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## ITS Early Deployment Program - I-90 Seattle to Spokane

## Executive Summary

This executive summary presents the final recommendations and a brief description of the Interstate 90 (I-90) Seattle to Spokane Intelligent Transportation system (ITS) Early Deployment Program. The study was performed by Parsons Brinckerhoff, PB Farradyne Inc., and their subconsultants.

## Project Description

The I-90 Seattle to Spokane study is intended to provide the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) with an implementation plan for the deployment of ITS technologies along I90 from Seattle to Spokane. The study follows the guidance set forth by the WSDOT "Venture" program in providing a
fully integrated state-wide transportation system on the roadways within WSDOT's jurisdiction. The study focused on specific applications in the areas of traffic management, traveler information, emergency management, and commercial vehicle operations (CVO) with the emphasis on providing specific projects to be deployed throughout the corridor. As a result of this study, fourteen project prospectuses that address the corridor transportation needs have been prepared. Each prospectus includes a project description, cost estimate, and an implementation schedule

The corridor study included the seven project tasks summarized below.


## Work Element 1 - Assess

 Transportation NeedsWork element 1 consisted of corridor tours and interviews with key stakeholders and officials from the WSDOT (northwest, northeast, southwest and eastern regions), Washington State Patrol (WSP), cities, counties, and others. Data was gathered relating to the transportation needs along the I-90 corridor with emphasis given to safety, congestion management, and commercial vehicle operations.

## Work Element 2 - Identify Corridor ITS Opportunities

Based on the findings of the needs assessment, work element 2 identified specific ITS applications to address the corridor needs.

## Work Element 3 - Recommend Corridor Strategies

Based on an assessment of appropriate ITS applications and project steering committee input, work element 3 finalized and prioritized the specific ITS applications considered for implementation.

## Work Element 4 - Develop Corridor Plan

Work element 4 consisted of the preparation of specific project prospectuses recommended for the corridor by the steering committee. Both cost estimates and benefit and cost analyses were conducted for each of the proposed projects.

## Work Element 5 - Develop Corridor Communication Plan

Work element 5 identified the existing communication infrastructure along the corridor. Specific recommendations on the communication infrastructure to be utilized for the ITS elements along the corridor was included as part of the
corridor plan developed in work element 4.

## Work Element 6 - ITS Coordination

 and Outreach EffortWork element 6 established the project steering committee which provided input, direction, and participative decisionmaking for the project. The steering committee included members from WSDOT, WSP, Federal Highway Administration, cities, counties and metropolitan planning organizations (MPO). In addition, the coordination and outreach efforts for the project were initiated during this work element. This effort was intended to stimulate interest and deepen the understanding of the ITS efforts for the corridor through a variety of media.

## Work Element 7 - Final Report

Work element 7 consolidated the results of the previous work elements and developed the executive summary.

## Corridor Synopsis

I-90 is an east-west interstate highway that stretches across Washington, connecting the cites of Seattle, Bellevue, Issaquah, North Bend, Cle Elum, Ellensburg, Moses Lake, Ritzville, and Spokane. I-90 passes through the Cascade Mountains via Snoqualmie Pass, and is a vital economic link between eastern and western Washington. This route is primarily a four-lane corridor, with the exception of urbanized areas, and serves regional and national transport, tourism and commuters. The focus of this study is on the portion of I-90 east of Issaquah (MP 18) to the Washington/Idaho border (MP 299), a total length of 281 miles or 452 kilometers. This section of corridor passes through King, Kittitas, Grant, Adams, Linclon and Spokane Counties.

The WSDOT operates a surveillance, control, and driver information (SC\&DI)
system along the corridor from MP 0 to MP 25 as part of the Northwest Region's Transportation Systems Management Center (TSMC). The SC\&DI infrastructure includes ITS field devices such as ramp meters, closed circuit television (CCTV) cameras, data stations, variable message signs (VMS), and highway advisory radio (HAR). The system utilizes a fiber optic cable infrastructure for the transmission of analog video, while Synchronous Optical Network (SONET) technology is utilized for the transmission of data. This infrastructure provides tremendous benefits for the WSDOT, including improved freeway efficiency through maintaining freeway capacity, reduction in merging and congestion related incidents, reduction in time needed to clear incidents, and improved traffic information available to motorists.

The SC\&DI infrastructure along the I-90 corridor also includes the TravelAid project currently extending from MP 33 to MP 73. This system involves the use of variable speed limit and message signs and in-vehicle display units that provide mountain pass closure status information as well as road, weather, and traffic conditions. Work is also underway in the eastern region to develop a traffic management system including CCTV, vehicle detector stations, and HAR as part of a cooperative effort between the Spokane Regional Transportation Council, City of Spokane, Spokane County, WSDOT and Spokane Transit.

## System Architecture

The northwest region of WSDOT has an extensive regional architecture currently in place along the l-90 corridor in accordance with the tenets of the ITS national architecture. The SC\&DI program has developed installation, operations, and maintenance standards for this ITS infrastructure. This study recommends the expansion of SC\&DI field devices and the communications
infrastructure east of the greater central Puget Sound region, in the Spokane urban area, and in other strategic locations along the corridor.

The recommended expansion is highlighted by two specific projects recommended as part of this study. The northwest region TSMC Expansion project would extend the SC\&DI infrastructure east to the Edgewick Road interchange (MP 34). The eastern region traffic management system would further this SC\&DI infrastructure in the Spokane Area. The initial phases of these two projects would focus on the installation of the communications infrastructure and field devices at priority corridor locations, followed by the final build-out of the ITS field devices and communication infrastructure in future phases. Other recommended projects would be deployed throughout the corridor utilizing the ITS field devices when applicable and introducing new technologies to address the identified corridor needs such as rest area security, weather related warning systems, and traveler information. Certain project elements would utilize leased communication lines for both field device and control center communications in the short-term followed by the possible long-term integration with the WSDOT-owned fiber optic communication links as this infrastructure expands throughout the corridor.

It is important that each of the recommended projects allow for the exchange of data with the WSDOT Smart Trek ITS backbone currently being developed under the U.S. DOT Model Deployment Initiative (MDI) Program. Each effort must emphasize the utilization of consistent communication protocols and data exchange schemes. The data exchange would benefit the individual projects along the corridor by providing access to corresponding
traffic information. It would also benefit the ITS backbone system itself by adding valuable data to this integrated traveler information network.

## Project Summaries

The corridor study resulted in fourteen specific project recommendations. The following is a summary of the recommended deployment for the corridor.

## Broadcast Radio Dissemination System

Develop a system that allows route, weather, and traffic condition information to be sent to local broadcast radio stations. Each station would then have the capability to broadcast this information to its listeners.

## Ice Detection Weather Warning System

Develop an ice detection and warning system at selected locations. Sensors will detect when bridge and road conditions become icy and a warning system will disseminate real-time, sitespecific information to travelers, WSDOT maintenance personnel, and the WSP.

## Internet Pre-Trip Traveler Information

Expand WSDOT's existing capabilities to provide weather, travel, and traffic information on the World Wide Web (WWW).

## Rest Area Information Kiosks

Install traveler information kiosks at rest stops along the corridor. Traveler information will include both static and real-time data applicable for the corridor.

## TravelAid Advance Information System

Provide pre-trip and en-route information regarding pass closure status and road, weather, and traffic conditions to travelers. Information will be
disseminated via roadside VMS and communication beacons to participating drivers equipped with in-vehicle devices.

## Columbia River Bridge Information System

Plan, develop, and implement a system that detects road, weather, and traffic conditions on and near the Columbia River bridges to provide advanced warning of hazardous conditions to motorists. These conditions would include high wind, low visibility, ice, congestion, and overspeed vehicles.

## Chain-up Area Parking Management System

Develop a traffic management system to provide travelers with information on chain-up area parking space availability. This would maximize the utilization of existing roadside facilities and enhance safety.

## Speed Detection/Warning System

Install a speed detection/warning system at steep upgrade and downgrade locations as well as areas of known recurrent speeding. The warning system would be activated upon sensing a potentially hazardous condition.

## Variable Speed Limit Signing and Weather Warning System

 Implement a variable speed limit signing and weather warning system near Easton Hill between MP 72.5 and 74.5 and expand coverage of the existing TravelAid variable speed limit signing and weather warning system through in-fill of devices between MP 33 and MP 72.5. The system would be integrated with the existing TravelAid system and would detect changing weather conditions and provide motorists with information, weather-related warnings, and variable speed limits.
## Northwest Region TSMC Geographic Expansion

Expand the WSDOT SC\&DI network along I-90 from Issaquah (MP 18) to Edgewick Road interchange (MP 34). This would expand the geographic coverage of the northwest region's Transportation Systems Management System (TSMC) eastward and would provide additional traffic field devices along this heavily traveled corridor. The expanded coverage along this stretch of roadway would provide better traffic management capabilities and increased motorist safety.

## Eastern Region Traffic Management System

Develop a Traffic Management System (TMS) in the Spokane Area (I-90 MP 276 to MP 292) consisting of traffic field devices connected through communications infrastructure to a local Traffic Operations Center (TOC). WSDOT and WSP personnel at the TOC would be able to monitor and control the TMS field devices and thus effectively manage traffic operations and incidents along this corridor.

Traffic Data Management System Develop a corridor-wide traffic data management system that would utilize the existing permanent data station program currently located along the I-90 corridor. This data would be readily available to local cities, counties, and planning agencies in the region for their use.

## Rest Area Security System

Enhance public security at rest areas along the corridor through the establishment of safe areas with enhanced lighting and improved surveillance and communication to emergency services.

## Portable License Plate Optical Reader <br> Deploy portable license optical reader technologies to assist the WSP in streamlining inspection efforts at weigh stations, assist in enforcement, and provide WSDOT personnel with the means to conduct origin and destination studies.

## Deployment Costs

The following is a budgetary estimate for the fourteen projects recommended for the corridor. These costs reflect initial project developments and deployment. In many cases, the detailed prospectus recommends limited application such as prototype and spot deployments during phase one, followed by expanded application during subsequent phases. It is widely recognized that the costs of implementation beyond phase one will be significantly affected by the availability of rapidly developing technology and thus are not included in these estimates.

| ITS Project | Estimated <br> Capital Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| Broadcast Radio Dissemination System | $\$ 182,000$ |
| IIe Detection Weather Warning System | $\$ 768,000$ |
| Internet Pre-Trip Traveler Information | $\$ 204,000$ |
| Rest Area Information Kiosks | $\$ 31,000$ |
| TravelAid Advance Information System | $\$ 948,000$ |
| Columbia River Bridge Information System | $\$ 828,000$ |
| Chain-Up Area Parking Management System | $\$ 413,000$ |
| Speed Detection/Warning System | $\$ 1,005,000$ |
| Variable Speed Limit Signing and Weather Warning System | $\$ 935,000$ |
| Northwest Region TSMC Geographic Expansion | $\$ 2,860,000$ |
| Eastern Region Traffic Management System | $\$ 4,710,000$ |
| Traffic Data Management System | $\$ 325,000$ |
| Rest Area Security System | $\$ 228,000$ |
| Portable License Plate Optical Reader | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Total Deployment Costs | $\$ 13,738,000$ |
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 OVERVIEW

This report has been prepared as part of the I-5 Seattle to Vancouver B.C. \& I-90 Seattle to Spokane Inter-City Urban/Rural Corridors ITS Early Deployment Project. This is the first technical memorandum for the ITS Early Deployment Plan for the I-90 Corridor and is submitted in fulfillment of Work Element 1.5: Data Assessment/Corridor Needs Summary. The l-5 Data Assessment/Corridor Needs Summary is provided under separate cover. Revisions based on the review of this document will be presented in the l-90 ITS Corridor Study - Final Report, Work Element 7, which will be composed of subsequent memoranda in their final form from the other five ITS Study work elements.

This scope of this Work Element reads as follows:
The CONSULTANT shall analyze the compiled data prepared by the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) to determine short-term, mid-term, and longterm transportation needs in the corridor. The CONSULTANT shall identity specific problems, and estimate their magnitude. Special attention shall be given to safety, congestion, and commercial vehicle operations. This analysis shall serve as a baseline for gauging the impact of recommended ITS solutions. The results of this assessment shall be compiled in a graphic format using charts and maps in a technical memorandum to be submitted to WSDOT for review.

This report summarizes findings and presents the current transportation needs of the I-90 corridor based on the physical assessment of existing and planned corridor conditions conducted under Work Elements 1 .1: Corridor Tours; 1.2: Non-Accident Data Summary; and 1.3: Accident Data Summary. Tasks 1.2 and 1.3 were the responsibilities of WSDOT. These previous tasks involved physical characteristic assessment of the transportation infrastructure, an assessment of existing operational characteristics, current progress on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) infrastructure, and proposed planned improvements. These findings were collected in both anecdotal format from minutes of the Corridor Tours and through existing conditions documentation provided by the WSDOT, and are presented in the appendices.

### 1.2 ORGANIZATION OF THE TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Section 1 .O of this technical memorandum provides an overview of the technical memorandum and presents the organizational structure for the memo. Section 2.0 summarizes the transportation needs of the corridor by five different categories: Safety; Traffic Operations, Congestion, and Traffic Management; Traveler Information; Commercial Vehicle Operations; Enforcement and Motorist Assistance; and Other Transportation Needs. Section 3.0, the Summary of Results, will conclude this report through presentation of findings, summarizing corridor-wide transportation needs as determined through this investigation. Transportation needs are presented without regard to ITS solution potential, but rather in a time frame reference based on short-, mid- and long-range needs listing. Supporting information is
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contained in each of the appendices. The content of each of the appendices is described below:

Appendix A presents a map of I-90, which is a straight line illustration of the corridor.
Appendix B presents minutes developed based on the Corridor Tours, Work Element 1.1, which were conducted with WSDOT and Washington State Patrol (WSP) personnel.

Appendix C presents the results of the Non-Accident Data Summary, Work Element 1.2. This appendix includes a Corridor Description; a review of Current and Future Transportation Infrastructure, including geometric characteristics and planned improvements, motorist information signing, transit systems, rail, marine and airport facilities, and pipelines; existing and forecasted Traffic Conditions; and Other Existing Conditions including unstable slopes, weather data, assistance calls and citations, and a listing of ITS devices along the corridor.

Appendix D presents the results of the Accident Analysis Summary, Work Element 1.3. This appendix presents a variety of findings related to the location and causes of traffic accidents along the corridor as prepared by WSDOT.

Appendix E is the Group Participation Plan completed under Work Element 0.5. This is presented here as background to the group participation process.

### 2.0 SUMMARY OF CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION NEEDS

Transportation needs are defined as the physical and operational improvements that have been identified for this corridor. These needs are areas of concern in which improvements should increase the efficiency of the movement of traffic and goods, reduce maintenance and operational costs, or improve corridor safety. Many of these needs have already been identified by WSDOT in their five year plan, and are therefore not identified as needs since they have already been addressed. Planned improvements as proposed by WSDOT are shown in the appendices.

The following sections discuss these needs in terms of their primary functional enhancement.

### 2.1 SAFETY

From the results of Work Element 1.3, Accident Data Summary, and through information obtained during the corridor tours, several observations were made with regard to corridor safety. There were several basic safety concerns that were the focus of this study, including: high accident spot locations, accidents related to specific environmental conditions (snow, ice, fog, dust, rock falls, and animal crossings), heavy vehicle accidents, and accidents by type of accident and severity. From the analysis presented in Appendix D, several noteworthy safety needs emerged. These are briefly described below.

### 2.1.1 General

- Run-off-the-road accidents: Run-off-the-road accidents (due primarily to the driver falling asleep) were determined to be a safety problem throughout much of the corridor. This was determined to be more of a problem in eastern portions of the corridor where the terrain is flat and there are long distances between interchanges. WSP officers stated that many of these accidents are not reported, as many are driver-recovered. A program of rumble strip upgrades is currently nearing completion for all of the rural eastern portions of the l-90 corridor. WSP officials and WSDOT Maintenance personnel have indicated that this has been an effective treatment for these types of accidents in the locations where they have been installed.

It is also interesting to note where accidents do not appear to be a problem, but where the statistics may be misleading. There are relatively few accidents that occur between I-82 (MP 110) and US 395 (MP 220). This may be partially due to the drop in traffic volumes through this section which would reduce the likelihood of multiple car collisions. Due to the landscape, there are few obstacles to hit if a driver were to run off the road, and a driver is therefore more likely to be able to recovered unaided. An accident report is not likely to be filed where a single vehicle accident occurs and where no injury or significant property damage is encountered.

- Low Bridges: There were several low bridge areas that contributed to overheight accidents. All of these locations have been identified by WSDOT and are programmed for replacement in WSDOT's 5-year plan; however, not all are currently funded.


### 2.1.2 Northwest Region

- SR 18, MP 25: There is a high number of accidents near this heavily traveled interchange. SR 18 is also a primary truck route.
- South Fork Snoqualmie River Bridge, MP 36.62 to MP 36.64: An eastbound section of I-90 near MP 37 is the location for a high number of accidents due to an upgrade condition.
- Franklin Falls Bridge/Denny Creek Bridge, MP 50.50 to MP 51.32: Franklin Falls Bridge was noted to have greater potential for icing than the surrounding area.


### 2.1.3 South Central Region

- Snoqualmie Pass Area, MP 50 - MP 68: There is a high frequency of accidents in this area due to snow, ice, grades and curvature. Many of these accidents are associated with icy or wet pavement conditions. Wet pavement accidents are prominent west of the mountains due to the climatic differences between eastern and western Washington. Not surprisingly, the majority of wet road accidents occurred in western Washington. Over 75 percent of the wet pavement rural accidents on I-90 occurred in the 18 mile stretch between MP 50 and 68.
- Stampede Pass area, MP 62.5-MP 67: A high number of accidents occur in this area, probably due to substandard horizontal alignment.
- Slope Instability, MP 69: There were a couple of areas noted where rock and tree falls occur near MP 69.
- Easton Hill, MP 71: There are many westbound rear-end/slow moving vehicle accidents here due to the long upgrade.
- Bull Frog Road Weigh Station, MP 80: A high frequency of accidents occur in this spot caused by a combination of merging traffic and horizontal curve.
- SR 970/Indian John Hill Rest Area, MP 85-88: This is an area of frequent accidents.
- Spot Locations, MP 92 through MP 109: Areas indicated as having a high accident potential were the reverse curves near MP 92.5, curves between MP 95 and MP 97, and Exit 109 where roll-overs are caused by a sharp horizontal curse on the exit.
- Animal Crossings, MP 95: Animal crossings are a concern near the Elk Heights area of l90. A high fence is currently installed in this area to prevent elk and other large animals from crossing the highway.
- East of I-82, MP 110: Run-off-the-road accidents were identified as a problem. This area near MP 115 does not currently have rumble strips.


### 2.1.4 North Central Region

- Traffic Glare, MP 137 to MP 192: The majority of this stretch of I-90 is within a narrow section of right-of-way. The close proximity of a parallel adjacent county road is of concern due to glare from head lamps and the absence of a barrier. A glare screen is currently placed along a curved section near MP 148 eastbound between I-90 and the frontage road.
- Vantage Hill, MP 138: This hill, east of the Vantage Bridge, experiences a high number of accidents. These accidents are attributable to a variety of factors including speeding, frequent compact snow and ice conditions, a high volume of concert goers, and a long steep westbound decline towards the Vantage Bridge curve.


### 2.1.5 Eastern Region

- West Spokane, MP 260 to MP 264: There is severe rutting in the road east of Four Lakes, Exit 270, where traffic volumes increase. Icing problems near MP 273 and MP 274 are exacerbated from this rutting condition since the ruts prevent proper drainage and plowing of the roadway surface.
- Sunset Hill, MP 280: There are a high number of accidents along Sunset Hill, which are attributed to the long steep upgrade.
- Liberty Park interchange area - SR 290 (Perry Curves), MP 282: The interchange located at Exit 282 has a high accident frequency. This condition is due to a combination of several factors, including horizontal alignment, weaving, merging, and icing on elevated structures.
- Thorp Street/Freya Street, MP 283: The Thorp-Freya undercrossing is a high accident area. I-90 has an undulating vertical alignment in this area which affects drivers' reaction time, especially when encountering slower moving vehicles.


### 2.2 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS, CONGESTION AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

From the results of Work Element 1.2, Non-Accident Data Summary, and through information obtained during the corridor tours, several observations were made with regard to traffic operations and traffic management. There are several operational concerns throughout the corridor, including capacity, geometrics, climbing lanes, traffic data collection, traffic control, and maintenance. From the analysis presented in Appendix C, there were several noteworthy operations related needs. These are briefly described below:

### 2.2.1 General

- Permanent Traffic Data Recorders: There is a need to expand the permanent data recorder system in terms of both location and capabilities. Real time traffic information could assist both WSDOT Traffic Operations and WSP. This traffic data currently is sent to

Olympia where it is processed and then returned in a historic format to the originating District for their use.

- Hazardous Materials/Incident Management Response Plan: There is a need to provide a more responsive incident management and hazardous materials management plan. This should include the reexamination of roles and responsibilities of various agencies including WSDOT, WSP and local fire departments.


### 2.2.2 Northwest Region

- Continued geographic expansion of the reach of the Northwest Region Traffic Management Center: WSDOT's Northwest Region maintains a regional Traffic System Management Center (TSMC) in its Shoreline-Dayton Avenue headquarters. This TSMC is the central depository for traffic information. Traffic and other data are made available to the WSP District office in Bellevue through the WSDOT TSMC, including a real-time video feed from the l-90 surveillance system. CCTV coverage, ramp metering, and traffic data stations currently extend to the Front Street interchange in Issaquah at MP 17. This system has profound operational benefits for both WSDOT and WSP personnel and a need exists to expand its current coverage area east on I-90.


### 2.2.3 South Central Region

- Snoqualmie Pass Closure Procedures: The current system consists of a series of manually operated gates placed at strategic freeway ramps for use during Pass closures. Traffic is directed by temporary signage and WSP personnel, and a gate on the westbound on-ramp to I-90 at Exit 106 assists in early diversion of traffic. The current Pass closure response plans should be reviewed to determine the efficiency of the operation and to suggest more cost effective solutions.
- Preventive Winter Maintenance, Snoqualmie Pass: The Hyak Maintenance Area is responsible for monitoring weather systems for preventive de-icing procedures and avalanche control. Improvements in this area would assist in optimum utilization of manpower and machinery, and provide safer driving conditions.
- Travel Aid, Snoqualmie Pass: Development of the Travel Aid project should continue. This project consists of providing speed detection, ice detection, weather stations, and variable speed limit signs along l-90 in advance of and over Snoqualmie Pass. This variable speed limit system is intended to address the high accident problems encountered in this area due to bad weather and other factors. This project, currently under construction, should be monitored for effectiveness and expanded as necessary to areas not covered within the current project limits.


