Visit TFHRC's NDEVC website at www.tfhrc.gov/hnr20/nde/home.htm

# Reliability of Visual Inspection for Highway Bridges, Volume II: Appendices

FHWA-RD-01-021

JUNE 2001



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Research, Development, and Technology Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296

#### FOREWORD

Since the implementation of the National Bridge Inspection Program in 1971, State Departments of Transportation have invested significant resources to evaluate the condition of their bridges. These inspections are primarily conducted within the context of the National Bridge Inspection Standards that require reporting of bridge condition in a standardized format. This standardized format uses a uniform set of condition ratings to describe the condition of a bridge. Key elements of the inspection include the condition ratings for the deck, superstructure, and substructure of the bridge. The assignment of condition ratings to elements of the bridge is used to measure bridge performance at the national level, to forecast future funding needs, to determine the distribution of funds between States, and to evaluate if a particular bridge renovation project qualifies for Federal assistance. Obviously, the accuracy of the condition ratings is important to ensure that FHWA programs for funding bridge construction and renovation are equitable and meet the goal of reducing the number of deficient bridges.

The accuracy and reliability of the inspection process that results in condition ratings for Highway Bridges has not been researched previously. This report documents the findings of the first comprehensive study of the inspection process since the adoption of the National Bridge Inspection Standards. The study provides overall measures of the reliability and accuracy of bridge inspection, identifies factors that may influence the inspection results, and determines what procedural differences exist between various State inspection programs. This report will be of interest to bridge engineers, designers, and inspectors who are involved with the inspection of our Nation's highway bridges.

1) Part m

T. Paul Teng, P.E. Director, Office of Infrastructure Research and Development

#### NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade and manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the object of the document.

1. Report No.	2. Government Accessi	on No. 3. Re	cipient's Catalog No.	
FHWA-RD-01-021				
4. Title and Subtitle RELIABILITY OF VISUAL INSPECTION Volume II: Appendices	FOR HIGHWAY BRID		port Date	
		6. Pe	rforming Organization	Code
7. Author(s) Mark Moore, PE; Brent Phares, Ph.D.; Be Glenn Washer, PE	enjamin Graybeal; Dei		rforming Organization	Report No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. W	/ork Unit No. (TRAIS)	
Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates, Inc. 225 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600 Atlanta, GA 30303		DTFI	ontract or Grant No. H61-96-C-00054	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. T	ype of Report and Per	iod Covered
NDE Validation Center Office of Infrastructure Research and De Federal Highway Administration	velopment	Octo	endices ber 1998 – Septen	
6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296		14. S	ponsoring Agency Co	de
15. Supplementary Notes FHWA Contracting Officer's Technical Re	epresentative (COTR)	Glenn Washer, PE, HRDI	-10	
<ul> <li>16. Abstract <ul> <li>Visual Inspection is the predominal implementation of the National Bridge Instantion of the accuracy and reliability of Routine Routine and In-Depth Inspections, and stantin Ten inspection tasks were perform inspectors included 49 inspectors from 29 tools. Inspector characteristics were mean Routine Inspections were completed average, and 95 percent will vary within the Fear of Traffic; Visual Acuity and Color V Complexity, and Accessibility.</li> <li>In-Depth Inspections using Visual I the inspection is prescribed, and may not overall thoroughness with which inspection an inspector detecting weld crack indicati to complete inspection, comfort with acces flashlight use, and number of annual inspecting Several inconsistencies were noted with are the result of State practices or inspection a few teams performing a delamination s This volume is the second in a serier Report</li> </ul> </li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> <li>17. Key Words</li> </ul>	spection Standards in s has not been conduc and In-Depth Visual Ir udying the differences ed at seven test bridg 5 State agencies. Insp asured through self-re- ed with significant varia that only 68 percent of wo points. Factors that ision; Light Intensity; I nspection alone are n reveal deficiencies be rs completed one of th ons. Other factors that ess equipment and hei- pections performed. g tasks indicated that r the use of the element of use. Deck delamin urvey as part of the Re- es of two. The other v	1971, a comprehensive stu cted. The goals of the stud spections, studying the infl between State inspection es using State bridge inspe bectors were provided with bort questionnaires, intervie ability, and the Condition Ra- f the Condition Ratings will at appeared to correlate with nspector Rushed Level; and ot likely to detect or identify eyond those that could be no to the In-Depth tasks tended to at may be related to In-Dep ghts, structure complexity a nost States follow similar pu- televel inspection systems, nation surveys were found to butine Inspection. yolume in the series is: FH	dy of the reliability y include: providin uence of several k procedures and re ctors. The sample common informatic ews, and direct me atings assigned va vary within one ra h Routine Inspectio d perceptions of M y the specific types toted during a Rou have an impact of th Inspection accur and accessibility, v rocedural and repo but it is not known to have significant WA-RD-01-020, Ve	of Visual Inspection ag overall measures ey factors that affect ports. e of participating on, instruction, and asurements. ried over a range of ting point of the on results include aintenance, of defects for which tine Inspection. The n the likelihood of racy include: time iewing of welds, orting criteria. if these variations variability, with only olume I: Final
Bridges, Routine Inspection, In-Depth Ins Delamination Survey, NBIS, Condition Ra		No restrictions. This doct through the National Tech VA 22161.		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classi	. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified		376	

