areas where VHD improved by 65%.
- Implementing dynamic signal coordination alone will cause VHD to increase on the freeway by 15.7%. Some form of freeway ramp metering, combined with a signal coordination strategy, appears to reduce VHD by over 37%.
- Fuel consumption experiences an increase of 1.2% to 3%, depending on the scenario. The largest fuel increase occurs using dynamic ramp and fixed signal coordination.

#### **I880 Freeway including**

• Results are similar to the section above.

#### Parallel Arterials

- VMT and volume are generally unaffected on the parallel arterial when ramp metering is installed on the freeway. When dynamic signal coordination is introduced the business district VMT increases by 1.6% with a corresponding increase in total volume of 1.5%.
- Total average speeds improved by 2.6% to 4.4% when dynamic signal coordination is introduced on the parallel arterials. Speed improvements with fixed signal coordination are in the range of 0.5% to 1.2%.
- The greatest overall change in VHD occurs under dynamic signal conditions, with a reduction of between 18.6% to 24.6%.





t agad

,

1 WINDOWN AND COLONICS

ITS Impact Assessment: Results





ç əgaq

•

ITS Impact Assessment: Results



.....

....

PARALLEL ARTERIAL OPERATIONAL MEASURES

page 6

•

.

#### Emissions

Impacts in terms of the pollutants, carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC) and nitrogen oxide (NOx) are documented below.

#### I880 Freeway (excluding ramps)

- CO and HC emissions decrease whenever ramp metering is available. Carbon monoxide emissions are reduced by 1% to 2%. Hydrocarbons are reduced by 1.6% to 2.7%.
- The rural area experiences the greatest improvement in emissions. Whenever ramp metering is available CO is reduced by 15% to 18.5% and HC is reduced by 17% to 20%.
- Nitrogen oxide emissions are increased by 7% to 10% under ramp metering conditions, probably due to slightly increased freeway speeds.

#### 1880 Freeway including ramps

• All results are similar to those stated above for the freeway (excluding ramps).

#### Parallel Arterials

- CO, HC and NOx emissions all decrease when fixed signal coordination is installed with ramp metering. Rates of decline range from 3.6% to 13.8%.
- HC and NOx emissions appear to increase up to 4.5% using dynamic signal coordination with ramp metering.

AND A DESCRIPTION OF A





and the set of the set

NOX MOBILE

CO MOBILE

DHC EMFAC

D NOX EMFAC

.

# FREEWAY w/ramps EMISSIONS

page 8

•

.



.

FREEWAY EMISSIONS (wo/ramps)

k agnd

.

.

-

-

AND AND CONTRACT OF A STATISTICS

T







page IV

•

#### Safety

The MOEs relating to safety encompass personal injury, property damage only and total accidents. The impact of ATMS services upon the safety MOEs is discussed below.

#### I880 Freeway (excluding ramps)

- The MOEs for personal injury and property damage (PDO) increase when ramp metering and signal coordination are used, due to increased speeds. No increase is observed with implementation of just dynamic signal coordination.
- Personal injury increased by 4.2% to 5.3% while PDO increased by 4.3% to 6%.
- The largest increase of 5.4% for total accident rate was observed utilizing fixed ramp fixed signal coordination. This scenario has the largest increase in average speeds.

#### 1880 Freeway including ramps

• Results are similar to the section above.

#### Parallel Arterials

- Personal injury, PDO and total accidents all decreased using a fixed signal coordination strategy. The improvements range between 3.6% to 5%, 1.7% to 4.9%, and 2.5% to 4.9%, respectively.
- Dynamic signal coordination, in isolation, produces the largest increase in personal injury, PDO and total accidents by 4.7%, 5.2% and 5% respectively.



#### FREEWAY SAFETY MEASURES

.

