October 17, 2007



Compiled by Paul W. West Wildlife Biologist Utah Department of Transportation Environmental Services

> 4501 S. 2700 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84114



## WILDLIFE CONNECTIVITY ACROSS UTAH'S HIGHWAYS

Final Report

By

Paul W. West Wetland/Wildlife Biologist

A Report Prepared For

Utah Department of Transportation Project Development Division Environmental Services

## UDOT ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES REPORT ABSTRACT

| 1. Report No. UT-06.09   | 2. Government Accession                           | 3. Recipient's Catalog No. |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 4. Title and Subtitle:   | 5. Report Date: September                         | 6, 2007                    |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Connectivity Across<br>Utah's Highways - Updated  | 6. Performing Organization Code:                  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| <b>7. Author(s):</b><br>Paul W. West<br>UDOT Wildlife Biologist  | 8. Performing Organization Report No.<br>UT-06.09 |                            |  |  |  |  |
| <b>9. Performing Organization Name and Address</b><br>Utah Department of Transportation<br>Environmental Services Division | 10. Work Unit No.                                 |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 4501 South 2700 West - Box 148450<br>Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-8450   | 11. Contract No.                                  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| <b>12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address</b><br>Utah Department of Transportation<br>Environmental Services Division       | 13. Type of Report and Peri                       | od Covered                 |  |  |  |  |
| 4501 South 2700 West - Box 148450<br>Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-8450   | 14. Sponsoring Agency Code                        |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Supplementary Notes:   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |

#### 16. Abstract:

On May 11 and 12, 2004, the Utah Department of Transportation sponsored a workshop to identify major sections of Utah's highways that serve to disrupt wildlife connectivity. This workshop was attended by representatives from the Utah Department of Transportation, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and several private consulting and conservation groups.

During the workshop, and subsequently in some of the DWR offices, 64 separate connectivity zones that are bisected by Utah's highways were identified. From this, it is estimated that 37 miles of Utah's roads and freeways cross through connectivity areas considered critically important to wildlife, 83 miles of roads cross through high priority areas, and 973 miles cross through moderate priority areas.

Information on each of these connectivity zones has been updated. The zone are described in detail in the Appendix at the end of this report

| <b>17. Key Words:</b><br>Wildlife, Connectivity, Li | nkage, Crossing                                   | <b>18. Distribution Statement</b><br>Available: UDOT Environmental Services Division<br>Box 148450<br>Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-8450 |           |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| 19. Security<br>Classification<br>(Of this report)  | 20. Security<br>Classification<br>(Of this report | 21. No. of Pages  | 22. Price |  |  |  |

## DISCLAIMER

The Contents of this report reflects the view of the author who is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Appreciation is given to everyone who attended the Wildlife Connectivity Workshop and offered invaluable expertise and experience to this effort. These include employees of the Utah Department of Transportation (including Environmental Services, Planning, Research, and Regional personnel), Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, U.S. Forest Service, School Institutional Trust Lands Administration, private conservation and consulting groups, and students from Utah State University.

A special thanks to Bill Ruediger, formerly a Wildlife Biologist with the U.S. Forest Service in Montana for outlining the methodology used in this study, and for helping this effort to come together.

Thanks also goes to Dr.s John Bissonette and Patricia Cramer of Utah State University who reviewed the first draft report prior to its publication.

Thanks also to the many UDOT personnel who have reviewed the findings of this report, and provided additional information on wildlife movements and accident locations. Their use of this report will serve to make Utah's roads safer for travelers as well as for wildlife.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| 1. OVERVIEW                       | 1  |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| 2. STUDY METHODOLOGY              | 3  |
| Data Compilation                  | 3  |
| Discussion of Suggested Practices | 4  |
| Fencing                           | 6  |
| Overpass/Underpass with fencing   | 8  |
| Warning Signs                     | 12 |
| Other Important Suggestions       | 12 |
| 3. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS        | 14 |
| REFERENCES                        | 15 |
| APPENDIX                          | 16 |

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

| FIGURE 1  | Wildlife Connectivity Zones In Utah      | 5 |
|-----------|--|---|
| FIGURE 2  | V-Mesh Right-of-Way Fence (Deer Barrier) | 6 |
| FIGURE 3  | Standard Wildlife Escape Ramp            | 7 |
| FIGURE 4  | High Migratory Wildlife Escape Ramp      | 7 |
| FIGURE 5  | Double Cattle Guard                      | 8 |
| FIGURE 6  | Trans-Canada Highway Overpass            | 9 |
| FIGURE 7  | Trans-Canada Highway Bridge Underpass    | 9 |
| FIGURE 8  | I-15 Wildlife Underpass 1                | 0 |
| FIGURE 9  | I-15 Box Culvert 1                       | 0 |
| FIGURE 10 | ) Steel Arch Culvert on I-15 1           | 1 |

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On May 11 and 12, 2004, the Utah Department of Transportation sponsored a workshop to identify major sections of Utah's highways that serve to disrupt wildlife connectivity. This workshop was attended by representatives of the Utah Department of Transportation (including Environmental Services, Planning, Research, and Regional personnel), Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (UDWR), U.S. Forest Service, School Institutional Trust Lands Administration, private conservation and consulting groups, and students from Utah State University.

During the workshop, and subsequently in some of the UDWR offices, 64 separate connectivity zones were identified. These were prioritized based on the professional opinions and experience of biologists who were familiar with the linkage areas. From this, it was estimated that 222 miles of Utah's roads and freeways cross through critically important connectivity zones, 287 miles of roads cross through highly important zones, and 754 miles cross through moderate priority areas.

## **1. OVERVIEW**

The Utah Department of Transportation administers approximately 5,850 miles of highway and freeways, 82% of which bisect rural areas. Increasing population and economic growth have contributed to higher traffic volumes in rural areas as well as in urban areas. As new roads are built, or existing roads widened, this has led to increasing wildlife-related safety problems. Affected wildlife species may be as small as fish, mice, prairie dogs, rabbits, tortoises, etc., or as large as coyotes, deer, elk, and moose. According to Marshik, et. al. (2001), "In the United States, an estimated one million vertebrates – amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals, are killed on roads and highways each day."<sup>1</sup>

When wildlife habitat is bisected by highways, animals still have a need to cross this barrier to access their native habitat. Often, due to roadway width, traffic volumes, or other constraints, they are unable, or worse, unsuccessful and die in their attempt. The bisecting of wildlife habitat by highways causes what is known as "habitat fragmentation." Habitat fragmentation, and the creation of what is termed "fracture zones," can be viewed as a loss of "habitat connectivity." According to Gore, et al. (2001), "Wildlife habitat connectivity is affected by many human activities including highway development, private and public land management practices, open space policies, subdivision policies, road access and densities, and many other factors."<sup>2</sup> This loss in connectivity is one of the major transportation-related issues state and federal DOTs need to address. Wilcox and Murphy (1985) stated, "Habitat fragmentation is the most serious threat to biological diversity...."<sup>3</sup>

Animals crossing roads as they attempt to connect with their natural habitat often pose a safety hazard to motorists as well. Many animals can become trapped on highways by barriers such as jersey barriers, fences, width of pavement, attraction to headlights, etc. Other species such as desert tortoises, amphibians, reptiles, and some small mammals, either fear to cross these barriers, or are physically incapable of doing so safely. Thus, there is a need for some mechanism to assist these species in crossing to connect with their natural ranges.

