

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT NO. SPTC15.3-03	2. GOVERNMENT ACCI	ESSION NO.	3. RECIPI	ENTS CATALOG NO.	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. REPORT DATE		
Cotton- Derived Composite Materials for Climate Resilient			August	6, 2018	
Transportation Infrastructure			6. PERFO	RMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S)				RMING ORGANIZATION REPORT	
Noureddine Abidi, Priyantha W. Jayawickrama, Rohan S. Dassanayake, and Manil Hettiwatte			Texas Te	ch University	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN		h in	10. WORK UNIT NO.		
	ciplinary Researc	n in			
Iransportation			11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		
Texas Tech University			DTRT13-G-UTC36		
Box 41023					
Lubbock, Texas 79409					
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDR	ESS		13. TYPE 0	OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED	
Southern Plains Transportation	Center		Final		
201 Stephenson Pkwy, Suite 4	200		January	2017 – March 2018	
The University of Oklahoma			14. SPONS	ORING AGENCY CODE	
Norman, OK 73019					
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
University Transportation Cen	ter				
16.ABSTRACT					
This report summarizes the re-	sults of completed	I project en	titled "Cot	ton- Derived Composite	
Materials for Climate Resilient	Transportation In	fractructure		ion pointed composito	
	fransportation in				
performance and economically	racile natural fibe	er-reinforce	d transpo	rtation infrastructure. The	
main three tasks of this project	t include: (1) prepa	aration of c	otton cellı	ulose-based concrete; (2)	
characterization of cotton cellu	lose-based concr	ete for strei	ngth and o	durability; and (3)	
investigation of possible enhar	ncement of other r	avement n	naterials t	hrough the use of cotton	
fiber and its derivatives					
The results showed that the te	neile strongth and	modulus	alues of c	otton-derived concrete	
The results showed that the te					
composites after 28 days are c	comparable with tr	ie control s	pecimens		
composite materials prepared	from cotton and it	s derivative	es also sh	owed high load endurance	
properties with high ductility va	lues as compared	to control	samples,	which are extremely crucial	
in climate resiliency. The data	collected in this re	esearch als	o demons	trated the high load	
resistance and elastic deforma	tion properties of	other cotto	n cellulos	e-derived particulate	
composites such as flowable fl	uids and deopoly	mers More	over the	addition of raw cotton to	
composites such as nowable nulus, and geopolymers, inoreover, the addition of raw cotton to					
nignly plastic swelling clay sign		the swell p	otential.		
Overall, using renewable natur	al fibers mainly co	otton and it	s derivativ	es, we demonstrated that	
the properties of conventional	construction infras	structure ca	an be ada	pted to climate change.	
Therefore, the results of this p	roject are extreme	ly promisin	g. Importa	antly, the simplicity of the	
preparation method, relative al	bundance, and lov	v cost of co	otton fiber	s, make this technology an	
attractive approach worthy of h	oing further inves	tiasted		e, mane the teermolegy an	
attractive approach working of a		ligatea.			
17. KEY WORDS		18. DISTRIBL	JTION STATE	/ENT	
Oversize and Overweight Vehicles; Routing; No re			No restrictions. This publication is available at		
Pavement Design and Preservation: Web Map			www.sptc.org and from the NTIS.		
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT)	20. SECURITY	21. NO. OF PA	GES	22. PRICE	
Unclassified	CLASSIF. (OF THIS	36			
	PAGE)				
	LUNCIASSINEO	1		1	

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS						
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS						
SYMBOL	WHEN YOU KNOW	MULTIPLY BY	TO FIND	SYMBOL		
		LENGTH				
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm		
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m		
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m		
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km		
		AREA				
in ²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm ²		
ft ²	square feet	0.093	square meters	m ²		
yd ²	square yard	0.836	square meters	m ²		
ac	acres	0.405	hectares	ha		
mi ²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km ²		
		VOLUME				
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	mL		
gal	gallons	3.785	liters	1		
ft ³	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	- 3		
vd ³	cubic vards	0.765	cubic meters			
J	NOTE:	volumes greater than 1000 L shall be	e shown in m ³	m		
		MASS				
07	OUNCES	28.35	arams	n		
lh	nounds	0 454	kiloarams	9 ka		
Т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or "metric ton")	Ma (or "t")		
		TEMDED ATLIDE (ovact dog				
0	E - basada sit	IEMPERATURE (Exact dey	rees	00		
۳F	Fahrenneit	5 (F-32)/9	Celsius	-0		
		Or (F-32)/1.8				
		ILLUMINATION				
fc	foot-candles	10.76	lux	lx ₂		
fl	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m ²	cd/m ²		
	F	ORCE and PRESSURE or S	FRESS			
lbf	poundforce	4.45	newtons	Ν		
lbf/in ²	poundforce per square incl	n 6.89	kilopascals	kPa		
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS						
	APPRUX	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI				
SYMBOL	WHEN YOU KNOW	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI	TO FIND	SYMBOL		
SYMBOL	WHEN YOU KNOW	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH	TO FIND	SYMBOL		
SYMBOL mm	MHEN YOU KNOW	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039	TO FIND	SYMBOL		
SYMBOL mm m	MHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 3.28	TO FIND	SYMBOL in ft		
SYMBOL mm m	MHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 201	TO FIND inches feet yards	SYMBOL in ft yd		
SYMBOL mm m m km	MHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621	inches feet yards miles	SYMBOL in ft yd mi		
SYMBOL mm m km	MHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA	TO FIND inches feet yards miles	SYMBOL in ft yd mi		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ²	MPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ²		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ²	MPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ²		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ²	MPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ²		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² ha	APPROX WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² ha km ²	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ²		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² ha km ²	APPROX WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME	inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ²		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² ha km ² mL	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² ha km ² mL	APPROX WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L mL	APPROX WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² tha km ² mL L m ³ m ³	MPPROX WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic vards	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³	MPPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³	MPPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters grams	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz		
SYMBOL mm m m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t")	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1 103	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds chart tans (2000 lb)	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t")	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb)	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t")	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees)	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ² m ² m ² m ² m ² m ² m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t")	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees) Fahrenheit	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T °F		
SYMBOL mm m m km mm ² m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton Celsius	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees) Fahrenheit	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T o _F		
SYMBOL mm m m km mm ² m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") ^o C lx	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton Celsius	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees) Fahrenheit foot-candles	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T °F fc		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") ^o C lx cd/m ²	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton Celsius lux candela/m ²	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-Lamberts	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T o _F fc fl		
SYMBOL mm m km mm ² m ² m ² m ² km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C lx cd/m ²	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters megagrams (or "metric ton Celsius	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919 DRCE and PRESSURE or S	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-Lamberts	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T o _F fc fl		
SYMBOL mm m mm ² m ² m ² m ² km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C lx cd/m ² N	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters frame celsius	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919 DRCE and PRESSURE or S [°] 0.225	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-Lamberts	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T o _F fc fl lbf		
SYMBOL mm m mm ² m ² m ² m ² km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C lx cd/m ² N kPa	APPROA WHEN YOU KNOW millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters frame celsius	IMATE CONVERSIONS FI MULTIPLY BY LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 ") 1.103 TEMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919 DRCE and PRESSURE or S ⁻ 0.225 0.145	TO FIND inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) rees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-Lamberts FRESS poundforce poundforce per square inch	SYMBOL in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T o _F fc fl lbf/in ²		