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
APPENDIX A. STATE, COUNTY, AND CONTRACTOR SURVEY FORMS	A-1
States Survey	A-3
Iowa County Survey	A-9
Consultant Survey	A-15
APPENDIX B. COMPLETE RESPONSES TO ACCOMPLISHMENTS QUE	STION B-1
STATE RESPONSES	B-3
COUNTY RESPONSES	B-6
APPENDIX C. ADVANCE INFORMATION PACKAGE	C-1
APPENDIX D. SUMMARIES OF OVERALL BRIDGE CONDITIONS	D-1
DEFECT AND CONDITION SUMMARY FOR BRIDGE B521	D-3
DEFECT AND CONDITION SUMMARY FOR BRIDGE B101A	D-7
DEFECT AND CONDITION SUMMARY FOR BRIDGE B111A	D-11
DEFECT AND CONDITION SUMMARY FOR BRIDGE B543	D-15
DEFECT AND CONDITION SUMMARY FOR BRIDGE B544	D-19
DEFECT AND CONDITION SUMMARY FOR ROUTE 1 BRIDGE	D-23
DEFECT AND CONDITION SUMMARY FOR VAN BUREN ROAD BRI	<b>DGE</b> D-27
APPENDIX E. TASK PROTOCOLS	E-1
TASK A PROTOCOL	E-3
TASK B PROTOCOL	E-5
TASK C PROTOCOL	E-7
TASK D PROTOCOL	E-9
TASK E PROTOCOL	E-11
TASK F PROTOCOL	E-13
TASK G PROTOCOL	E-15
TASK H PROTOCOL	E-17
TASK I PROTOCOL	E-19
APPENDIX F. SELF-REPORT QUESTIONNAIRES	F-1
SELF-REPORT QUESTIONNAIRE	F-3

	Page Page
EXIT SELF-REPORT QUESTIONNAIRE	F-9
APPENDIX G. INSPECTOR CHARACTERIZATION PROTOCOLS	G-1
PROTOCOL FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SELF-REPORT QUESTIONNAIRE	G-3
PROTOCOL FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE NEAR VISUAL ACUITY TEST	G-5
PROTOCOL FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE DISTANCE VISUAL ACUITY TEST	G-7
PROTOCOL FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE PV-16 COLOR VISION TEST	G-9
APPENDIX H. PRE-EXPERIMENT EVALUATION FORMS	H-1
TASK A PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-3
TASK B PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-5
TASK C PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-7
TASK D PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-9
TASK E PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-11
TASK F PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-13
TASK G PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-15
TASK H PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-17
TASK I PRE-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	H-19
APPENDIX I. POST-EXPERIMENT EVALUATION FORMS	I-1
TASK A POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-3
TASK B POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-5
TASK C POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-7
TASK D POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-9
TASK E POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-11
TASK F POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-13
TASK G POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-15
TASK H POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-17
TASK I POST-EXPERIMENT EVAULATION FORM	I-19
APPENDIX J. OBSERVER DATA FORMS	J-1

TASK A FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-3
TASK B FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-7
TASK C FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-11
TASK D FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-15
TASK E FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-17
TASK F FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-19
TASK G FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-23
TASK H FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-25
TASK I FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-29
TASK J FIRSTHAND OBSERVATION FORM	J-33
APPENDIX K. FIELD INSPECTION NOTEBOOK	K-1
APPENDIX L. FACTOR INFLUENCE FIGURES	L-1

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure L1.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Thoroughness Level (1=Much less thorough than normal, 9=Much more thorough than normal) on Condition Ratings	L-4
Figure L2.	Influence of inspection factor Light Intensity Below Superstructure on Condition Ratings	L-5
Figure L3.	Influence of inspection factor Observed Inspector Rushed Level (1=Not rushed, 9=Very rushed) on Condition Ratings	L-7
Figure L4.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Rushed Level (1=Not rushed, 9=Very rushed) on Condition Ratings	L-8
Figure L5.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Task Similarity to Normal (1=Not similar, 9=Very similar) on Condition Ratings	L-9
Figure L6.	Influence of inspection factor Observed Inspector Focus Level (1=Very unfocused, 9=Very focused) on Condition Ratings	L-10
Figure L7.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Accessibility Level (1=Very inaccessible, 9=Very accessible) on Condition Ratings	L-11
Figure L8.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Maintenance Level (1=Very poorly, 9=Very well) on Condition Ratings	L-12
Figure L9.	Influence of inspection factor Wind Speed on Condition Ratings	L-13
Figure L10.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Observer Influence (1=No influence, 9=Great influence) on Condition Ratings	L-14
Figure L11.	Influence of inspection factor Light Intensity on Deck on Condition Ratings	L-15
Figure L12.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Effort Level (1=Much lower than normal, 9=Much greater than normal) on Condition Ratings	L-16
Figure L13.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Complexity Level (1=Very simple, 9=Very complex) on Condition Ratings	L-17
Figure L14.	Influence of inspection factor Time Since Similar Inspection on Condition Ratings	L-18
Figure L15.	Influence of inspection factor Estimated Time for Task on Condition Ratings	L-19
Figure L16.	Influence of inspection factor Rested Level Before Task (1=Very tired, 9=Very rested) on Condition Ratings	L-20
Figure L17.	Influence of inspection factor Accuracy of Task at Measuring Inspection Skills (1=Very inaccurate, 9=Very accurate) on Condition Ratings	L-21