1-0- --



FREEWAY w/ramps SAFETY MEASURES

huge is



PARALLEL ARTERIAL SAFETY MEASURES

\*\*\*\*\*

hana in

•

٠

#### Conclusion

The study results indicate that a ramp metering strategy will have a positive impact upon freeway operational characteristics. The benefits obtained reflect a reduction in vehicle hours of delay without encountering an increase in freeway congestion. Application of fixed time and demand responsive signal coordination produces considerable improvements in speed and vehicle hours of delay on the parallel arterial.

The analyses suggest that MOEs should be considered collectively to determine ITS impact. The overall impacts of ITS technologies appear to be a compromise between many interdependent measures of effectiveness. For example, an improvement in speed and congestion will tend to have associated with them an increase in NOx emissions and vehicle incident rates.

The study demonstrated that the modeling Framework is a useful tool in evaluating the impacts related to several signal control strategies in a region with integrated networks of freeways and signalized arterials while considering the dynamics of the traffic environment. The ability of the Framework is not limited to assessing the impacts on a localized network. System-wide impact assessment analysis can be performed to selectively target specific MOE improvements for particular areas where they are most needed. This approach leverages the dependent nature of MOEs and allows a practitioner to select specific ATMS services for obtaining optimal network-wide results. Finally, the results from implementing any of the strategies described here will vary with local network geometries and other region-specific conditions.

## Appendix A

Data from all scenarios are listed on the following pages and are grouped by MOE category. Impact upon the 1880 freeway (without ramps), the freeway with ramps, and adjacent parallel arterials are the focus of the detailed data.

٠.

.

## **OPERATIONAL MEASURES ON 1880 (Excluding Ramps)**

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	P2F1F2T3
	1	Fixed Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,
		(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp, Fixed	Ramp,	Dynamic
MOE	Area Type				Signal	Dynamic	Signal
<u></u>						Signal	
1.1.1.1.1	Durol	234,017	234,017	235,492	235,492	235,492	235,492
II VM I	Kurai	_ ,					
	% Difference	1,181,925	1,181,925	1,187,774	1,187,774	1,187,774	1,187,774
	% Difference						
	Total	1,415,942	1,415,942	1,423,266	1,423,266	1,423,266	1,423,266
	% Difference						
Volume	Rural	300,099	300,099	302,049	302,049	302,049	302,049
	% Difference			0.400.000			
	Residential	2,119,026	2,119,026	2,129,268	2,129,268	2,129,268	2,129,268
	% Difference			0.404.047			
	Total	2,419,125	2,419,125	2,431,317	2,431,317	2,431,317	2,431,317
	% Difference						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
age			40.7	50.2	40.0	10.0	
ed (MPH)	Rural	44.9	42.1	12 2%	49.9	49.9	50.0
	% Difference	17.0	47.5	12.270	11.3%	11.3%	11.4%
	Residential	77.5	47.0	1 9%	1 4%	40.0	40.0
	% Dillerence	47.6	47.0	49.0	48.8	48.8	1.370
	% Difference		-1.3%	3.0%	2.5%	2.5%	
	Rural	2.269	2,762	763	804	803	797
, the	% Difference		21.7%	-66.4%	-64.6%	-64.6%	-64.9%
	Residential	3,585	4,012	2,810	2,842	2.835	2.871
	% Difference		11.9%	-21.6%	-20.7%	-20.9%	-19.9%
	Total	5,854	6,774	3,573	3,646	3,638	3,668
	% Difference		15.7%	-39.0%	-37.7%	-37.9%	-37.3%
l Fuel							
)	Rural	3,868	4,052	3,701	3,864	3,870	3,797
	% Difference		4.8%	-4.3%			-1.8%
	Residential	36,487	36,784	37,693	37,720	37,580	37,310
	% Difference			3.3%	3.4%	3.0%	2.3%
	Total	40,355	40,836	41,394	41,584	41,450	41,107
	% Difference		1.2%	2.6%	3.0%	2.7%	1.9%