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380.

(Revised March 2003)

Acknowledgements

The project team would like to thank Southern Plain Transportation Center (SPTC) for providing the financial assistance.

Cotton-Derived Composite Materials for Climate Resilient Transportation Infrastructure

Final Report

August 2018

by

Noureddine Abidi, Ph.D. Associate Professor and Director Fiber and Biopolymer Research Institute Texas Tech University

Priyantha W. Jayawickrama, Ph.D. Associate Professor Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Texas Tech University

> Rohan S. Dassanayake, Ph.D. Postdoctoral Research Associate Fiber and Biopolymer Research Institute Texas Tech University

> > and

Manil Hettiwatte, M.Sc. Research Assistant Department of Civil, Environmental, and Construction Engineering Texas Tech University

> Southern Plains Transportation Center 201 Stephenson Pkwy, Suite 4200 The University of Oklahoma Norman, OK 73019

> > v

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION1
PROBLEM STATEMENT 1
BACKGROUND
OBJECTIVES
SCOPE
1. EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF COTTON CELLULOSE FIBERS ON THE
PROPERTIES OF VARIOUS PARTICULATE COMPOSITES
1.1. Water Absorbency of Crushed Raw Waste and Crushed Treated Cotton 5
1.2 Mortar Mixes6
1.3 Flowable Fill Mixes10
1.4 Concrete Mixes11
1.5 Geopolymer
1.6 Swelling Clay14
2. EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF COTTON CELLULOSE FIBER LENGTH ON
THE PROPERTIES OF VARIOUS PARTICULATE COMPOSITES14
2.1 Mortar Mixes14
2.2 Concrete Mixes17
3. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION21
3.1 Mechanical Properties21
3.2 Morphological Analysis21
4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5. IMPLEMENTATION AND TECHNOLOGY TRANFER
6. COST INFORMATION25
REFERENCES

List of Figures

FIGURE 1. WATER ABSORBENCY OF: LEFT-CRUSHED ROW COTTON, RIGHT-CRUSHED TREATED COTTON
FIGURE 2. COTTON MIXED MORTAR SPECIMENS WITH NO EXTRA WATER
FIGURE 3. 7-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF COTTON (5%)-MORTAR SPECIMENS WITH EXCESS WATER 7
FIGURE 4. 7-DAY STRESS-STRAIN CURVES FOR DIFFERENT MORTAR MIXES
FIGURE 5. MORTAR SPECIMENS AFTER FAILURE; LEFT-CONTROL, RIGHT-WITH RC2%
FIGURE 6. 7-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF FLOWABLE FILL WITH COTTON CONTENT
FIGURE 7. 7-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF FLOWABLE FILL WITH EXCESS WATER
FIGURE 8. 7-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE WITH DIFFERENT WATER LEVELS
FIGURE 9. CONCRETE SPECIMENS AFTER FAILURE; LEFT-CONTROL, RIGHT-WITH RC2%
FIGURE 10. 7-DAY STRESS-STRAIN CURVE FOR GEOPOLYMER
FIGURE 11. SWELLING CHARACTERISTICS OF CLAY
FIGURE 12. AVERAGE 7-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF MORTAR
FIGURE 13. AVERAGE 7-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF MORTAR WITH MFS
FIGURE 14. 7-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE WITH 1-INCH FIBER WITH DIFFERENT WATER
LEVELS
FIGURE 15. CONCRETE SPECIMENS AFTER FAILURE; LEFT-CONTROL, RIGHT-WITH 1% 1-INCH COTTON
FIBERS
FIGURE 16. PROCESS OF MAKING TWISTED COTTON FIBERS; LEFT-COTTON FIBERS, MIDDLE-MANUALLY
TWISTED FIBERS, RIGHT-TWISTED BUNDLE OF FIBERS
FIGURE 17. CONCRETE SPECIMENS AFTER FAILURE; LEFT-CONTROL, RIGHT-WITH 1% 1-INCH TWISTED
Cotton Fibers
FIGURE 18. SEM IMAGES OF MORTAR SPECIMENS; LEFT-CONTROL, MIDDLE-WITH 2 % RC, RIGHT-WITH
2% MCC
FIGURE 19. SEM IMAGES OF CONCRETE SPECIMENS; LEFT-x1K, MIDDLE-2.5K, RIGHT-5K