Figure L18.	Influence of inspection factor Actual Time to Complete Task on Condition Ratings L-22
Figure L19.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Fear of Traffic (1=Very fearful, 4=No fear) on Condition RatingsL-23
Figure L20.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Thoroughness Level (1=Less thorough than normal, 9=More thorough than normal) on Condition RatingsL-24
Figure L21.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Light Intensity Below Superstructure on Condition Ratings L-25
Figure L22.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Maintenance Level (1=Very poorly, 9=Very well) on Condition Ratings L-27
Figure L23.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Observed Inspector Rushed Level (1=Not rushed, 9=Very rushed) on Condition Ratings L-28
Figure L24.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Rushed Level (1=Not rushed, 9=Very rushed) on Condition Ratings L-29
Figure L25.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor General Mental Condition (1=Poor, 5=Superior) on Condition Ratings L-30
Figure L26.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Accessibility Level (1=Very inaccessible, 9=Very accessible) on Condition RatingsL-31
Figure L27.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Wind Speed on Condition Ratings L-32
Figure L28.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Task Similarity to Normal (1=Not similar, 9=Very similar) on Condition Ratings L-33
Figure L29.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Observer Influence (1=No influence, 9=Great influence) on Condition RatingsL-34
Figure L30.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Number of Annual Bridge Inspections on Condition Ratings
Figure L31.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor General Education Level (1=Some high school, 10=Terminal degree) on Condition Ratings L-36
Figure L32.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Right Eye Near Visual Acuity on Condition RatingsL-37
Figure L33.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Complexity Level (1=Very simple, 9=Very complex) on Condition Ratings L-38
Figure L34.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Estimated Time for Task on Condition RatingsL-39

Figure L35.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Rested Level Before Task (1=Very tired, 9=Very rested) on Condition RatingsL-40
Figure L36.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Accuracy of Task at Measuring Inspection Skills (1=Very inaccurate, 9=Very accurate) on Condition RatingsL-41
Figure L37.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Actual Time to Complete Task on Condition RatingsL-42
Figure L38.	Influence of inspector factor Reported Fear of Traffic (1=Very fearful, 4=No fear) on DFRL-43
Figure L39.	Influence of inspector factor Color Vision (number of major confusions) on DFR
Figure L40.	Influence of inspector factor Left Eye Near Visual Acuity on DFR L-44
Figure L41.	Influence of inspector factor Formal Bridge Inspection Training (number of FHWA training courses) on DFRL-44
Figure L42.	Influence of inspector factor Quality of Relationship With Supervisor (1=Very poor, 5=Very good) on DFRL-45
Figure L43.	Influence of inspector factor Left Eye Distance Visual Acuity on DFR L-45
Figure L44.	Influence of inspector factor Reported Fear of Enclosed Spaces (1=Very fearful, 4=No fear) on DFRL-46
Figure L45.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Accessibility Level (1=Very inaccessible, 9=Very accessible) on DFRL-46
Figure L46.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Maintenance Level (1=Very poorly, 9=Very well) on DFRL-47
Figure L47.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Complexity Level (1=Very simple, 9=Very complex) on DFRL-47
Figure L48.	Influence of inspection factor Light Intensity on Deck on DFR L-48
Figure L49.	Influence of inspection factor Light Intensity Below Superstructure on DFRL-48
Figure L50.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Rushed Level (1=Not rushed, 9=Very rushed) on DFRL-49
Figure L51.	Influence of inspection factor Wind Speed on DFR L-49
Figure L52.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Accessibility Level (1=Very inaccessible, 9=Very accessible) on DFR L-50
Figure L53.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Fear of Traffic (1=Very fearful, 4=No fear) on DFR L-50