According to Ruediger (2001), "The primary objective of wildlife and fish crossings is to maintain habitat and population connectivity. For many species, this may require maintaining or simulating the natural functions of their habitat within or on top of traffic crossing structures. Many crossings are designed to facilitate movement of a single or small number of species. Structures would be more functional if connectivity of habitat across highways were given more consideration. More species would be provided for, especially plants, invertebrates, and small animals, if habitat connectivity were at least as important as providing crossings for a few target species. Connectivity of habitat and populations is an ecosystem approach."<sup>4</sup>

## 2. STUDY METHODOLOGY

### **Data Compilation**

On May 11 and 12, 2004, the Utah Department of Transportation sponsored a workshop to identify major sections of Utah's highways that serve to disrupt wildlife connectivity, or pose wildlife-related problems to the traveling public. This workshop was attended by representatives of the Utah Department of Transportation (including Environmental Services, Planning, Research, and Regional personnel), Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (UDWR), U.S. Forest Service, School Institutional Trust Lands Administration, private conservation and consulting groups, and students from Utah State University.

The objectives of this workshop included:

- 1. Identify where wildlife linkage zones cross Utah's road system.
- 2. Identify species involved in these linkage zones.
- 3. Suggest possible solutions to habitat fragmentation.

For this meeting, large (44" x 48") maps of Utah's highway and freeway system for each UDOT region were made available for marking of connectivity areas across these roads. Data sheets were also made available for note taking and identification of the problems exhibited in the connectivity zones. Participants were separated into six groups, based roughly on UDOT's six regions and districts.

The methodology for this analysis was suggested by Bill Ruedigar, Wildlife Biologist with the U.S. Forest Service in Montana. In this analysis, several key questions were asked on the data sheets:

- Linkage Name
- UDOT Region/District
- Highway or Route Number
- Mileposts
- Conservation Issues Involved
- Species of Concern in each linkage area.
- Comments and/or recommendation

Priorities were assigned to each connectivity zone based on the participants' knowledge of the locales, ecosystems, resident species, habitats, kinds of problems, etc. Priorities were then classified as critical, high, or moderate. The resulting data and information were then compiled and digitized into a GIS format.

### **Discussion of Suggested Practices**

During the workshop, and subsequently in some of the UDWR offices, 64 separate connectivity zones were identified. These were prioritized based on the professional opinions and experience of biologists who were familiar with the linkage zones. From this, it was estimated that 222 miles of Utah's roads and freeways cross through critically important connectivity zones, 287 miles of roads cross through highly important zones, and 754 miles cross through moderate priority areas (see Figure 1 below).



FIGURE 1 Wildlife Connectivity Zones In Utah

Each of these connectivity zones is described in detail in the Appendix. Recommendations to mitigate for habitat fragmentation, or to solve wildlife-related safety issues, generally fall into several categories. Fencing, including wildlife escape ramps, was the measure most often recommended. Wildlife crossings, and warning signs, including infrared sensors, were also commonly suggested. Below is an explanation of the recommendations that were offered.

#### Fencing

By far, most of the suggestion practices to protect wildlife involved maintaining and/or installing wildlife exclusionary fencing.

For deer and elk, this should be what UDOT calls a "Type G, Deer Barrier" fence. This fencing should be made with "V"-mesh wire fabric, minimally eight feet tall as shown in Figure 2.



FIGURE 2 V-Mesh Right-of-Way Fence (Deer Barrier)

All deer/elk proof fencing must include earthen escape ramps (Figures 3 & 4) to allow animals caught on the right-of-way, some avenue of escape.



FIGURE 3 Standard Wildlife Escape Ramp



FIGURE 4 High Migratory Wildlife Escape Ramp

For antelope on rural routes with low ADT, UDOT should use a standard 4½ foot, barbed wire fence, using only 4 strands of wire, with a smooth bottom wire 18 inches above the ground. This would allow pronghorn to crawl under the fence to connect with their habitat across the highway.

To be effective, fences need to be maintained annually and gates need to be kept closed, or replaced by double cattle guards, or cattle guards modified for deer (see example Figure 5).



#### FIGURE 5 Double Cattle Guard

#### Overpass/Underpass with fencing

Closely associated with fencing is the need for overpasses or underpasses to facilitate wildlife movement across highways. These are especially important in high migration areas where animals need to cross roads to access their summer and winter ranges.

To be effective, such structures normally require fencing to funnel wildlife through underpasses, and even with that, some animals will still refuse to go through them.

Several types of crossing structures can be used. These include landscaped overpasses, such as those on the Trans-Canada Highway near Banff, Canada, (Figure 6), bridges (Figures 7 & 8), box culverts (Figure 9), and elliptical or steel arch culverts (Figure 10). Generally, overpasses work best for most species, but underpasses can work well if properly designed.



FIGURE 6 Trans-Canada Highway Overpass



FIGURE 7 Trans-Canada Highway Bridge Underpass



FIGURE 8 I-15 Wildlife Underpass



#### FIGURE 9 I-15 Box Culvert



#### FIGURE 10 Steel Arch Culvert on I-15

With the exception of overpasses, bridges that are wide at the top and narrower at the bottom, as shown above in Figures 7 and 8 above seem to work best for most wildlife because of their naturalness and openness. Deer tend to use the sloped sides underneath the bridge, rather than the floor, while Elk seem to prefer the floor.

Culverts, whether boxes or steel arches, can also be used for wildlife crossings, but recent research from the Arizona Department of Transportation suggests they may not work well for  $elk^{5,6}$ . To be successful, they should be designed at least 9 feet high for deer and 16 feet high for elk, with an aspect/length index of 2.7 (English measuring units, or 0.9 metric) or greater. This means the square dimension of the opening should be at least 2.7 times the length of the structure.

 $\frac{\text{Width x Height}}{\text{Length}} = 2.7 \text{ or greater}$ 

Where possible, daylighting of the culverts in the center medians can also help deer to overcome their fear of a new structure.

Other important factors influencing the success of wildlife crossing structures include position in the horizon, landscaping, the degree of human presence, and noise.

Structures should be designed so that animals can see the horizon at the far end of the structure. They should also have abundant cover such as boulders, shrubs and trees, to reduce animals' perceived exposure to predators. Human presence and high noise levels can reduce the success of these structures.

#### Warning Signs

Many of the workshop participants suggested using warning signs to alert motorists to the presence of wildlife in the right-of-way.

A common comment, however, is that drivers can become habituated to signs. To be effective, they should be large and eye catching, possibly with flashing lights. Preferably, they should only be used seasonally when animals are migrating in the fall and spring.

Other innovations include infrared or camera activated warning signs. Variable message signs can be effectively used with these systems. When animals wander onto the right-of-way, these sensors would detect their movements and trigger flashing lights on warning signs. Another variation is to place video cameras along critical stretches of highway that would take video photographs of the animals and relay these to a screen that motorists can view as they drive past a monitor.

#### Other Important Suggestions

Reduction of speed limits may help in some instances as well. Where sight distance is limited by poor geometrical design, or heavy vegetation against the right-of-way, reduced speed limits can help reduce accidents.

Roadside vegetation management, especially when coupled with water development, can also have a positive effect on wildlife mortality on highways. Keeping the right-of-way mowed and cleared of brush helps motorists to see animals that may be ready to jump in front of a vehicle.

Often, the reason wildlife cross highways may be to access water. Development of new water sources, such as guzzlers, may help to reduce this need.

## **3. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

In the following appendix, maps of the UDOT regions and districts show the known wildlife connectivity areas. Following the maps are tables giving specific details and suggested solutions and recommendations for each wildlife connectivity area.