List of Tables

TABLE 1. MORTAR MIXES WITH RC5 OR TC5 (5PERCENT, WITH REGARD TO CEMENT WEIGHT) WITH	
DIFFERENT EXCESS WATER PERCENT WITH REGARD TO COTTON WEIGHT)	.6
TABLE 2. MORTAR MIXES WITH REPLACING SAND BY RC AND TC WITH EXCESS WATER	.9
TABLE 3. MORTAR MIXES WITH RC AND TC AND EXCESS WATER (250 PERCENT, WITH REGARDS TO COTTO	Ν
WEIGHT)	.9
TABLE 4. MORTAR MIXES ADDING RC AND TC WITH EXCESS WATER	.9
TABLE 5. FLOWABLE FILL MIXES ADDING RC WITH EXCESS WATER	10
TABLE 6. FLOWABLE FILL MIXES ADDING RC WITH EXCESS WATER	11
TABLE 7. CONCRETE MIXES ADDING TWO PERCENT RC WITH REGARDS TO CEMENT WITH DIFFERENT EXCES	S
LEVELS OF WATER	12
TABLE 8. MORTAR MIXES WITH 2% MCC WITH EXCESS WATER	15
TABLE 9. MORTAR MIXES WITH 2% MCC AND EXCESS WATER	15
TABLE 10. MORTAR MIXES BY ADDING TWO PERCENT MCC WITH EXCESS WATER	16
TABLE 11. CONCRETE MIXES ADDING 1% 1-INCH LONG RC WITH EXCESS WATER	17
TABLE 12. CONCRETE MIXES ADDING ONE PERCENT TWISTED ONE-INCH RC WITH EXCESS WATER	19
TABLE 13. 28-DAY INDIRECT TENSILE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE MIXES	20
TABLE 14. UNIT WEIGHT (LB/FT ³) OF DIFFERENT COMPOSITES 2	21

INTRODUCTION

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The intensity and the frequency of extreme climate events are projected to increase over the next 50 to 100 years [1]. Specifically, in the southern plains region of the United States, long durations of heat waves, floods, hurricanes, poor soil conditions and freezing winters have created detrimental effects on the transportation infrastructure. Transportation infrastructure is already vulnerable to the prevailing climate change. The future climate variability will increase these vulnerabilities; hence, maintaining safe and efficient infrastructure is likely to become more challenging. Disruptions to civil infrastructure cause significant impacts to land-based transportation including increased wear and tear on roadways and bridges, delays caused by increased traffic congestion, property damage from accidents, fatalities and injuries that impact the productivity of the U.S. economy, energy usage and public safety. Furthermore, increased traffic congestion and funding constraints experienced in transportation agencies for construction, maintenance, rehabilitation, and preservation of infrastructure aggravate these weather-related impacts on transportation infrastructure. Therefore, development of novel road construction materials including concrete with high strength and toughness to withstand local weather and climate change is of significant interest.

Cellulose and its derivatives have been extensively used for diverse applications. Especially, the low molecular weight (MW) acid hydrolyzed derivatives of cellulose including microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) and nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC) have been recently investigated for developing composite materials with enhanced properties. In this project, we tested both long-chain cotton cellulose fibers with high MW and short-chain cotton-derived MCC with low MW as reinforcing materials to enhance the mechanical properties of cementitious materials including tensile strength, load resistance capacity, and crack resistance. These cotton fiber-cementitious composites have the potential to effectively serve as an alternative to conventional construction materials while significantly enhancing the construction, maintenance, rehabilitation, preservation, and sustainability of infrastructure.

Materials prepared from natural polymers such as cellulose are gaining considerable attention for construction, energy, biomedical and many other applications where biocompatibility and biodegradability are of paramount importance. Therefore, the overall goal of this project was to prepare economically feasible and environmentally benign cotton fiber derived concrete composites with enhanced mechanical and structural properties. Furthermore, we investigated possible applications of cotton derived products in the pavement construction. The results reported in this project provide valuable insights into future research initiatives in preparing various natural polymer-based concrete composite materials that are resilient to extreme weather conditions.

BACKGROUND

In this section, an overview of the effect of extreme climate events on transportation infrastructure, concrete materials, cellulose and its derivatives are discussed.

The effect of climate change on transportation infrastructure:

Climate change is predicted to increase over the next 50 years with increases in summer temperatures, rising sea levels, changing rainfall patterns, more frequent extreme weather events such as droughts, floods, hurricanes, poor soils and freezing winters. These drastic temperature variabilities, severe precipitations, and flash flooding may result in damage to rail systems, roads, bridges, and vehicles that use them, and may consequently impact the productivity of the U.S. economy, energy usage, and public safety. The U.S. economy relies on the personal and freight mobility provided by the country's wide transportation networks. For example, the estimated value of U.S. expenditures including construction, maintenance and preservation of transportation infrastructure in 2010 was over \$4 trillion. States of Texas and Oklahoma also spend approximately \$9 billion annually to maintain transportation infrastructure due to recent severe weather changes.

Concrete materials:

Concrete is one of the world's most widely used construction materials; it is a particulate composite comprised of coarse and fine aggregate held together by a binder of cementitious paste. Cements are mostly lime-based such as Portland cement and calcium aluminate cements. Asphalt is also a type of cement frequently utilized in road constructions. Concrete possesses very low tensile strength, limited ductility, and little resistance to cracking, and therefore may undergo internal micro-cracks. These microcracks result in the brittle failure of concrete. Moreover, concrete is also vulnerable to climate changes and extreme temperature fluctuations, which may impair the performance of major infrastructure systems such as bridges, highways, or buildings. Temperature fluctuations cause curling, warping, and thermal-expansion stresses within concrete, resulting in the formation of cracks. The deterioration rate of concrete depends on material composition, construction processes, and also is influenced by climate change. Therefore, reinforcing materials are added to concrete in order to improve the mechanical and structural properties. Fibers such as carbon, steel, and fiberglass are commonly added as reinforcing materials to enhance the mechanical properties of concrete. These fibers provide a desirable balance between the mechanical, physical, and durability characteristics when mixed with concrete. While the primary thrust in this project was to investigate the potential enhancement of Portland cement concrete through incorporation of cotton cellulose derived products, we also studied the improvements of other construction materials such as flowable mixtures, asphalt concrete, and stabilized soil subgrade through incorporation of cotton cellulose and its derivatives.