# SAFETY MEASURES ON 1880 (Excluding Ramps)

----

----

~

----

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	P2F1F2T3
	_	Fived Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,
		(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp, Fixed	Ramp,	Dynamic
MOE	Area Type	(Dubbane)			Signal	Dynamic	Signal
NUCE	<u></u>					Signal	
		.0389	.0385	.0386	.0379	.0422	.0415
al injury	Rural		-1.0%		-2.4%	8.7%	6.7%
cidents /	% Difference	.4449	.4433	.4707	.4638	.4625	.4628
ion veh mi)	Residential			5.8%	4.2%	4.0%	4.0%
	% Difference	.4838	.4818	.5092	.5017	.5047	.5043
	Total			5.3%	3.7%	4.3%	4.2%
	% Difference Rural % Difference	.0646	.0634	.065	.0637	.0704	.0692
al PDO			-2.0%		-1.4%	8.9%	7.0%
cidents /		.7418	.7431	.7861	.7783	.7755	.774
ion veh mi)	Residential			6.0%	4.9%	4.5%	4.3%
	Total	.8064	.8064	.8512	.8421	.8459	.8432
	% Difference			5.5%	4.4%	4.9%	4.6%
			1010	1000	1017	1100	
al Accident	Rural	.1035	.1018	.1036	.1017	.1126	.1106
vidents /	% Difference		-1.6%		-1.8%	8.8%	6.9%
	Residential	1.1867	1.1864	1.2568	1.2421	1.238	1.2368
÷,	% Difference			5.9%	4.7%	4.3%	4.2%
	Total	1.2902	1.2882	1.3604	1.3438	1.3507	1.3475
	% Difference			5.4%	4.2%	4.7%	4.4%

<u>Note: No % differences are displayed if they are <= 1.0%</u>

4

## EMISSIONS MEASURES ON 1880 (Excluding Ramps)

ر الر برد ب

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	P2F1F2T3
		Fixed Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp
		(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp, Fixed	Ramp,	Dynamic
MOE	Area Type	(			Signal	Dynamic	Signal
<u></u>						Signal	
	Durol	904	1,018	736	768	769	754
Total CO	Rulai 9/ Difference		12.6%	-18.6%	-15.0%	-15.0%	-16.6%
{Mobile}	% Difference Residential	7,646	7,768	7,698	7,695	7,672	7,618
(Kg)	% Difference		1.6%				•
	70 Difference	8,550	8,786	8,434	8,463	8,441	8,372
	% Difference		2.8%	-1.4%	-1.0%	-1.3%	-2.1%
Total CO	Rural	461	520	384	399	399	392
IEMEAC)	% Difference		12.9%	-16.6%	-13.4%	-13.3%	-14.9%
(Ka)	Residential	3,820	3,872	3,894	3,873	3,862	3,832
(119)	% Difference		1.4%	1.9%	1.4%	1.1%	
	Total	4,281	4,392	4,278	4,272	4,262	4,224
	% Difference		2.6%				-1.3%
Total HC	Rural	71	80	56	59	59	58
{Mobile}	% Difference		12.9%	-20.3%	-16.7%	-16.6%	-18.2%
(Kg)	Residential	575	584	575	576	574	570
	% Difference		1.7%				
	Total	645	664	632	635	633	628
	% Difference		2.9%	-2.1%	-1.6%	-1.9%	-2.7%
Total HC	Rural	36	40	29	31	31	30
EMFAC}	% Difference		10.4%	-20.0%	-15.7%	-15.6%	-17.3%
(Kg)	Residential	305	310	301	304	303	301
	% Difference		1.7%	-1.1%			-1.3%
	Total	341	350	330	334	333	331
	% Difference	100	2.1%	-3.1%	-2.0%	-2.3%	-3.0%
otal NOx		190	197	215	220	220	216
[Mobile}	% Difference	4.005	4.005	9.7%	12.4%	12.5%	10.6%
(Kg)	Residential	1,825	1,825	1,974	1,938	1,935	1,915
	% Dimerence	2.020	0.000	8.2%	6.2%	6.0%	4.9%
		2,020	2,022	2,188	2,158	2,155	2,131
	% Difference			8.3%	6.8%	6.7%	5.5%
		90	92	102	104	104	103
	% Difference	070	1.9%	13.0%	15.4%	15.5%	13.5%
(ry)		870	868	957	935	933	924
	Total	000		10.0%	7.5%	7.3%	6.2%
	% Difference	900	960	1,059	1,040	1,038	1,026
	/ Dinerence			10.3%	8.3%	8.0%	6.9%