Figure L54.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Maintenance Level (1=Very poorly, 9=Very well) on DFRL-5	51
Figure L55.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Complexity Level (1=Very simple, 9=Very complex) on DFRL-5	51
Figure L56.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Light Intensity on Deck on DFR	52
Figure L57.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Color Vision (number of major confusions) on DFR	52
Figure L58.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Light Intensity Below Superstructure on DFRL-5	53
Figure L59.	Influence of inspector factor Reported Fear of Traffic (1=Very fearful, 4=No fear) on general DFRL-4	53
Figure L60.	Influence of inspector factor Color Vision (number of major confusions) on general DFR	54
Figure L61.	Influence of inspector factor Left Eye Near Visual Acuity on general DFRL-5	54
Figure L62.	Influence of inspector factor Formal Bridge Inspection Training (number of FHWA training courses) on general DFRL-5	55
Figure L63.	Influence of inspector factor Left Eye Distance Visual Acuity on general DFRL-5	55
Figure L64.	Influence of inspector factor General Mental Focus (1=Poor, 5=Very focused) on general DFRL-4	56
Figure L65.	Influence of inspector factor Reported Fear of Enclosed Spaces (1=Very fearful, 4=No fear) on general DFR	56
Figure L66.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Accessibility (1=Very inaccessible, 9=Very accessible) on general DFRL-5	57
Figure L67.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Maintenance (1=Very poorly, 9=Very well) on general DFRL-4	57
Figure L68.	Influence of inspection factor Light Intensity on Deck Level on general DFRL-4	58
Figure L69.	Influence of inspection factor Light Intensity Below Superstructure on general DFRL-5	58
Figure L70.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Structure Complexity (1=Very simple, 9=Very complex) on general DFRL-3	59
Figure L71.	Influence of inspection factor Wind Speed on general DFRL-	59

Figure L72.	Influence of inspection factor Reported Rushed Level (1=Not rushed, 9=Very rushed) on general DFR L-60
Figure L73.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Accessibility Level (1=Very inaccessible, 9=Very accessible) on general DFR
Figure L74.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Fear of Traffic (1=Very fearful, 4=No fear) on general DFR L-61
Figure L75.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Reported Structure Maintenance Level (1=Very poorly, 9=Very well) on general DFR L-61
Figure L76.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Light Intensity on Deck on general DFR
Figure L77.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Color Vision (number of major confusions) on general DFR
Figure L78.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Light Intensity Below Superstructure on general DFRL-63
Figure L79.	Influence of combined inspector/inspection factor Left Eye Near Visual Acuity on general DFR L-63

APPENDIX A. STATE, COUNTY, AND CONTRACTOR SURVEY FORMS

Please answer all questions in this voluntary survey to the best of your ability. Note that some questions may require you to respond as if you were responsible for your state's bridge inspection unit. If you wish to comment further on any question(s) or qualify your answer, feel free to include additional sheets or use the margins. Upon completion of the study, participants will receive a draft of compiled responses.

Any questions regarding this survey should be addressed to Mr. Dennis Rolander at the NDE Validation Center at (703) 285-1133. Return the completed questionnaire by **January 29, 1998** by faxing to (703) 285-1175 or mailing to:

NDE Validation Center – HNR-20 State of the Practice Survey NDE/Visual Inspection 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296

ATTN: Dennis Rolander

Questionnaire completed by:	
1 ddmagae	
City/State/Zip:	 
Phone No.:	
Email Address:	

#### Section 1 – Composition of Bridge Inspection Team for Visual Inspection

1. Are your bridge inspections completed by Department of Transportation (DOT) staff or by outside Contractors? (*circle one*)

Only DOT staff Only Contractors Both DOT staff and Contractors

- 2. If the answer to Question 1 is "Both DOT staff and Contractors," in what situations are Contractors utilized? (*mark all that apply*)
  - Routine inspections

     Fracture critical inspections

     Advanced NDE techniques

     Complex structures

     Structures with complex traffic control situations

     Underwater inspections

     Other (please describe below)

3. For the following hypothetical bridge, how many people would make-up a field inspection team (excluding traffic control personnel), and how much time (in man-hours) would be budgeted?

Twenty-year old, two-span bridge carrying two-lane road (medium ADT) over a small creek, maximum height above the creek is 20 ft.

**Superstructure**: Steel, four-girder superstructure (rolled shapes); welded flange cover plates; concrete deck.

**Substructure**: Concrete abutments, a single three-column concrete pier (with pier cap) out of the normal watercourse.

People: \_\_\_\_\_ Man-hours: \_\_\_\_\_

4. What are the minimum, maximum, and typical numbers of personnel that would make up a bridge inspection team (excluding traffic control personnel)?

Minimum: \_\_\_\_\_ Maximum: \_\_\_\_\_ Typical: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Estimate the percentage of bridge inspections completed with a registered Professional Engineer (PE) **onsite**? (*circle one*)

0-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% 81-100%

- 6. When a PE is included as part of the on-site inspection team, what conditions would dictate his/her presence?
- 7. Please indicate the average number of years of experience in bridge inspection at each of the following positions. *(circle the appropriate responses)*

Team Leader: 0-5 years & PE	5-10 years	More than 10 years
Other team members: 0-5 years	5-10 years	More than 10 years

#### Section 2 – Impact of Administrative Requirements on Visual Inspection

1. If additional resources were made available for bridge inspection, please indicate how you might allocate those additional resources (for example, increased time per inspection, increased use of NDE methods, increased use of bridge inventory management software, etc.)?