Cellulose-derived MCC:

Cellulose is the most ubiquitous and abundant natural polymer on earth and is widespread in plants, bacteria, marine algae and other biomasses. It is the main constituent of the plant cell wall. Cellulose is structurally similar to starch and has a basic molecular formula of $C_6H_{10}O_5$ as determined by elemental analysis [2]. The main sources of cellulose include wood, cotton, flax, hemp, jute, ramie, and bacteria.

Cellulose incorporates excellent properties such as biocompatibility, biodegradability, nontoxicity, low cost, low density, high stiffness, thermal and mechanical stability and good sorption properties. These properties make cellulose a good choice for preparing high-performance materials with desirable functionalities in structures.

The total annual worldwide production of cellulose is estimated to be between 10 to 100 billion tons and only about six billion tons of that is used by textile, paper, material and chemical industries [3-5]. By far, the most commercially exploited natural resources containing cellulose are wood and cotton [2, 4]. The cellulose content usually accounts for 40-45 percent of wood with a degree of polymerization (DP) values in the 300-1700 range, and almost 100 percent of cotton with DP values in the 8000-15000 range [2, 6, 7]. In recent years, the annual production of cotton has increased from 23 to 25.5 million tons per year, which is about one third of the global market of textile fibers [8, 9]. According to USDA Crop Production Summary 2017, the U.S. annual gross cotton production in year 2016 was approximately 4.1 million tons, and the state of Texas has produced approximately 1.9 million tons of cotton last year, taking the lead in cotton production. In addition, Texas Tech University (TTU) is located in an area that is home to one of the greatest areas of concentrated cotton production in the world. During the various stages in the process of converting cotton fibers into yarn and then yarn into fabric, about 1/3 of cotton is discarded as waste due to fiber immaturity, short fiber length, lower fineness, and poor quality [8, 9]. Generally, used clothes and other cotton scraps from textile industries are either landfilled or incinerated without being further used [8, 9]. These disposing methods now have created a serious environmental concern mainly due to the contamination of soil and natural water sources [10]. Therefore, attempts have been made to recycle or to convert these waste materials into value-added products for both environmental and economic aspects [10-14]. Moreover, the main ingredient of cotton fiber is cellulose. One of the more effective ways for recycling waste cotton materials is extracting low MW cellulose fibers such as microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) and nanocrystalline cellulose (NCC) (also called as cellulose nanofibers (CNF) or cellulose nanocrystals (CNC)) from waste cotton materials [15]. Low MW cellulose fibers can be also derived from acid hydrolysis of long chain native cellulose, giving rise to highly crystalline and rigid nanoparticles [16]. Both MCCs and NCCs are typically rigid rod-like crystals with a degree of polymerization (DP) in the range of 10-20 nm and lengths in the range of 100-1000 nm [16]. Low MW derivatives of cellulose, including MCC and NCC, have attracted considerable attention in recent years due to their unique properties including high surface area-to-volume ratio, high Young's modulus, high tensile strength and low coefficient of thermal expansion. The high axial Young's modulus of NCC is close to the one derived from theoretical chemistry and potentially stronger than Kevlar [17]. There is scant information about the utilization of low MW MCC and NCC as reinforcing fibers to increase the toughness of brittle concrete materials [18, 19].

OBJECTIVES

In this project, we prepared cellulose-concrete composites derived from raw cotton and used them as reinforcing fiber materials to improve mechanical properties and durability of concrete. The testing related to the mechanical properties of the cotton fiber derived-

concrete composites was conducted in the Department of Civil Engineering at Texas Tech University. The specific goals of this project were as follows:

- Aim 1: Prepare particulate concrete composites with cotton cellulose and its derivatives.
- Aim 2: Investigate the mechanical properties of composite materials prepared in Aim 1.
- Aim 3: Investigate possible enhancement of particulate composite materials with the use of raw cotton cellulose and its derivatives.

SCOPE

While cellulose is considered the most abundant macromolecule on earth, it is only recently that attention has been devoted to explore the potential of this biopolymer to prepare various composite materials for advanced construction applications. Therefore, the main goal of this project was to prepare cotton fiber derived-concrete composites for potential construction applications.

The results obtained from this work pave the way for a renewable approach in preparing climate adaptive transportation and freight infrastructure. The use of natural fibers such as cellulose and its derivatives to reinforce conventional construction materials may significantly enhance the sustainability of infrastructure construction, maintenance, and rehabilitation.

1. EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF COTTON CELLULOSE FIBERS ON THE PROPERTIES OF VARIOUS PARTICULATE COMPOSITES

The compressive strength and the load resistance properties of mortar mixes, flowable fill mixes, concrete, geopolymers and clay in the presence and absence of waste raw cotton (RC) and treated cotton (TC) were investigated. Following is a detailed discussion of the results obtained.

1.1. Water Absorbency of Crushed Raw Waste and Crushed Treated Cotton

Both raw waste cotton (RC) and treated cotton (TC) were crushed using a Wiley mill, in order to achieve cotton fiber of 0.9 mm length. Due to the hydrophilicity of cotton, it was necessary to determine the amount of water absorbed by the cotton in water prior to the testing with concrete. RC and TC samples were previously dried in an oven at 80°C for 24 h, weighed and left suspended in a water beaker overnight and weighed again to determine the water adsorbed by each one (see Figure 1). Figure 1 shows the RC (left) and TC (right) in water. The colors of RC and TC are light brown and white, respectively. TC has a white color due to the removal of non-cellulosic materials including lignin, hemicellulose, wax, pectin, proteins, and oil during the scouring and bleaching treatment.