Emphasis must be placed on encouraging UDOT's planners and engineers to incorporate wildlife mitigation measures into new highway/freeway designs, including exclusionary fencing with escape ramps, crossing structures, signage, etc. Highways should not become a barrier to wildlife movement.

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> Marshik, Joel, Lyle Renz, Jim Sipes, Dale Becker, and Dale Paulson. 2001. <u>Preserving a Spirit</u> of Place: U. S. Highway 93 on the Flathead Indian Reservation. In: Proceedings of the 2001 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, 249.
- <sup>2</sup> Gore, James F., J.J. Claar, and B. Ruediger. 2001. <u>Why Did The Bear Cross The Road? It</u> <u>Didn't</u>! In: Proceedings of the 2001 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, 595-602.
- <sup>3</sup> Wilcox, B.A. and D.D. Murphy. 1985. <u>Conservation strategy: the effects of fragmentation on extinction</u>. American Naturalist 125: pp 879-887.
- <sup>4</sup> Ruediger, Bill. 2001. <u>High, Wide, and Handsome: Designing More Effective Wildlife and Fish</u> <u>Crossings for Roads and Highways</u>. In: Proceedings of the 2001 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, 509-516.
- <sup>5</sup> Dodd, Norris L., Jeffrey W. Gagnon, Ray E. Schweinsburg. <u>Evaluation Of Measures To</u> <u>Minimize Wildlife-Vehicle Collisions And Maintain Wildlife Permeability Across</u> <u>Highways In Arizona, USA</u>. In: Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, 353-354.
- <sup>6</sup> Gagnon, Jeffrey W., Norris L. Dodd, et. al. <u>Use Of Video Surveillance To Assess Wildlife</u> <u>Behavior And Use Of Wildlife Underpasses In Arizona</u>. In: Proceedings of the 2005 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, 534-544.

**APPENDIX** 

## **UDOT REGION ONE**



| Priority | Linkage | Name  | Route           | Reference                | Conservation  | Species of                               | Comments   | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|          | Area    |   |                 | Posts                    | Issue   | Concern                                  |  |   |
| Critical | 1-01    | Plymouth<br>Area                                | I-15            | 392 to 401               | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands  | Deer                                     | Mostly private land<br>along I-15, but high<br>deer kill. Mostly grain<br>fields.  | Need deer-proof fencing<br>with escape ramps and<br>some kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or so                 |
| High     | 1-02    | Snowville<br>Area, Utah<br>and Idaho            | I-84            | 0 to 16                  | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*State Sensitive<br>Species<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands<br>Highway safety | Badger<br>Deer<br>Sage Grouse<br>Raptors | Migratory corridor for<br>deer. Badger and sage<br>grouse habitat on both<br>sides of highway.<br>Public lands on both<br>sides of highway   | Need to fence both sides<br>of freeway with escape<br>ramps and some kind of<br>crossing structure every<br>mile or so. |
| Critical | 1-03    | Brigham<br>City South                           | U.S. 89         | 417 to 434               | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety  | Deer                                     | Nuisance deer herd,<br>road safety   | Need deer-proof fencing<br>with escape ramps  |
| Moderate | 1-04    | Highway 39                                      | SR-39           | 12 to 19                 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety  | Deer                                     | None offered   | Seasonal warning signs might help.  |
| Moderate | 1-05    | Highway 89                                      | U.S. 89<br>I-15 | 388 to 416<br>318 to 326 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety  | Deer                                     | Deer killed while<br>crossing highway.<br>Some are urban resident<br>deer while some are<br>migrating down from<br>mountains to winter<br>near Jordan River.<br>Problem area w/houses,<br>road, RR crossings, etc.<br>Jersey barriers also<br>appear to a problem by<br>trapping raccoons<br>crossing. | Modify barriers.  |
| Moderate | 1-06    | Honeyville<br>to Dewyville                      | SR-38           | 0 to 7                   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety  | Deer                                     | None offered   | Seasonal warning signs might help   |
| Moderate | 1-07    | Riverdale to<br>South<br>Weber –<br>Uintah Area | I-84            | 81 to 88                 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety  | Deer                                     | Deer are killed crossing<br>the highway - resident<br>deer live below Hill Air<br>Force Base bluff, and<br>sub-divisions and<br>fields. They seem to   | Speed Limits?<br>Infrared Sensors?  |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                  | Route   | Reference | Conservation                 | Species of | Comments   | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|------------------------------|------------|--|--|
|          | Area    |                       |         | Posts     | Issue                        | Concern    |  |  |
|          |         |                       |         |           |                              |            | cross to the riparian<br>habitats. Concern is<br>more for highway<br>safety issues than<br>connectivity issues –<br>approximately 25 - 40<br>deer are killed each<br>year.   |  |
| Moderate | 1-08    | Trappers<br>Loop Road | SR-167  | 4 to 7    | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Moose      | Morgan County portion<br>of Trappers Loop Road<br>is worse than the Weber<br>County portion.<br>Approximately 15<br>moose are killed every<br>year in this area.<br>Yearlong residents so<br>no real migration<br>issues. This may get<br>worse with<br>developments proposed<br>for this area. The whole<br>road has problems, but<br>most are killed in the 3<br>– 4 mile stretch.<br>Situation may get worse<br>as more sub-divisions<br>are developed and<br>animals are forced to<br>move more often to find<br>better habitat. Infrared<br>sensors that could let<br>drivers know an animal<br>is in the vicinity might<br>help. | Suggest seasonal warning<br>signs, and lower speed<br>limits where moose are a<br>problem. |
| High     | 1-09    | Sardine<br>Canyon     | U.S. 91 | 3 to 9    | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer       | Deer are still accessing<br>busy corridor. Heavy   | Better fence maintenance   |
|          |         |                       |         |           |                              |            | snow causes problems to fence and deer are   | Retrofit existing<br>underpasses to encourage  |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                                       | Route | Reference  | Conservation                 | Species of  | Comments   | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|--|-------|------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| -        | Area    |  |       | Posts      | Issue                        | Concern   |  |   |
|          |         |  |       |            |                              |   | moving just when snow<br>melts so no time to<br>maintain fence<br>properly. An important<br>navigation corridor  | deer use<br>Add cattle guards to gates<br>which are constantly left<br>open   |
|          |         |  |       |            |                              |   |  | Replace/remove/return<br>gates near Mantua that<br>don't close behind deer<br>and that allow other deer<br>to access/re-access<br>highway   |
| High     | 1-10    | Garden City                                | SR-30 | 109 to 114 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer  | High traffic area. 15 to<br>20 deer killed/year. A<br>group of resident deer<br>cross highway on<br>evenings to drink from<br>Bear Lake.                                     | Signs would probably<br>work best.  |
| Moderate | 1-11    | Outside<br>Evanston                        | SR-16 | 0 to 8     | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Pronghorn   | Antelope are killed due<br>to net wire fencing on<br>both sides of highway.  | 4-strand barbed wire,<br>smooth bottom strand<br>about 16" above ground.  |
| High     | 1-12    | Mountain<br>Green, to<br>Echo<br>Junction. | I-84  | 88 to 119  | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer<br>Elk<br>Fish<br>Songbirds<br>Amphibians<br>Small &<br>medium | R.P. 112-120 elk hot<br>spot.<br>R.P. 149-156 deer hot<br>spot.<br>Yearlong mortality, but   | Please give this some<br>serious thoughts –<br>especially with Governor<br>Walker's Waterbody<br>Program!<br>We could at least improve  |
|          |         |  |       |            |                              | sized<br>wildlife   | kill increases during<br>migration.<br>About 300 deer are<br>killed per year in this<br>area during a normal,<br>average snow year.<br>More during heavy<br>winters periods. | aquatic habitat with cross<br>vanes and log (large<br>woody) structures.<br>Another good idea for a<br>collaborative effort.<br>Could Jersey barriers<br>either be removed or<br>modified with holes<br>underneath that would |