2. Approximately how many bridge inspectors are in your bridge inspection unit?

1-5 6-10 11-15 16-20 21-25 26-30 31-40 41-50 More than 50

Associate's Degree CE Technolo	gy Bridge Inspector's Training Course
Bachelor's Degree CE	Fracture Critical Inspection Course
Stream Stability Course	Other Training Courses ( <i>please specify</i> )
Other team members:	
Associate's Degree CE Technolo	gy Bridge Inspector's Training Course
Bachelor's Degree CE	Fracture Critical Inspection Course
Stream Stability Course	Other Training Courses ( <i>please specify</i> )
Could you suggest any changes in adr inspection performance? Explain.	ninistrative or inspection procedure or policy that may in
Do you test the vision of inspectors (with	n corrective lenses if necessary)? Yes No
For a given bridge, are copies of preva arriving at the bridge site? ( <i>circle one</i> )	ious inspection reports made available to the inspectors p Yes No
arriving at the bridge site? (circle one)	ious inspection reports made available to the inspectors p Yes No s of previous inspection reports at the bridge site? (circle
arriving at the bridge site? ( <i>circle one</i> ) Are inspectors permitted to use copies Yes No Who determines the order of field inspec	Yes No s of previous inspection reports at the bridge site? ( <i>circl</i> stion tasks? ( <i>Mark the most appropriate response</i> ) klist to the on-site team to organize the inspection process.
arriving at the bridge site? (circle one)         Are inspectors permitted to use copies         Yes       No         Who determines the order of field inspec	Yes No s of previous inspection reports at the bridge site? ( <i>circl</i> stion tasks? ( <i>Mark the most appropriate response</i> ) klist to the on-site team to organize the inspection process.
arriving at the bridge site? (circle one)         Are inspectors permitted to use copies         Yes       No         Who determines the order of field inspec	Yes No s of previous inspection reports at the bridge site? ( <i>circl</i> etion tasks? ( <i>Mark the most appropriate response</i> ) klist to the on-site team to organize the inspection process. the inspection process.
arriving at the bridge site? (circle one)         Are inspectors permitted to use copies         Yes       No         Who determines the order of field inspect	Yes No s of previous inspection reports at the bridge site? ( <i>circl</i> tion tasks? ( <i>Mark the most appropriate response</i> ) klist to the on-site team to organize the inspection process. the inspection process.
arriving at the bridge site? (circle one)         Are inspectors permitted to use copies         Yes       No         Who determines the order of field inspect	Yes No s of previous inspection reports at the bridge site? ( <i>circle</i> tion tasks? ( <i>Mark the most appropriate response</i> ) klist to the on-site team to organize the inspection process. the inspection process. spected by your organization <b>each year</b> ?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Section 3 – Current and Future Use of NDE Techniques

- 1. Do you have any American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) Level III Inspectors on staff? *(circle one)* 
  - Yes No

If so, what method(s) are they certified for? (check all those that apply)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Acoustic Emission (AE)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Electromagnetic Testing (ET)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Leak Testing (LT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Liquid Penetrant Testing (PT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Magnetic Particle Testing (MT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Neutron Radiographic Testing (NRT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Radiographic Testing (RT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Thermal/Infrared Testing (TIR)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Ultrasonic Testing (UT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Vibration Analysis Testing (VA)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Visual Testing (VT)

If applicable, are these ASNT Level III Inspectors routinely used in field situations? (circle one) Yes No

2. Mark any certifications which the typical Bridge Inspection Team Member may hold. (*Mark all that apply. Note that NICET refers to the National Institute for Certification In Engineering Technologies (NICET) Bridge Safety Inspection.*)

Team Leader	Other Team Members
PE License	PE License
ASNT Level I	ASNT Level I
ASNT Level II	ASNT Level II
ASNT Level III	ASNT Level III
NICET Level I	NICET Level I
NICET Level II	NICET Level II
NICET Level III	NICET Level III
NICET Level IV	NICET Level IV
Other	Other

3. What NDE techniques are currently utilized on bridges under your jurisdiction? (*mark all that apply*)

Acoustic Emission	Eddy Current	Other Electromagnetic Testing
Liquid Penetrant	Magnetic Particle	Radiography
Thermal/Infrared	Ultrasonic	Vibration Analysis
Visual Inspection	Other	
Concrete:		
Acoustic Emission	Cover Meters/Pachometers	<b>Electrical Potential Measurements</b>
Mechanical Sounding (chain drag)	Radar	Radiography
Rebound Hammer	Thermal/Infrared	Ultrasonics (Pulse Velocity)
Ultrasonics (Impact Echo)	Vibration Analysis	Visual Inspection
Onrasonies (impact Echo)		

Acoustic Emission	Mechanical Sounding	Moisture Meter
Radiography Other	Stress Wave Analysis	Visual Inspection
Other Materials:		
Material/Technique		
1)		
2)		
3)		
Concrete: Timber:		
	w NDE tashniguas dua ta unnaliahla n	
Have you stopped using an which techniques and why		erformance of for any other reason? If so,
		erformance of for any other reason? If so
		erformance of for any other reason? If so
		erformance of for any other reason? If so

\_\_\_\_ Concrete decks

4.