Figure 1. Water Absorbency of: Left-Crushed Row Cotton, Right-Crushed Treated Cotton

Our results indicated that raw crushed cotton has 727.5 percent and treated crushed cotton has 970 percent water absorbance with respect to the weight of cotton. Therefore, it is necessary to add extra water to the cement mixture to avoid reduction in cement hydration due to water absorbency of cotton. Figure 2 shows cotton mixed mortar specimens with no extra water. In the absence of extra water, cotton mixed mortar specimens show a large amount of voids and a less integrated structure due to the lack of adequate hydration of cement.



Figure 2. Cotton Mixed Mortar Specimens with No Extra Water

1.2 Mortar Mixes

All tests were conducted using two-inch by two-inch by two-inch mortar specimens made according to ASTM C109/C109M-16a, and three replicates were made for all mixes. A loading rate of 0.01 in/min was used in testing the specimens.

In order to test the water absorbency of cellulose, mortar specimens with crushed waste raw or crushed treated cotton (5 percent, with regard to the cement weight) and excess amounts of water (250 percent, 500 percent, 750 percent, 1000 percent and 1250 percent with regard to the weight of cotton) were prepared. The mixing proportions are shown in Table 1.

with different excess water percent with regard to cotton weight)						
Material	Control	250%	500%	750%	1000%	1250%
Cement (g)	237.50	237.50	237.50	237.50	237.50	237.50
River Sand (g)	653.13	653.13	653.13	653.13	653.13	653.13
Water (g)	115.19	146.44	177.69	208.94	240.19	271.44
Crushed cotton (a)	12.50	12.50	12.50	12.50	12.50	12.50

 Table 1. Mortar Mixes with RC5 or TC5 (5percent, with regard to cement weight)

 with different excess water percent with regard to cotton weight)

Note: 5% cotton w.r.t cement weight was added by replacing cement.

The compressive strength results showed that, for RC the optimum excess water content was around 500 percent and for TC it was around 250 percent with regard to the cotton weight. Figure 3 shows compressive strength of the mortar samples against various excess water percentages ranging from 0 to 1200percent.



Figure 3. 7-Day Compressive Strength of Cotton (5%)-Mortar Specimens with Excess Water

Compressive strength tests were also conducted with varying cotton and excess water contents. Figure 4 exhibits the stress-strain curves for mortar samples prepared using three different percentages of cotton (2, 5 and 10 percent) and two different excess water contents (250 percent and 500 percent). The mortar sample (RC2-250) prepared from two percent crushed waste RC and 250 percent excess water showed the maximum compressive strength over other cotton added mortar specimens as shown in Figure 4. However, the control mortar sample without any added cotton showed the highest compressive strength after seven days (See Figure 4).

Figure 5 shows images of the control mortar sample and the mortar sample (RC2-250) prepared from two percent crushed waste RC and 250 percent excess water after failure. Even though the cotton added mortar samples showed lower strength values, they did not show an abrupt failure with increasing stress, indicating their ability to absorb high energy (See Figure 5). In contrast, the control mortar sample without any added cotton failed abruptly after reaching the peak strength (See Figure 5).



Figure 4. 7-Day Stress-Strain Curves for Different Mortar Mixes



Figure 5. Mortar Specimens after Failure; Left-Control, Right-With RC2%

Next, we tested the candidate mix of two percent crushed cotton (with regards to the cement weight) with 250 percent excess water, by replacing the sand with cement (see Table 2), and the results showed a slight increase in the average compressive strength. The mixing proportions for the mortar mixes when replacing sand by crushed RC and TC are shown in Table 2.

Material	TC2-250	RC2-250
Cement (g)	250.00	250.00
River Sand (g)	682.50	682.50
250 % Water (g)	133.75	133.75
2% Cotton (g)	5.00	5.00

Table 2. Mortar Mixes with Replacing Sand by RC and TC with Excess Water

Note: Two percent cotton with regards to cement weight was added by replacing sand.

Mortar mixes were also prepared by keeping the standard cement to sand ratio constant and adding excess water and two percent cotton (with regards to cement weight) separately to the mix as shown in Table 3. However, we did not see a significant increase in the compressive strength as compared to the cotton-mortar mixes prepared by replacing sand with cotton (data not shown).

 Table 3. Mortar Mixes with RC and TC and Excess water (250 percent, with regards to cotton weight)

Material	TC2-250	RC2-250
Cement (g)	250.00	250.00
River Sand (g)	687.50	687.50
250% Water (g)	133.75	133.75
2% Cotton (g)	5.00	5.00

Note: Two percent cotton with regards to cement weight was added without replacing sand or cement.

We also tested the mortar mixes of two percent crushed cotton with 250 percent excess water, by adding crushed cotton separately to the control mix (see Table 4) with manufactured sand (MF). The results showed an increase in the average compressive strengths for both RC and TC and were comparable to the control mortar sample. The maximum compressive strengths for the two percent RC-mortar, two percent TC-mortar and control mortar samples are 4640, 4240 and 5642 psi, respectively. These results show that the compressive strength of the cotton-mortar specimens is greatly affected by the source of the sand.

Table 4. Mortal Mixee / adding ite and ite main Exceede Mater						
Material	Control MFS	TC2+MFS-250	RC2+MFS-250			
Cement (g)	250.00	250.00	250.00			
MF Sand (g)	687.50	687.50	687.50			
250 %Water (g)	121.25	133.75	133.75			
2% Cotton (g)	0	5.00	5.00			

Note: Two percent cotton w.r.t cement weight was added without replacing sand or cement.

1.3 Flowable Fill Mixes

All tests were conducted using three-inch by six-inch flowable fill specimens made according to ASTM standards, and three replicates were made for all mixes. A loading rate of 0.05 in/min was used in testing the specimens.

Flowable fill specimens with different percentages of RC (0.2, 0.5, 1, 2 and 5 percent) with regards to the cement weight was added to the control mix with 250 percent excess water with regards to the weight of cotton. The mixing proportions are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Flowable Fill Mixes Adding No with Excess Water							
Material	RC5-250	RC2-250	RC1-250	RC0.5-250	RC0.2+-250		
250 % Water (g)	1107	1033	1008	996	988		
Cement (g)	247	247	247	247	247		
Fly Ash class C (g)	743	743	743	743	743		
River Sand (g)	3908	3908	3908	3908	3908		
Cotton (g)	50	20	10	5	2		

Figure 6 shows the plot of compressive strength of the flowable mixtures against added cotton percentage ranging from zero to five percent. Among all cotton added flowable mixes, the flowable mixture with two percent RC cotton showed the highest compressive strength. However, the control sample without any added cotton showed maximum compressive strength after seven days (see Figure 6).