## **OPERATIONAL MEASURES ON PARALLEL ARTERIALS**

15.0284

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	P2F1F2T3
	1	Fixed Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp
		(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp. Fixed	Ramp,	Dynamic
MOE	<u>Area Type</u>				Signal	Dynamic	Signal
						Signal	
to VMT	Residential	131,195	131,799	130,467	131,176	130,994	130,841
	% Difference						
	OCBD	89,269	90,703	89,187	89,305	90,700	89,047
	% Difference		1.6%			1.6%	
	Total	220,464	222,503	219,655	220,481	221,694	219,888
	% Difference		004 700				
al Volume	Residential	390,205	391,766	387,718	389,857	389,327	389,081
	% Difference	F45 007	500 700				
	OCBD	515,937	523,790	515,732	516,795	523,858	513,827
	% Difference	000 442	1.5%			1.5%	
	Total	906,142	310,000	903,450	906,652	913,185	902,908
	% Difference		1.0%				
erage		23.7	24.4	24.0	00.0		
eed (MPH)		20.7	3.0%	24.0	23.8	24.6	24.8
	% Dimerence	18.4	19.0	1.5%	10.5	3.7%	4.8%
	OCBD	10.4	3 5%	10.0	18.5	18.7	19.1
	% Dillerence	20.7	21 4	21.0		1.6%	4.0%
	% Difference		3.3%	1 2%	20.9	21.3	21.7
	Residential	1 400	1 218	1 230	1 2551	2.6%	4.4%
	% Difference	1,.00	-13.0%	-12 1%	1,200	1,002	986
	OCBD	2.351	1.730	2 104	2 031	-28.5%	-29.6%
	% Difference	_,,	-26.4%	-10.5%	-13.6%	12,001	1,842
	Total	3,752	2.948	3.334	3 285	-12.0%	-21.7%
	% Difference		-21.4%	-11.1%	-12.4%	-18.6%	-24 69/
al Fuel						-10.078	-24.0 %
ıi)	Residential	1,665	1,774	1,448	1,494	1 550	1 524
	% Difference		6.5%	-13.0%	-10.3%	-6.4%	1,524
	OCBD	2,265	2,257	2,269	2,296	2 525	-0.5%
	% Difference			•	1.4%	11.5%	2,445 7 00/
	Total	3,930	4,031	3,717	3.790	4.084	3 960
	% Difference		2.6%	-5.4%	-3.6%	3.9%	0,005

# SAFETY MEASURES ON PARALLEL ARTERIALS

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	
		Fixed Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	FZF1F213
OE	Area Type	(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp, Fixed	Ramp	Dunania
MOE	<u>Allow Lipe</u>			-	Signal	Dynamic	Dynamic
					<b>J</b>	Signal	Signal
tal Injury	Residential	.0432	.045	.0391			
ncidents /	% Difference		4.1%	-9.5%	-7 4%	.04 14	.0392
wion veh mi)	OCBD	.0486	.0512	.0481	0485	-4.2%	-9.4%
Inc.	% Difference		5.2%	-1 1%	.0400	.0532	.0518
	Total	.0919	.0962	0872	0996	9.4%	6.6%
	% Difference		4.7%	-5.0%	.0000	.0946	.091
IN PDO	Residential	.0601	0626	0546	-3.078		
haidents /	% Difference		4 1%	.0.340	.0562	.059	.0548
Course mi)	осво	.0676	0717	-9.2%	-6.6%	1.8%	-8.9%
HIDH Ven my	% Difference		6 2%	.0009	.0694	.0741	.0733
	Total	1277	1242	-1.0%	2.7%	9.7%	8.5%
	% Difference	.1277	. 1343	.1214	.1255	.1331	.128
			5.2%	-4.9%	-1.7%	4.3%	
	Residential	1022	4070				
ital Accidem	% Difference	. 1033	.1076	.0937	.0962	.1004	.0939
ccidents /		1100	4.1%	-9.3%	-6.9%	-2.8%	-9.1%
lion ven mi)	VCDD	.1162	.1229	.115	.1179	.1274	1251
	Total		5.8%	-1.0%	1.5%	9.6%	7 7%
	10tal	.2195	.2305	.2087	.2141	.2278	210
	% Difference		5.0%	-4.9%	-2.5%	3.8%	13