### 2.2.4 North Central Region

- Traffic detour options in the Columbia Hill Area: The current geometric configuration of the east side of the Columbia River Bridge includes a Jersey barrier. This does not allow median crossing, limiting emergency vehicle traffic and traffic control options during incidents or partial bridge closures. Options to manage incidents in this area need to be
considered, including the possibility of a movable barrier or other means to detour traffic to the opposite side of the bridge.
- The Columbia River Gorge Amphitheater, MP 143, 149, 151, 281: Amphitheater exits experiences peak traffic during special events at the Gorge, and traffic may back up onto the freeway from the eastbound off-ramp. A traffic management contractor is provided during these events and funded by MCA Productions, the sponsor of the concerts. One of the roles of this contractor is to assure that freeway traffic is not affected by the congestion that routinely occurs at Exits 143 and 281 during these events. Ramps at Exit 143 are typically at capacity before and after major Gorge Amphitheater events, and a higher than average number of calls for assistance occur during these periods. A permanent solution to this operational need should be examined.

9 Lane Striping: Lane striping and delineation in much of the NC Region is in poor condition and offers limited delineation during night operations. The restriping of the lane lines with paint is done twice every year, but is quickly worn away. Reflective pavement markers are not used due to the use of snowplow blades. The life-cycle and performance of delineation through this area may have potential for improvement.
= Improved Inclement Weather Maintenance Response: There is a limited number of gravel trucks/snowplows for the NC Region's 700 lane-miles of state highways, and only 18 permanent maintenance staff. The resulting reduced response time during poor weather conditions can be a problem, impacting WSP investigations into accidents. A means to better predict icing conditions may also help in better utilizing existing limited resources.

### 2.2.5 Eastern Region

0 Spokane Area Traffic Management: There is a need for expansion of the traffic management and incident management capabilities on I-90 within the Spokane urban boundaries. Real-time traffic information capabilities would assist in managing both recurrent and non-recurrent congestion problems, and in providing quick response and clean-up during incidents. This traffic management system should address the following:

1. Central Traffic Management System: A centralized traffic management system should be provided for a clearinghouse of data collection and dissemination.
2. Real Time Data Collection: There are existing permanent count/traffic data collection sites along l-90. Currently this data is received, reduced, and disseminated from the Olympia Service Center. Data from these local readers may not be available to the Eastern Region office until months later. These traffic data stations need to have the ability to provide real time data to the Eastern Region's traffic operations for use in incident detection and traffic management.
3. Video Surveillance and Detection: Video surveillance and detection for the Sunset Hill Area would assist in early detection of westbound accidents on this steep upgrade. Camera sites along this stretch would allow real time video feedback for incident management.
4. Driver Information: Highway Advisory Radio and additional VMS would assist in incident and traffic management.
5. 24 Hour Coverage for Incident Management: The Eastern Region currently does not have 24 hour traffic operations coverage. WSP handles incidents during the off-peak hours of the day.
6. Additional Weather Station Information: In addition to expanded weather station coverage within the Eastern Region, stations located in the west could give advance warning of approaching storms.

- Local Traffic Congestion: There are several areas that require additional review of congestion management. These include:
- Heavy traffic on the SR 195 eastbound on-ramp.
- Eastbound Pine Street off-ramp traffic backing onto the freeway during peak hours.
- Heavy AM congestion between Exits 281 and 288.


### 2.3 TRAVELER INFORMATION NEEDS

### 2.3.1 General

- Advanced Snoqualmie Pass Information: Existing Highway Advisory Radio (HAR) sites are located near Exit 31 and in the Ellensburg vicinity. HAR sites operate at 1610 kHz frequency and transmit updated Snoqualmie Pass conditions. Additional sources of pass closure information need to made available as far in advance as practical. The TravelAid Project, once complete, will assist in this regard. Real-time information at the rest areas would assist drivers in planning their trips. Pass information should be made available as far east as Spokane so that travelers can make informed pre-trip decisions.
- Vehicle Service Areas: There are several areas throughout the corridor with limited services, or services by time of day. The lack of service areas presents an additional demand on WSP personnel in responding to stranded motorists. 24 hour service would be beneficial.
- Rest Area Traveler Information: A need exists for information about travel conditions, weather information, event information, and other services for travelers at rest areas. Kiosks at the rest areas should be explored as a means to disseminate information to travelers.


### 2.3.2 Northwest Region

- Rest Area west of Snoqualmie Pass: There is a need for a rest area to be located on I-90 west of Snoqualmie Pass. Service in this area would provide a needed resting point for travelers while serving eastbound travelers and officials with a logical staging point for Snoqualmie Pass closures. The development of commercial services along I-90 at North Bend may eliminate the need for this rest area.


### 2.3.3 South Central Region

- Vantage Bridge Advisory: There is a need for traveler information to be available in advance of the Vantage Bridge. This information would be available in order to advise motorists of high wind conditions, icing on bridge, accident advisories, and Gorge Amphitheater traffic/event information.

0 Interchange Advisory: Traveler information should be provided at the I-82 interchange in order to provide advance information of roadway conditions and diversions as necessary.

0 Fog Condition Warning: An area of recurrent fog conditions lies between MP 93-MP 127. Real time warning of fog would assist drivers in preparing for this condition.

### 2.3.4 North Central Region

0 Vantage Bridge Advisory: There is a need for traveler information to be available in advance of the Vantage Bridge. This information would be available in order to advise motorists of high wind conditions, curve warning, speed too fast for conditions, icing on bridge, and accident advisories.

### 2.3.5 Eastern Region

0 Weather Advisory Information: There are several areas along the corridor that would benefit from advance weather advisory. MP 203 to 297 experiences poor visibility from dust and snow during windy conditions. Recurrent fog conditions are experienced between MP 257 and 279.

### 2.4 COMMERCIAL VEHICLE OPERATIONS NEEDS

### 2.4.1 General

- Weigh Station Design Problems: The weigh stations along I-90 are of an older standard design and do not adequately provide for current traffic volumes. These facilities have a short ramp design, resulting-in queues that sometimes back up onto the freeway mainline. When these queues develop, the scale crew must close the station and allow trucks to bypass the scales until the queue dissipates. Long queues effecting I-90 through-traffic and are a safety concern. Commercial vehicle ingress back into the mainstream I-90 traffic is also a problem, resulting in a high accident rate at these merge points.

0 Motor Carrier Regulatory Procedures: There is a redundancy of weigh stations along l-90, whereby commercial carrier through-traffic is required to stop at multiple locations. A preclearance system to track and identify commercial vehicles should be examined.

0 Motor Carrier Enforcement and Inspection: There are several motor carrier enforcement and inspection activities that are currently performed at weigh stations, including measurement for overweight and overheight vehicles, enforcement against weigh station avoidance by truck operators, inspection of vehicles for transport of hazardous materials and contraband, and equipment safety enforcement checks. These enforcement issues
are time consuming processes that contribute to weigh station delay and require adequate staffing levels. Methods such as weigh-in-motion and surveillance systems should be explored as a means to improve enforcement and efficiency of these weigh stations.

### 2.5 ENFORCEMENT AND MOTORIST ASSISTANCE NEEDS

Interviews with the WSP were extremely informative, as shown in the results of Work Element 1.1:Corridor Tours. Information obtained in the Non-Accident Data Summary included the number of citations issued and motorist assistance calls, verifying much of what had been indicated during the interviews. Information related to the Accident Data summary contained in appendix D was also useful. There are several enforcement issues that were identified, including enforcement, surveillance, motorist assistance, pursuits, coordination with WSDOT, staffing levels, and incident response. Of these issues, several were found to be noteworthy, and are briefly described below:

### 2.5.1 General

- WSP \& WSDOT Coordination: WSP is emphasizing public safety as well as law enforcement, which has resulted in expanded opportunities for coordination between WSP and WSDOT. There are continuing needs for coordination between WSP and WSDOT in areas such as incident management, Snoqualmie Pass closure coordination, and the purchasing and use of equipment. Currently, the WSP has the only State-wide emergency communication system.
- Surveillance: There are needs for additional surveillance at locations throughout the corridor. These include the need for video cameras to aid in HOV enforcement, traffic management, surveillance at rest areas, and the need to address problems associated with pursuits. There is a need to enhance the tools available for WSP to identify and prosecute perpetrators for a wide variety of crimes. As WSDOT considers expanding the use of video surveillance for highways and rest areas, the system could also conceivably record major accidents, enforcement actions, vandalism or theft of property, and crimes against persons.
- HOV Enforcement: The use of video cameras and fixed location monitors for HOV enforcement is the subject of current research at TTI If proven successful, this technique may provide a strong incentive for the public to adhere to the required number of occupants per vehicle. WSP troopers currently have very limited ability to see into vehicles, especially during darkness and inclement weather. They also have difficulty seeing into sports utility vehicles that are taller than patrol vehicles. The WSP has reduced the number of motorcycle officers which further reduces the tools usually associated with HOV enforcement. There is a general need for cameras strategically mounted on existing structures such as overpasses to provide a better view of a vehicle's interior. Such an application may also serve as a deterrent to HOV violators, as would the use of "decoy" or replica cameras.
- Vehicular Pursuits: The continuing problems associated with vehicular pursuits, such as injuries to innocent motorists, may make this a priority for consideration of ITS technology applications. Installation of devices with the capability to electronically track or disrupt a
fleeing driver, while reducing risk to officers and bystanders, would give the WSP a strong tool to reduce danger to the public.
a Motorist Assistance/Motorist Aid: There is a need to provide additional services for potentially stranded motorists in remote areas. There are several locations prone to vehicular break down, including the Ritzville area, where there is a marked absence of gas stations, and the ten mile upgrade west of the Columbia River where vehicles frequently overheat in the summer. These areas also experience more accidents due to slow moving vehicles. Conditions are compounded by the fact of this portion of l-90 laying in a cellular shadow area. Devices such as call boxes or other means to detect and respond to disabled vehicles should be examined. WSP would be able to provide a listing of these physical assists.
e Staffing Levels: WSP District 6 has low staffing levels for the number of freeway miles they cover. WSP's coverage area extends through both WSDOT's South Central and North Central Regions, covering from the King/Kittitas County line (MP 52) to Grant/Adams County line (MP 192).


### 2.6 OTHER TRANSPORTATION NEEDS

There are several other transportation needs that were identified through this Work Element and are shown in the following paragraphs.

### 2.6.1 Weather Data Needs

- Since meteorological sensors are not needed in the immediate areas served by airport weather sensors, it is recommended that areas near these airports incorporate pavement temperature sensors. Road temperature sensor data gathered from these pavement sensors should be integrated into airport weather data so that WSDOT road maintenance, emergency management (WSP), and weather forecast service agencies may have routine access to these data.
- The following additional locations are recommended for a full road weather information system (RWIS) sensor suite incorporating both atmospheric and pavement sensors:
a) The Columbia River Bridge near the SR 26 interchange, MP 137.5: An RWIS installation in this location would fill the first large gap in weather data coverage from Ellensburg eastward to Moses Lake. This location has a higher than average number of rural injury and fatality accidents.
b) The I-90/US 395 interchange, MP 221: An RWIS installation in this location would fill in the remaining gap in weather data coverage between the Moses Lake Airport and the present WSDOT RWIS site at MP 257.9. This interchange is also a key commercial route junction, as US 395 carries a considerable amount of commercial domestic and international truck traffic to/from the Tri-Cities area and beyond.
- The following additional locations are recommended for pavement temperature sensors integrated with traffic signals, call boxes, and/or variable message signs. In these areas, we believe no atmospheric sensors are needed due to nearby weather installations which
can provide representative weather data for these locations. Where the location recommended is a bridge, pavement sensors should be placed both on the bridge and at one approach end to provide contrasting pavement temperature data for the bridge deck and highway pavement.
a) The I-90/SR 281 interchange at George (MP 150): This is a lower priority location for a pavement sensor but would provide valuable contrasting pavement condition information between the recommended RWIS site at the Columbia River Bridge and Moses Lake. A second reason to place a pavement sensor in this location is the varied topography in this region, which can create a markedly different pavement temperature profile over area roads.
b) The SR 17 interchange at Moses Lake: A pavement temperature sensor at this location is also a lower priority. However, sensor data here would fill a large gap in pavement temperature information between the recommended site at SR 281 (George) and the recommended RWIS site at the I-90/US 395 interchange.
c) The Sprague Rest Area near MP 242: A pavement temperature sensor at this location is a higher priority than the previous two recommendations above, since this is the area where accident frequency begins to increase toward Spokane due to road icing. A sensor here would also fill in the gap in road temperature information between the recommended RWIS site at the I-90/US 395 interchange and the present WSDOT RWIS site at MP 257.9.


### 2.6.2 Other Needs

- Chain-Up/Pull-Off Information: Chain-up areas are provided east and west of Snoqualmie Pass. Information indicating whether there is available space ahead of the queue would be beneficial.


### 3.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the results of the corridor needs for I-90.

TABLE 1

| CORRIDOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT SUMMAR TABLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  LEGEND <br> Low $\bigcirc$ Medium $\bigcirc$  <br> CORRIDOR NEEDS | Source Of Information |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\grave{L}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Comments |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 SAFETY AND ENFORCEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1.1 General |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run off the Road Accident | X | X | $X$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Low Bridge Accidents | X | X | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| 2.1.2 NW Region |  |  | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| High Accident Area - Franklin Falls Bridge, MP 37 | X |  | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| 2.1.3 SC Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Accidents - Snoqualmie Pass, MP 50 to MP 68 | X | X | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| High Accident Area - Stampede Pass, MP 62.5 to 67 | X | X | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| High Accident Area - Easton Hill Area, MP 71 | X | X | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| High Accident Area - Bull Frog Weigh Station, MP 80 | X | X | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| High Accident Area - SR 970/Indian John Hill Rest Area, MP 85 to MP 88 | X | X | X | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Run off the Road accidents, East of I-82 | X | X | X |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Spot location accidents, MP 92.5, 95 to 97, and Exit 109 |  | X |  | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Slope Instability, MP 69 | X |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Animal Crossing, MP 95 | X |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| 2.1.4 NC Region <br> High Accident Area, Vantage Hill - MP 138 | X | X |  | X |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Traffic Glare, MP 137 to MP 138 | X |  |  |  | X | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| 2.1.5 Eastern Region <br> High Accidents, Thorp Street/Freya Street - MP 283 | X | X | X | X |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| High Accidents,Liberty Park Interchange-SR 290(Perry Curves),MP 282 | X | X | X | X |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| High Accident , Sunset Hill, MP 280 | X | X | X | X |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Icy Condtion Accidents, West Spokane, MP 260 to MP 264 | X | X | X | X | X | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
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Appendix A contains a reproduction of the Washington State Interstate Guide, produced by the Washington State Department of Transportation. Shown on this set of schematic maps are rest areas, motorist information service sign locations, exit numbers, cross street names, parallel bike trails, state parks, visitor information centers, and other points of interest. This map represents conditions as of 1991, the last year in which WSDOT produced this map.
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## SCOPE 1.1: CORRIDOR TOURS

Corridor tours will be conducted by the CONSULTANT's Project Manager and the appropriate members of the study team. These tours will consist of joint tours of each Region's area of jurisdiction, including the Regional Traffic Engineer, Maintenance Area Superintendent and the Washington State Patrol. An interview with Regional Administrators for the I-90 corridor east of the mountains will also be conducted under this work element. Video tapes, road logs films and road inventory listings will be provided by WSDOT and be reviewed under this work element. The entire corridor will be driven and reviewed by the appropriate members of the CONSULTANT team. Information obtained through these corridor tours will be collected in a series of meeting minutes, corridor notes and photo logs. This work element is the forerunner to the formal outreach effort in that it will assist in identifying participants for stakeholder meetings. Results will be included in Corridor Needs Assessment technical memorandum.

## B. 1 INTRODUCTION

A tour of the I-90 corridor was conducted on January 22nd and 23rd by key members of the study team. Regional field personnel who were familiar with the I-90 corridor from the four WSDOT regions and three WSP districts attended these tours, sharing their local knowledge with the study team. Study team members included Eldon L. Jacobson, WSDOT Project Manager; Kern L. Jacobson, Parsons Brinckerhoff (PB) Project Manager; Ron Atherley, PB I-90 Corridor Manager; and John O'Laughlin, PB Enforcement Specialist. Sergeant Dan Pemerl from the WSP Research and Development branch office also accompanied the team to offer insight into State Patrol efforts in this arena. Also attending this tour and providing local insight were WSDOT Regional Traffic Engineers, WSDOT Maintenance Area Superintendents, and Washington State Patrol officers. Each jurisdiction was driven and reviewed by these team members. Information obtained through this corridor tour is shown in the following sections and subdivided by WSDOT and WSP jurisdictional coverage areas.

These results have been discussed with each of the appropriate jurisdictions to assure a reasonable assessment of observed conditions. The issues stated in these minutes will assist the team in focusing their efforts on what local officials view as their primary concerns. The intent of these minutes is not to be inclusive of all problems, but rather to be used as a snapshot from which to gather a general understanding of issues facing the Regions. Statistics obtained through the accident analyses and the non-accident data summary and will be used in many cases to confirm and/or pinpoint trouble spots. This analysis is presented in the main body of the technical memorandum.

The tour minutes are divided into four WSDOT Regions: the Northwest, South Central, North Central, and Eastern Regions.

## B. 2 NORTHWEST REGION - SHORELINE

I-90 Corridor Tour Minutes
January 22,1996
WSDOT Northwest Region - Shoreline WSP District 2 - Bellevue

Attendees:<br>Lt. J. J. Williams - WSP<br>Phil George - WSDOT Maintenance and Operations Superintendent<br>Dave McCormick - WSDOT Regional Traffic Engineer<br>Eldon L. Jacobson - WSDOT Advanced Technology Engineer<br>Sgt. Dan Pemerl - WSP Research \& Development<br>John O'Laughlin - PB<br>Ron Atherley - PB

## Coverage Area

The WSDOT Northwest Region (formerly District 1) coverage area along I-90 begins at MP 0 (Downtown Seattle) and extends to MP 33, east of North Bend, and applies to both Maintenance and Traffic Operations. The WSP District 2 headquarters is in Bellevue and covers a section that overlaps the WSDOT NW Region, covering from MP 0 to MP 53, the King County line. A detachment of the WSP District 2 is located in North Bend, and has coverage of I-90 east to Snoqualmie Pass. Typically, the North Bend Detachment has a greater presence near the summit due to better availability of staff than the adjacent WSP District 6 east of the mountains. In general, cooperation exists between the various WSDOT and WSP districts in the Snoqualmie Pass area.

During the tour, a focus was placed on the rural areas of I-90 east of Bellevue rather than the western portion of the corridor. Due to recent reconstruction and ITS enhancements to the west, the western end of I-90 currently has a proliferation of ITS technologies including Variable Message Signs (VMSs) Highway Advisory Radio (HAR), ramp meters, tunnel air quality sensors, and Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) that currently meet the needs of WSDOT and WSP.

## Weather Related Issues

Winter weather conditions in Snoqualmie Pass are of primary seasonal concern along l-90. In WSDOT's NW Region, it is at times necessary to turn eastbound traffic around due to Pass closures. This is accomplished through close coordination between WSDOT and WSP personnel. The experience of WSP and WSDOT suggests that it is difficult to turn high volumes of eastbound traffic around at the last possible exit. VMSs or HAR were suggested as possible means to notify travelers in advance, giving as many opportunities as possible to travelers to choose alternate routes. High Point (Exit 20) was one location that was noted to be used for turn-arounds during these events. It was suggested that interchanges west of Exit 45 be considered for VMS. Edgewick Road (Exit 34) was also identified as a location that is often used to route traffic back west during Pass closures.

Snoqualmie Pass freeway conditions are available to WSP via the Traffic System Management Center (TSMC), the Airport, and the NW Weather Service. WSDOT maintains a I-900 number for Pass conditions whereas the WSP has a toll-free number for motorists to call for information. WSP does not promote its number due to staffing and capacity problems.

Several areas along the corridor were noted as having above-average accident potential. An eastbound section of l-90 near MP 37 was cited as having accident problems due to an upgrade condition. Franklin Falls bridge was noted to have greater potential for icing than the surrounding area.

## Traffic Issues

The Northwest Region of WSDOT maintains a regional TSMC in its Shoreline-Dayton Avenue headquarters, which is the central depository for traffic information. Traffic and other data is made available to the WSP District office in Bellevue through the WSDOT TSMC, including a real-time video feed from the l-90 surveillance system. This system is capable of expansion and of incorporating additional devices if required.

The section of I-90 within the Puget Sound urban boundary is approaching capacity. There are some recurrent pockets of congestion near ramps during peak periods. Congestion at 145th and 4th Avenues were given as examples where problems occur. It was noted that traffic problems can build rapidly during incidents, but response time has been substantially reduced through the existing surveillance and detection system. Enhanced coordination capabilities between WSP and WSDOT to quickly confirm and manage traffic during incidents has considerably improved. A truck climbing lane east of exit 47 currently exists and has been beneficial in reducing rearend accidents and improving eastbound traffic flow.

Variable speed signs were suggested as a potentially useful application during inclement weather. Questions concerning the enforceability of these signs was discussed. Generally, this was not thought to be a problem since WSP already has the authority to issue citations for unsafe driving during periods of poor visibility and roadway conditions.

## Maintenance Issues

Deicing chemicals (CMA) and sand and gravel (3/8-1/2 inch) are used for winter road surface treatment. The WSDOT does not use much salt for ice control due to environmental side effects and rebar corrosion in bridge decks. It was reported that there are claims against WSDOT for broken windshields caused by flying rocks.

## Traveler and Tourist Information Issues

There are no rest areas located on I-90 within the WSDOT NW Regional Coverage Area. An existing HAR site is located near Exit 31. It operates at 1610 kHz frequency and transmits updated Snoqualmie Pass conditions.

## Enforcement/Motorist Assistance Issues

WSP has several locations where they house "spike strips" along I-90. These are currently manually operated by troopers when highway pursuits are in progress. These devices are

|  | Corridor Needs Assessment |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PARSONS | I-90 Seattle to Spokane |  |
| BRINCKERHOFF | B 5 | ITS Early Deployment Program |

stretched across the road and, when hit, slowly release air in the tires until the vehicle is forced to stop. As these are used quite successfully, it was suggested that permanent locations could be introduced where the WSP officer would not be required to cross traffic, reducing setup time and increasing officer personal safety.

In general, the freeway video system was considered useful by the WSP for verification of calls and reducing the number of false reports.

## Communications Issues

WSDOT Communication uses 800 MHz . There is some indication that this will be converted to a digital system. Redundancy of this is not there yet. Ross Morris at WSDOT should be contacted for more detailed information regarding communications.

WSP has a microwave backbone communication system. WSP vehicles have access to some WSDOT radio frequencies in their vehicles. The WSP communications center is located in the Bellevue District office. Cellular phone coverage for most of I-90 is via US West and Cellular One.

## ITS Issues

There is an existing weather detection system in place that is manufactured by SSI (Surface Systems Incorporated). A number of remote weather stations are located on I-90; many use leased phone lines for data transfer.