Figure 6. 7-Day Compressive Strength of Flowable Fill with Cotton Content

The effect of excess water on the flowable mixtures with two percent cotton was also investigated. The flowable fill specimens with two percent of RC with regards to the cement weight was added to the control mix with different percentages of excess water (0, 100, 200, 300 and 400 percentage) with regards to the weight of cotton. The mixing proportions are shown in Table 6.

Material	RC2	RC2-100	RC2-200	RC2-300	RC2-400
Water (g)	983	1003	1023	1043	1063
Cement (g)	247	247	247	247	247
Fly Ash class C (g)	743	743	743	743	743
River Sand (g)	3908	3908	3908	3908	3908
2% Cotton (g)	20	20	20	20	20

Table 6. Flowable Fill Mixes adding RC with Excess Water

Note: 2% cotton w.r.t cement weight was added without replacing sand or cement.

Figure 7 displays the plot of compressive strength versus excess water content for the flowable fill mixtures prepared from two percent RC. The compressive strength results showed that two percent RC with no excess water was the best candidate as shown in Figure 7, indicating that no extra water is required in the preparation of cotton-flowable fill mixtures.



Figure 7. 7-Day Compressive Strength of Flowable Fill with Excess Water

The effect of the manufactured sand (MF) on the compressive strength was also tested using the flowable mix of two percent RC with no excess water. Our results showed a significant increase in the average compressive strength. The compressive strength of the flowable mixture with two percent raw cotton was 100 psi. Similar to the mortar mixes, the flowable fill specimens with cotton showed a higher load resistance and an elastic deformation as compared to the control specimens without added cotton.

1.4 Concrete Mixes

All tests were conducted using three-inch by six-inch concrete specimens made according to ASTM standards, and three replicates were made for all mixes. A loading rate of 0.05 in/min was used in testing the specimens.

The effect of the amount of water added to the cotton-impregnated concrete specimens was also investigated. The concrete specimens with two percent RC cotton with regards to the cement weight was tested with different excess amounts of water (0, 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 percent) levels with regards to the weight of RC. The mixing proportions are shown in Table 7.

Material	RC2+-0	RC2+-100	RC2+-200	RC2+-300	RC2+-400	RC2+-500
Water (g)	484.70	508.29	531.88	555.47	579.05	602.64
Cement (g)	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47
CA (g)	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24
FA (g)	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38
Cotton (g)	23.59	23.59	23.59	23.59	23.59	23.59

Table 7.	Concrete Mixes adding	Two Percent	RC with regards to	cement with
	different	excess levels	s of water	

The concrete specimen with 300 percent excess water showed the highest compressive strength as shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. 7-Day Compressive Strength of Concrete with Different Water Levels

Figure 9 shows photographic images of the control concrete specimen and the concrete sample (RC2-300) prepared from two percent crushed waste RC and 300 percent excess water after failure. Similar to mortar and flowable fill mixtures, the concrete specimens with cotton showed elastic deformation with a higher load resistance as compared to the control specimens, as shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Concrete Specimens after Failure; Left-Control, Right-With RC2%

1.5 Geopolymer

We also investigated the effect of added cotton fibers on the compressive strength of geopolymers. Figure 10 shows the stress versus strain curves for geopolymer specimens in the presence and absence of cotton fibers. The geopolymer specimen tested with five percent RC showed higher load resistance properties and an elastic deformation compared to the control geopolymer without added cotton fibers (see Figure 10). However, the control sample showed a high compressive strength with an abrupt failure after a certain stress, as shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10. 7-Day Stress-Strain Curve for Geopolymer

1.6 Swelling Clay

Tests were also conducted to investigate the effect of fiber length on clay swelling. Figure 11 shows the percent swelling for plastic swelling clay (control) and RC-clay composite. Results showed that the addition of five percent RC to highly plastic swelling clay significantly reduced the swell potential from 15 percent to three percent (by a factor of five).



Figure 11. Swelling Characteristics of Clay

2. EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF COTTON CELLULOSE FIBER LENGTH ON THE PROPERTIES OF VARIOUS PARTICULATE COMPOSITES

The effect of the fiber length on the compressive strength and load resistance of different particulate composites was also first tested using the long chain cellulose fibers and microcrystalline cellulose (MCC). MCC is a short-chain fiber derived from acid hydrolysis of long-chain cellulose fibers. The typical length of MCC ranges from nanometers to a few hundred micrometers.

2.1 Mortar Mixes

All tests were conducted using two-inch by two-inch by two-inch mortar specimens made according to ASTM C109/C109M-16a, and three replicates were made for all mixes. A loading rate of 0.01 in/min was used in testing the specimens.

Mortar specimens with two different sizes of MCC (50 microns and 90 microns) were used. Mortar specimens were prepared using two percent MCC with regards to the cement weight with different excess amounts of water (250 and 500 percent, with regards to the weight of MCC). The mixing proportions are shown in Table 8.

Material	Control	250%	500%		
Cement (g)	245.00	245.00	245.00		
River Sand (g)	673.75	673.75	673.75		
Water (g)	118.83	131.33	143.33		
2% MCC (g)	5.00	5.00	5.00		

Table 8. Mortar Mixes with 2% MCC with Excess Water

Amongst all cellulose-impregnated mortar specimens, the mortar specimen prepared from two percent MCC of 50 micron length with 250 percent excess water showed the highest compressive strength. A comparison of the average compressive strengths of all cellulose (two percent) impregnated mortar specimens tested are shown in Figure 12.