Note: No % differences are displayed if they are <= 1.0%

ľ

### **EMISSIONS MEASURES ON PARALLEL ARTERIALS**

4

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	P2F1F2T3
	1	Fixed Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp.
	l	(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp, Fixed	Ramp,	Dynamic
MOE	Area Type		-	-	Signal	Dynamic	Signal
						Signal	Ĵ
tol CO	Residential	486	517	419	434	447	438
10tal 00	% Difference		6.4%	-13.8%	-10.7%	-8.0%	-10.0%
(Ka)	OCBD	761	726	763	769	840	814
[19]	% Difference		-4.6%			10.3%	6.9%
	Total	1,248	1,244	1,182	1,203	1,287	1,252
	% Difference			-5.3%	-3.6%	3.1%	
Total CO	Residential	227	224	195	203	210	204
(EMFAC)	% Difference		-1.4%	-14.0%	-10.8%	-7.8%	-10.4%
(Kg)	OCBD	360	342	362	363	397	384
(1.0)	% Difference		-5.0%			10.2%	6.8%
	Total	587	566	558	565	606	588
	% Difference		-3.6%	-5.0%	-3.7%	3.3%	
Total HC	Residential	36	39	31	32	33	33
(Mobile)	% Difference		6.3%	-13.8%	-10.6%	-8.0%	-10.0%
(Kg)	OCBD	55	53	56	56	61	59
	% Difference		-4.3%		1.0%	10.4%	7.1%
	Total	92	92	87	88	95	92
	% Difference			-5.3%	-3.6%	3.1%	
Total HC	Residential	20	22	17	18	19	18
' {EMFAC}	% Difference		8.6%	-13.4%	-10.3%	-6.8%	-8.5%
(Kg)	OCBD	32	30	32	32	35	34
	% Difference		-4.7%		40	9.8%	0.3%
	lotal	51	52	49	49	23	52
	% Difference	50		-5.0%	-3.8%	3.4%	40
Total NOX		52	00	40	40	DC 20	49
{Mobile}	% Difference	57	0.0%	-11.3%	-9.270	-4.3%	-0.0%
(Kg)		57	5 29/	00	200	10 50/	10 02
	Total	100	<u> </u>	402	2.0%	12.3%	10.0%
	lotal	109	611 6 0%	103	100	114 A E9/	1 0%
THENOY	76 Difference	24	0.0%	-0.0%	-3.376	4.3%	1.3%
	Nesidential % Difforence	24	20 6 <i>4</i> %	-12 10/	_0.0%	5.0%	.8.8%
	CRD	20	<u> </u>	-12.1%	-3.3%	-0.0%	-0.0%
(~9)	% Difference	29	2 10/	29	1 00/	12 10/	0 10/
	Total	EA	4.170 EC	E4	1.770 ED	12.470 EC	3.170 EA
	% Difforence	54	00 \00 k	51 _5 50/	-2 A0/	00 A 20/	1 00/
	70 Dinerence		+.U 70	-0.0%	-3.470	4.3%	1.0 %