The Travel Aid weather system, which is to be completed soon, is located just to the east. This demonstration project is anticipated to assist in reducing accidents by providing accurate information to motorists.

There are many ITS applications on the newer section of I-90 between Bellevue and Seattle, including VMS, video surveillance systems, and tunnel monitoring systems. WSP is connected to the WSDOT TSMC for regional traffic information. Questions concerning WSDOT use of call boxes should be referred to Bill Legg, Assistant ITS Program Engineer for WSDOT.

Changeable message signs are currently located near dedicated chain-up areas. There is concern about overflow of vehicles from these areas onto the mainline freeway lanes when chains are advised. It was suggested that a device be installed to notify motorists of available space ahead in order to avoid spill-over of queues waiting to chain up.

## B. 3 SOUTH CENTRAL REGION - YAKIMA

# I-90 Corridor Tour Minutes January 22, 1996 <br> WSDOT South Central Region - Yakima <br> WSP District 6 - Wenatchee 

Attendees:
Sgt. Gene Dana - WSP
Jim Henderson - WSDOT Maintenance and Operations Superintendent
George Hilsinger - WSDOT Regional Traffic Engineer
Eldon L. Jacobson - WSDOT Advanced Technology Engineer
Sgt. Dan Pemerl - WSP Research \& Development
Kern Jacobson - PB
John O'Laughlin - PB
Ron Atherley - PB

## Coverage Area

The WSDOT South Central Region (formerly District 5) coverage area along l-90 begins at MP 33 (North Bend) and extends to MP 137 (Vantage). This jurisdictional coverage area includes approximately 20 miles in King County, all of Kittitas County, and the Vantage Bridge crossing of the Columbia River Gorge. Both Maintenance and Traffic Operations share this coverage area. The main Maintenance Facility is located in Cle Elum, whereas the WSDOT Traffic Operations is centered in Yakima. The WSP District 6 headquarters is located in Wenatchee, with detachments located in Ellensburg and Moses Lake. WSP's coverage area extends through both WSDOT's South Central and North Central Regions, covering from MP 52, the King/Kittitas County line, to MP 192, the Grant/Adams County line. WSP District 2 in Bellevue covers from MP 33 to 52. WSDOT regional maintenance facilities along the l-90 corridor are located at Bull Frog (MP SO), and Hyak (MP 54). In general, cooperation exists between the adjacent WSDOT and WSP districts in patrolling the summit area due to its unique environment.

## Weather Related Issues

Several locations were noted as having an above average accident experience due to weather related issues, including: Snoqualmie Pass, Elk Heights (MP 93), Indian John Hill (MP 89), icy bridge conditions near MP 102, and icy curves near MP 121 (near the Milwaukee Road Railroad overcrossing). An area of recurrent fog conditions lies between MP 93 and MP 127.

High winds towards the eastern limits of the region create occasional visibility problems due to drifting snow and dust. The Vantage River bridge can also experience high winds. A wind sock is currently mounted on top of the structure; a device that could advise motorists of high wind/gusty conditions was suggested.

There were several areas that are prone to snow avalanches. Permanent stands are set up where an explosive charge is released into a standing snow bank to cause a controlled avalanche. This is done by WSDOT maintenance as a preventive measure.

A weather detection system is currently operated from the Hyak Maintenance facility (SSI ). Sensors are located along I-90, and two additional weather sensors are located on I-82. There are three stations near the Summit, with three more planned for installation this year. This will extend coverage to both sides, including one in the Elk Heights vicinity.

In general, cut areas of the freeway were observed as being more susceptible to freezing than fill areas. Reverse curve areas were noted as a problem due to sheet flow across superelevation transition areas.

Noticeable variations in weather and related road conditions exist over relatively short distances due to weather patterns experienced in the mountainous terrain.

Traffic/Safety Issues
Several areas were noted as having accident experience not related to weather, as follows:

- a low bridge near MP 62 (currently has no over height detection warning system)
- a low bridge near Exit 80 - Bull Frog Road (bridge has a 16'-5" clearance but cannot accommodate large overheight loads like boats, forcing a detour onto county roads)
- curves near MP 67
- westbound Easton Hill near MP 71 (rearend/slow moving vehicle accidents)
- reverse curves near MP 92.5
- curves near MP 95 to 97
- Taneum curves and Rocky Canyon area
- Exit 109 (sharp exit experiences roll-overs)
- East of I-82: run-off-the-road (driver falling asleep) accidents (roadway section near MP 115 does not have rumble strips; rumble strips are planned to be installed within the next year or so)
- curve near MP 79

Gates are located on the westbound on-ramps to l-90 at Exits 80 and 106, and are operated during Pass closures to assist in early diversions of traffic.

I-90 experiences a significant drop in traffic volume east of the l-82 interchange (Exit 110).
Traffic data is available through Olympia from several permanent traffic data locations located along l-90.

A new interchange is proposed near MP 121-123 to access US Military Reserve Yakima Firing Center property.

Truck climbing lanes are planned for eastbound MP 91 near the Elk Heights area, westbound MP 97 in the vicinity of Rocky Canyon, and westbound MP 136 on Vantage Hill.

## Maintenance Issues

The Hyak Maintenance is responsible for monitoring weather systems and preventive deicing procedures.

Security/vandalism in rest areas is of concern. Video surveillance was suggested as a means to counter this.

There were a couple of areas noted where rock and tree falls (MP 69) occur. Suggested means to warn against this were an electric fence system that would signal when circuitry was interrupted. This device is apparently used in the railroad industry. Some concern was raised as to how false calls could be handled.

Along I-90 a higher fence was installed due to Elk in the area of Elk Heights. A reflector system which creates a visual barrier to deer through the use of reflected headlamp lights was discussed as a possible solution.

## Traveler and Tourist Information Issues

Rest Areas are located at MP 89 (Indian John Hill) and MP 126 (Ryegrass Rest Area). These rest areas have emergency call boxes, rest rooms, and telephones.

Kiosks at the rest areas were discussed as a means to disseminate information to travelers.
A HAR site is located at MP 84 near Cle Elum. It transmits at a frequency of 1610 kHz .
A HAR site located near the Vantage Bridge was suggested as a potential location from which to advise motorists of high wind conditions and to provide Gorge Amphitheater traffic/event information.

It was suggested that traveler information, including HAR, should be provided at strategic locations to notify drivers of roadway conditions and diversions. Two locations mentioned for this application were near the I-82 interchange, and at Yakima.

## Enforcement/Commercial Vehicle Operations/Motorist Assistance

Chain-up areas are provided west of the Pass; information indicating whether there is available space ahead of the queue would be beneficial. Advisory VMSs are located on either side of the pass indicating road conditions and whether chains or traction tires are advised. Chain-off areas are provided down slope at MP $56 \& 71$ eastbound, and MP 47 westbound. These are located on both sides of Snoqualmie Pass but are not signed. It is left to the driver to determine whether chains should be removed.

Video surveillance in rest areas was suggested as a means to reduce vandalism and promote safety.

The westbound portion of l-90 from Vantage is an upgrade for about 10 miles, ending at the Ryegrass Rest Area. During the summer there are many overheated vehicles that require

|  | Corridor Needs Assessment |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PARSONS I-90 Seattle to Spokane |  |  |
| BRINCKERHOFF | B-9 | ITS Early Deployment Program |

assistance. This area also experiences more accidents due to slow moving vehicles. WSP would be able to provide a listing of the number of motorist assist calls.

There are several areas of speed limit violation along this stretch of I-90. The potential use of video and speed loops was discussed.

Weigh stations are located at Bull Frog Road, Exit 80, for both eastbound and westbound vehicles. These weigh stations are considered obsolete and are currently under review to determine possible new sites for these facilities.

## Communication Issues

Troopers have access to WSDOT radio frequencies.
A microwave tower is located at MP 125, north of the freeway near Ryegrass Rest Area. Ryegrass rest area has telephone service.

An HAR system is located near MP 108, and transmits at the 1610 kHz frequency.
An HAR site located at MP 84, "1610," does not have capacity for enough information regarding Snoqualmie Pass, Blewett Pass and the Elk Heights vicinity.

## B. 4 NORTH CENTRAL REGION - WENATCHEE

## I-90 Corridor Tour Minutes January 23,1996 <br> WSDOT North Central Region - Wenatchee WSP District 6 - Wenatchee

Attendees:
Sgt. Phil Liggins - WSP
Lionel Heinold - WSDOT Maintenance and Operations Superintendent Jennene Ring - WSDOT Regional Traffic Engineer
Eldon L. Jacobson - WSDOT Advanced Technology Engineer
Sgt. Dan Pemerl - WSP Research \& Development
Kern Jacobson- PB
John O'Laughlin - PB
Ron Atherley - PB
Coverage Area
The WSDOT North Central Region (formerly District 2) coverage area along I-90 begins at MP 137 in Vantage and extends to the Grant/Adams County line at MP 192. This jurisdictional coverage area includes all of Grant County but does not include the Vantage Bridge at the Columbia River Gorge. Both Maintenance and Traffic Operations share this coverage area. The main Maintenance Facility is located in Ephrata, whereas the WSDOT Traffic Operations is centered in Wenatchee.

The WSP District 6 headquarters is located in Wenatchee with detachments located in Ellensburg and Moses Lake. WSP's coverage area extends through both WSDOT's South Central and North Central Regions, covering from the King/Kittitas County line (MP 52) to Grant/Adams County line (MP 192). The Moses Lake Detachment covers much of the same section of I-90 as does the WSDOT's North Central Region. WSDOT regional maintenance facilities along the l-90 corridor are located at Moses Lake and George.

## Weather Related Issues

The Vantage Hill area was noted as having a high number of accidents due to snow and ice conditions. Other specific sites were not specifically mentioned, however, this region experiences typical icing problems at bridges, along curves, and in low shaded areas.

There are no existing weather stations within the region.

## Traffic/Safety Issues

Of major concern in this region are the traffic effects of concerts that are held in the Gorge Amphitheater, occurring generally on Fridays and weekend nights. Currently a traffic management contractor is provided during these events, funded by MCA productions who is the sponsor of these concerts. One of the roles of this contractor is to assure that freeway traffic is not affected by the congestion which routinely occurs at Exit 137 during these events. Ramps at Exit 137 are typically at capacity before and after major Gorge Amphitheater events.

The Vantage Hill area near MP 138, east of the Vantage Bridge, experiences a high number of accidents. These accidents are attributed to a variety of factors including overspeed, frequent compact snow and ice conditions, high volume of concert goers, and the long steep westbound decline towards the Vantage Bridge curve.

The majority of this stretch of I-90 is within a narrow stretch of right-of-way. The close proximity of a parallel adjacent county road is of concern due to glare from head lamps and the absence of a barrier. A glare screen is currently placed near MP 148, eastbound, between 1 90 and a curve on the frontage road.

There are several narrow and low bridges in the Moses Lake area. Many of these locations have a high accident experience; MP 177 was one narrow bridge location specifically mentioned. Several of the low bridges are to be replaced soon, and are funded. There currently is an over height detection system that helps to prevent some accidents, although over height accidents still frequently occur. Many of these bridges are listed in the State plan for replacement to current standards.

Rumble strips have been added over the last few years to address the frequently occurring run-off-the-road (fall asleep) accidents. The application of rumble strips was noted as having a good effect on these accident types. The last remaining section (Lake to Dodson ) is scheduled for completion late in 1996. As a historical note, Dodson Road to Moses Lake (SR 17) was completed approximately a year ago, Beverly Road (MP 137) to Dodson Road (MP 164) two years ago, and Moses Lake/SR 17 (MP 179) to the Grant Adams County line (MP 192) was completed the years ago. The section from Vantage (MP 136) to Beverly Road (MP
137) was one of the first sections to have this treatment, and was completed between five and six years ago.

## Maintenance Issues

There are a limited number of gravel trucks/snowplows for the Region's 700 lane miles of state highways, and only 18 permanent maintenance staff. This reduces the response time during poor weather conditions.

The fire department is responsible for hazardous material spills; WSDOT Maintenance is responsible for cleanup afterward.

Restriping of the lane lines using paint is done every year, but is quickly worn away. Reflective pavement markers (RPMs) are not used due to the use of carbide tip snowplow blades. The possible use of inlaid methanol-methaculate for striping was discussed as a means to increase performance and life cycle of striping.

## Traveler and Tourist Information Issues

The Winchester Rest Area is located at MP 161 and provides phones and rest rooms. It was suggested that Pass information would be helpful at the westbound rest areas.

A VMS or other device prior to the Vantage River Bridge (near MP 141) was discussed as a potential countermeasure to provide warning of the long steep decline, high number of accidents, and overall roadway conditions.

A movable barrier or other means to develop one way traffic over the Vantage Bridge was discussed. The current configuration does not allow median crossing due to the existing Jersey barrier. This limits emergency vehicle traffic and traffic control options during incidents or partial bridge closures. A scenic lookout is located at MP 139 with views of the Columbia River Gorge.

## Enforcement/Motorist Assistance Issues

Speeding is the most prevalent enforcement issue in the area. WSP has minimal staff to patrol this area. Vandalism and overall security at rest areas was also mentioned as an area of concern.

## Communications Issues

An existing HAR site, transmitting at 530 kHz , is located near Moses Lake. This HAR is used exclusively for Tourist Information (similar to Ritzville) and does not currently broadcast traffic information.

WSDOT uses the 800 MHz band for radio communication, and has sites located at WSDOT's Maintenance yards at Moses Lake and George. These facilities expect to be on the WSDOT e-mail system sometime in 1996.

## B. 5 EASTERN REGION - SPOKANE

# I-90 Corridor Tour Minutes <br> January 23,1996 <br> WSDOT Eastern Region - Spokane <br> WSP District 4 - Spokane 

Attendees:
Lt. Bruce Clark - WSP
Sgt. Lee Boling - WSP
Sgt. Jeff Brumley - WSP
Trooper Martin Finan - WSP
Dale Ellard - WSDOT Maintenance and Operations Superintendent - Spokane
Dale Luiten - WSDOT Maintenance and Operations - Spokane
Richard Schroll - WSDOT Maintenance and Operations Superintendent - Rural
Bob Earnest - WSDOT Regional Traffic Engineer
Eldon L. Jacobson - WSDOT Advanced Technology Engineer
Sgt. Dan Pemerl - WSP Research \& Development
Kern Jacobson - PB
John O'Laughlin - PB
Ron Atherley - PB

## Coverage Area

The WSDOT Eastern Region (formerly District 6) coverage area along l-90 begins at MP 192 at the Grant/Adams County line and extends to the Washington/ldaho border, MP 300. This jurisdictional coverage area includes all of Adams and Spokane Counties as well as a small portion which traverses Lincoln County. Both Maintenance and Traffic Operations share this coverage area and have headquarters in Spokane. An additional maintenance facility is located in Davenport which services the section of I-90 within Adams County (MP 192-255). The WSP District 4 headquarters is located in Spokane with a detachment located in Ritzville. WSP's coverage area is the same as WSDOT's Eastern Region, sharing the same jurisdictionalboundaries.

The Spokane urban limits extend from approximately MP 275 to MP 291.
The Centennial bike trail runs parallel and north of the freeway from the Spokane Central Business District (CBD), Exit 280, to the Idaho state line.

## Weather Related Issues

Fog and ice problems exist near the airport at Exit 276, Geiger Road. These problems were thought to have been caused by airport seeding of the clouds to increase visibility during foggy days, although this was not substantiated.

There are two distinct weather systems along the corridor. It has been observed that a natural dividing point of weather patterns falls near Exit 254 at Fishtrap Road. This may be due to the
terrain, which is flat and treeless with large areas of volcanic ash to the west, compared to the terrain to the east towards Spokane, where there is a gradual rise in elevation and the soil conditions change, supporting more vegetation and trees.

Near MP 227 eastbound, an upgrade exists that has a tendency to ice up.
WSDOT expressed a need for additional weather sensors near George and Moses Lake that could provide advance warning of Pass conditions to drivers leaving the Spokane area.

## Traffic/Safety Issues

A report was provided by Bob Earnest which outlines a proposed Traffic Management System for the Spokane area. Several issues were discussed and are summarized below:

- There are existing permanent count/traffic data collection sites along I-90. Currently these data are received, reduced, and disseminated from the Olympia Regional office. Data from these local readers may not be available to the Eastern Region office until months later. The desire to have real-time data at these and other locations for traffic management was suggested in this report. A centralized system was discussed as a means to provide a clearinghouse for data collection and dissemination, including data from loops, CCTV, VMS, and weather stations.
- Real time data collection by automated video detection for traffic volumes, speeds, and classifications was discussed as a proposed means of data collection.
- A priority was placed on video surveillance and detection for the Sunset Hill Area due to a disproportionate number of accidents westbound on I-90 on the $3.5 \%$ upgrade. Six cameras sites were suggested, to be connected to a remote processor using short range microwave detection. Expansion of the video detection system, once established, should be constructed on I-90 through the CBD.
- The central microwave tower for the Eastern Region communication facility is located at the Eastern Region Headquarters.
- Joint use poles for HAR and CCTV cameras was suggested as a means to reduce costs.
- The Eastern Region has conducted evaluation tests for a low power FM broadcast HAR.
- A Traffic Operations Center (TOC) should be constructed and located adjacent to the Eastern Region communication facility. The TOC should be staffed for 24 hour coverage.
- Several locations along I-90 were identified for installation of VMSs, solar powered cellular phone call boxes, and video cameras.
- Access to traffic information via the TOC public inquiry lines was suggested.
- The SR 195 eastbound on-ramp was suggested as a possible ramp meter candidate.

Rumble strips are located throughout the region and have apparently had a positive affect on safety. Rumble strips do not extend through the urban area from approximately MP 275 to MP 289.

There is a significant drop in traffic west of US 2 (Exit 278). The Spokane urban limit ends at approximately MP 275. Much of the heavy truck traffic to the area comes from the Port of Pasco via US 395 (Exit 220).

## 1231407 p Poecpeni coc.

Corridor Needs Assessment

Most of the Eastern Region experiences poor visibility from dust and snow during windy conditions. Snow and dust is a concern from the Grant County line (MP 192) to MP 276. This is due primarily to a lack of trees and non-agricultural use. MP 290 to MP 300 experiences snow and drifting conditions due to its route through the Spokane River Valley.

Recurrent fog conditions are experienced between MP 257 and 279.
The off-ramp at eastbound Pines Road/SR 27, Exit 289, backs up onto the freeway during peak hours. It was suggested that this condition could be remedied through the use of video surveillance, VMS and/or a more responsive traffic signal operation using queue detection.

MP 283, the Thor-Freya undercrossings, is seen as a high accident area. I-90 has an undulating vertical alignment in this area which affects driver reaction time, especially when encountering slower moving vehicles.

The Liberty Park interchange area/SR 290 (Perry Curves), Exit 282, also has a higher than average accident history. This condition is due to a combination of several factors, including horizontal alignment, weaving, merging, and icing on elevated structures.

Westbound Hills Road (MP 229) overcrossing near Ritzville was noted as having an icing problem.

Westbound l-90 was thought to have icing problems east of the Sprague Lake rest area due to a concrete bridge located prior to this rest area. The bridge is located on a slight upgrade.

Incident response plans as well as incident response vehicles are available in the Eastern Region. The response vehicles operate on a stand-by basis and are staffed between March and November. During the winter months snowplow crews assist in incident response duties.

Moderately heavy AM congestion occurs from Exit 281 through Exit 289.

## Maintenance Issues

There is severe rutting in the road east of Four Lakes, Exit 270, where traffic volumes increase. Icing problems near MP 273 and MP 274 are exacerbated by this rutting condition since the ruts prevent proper drainage and plowing of the roadway surface.

Coker Road interchange bridge (MP 226) has minimal posted vertical clearance and is hit several times a year.

The Regal Street pedestrian overpass (MP 283) has minimal posted vertical clearance and is also hit several times a year.

The reconstruction of the SR 395 interchange bridge (Exit 220) is funded and is under construction.

The Hills Road bridge, located at approximately MP 229 westbound, experiences many hits on its guardrail and is thought to be caused by the transition from asphalt to concrete pavement. The bridge is known to develop ice before the adjacent roadway.

|  |  | Corridor Needs Assessment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PARSONS | I-90 Seattle to Spokane |  |
| BRINCKERHOFF | $B-15$ | ITS Ear/y Deployment Program |

Between the Coker Road and Tokio Road interchanges (MP 226 to MP 231) there is an abundance of run-off-the-road problems associated with winter ice conditions and sleepy drivers. The installation of rumble strips throughout the region have assisted in reducing this problem, especially in the Sprague vicinity.

An older section of freeway that had an "open graded" friction course was notably clearer from ice and snow.

Trees and shady areas contribute to early freezing conditions between MP 255 and 269. This is especially true in the eastbound direction, where the lower angle of the sun in the winter prevents thawing. * Move to weather or safety?

Sections of freeway on till material have historically washed out more easily.
Continuous illumination begins at US 2 (top of Sunset Hill), MP 278, and ends near the Park Road overcrossing to the east, at approximately MP 287.

There are standing water problems in the Thor-Freya area, (near MP 283) due to several low spots created by the existing undulating vertical alignment.

## Traveler and Tourist Information Issues

The WSP has been approved to have access to the existing VMS system. This was decided due to WSP having 24 hour coverage while not having the use of these boards during off-hour incidents.

There are five rest areas along I-90, the eastbound and westbound Schrag Rest Areas (MP 198), the eastbound and westbound Sprague Lake Rest Areas (MP 243), and the westbound Spokane River Rest Area (MP 299). The Spokane River Rest Area has a Tourist Information Center that is staffed between May and September by the Spokane Convention and Visitor Bureau (509-624-I 341).

The interchanges of US 395 and US 195 were discussed as potential locations for VMS/HAR applications since these are major points of diversion. A VMS was also suggested to be located westbound, east of the Idaho border, through mutual agreement between WSDOT and the Idaho Transportation Department.

Advance notification of Snoqualmie Pass conditions west of Spokane was considered beneficial. VMS and HAR was discussed as potential methods to disseminate this information. Information kiosks at rest areas were also considered as a secondary information source.

## Enforcement/Motorist Assistance Issues

WSP and WSDOT have joint access to several emergency response storage containers located in five locations: Tyler, Geiger, Maple, Pines, and the State line. These are standard shipping containers that house a variety of materials that could be used during an incident. These tools include cones, barricades and other traffic control devices and materials. These containers are keyed for sharing, providing WSP and WSDOT access. Emergency response
is on a stand-by basis, and no WSDOT personnel are assigned regular shift work, although personnel have emergency response vehicles readily available.

In the rural area west of Spokane, the WSP receives many false calls for "occupied disabled vehicles" via cellular phone. Often drivers stop for other reasons than mechanical problems and do not require assistance.

There is an "Assistance Van" for motorists which patrols the Spokane Urban area.
WSP has agreements with Idaho State Police (ISP) regarding travel outside of their respective jurisdictions during pursuits. In general, WSP has been pleased with the assistance received from ISP.