5.

6.

\_\_\_\_ Concrete superstructure

\_\_\_\_ Steel superstructure

Prestressed concrete superstructure

\_\_\_\_ Timber decks/timber substructure

In conjunction with the development of the Federal Highway Administration's new NDE Validation Center, we plan to ask bridge inspection teams to participate in various visual inspection benchmark tests. The information gathered during these "hands-on" benchmark tests will provide bridge inspectors with valuable information about the factors affecting the reliability of visual inspection. The goal of this survey and the follow-up visual inspection tests is to help the bridge inspection community to perform more reliable bridge inspections. **Would you be willing to participate in the "hands-on" study?** 

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Your answers will allow the NDE Validation Center team to focus their efforts in the areas that will benefit the bridge inspection community the most.

Please answer all questions in this voluntary survey to the best of your ability. Note that some questions may require you to respond as if you were responsible for your county's bridge inspection unit. If you wish to comment further on any question(s) or qualify your answer, feel free to include additional sheets or use the margins. Upon completion of the study, participants will receive a draft of compiled responses.

Any questions regarding this survey should be addressed to Mr. Dennis Rolander at the NDE Validation Center at (703) 285-1133. Return the completed questionnaire by **January 22, 1998** by faxing to (703) 285-1175 or using the enclosed envelope and mailing to:

NDE Validation Center – HNR-20 State of the Practice Survey NDE/Visual Inspection 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296

	ompleted by:		
Address:			 
 City/State/Zip:			 
Phone No.: _		Fax No.:	 

#### Section 1 – Composition of Bridge Inspection Team for Visual Inspection

1. Are your bridge inspections completed by county personnel, state personnel, or by Contractors? (circle one)

County	Personnel	State Personnel	Contractors	Blend of three
--------	-----------	-----------------	-------------	----------------

- 2. If non-county personnel are used for bridge inspections in Question 1, in what situations are they involved? (*mark all that apply*)
  - Routine Inspections
     Fracture Critical Member Inspections
     Advanced NDE techniques
     Complex structures
     Structures with complex traffic control situations
     Underwater inspections
  - \_\_\_\_ Other (*please describe below*)

3. For the following hypothetical bridge, how many people would make-up a field inspection team (excluding traffic control personnel), and how much time (in man-hours) would be budgeted?

Twenty-year old, two-span bridge carrying two-lane road (medium ADT) over a small creek, maximum height above the creek is 20 ft.

**Superstructure**: Steel, fabricated four-girder superstructure (rolled shapes); welded flange cover plates; concrete deck.

**Substructure**: Concrete abutments, a single three-column concrete pier (with pier cap) out of the normal watercourse.

People:	
Man-hours:	

4. What are the minimum, maximum, and typical numbers of personnel that would make up a bridge inspection team (excluding traffic control personnel)?

Minimum:	
Maximum:	
Typical:	

5. Estimate the percentage of bridge inspections completed with a registered Professional Engineer (PE) **onsite**? (*circle one*)

0-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% 81-100%

- 6. When a PE is included as part of the on-site inspection team, what conditions would dictate his/her presence?
- 7. Please indicate the average number of years of experience in bridge inspection at each of the following positions (*circle the appropriate response*).

Team Leader: 0-5 years (& PE)	5-10 years	More than 10 years
Other team members: 0-5 years	5-10 years	More than 10 years

#### Section 2 – Impact of Administrative Requirements on Visual Inspection

1. If additional resources were available for bridge inspection, please indicate how you might allocate those additional resources (for example, increased time per inspection, increased use of NDE methods, increased use of bridge inventory management software, etc.)?

2. Approximately how many bridge inspectors are in your bridge inspection unit?

1-5 6-10 11-15 16-20 21-25 26-30 31-40 41-50 More than 50

Team leaders:	re of bridge inspectors? (mark all that apply)
Associate's Degree CE Technology	Bridge Inspector's Training Course
Bachelor's Degree CE	Fracture Critical Inspection Course
Stream Stability Course	Other Training Courses ( <i>please specify</i> )
Other team members: Associate's Degree CE Technology Bachelor's Degree CE Stream Stability Course	Bridge Inspector's Training Course         Fracture Critical Inspection Course         Other Training Courses (please specify)
Could you suggest any changes in administrinspection performance? Explain.	ative or inspection procedure or policy that may improve
	aspection reports made available to the inspectors prior to
arriving at the bridge site? (circle one)	Yes No
Are inspectors permitted to use copies of prives No	revious inspection reports at the bridge site? (circle one)
Who determines the order of field inspection ta "Management" provides a checklist to Individual inspectors on-site set the in	the on-site team to organize the inspection process.
Approximately how many bridges are inspected	by your organization <b>each year</b> ?
What measures do you have in place to assure of	quality inspections?