| Priority | Linkage | Name            | Route | Reference                                 | Conservation   | Species of        | Comments   | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|-----------------|-------|---|--|-------------------|--|---|
|          | Area    |                 |       | Posts                                     | Issue  | Concern           |  |   |
|          |         |                 |       |   |  |                   | was straightened<br>resulting in stream<br>degradation in the<br>straightened segments<br>and aggradations and<br>lateral erosion in<br>downstream reaches.<br>Currently, most stream<br>rehabilitation efforts are<br>being funded by<br>sportsman's dollars.<br>Good floodplain<br>connectivity will also<br>reduce nearby flooding,<br>protect people, home,<br>and highway, and<br>reduce roadbed erosion. |   |
| Moderate | 1-13    | Deweyville      | SR-38 | 11 to 16                                  | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety                                     | Deer              | Deer migrate from<br>Wellesville to Bear<br>River floodplain/valley<br>floor. Winter problem;<br>road is at the edge of<br>their winter range.   | *Slow speed to 45 mph or<br>less (it is a residential<br>area)  |
| Moderate | 1-14    | Grouse<br>Creek | SR-30 | 3 to 6<br>9 to 33<br>47 to 56<br>62 to 88 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Pronghorn<br>Deer | Annual migration<br>routes.<br>Fences are being built<br>now where there have<br>not been any fences.  | Require fencing with<br>raised (14-16") smooth<br>bottom wires. <u>No net</u><br><u>wire!</u> Height should be<br>42".<br>All new fences must meet<br>above specs. Height is<br>51" – 54" where they are<br>putting in fences now.<br>*Modify existing fence<br>from 54" to 42" |

| Priority | Linkage | Name      | Route | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                         | Comments   | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|-----------|-------|------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| _        | Area    |           |       | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                            |  |  |
| Moderate | 1-15    | Corinne   | SR-13 | 6 to 7     | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety                                     | Deer                               | 20 to 30 deer killed per<br>year from 2600 West to<br>Corinne (3800 West).<br>Resident deer travel<br>corridors of the Bear<br>River drainages and<br>slough.  | Lights or sensors  |
| Moderate | 1-16    | Snowville | I-84  | 16 to 39   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk<br>Short-eared<br>Owls | Deer migration from ID<br>into UT for the winter.<br>10% of deer population<br>is killed from Nov to<br>March. Deer migrate<br>from Idaho to Utah to<br>winter. Significant Elk<br>winter range north of I-<br>84. | Fencing and Overpasses<br>Large Flashing Signs   |
| Moderate | 1-17    | Plymouth  | I-15  | 382 to 392 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety                                     | Deer                               | 10 to 20 deer killed<br>annually between R.P.<br>384 & 390 – Malad<br>River Corridor. These<br>are mostly resident<br>deer. Some winter<br>migration occurs<br>between R.P. 384 &<br>390.                          | Need deer-proof fencing<br>with escape ramps and<br>some kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or so. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                | Route | Reference  | Conservation                 | Species of | Comments  | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|---------------------|-------|------------|------------------------------|------------|---|--|
|          | Area    |                     |       | Posts      | Issue                        | Concern    |   |  |
| Moderate | 1-18    | East of<br>Woodruff | SR-39 | 62 to 63   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer       | <ul> <li>15 – 20 killed per year.</li> <li>Deer cross highway in<br/>mornings and evenings<br/>to feed in adjacent<br/>fields. Deer are present<br/>during winter and early<br/>spring and then migrate<br/>to the top of Monte<br/>Cristo. Some deer are<br/>resident year round.</li> <li>This herd unit is under<br/>objective; we don't<br/>want to further reduce</li> </ul>                               | Seasonal, flashing<br>warning signs might help.  |
| Moderate | 1-19    | Laketown<br>Canyon  | SR-30 | 119 to 128 | Big Game<br>Highway Safety   | Deer       | <ul> <li>#'s.</li> <li>Migration route for<br/>deer, cross in Laketown<br/>Canyon. Winter range<br/>is on both sides of<br/>canyon (steep) so<br/>animals are frequently<br/>on the road and are<br/>killed. 100+ deer are<br/>killed/year (mainly<br/>fall/winter kills)</li> <li>Cache deer herd unit.<br/>Herd unit is under<br/>objective and sportsmen<br/>want us to increase herd<br/>numbers</li> </ul> | Code deer herd area.<br>We asked UDOT to sign<br>this canyon 1½ - 2 years<br>ago and we were told that<br>signs in this area were not<br>a priority for UDOT.<br>Overpass would make the<br>most sense.<br>Could fence draw to force<br>animals to cross in a<br>different area, but this<br>may more widely disperse<br>animals and cause more<br>problems. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name       | Route   | Reference  | Conservation                 | Species of | Comments   | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|------------|---------|------------|------------------------------|------------|--|---|
|          | Area    |            |         | Posts      | Issue                        | Concern    |  |   |
| Moderate | 1-20    | Huntsville | SR-39   | 20 to 23   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer       | 50 to 75 deer killed<br>each year  | A few years ago, UDOT<br>put up flashing signs. This<br>has seemed to help reduce |
|          |         |            |         |            |                              |            | Deer cross between<br>Monastery & Green<br>Hills Subdivisions.   | mortality.  |
|          |         |            |         |            |                              |            | Migrating animals<br>mostly (spring & fall)<br>but some yearlong<br>issues.  |   |
| Moderate | 1-21    | Logan      | U.S. 89 | 474 to 499 | *Big Game                    | Deer       | Tony Grove turnoff   | Slow people down!   |
|          |         | Canyon     |         |            | *Highway Safety              | Elk        | area (the large flat)<br>Just west of Garden<br>City (where<br>switchbacks & flat<br>areas are)<br>East of Logan – John<br>Bissionnette was going<br>to ask the lady doing<br>the doe study what type<br>of mortality she had on<br>her collared deer. | Flashing lights may work.   |
|          |         |            |         |            |                              |            | Deer are resident; elk are more seasonal.  |   |
|          |         |            |         |            |                              |            | Tony Grove area is a<br>summer range area so<br>movements are across<br>the highway.   |   |
|          |         |            |         |            |                              |            | Garden City area is<br>winter range area. Elk<br>feed in raspberry fields,<br>then cross highway   |   |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                      | Route   | Reference | Conservation                 | Species of  | Comments   | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|---------------------------|---------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| _        | Area    |                           |         | Posts     | Issue                        | Concern     |  |  |
| Moderate | 1-22    | Smithfield<br>to Richmond | U.S. 91 | 35 to 39  | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer<br>Elk | Seasonal migrations for<br>deer & elk (spring &<br>fall). Some deer<br>become resident and<br>become a yearlong<br>problem (dairies &<br>haystacks). Animals are<br>coming from USFS<br>lands and cross to the<br>Bear River floodplain.<br>Depending upon snow<br>amount, could have<br>hundreds killed during<br>a season. | Work on highway is<br>starting now for road<br>widening, so something<br>should be done now. Not<br>sure what the solution is! |
|          |         |                           |         |           |                              |             | These are in the Cache<br>Valley deer herd which<br>is under objective &<br>sportsmen want UDWR<br>to increase herd #'s.   |  |