Figure 12. Average 7-Day Compressive Strength of Mortar

We also tested a mortar mix of two percent MCC (50 micron length) with 250 percent excess water, by replacing the sand with MCC instead of cement (see Table 9). The results showed an increase in the average compressive strength with this approach. The compressive strength of this mortar composite was 4209 psi.

Table 5. Mortal Mixes with 278 MCC and Excess Water					
Material	MCC2 (50)	MCC2 (50)-250			
Cement (g)	250.00	250.00			
River Sand (g)	682.50	682.50			
250 %Water (g)	121.25	133.75			
2% MCC (g)	5.00	5.00			

Table 9. Mortar Mixes with 2% MCC and Excess Water

Note: two percent MCC with regard to cement weight was added by replacing sand.

Note: Two percent MCC with regards to cement weight was added by replacing cement.

We also tested the effect of manufactured (MF) sand over river sand on the compressive strengths of the MCC added mortar specimens. The mixing proportions are shown in Table 10. The mortar specimen prepared from two percent MCC (50 micron length) and 250 percent excess water along with MF sand showed higher average compressive strength of 4733 psi as compared to similar mortar specimen made of river sand.

Material	Control MFS	MCC2+ (50) MFS-250
Cement (g)	250.00	250.00
MF Sand (g)	687.50	687.50
250% Water (g)	121.25	133.75
2% MCC (g)	0	5.00

Table	10.	Mortar	Mixes	bv	Addina	Two	Percent	MCC	with	Excess	Water
I GINIO		mortai	1111/00	~ ,	/ wanning		1 01 00110				H ator

Note: Two percent MCC with regard to cement weight was added without replacing sand or cement.

Figure 13 shows a comparison of the average compressive strengths of all mortar mixes. The control mortar samples with river sand and manufactured sand showed the highest compressive strengths among all specimens tested. Amongst all fiber added mortar specimens, the mortar mix prepared from MF and two percent MCC (50 micron length) exhibited the highest compressive strength after seven days. Furthermore, our results suggest that the addition of fiber does not improve the compressive strength of the mortar specimens after seven days.



Figure 13. Average 7-Day Compressive Strength of Mortar with MFS

Although mortar specimens with MCC have higher compressive strength values than TC and RC added mortar specimens, they did not show high load resistance and elastic deformation properties. Those specimens showed a brittle failure similar to control specimens. These results suggest that both load resistance and elastic deformation properties are dependent on the fiber length. Therefore, tests were conducted using long-chain cotton cellulose fibers.

2.2 Concrete Mixes

2.2.1 Concrete Mixes with One-Inch Long Raw Cotton (RC) Fibers

The compressive strength of the concrete mixtures with one-inch long waste cotton fibers was investigated. All tests were conducted using three-inch by six-inch concrete specimens made according to ASTM standards, and three replicates were made for all mixes. A loading rate of 0.05 in/min was used in testing the specimens.

Concrete specimens with one percent one-inch waste raw cotton fiber with regards to the cement weight was added on the top of the mix and tested with different excess water (0, 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 percent with regards to the weight of the fiber). The mixing proportions are shown in Table 11.

Material	1"1+-0	1"1+-100	1"1+-200	1"1+-300	1"1+-400	1"1+-500
Water (g)	484.70	496.49	508.29	520.08	531.88	543.67
Cement (g)	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47	1179.47
CA (g)	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24	2526.24
FA (g)	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38	1803.38
1% 1-inch RC (g)	11.79	11.79	11.79	11.79	11.79	11.79

Table 11. Concrete	Mixes adding	1% 1-inch long	RC with Exces	s Water

Figure 14 exhibits compressive strength data for concrete samples with one percent one-inch cotton fiber and varying excess water contents. As can be seen in Figure 14, the concrete sample with one percent one-inch cotton fiber and 100 percent excess water showed the highest compressive strength after seven days.



Figure 14. 7-Day Compressive Strength of Concrete with 1-inch fiber with Different Water Levels

Figure 15 shows photographic images of the control concrete specimen and the concrete sample (RC2-300) prepared from two percent crushed waste RC and 300 percent excess water after failure. The fiber-added concrete specimens followed a similar load resistance and elastic deformation properties as discussed earlier (see Figure 15).



Figure 15. Concrete Specimens after Failure; Left-Control, Right-With 1% 1-inch Cotton Fibers

2.2.2 Concrete Mixes with One-Inch Long Twisted Raw Cotton (RC) Fibers

Morphological studies of the concrete samples with one-inch long fibers showed that one-inch long fibers tend to agglomerate in the middle of the mixture and become entangled during the mixing. Therefore, we manually twisted the one-inch long fibers to disperse homogenously and prevent them from subsequent agglomeration and entanglement during the mixing. Figure 16 shows digital images of the manual process of making twisted-cotton fibers.



Figure 16. Process of Making Twisted Cotton Fibers; Left-cotton fibers, Middlemanually twisted fibers, Right-twisted bundle of fibers

All tests were conducted using three-inch by six-inch concrete specimens made according to ASTM standards, and nine replicates were made for this mix. A loading rate of 0.05 in/min was used in testing the specimens.

Concrete specimens with one percent one-inch twisted RC fiber with regards to the cement weight was added on the top of the mix and tested with 100 percent excess water level with regards to the weight of cotton fiber. The mixing proportions are shown in Table 12. The volume of the added cotton with regards to the total volume is 0.25 percent.

Water	
Material	RCT0.25+-100
100%Water (g)	1420.84
Cement (g)	3255.34
CA (g)	6972.43
FA (g)	4977.32
1% 1-inch twisted RC (g)	27.69

Table 12. Concrete Mixes adding One Percent Twisted One-inch RC with excess
water

The average strength results showed an average seven-day compressive strength of 3,907 psi, 28-day compressive strength of 4,308 psi, and 28-day indirect tensile strength of 446 psi. As can be seen in Table 13, the indirect tensile strength values of the control concrete mixes varied significantly from sample to sample. Interestingly, the concrete mixes with cotton showed consistent indirect tensile strength values (see Table 13).