#### Section 3 – Current and Future Use of NDE Techniques

1. Do you have any American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) Level III Inspectors on staff? *(circle one)* 

Yes No

If so, what method(s) are they certified for? (check all those that apply)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Acoustic Emission (AE)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Electromagnetic Testing (ET)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Leak Testing (LT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Liquid Penetrant Testing (PT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Magnetic Particle Testing (MT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Neutron Radiographic Testing (NRT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Radiographic Testing (RT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Thermal/Infrared Testing (TIR)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Ultrasonic Testing (UT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Vibration Analysis Testing (VA)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Visual Testing (VT)

If applicable, are these ASNT Level III Inspectors routinely used in field situations? (circle one) Yes No

2. Mark any certifications which the typical Bridge Inspection Team Member may hold. (*Mark all that apply. Note that NICET refers to the National Institute for Certification in Engineering Technologies (NICET) Bridge Safety Inspection.*)

Team Leader	Other Team Members
PE License	PE License
ASNT Level I	ASNT Level I
ASNT Level II	ASNT Level II
ASNT Level III	ASNT Level III
NICET Level I	NICET Level I
NICET Level II	NICET Level II
NICET Level III	NICET Level III
NICET Level IV	NICET Level IV
Other	Other

3. What NDE techniques are currently utilized on bridges under your jurisdiction? (*mark all that apply*)

Steel:		
Acoustic Emission	Eddy Current	Other Electromagnetic Testing
Liquid Penetrant	Magnetic Particle	Radiography
Thermal/Infrared	Ultrasonic	Vibration Analysis
Visual Inspection	Other	
Concrete:		
Acoustic Emission	Cover Meters/Pachometers	Electrical Potential Measurements
Mechanical Sounding (chain drag)	Radar	Radiography
Rebound Hammer	Thermal/Infrared	Ultrasonics (Pulse Velocity)
Ultrasonics (Impact Echo)	Vibration Analysis	Visual Inspection
Other		-

Acoustic Emission	Mechanical Sounding	Moisture Meter
Radiography	Stress Wave Analysis	Visual Inspection
Other		
Other Materials:		
Material/Technique		
1)		
2)		
3)		
		1
<b>1</b> ·	which method is used most often for e	ach material?
Steel:		
Timber:		
Timber: Other Materials:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	erformance or any other reason? If s
Timber:	NDE techniques due to unreliable p	erformance or any other reason? If s
Timber:	7 NDE techniques due to unreliable portion of the see more than the sec more than th	erformance or any other reason? If s
Timber:	7 NDE techniques due to unreliable portion of the see more than the sec more than th	erformance or any other reason? If s
Timber:	v NDE techniques due to unreliable por see more applications would you like to see more applications would you like to see more e	erformance or any other reason? If s

4.

5.

6.

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Your answers will allow the NDE Validation Center team to focus their efforts in the areas that will benefit the bridge inspection community the most.

Please answer all questions to the best of your ability. Note that some questions may require you to respond as if you were responsible for all bridge inspections done by your company. If you wish to comment further on any question(s) or qualify your answer, feel free to include additional sheets or use the margins. Upon completion of the study, participants will receive a draft of the compiled responses.

Any questions regarding this survey should be addressed to Mr. Dennis Rolander at the NDE Validation Center at (703) 285-1133. Return the completed questionnaire by **January 22, 1998** by faxing to (703) 285-1175 or using the enclosed envelope and mailing to:

NDE Validation Center – HNR-20 State of the Practice Survey NDE/Visual Inspection 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101-2296

ATTN: Dennis Rolander

Questionnaire completed by:	 
Position/Title:	
Address:	
City/State/Zip:	
Phone No.:	
Email Address:	

#### Section 1 – Composition of Bridge Inspection Team for Visual Inspection

1. What types of bridge inspection services does your company perform? (mark all that apply)

- \_\_\_\_ Routine Inspections
- \_\_\_\_ Fracture Critical Member Inspections
- \_\_\_\_ Advanced NDE techniques
- \_\_\_\_ Complex structures
- \_\_\_\_ Structures with complex traffic control situations
- \_\_\_\_ Underwater inspections
- \_\_\_\_ Other (*please describe below*)

2. For the following hypothetical bridge, how many people would make-up a field inspection team (excluding traffic control personnel), and how much time would be budgeted?

Twenty-year old, two-span bridge carrying two-lane road (medium ADT) over a small creek, maximum height above the creek is 20 ft.

**Superstructure**: Steel, fabricated four-girder superstructure (rolled shapes); welded flange cover plates; concrete deck.

**Substructure**: Concrete abutments, a single three-column concrete pier (with pier cap) out of the normal watercourse.

People:	
Man-hours:	

3. What are the minimum, maximum, and typical numbers of personnel that would make up a bridge inspection team (excluding traffic control personnel)?