All Sergeants and above have portable spike strips that they carry in their vehicles.
A dial - 800 program was discussed that would allow motorists to call in road conditions or emergency reports through their cellular phone.

Video coverage of the Spokane urban area was discussed as a means to verify incidents and to assist in monitoring traffic conditions. This would also help to alleviate false calls

There is a marked absence of gas stations between Spokane and Ritzville, and between Ritzville and Moses Lake. A limited number of fueling locations increases the number of disabled vehicle calls. Many of the gas stations that are located are not 24 hour facilities. The potential of posting signs to note fuel availability by time of day was discussed.

A program for speed enforcement using license plate recognition technology coupled with a non-ticketing program modeled after the HERO approach in Seattle was discussed.

The feasibility of automatically determining the location of 911 cellular calls was also discussed.

## Communications Issues

The Spokane area currently does not have a HAR system; the Ritzville Area maintains a Chamber of Commerce private HAR site on the 530 AM band for Ritzville Tourist Information located near US 395 Exit 220 (Route 261). This HAR serves both I-90 and US 395 traffic.

There are apparently some dead spots or weak areas for cellular communication signal. Near MP 251 there is a break in the signal.

## ITS Issues

Tokio weigh station (MP 231) and the Idaho/Washington border port of entry weigh station may propose future weigh-in-motion applications.

The weather system (SSI) with hub at the Spokane International Airport is a direct dial-up line to the Wandermere Maintenance Facility, located eight miles north of the I-90/US 2 interchange. This system currently has nine remote processing units (RPUs), with six on I-90
and three off of I-90. Two of the RPU use a radio link for data transfer rather than a land line connection. A new station is proposed to be installed near the Sprague Avenue interchange (Exit 285).

The westbound Sprague Lake Rest Area has a weather phone tied into the Spokane International Weather Service public broadcast radio station, but does not give specific I-90 weather information. This weather reporting station is of limited value to motorists traveling westbound, as its source of information is to the east.

There is an existing bridge heating system on three pedestrian overcrossings of I-90 that are controlled by radio.

A 20 year plan has been identified to have CCTV along I-90, with Sullivan Road (MP 289) as the eastern limit.

There is an existing permanent news camera placed on top off the Deaconess Medical Center building (MP 281) which captures views of I-90 and is broadcast on television.

A logical location for a Traffic Operations Center would be adjacent to the WSP facility on Geiger Road. This would permit 24 hour monitoring of the system as well as encourage communication between WSP and WSDOT staff.

## SCOPE i.2: NON-ACCIDENT DATA SUMMARY

During this work element, the STATE shall gather and present existing information on transportation conditions in the corridor that identify and estimate the magnitude of transportation problems in the corridor. This assessment shall serve as a baseline for determining ITS needs and estimating the potential benefit of any recommended ITS applications.

Information, provided by the State, includes the following (unless unavailable):

- Forecasted Person Trips;
- Forecasted Intercity Person Trip Origins and Destinations;
- Current and Forecasted Commercial Trips;

Current and Forecasted Vehicle Volumes;

- Current and Forecasted Vehicle Classification;
- Roadside Assistance Calls;
- Number of Freeway Lanes (as an indicator of capacity);
- Current and Predicted Levels of Congestion;
- Weather Conditions;
- Programmed and Planned Highway Improvements;
- Planned Intercity Transit and Passenger Railroad Improvements;
- Current and Planned ITS Programs and Projects; and
- Numerical and other data shall be summarized on a series of maps and charts to illustrate potential problem areas and transportation needs. For purposes of presentation, the I-90 corridor will be segmented by WSDOT Region.

The STATE will summarize data into a base map or other means that provides the CONSULTANT a format that is readily interpretable and suitable for presentation. This format will be collaboratively developed with the CONSULTANT. The base map will include such features as state routes, rivers, rest stops, weigh stations, information centers, WSDOT facilities, WSP facilities, train stations, transit facilities, ports, automated volume counting stations, and WSDOT District Boundaries. Base maps will be provided on II by 17 inch paper,

|  | Corridor Needs Assessment |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PARSONS | I-90 Seattle to Spokane |  |
| BRINCKERHOFF | C-I | ITS Early Deployment Program |

## C. 1 CORRIDOR DESCRIPTION

Interstate 90 stretches from the Puget Sound Region in Washington State to Boston Massachusetts. Nationally, this route is a primary east west corridor, serving cross country transport, tourism and commuters in cities such as Seattle/Bellevue, Spokane, Chicago, Buffalo and Boston.

The focus of this study is on the portion of I-90 within Washington State, from its terminus in Seattle to approximately 20 miles east of Spokane at the Idaho State line. The I-90 corridor traverses Washington State from Seattle (MP 1.94) to the Idaho border east of Spokane (MP 299.82) for a total length of 297.88 miles ( 479.4 kilometers). The l-90 corridor passes through or by the cities of Seattle, Bellevue, Issaquah, North Bend, Cle Elum, Ellensburg, Kittitas, George, Moses Lake, Ritzville, Sprague, Spokane, and Millwood. The highway crosses six counties including King, Kittitas, Grant , Adams, Lincoln and Spokane. This is the only limited access crossing the Cascade Range within the state of Washington and is the primary route for national, statewide and inter-regional commerce.

The I-90 corridor has the largest population in King County at the west end of the corridor, followed by Spokane County at the east end of the corridor. Table C-I below indicates the corridor county populations based on the 1990 census figures. The estimated 1995 and projected 2020 population is also shown in the table. Anticipated population growth over the 30 year period from 1990 to 2020 ranges from $35 \%$ in King County to 70\% in Grant County, with a statewide average of $56 \%$.

Table C-1

| County Population Statistics for the I-90 Seattle to Spokane ITS Corridor |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County. | 1990 US Census | $1995$ <br> Estimated | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| King | 1,507,305 | 1,613,600 | 2,030,700 |
| Kittitas | 26,725 | 30,100 | 42,200 |
| Grant | 54,798 | 64,500 | 92,900 |
| Adams | 13,603 | 15,200 | 21,000 |
| Lincoln | 8,864 | 9,700 | 12,400 |
| Spokane | 361,333 | 401,200 | 548,000 |
| Statewide Total | 4.866 .663 | 5.429 .900 | 7.610.100 |

1990 and 1995 data courtesy of Washington State Association of Counties.
2020 data courtesy of Washington State Office of Financial Management.

## C. 2 CURRENT AND FUTURE TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE

The locations of WSDOT district boundaries and facility sites including regional headquarters and maintenance sites are illustrated in Figures C-la and C-lb. WSP detachment locations are also illustrated in these two figures.

The locations of transportation facilities located along the corridor, including airport, train, bus, shipping, and pipeline facilities, are illustrated in Figures $\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~b}$. Further description of these facilities follows in Sections C.2.3-C.2.7.

## C.2.I Highway System

The majority of I-90 consists of two lanes in each direction, with added lanes in the Seattle and Spokane areas. Table C-2 describes the geometric characteristics of I-90. The speed limits listed in Table C-2 have since increased in the rural areas to 70 MPH . Figures $\mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~b}$ illustrate the locations of rest areas, weigh stations, and traveler information locations along the corridor.

Tables C-3 through C-6 are a listing of the planned improvements for each of the four WSDOT Regions and are categorized by service objectives. The three primary service objectives listed are: Mobility, Safety, and Economic Initiatives.

Mobility solution types fall into several strategies including: System expansion, HOV/TSM/Transit \& HCT, Further Study Needed, No Action, Other Efficiency Improvements, Core HOV System, Urban Bicycle Connection. These mobility solutions are shown as either Included in Financially Constrained Plan or Excluded from the Financially Constrained plan.

Safety Improvement solution types fall into the categories of Collision Reduction - High Accident Corridor, three Collision Prevention types including Risk reduction (run-off-road accidents), At Grade Intersection improvements, and bringing facilities up to current Interstate Standards. Many of these safety improvement are also intertwined with mobility programs.

Economic Initiatives include strategies such as All weather road improvements, Truck System Completion, Vertical Clearance Restricted Interstate Bridge improvements, Load Restricted' Bridge Improvements, Bicycle Touring Route Improvements, and Proposed new rest areas.

## I-90 WSDOT AND WSP FACILITY SITES






Table C-2

| I-90 Basic Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.90 Milepost } \\ & (\text { MP }) \end{aligned}$ |  | Description |  | Number of Lanes |  | Width of lanes (feet) |  | Median Wi dth | Median <br> Barrier | Class. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { Limit } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Begi n | End | From | To | WB | E B | WB | E B | (feet) | Type |  | ( MP H) |
| 1.97 | 15.82 | 4th Ave. S. Seattle | SR-900 Issaquah | 2-5 | 2-4 |  |  | Varies | Jersey | U5 | 55 |
| 15.82 | 18.38 | Issaquah SR-900 | E. Sunset Way | 3 | 3 | 36 | 36 | 48 | No | U5 | 55 |
| 18.38 | 19.87 | E. Sunset Way | Issaquah City Limits | 3 | 4 | 36 | 48' | 60-200 | No | U5 | 55 |
| 19.87 | 20.28 | Issaquah City Limits | High Point Road |  | 4 | 36 | 48 | 85 | No | R5 | 65 |
| 20.28 | 22.86 | High Point Road | Jones Road | , | 3 | 38 | 36 | 48-85 | No | R5 | 65 |
| 22.86 | 25.65 | Jones Road | SR 18 | 4 | 3 | 48 | 36 | 48+ | None | R5 | 65 |
| 25.65 | 26.38 | SR 18 | SR 18 Ramp | 4 | 4 | 48 | 48 | 72+ | None | R5 | 65 |
| 26.38 | 30.23 | SR 18 Ramp | SR 202 | 4 | 3 | 48 | 36 | 72+ | None | R5 | 65 |
| 30.23 | 34.97 | SR 202 | Edgewick Road Rmp. |  | 3 | 36 | 36 | 70+ | None | R5 | 85 |
| 34.97 | 38.81 | Edgewick Road Rmp. | End EB Truck Lane | 3 | 4 | 36 | 48 | 20-70 | None | R5 | 65 |
| 38.81 | 47.98 | End EB Truck Lane | Denny Creek | 3 | 3 | 36 | 36 | 48+ | None | R5 | 65 |
| 47.98 | 52.24 | Denny Creek | SR 906 | 3 | 4 | 36 | 48 | 24+ | Jersey | R5 | 65 |
| 52.24 | 52.61 | SR 906 | County Line | 4 | 4 | 48 | 48 | 22-24 | Jersey | R5 | 65 |
| 52.61 | 54.93 | County Line | Begin WB Truck Ln. | 3 | 3 | 36 | 36 | 22-28 | Jersey | R5 | 65 |
| 54.93 | 55.38 | Begin WB Truck Ln. | Near Gold Creek | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 20-28 | Jersey | R5 | 65 |
| 55.38 | 109.33 | Near Gold Creek | Ellensburg City Limit | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 20-300 | Varies | R5 | 65 |
| 109.33 | 110.00 | Ellensburg City Limit | MP 110-Urban Limit |  | 2 | 24 | 24 | 76 | None | U5 | 65 |
| 110.00 | 174.73 | MP 1 10-Urban Limit | Moses Lake (west) | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | Varies | Varies | R5 | 85 |
| 174.73 | 179.80 | Moses Lake (west) | SR 17 Vicinity | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 16-40 | GR | U5 | 65 |
| 179.80 | 273.02 | SR 17 Vicinity | SR 902 Vicinity | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 36+ | None | R5 | 65 |
| 273.02 | 275.75 | SR 902 Vicinity | Speed Limit Change | , |  | 24 | 24 | 60-76 | None | U5 | 65 |
| 275.75 | 277.86 | Speed Limit Change | SR 2 Vicinity | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 40 | Varies | U5 | 55 |
| 277.86 | 278.20 | SR 2 Vicinity | Garden Springs Rd. | 3 | 2 | 36 | 24 | 40 | Jersey | U5 | 55 |
| 278.20 | 280.55 | Garden Springs Rd. | Monroe St. EB Ramp | 3 | 3 | 36 | 36 | 10-22 | Jersey | U5 | 55 |
| 280.55 | 281.18 | Monroe St. EB Ramp | Vicinity Viaduct End | 3 | 4 | 36 | 48 | 4 | Jersey | U5 | 55 |
| 281.18 | 285.4 | Vicinity Viaduct End | Sprague Avenue | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 4-12 | Jersey | U5 | 55 |
| 285.4 | 294.5 | Sprague Avenue | Vic. Barker Road | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 12-40 | Varies | R5 | 65 |
| 294.5 | 299.82 | Vic. Barker Road | Idaho State Line | 2 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 40-76 | None | R5 | 65 |

Notes: Data derived from State Highway Log, Planning Report 1995. Short sections of median barrier or median guard rail or changes in median width are not included. New high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes being built in South Snohomish County are not included. GR = Guard Rail Jersey = Jersey shape Barrier



## Table C-3

| Summary of Planned Corridor Improvements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMPROVEMENT TYPE | DESCRIPTION | LOCATION |
| Mobilitv Improvements (Included in Financially Constrained Plan ) | Add stalls to South Bellevue. Mercer Island United Methodist Church, Mercer Island Presbyterian Church Park Ride lots. | MP 9.72 |
|  | Add stalls to Eastgate Park\& Ride lot, construct 2 new park and ride lots at Lakemont Blvd. SE interchange and in Issaquah? | MP 12.35 to MP 16.64 |
| Mobility Improvements (Excluded | Regional Rail system | MP 0.36 to MP 5.86 |
|  | NFS - SR 900 Interchange Reconfiguration | MP 15.37 |
|  | Sunset Interchange Improvements | MP 18.38 |
| Mobility Core HOV Mobility Strategy | HOV lanes I-405 to Issaquah Regional Rail System HOV lanes Regional Rail system |  |
| Mobility Urban Bicycle Strategies | Provide bike/ped facility at SR 90 Eastgate in vicinity of 136th St. Tunnel |  |
| Safety Improvements | All of l-90 to design standards | MP 1.94 to MP 33.29 |
|  | Included in the Interstate safety estimates | MP 2.79 to MP 3.06 |
|  | Included in the Interstate safety estimates | MP 3.13 to MP 3.33 |
|  | Included in the Interstate safety estimates | MP 5.89 to MP 5.93 |
|  | Guardrail end treatment, extend guardrail and install guardrail | MP 18.50 to MP 19.00 |
|  | Guardrail end treatment, signing and clear zones (trees) | MP 21.50 to MP 23.00 |
|  | New signals. Signing. Construct deceleration lane | MP 23.00 to MP 26.00 |
|  | Guardrail end treatment and signing | MP 26.00 to MP 27.00 |
|  | Signing | MP 27.00 to MP 29.00 |
|  | Guardrail end treatment and extend guard rail | MP 29.00 to MP 30.00 |
|  | New signal, extend tapers near \& Ride | MP 30.00 to MP 31.00 |

Source: January 1995 State Highway System Plan

Table C-4

## Summary of Planned Corridor Improvements <br> I-90 South Central Region

IMPROVEMENT TYPE
DESCRIPTION

## LOCATION

| Mobility Improvements (Included in Financially Constrained) Plan | Hyak to Resort Cr. - Widen to six lanes | MP 55.16 to MP 59.79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resort Cr. to Cabin Road -Widen to six lanes | MP 59.79 to MP 63.53 |
|  | Cabin Creek to Easton Hill -Widen to six lanes | MP 63.53 to MP 67.17 |
|  | Easton to Cle Elum - Widen to six lanes | MP 67.17 to MP 82.75 |
|  | Highline Canal to Elk Heights - Construct Truck Climbing lane | MP 90.00 to MP 93.60 |
|  | Elk Heights interchange vicinity to Taneum Cr. Road - Construct Truck Climbing lane | MP 95.00 to MP 97.00 |
|  | Construct eastbound truck climbing lane | MP 124.02 to MP 125.87 |
|  | Construct westbound truck climbing lane | MP 125.87 to MP 137.64 |
| Mobility Improvements | Add eastbound lane at the $1-90 / /-82$ interchange | MP 0.63 of I-82 |
| (Excluded from Financially Constrained Plan) | Further study needed on I-90 | MP 33.29 to MP 111.00 |
| Safety Improvements | tnstall IVHS system and variable speed limit signing, install rumble strips westbound and eastbound; install durable striping and slotted drains | MP 33.1 to MP 52.61 |
|  | Improve I-90 corridor to design standards | MP 33.29 to MP 137.67 |
|  | Included in Mobility solution cost estimate | MP 48.66 to MP 62.61 |
|  | Install IVHS system and variable speed limit signing, add durable markings, rumble strips and slotted drains | MP 52.61 to MP 71.20 |
|  | Update guardrail, rumble strips \& durable lane striping, flatten slopes, widen median shoulders, retrofit bridge rails with thrie beam | MP 67.00 to MP 110.00 |
|  | Realign roadway, flatten slopes | MP 79.00 to MP 79.29 |
|  | Realign roadway | MP 133.69 to MP 136.64 |
|  | Flatten slopes | MP 61.41 to MP 61.44 |
| Economic Initiatives | Replace bridge with less than 15'6' on Trunk System (Stampede Rd. UC) | Varies |
|  | Proposed new rest area within vicinity of MP 28.95 to MP 60 | MP 28.95 to MP 60 |

Source: January 7995 State Highway System Plan

|  |  | Corridor Needs Assessment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PARSONS | I-90 Seattle to Spokane |  |
| BRINCKERHOFF | C-13 | ITS Early Deployment Program |

Table C-5

## Summary of Planned Corridor Improvements

I-90 North Central Region

## IMPROVEMENT TYPE

DESCRIPTION
LOCATION

| Mobility Improvements ( Included in Financially Constrained Plan) | Widen SR 90 U'xings and modify interchanges at Central Ave., Peninsula Dr. and Potato Hill Road | MP 176.15 to MP 177.77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety Improvements | Curve revision, rock blasting e tend ramps - | MP 137.74 to MP 138.24 |
|  | Ramp improvements at ista Point - | MP 139.56 to MP 149.85 |
|  | Extend existing westbound ramps at six i terchanges - | MP 149.85 to MP 150.07 |
| Economic Initiatives | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ on Trunk System (SR 171 UC) | Varies |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ on Trunk System (Central Ave UC) |  |
|  | Replace bridge with less than 15'6' on Trunk System (Peninsula Dr UC) |  |
|  | Replace bridge with less than 15'6" on Trunk System (CMSTPP RR UC) |  |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6$ ' on Trunk System (Potato Hill Rd UC) |  |

Sour January 1995 State Highway System Plan

## Table C-6

## Summary of Planned Corridor Improvements <br> I-90 Eastern Region

| IMPROVEMENT TYPE | DESCRIPTION | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobility Improvements (Included in Financially Constrained | Add lanes - Hamilton Street to Sprague Ave Add lanes and Interchange - Sprague to Argonne Stage 1 | MP 282.20 to MP 285.31 MP 285.31 to MP 285.87 |
| Plan) | Add lanes - Sprague to Argonne Stage 2 | MP 285.31 to MP 285.87 |
|  | Add lanes, Further study to determine General Purpose or HOV | MP 288.12 to MP 290.00 |
|  | Construct new University Interchange | MP 288.85 |
|  | Reconstruct Harvard Road/Liberty Lake Interchange | MP 296.19 |
| Mobility Improvements (Excluded from Financially Constrained Plan) | Add lanes, Further study to determine HOV or GP- Four Lakes to Airport Rd Further study of I-90 from Division Street to Hamilton Street | MP 270.55 to MP 278.2 <br> MP 281.56 to 282.24 |
|  | Add lanes, Further study to determine HOV or general purpose lanes | MP 290 to MP 299.82 |
| Safety Improvements | Flaten slopes | MP 222.00 to MP 230.67 |
|  | Rumble strips, Salnave to Geiger - (partially already installed) | MP 265.00 to MP 275.00 |
|  | Ramp Improvements Geiger Field Interchange - (completed) | MP 276.32 |
|  | Ramp Improvements Garden Springs Interchange - (completed) | MP 277.26 |
|  | Ramp Improvements at SR 2 Interchange - (completed) | MP 277.73 |
|  | Interchange -Ramp modification - Pines Rd (may include a partial clover leaf) | MP 290.00 |
|  | Additional analysis required Pines Road to Idaho | MP 292.00 to MP 299.82 |
| Economic Initiatives | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ on Trunk System (BNRR UC) | MP 220.28 |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6$ " on Trunk System (SR 395 UC) | MP 220.49 |
|  | Replace bridge less than $15^{156 "}$ on Trunk System (Wellsandt Rd. UC) | MP 222.82 |
|  | Replace bridge with less than 15,6" on Trunk System (Cora Rd. UC) | MP 226.39 |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ ' on Trunk System (E. Tokio Rd. UC) | MP 231.23 |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ on Trunk System (Ped. UC at Regal St.) | MP 283.36 |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ on Trunk System (Flora Rd. UC) | MP 292.96 |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ on Trunk System (Barker Rd. UC) | MP 293.95 |
|  | Replace bridge with less than $15^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ on Trunk System (Greenacres UC) | MP 294.92 |
|  | Add lanes and Interchange - Sprague to Argonne Stage 1 (Good chance of being built within next 4 years) |  |
|  | Reconstruct Harvard Road/Liberty Lake Interchange - (May have some developer funding) |  |

Source: January 1995 State Highway System Plan
PARSONS
BRINCKERHOFF c- 15

## C.2.2 Highway Motorist Information Signing

WSDOT is involved in installing signs along the roadsides that advertise services provided by businesses at locations near the freeway interchanges. Businesses like gas stations, motels and hotels, restaurants, and camping parks participate in the program. Table C-7 indicates how many motorist information signs (MIS) are present in each WSDOT region.

## Table C-7

| Number of MIS Signs on I-90 by WSDOT Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway | MP Limits | SDOT Region | Number of MIS |
| I-90 | 1.94 to 33.29 | Northwest | 65 |
| I-90 | 33.29 to 137.67 | South Central | 79 |
| I-90 | 137.67 to 189.05 | North Central | 37 |
| I-90 | 189.05 to 299.82 | Eastern | 155 |

Appendix A contains a reproduction of the Washington State Interstate Guide, produced by the Washington Department of Transportation. Shown on this set of schematic maps are rest areas, motorist information service signs locations, exit numbers, cross street names, parallel bike trails, state parks, visitor information centers, and points of interest .

## C.2.3 Transit Systems

Two local transit agencies utilize the I-90 corridor ; Metro Transit in King County and Spokane Transit in Spokane. Metro Transit's range along I-90 extends to Issaquah and has several park \& ride facilities adjacent to the highway. Metro Transit service is also enhanced through a system of reversible HOV lanes along the corridor in the greater Seattle metropolitan area.

Spokane Transit's service area covers Spokane County and the City. Spokane Transit operates routes along l-90 from approximately which serve eastern suburbs of Spokane. Currently west side suburban bus routes do not access the freeway. Spokane Transit is currently investigating the potential use of transit signal priority at critical intersections for Spokane County and State signals. Other commercial bus service carriers operate along I-90 including Trailways, Greyhound, and a variety of charter services. Inter-city bus service uses I-90 with stops at regional city destinations including; Seattle, Issaquah, North Bend, Ellensburg, George, Moses Lake, Cheney and Spokane.