## **UDOT REGION TWO**



| Priority | Linkage | Name   | Route                       | Reference                    | Conservation                 | Species of           | Comments  | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|
|          | Area    |  |                             | Posts                        | Issue                        | Concern              |   |  |
| High     | 2-02    | Echo<br>Junction   | I-80/<br>I-84               | 167 to 169/<br>119 to 120    | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer<br>Elk<br>Moose | Deer are being killed<br>crossing interchange, 2<br>freeways. Large<br>interchange for I-80 & I-<br>84.   | Possibly the best<br>solution is to fence off<br>the entire interchange,<br>forcing animals to cross<br>where the right-of-way<br>is narrower.   |
| High     | 2-03    | Jordanelle   | SR-248                      | 3 to 12                      | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer                 | Winter range to summer<br>range. Road bisects<br>passage. Current<br>crossings could be made<br>more effective and longer.<br>This is the area where<br>deer proof fences and<br>"bubblers" were put in to<br>funnel deer across the<br>highway. Deer are still<br>being killed in this area. | Area should be<br>modified and<br>underpasses/ overpasses<br>installed.  |
| Moderate | 2-04    | Mouth of<br>Parleys to<br>Mouth of<br>Little<br>Cottonwood<br>Canyon | I-215/<br>SR-190/<br>SR-210 | 1 to 7/<br>0 to 2/<br>0 to 4 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer<br>Elk<br>Moose | I-215 separates winter and<br>summer ranges. Mouth of<br>Parley's is a large<br>interchange with steep<br>road cuts. Urban with<br>development on both<br>sides of road. A real big<br>challenge.   | Possibly the only thing<br>to do is fence off the<br>entire interchange,<br>forcing animals up or<br>down the ROW where<br>an easier crossing might<br>be facilitated. I-215/SR-<br>210 to mouth of Little<br>Cottonwood Canyon. |
| Moderate | 2-05    | Stockton to<br>Tooele  | SR-36                       | 46 to 52                     | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer<br>Elk          | Deer & Elk use these<br>lower hills in some<br>winters. Bull elk have<br>habituated to the roadside<br>causing problems   | Suggest motion sensing<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might put up deer-proof<br>fencing along some<br>portions.  |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                   | Route  | Reference | Conservation  | Species of  | Comments                                       | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|------------------------|--------|-----------|---|---|--|--|
|          | Area    |                        |        | Posts     | Issue   | Concern   |  |  |
| Moderate | 2-06    | Rush Valley            | SR-36  | 0 to 46   | *Big Game<br>*State or Federal<br>Sensitive Species | Pronghorn   |  | Highway fencing<br>should be modified to<br>meet the needs for<br>antelope movement.<br>Minimum 16" lower<br>clearance and smooth<br>wire. See UDWR for<br>details |
| Moderate | 2-07    | Skull Valley           | SR-196 | 0 to 37   | *Big Game<br>*State or Federal<br>Sensitive Species | Pronghorn   |  | Highway fence should<br>meet standards for<br>antelope movement.<br>16" clearance below the<br>bottom wire. Smooth<br>wire.  |
| Moderate | 2-08    | Mirror Lake<br>Highway | SR-150 | 0 to 55   | *Big Game<br>*State or Federal<br>Sensitive Species | Deer<br>Elk<br>Moose<br>Bear<br>Cougar<br>Bobcat<br>Wolf? | Highway bisects large tract of forested lands. | The highway should not<br>become a barrier in the<br>future.   |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                 | Route | Reference  | Conservation                                  | Species of  | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|----------------------|-------|------------|---|---|---|---|
|          | Area    |                      |       | Posts      | Issue   | Concern   |   |   |
| Moderate | 2-09    | Lower Echo<br>Canyon | I-80  | 167 to 190 | *Big Game<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk<br>Moose<br>Fish<br>Songbirds<br>Amphibians | Historically, a significant<br>amount of herbicide,<br>sprayed along I-80, has<br>drifted into the riparian<br>area. This was a<br>significant factor in our<br>loss of woody vegetation,<br>and potentially continues<br>to limit re-vegetation<br>efforts. Perhaps a<br>combined effort on this<br>stream to restore the                          | Reduce spraying<br>activities to those<br>immediately necessary<br>adjacent to the roadbed.<br>Reduce herbicide drift.<br>Having stable stream<br>channels also saves<br>UDOT money on less<br>potential flooding of<br>roadways and erosion<br>into embankments. |
|          |         |                      |       |            |   |   | stream channel and<br>riparian vegetation would<br>be appropriate. This is a<br>major gateway into the<br>state from the east. It<br>seems like it would<br>behoove the state to have<br>a properly functioning<br>stream with adequate<br>habitat for aquatic and<br>terrestrial animals as the<br>first thing people see<br>when they enter Utah. | Using less herbicide<br>saves state money.<br>It would be great if<br>UDOT could participate<br>in proposed restoration<br>efforts.   |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                             | Route  | Reference<br>Bosts | Conservation   | Species of | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--|------------|---|---|
| Moderate | 2-10    | Jordan River<br>at 9000<br>South | SR-209 | 6 to 8             | *Big Game<br>*Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer       | This area is just east of<br>the Jordan River. There<br>were commercial<br>greenhouses at the SE<br>corner that had been there<br>for years. Large trees<br>bordered them on the east.<br>In the last 3 years, as the<br>greenhouses were<br>removed and an office<br>building and large box<br>store constructed, 2 does<br>and a buck, plus a skunk<br>have died trying to cross<br>9000 South Street. There<br>is still some riparian<br>habitat left, though it's<br>vanishing. | Suggest UDWR trap<br>and relocate animals.  |
| Moderate | 2-11    | Wanship                          | SR-32  | 22 to 29           | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety                             | Deer       | 75 – 100 deer killed/year.<br>Spring and summer<br>mortality as they come<br>down to drink at reservoir<br>(Rockport)   | Develop water sources<br>on west side of levy to<br>keep deer on west side.<br>Deer crossing signs. |