# **OPERATIONAL MEASURES ON 1880 (Including Ramps)**

		•		<u>Scenario</u>			
MOE	<u>Area Type</u>	P1F1T2 Fixed Signal (Baseline)	P1F1T3 Dynamic Signal	P2F1F2T2 Fixed Ramp, Fixed Signal	P2F1F3T2 Dynamic Ramp, Fixed	P2F1F3T3 Dynamic Ramp,	P2F1F2T3 Fixed Ramp, Dynamic
					Signal	Dynamic	Signal
Total VMT	Rural	241 875	241 975	247 620	0.17.000	Signal	
10.00	% Difference	211,070	271,075	247,032	247,632	247,632	247,632
	Residential	1,215,814	1 215 814	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%
	% Difference		1,210,014	1,204,490	1,234,496	1,234,496	1,234,496
	Total	1.457.690	1 457 690	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
	% Difference	.,,	1,401,000	1,402,120	1,482,128	1,482,128	1,482,128
utel Volume	Rural	240.050	0.10.075	1.7 /0	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%
Total Volume	% Difference	340,059	340,059	367,155	367,155	367,155	367,155
	Residential	2 279 220		8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
	% Difference	2,210,320	2,278,326	2,369,028	2,369,028	2,369,028	2,369,028
	Total	2 649 205	2 640 000	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
	% Difference	2,010,305	2,618,385	2,736,183	2,736,183	2,736,183	2,736,183
werade	i Dinerence			4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%
meed (MPH)	Rural	16 1					
5pccc (	% Difference	40.4	44.4	51.7	51.4	51.4	51.4
	Residential	47 9	-4.3%	11.5%	10.7%	10.8%	10.9%
	% Difference	77.0	47.4	48.0	48.4	48.4	48.3
	Total	47 7	A7 4	1.5%	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%
	% Difference		-1 3%	49.0	48.7	48.8	48.7
Total VHD	Rural	2 269	2 762	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.1%
	% Difference	2,200	2,702	/03	804	803	797
	Residential	3 669	<u> </u>	-00.4%	-64.6%	-64.6%	-64.9%
	% Difference	0,000	12.0%	2,901	2,987	2,974	3,014
	Total <sup></sup>	5.938	6 872	-19.3%	-18.6%	-18.9%	-17.8%
	% Difference	-,	15.7%	3,124	3,791	3,778	3,812
iotal Fuel				-37.3%	-35.2%	-36.4%	-35.8%
Gal)	Rural	3.913	4 095	3 720	0.004		
	% Difference	-1	4 7%	3,729	3,891	3,897	3,823
	Residential	36,933	37 246	-4.7%	20,400		-2.3%
	% Difference		57,240	2 10/	38,189	38,054	37,770
	Total	40.846	41 341	<u> </u>	3.4%	3.0%	2.3%
	% Difference		1.2%	2 6%	42,080	41,951	41,593
			114 70	2.0 70	J.U%	2.7%	1.8%

## SAFETY MEASURES ON 1880 (Including Ramps)

and the second second and the second s

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	P2F1F2T3
		Fixed Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,
NOT	A	(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp, Fixed	Ramp,	Dynamic
MOE	Area Type				Signal	Dynamic	Signal
						Signal	
Total Injury	Rural	.0393	.0389	.0388	.0382	.0425	.0417
accidents /	% Difference		-1.1%	-1.2%	-2.8%	8.1%	6.2%
illion veh mi)	Residential	.4503	.449	.4764	.4693	.468	.4682
	% Difference			5.8%	4.2%	3.9%	4.0%
	Total	.4896	.4879	.5152	.5074	.5105	.51
	% Difference			5.2%	3.7%	4.3%	4.2%
fotal PDO	Rural	.0653	.064	.0654	.0641	.0708	.0695
accidents /	% Difference		-2.0%		-1.8%	8.4%	6.5%
illion veh mi)	Residential	.7506	.7524	.7954	.7873	.7845	.7828
	% Difference			6.0%	4.9%	4.5%	4.3%
	Total	.8159	.8164	.8608	.8514	.8553	.8524
	% Difference			5.5%	4.3%	4.8%	4.5%
		1010	1000	40.40	1000	4400	4440
Total Accident	Rural	.1046	.1029	.1042	.1023	.1133	.1113
accidents /	% Difference		-1.6%		-2.2%	8.3%	6.4%
(nillion veh mi)	Residential	1.2009	1.2015	1.2718	1.2565	1.2526	1.2152
	% Difference			5.9%	4.6%	4.3%	1.2%
	Total	1.3055	1.3043	1.376	1.3588	1.3658	1.3264
	% Difference			5.4%	4.1%	4.6%	1.6%