## C.2.4 Rail Systems

The Burlington Northern Sante Fe Railroad Company has a single-track main line with passing sidings from Port of Everett east to Spokane, crossing Stevens Pass (Stevens Pass is north of I-90). This line parallels I-90 but is usually quite a distance north of I-90. The east-west line is considered to be close to maximum capacity due to the bottleneck at the Cascade tunnel.

About 24 trains per day can use the tunnel due to the use of diesel locomotives and the requirement to ventilate the tunnel after each train crossing.

A recent bill passed by the 1996 Legislature and signed into law establishes a new freight rail corridor along the alignment of the old Milwaukee Railroad line. This law allows for the franchising of the State's portion of the Milwaukee right-of-way for freight rail use and includes a cross-state recreational trail. This new route would include the Stampede Pass line from Auburn to Cle Elum and the Milwaukee Road segment from Ellensburg through Othello to Lind. This third corridor would relieve congestion that already exists on the Stevens Pass route connecting Spokane and Everett and the Columbia River Gorge route connecting the TriCities with Vancouver, Washington. This route will provide additional options to local shippers, manufactures and producers in transporting products to domestic and international markets, while keeping Washington ports competitive in the international market.

Passenger rail service along the l-90 corridor includes four weekly Amtrac runs along the Burlington Northern Route. (North of I-90) Amtrac stations are located in Edmonds, Everett, Wenatchee, Ephrata and Spokane. Total trip length from Seattle to Spokane is approximately eight hours.

A study entitled "High Speed Ground Transportation Study" was completed in October of 1992. This study examined both north-south and east-west corridors to determine potential feasibility of High Speed Ground Transportation (HSGT) by the year 2020. Three alternative routes where considered that would utilize the existing l-90 corridor to some extent, including:

- Seattle to Moses Lake to Spokane;
- Seattle to Yakima to Pasco (Tri-Cities) to Spokane; and
- Seattle to Yakima to Walla Walla.

Of these east-west corridors, the Seattle to Moses Lake to Spokane corridor, which parallels the l-90 alignment in its entirety, was considered for possible further study. This corridor was considered the most economically feasible of the east-west corridors studied and would have the greatest potential benefit for statewide intercity travel. The potential for HSGT service to Eastern Washington, however, are well off into the future since numerous institutional, legislative, funding and technology issues must be overcome before serious planning can begin.

## C.2.5 Marine Facilities

There is no waterborne commerce directly along the l-90 corridor. There is recreational boat traffic on the Columbia River (l-90 crosses the Columbia River at Vantage) and on some of the lakes in the corridor (Moses Lake, Potholes Reservoir, Spokane River).

However, shallow draft ports on the Columbia River are located in the Tri-Cities area (Pasco-Richland-Kennewick). These ports handle cargo volumes that would otherwise be handled on surface transportation including roads and rail. This major inland port, however, does generate traffic along US 395 to the north and east to I-90 from Ritzville to Spokane. Trucks traveling these routes in support of this marine facility carry dry bulk and breakbulk cargo to coastal ports for export.

|  | Conidor Needs Assessment |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PARSONS | I-90 Seattle to Spokane |  |
| BRINCKERNOFF | $c-17$ | ITS Early Deployment Program |

As stated in the "1991 Washington Ports and Transportation Systems Study", the impact of salmon preservation could drastically impact navigation on the entire Columbia-Snake River system. Salmon habitat preservation measures such as draw down of reservoirs reduces available navigational draft on the system, reducing marine transport capabilities. Thus, road and rail would need to be improved to counter these effects. Direct intermodal access to and from these ports remains a critical element in their economic viability.
$\mathrm{I}-90$ terminates in the west near the Port of Seattle. Port commerce is facilitated by the I-90 corridor. As an example, the "1995 Marine Cargo Forecast" estimates that the Wenatchee Apple Growers, who supply about $65 \%$ of apple exports at terminal 91 , use l-90 as a primary route to transport their produce.

## C.2.6 Airport Facilities

Two Airports are accessed directly from I-90, Moses Lake and Spokane International. Both of these airports handle passenger traffic and cargo. Based on the 1991 Washington Ports and Transportation Systems Study, Spokane Airport has more than doubled its aircargo tonnage from 1986 to 1990 while Moses Lake Airport has had an increase in air cargo of 7.7 percent over the same time period. Continued growth at these facilities will place more demand on the supporting highway transportation system. During adverse weather conditions in the Puget Sound region, the Moses Lake Airport functions as an alternative landing site. Passengers would then bused along l-90 west to their original destination.

## C.2.7 Pipelines

The Yellowstone Pipeline with its origin in Billings Montana, parallels the l-90 corridor from Spokane to Moses Lake. This is a privately owned pipeline that carries refined petroleum products including gasoline and diesel. Olympic Pipeline Co. has applied to construct a 227 mile petroleum pipeline crossing northern and eastern King County from Woodinville to Pasco via Snoqualmie Pass.

## C. 3 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## C.3.1 Existing Traffic Conditions

Table C-8 shows the daily volumes on I-90 for 1990 from l-5 to the King County border. The two-way volumes are split into general purpose (GP) and high-occupancy vehicle (HOV). Volumes are heaviest between the l-405 and Bellevue Way interchanges. Volumes go down slightly to the west, and drop off significantly to the east of SR 18. HOV volumes show a similar pattern, with volumes building slowing from I-5 to the east, peaking around I-405 and Bellevue Way.

## Table C-8

| 1990 Daily Volumes on l-90 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location 1 | Location 2 | GP | HOV | TOTAL |
| I-90 Around I-5 |  |  |  |  |
| East of l-5 | I-5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| I-5 | East of I-5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| I-5 | West of $1-5$ | 32,376 | 110 | 32,488 |
| West of I-5 | I-5 | 32,877 | 117 | 32,994 |
| West of I-5 | Ranier Ave S | 36,277 | 114 | 36,391 |
| Ranier Ave S | West of l-5 | 35,668 | 120 | 35,788 |
| I-90 East and West of I-405 Interchange |  |  |  |  |
| Bellevue Way | I-405 | 55,271 | 151 | 55,422 |
| I-405 | Bellevue Way | 55,425 | 160 | 55,585 |
| I-405 |  | 42,733 | 105 | 42,838 |
|  | 1-405 | 46,957 | 133 | 47,090 |
| I-90 East and West of SR 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Preston-Fall Cty | SR 18 | 9,320 | N/A | 9,320 |
| SRI8 | Preston-Fall Cty | 9,296 | N/A | 9,296 |
| SR 18 |  | 14,438 | N/A | 14,438 |
|  | SR 18 | 14,591 | N/A | 14,591 |
| I-90 Around the King County Border |  |  |  |  |
| Around King Cty |  | 9,231 | N/A | 9,231 |
|  |  | 9,384 | N/A | 9,384 |
|  |  | 9,231 | N/A | 9,231 |
|  |  | 9,384 | N/A | 9,384 |

Source: WSDOT, 1996

Figures C-4a and C-4b illustrate the average daily traffic volumes for 1994 for the rest of the corridor. These figures show a drop in traffic between I-82 in Ellensburg and U.S. 395 in Ritzville, as well as higher urban traffic in the Spokane area.


Corridor Needs Assessment


Corriaor Neeas Assessment I-90 Seattle to Spokane TS Early Deployment Program

## C.3.2 Future Travel Demand

Table C-9 shows the forecasted daily volumes on I-90 for 2010 from I-5 to the King County Border. The volumes are split into GP and HOV, and also show the percentage increase from 1990 volumes. Volume characteristics are similar to those of 1990, with the addition of a third peak area. The highest GP volumes are between the l-405 and Bellevue Way interchanges. Volumes go down slightly to the west, and drop off significantly to the east of SR 18. HOV volumes show a similar pattern, with volumes building slowing from l-5 to the east, peaking around l-405 and Bellevue Way.

The forecasted 20-year increase in GP volumes ranges between 0.4 percent to 2.8 percent, with the highest rates near the King County border. Forecasted rates of growth range from 10.4 percent to 12.8 percent, reflecting an anticipated mode shift due to growing congestion and improved transit services. The highest rates of HOV growth are between the l-5 and Rainier interchanges.

Table C-9

| 2010 Forecasted Daily Volumes on 1-90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | GP Increase | HOV Increase |
| Location 1 | Location 2 | GP | HOV | TOTAL | From 7990 | From 7990 |
| I-90 Around I-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East of l-5 | I-5 | 27,033 | 111 | 27,144 | N/A | N/A |
| I-5 | East of l-5 | 23,561 | 14 | 23,575 | N/A | N/A |
| I-5 | West of l-5 | 45,843 | 1,136 | 46,979 | 1.75\% | 12.38\% |
| West of l-5 | I-5 | 44,524 | 1,249 | 45,773 | 1.53\% | 12.57\% |
| West of l-5 | Ranier Ave S | 40,388 | 1,136 | 41,524 | 0.54\% | 12.18\% |
| Ranier Ave S | West of l-5 | 41,066 | 1,249 | 42,315 | 0.71\% | 12.43\% |
| I-90 East and West of l-405 Interchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bellevue Way | I-405 | 59,359 | 1,407 | 60,766 | 0.36\% | 11.81\% |
| I-405 | Bellevue Way | 61,723 | 1,476 | 63,199 | 0.54\% | 11.75\% |
| I-405 |  | 53,482 | 934 | 54,416 | f. 13\% | 11.55\% |
|  | I-405 | 59,941 | 953 | 60,894 | 1.23\% | 10.35\% |
| I-90 East and West of SR 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preston-Fall Cty | SR18 | 14,361 | 439 | 14,800 | 2.19\% | N/A |
| SR18 | Preston-Fall Cty | 14,363 | 439 | 14,802 | 2.20\% | N/A |
| SR18 |  | 21,554 | 456 | 22,010 | 2.02\% | N/A |
|  | SRI8 | 21,684 | 456 | 22,140 | 2.00\% | N/A |
| I-90 Around the King County Border |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Around King Cty |  | 16,136 | 0 | 16,136 | 2.83\% | N/A |
|  |  | 16,289 | 0 | 16,289 | 2.80\% | N/A |
|  |  | 16,136 | 0 | 16,736 | 2.83\% | N/A |
|  |  | 16,289 | 0 | 16,289 | 2.80\% | N/A |

Source: WSDOT, 1996

Table C-10 illustrates forecasted volumes for the Moses Lake area.
Table $\quad \mathrm{C}-10$
I-90 Forecasted Volumes for the Moses Lake Area

| l-90 Location | Current <br> Est. 1993 <br> ADT | Future Est. <br> 2 16 <br> ADT | Prorated <br> 2010 <br> ADT | Rounded <br> 2010 <br> ADT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West of Dodson Road, MP 164.59 | $10100(93)$ | $19400(16)$ | 16974 | 17,000 |
| East of Dodson Road, MP 164.59 | $11100(93)$ | $20800(16)$ | 18270 | 18,000 |
| West of Mae Valley Rd, MP 174.60 | $10600(93)$ | $22550(17)$ | 19562 | 20,000 |
| Between Mae Valley Rd, MP <br> 174.60, \& SR 171, MP 175.94 | $15600(93)$ | $35050(17)$ | 30188 | 30,000 |
| Between Wapato, MP 176.15, and <br> SR 17, MP 179.45 | $9700(93)$ | $21350(17)$ | 18438 | 18,000 |
| Between SR 17, MP 179.45, and <br> Wheeler Road, MP 182.83 | $8800(93)$ | $19350(17)$ | 16712 | 17,000 |
| East of Wheeler Road, MP 128.83 | $8500(93)$ | $16350(17)$ | 14388 | 14,000 |

ADT = Average Daily Traffic

Table C-I 1 shows Spokane County forecasted volumes, provided by the Spokane Regional Transportation Council.

Table C-11
I-90 Forecasted Volumes for Spokane County

|  | 2010 PM peak hour <br> traffic volumes |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| l-90 Location <br> Nest end of l-90 in Spokane <br> County | $\mathbf{5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 9 8}$ | 12,000 |
| West of Four Lakes interchange | 648 | $\mathbf{6 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ | 14,608 | 15,000 |
| East of Four Lakes interchange | 173 <br> 3 | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ <br> $\mathbf{8}$ | 4501 | 49,736 | 50,000 |
| Between SR 2 and SR 195 | 375 | 499 | 8746 | 96,643 | 97,000 |
| East of SR 195 | 492 <br> 4 | 516 <br> 9 | 10093 | 111,528 | 112,000 |
| West of Division Street (SR 2/395) | 560 <br> 2 | 558 <br> 8 | 11190 | 123,650 | 124,000 |
| East of Division Street (SR 2/395) | 552 <br> 0 | 650 <br> 6 | 12026 | 132,887 | 133,000 |
| West of Pines Road (SR 27) | 416 <br> 0 | 486 <br> 5 | 9025 | 99,726 | 100,000 |
| East of Pines Road (SR 27) | 365 <br> 6 | 386 <br> 1 | 7517 | 83,063 | 83,000 |
| Idaho border | 140 <br> 3 | 139 <br> 9 | 2802 | 30,962 | 31,000 |

$E B=$ Eastbound, $W B=$ Westbound, $A D T=$ Average Daily Traffic

Table C-12 shows Kittitas County forecasted traffic volumes, provided by the WSDOT North Central Region.

Table C-12
I-90 Forecasted Volumes for Kittitas County

| l-90 Location | 1985 <br> AADT | 1994 <br> AADT | Computed <br> Annual Growth <br> Rate | 2010 <br> AADT | Rounded <br> Volumes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R006 MP 85.06 W/O <br> SR 970~Cle Elum | 11,887 | 19,205 | 0.0547 | 45,061 | 45,000 |
| R042 MP 136.58 <br> W/O SR 26-Vantage | 7,764 | 12,344 | 0.0528 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 0 0}$ |

AADT = Average Annual Daily Traffic. C. 2 Current and Future Transportation Infrastructure

## C. 4 OTHER EXISTING CONDITIONS

## C.4.1 Unstable Slopes

The WSDOT Geology Branch maintains a list of unstable slopes that sometimes impact a roadway. The slopes are either above the roadway or below the roadway. Slopes that are above the roadway can slide onto the roadway, or can deposit loose rocks onto the roadway. Slopes that are below the roadway can settle, or erode.

The major freeways in Washington State have generally been located in areas where unstable slopes are not normally a problem, compared to older, narrower roads in mountainous terrain. However, there are a few locations that have unstable slope problems along I-90.

Table C-I 3 lists the currently known unstable slope problems in increasing mileposts while Table C-14 lists the same areas in order of "score." A high 'score," or total points, indicates a greater potential problem area. The Unstable Slope Numerical Rating System has 12 scoring categories which include: Problem Type (soil, rock), Average Daily Traffic, Impact of Failure on Roadway, Failure Frequency, and Economic Factor. Scoring ranges from 3-81 points. For example, under Impact of Failure on Roadway, the potential that a landslide would extend only 50 feet gets 3 points. If a local evaluator feels a particular slope could slide 500 feet, it gets 81 points.

Figures C-5a and C-5b illustrate unstable slope locations.

Table C-13

| I-90 Unstable Slopes In Milepost Order |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE ROUTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BEGIN } \\ & \text { MILEPOST } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { MILEPOST } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROBLEM } \\ \text { TYPE } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL POINTS |
| 90 | 0.10 | 0.30 | EROSION | 0 |
| 90 | 23.30 | 23.40 | LANDSLIDE | 384 |
| 90 | 23.30 | 23.31 | LANDSLIDE | 0 |
| 90 | 27.00 | 27.20 | LANDSLIDE | 0 |
| 90 | 29.13 | 29.24 | ROCKFALL | 216 |
| 90 | 36.45 | 36.60 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 39.95 | 40.17 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 48.79 | 48.92 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 48.88 | 48.92 | ROCKFALL | 198 |
| 90 | 49.30 | 49.90 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 49.70 | 50.20 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 50.16 | 50.42 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 50.50 | 51.00 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 51.30 | 51.57 | ROCKFALL | 342 |
| 90 | 51.36 | 50.56 | ROCKFALL | 285 |
| 90 | 51.55 | 51.77 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 57.54 | 57.76 | ROCKFALL | 318 |
| 90 | 57.87 | 58.10 | ROCKFALL | 300 |
| 90 | 58.01 | 58.49 | ROCKFALL | 321 |
| 90 | 58.19 | 58.35 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 58.61 | 59.08 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 59.08 | 59.16 | ROCKFALL | 240 |
| 90 | 60.28 | 60.41 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 60.74 | 60.82 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 64.45 | 64.55 | SETTLEMENT | 396 |
| 90 | 64.76 | 64.89 | ROCKFALL | 273 |
| 90 | 64.89 | 65.00 | ROCKFALL | 273 |
| 90 | 65.20 | 65.25 | ROCKFALL | 228 |
| 90 | 66.06 | 66.14 | ROCKFALL | 258 |
| 90 | 66.27 | 66.31 | ROCKFALL | 150 |
| 90 | 66.50 | 66.58 | ROCKFALL | 168 |
| 90 | 67.60 | 67.67 | ROCKFALL | 144 |
| 90 | 68.00 | 68.12 | ROCKFALL | 192 |
| 90 | 90.23 | 90.34 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 95.80 | 95.95 | LANDSLIDE | 228 |
| 90 | 120.89 | 120.91 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 137.70 | 137.80 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 139.00 | 139.25 | ROCKFALL | 51 |
| 90 | 148.90 | 149.00 | LANDSLIDE | 0 |
| 90 | 164.33 | 164.59 | DEBRIS FLOW | 276 |
| 90 | 168.50 | 169.10 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 169.46 | 169.98 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 174.35 | 174.69 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 181.71 | 182.00 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 191.79 | 191.89 | ROCKFALL | 45 |

Table C-14

| I-90 Unstable Slopes In "Score" Order |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE ROUTE | BEGIN MILEPOST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { MILEPOST } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROBLEM } \\ \text { TYPE } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL POINTS |
| 90 | 64.45 | 64.55 | SETTLEMENT | 396 |
| 90 | 23.30 | 23.40 | LANDSLIDE | 384 |
| 90 | 51.30 | 51.57 | ROCKFALL | 342 |
| 90 | 58.01 | 58.49 | ROCKFALL | 321 |
| 90 | 57.54 | 57.76 | ROCKFALL | 318 |
| 90 | 57.87 | 58.10 | ROCKFALL | 300 |
| 90 | 168.50 | 169.10 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 169.46 | 169.98 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 174.35 | 174.69 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 181.71 | 182.00 | DEBRIS FLOW | 294 |
| 90 | 51.36 | 50.56 | ROCKFALL | 285 |
| 90 | 36.45 | 36.60 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 39.95 | 40.17 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 49.70 | 50.20 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 51.55 | 51.77 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 58.61 | 59.08 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 60.74 | 60.82 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 90.23 | 90.34 | ROCKFALL | 276 |
| 90 | 164.33 | 164.59 | DEBRIS FLOW | 276 |
| 90 | 64.76 | 64.89 | ROCKFALL | 273 |
| 90 | 64.89 | 65.00 | ROCKFALL | 273 |
| 90 | 48.79 | 48.92 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 50.16 | 50.42 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 58.19 | 58.35 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 60.28 | 60.41 | ROCKFALL | 270 |
| 90 | 66.06 | 66.14 | ROCKFALL | 258 |
| 90 | 59.08 | 59.16 | ROCKFALL | 240 |
| 90 | 65.20 | 65.25 | ROCKFALL | 228 |
| 90 | 95.80 | 95.95 | LANDSLIDE | 228 |
| 90 | 29.13 | 29.24 | ROCKFALL | 216 |
| 90 | 48.88 | 48.92 | ROCKFALL | 198 |
| 90 | 68.00 | 68.12 | ROCKFALL | 192 |
| 90 | 66.50 | 66.58 | ROCKFALL | 168 |
| 90 | 66.27 | 66.31 | ROCKFALL | 150 |
| 90 | 67.60 | 67.67 | ROCKFALL | 144 |
| 90 | 139.00 | 139.10 | ROCKFALL | 51 |
| 90 | 191.79 | 191.89 | ROCKFALL | 45 |
| 90 | 0.10 | 0.30 | EROSION | 0 |
| 90 | 23.30 | 23.31 | LANDSLIDE | 0 |
| 90 | 27.00 | 27.20 | LANDSLIDE | 0 |
| 90 | 49.30 | 49.90 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 50.50 | 51.00 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 120.89 | 120.91 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 137.70 | 137.80 | ROCKFALL | 0 |
| 90 | 148.90 | 149.00 | LANDSLIDE | 0 |

## I-90 UNSTABLE SLOPE LOCATIONS
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## C.4.2 Weather Data

## C.4.2.1 WEATHER DATA EXISTING CONDITIONS

Current and programmed weather observing systems are defined below in Table C-I 5 , which provides a summary of the 16 agencies which maintain and manage weather observing sites/systems within Washington State.

The TOTAL WX (weather) OBS (observations) category refers to aviation weather observations. They are more complete than other categories of observations and include the taking, recording, and archiving of visibility; air temperature and dew point/humidity; wind speed and direction; atmospheric pressure; cloud cover; and precipitation amount, type, and intensity to include measurement of snow depth. At larger observing sites, such as major airports, these observations also include significant comments such as "fog bank 3 miles NW", etc. Data from these sites is also taken hourly or more often, except at smaller airports where observations may be limited (e.g., daylight hours, etc.).

The LIMITED WX OBS category refers to observations which normally report air temperature and dew point/humidity, wind speed and direction, and precipitation amount and/or type. Most also include snow depth. Information from these sites is normally received on an hourly basis, but site data is usually limited to specific hours of the day. Data in some cases is also seasonal (such as for the NW Avalanche Center which operates most of its sites during winter months).

The SNOWTEL, or snow telemetry, sites report snowpack, air temperature and precipitation. These are primarily managed by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly the Soil Conservation Service), but a few Corps of Engineer locations can also be considered SNOWTEL sites.

Table C-16 shows the number of weather observing sites operated by each agency. There are a large number of sites from which some form of weather data is received, but very few sites provide weather data directly on or within the l-90 corridor. The number of sites within 5 miles of the corridors is also shown by the table.

A number of other organizations use the data of the agencies depicted in Tables $\mathrm{C}-15$ and C 16. For example, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has its own mobile weather observing equipment, but uses the fixed-site observations from other agencies in its day-to-day operations.