## **UDOT REGION THREE**



| Priority | Linkage | Name                                  | Route  | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of   | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------|--|--|---|---|
|          | Area    |                                       |        | Posts      | Issue  | Concern  |   |   |
| Critical | 3-01    | Spanish<br>Fork<br>Canyon             | U.S. 6 | 183 to 208 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Federal T&E<br>Species<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk<br>Cougar<br>Ute Ladies<br>Tresses<br>Clay<br>Phacelia<br>Black Bear<br>Wild Turkey<br>Moose | Big game herds have<br>both mass migration<br>and static movements<br>across the highway.<br>Spiranthes diluvialis<br>occurs along lower<br>sections of Soldier<br>Creek and could be<br>impacted by highway<br>projects.<br>Great Western Trail is<br>disjunct at the highway.<br>This is an important<br>seasonal migration area<br>for big game and their<br>predators. Deer & elk<br>move into this area in<br>late fall & again in the<br>spring. During this<br>time, there are daily<br>movements back and<br>forth. With improved<br>road conditions and<br>increased traffic levels,<br>this is becoming one of<br>the most serious<br>wildlife connectivity | Need wildlife crossings,<br>fencing, escape ramps   |
| Critical | 3-02    | Mouth of<br>Spanish<br>Fork<br>Canyon | U.S. 6 | 178 to 183 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands                            | Deer<br>Elk<br>Cougar<br>Bear  | Herds winter in the<br>lower hills and move<br>about during this time.<br>The cougar follow the<br>deer.  | Need deer-proof<br>fencing with escape<br>ramps and some kind of<br>crossing structure every<br>mile or so. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name              | Route   | Reference                | Conservation  | Species of                     | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|-------------------|---------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
|          | Area    |                   |         | Posts                    | Issue   | Concern                        |   |   |
| Critical | 3-03    | Deer Creek        | U.S.189 | 8 to 12<br>&<br>16 to 27 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species | Deer<br>Elk<br>Sage Grouse     | Deer and elk winter in<br>the surrounding hills<br>and cross the highway<br>creating a hazard.<br>Sage grouse habitat is<br>of critical concern due<br>to declining<br>populations.<br>2004 road kill data<br>suggests that 92 mule<br>deer kills occurred<br>between mileposts 8 to<br>11, and milepost 26 had<br>30 deer kills. | Impacts to land should<br>be minimized.   |
| High     | 3-04    | Jordan<br>Narrows | SR-68   | 29 to 43                 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species | Deer                           | Resident deer<br>population is safety<br>hazard on road. 14 deer<br>kills were recorded<br>along SR-68 in 2004.   | Signs and flashers have<br>had little change to the<br>situation.   |
| High     | 3-05    | Jordanelle        | U.S. 40 | 2 to 15                  | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species | Deer<br>Elk<br>Moose<br>Marmot | A single herd of deer<br>that seasonally migrates<br>from higher range in<br>Park City to lower<br>range in Jordanelle in<br>the Fall, causes a safety<br>hazard.   | Existing crosswalks<br>don't work.<br>Current crossings could<br>be made more effective<br>and lengthen the area<br>where crossings are<br>installed. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name     | Route  | Reference | Conservation      | Species of | Comments                  | Recommendations          |
|----------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| -        | Area    |          |        | Posts     | Issue             | Concern    |                           |                          |
| Critical | 3-06    | Fountain | SR-132 | 35 to 51  | *Big Game         | Deer       | This is a small 2-lane    | Suggest seasonal,        |
|          |         | Green    |        |           | *Highway Safety   |            | highway with little       | flashing warning signs.  |
|          |         |          |        |           | *Other State      |            | traffic but lots of road  | Perhaps look into        |
|          |         |          |        |           | Sensitive Species |            | kill. Deer winter in the  | installing deer-proof    |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | valley and in the         | fencing with escape      |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | Spring. In 2004, 23 big   | ramps and some kind of   |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | game animals were         | crossing structure every |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | killed between            | mile or so.              |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | reference posts 35 to     |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | 37, while 63 were killed  |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | between 47 to 51. This    |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | area is a major           |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | wintering hub for mule    |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | deer that come from       |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | several management        |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | units. As a result, we    |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | feel that the priority of |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | this linkage should be    |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | elevated from high to     |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | critical as approx. 250   |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | big game animals were     |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | killed between            |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | reference posts 35 to 51  |                          |
|          |         |          |        |           |                   |            | in 2004 alone.            |                          |

| Priority | Linkage         | Name                       | Route | Reference              | Conservation   | Species of  | Comments   | Recommendations  |
|----------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|
|          | Area            |                            |       | Posts                  | Issue  | Concern     |  |  |
| Moderate | Area       3-07 | Santaquin to<br>Mills Jct. | I-15  | Posts       202 to 248 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk | This entire segment is<br>with Type G deer<br>barrier fence. A<br>DRAFT report titled:<br>"Juab Valley Wildlife<br>Conservation Project"<br>has been prepared and<br>requires internal review<br>prior to release and<br>submission. Will be<br>available to UDOT in<br>the near future.<br>Major problem is<br>maintenance of deer<br>and elk east-to-west<br>migration across Juab<br>Valley. Private irrigated<br>lands, big game<br>depredations, illegal<br>cross fences, and other<br>barriers inside<br>otherwise underpass<br>structures. Previous<br>fires, and <u>no</u> CUP water | Need to discuss before<br>any actions are taken on<br>the ground with private<br>landowners.<br>Urgent need for fence<br>along big game<br>migration corridors to<br>get animals across<br>croplands to their<br>ancestral winter range<br>and return to summer<br>range annually. |
|          |                 |                            |       |                        |  |             | magnify the<br>management problems   |  |
|          |                 |                            |       |                        |  |             | range deterioration.   |  |

| Priority | Linkage<br>Area | Name              | Route                     | Reference<br>Posts               | Conservation<br>Issue   | Species of<br>Concern   | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| High     | 3-08            | Alpine<br>Highway | SR-92                     | 0 to 7                           | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species                                     | Deer  | Urbanization from all<br>directions has<br>consumed deer winter<br>range. Increased traffic<br>has resulted in<br>increased deer hits.<br>Within 10 years, the<br>area will be developed<br>and only a small urban<br>population of deer will<br>exist. | Increase flashers and signs temporarily   |
| High     | 3-09            | Daggett<br>County | U.S.191<br>SR-44<br>SR-43 | 353 to 404<br>0 to 28<br>0 to 11 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk<br>Moose<br>Bighorn<br>Sheep<br>Cougar<br>Black Bear<br>Wolverine<br>(possible) | Highways 191, 44, and<br>43 bisect important<br>large tracts of public<br>lands   | Improvements to these<br>roads should not restrict<br>movements of wildlife<br>or fish species  |
| High     | 3-11            | Birdseye          | U.S. 89                   | 299 to 304                       | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands                                      | Deer  | 58 big game road kills<br>were recorded along<br>this 5-mile stretch in<br>2004.  | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                   | Route   | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                                   | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|------------|--|--|---|---|
|          | Area    |                        |         | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                                      |   |   |
| High     | 3-14    | Levan                  | SR-28   | 26 to 31   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety                                   | Deer   | The highest number of<br>road kills occurred<br>between this 5-mile<br>stretch (58), although a<br>fairly steady number of<br>road kills occurs all<br>along SR-28 from<br>Nephi at reference post<br>38 to 16 at the<br>Juab/Sanpete County<br>line. | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |
| Moderate | 3-15    | Strawberry<br>to Myton | U.S. 40 | 42 to 105  | *Big Game<br>Highway Safety<br>Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk?                                 |   | Revise exact mileposts<br>and possible elk<br>problems with Steve<br>Brayton with UDWR in<br>Vernal.  |
| High     | 3-16    | Daniel's<br>Canyon     | U.S. 40 | 22 to 42   | *Big Game<br>Highway Safety<br>Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk                                  | Big game use this area<br>as migration routes<br>going higher<br>summer/transitional<br>ranges to wintering<br>areas.   | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |
| Moderate | 3-17    | Avinaquin<br>Ridge     | Ū.S.191 | 260 to 264 | *Big Game<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                  | Cougar<br>Black Bear<br>Deer<br>Elk<br>Moose | This is a medium<br>priority area. However,<br>it does present a<br>connectivity issue for<br>large predators, and to a<br>lesser extent, big game,<br>crossing the highway<br>following Avinaquin<br>Ridge. Current traffic<br>levels are low.       | Suggest deer-proof<br>fencing with wildlife<br>crossing structure.  |