## EMISSIONS MEASURES ON 1880 (Including Ramps)

				<u>Scenario</u>			
		P1F1T2	P1F1T3	P2F1F2T2	P2F1F3T2	P2F1F3T3	P2F1F2T3
	1	Fixed Signal	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,	Dynamic	Dynamic	Fixed Ramp,
MOE	Area Type	(Baseline)	Signal	Fixed Signal	Ramp, Fixed	Ramp,	Dynamic
MUE	Area Type				Signal	Dynamic	Signal
				i		Signal	
Total CO	Rural	918	1,032	745	776	777	762
{Mobile}	% Difference		12.4%	-18.9%	-15.4%	-15.4%	-17.0%
(Kg)	Residential	7,741	7,868	7,803	7,797	7,775	7,719
	% Difference		1.6%				
	Total	8,659	8,900	8,548	8,574	8,552	8,481
	% Difference		2.8%	-1.3%		-1.2%	-2.1%
Total CO	Rural	468	527	388	403	404	396
{EMFAC}	% Difference		12.7%	-16.9%	-13.8%	-73.7%	-75.3%
(Kg)	Residential	3,869	3,923	3,951	3,928	3,978	3,886
	% Difference		7.4%	2.1%	1.5%	1.3%	4 000
	Total	4,337	4,450	4,340	4,331	4,322	4,282
	% Difference	<b></b>	2.6%				-1.3%
Total HC	Rural	(1	80	57	59	59	58
{Mobile}	% Difference		12.1%	-20.5%	-16.9%	-76.9%	-78.5%
(Kg)	Residential	202	592	583	583	582	5/8
	% Dimerence	652	1.1%	640	C42	CAA	626
		033	D12 2 0%	04U 2 09/	543	04 I 4 0%	2 60/
	% Difference		2.3/0	-2.0%	-1.0 %	-1.0 /0	-2.0 /0
Total HC	Rural	51	40	29	31	31	30
(EMFAC)	% Difference	200	70.2%	-20.2%	-76.0%	-76.0%	-77.0%
(Kg)	Residential	309	314	300	308	307	303
	% Difference	245	1.070	-1.070	220	220	-1.270
		345	200	300	330	చుర 2 20/	300
	% Difference	100	2.1 /0	-3.0 /0	-2.0 /0	-2.3%	-3.0 /0
Total NUX	Rurai	199	200	217	222	222	218
{MODile}	% Difference	1.947	4 040	0.9%	17.5%	77.0%	9.7%
(Kg)	Kesideniiai	1,041	1,040	2,000	1,903	1,901	1,909
	% Difference	2.046	2 0.49	0.3%	0.3%	D. 1%	J.U%
	10tai	2,040	∠,040	2,211	2,100	2,103	2,100
	70 Dillelence	<b></b>		0.070	0.070	0.1 70	5.0 /0
Total NOx	Rural	92	94	103	105	105	104
{EMFAC}	% Difference		1.8%	12.0%	14.4%	14.5%	12.5%
(Kg)	Residential	881	879	970	948	946	936
	% Difference			10.2%	7.6%	7.4%	6.3%
	lotal	973	972	1,073	1,053	1,051	1,039
	1% Difference			10.4%	8.3%	8.1%	6.9%