## TABLE C-15

I-90: Current and Programmed Weather Observation Systems

| AGENCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { WX } \\ & \text { OBS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LIMITE } \\ \text { DWX } \\ \text { OBS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SNOTEL } \\ & \text { SITES } \end{aligned}$ | WINDSAND TEMPS | WINDS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PAVE } \\ - \\ \text { MENT } \\ \text { TEMP } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Army Corps of Engineers |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Bureau of Indian Affairs |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Bureau of Land Mgmt. |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| City Seattle |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| City Spokane |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| Dept. of Nat'l. Resources |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Dept. of Ecol. |  |  |  | X | X |  |
| National Park Service |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Resou. Con. Service |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| NW Avalanche Center |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| National Weather Svc. | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puget Snd. Air Poll. Con. Auth |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| TV Stations |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| US Military (AF, NV, AR) | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| US Coast Gd. |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| US Forest Svc. |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| WA State DOT |  | X |  |  |  | X |

TABLE C-16

I-90: Number of Weather Observation Sites by Agency

| AGENCY | TOTAL SITES | I-90 SITES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Army Corps of Engineers | 63 | 5 |
| Bureau of Indian Affairs | 7 | 0 |
| Bureau of Land Management | 5 | 0 |
| City of Seattle | 2 | 0 |
| City of Spokane | 2 | 2 |
| Department of Natural Resources | 30 | 1 |
| Department o Ecology | 20 | 3 |
| National Park Service | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { (seasonal) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| Natural Resoures Conservation Service | 50 | 3 |
| NW Avalanche Center | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { (seasonal) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| Natinal Weather Service | 29 | 3 |
| Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Authority | 16 | 0 |
| TV Stations | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \text { (seasonal) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $17,5$ <br> planned |
| US Military (AF, etc.) | 4 | 1 |
| US Coast Guard | 11 | 0 |
| US Forest Service | $51$ <br> (seasonal) | 1 |
| Washington State Department of Transportation | 21 | $12,4$ <br> planned |

There are more than three times the number of weather reporting sites along the I-90 corridor as compared to the l-5 corridor. The i-90 sites from its junction with l-5 eastward to the Idaho border are listed below:

| Location | Type of Data | Data Source | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Lake Washington Bridge | Limited Wx Obs | WSDOT |  |
| 2. Beacon Hill Reservoir | Winds \& Temps | Ecology |  |
| 3. I-90 @ SR-18 | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 4. 1-90@ North Bend | Limited Wx Obs | US Forest Service |  |
| 5. I-90@ Tanner | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 6. I-90 @ Snoqualmie River Bridge (MP 37.63) | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT | Planned site |
| 7. Mount Washington | Limited Wx Obs | City of Seattle |  |
| 8. I-90 @ Denny Creek (MP 47.93) | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 9. I-90 @ Franklin Falls (MP 51.19) | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| IO. I-90 @ Alpental | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 1I. Approx. 2 mi. N of Snoqualmie Pass | SNOTEL Site |  | NRCS |
| 12. Approx. 2 mi. NE of Lake Keechelus | SNOTEL Site |  | NRCS |
| 13. Lake Keechelus | Limited Wx Obs | Corps of Eng. |  |
| 14.1-90 @ Price Creek (MP 61.08) | Limited Wx Obs | WSDOT | Planned site |
| 15. Stampede Pass | Total Wx Obs |  | NWS |
| 16. Kachess Dam | Limited Wx Obs | Corps. of Eng. |  |
| 17. Easton Hill (MP 67.4) | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT | Planned site |
| 18. Cle Elum Dam | Limited Wx Obs | Corps of Eng. |  |
| 19. 2 mi. S of I-90 at approx. MP 78 | SNOTEL Site |  | NRCS |
| 20. Cle Elum | Limited Wx Obs | Corps of Eng. |  |
| 21. I-90 @ Elk Heights (MP 90) | Limited Wx Obs | WSDOT | Planned site |
| 22. Ellensburg | Limited Wx Obs | DNR |  |
| 23. Ellensburg | Limited Wx Obs | Corps of Eng. |  |
| 24. I-82, 3.8 mi . S of I-90 | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 25. Moses Lake Airport | Total Wx Obs |  | NWS |
| 26. I-90 @ MP 257.9 | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 27. I-90 @ MP 273 | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 28. Fairchild AFB | Total Wx Obs |  | USAF |


| Location | Type of Data | Data Source | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29. Spokane Airport | Total Wx Obs |  | NWS |
| 30. Wheelabrator Plant (Near MP 276) | Limited Wx Obs | City of Spokane |  |
| 31. I-90 @ MP 277.7 | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 32. TJ Meenach Bridge (approx. 2-3 mi. NW of I-90/US 2 Interchange) | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | City of Spokane |  |
| 33. I-90 @ MP 282.3 | Limited Wx Obs <br> \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 34. US 395/SR-291 | Limited Wx Obs <br> \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 35. N 4601 Monroe St., Spokane | Winds \& Temps | Ecology |  |
| 36. E 3530 Ferry, Spokane | Winds \& Temps | Ecology |  |
| 37. I-90 @ MP 295.3 | Limited Wx Obs \& Pavement Temp | WSDOT |  |
| 38. Spokane Area Schools | Limited Wx Obs | KREM TV 2 | 16 schools"school wx net" |
| 39. Spokane | Limited Wx Obs | KHQ TV 6 | 5 sites sponsors |
| This listing shows what might at first glance be considered a good coverage of weather observations for the I-90 corridor. However, there are only four total weather observation along this corridor (and two are side by side at Fairchild AFB and Spokane International Airport). The Washington State DOT has a number of good reporting sites in this corridor. Other sites provide limited weather information. Additionally, there are two large gaps in weather data availability, one between Ellensburg and Moses Lake, and another between Moses Lake and the WSDOT site at MP 257.9 west of Spokane. |  |  |  |
| The location of weather observation sites along the corridor are illustrated in Figures C-6a and C-6b. The location of road surface weather sensors, called out as "field sites," are illustrated in Figure C-7. |  |  |  |





## C.4.2.2 WEATHER DATA NEEDS

Heavy snow can shut down I-90 in the vicinity of Snoqualmie Pass, and snow with blowing snow can seriously hinder travel east of the Pass to Spokane. The heavy snow events occur between November through March, with November through February being the months of worst weather. The most serious weather situation occurs with a strong, moist westerly airflow that provides extensive moisture from the Pacific. This is then followed by cold air to bring freezing levels down to at or below 2,500 feet Strong orographic effects of the westerly winds against the Cascade Range can contribute to very heavy snow amounts in a short period of time.

The most serious problems for l-90 east of the Cascades include ice on the roadway, dense fog restricting driver visibility, and dust due to high winds Icy roads can occur anytime after freezing temperatures set in Black ice creates a similar problem as that described for the I-5 corridor. Also, due to the greater number of days with snow, icy roads are a particular problem in the fall and spring due to daytime melting and nighttime refreezing of water from snow runoff .Dense fog occurs throughout the Spokane River Valley and surrounding approaches during the winter months, and when it occurs, often decreases driver visibility to less than I/4 mile. High surface winds, especially in the transition months, can raise dense clouds of dust in farming communities flanking the l-90 corridor.

Many weather reporting installations exist or are planned for the l-90 corridor Some of these installations are located or planned for areas where a higher than normal number of accidents occur. These installations are summarized below. Marginally useful weather sites have been omitted, such as those for snow depth (SNOTEL) reporting in mountains bordering l-90, and school-net television stations which are tied to radio or television broadcasts.

## Location

1. I-90 at Third Lake Washington Bridge
2. I-90 and SR 1 Ifterchange
3. North Bend
4. I-90 at MP 33.6
5. I-90 at Snoqualmie River Bridge (MP 37.63)
6. Mt. Washington near MP 40
7. I-90 at Denny Creek (MP 47.93)
8. I-90 at Franklin Falls

Accident Analysis Results (from 1/1/90-6/30/95)
A WSDOT RWIS site exists here. It is within two miles of the third highest urban accident total at the Rainier Avenue Interchange.
A WSDOT RWIS site, this location has the fourth highest total of rural accidents.
A US Forest Service site takes limited weather observations.
A WSDOT RWIS installation will soon be commissioned at this location. It will provide useful weather and pavement temperature data for this section of I-90.
A planned WSDOT RWIS installation, this will be connected to the "Travel Aid " network. This is a limited weather observation site operated by the City of Seattle.
Another WSDOT 'Travel Aid RWIS installation, it provides key weather and pavement condition data where rural accidents begin increasing toward Snoqualmie Pass.
This WSDOT "Travel Aid RWIS installation
(MP 51.19)
9. I-90 at Alpental (MP 51.23)

IO. I-90 at Lake Keechelus (near MP 61)
11. I-90 at Price Creek (MP 61.08)
12. Stampede Pass (MP 62)
13. Kachess Dam (near MP 66)
14.1-90 at Easton Hill (MP 67.4)
15. Cle Elum Dam (near MP 80)
16. Cle Elum (MP 84)
17. I-90 at Elk Heights (MP 90)
18. Ellensburg (MP 109)
19.1-82 3.8 miles $S$ of I-90
20. Grant County Airport (Moses Lake)
21. I-90 at MP 257.9
22.1-90 at MP 273
23. Fairchild AFB, NW of MP 74
24. Spokane Airport NW of MP 276
25. Wheelabrator Plant near MP 276.5
provides important weather and pavement condition data on the steep grade just west of Snoqualmie Pass.
A WSDOT "Travel Aid" RWIS site which provides key data for Snoqualmie Pass. Area of highest total of rural accidents.
This Corps of Engineers (COE) site provides limited weather observations.
A planned WSDOT 'Travel Aid" RWIS installation for an area where icy and wet roadways contribute to a higher than average number of rural accidents.
This National Weather Service (NWS) site provides total weather observations 24 hours per day but is at an elevation approximately 1,500 feet above the nearby l-5 roadway.
A COE site which provides limited weather observations.
This planned WSDOT "Travel Aid" RWIS installation will provide important weather and pavement temperature data for the east approach to Snoqualmie Pass in an ice/frost formation area. A COE site which provides limited weather observations.
Another COE site providing limited weather observations.
This site is a planned WSDOT RWIS installation which will provide critical data for an area with the highest number of rural accidents involving ice on the roadway.
The COE and Department of Natural Resources operate limited weather observing sites here. This WSDOT RWIS site provides key weather and pavement data for the l-82/1-90 Interchange area. This site provides limited weather observations taken by FAA tower personnel. A large area otherwise devoid of representative weather data. A WSDOT RWIS site, it provides key weather and pavement data for the approach to the Spokane area.
This WSDOT RWIS installation provides key weather and pavement data for the area just west of Spokane.
A 24-hour/day Air Force Base total weather observing site.
A NWS 24-hour/day total weather observing site. This limited weather observation site is operated by the City of Spokane and sits atop the Wheelabrator Plant just east of Spokane Airport.
27. TJ Menach Bridge, approx. 3 miles NW of l-90/US 2
28. US 395/SR 291 Interchange, (approx. 4.2 mi . N of MP 281)
29.1-90 at MP 282.3
30. I-90 at MP 295.3

This WSDOT RWIS installation provides weather and pavement data for the west side of Spokane, an area of increasing urban accidents involving ice on the roadway.
An RWIS site operated by the City of Spokane, it provides valuable weather and pavement data at the northwest boundary of Spokane.
This RWIS installation is operated by WSDOT and provides critical weather and pavement data for north-central Spokane.
Another WSDOT RWIS site which provides key weather and pavement data for central Spokane in an area with the highest total of urban accidents involving ice on the roadway.
A key WSDOT RWIS installation providing weather and pavement data to the east of Spokane.

The above weather observing sites represent locations where the most complete weather reports are (or will be) available. Although there is a high number of sites, the sites are often grouped in clusters. As a result, there is a large gap in needed weather and pavement data between various geographic locations. The following recommendations for weather and pavement sensors are recommended to fill these gaps.

## Recommendations

- Since meteorological sensors are not needed in the immediate areas served by airport weather sensors, recommend selected traffic signals and/or variable message signs planned for I-90 areas near these airports incorporate pavement temperature sensors. Additionally, recommend integration of airport weather data with road temperature sensor data so that traffic, WSDOT road maintenance, emergency management (WA State Patrol), and weather forecast service agencies can have routine access to these data.
- Recommend the following additional locations for a full road weather information system (RWIS) sensor suite incorporating both atmospheric and pavement sensors:
- The Columbia River Bridge near the SR 28 Interchange, MP 137.5: An RWIS installation in this location would fill the first large gap in weather data coverage from Ellensburg eastward to Moses Lake. This location has a higher than average number of rural injury and fatality accidents.
- The I-90/US 395 Interchange, MP 221: An RWIS installation in this location would fill in the remaining gap in weather data coverage between the Moses Lake Airport and the present WSDOT RWIS site at MP 257.9. This interchange is also a key commercial route junction, as US 395 carries considerable commercial domestic and international truck traffic to/from the Tri-Cities area and beyond.
- Recommend the following additional locations for only pavement temperature sensors integrated with traffic signals, call boxes, and/or variable message signs. In these areas, we believe no atmospheric sensors are needed due to nearby weather installations which can provide representative weather data for these locations. Where the location
recommended is a bridge, pavement sensors should be placed both on the bridge and at one approach end to provide contrasting pavement temperature data for the bridge deck and highway pavement.
- The I-90/SR 281 Interchange at George (MP 150): This is a lower priority location for a pavement sensor but would provide valuable contrasting pavement condition information between the recommended RWIS site at the Columbia River Bridge and Moses Lake. A second reason to place a pavement sensor in this location is the varied topography in this region, which can create a markedly different pavement temperature profile over area roads.
- The SR 17 Interchange at Moses Lake: A pavement temperature sensor at this location is also a lower priority. However, sensor data here would fill a large gap in pavement temperature information between the recommended site at SR 281 (George) and the recommended RWIS site at the I-90/US 395 interchange.
- The Sprague Rest Area near MP 242: A pavement temperature sensor at this location is a higher priority than the previous two recommendations above, since this is the area where accident frequency begins to increase toward Spokane due to road icing. A sensor here would also fill in the gap in road temperature information between the recommended RWIS site at the I-90/US 395 Interchange and the present WSDOT RWIS site at MP 257.9.

The above analysis and recommendations for the l-90 corridor was based on similar work for other state departments of transportation, climatological records, application of current knowledge of weather patterns throughout the corridors, and the accident trend analysis completed for this project.

## C.4.3 Assistance Calls and Citations

Two tables showing the number of calls for assistance and number of citations given between April 1995 and March 1996 are presented below. In Table C-17, the highest number of calls for assistance occurred east of North Bend at milepost 36, just north of the Granite Creek/ Edgewick Road/Twin Falls interchange. Other locations with a high number of calls were on Mercer Island and in Bellevue, at the Island Crest Way, the I-405/Richards Road, and the 148th/1 50th Avenues SE interchanges.

In Table C-18 the highest number of citations also occurred at the Granite Creek/Edgewick Road/Twin Falls interchange. Other locations with a high number of calls were at the Island Crest Way interchange on Mercer Island, and the Sullivan Road interchange in east Spokane.

|  | Corridor Needs Assessment |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PARSONS | I-90 Seattle to Spokane |  |
| BRINCKERHOFF | c-41 | ITS Early Deployment Program |

Table C-17

| I-90 Assistance Calls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Number } \\ & \text { of Calls } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | MP | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Number } \\ & \text { of Calls } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | MP | Number <br> of Calls | MP | Number <br> of Calls | MP | Number of Calls | MP | Number of Calls |
| ? | 472 | 52 | 397 | 102 | 341 | 152 | 65 | 202 | 47 | 252 | 110 |
| 3 | 179 | 53 | 336 | 103 | 311 | 153 | 55 | 203 | 49 | 253 | 67 |
| 4 | 668 | 54 | 308 | 104 | 265 | 154 | 120 | 204 | 94 | 254 | 293 |
| 5 | 812 | 55 | 100 | 105 | 433 | 155 | 50 | 205 | 461 | 255 | 73 |
| 6 | 943 | 56 | 163 | 106 | 924 | 156 | 43 | 206 | 1,538 | 256 | 78 |
| 7 | 2,390 | 57 | 113 | 107 | 429 | 157 | 56 | 207 | 353 | 257 | 149 |
| 8 | 1,447 | 56 | 96 | 108 | 768 | 158 | 56 | 206 | 291 | 258 | 82 |
| 9 | 866 | 59 | 91 | 109 | 817 | 159 | 51 | 209 | 1,030 | 259 | 66 |
| 10 | 2,147 | 60 | 134 | 110 | 464 | 160 | 189 | 210 | 82 | 260 | 181 |
| 11 | 2,235 | 61 | 150 | 111 | 236 | 161 | 591 | 211 | 82 | 261 | 96 |
| 12 | 1,069 | 62 | 134 | 112 | 446 | 162 | 288 | 212 | 136 | 262 | 130 |
| 13 | 1,264 | 63 | 155 | 113 | 422 | 163 | 94 | 213 | 82 | 263 | 137 |
| 14 | 1,249 | 64 | 54 | 114 | 326 | 164 | 86 | 214 | 99 | 264 | 193 |
| 15 | 1,764 | 65 | 87 | 115 | 1,523 | 165 | 85 | 215 | 208 | 265 | 101 |
| 16 | 981 | 66 | 101 | 116 | 224 | 166 | 55 | 216 | 88 | 266 | 103 |
| 17 | 1,787 | 67 | 111 | 117 | 270 | 167 | 60 | 217 | 74 | 267 | 169 |
| 18 | 1,492 | 68 | 852 | 118 | 437 | 168 | 81 | 218 | 96 | 268 | 181 |
| 19 | 361 | 69 | 230 | 119 | 113 | 169 | 128 | 219 | 467 | 269 | 196 |
| 20 | 455 | 70 | 761 | 120 | 121 | 170 | 69 | 220 | 214 | 270 | 636 |
| 21 | 242 | 71 | 141 | 121 | 128 | 171 | 87 | 221 | 136 | 271 | 230 |
| 22 | 469 | 72 | 164 | 122 | 103 | 172 | 68 | 222 | 92 | 272 | 287 |
| 23 | 285 | 73 | 108 | 123 | 157 | 173 | 59 | 223 | 80 | 273 | 189 |
| 24 | 378 | 74 | 167 | 124 | 156 | 174 | 118 | 224 | 90 | 274 | 176 |
| 25 | 662 | 75 | 98 | 125 | 213 | 175 | 108 | 225 | 394 | 275 | 189 |
| 26 | 280 | 76 | 68 | 126 | 479 | 176 | 97 | 226 | 247 | 276 | 747 |
| 27 | 648 | 71 | 64 | 127 | 179 | 177 | 60 | 227 | 140 | 271 | 631 |
| 28 | 243 | 78 | 162 | 128 | 238 | 178 | 66 | 228 | 92 | 278 | 449 |
| 29 | 167 | 79 | 66 | 129 | 163 | 179 | 103 | 229 | 106 | 279 | 549 |
| 30 | 234 | 80 | 278 | 130 | 138 | 180 | 59 | 230 | 310 | 280 | 805 |
| 31 | 634 | 81 | 67 | 131 | 132 | 181 | 85 | 231 | 155 | 281 | 516 |
| 32 | 715 | 82 | 63 | 132 | 106 | 182 | 155 | 232 | 80 | 282 | 647 |
| 33 | 669 | 83 | 125 | 133 | 87 | 183 | 178 | 233 | 44 | 283 | 653 |
| 34 | 1,297 | 84 | 179 | 134 | 131 | 184 | 205 | 234 | 67 | 284 | 527 |
| 35 | 467 | 85 | 182 | 135 | 135 | 185 | 116 | 235 | 122 | 285 | 113 |
| 36 | 4,635 | 86 | 102 | 136 | 202 | 186 | 108 | 236 | 86 | 286 | 933 |
| 37 | 228 | 87 | 76 | 137 | 123 | 187 | 104 | 237 | 75 | 287 | 981 |
| 38 | 364 | 88 | 49 | 138 | 94 | 188 | 161 | 238 | 80 | 288 | 560 |
| 39 | 245 | 89 | 207 | 139 | 83 | 189 | 221 | 239 | 98 | 289 | 976 |
| 40 | 264 | 90 | 188 | 140 | 175 | 190 | 126 | 240 | 57 | 290 | 199 |
| 41 | 190 | 91 | 117 | 141 | 62 | 191 | 251 | 241 | 118 | 291 | 1,312 |
| 42 | 552 | 92 | 107 | 142 | 77 | 192 | 225 | 242 | 114 | 292 | 1,918 |
| 43 | 189 | 93 | 203 | 143 | 137 | 193 | 427 | 243 | 226 | 293 | 468 |
| 44 | 161 | 94 | 102 | 144 | 459 | 194 | 78 | 244 | 84 | 294 | 232 |
| 45 | 340 | 95 | 118 | 145 | 188 | 195 | 36 | 245 | 130 | 295 | 163 |
| 46 | 228 | 96 | 184 | 146 | 210 | 196 | 70 | 246 | 50 | 296 | 324 |
| 47 | 555 | 97 | 147 | 147 | 232 | 197 | 22 | 247 | 59 | 297 | 261 |
| 48 | 282 | 98 | 172 | 148 | 208 | 198 | 67 | 248 | 57 | 298 | 263 |
| 49 | 377 | 99 | 104 | 149 | 166 | 199 | 63 | 249 | 125 | 299 | 676 |
| 50 | 332 | 100 | 563 | 150 | 115 | 200 | 64 | 250 | 89 | 300 | 6 |
| 51 | 323 | 101 | 1.037 | 151 | 119 | 201 | 57 | 251 | 62 |  |  |