## **UDOT REGION FOUR**

# Wildlife Connectivity Region 4 Cedar City District





# Wildlife Connectivity Region 4 Price Dist



| Priority | Linkage | Name                   | Route        | Reference  | Conservation                 | Species of                          | Comments   | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|------------------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| ~        | Area    |                        | <b>. . .</b> | Posts      | Issue                        | Concern                             |  |  |
| Critical | 4-01    | Upper Salina<br>Canyon | I-70         | 66 to 89   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer<br>Elk<br>Cougar<br>Black bear | Type G deer proof fence<br>presently being installed<br>in lower 6.7 miles of<br>canyon tied into existing<br>structures.<br>High mortality for more<br>than 30 years due in part<br>to coal haul trucks<br>traveling I-70. Some deer<br>and elk highway mortality<br>from Salina Creek to east<br>slope near highway 10<br>and 72. Deer and elk<br>movements and<br>migrations north to south<br>seasonally.  | Urgent need to<br>complete type G deer<br>proof fence with some<br>new over and/or under<br>passes from just above<br>Gooseberry up to and<br>beyond the summit.<br>Location of new<br>structures to be<br>determined.   |
| Critical | 4-02    | Scipio                 | I-15         | 187 to 190 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety | Deer<br>Elk<br>Cougar               | Forest Service Lands on<br>both sides of freeway.<br>I-15, Scipio Pass<br>Interchange, overpass,<br>presently is a potential<br>deer/elk passage structure,<br>but semi truck and trailers<br>and other vehicles are<br>using the interchange<br>ramp roads for a parking<br>area, negatively impacting<br>the potential for deer/elk<br>use of this structure. In<br>early planning by UDWR,<br>Scipio Pass was identified<br>as a major deer migration<br>area. Now it is lost to<br>disturbance of the parked<br>trucks and other vehicles. | The Scipio Pass<br>Summit interchange<br>overpass could be<br>utilized by deer and elk<br>if the unlawful parking<br>could be relocated to a<br>nearby parking area<br>south or north of Scipio<br>Pass. Screening on the<br>parapet wall fences, and<br>about 100 yards along<br>the freeway ROW,<br>would screen the big<br>game animals from<br>seeing the traffic. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                                | Route   | Reference  | Conservation  | Species of   | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|---|--|---|---|
|          | Area    |                                     |         | Posts      | Issue   | Concern  |   |   |
| Moderate | 4-03    | Highway 18                          | SR-18   | 5 to 40    | *Big Game<br>*Federal T&E<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State<br>Sensitive Species<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Gray fox<br>Small<br>mammals<br>Desert<br>tortoise | Migration corridor for<br>deer along the east and<br>west Pine Valley forests –<br>mainly during spring and<br>fall migration, and to a<br>lesser extent during<br>summer.  | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |
| Critical | 4-04    | Holden                              | I-15    | 170 to 176 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands  | Deer<br>Elk  | East side of I-15 is<br>fenced, west side is not.<br>Elk continue to cross the<br>road overpass during the<br>night then attempt to cross<br>back in the morning. They<br>stack-up against the inside<br>of the deer-proof fence on<br>the east side. Elk have<br>leaped off in the past and<br>been killed, and caused<br>accidents. | Needs deer fence on<br>both sides of the<br>freeway with escape<br>ramps, and overpass<br>fencing.<br>The overpass also needs<br>side fencing installed                       |
| Critical | 4-05    | Devil's<br>Canyon, to<br>Monticello | U.S.191 | 57 to 72   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands  | Deer<br>Elk<br>Turkey<br>Cougar                            | Important seasonal<br>movement from west<br>(higher elevation) to east<br>(lower elevation) in<br>winter. This becomes<br>more critical during heavy<br>snow years. Elk, deer, and<br>turkey – followed by<br>lions. Mule deer<br>migration route crosses<br>U.S. 191 for several miles<br>here.                                      | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                         | Route   | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                 | Comments  | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|------------------------------|---------|------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
|          | Area    |                              |         | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                    |   |  |
| Moderate | 4-06    | Comb Wash<br>& Black<br>Mesa | U.S. 95 | 107 to 119 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Cougar<br>Gray Fox | This area is a medium<br>priority with current road<br>design speed and traffic<br>levels. Comb Wash and<br>Cottonwood Wash<br>provide connection<br>between higher elevation<br>areas of Elk Ridge and the<br>Abajo Mountains, and<br>lower elevation areas to<br>the south. Few (relatively<br>speaking) animals move<br>across this corridor, but it<br>does provide connectivity<br>north to south. Black<br>Mesa area is a mule deer<br>migration route. | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.   |
| Moderate | 4-07    | San Rafael<br>Desert         | U.S. 24 | 127 to 145 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Pronghorn                  | This area is pronghorn<br>habitat. There are small<br>herds on both sides of<br>Highway 24 with some<br>movement across the<br>highway. The road is<br>presently fenced with 5<br>strands of wire on both<br>sides. Currently, there are<br>few collisions with<br>pronghorn.   | Make sure the bottom<br>strand of wire is<br>smooth. Suggest<br>warning signs if they<br>aren't already there. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                       | Route  | Reference  | Conservation  | Species of  | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|----------------------------|--------|------------|---|---|---|---|
|          | Area    |                            |        | Posts      | Issue   | Concern   |   |   |
| Moderate | 4-08    | Cisco Desert               | I-70   | 189 to 224 | *Big Game<br>*Federal T&E<br>*Other State or<br>Fed Sensitive<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | White-tailed<br>prairie dog<br>Pronghorn<br>Golden<br>eagle | There are populations of<br>white-tailed prairie dogs<br>on both sides of I-70.<br>Additionally, there are<br>populations of pronghorn<br>on both sides of the<br>interstate. This is a<br>medium priority as far as<br>safety and collisions,<br>however it does present a<br>barrier to population<br>connectivity.<br>Golden eagles winter in<br>this area and are<br>occasionally struck on the<br>interstate when feeding on | Suggest overpasses for<br>pronghorn. They may<br>not have to be as wide<br>as deer and elk<br>overpasses. Using<br>existing vehicle<br>overpasses might work<br>if ROW is fenced off<br>with pronghorn-proof<br>fences. |
| Moderate | 4-09    | East Carbon<br>to Woodside | U.S. 6 | 261 to 274 | *Big Game<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands   | Pronghorn   | This is a medium priority<br>area. There are<br>populations of pronghorn<br>on both sides of the<br>highway. Currently, the<br>highway is fenced on both<br>sides with 5-strand wire.<br>This is a barrier to<br>movement. UDWR<br>manages these as separate<br>herds due to the barrier.   | Suggest overpasses for<br>pronghorn. They may<br>not have to be as wide<br>as deer and elk<br>overpasses. Using<br>existing vehicle<br>overpasses might work<br>if ROW is fenced off<br>with pronghorn-proof<br>fences. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                     | Route   | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|--------------------------|---------|------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|
|          | Area    |                          |         | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                   |   |   |
| Critical | 4-10    | Spring Glen<br>to Helper | U.S. 6  | 232 to 238 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer                      | This is a high collision<br>area for deer. Deer<br>seasonally move into this<br>area and daily cross the<br>highway. Spring (March<br>& April) is the most<br>concentrated. However,<br>there are a few resident<br>deer along the Price River<br>and some collisions do<br>occur throughout the year.<br>Major east-west migration<br>and daily migration to<br>access water along Price<br>River. | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. Perhaps, in this area,<br>an overpass would help. |
| Critical | 4-11    | Black Ridge<br>to Cedar  | I-15    | 34 to 58   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State or<br>Fed Sensitive<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Cougar<br>Raptors | Deer migration route<br>Yearlong wildlife/vehicle<br>accident corridor. Most<br>accidents occur during<br>Spring and Fall migratory<br>periods, but yearlong<br>mortality in summer and<br>winter also occurs.  | Any fencing in this area<br>must incorporate<br>mitigation measures for<br>deer and other wildlife<br>such as underpasses or<br>overpasses.   |
| Moderate | 4-12    | Highway 56<br>Corridor   | U.S. 56 | 43 to 51   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer<br>Pronghorn         | Important deer and<br>pronghorn migratory area.<br>Deer/vehicle accident rate<br>is significant.  | Suggest overpasses for<br>pronghorn. They may<br>not have to be as wide<br>as deer and elk<br>overpasses. This might<br>work if ROW is fenced<br>off with pronghorn-<br>proof fences on both<br>sides of the ROW.               |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                              | Route   | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                           | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
|          | Area    |                                   |         | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                              |   |   |
| High     | 4-13    | Fivemile                          | U.S. 89 | 30 to 60   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer<br>Cougar                       | Major migratory corridor<br>for deer. Highway 89 runs<br>straight through the major<br>migratory route for the<br>Paunsaugunt deer herd.<br>Bimodal Spring (March)<br>and Fall (October)<br>migration. High profile,<br>world recognized trophy<br>deer herd. If coal reserves<br>were developed on<br>Smoky Mountain/Alton,<br>haul truck traffic would<br>make this area a critical | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |
| High     | 4-14    | Highway 20<br>Corridor            | SR-20   | 0 to 21    | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State or<br>Fed Sensitive<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk<br>Sage Grouse<br>Cougar | The design updates to this<br>highway have increased<br>traffic speeds and<br>wildlife/vehicle collision<br>rates. Deer, elk, and sage<br>grouse cross the highway<br>during migratory seasons.   | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Maybe they can be<br>motion-sensor<br>activated.  |
| Moderate | 4-15    | U.S. 89 from<br>I-70 to SR-<br>20 | U.S. 89 | 141 to 190 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer<br>Elk                          | Year round deer<br>mortality. In the Summer<br>months, deer are crossing<br>highway 89 to water at<br>the river. During the<br>Winter, deer stack up<br>along the highway<br>corridor due to snow at<br>higher elevations. In the<br>Spring, deer are attracted<br>to the early green grass<br>along the highway<br>shoulders   | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                    | Route   | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                       | Comments  | Recommendations  |
|----------|---------|-------------------------|---------|------------|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
|          | Area    |                         |         | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                          |   |  |
| Critical | 4-16    | Baker<br>Canyon         | I-15    | 111 to 144 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State or<br>Fed Sensitive<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk<br>Cougar            | Big game migration corridor.  | If fences are added,<br>need overpasses and/or<br>underpasses and deer<br>escape ramps.  |
| Moderate | 4-17    | Minersville<br>Summit   | U.S.130 | 28 to 37   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State or<br>Fed Sensitive<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Pronghorn<br>Sage Grouse | Important deer,<br>pronghorn, and sage<br>grouse migration corridor.  | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs<br>for migrating deer and<br>5-strand fencing both<br>sides of the ROW, with<br>smooth bottom wire for<br>pronghorn. |
| High     | 4-18    | Long Valley<br>Junction | U.S. 89 | 104 to 109 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer<br>Elk                      | Important mule deer migration corridor.   | Needs deer signs with flashers.  |
| Critical | 4-19    | Cove Fort               | I-70    | 0 to 7     | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer<br>Elk                      | Important deer and elk migration corridor.  | Any fencing must<br>incorporate under<br>and/or overpasses and<br>deer escape ramps.   |
| Moderate | 4-20    | Garrison to<br>Milford  | U.S. 21 | 0 to 78    | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Pronghorn                        |   | Any fencing along this<br>highway corridor must<br>accommodate<br>pronghorn migration.   |
| High     | 4-21    | Cedar<br>Canyon         | SR-14   | 4 to 7     | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Merriam's<br>wild turkey<br>Deer | High concentration of<br>wintering Merriam's wild<br>turkey and mule deer<br>from Right Hand Fork to<br>2 miles above Milt's<br>Stage Stop restaurant.<br>High kill potential for<br>wild turkeys from Nov.<br>15 – April 30 each winter. | Suggest 8 flashing signs<br>be installed and flashers<br>run from Nov. 15 –<br>April 30.   |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                   | Route   | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                 | Comments   | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|------------|--|----------------------------|--|---|
|          | Area    |                        |         | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                    |  |   |
| Moderate | 4-22    | Newcastle              | U.S. 56 | 31 to 35   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer                       | Important deer migratory<br>route. Wildlife/vehicle<br>collisions occur from<br>October through May<br>with the peak of the<br>mortality occurring<br>November through<br>January. | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.  |
| High     | 4-23    | Gunnison               | U.S. 89 | 246 to 249 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety   | Deer                       | 53 big game road kills<br>were recorded here in<br>2004.   | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.  |
| Moderate | 4-24    | Huntington<br>Canyon   | SR-31   | 34 to 45   | *Big Game<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands  | Deer                       | Canyon with deer<br>crossing regularly to<br>either side.  | Suggest motion-sensor<br>activated, flashing<br>warning signs   |
| Moderate | 4-25    | Old LaSal              | SR-46   | 10 to 17   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Other State or<br>Fed Sensitive<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk<br>Sage Grouse | Summer range to north,<br>winter range to south.<br>Definite migration area.   | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs   |
| High     | 4-26    | North of<br>Monticello | U.S.191 | 72 to 80   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Deer<br>Elk                | Deer/Elk crossing is<br>heavy in this area.  | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |
| Moderate | 4-27    | Church Rock            | U.S.191 | 80 to 86   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Pronghorn                  | Pronghorn cross the<br>highway in this area.<br>Movement is mostly west<br>to east.  | Suggest motion-sensor<br>activated flashing<br>warning signs. Fencing<br>should be 5-strand with<br>smooth bottom wire.   |
| Moderate | 4-28    | Fry Canyon             | U.S. 95 | 67 to 73   | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands                                     | Desert<br>Bighorn<br>Sheep | Sheep cross the highway<br>here. Herds are on both<br>sides of the road.   | Suggest motion-sensor<br>activated flashing<br>warning signs.   |