Minimum:	
Maximum:	
Typical:	

4. Estimate the percentage of bridge inspections completed with a registered Professional Engineer (PE) **onsite**? (*circle one*)

0-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% 81-100%

5. When a PE is included as part of the on-site inspection team, what conditions would dictate his/her presence?

6. Please indicate the average number of years of experience in bridge inspection at each of the following positions. *(circle the appropriate response)* 

Team Leader: 0-5 years & PE	5-10 years	More than 10 years
Other team members: (indicate num 0-5 years	<i>iber of inspectors)</i> 5-10 years	More than 10 years

#### Section 2 – Impact of Administrative Requirements on Visual Inspection

	Approximately how many bridge inspectors are in your bridge inspection unit?						peetion unit:	
1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	31-40	41-50	More than 50
Ар	proximate	ely how n	nany brid	lges are i	nspected	by your o	organizat	ion each year?
Wh	at type of	f training	do you r	equire of	bridge in	nspectors	? (mark d	ull that apply)
		ciate's D		Technol	logy			spector's Training Course
		elor's De m Stabili	0	e				Critical Inspection Course aining Courses (please specify)
	Strea	m Stabili	ty Course		logy		Other Tra	aining Courses ( <i>please specify</i> )
	Strea	m Stabili	egree CE		logy		Other Tra	1

4. Could you suggest any changes in administrative or inspection procedure or policy that may improve inspection performance? Explain.

Do you test the vision of the inspectors (with corrective lenses if necessary)? (circle one) Yes No
For a given bridge, are copies of previous inspection reports made available to the inspectors prior to arriving at the bridge site? ( <i>circle one</i> ) Yes No
Are inspectors permitted to use copies of previous inspection reports at the bridge site? (circle one) Yes No
Who determines the order of field inspection tasks? (Mark the most appropriate response)         "Management" provides a checklist to the on-site team to organize the inspection process.         Individual inspectors on-site set the inspection process.
What measures do you have in place to assure quality inspections?

#### Section 3 – Current and Future Use of NDE Techniques

- 1. Do you have any American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) Level III Inspectors on staff? *(circle one)* 
  - Yes No

If so, what method(s) are they certified for? (check all those that apply)

\_\_\_\_\_ Acoustic Emission (AE)

- \_\_\_\_\_ Electromagnetic Testing (ET)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Leak Testing (LT)
- Liquid Penetrant Testing (PT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Magnetic Particle Testing (MT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Neutron Radiographic Testing (NRT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Radiographic Testing (RT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Thermal/Infrared Testing (TIR)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Ultrasonic Testing (UT)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Vibration Analysis Testing (VA)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Visual Testing (VT)

If applicable, are these ASNT Level III Inspectors routinely used in field situations? (circle one) Yes No 2. Mark any certifications which the typical Bridge Inspection Team Member may hold. (*Mark all that apply. Note that NICET refers to the National Institute for Certification in Engineering Technologies (NICET) Bridge Safety Inspection.*)

Team Leader	Other Team Members		
PE License ASNT Level I ASNT Level II ASNT Level III ASNT Level III NICET Level I NICET Level II NICET Level III NICET Level III NICET Level IV	PE License ASNT Level I ASNT Level II ASNT Level III ASNT Level III NICET Level I NICET Level II NICET Level II NICET Level III NICET Level IV		
Other	Other		

3. What NDE techniques are currently utilized on bridges under your jurisdiction? (*mark all that apply*)

<u>Steel</u> : Acoustic Emission Liquid Penetrant Thermal/Infrared Visual Inspection	Eddy Current Magnetic Particle Ultrasonic Other	Other Electromagnetic Testing Radiography Vibration Analysis
<u>Concrete</u> : Acoustic Emission Mechanical Sounding (chain drag) Rebound Hammer Ultrasonics (Impact Echo) Other	Thermal/Infrared Vibration Analysis	Electrical Potential Measurements Radiography Ultrasonics (Pulse Velocity) Visual Inspection
<u>Timber</u> : Acoustic Emission Radiography Other	Mechanical Sounding Stress Wave Analysis	Moisture Meter Visual Inspection
Other Materials: Material/Technique 1) 2) 3)		
Concrete: Timber:		
Have you stopped using any NDE	techniques due to unreliable perform	mance or any other reason? If so,

which techniques and why?

4.

5.

- 6. What general area of NDE applications would you like to see more research into? (mark one)
  - \_\_\_\_ Concrete decks
  - \_\_\_\_ Concrete superstructure
  - \_\_\_\_ Steel superstructure
  - \_\_\_\_ Prestressed concrete superstructure
  - \_\_\_\_ Timber decks/timber superstructure

In conjunction with the development of the Federal Highway Administration's new NDE Validation Center, we plan to ask bridge inspection teams to participate in various visual inspection benchmark tests. The information gathered during these "hands-on" benchmark tests will provide bridge inspectors with valuable information about the factors affecting the reliability of visual inspection. The goal of this survey and the follow-up visual inspection tests is to help the bridge inspection community to perform more reliable bridge inspections. **Would you be willing to participate in the "hands-on" study?** 

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Your answers will allow the NDE Validation Center team to focus their efforts in the areas that will benefit the bridge inspection community the most.

Go back to main publications page to access the remaining sections of this appendix.