Table C-18

| I-90 Citations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP | Number Given | MP | Number Given | MP | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Number } \\ \text { Given } \end{array}$ | MP | Number Given | MP | Number Given | MP | Numbet Given |
| 2 | 125 | 52 | 62 | 102 | 129 | 152 | 19 | 202 | 13 | 252 | 20 |
| 3 | 212 | 53 | 30 | 103 | 121 | 153 | 28 | 203 | 12 | 253 | 33 |
| 4 | 171 | 54 | 46 | 104 | 86 | 154 | 60 | 204 | 27 | 254 | 63 |
| 5 | 308 | 55 | 23 | 105 | 168 | 155 | 25 | 205 | 247 | 255 | 9 |
| 6 | 456 | 56 | 36 | 106 | 257 | 156 | 18 | 206 | 719 | 256 | 25 |
| 7 | 1,411 | 57 | 20 | 107 | 205 | 157 | 22 | 207 | 121 | 257 | 52 |
| 8 | 611 | 58 | 14 | 108 | 322 | 158 | 19 | 208 | 120 | 258 | 35 |
| 9 | 279 | 59 | 5 | 109 | 305 | 159 | 31 | 209 | 482 | 259 | 30 |
| 10 | 630 | 60 | 16 | 110 | 158 | 160 | 103 | 210 | 25 | 260 | 67 |
| 11 | 773 | 61 | 20 | 111 | 114 | 161 | 313 | 211 | 19 | 261 | 27 |
| 12 | 287 | 62 | 21 | 112 | 181 | 162 | 178 | 212 | 40 | 262 | 50 |
| 13 | 651 | 63 | 19 | 113 | 192 | 163 | 53 | 213 | 18 | 263 | 39 |
| 14 | 555 | 64 | 8 | 114 | 142 | 164 | 34 | 214 | 16 | 264 | 58 |
| 15 | 649 | 65 | 8 | 115 | 930 | 165 | 37 | 215 | 74 | 265 | 28 |
| 16 | 415 | 66 | 19 | 116 | 124 | 166 | 28 | 216 | 30 | 266 | 31 |
| 17 | 877 | 67 | 17 | 117 | 154 | 167 | 49 | 217 | 23 | 267 | 60 |
| 18 | 979 | 68 | 298 | 118 | 199 | 168 | 47 | 218 | 28 | 268 | 47 |
| 19 | 95 | 69 | 110 | 119 | 38 | 169 | 65 | 219 | 190 | 269 | 92 |
| 20 | 76 | 70 | 380 | 120 | 37 | 170 | 30 | 220 | 42 | 270 | 388 |
| 21 | 25 | 71 | 18 | 121 | 351 | 171 | 51 | 221 | 82 | 271 | 77 |
| 22 | 126 | 72 | 47 | 122 | 27 | 172 | 34 | 222 | 35 | 272 | 40 |
| 23 | 58 | 73 | 30 | 123 | 47 | 173 | 25 | 223 | 22 | 273 | 48 |
| 24 | 112 | 74 | 33 | 124 | 53 | 174 | 46 | 224 | 30 | 274 | 28 |
| 25 | 179 | 75 | 24 | 125 | 77 | 175 | 35 | 225 | 174 | 275 | 41 |
| 26 | 59 | 76 | 10 | 126 | 107 | 176 | 26 | 226 | 99 | 276 | 304 |
| 27 | 106 | 77 | 9 | 127 | 58 | 177 | 17 | 227 | 57 | 277 | 256 |
| 28 | 50 | 78 | 40 | 128 | 76 | 178 | 25 | 228 | 42 | 278 | 157 |
| 29 | 22 | 79 | 18 | 129 | 43 | 179 | 23 | 229 | 40 | 279 | 188 |
| 30 | 63 | 80 | 54 | 130 | 37 | 180 | 33 | 230 | 131 | 280 | 243 |
| 31 | 134 | 81 | 14 | 131 | 52 | 181 | 53 | 231 | 46 | 281 | 165 |
| 32 | 289 | 82 | 23 | 132 | 23 | 182 | 95 | 232 | 46 | 282 | 249 |
| 33 | 263 | 83 | 42 | 133 | 30 | 183 | 91 | 233 | 12 | 283 | 252 |
| 34 | 722 | 84 | 43 | 134 | 50 | 184 | 97 | 234 | 18 | 264 | 198 |
| 35 | 239 | 85 | 50 | 135 | 60 | 185 | 79 | 235 | 66 | 285 | 307 |
| 36 | 4,013 | 86 | 25 | 136 | 29 | 186 | 51 | 236 | 27 | 286 | 412 |
| 37 | 37 | 87 | 11 | 137 | 16 | 187 | 56 | 237 | 23 | 287 | 436 |
| 38 | 36 | 88 | 16 | 138 | 21 | 188 | 77 | 238 | 32 | 288 | 228 |
| 39 | 21 | 89 | 17 | 139 | 12 | 189 | 113 | 239 | 22 | 289 | 380 |
| 40 | 36 | 90 | 21 | 140 | 39 | 190 | 71 | 240 | 11 | 290 | 327 |
| 41 | 28 | 91 | 18 | 141 | 9 | 191 | 114 | 241 | 47 | 291 | 598 |
| 42 | 80 | 92 | 29 | 142 | 27 | 192 | 87 | 242 | 46 | 292 | 1,253 |
| 43 | 29 | 93 | 56 | 143 | 37 | 193 | 182 | 243 | 68 | 293 | 191 |
| 44 | 29 | 94 | 40 | 144 | 269 | 194 | 37 | 244 | 22 | 294 | 51 |
| 45 | 38 | 95 | 40 | 145 | 64 | 195 | 10 | 245 | 55 | 295 | 50 |
| 46 | 75 | 96 | 47 | 146 | 98 | 196 | 16 | 246 | 8 | 296 | 105 |
| 47 | 142 | 97 | 35 | 147 | 127 | 197 | 10 | 247 | 17 | 297 | 86 |
| 48 | 95 | 98 | 71 | 148 | 98 | 198 | 8 | 248 | 17 | 298 | 60 |
| 49 | 86 | 99 | 25 | 149 | 85 | 199 | 21 | 249 | 37 | 299 | 203 |
| 50 | 95 | 100 | 354 | 150 | 60 | 200 | 23 | 250 | 24 |  |  |
| 51 | 62 | 101 | 712 | 151 |  | 201 | 19 | 251 | 14 |  |  |

## C.4.4 Northwest Region ITS Devices

The Northwest Region's TSMC, located in Shoreline, maintains a variety of ITS devices along the I-90 corridor. They are listed by milepost in Table C-19.

Table C-19 WSDOT NW Region TSMC Devices, I-90

| Device Name | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Device ID } \\ & \text { (New) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Device ID } \\ & \text { (Old) } \end{aligned}$ | ```Status \(\mathrm{E}=\) Existing \(\mathrm{P}=\) proposed``` | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mile } \\ & \text { Post } \end{aligned}$ | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VMS | VMS-180 | n/a | E | -0.13 | EB@Airport Way S |
| CCTV | CCTV-808 | CC808 | E | -0.13 | Airport Way S |
| HUB | DBN | HUB-809 | E | 0 | I-5 (Dearborn Hub) |
| RC | ES-810R | RC 810 | E | 0 | NB SR 5-EB |
| CCTV | CCTV-812 | CC 812 | E | 0.03 | 8th Ave S |
| RC | ES-812R | RC 812 | E | 0.07 | 4th Ave S-EB; RT |
| RC | ES-813R | RC 813 | E | 0.07 | 4th Ave S-EB; LT |
| RC | ES-815R | RC 815 | E | 0.08 | SB SR 5-EB; RT |
| RC | ES-816R | RC 816 | E | 0.08 | SB SR 5-EB; LT |
| CCTV | CCTV-816 | CC 816 | E | 0.19 | 12th Ave S; WB |
| CCTV | CCTV-818 | CC 818 | E | 0.2 | 12th Ave S; EB |
| DS | ES-818D | RC 818 | E | 0.2 | 12th Ave S |
| CMS | CMS-820 | CMS 1 | E | 0.38 | EB @ Corwin PI S |
| CCTV | CCTV-820 | CC 820 | E | 0.38 | Corwin PI S |
| DS | ES-820D | DS 820 | E | 0.46 | S Norman St |
| CCTV | CCTV-821 | CC-821 | E | 0.58 | 18th Ave S |
| CMS | CMS-821 | CMS 2 | E | 0.63 | ED @ 19th Ave S |
| VMS | VMS-821 | VMS 821 | E | 0.66 | ED (REV) @ 19th Ave S |
| RC | ES-822R | RC 822 | E | 0.7 | SB Rainier Ave-EB |
| VMS | VMS-823 | VMS 823 | E | 0.72 | EB @ Rainier Ave S |
| CMS | CMS-822 | CMS 5 | E | 0.8 | WB @ Rainier Ave S |
| CMS | CMS-823 | CMS 3 | E | 0.82 | EB @ Rainier Ave S |
| CMS | CMS-825 | CMS 7 | E | 0.92 | EB @ 21st Ave S; LT |
| CMS | CMS-824 | CMS 8 | E | 0.92 | EB @ 21st Ave S, RT |
| RC | ES-825R | RC 825 | E | 0.96 | NB Rainier Ave - EB |
| CCTV | CCTV-825 | CC-825 | E | 0.96 | 23rd Ave S |
| CMS | CMS-826 | CMS 6 | E | 0.98 | WB @ 22nd Ave S |
| DS | ES-826D | DS 830 | E | 0.99 | 23rd Ave S |
| CMS | CMS-827 | CMS 4 | E | 1 | EB @ 22nd Ave S |
| DS | ES-827D | RC 827 | E | 1.01 | EB 90 - REV |
| CCTV | CCTV-S01 | TCA-S01 | E | 1.04 | WB MBT 1 |
| DS | ES-833D | CP 9 | E | 1.06 | MBT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S02 | TCA-S02 | E | 1.1 | WB MBT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S11 | TCA-S11 | E | 1.13 | REV MBT 11 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S12 | TCA-S21 | E | 1.14 | EB MBT 21 |

[^0]| Device Name | Device ID (New) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Device ID } \\ & \text { (Old) } \end{aligned}$ | status $E=$ existing $\mathrm{P}=$ proposed | Mile Post | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCTV | CCTV-SO3 | TCA-SO3 | E | 1.14 | WB MBT 3 |
| DS | ES-836D | CP10 | E | 1.16 | MBT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S12 | TCA-S12 | E | 1.22 | REV MBT 12 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S04 | TCA-S04 | E | 1.25 | WD MBT 22 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S22 | TCA -S22 | E | 1.25 | EB MBT 22 |
| DS | ES-839D | CP11 | E | 1.25 | MBT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S13 | TCA-S13 | E | 1.33 | REVMBT 13 |
| CCTV | CCTV-SO5 | TCA-SO5 | E | 1.35 | WBMBT5 |
| DS | ES-842D | CP12 | E | 1.36 | MBT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S23 | TCA-S23 | E | 1.37 | EB MBT 23; LT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S24 | TCA-S24 | E | 1.37 | EB MBT 23; RT |
| DS | ES-844D | CP 18 | E | 1.39 | EB MBT, |
| CCTV | CCTV-S35 | TCA-S35 |  | 1.41 | MBT Bike Path 1 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S14 | TCA-S14 | E | 1.44 | REV MBT 14 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S06 | TCA-S06 | E | 1.46 | WB MBT 6 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S29 | TCA-S29 | E | 1.46 | EB MBT 29; LT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S25 | TCA-S25 | E | 1.46 | EB MBT 25; RT |
| DS | ES-845D | CP13 | E | 1.46 | REVIWB MBT |
| HUB | MBT | HUB-845 | E | 1.5 | MBT Control Rm (MBT Hub) |
| CCTV | CCTV-S36 | TCA-S36 | E | 1.53 | MBT Bike Path 2 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S37 | TCA-S37 | E | 1.53 | MBT Bike Path 3 |
| DS | ES-848D | CP 14 | E | 1.56 | REV/ WB MBT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S30 | TCA-S30 | E | 1.57 | EB MBT 30; LT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S26 | TCA-S26 | E | 1.57 | EB MBT 26; RT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S07 | TCA-S07 | E | 1.57 | WB MBT 7 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S15 | TCA-S15 | E | 1.57 | REV MBT 15 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S16 | TCA-S16 | E | 1.58 | REV MBT 16 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S31 | TCA-S31 | E | 1.62 | EB MBT 31; LT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S27 | TCA-S27 | E | 1.62 | EB MBT 27; RT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S38 | TCA-S38 | E | 1.66 | MBT Bike Path 4 |
| DS | ES-849D | CP 15 | E | 1.66 | REVI WB MBT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S17 | TCA-S17 | E | 1.67 | REV MBT 17 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S18 | TCA-S18 | E | 1.67 | REV MBT 18 |
| CCTV | CCTV-S32 | TCA-S32 | E | 1.67 | EB MBT 32; LT |
| CCTV | CCTV-S28 | TCA-S28 | E | 1.67 | EB MBT 28; RT |
| DS | ES-850D | CP 19 | E | 1.69 | 35th Ave S |
| DS | ES-852D | ES 926 | E | 1.7 | 35th Ave S |
| VMS | VMS-852 | VMS 908 | E | 1.74 | WB @ West Highrise |
| VMS | VMS-854 | VMS910 | E | 1.86 | WB(REV) @ West Highrise |
| CCTV | CCTV-852 | CCTV 908 | E | 1.9 | West Highrise |
| DS | ES-855D | ES 929 | E | 1.99 | West Highrise; EB |
| DS | ES-854D | ES 928 | E | 2 | West Highrise; WB |
| DS | ES-857D | ES 930 | E | 2.01 | Midspan; WB |
| DS | ES-858D | ES 931 | E | 2.01 | Midspan; EB |


| Device Name | Device ID (New) | Device ID (Old) | Status <br> $\mathrm{E}=$ existing $\mathrm{P}=$ <br> proposed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mile } \\ & \text { Post } \end{aligned}$ | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCTV | CCTV-859 | CCTV 910 | E | 2.56 | Midspan |
| WS | WSTA 859 | WEATHER | E | 2.8 | Midspan |
| DS | ES-860D | ES 932 | E | 3.08 | East Highrise; WB |
| DS | ES-861D | ES 933 | E | 3.09 | East Highrise; EB |
| CCTV | CCTV-862 | TCA-M41 | E | 3.23 | East Highrise |
| VMS | VMS-864 | VMS 914 | E | 3.39 | EB@61stAve SE |
| VMS | VMS-863 | VMS 912 | E | 3.39 | EB(REV) @ 60th Ave SE |
| DS | ES-863D | CP 8 | E | 3.4 | West Mercer Way - WB |
| CCTV | CCTV-M01 | TCA-M01 | E | 3.5 | WB MIL 1 |
| DS | ES-866D | CP9 | E | 3.51 | MIL |
| CCTV | CCTV-M02 | TCA-M02 | E | 3.57 | WB MIL 2 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M11 | TCA-M11 | E | 3.59 | REV MIL 11 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M03 | TCA-M03 | E | 3.59 | WB MIL 3 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M21 | TCA-M21 | E | 3.61 | EB MIL 21 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M04 | TCA-M04 | E | 3.62 | WB MIL 4 |
| DS | ES-867D | CP 10 | E | 3.63 | MIL |
| CCTV | CCTV-M12 | TCA-M12 | E | 3.67 | REV MIL 12 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M05 | TCA-M05 | E | 3.68 | WB MIL 5 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M22 | TCA-M22 | E | 3.68 | EB MIL 22 |
| DS | ES-868D | CP 11 | E | 3.72 | MIL |
| CCTV | CCTV-M13 | TCA-M13 | E | 3.73 | REV MIL 13 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M23 | TCA-M23 | E | 3.73 | EB MIL 23 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M06 | TCA-M06 | E | 3.75 | WB MIL 6 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M14 | TCA-M14 | E | 3.79 | REV MIL 14 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M07 | TCA-M07 | E | 3.79 | WB MIL 7 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M24 | TCA-M24 | E | 3.8 | EB MIL 24 |
| DS | ES-869D | CP 12 | E | 3.82 | MIL |
| CCTV | CCTV-M25 | TCA-M25 | E | 3.85 | EB MIL 25 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M15 | TCA-M15 | E | 3.85 | REV MIL 15 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M08 | TCA-M08 | E | 3.85 | WB MIL 8 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M09 | TCA-M09 | E | 3.89 | WB MIL 9 |
| HUB | MIL | HUB-870 | E | 3.9 | MLL Control Rm (MIL Hub) |
| CCTV | CCTV-M16 | TCA-M16 | E | 3.91 | REV MIL 16 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M26 | TCA-M26 | E | 3.91 | EB MIL 26 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M27 | TCA-M27 | E | 3.93 | EB MIL 27 |
| DS | ES-870D | CP 13 | E | 3.93 | MIL |
| CCTV | CCTV-M10 | TCA-M10 | E | 3.94 | WB MIL 10 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M17 | TCA-M17 | E | 3.95 | REV MIL 17 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M28 | TCA-M28 | E | 3.96 | EB MIL 28 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M18 | TCA-M18 | E | 3.97 | REV MIL 18 |
| DS | ES-871D | CP 14 | E | 4 | MIL |
| CCTV | CCTV-M29 | TCA-M29 | E | 4.02 | EB MIL 29 |
| CCTV | CCTV-M19 | TCA-M19 | E | 4.03 | REV MIL 19 |
| CCTV | CCTV-876 | TCA-M42 | E | 4.12 | 76th Ave SE |

Corridor Needs Assessment

| Device Na me | Device $1 D^{\prime}$ New) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DeviceziD } \\ & \text { (old) } \end{aligned}$ | Status <br> E : existing <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ <br> proposed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mile } \\ & \text { Post } \end{aligned}$ | Locationt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RC | ESS-876R | ES940 | E | 4.12 | 76th Aveэ SE-WB |
| VMS | VMS-876 | VMS 916 | E | 4.19 | WB(REV) @ 76th Ave SE |
| VMS | VMS-877 | VMS 918 | E | 4,19, | WB @ 76th Ave SE |
| CCTV | CCTV-877 | TCA-M43 | E | 4.32 | 77th Ave SE |
| RC | ES-878R | ES 942 | E | 4.4 | Island Crest Way-REV |
| RC | ES-879R | ES 944 | E | 4.41 | Island Crest Way-WB |
| CCTV | CCTV-880 | n/a | E | 4.5 | ICW-Tunnel |
| CCTV | CCTV-881 | TCA-M44 | E | 4.58 | Island Crest Way |
| RC | ES-881R | ES 946 | E | 4.6 | Island Crest Way-EB |
| VMS | VMS-881 | VMS 881 | F. | 4.61 | WB @ Island Crest Way |
| CCTV | CCTV-882 | CC 862 | E | 4.81 | Luther Burbank |
| DS | ES-883D | ES 948 | E | 5.1 | Shorewood Drive |
| CCTV | CCTV-885 | CC 885 | E | 5.37 | Shorewood |
| DS | ES-885D | ES 950 | E | 5.49 | North Mercer Way |
| RC | ES-887R | ES 952 | E | 5.76 | East Mercer Way-WB |
| CCTV | CCTV-887 | CC 887 | E | 5.79 | East Mercer Way |
| RC | ES-889R | ES 954 | E | 5.84 | East Mercer Way-EB |
| DS | ES-891D | ES 956 | E | 6.35 | East Channel Bridge |
| VMS | VMS-893 | n/a | E | 6.58 | WB(REV) @ Bellevue Way |
| RC | ES-893R | FS 958 | F | 6.58 | Bellevue Way-WB |
| CCTV | CCTV-893 | CC693, | $E$ | 6.58 | Bellevue Way SE |
| DS | ES-896D | ES 963 | P | 9.7 | 118th Ave SE |
| HUB | FAC | HUB-900 | E | 9.95 | I-405 (Factoria Hub) |
| CCTV | CCTV-900 | CC900 | E | 9.95 | Richards Road |
| CCTV | CCN-901 | CC 901 | E | 9.95 | Factoria |
| RC | ES-901 R | ES 968 | P | 10 | SB SR 405-EB |
| RC | ES-900R | ES 966 | P | 10.03 | Richards Rd - WVB |
| DS | ES-903D | PNB 10 | E | 10.82 | 136thPI SE |
| HARS | -HARS-904, | nla | E | 10.94 | EB @ 136th PI SE |
| VMS | VMS-905 | VMS 10 | E | 11.03 | WB@136th Ave SE |
| CCTV | CCTV-905 | CCTV 905 | E | 11.03 | 136th Ave SE |
| RC | ES-908R | ES908 | E | 11.45 | Eastgate - WB |
| HUB | WSP | HUB-908 | E | 11.5 | Washington State Patrol |
| HART | HART-908 | n/a | E | 11.54 | Eastagate |
| CCTV | CCTV-90§8 | CCTV 908 | E: | 11.55 | 150thAveSE: |
| DS | ES-910D | ES 910 | E | 11.94 | 161st Ave SE |
| CCTV | CCTV-912 | CCTV 912 | E | 12.44 | 164th Ave SE |
| DS | ES-912D | PNB 1.1 | E | 12.44 | 164th Ave SE |
| HARS | HARS-912 | n/a | E | 12.44 | WB @ 164th Ave SE |
| DS | ES-916D | ES 916 | E | 12.91 | 169th Ave SE |
| CCTV | CCTV-920 | CCTV 920 | E | 13.5 | W Lake Sammammish Pkwy |
| RC | ES-920R ! ES 920 |  | E | 13.51 | W Lake Sammammish Pkwy - WB |
| DS | ES-924D | ES 924 | E | 14.04 | 182nd Ave SE |
| CCTV | CCTV-926 | CCTV926 | E | 14.47 | 188th Ave SE |



| Device Name | Device ID (New) | Device ID (Old) | Status <br> $E=$ existing $\mathrm{P}=$ <br> proposed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mile } \\ & \text { Post } \end{aligned}$ | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DS | ES-928D | PNB 14 | E | 14.7 | 188th Ave SE |
| DS | ES-932D | ES-932D | E | 14.87 | 200th Ave SE |
| DS | ES-932D | ES 932 | F | 15.18 | 200th Ave SE |
| RC | ES-935R | ES-935R | E | 15.37 | SR 900-WB |
| CCTV | CCTV-936 | CCTV 936 | E | 15.48 | SR 900 |
| RC | ES-935R | ES 935 | F | 15.77 | SB 900-WB 90 |
| RC | ES-936R | ES936 | F | 15.78 | NB 900-WB 90 |
| CCTV | CCTV-936 | CCTV936 | F | 15.83 | SR 900 |
| DS | ES-940D | ES940 | F | 16.34 | 12th.Ave NW |
| DS | ES-940D | ES-940D | E | 16.64 | 12th Ave NW |
| HUB | ISQ | HUB-945 I | E | 116.9 | 228th St SE (Issaquah Hub) |
| RC | ES-944R | ES944 | F | 17.04 | 228th SE - WB 90 |
| CCTV | CCTW945 | CCTV945 | F | 17.05 | 228th Ave SE |
| RC | ES-945R | ES-945R | E | 17.05 | Front St-WL |
| CCTV | CCTV-945 | CCTV945 | E | 17.05 | Front St |
| VMS | VMS-988 | n/a | P | 25.1 | Weigh Station |
| WS | WSTA-988 | WEATHER | E | 125.1 | Weiah Station |
| DS | ES-989D | nla | P | 25.65 | SR 18 |
| HUB | PRS | HUB-989 | P | 25.7 | SR 18 (Preston Hub) |
| VMS | VMS-990 | VMS30 | E | 26.3 | WB @ SR 18 |

SCOPE 1.3: ACCIDENT ANALYSIS
The STATE will provide accident data sorted and plotted in a manner developed collaboratively with the CONSULTANT, and within the STATE's readily available format, for the most recently available three years. The STATE will also provide other reference material necessary to interpret the data including Milepost/Node references, high accident listings, accident rates, and accident cost data.

The collection, summary and presentation of accident data will be the responsibility of the STATE. The presentation of this data is the responsibility of the STATE. It will be the responsibility of the STATE to investigate and query the data in a manner that will provide meaningful results readily available for interpretation. The STATE will be responsible for the interpretation of the data.

The preparation of corridor base maps and other graphic presentation material will be produced by the STATE under this work element. A clear presentation of this data will assist in understanding trends, critical regions or other "hot spots". High accident spot locations and segments that experience particular accident trends will be documented. High frequency accidents areas will be analyzed as to the effect of adverse roadway conditions such as nighttime visibility, inclement weather, pavement condition, or for other specific criteria. Other patterns including high number of truck roll-over, rear-end, head-on, or fatal collisions will also be examined as these typically have a high cost associated with them in terms of delay, liability and property damage. These areas that could be enhanced through ITS strategies will be identified and examined thoroughly in later work elements.