| Priority | Linkage | Name                       | Route   | Reference  | Conservation   | Species of                 | Comments  | Recommendations   |
|----------|---------|----------------------------|---------|------------|--|----------------------------|---|---|
|          | Area    |                            |         | Posts      | Issue  | Concern                    |   |   |
| Moderate | 4-29    | Arches<br>National<br>Park | U.S.191 | 126 to 133 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Desert<br>Bighorn<br>Sheep | Sheep cross the highway<br>to link to Potash Herd and<br>vise-versa.  | Suggest motion-sensor<br>activated flashing<br>warning signs.   |
| Moderate | 4-30    | Cat Canyon                 | U.S. 6  | 250 to 256 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity of<br>Public Lands | Deer                       | Deer migration north to south.  | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Also need deer-proof<br>fencing with escape<br>ramps and some kind of<br>crossing structure every<br>mile or so.              |
| Critical | 4-31    | Ephraim/<br>Manti          | U.S. 89 | 253 to 271 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer<br>Elk                | 236 big game road kills<br>were recorded along this<br>17-mile stretch in 2004.<br>The highest number of<br>animal/vehicle collisions<br>occurred between<br>reference posts 221 to 227<br>(63) and 231 to 238 (162). | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |
| High     | 4-32    | Fairview                   | U.S. 89 | 279 to 290 | *Big Game<br>*Highway Safety<br>*Connectivity to<br>Public Lands | Deer                       | 128 big game road kills<br>recorded here in 2004<br>along this stretch. The<br>highest number of<br>animal/vehicle collisions<br>occurred between<br>reference posts 246 to 249<br>(52), and 254 to 257 (48).         | Suggest seasonal,<br>flashing warning signs.<br>Might also consider<br>deer-proof fencing with<br>escape ramps and some<br>kind of crossing<br>structure every mile or<br>so. |