## D. 1 INTRODUCTION

Various types of accidents were investigated for the stretch of I-90 being studied in this project. The accident data base can generate reports based on whether the accident location is within an urban or rural area. Generally, since traffic volumes tend to be higher in urban locations, total traffic accidents are higher in urban areas than in rural areas.

When looking at accident data, it is good to keep in mind that the data is based on reported accidents. Almost all serious accidents are reported, but many minor accidents are not reported, and thus do not get recorded in the accident data base.

The following tables reference data in either half mile or mile segments. When a milepost (MP) location is indicated, the reader must understand it covers the following half mile or full mile section of freeway. For example, if MP 206.0 is listed, it is actually referring to the stretch of highway from MP 206.00 to MP 206.49. If MP 204 is listed, it is actually referring to the stretch of highway from MP 204.00 to MP 204.99.

Each table lists the freeway segments that have the highest number of accidents in the corridor for the type of accident feature indicated. Each accident feature is split into two tables based on whether the accidents are in a rural or urban location.

Comments: The injury and fatality accidents tend to parallel the total accidents. So the higher the total number of accidents, the higher the number of injury and fatality accidents.

The following l-90 data is based on 7,320 reported accidents between January 1,1990, and June 30, 1995 (five and a half years) for the entire length of l-90 in Washington State, MP 0.00 to MP 299.82 (excluding the reversible lanes at the west end of $1-90$ ).

## D. 2 TOTAL ACCIDENTS

Total number of rural and urban accidents are illustrated in Tables D-I and D-2. High accident locations are illustrated in Figures D-la and D-lb.

## Table D-1

## I-90 Rural Accidents

| MP | Count | Location Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 25.5 | 37 | SR 18 Interchange vicinity |
| 48.0 | 27 | Just east of Denny Creek Road interchange |
| 49.5 | 28 | Steep grade west of Snoqualmie Pass |
| 50.0 | 30 | Steep grade west of Snoqualmie Pass |
| 50.5 | 30 | Steep grade west of Snoqualmie Pass |
| 51.0 | 38 | Steep grade west of Snoqualmie Pass |
| 51.5 | 44 | Steep grade west of Snoqualmie Pass |
| 52.0 | 34 | SR 906 Interchange vicinity |
| 52.5 | 26 | Snoqualmie Pass |
| 53.0 | 40 | Sonaqualmie Pass |
| 53.5 | 36 | Steep grade east of Snoqualmie Pass |
| 54.0 | 34 | Steep grade east of Snoqualmie Pass |
| 57.5 | 28 | West of Lake Keechelus snowshed |
| 59.5 | 28 |  |
| 62.5 | 31 | Stampede Road Interchange vicinity |
| 77.5 | 26 | Golf Course Road Interchange vicinity |
| 79.0 | 28 | Weigh Station vicinity, sharp curve |
| 79.5 | 32 | Weigh Station vicinity |
| 80.5 | 28 | Bullfrog Road Interchange vicinity |
| 85.5 | 30 | SR 970 Interchange vicinity |
| 88.0 | 32 | Indian John Hill rest area vicinity |
| 109.0 | 25 | S. Main Street Interchange vicinity, Ellensburg |
| 137.5 | 30 | Columbia River bridge and SR 26 Inter- |

$\mathrm{N}=3$, 966 Average reported accidents $p e r$ half mile segment $=8.03$

## Table D-2

## I-90 Urban Accidents

| MP | Count | Location Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3.0 | 122 | Rainier Avenue Interchange vicinity |
| 9.5 | 167 | West half I-405 Interchange vicinity |
| 10.0 | 126 | East half I-405 Interchange vicinity |
| 11.5 | 115 | 148th \& 150th Avenue SE Interchange vicinity |
| 280.0 | 105 | West half of Spokane viaduct vicinity |
| 283.0 | 99 | Altamont Street Interchange vicinity |
| 283.5 | 107 | Thor and Freya Streets vicinity |
| 285.5 | 102 | Sprague Avenue Interchange vicinity. (includes 2 RR |
|  |  | bridges) |
| 287.5 | 97 | Argonne Road Interchange vicinity |

$N=3,354$ Average reported accidents per half mile segment $=34.22$
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## I-90 HIGH ACCIDENT LOCATIONS



Range of Thresholds: 25-44
Range of Thresholds: 97-167
Range of Thresholds: 97167
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## D. 3 INJ URY AND FATALITY ACCIDENTS

Rural and urban injury and fatality accidents are shown by milepost in Tables D-3 and D-4. Injury and fatality accident locations are illustrated in Figures D-2a and D-2b.

Table D-3

| I-90 Rural Injury and Fatality Accidents |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP | Count | MP | Count | MP | Count |
| 23.0 | 10 | 50.0 | 12 | 79.0 | 8 |
| 25.5 | 13 | 50.5 | 10 | 79.5 | 11 |
| 27.0 | 10 | 51.0 | 17 | 80.5 | 16 |
| 30.5 | 9 | 51.5 | 16 | 88.0 | 15 |
| 31.0 | 10 | 53.0 | 15 | 90.5 | 10 |
| 31.5 | 10 | 53.5 | 13 | 92.0 | 8 |
| 35.5 | 8 | 54.0 | 16 | 109.0 | 9 |
| 36.0 | 9 | 57.5 | 10 | 137.5 | 14 |
| 36.5 | 9 | 61.5 | 8 | 229.0 | 9 |
| 37.5 | 11 | 62.0 | 8 | 249.0 | 8 |
| 40.0 | 9 | 62.5 | 10 | 264.0 | 10 |
| 47.5 | 9 | 66.0 | 8 | 296.0 | 10 |
| 48.0 | 9 | 71.0 | 8 |  |  |
| 49.5 | 11 | 72.5 | 8 |  |  |

$\mathrm{N}=1,563$
Table D-4
I-90 Urban Injury and Fatality Accidents

| MP | Count | MP | Count | MP | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.0 | 48 | 15.5 | 30 | 283.0 | 54 |
| 4.0 | 40 | 17.0 | 36 | 283.5 | 44 |
| 9.5 | 64 | 280.0 | 48 | 285.5 | 57 |
| 10.0 | 54 | 281.0 | 40 | 287.5 | 49 |
| 11.0 | 30 | 282.0 | 37 |  |  |
| 11.5 | 43 | 282.5 | 43 |  |  |

$\mathrm{N}=1,448$



## D. 4 ACCIDENTS INVOLVING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Rural and urban accidents involving hazardous materials are shown by milepost in Tables D-5 and D-6.

## Table D-5

| I-90 Rural Accidents Involving Hazardous Materials |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP | Count | MP | Count | MP | Count |
| 53 | 2 | 91 | 1 | 103 | 1 |
| 70 | 1 | 102 | 2 | 244 | 1 |

Table D-6

## I-90 Urban Accidents Involving Hazardous Materials

## None Reported

$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{O}$
Comments: Reported accidents involving hazardous materials are very infrequent.

## D. 5 ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ICE ON ROADWAY

Rural and urban accidents involving ice on the roadway are shown by milepost in Tables D-7 and D-8, and located in Figures D-3a and D-3b.

Table D-7

| I-90 Rural Accidents Involving ice on Roadway |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP | Count | MP | Count | MP | Count |
| 42 | 9 | 66 | 10 | 92 | 21 |
| 49 | 8 | 67 | 9 | 93 | 11 |
| 50 | 13 | 73 | 10 | 96 | 8 |
| 51 | 14 | 77 | 9 | 104 | 9 |
| 52 | 14 | 79 | 24 | 261 | 8 |
| 53 | 13 | 88 | 21 | 264 | 10 |
| 54 | 8 | 90 | 12 |  |  |
| 59 | 10 | 91 | 8 |  |  |

$N=767$
Table D-8
I-90 Urban Accidents Involving Ice on Roadway

| MP | Count | MP | Count | MP | Count |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 276 | 15 | 280 | 17 | 283 | 39 |
| 277 | 14 | 281 | 20 | 284 | 17 |
| 279 | 14 | 282 |  | 32 |  |
| N-280 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |




## D. 6 ACCIDENTS INVOLVING WET ROADWAY

Rural and urban accidents involving wet roadways are shown by milepost in Tables D-9 and D10.

| MP | Table D-9 |  |  |  | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I-90 Rural Accidents Involving Wet Roadway |  |  |  |  |
|  | Count | MP | Count | MP |  |
| 25 | 11 | 51 | 12 | 58 | 12 |
| 28 | 9 | 52 | 12 | 59 | 8 |
| 31 | 10 | 53 | 20 | 62 | 12 |
| 36 | 9 | 54 | 17 | 66 | 9 |
| 50 | 8 | 57 | 9 | 68 | 8 |
| $N=464$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table D-10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-90 Urban Accidents Involving Wet Roadway |  |  |  |  |  |
| MP | Count | MP | Count | MP | Count |
| 2 | 36 | 7 | 26 | 11 | 60 |
| 3 | 68 | 9 | 77 | 15 | 27 |
| 6 | 27 | 10 | 57 | 282 | 25 |

$N=681$

## D. 7 ACCIDENTS IN CONSTRUCTION ZONES

Rural and urban accidents in construction zones are shown by milepost in Tables D-11 and D12.

Table D-I 1
I-90 Rural Accidents in Construction Zones

| MP | Count | MP | Count | MP | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 5 | 86 | 5 | 241 | 5 |
| 85 | 9 | 91 | 5 |  |  |




## D. 8 COMMERCIAL TRUCK ACCIDENTS

Commercial truck accidents comprised $12.51 \%$ of all accidents on the I-90 corridor for the period January 1, 1990 through June 30, 1995. Following are various commercial truck accident summary statistics. All of these accidents involve commercial trucks with a gross vehicle weight of over 10,000 pounds.

Table D-13
I-90 Commercial Truck Accidents by Roadway Surface Conditions

| Roadway Surface | I | Number of Accidents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dry | 751 | Percentage |
| wet | 238 | 49.21 |
| snow | 286 | 15.60 |
| ice | 251 | 18.74 |
| other | 0 | 16.45 |
| TOTAL | 1.526 | 0.00 |

Table D-14
I-90 Commercial Truck Accidents by Weather Conditions

| Weather | Number of Accidents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clear/cloudy | 983 | 64.42 |
| raining | 181 | 11.86 |
| snowing | 326 | 21.36 |
| foggy | 36 | 2.36 |
| TOTAL | 1,526 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Table D-15
I-90 Commercial Truck Accidents by Light Conditions

| Light | Number of Accidents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| daylight | 978 | 64.09 |
| dawn | 30 | 1.97 |
| dusk | 30 | 1.97 |
| dark, street lights on | 157 | 10.29 |
| dark, street lights off | 13 | 0.85 |
| dark, no street lights | 318 | 20.84 |
| TOTAL | 1,526 | 100.00 |

## Table D-16

I-90 Commercial Truck Accidents by Diagram Accident Type

| Code | Description | Number of Accidents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | code typo | 6 | 0.39 |
|  | strikes other vehicle head on, | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | strikes left side of other vehicle at angle | 230 | 15.07 |
|  | strikes right side of other vehicle at angle | 128 | 8.39 |
|  | sideswipes left side of other vehicle |  | 0.13 |
|  | sideswipes right side of other vehicle |  | 0.13 |
| 6 | strikes rear end of other vehicle | 223 | 14.61 |
| 7 | strikes front end of other vehicle. not head on | 34 | 2.23 |
| 11 | was struck by other vehicle head on | 0 | 0.00 |
| 12 | was struck on left side at angle by other vehicle | 113 | 7.40 |
| 13 | was struck on right side at angle by other vehicle | 87 | 5.70 |
| 14 | was sideswiped on left side by other vehicle | 3 | 0.20 |
| 15 | was sideswiped on right side by other vehicle | 0 | 0.00 |
| 16 | was struck in rear end by other vehicle | 127 | 8.32 |
| 17 | was struck in front end by other vehicle, not head on | 34 | 2.23 |
| 27 | code typo | 1 | 0.07 |
| 29 | all other multi-vehicle involvements | 35 | 2.29 |
| 32 | collision with animal or bird | 23 | 1.51 |
| 33 | highway appurtenance | 197 | 12.91 |
| 34 | other object | 33 | 2.16 |
| 40 | strikes railroad train | 0 | 0.00 |
| 41 | struck by railroad train | 0 | 0.00 |
| 50 | overturn | 123 | 8.06 |
| 54 | non-collision fire | 12 | 0.79 |
| 60 | ran into roadway ditch | 7 | 0.46 |
| 61 | ran into river, lake, slough, etc. | 0 | 0.00 |
| 62 | ran over embankment. no guardrial | 6 | 0.39 |
| 71 | oedestrian struck bv vehicle | 5 | 0.33 |
| 72 | pedestrian strikes vehicle | 0 | 0.00 |
| 73 | pedalcyclist struck by vehicle | 0 | 0.00 |
| 74 | pedalcyclist strikes vehicle | 0 | 0.00 |
| 98 | jackknife trailer | 49 | 3.21 |
| 99 | all other single vehicle involvements | 46 | 3.01 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,526 | 100.00 |

Table D-17
I-90 Commercial Truck Accident by Driver's First Contributing Cause

| Code | Description | Number of Accidents | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | under influence of alcohol | 33 | 2.16 |
| 2 | under influence of drugs | 0 | 0.00 |
| 3 | exceeded stated speed limit | 22 | 1.44 |
| 4 | exceeded reasonable safe speed for conditions | 492 | 32.24 |
| 5 | did not grant right-of-way to vehicle | 175 | 11.47 |
| 6 | improper passing | 4 | 0.26 |
| 7 | following too closely | 65 | 4.26 |
| 8 | following too closely | 0 | 0.00 |
| 9 | over centerline | 0 | 0.00 |
| 10 | failing to signal | 14 | 0.92 |
| 11 | improper turning | 2 | 0.13 |
| 12 | disregarded stop and go light | 8 | 0.52 |
| 13 | disregarded stop sign or red flashing light | 14 | 0.92 |
| 14 | disregarded warning signal | 57 | 3.74 |
| 15 | apparently asleep | 10 | 0.66 |
| 16 | improper parking location | 78 | 5.11 |
| 17 | operating defective equipment | 24 | 1.57 |
| 18 | other cause | 271 | 17.76 |
| 19 | no violation | 0 | 0.00 |
| 20 | improper u-turn | 4 | 0.26 |
| 21 | headlight violation (no lights or failed to dim) | 0 | 0.00 |
| 22 | did not grant right-of-way to pedestrian or pedalcyclist | 1 | 0.07 |
| 23 | inattention | 113 | 7.40 |
| 24 | undefined | 8 | 0.52 |
|  | code typo | 131 | 8.58 |
|  | TOTAL | 1.526 | 100.00 |

APPENDIXE: GROUP PARTICIPATION PLAN

Over the past few years, the WSDOT has embarked an a ambitious venture - to implement a fully integrated state-wide transportation system an the roadways within the WSDOTs jurisdiction. Aptly named "Venture ", this all-encompassing umbrella program seeks to implement projects which will improve highway safety, operating efficiency, environmental quality, and personal mobility through enhanced interaction between roadway, vehicles and travelers.

Venture projects may fall into any of the six ITS strategies -- Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS), Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS), Advanced Public Tronsportatian Systems (ATPS), Commercial Vehicle Operations (CVO), Advanced Vehicle Control Systems (AVCS), and Advanced Rural Transportation Systems (ARTS). The focus of this study - the ITS Early Deployment Program for the I-5 Corridor between Seattle and Vancouver and the l-90 Corridor between Seattle and Spokane .- will be to recommend ITS projects which can be implemented over the short term (1997-2002), medium term (2003-2007) and long term (2008-2017).

From a broader-based perspective, however, ITS is still relatively unknown. For this reason it is especially important to involve key stakeholders in the selection and prioritization of ITS strategies being considered along l-5 and l-90. This participative decision making will have many positive outcomes, including deepening understanding of ITS strategies os well as stimulating interest in specific projects being considered for the corridors. It is hoped that the outcome of this understanding and interest will be strong support at the local and regional levels for specific ITS strategies. The following Group Participation Plan is designed as a mechanism in which will help to secure this support.

## Building A Common Vision through a Steering Committee

## The Steering Committee Structure

Because of the unique characteristics and needs of the two corridors .- the 1-90 Corridor and the l-5 Corridor .two distinct Steering Committee, one for each corridor, will be formed. While the roles and responsibilities for these two Steering Committees will be similar - to provide input and direction to the study team .. it is possible that the types ITS treatments put forth for consideration by the Steering Committees will vary significantly between the two corridors.

## Steering Committee Roles and Responsibilities

The multi-disciplinary nature if the ITS Early Deployment Program and its policy ramifications calls for a process in which senior staff representing a variety of organizations have clearly-defined roles in a collaborative decision making process. This decision making is most effectively secured through a "Steering Committee" process.

Steering Committee members serving on the ITS Early Deployment Program for the two corridors are charged with the following:

1) Overseeing the process and progress of the Study
2) Attending Steering Committee meetings (total of three far each corridor)
3) Reviewing and commenting on reports
4) Sharing reports with their colleagues as appropriate and gathering this "outside" input
5) Approving/ rejecting/modifying recommendations

## Steering Committee Members

## I.90 Seattle to Spokane

Washington State Patrol
Captain Timothy Quenzer
Captain Tom Robbins
Captain J ames LaMunyon
Dan Permerl

Federal Highway Administration
Mike Morrow

Spokane Regional Transportation Council
Glenn Miles
WSDOT - Northwest Region
Dave McCormick
Hank Peters

WSDOT - Eastern Region
Bob Earnest
Mike Frucci

WSDOT - South Central Region
George Hilsinger
Bob MacNeil

WSDOT - North Central Region
J ennene Ring
John Baker

Washington State Patrol
Captain Steve Seibert
Dan Permerl

Federal Highway Administration Mike Morrow

WSDOT - Northwest Region
Dave McCormick
Hank Peters

## Whatcom County Council of Governments

 Gordon RogersWhatcom County

Nasser Mansour
Skagit County Public Works
J an Keiser
Skagit Council of Governments Eric Irelan

## Steering Committee Input Process

Steering Committee members will be sent draft technical memorandum for their review throughout the project. Specific innut will be sought at the three scheduled Steering Committees. Because of the minimal number of scheduled Steering Committee meetings, it will be important thot input on technical memorandum from Steering Committee members be provided in addition to discussions at meetings. It is desirable that this input be in the form of actual written comments, either noted on the report itself or put on a separate comments form.

In addition, face-to-face meetings between individual Steering Committee members and Study Team members will be held as needed. At the onset of the project, corridor tours and one-on-one meetings with selected agency representatives will be undertaken. Information gathered at these meetings will be integrated into the evaluations process of potential ITS treatments.

## Interface with Elected Officials

Elected Officials are not part of the Steering Committee. Elected officials will stay apprised of the study through actions coordinated jointly by the Study Team and the Steering Committee. Elected officials will also be included in the Venture Washington newsletter database. Steering Committee members may elect for the

Study Team to communicate with elected officials in one or more ways .- including but not necessarily limited to .- periodic or one-time-only distribution(s) of written information; individual briefings; committee/subcommittee briefings and full council/board briefings. For example, a WSDOT Steering Committee member could request the Study Team to brief the Transportation Commission; the Washington State Patrol Steering Committee members could request a briefing to their Safety Committee, etc. Appropriate Steering Committee members are encouraged to attend those briefings to provide additional local or specialized insights and information.

## Steering Committee Input Opportunities

The Steering Committee(s) will meet at key decision-making milestones throughout the project. Meetings will be scheduled when input is required or approval is necessary for the Study Team to move forward to the next stage of the study. At these meetings, the Steering Committee will discuss the information previously sent to them for their review, ond approve or reject recommendations based on this information. In addition, Steering Committee members are expected to review and comment on technical memorandum developed during key milestones throughout the project.

According to the project schedule of activities, these key dates are:

## Steering Committee Meeting \#1

Project Kick Offl Assess Corridor Transportation Needs
April ,1996
Items: Present Study Goals and Objectives
Present on Corridor Tour findings
Present Existing Conditions Technical Memorandum
Action: Approve/Reject/Modify Study Goals and Objectives

## Steering Committee Input Opportunity

Corridor Transportation Needs Technical Memorandum
June, 1996
Action: Review and comment on technical memorandum

## Steering Committee Meeting \#2

## Project/Program Profile Review <br> July, 1996

| Items: | Informotional presentation ITS Corridor Opportunities |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | - Weather Systems Technology Assessment | - Commercial Vehicle Operations Enhancement |
|  | - Traveler/Tour ist Information Opportunities | - Border Gossing Technologilessessment (1.5Corridor) |
|  | - Enforcement Enhancement Opportunities Assessment | -Additional User Services Potential |
|  | - Benefits/Costs Assessment |  |

## Present Recommended Project/Program Profiles

Action: Approve/Reject/Modify Project/Program Profiles

Steering Committee Input Opportunity
Corridor ITS Opportunities Technical Memorandum
July, 1996
Action: Review and comment on technical memor andum

Steering Committee Input Opportunity
Corridor Development Plan Technical Memorandum
September, 1996
Action: Review and comment on technical memor andum

Steering Committee Input Opportunity
Communications Plan Technical Memorandum
November, 1996
Action: Review and comment on technical memor andum

Items: Informational presentation ITS Corridor implementation Issues

- Existing Communications Systems
- Alternatives Development
- Develop \& Prioritize Recommendations
- Benefit/Cost Assessment
- Corridor Communication Needs
- Assessment of Cost/Benefits
- Implementation Plan

Present Recommended Project/Program Profiles

Action: Approve/Reject/Modify Project Implementation Plan and Schedule

## Media Relations

Media kits will be distributed to local and regionol media. These kits will contain information about the process and findings of the early deployment programs. Kits will be distributed following the finalization of the ITS Opportunities technical memorandum (J uly, 1996) , the Corridor Development Plan technical memor andum (September, 1996) and the Corridor Implementation Plan finalization (November, 1996). Courtesy media kits will also be sent to selected elected officials and other key stakeholders to facilitate awareness and understanding of ITS projects.

Articles about the process and findings of the 1.90 and I-5 ITS Early Deployment Programs will also appear in the August, October and December issues of the Venture Washingoton newsletter sponsored by TRAC and the WSDOT. The mailing list used to distribute this ITS news-related newsletter will be expanded to ensure that stakeholders in the 1.90 and $1-5$ corridor are newsletter recipients.

## World Wide Web

Information about the l-90 and I-5 ITS Early Deployment Programs will be developed for use on the World Wide Web. This information will detail information by technology and region and provide a response mechanism to the project monager via E -mail.

## Outreach through Presentations

Presentations with key stakeholders groups will be undertaken throughout the life of the project. The purpose of these presentations is to familiarize audiences with ITS technologies and to solicit audience feed back regarding specific treatments being considered for their particular region.
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