Specimen	IDT (psi)	Average IDT (psi)
Control-1	320.00	
Control-2	452.00	432.33
Control-3	525.00	
RCT0.25+-100-1	443.00	
RCT0.25+-100-2	466.00	445.67
RCT0.25+-100-3	428.00	

 Table 13. 28-Day Indirect Tensile Strength of Concrete Mixes

Figure 17 shows the control concrete specimen and the concrete sample prepared from one percent one-inch twisted RC fiber and 100 percent excess water after failure. The concrete specimens with one percent cotton showed less brittle failure compared to the control specimens as shown in Figure 17.



Figure 17. Concrete Specimens after Failure; Left-Control, Right-With 1% 1-inch Twisted Cotton Fibers

3. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, project test results are analyzed and discussed.

3.1 Mechanical Properties

Our results suggest that fiber length plays a significant role in determining the load resistance and ductile properties of the particulate composites. The concrete mixes with long fibers (one-inch) show high load resistance and elastic deformation improving the ductile properties. The mortar mixes with shorter fibers (MCC) show high compressive strengths compared to that of long fibers with slightly reduced load resistance and elastic deformation. However, the compressive strength of the particulate composites does not improve after the addition of fibers. The sand type also contributes to the strength of the fiber-added mortar and concrete samples. The samples with manufactured sand showed much higher compressive strengths as compared to the composites made of river sand. The tensile strength of the fiber added concrete samples is consistent compared to the control samples. The addition of fibers to highly plastic swelling clay significantly reduced the swell potential by a factor of 5. The unit weight of all cotton mixed particulate composites is lower than that of the control samples, as shown in Table 14.

Composite	Control	With C	With Cotton	
Mortar	139.12	2%	134.45	
		5%	112.56	
		10%	97.21	
Concrete	148.19	1%	145.62	
		2%	136.73	
Flowable Fill	133.11	2%	128.73	

Table 14. Unit Weight (lb/ft³) of Different Composites

3.2 Morphological Analysis

Figure 18 shows the morphological analysis for the three mortar samples, namely control sample without added cotton, mortar sample with two percent RC, and mortar sample with short-chain MCC. SEM images of mortar specimens with cotton fibers show that fibers are not dispersed in the cement mixture homogeneously.



Figure 18. SEM Images of Mortar Specimens; Left-Control, Middle-With 2 % RC, Right-With 2% MCC.

Figure 19 shows SEM images of the cotton-concrete composites. SEM images of concrete with cotton fibers show the formation of cement hydrates on the fiber surface (see Figure 19). This indicates strong interaction between the fibers and cement. Fibers help to hold the composite mix together, which is an important aspect of fiber reinforcement.



Figure 19. SEM Images of Concrete Specimens; Left-x1K, Middle-2.5K, Right-5K

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this project clearly indicate the potential use of cotton-derived composites for climate resilient transportation infrastructures. The primary benefit in the cotton-derived composites is that it provides enhanced ductility and superior energy-absorbing properties while maintaining the strength and stiffness at acceptable levels. Natural fiber-based composites are also more sustainable environmentally and economically than conventional composites. The findings of this projects are promising and could open a new direction for the utilization of natural fibers in building climate resilient transportation infrastructures.

The recommendations of this project are:

- 1. One of the main drawbacks of cotton added composites stems from the difficulty to achieve homogenous mixing due to the hydrophilicity of cotton. This can be solved by modifying the fiber surface with a hydrophobic agent or using a homogenizer.
- 2. The results showed that the fiber length and size also play a major role in controlling the mechanical properties of these composites; therefore, more studies need to be conducted to investigate the effect of fiber properties on the mechanical properties of the composites.
- 3. The effects of water absorbed by the cotton on cement hydration during the aging process also need to be further investigated.
- 4. Biodegradation of natural fibers after exposing to outer environment may also needs to be investigated; therefore, longevity and stability of the cotton-added composites need to be examined under different weather conditions.

5. IMPLEMENTATION AND TECHNOLOGY TRANFER

The results indicate that this project could result in invention disclosure. Indeed, cotton and its derivatives (low MW weight fibers) have not been reported to improve the properties and durability of construction materials (concrete and asphalt). The improvements we observed in this project, compared to the conventional construction material is worth protecting. The results also indicate that this project will result in peerreview publication in high impact journals.

6. COST INFORMATION

Matching funds for project 15.3-03 have come primarily from faculty salary, research associate, and the corresponding F&A. A total of \$58,985 in matching funds has been reported. Additional matching funds will be reported by Texas Tech's Office of Accounting Services.

REFERENCES

- 1. Council, N.R., The Potential Impacts of Climate Change on U.S. Transportation. Transportation Research Board Special Report, March 2008. 290.
- 2. Klemm, D., et al., Cellulose: Fascinating Biopolymer and Sustainable Raw Material. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2005. 44(22): p. 3358-3393.
- 3. Azizi Samir, M.A.S., F. Alloin, and A. Dufresne, Review of Recent Research into Cellulosic Whiskers, Their Properties and Their Application in Nanocomposite Field. Biomacromolecules, 2005. 6(2): p. 612-626.
- 4. Ummartyotin, S. and H. Manuspiya, A critical review on cellulose: From fundamental to an approach on sensor technology. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2015. 41: p. 402-412.
- 5. Simon, J., et al., Thermoplastic and biodegradable polymers of cellulose. Polymer Degradation and Stability, 1998. 59(1–3): p. 107-115.
- 6. Walker, J.C.F., Basic wood chemistry and cell wall ultrastructure, in Primary Wood Processing: Principles and practice. 1993, Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht. p. 23-67.
- 7. Klash, A., et al., Determination of the cellulose and lignin content on wood fibre surfaces of eucalypts as a function of genotype and site. European Journal of Forest Research, 2010. 129(4): p. 741-748.
- 8. He, X., et al., Mechanochemically activated waste-derived cellulose as a novel functional additive to enhance melt processability and mechanical properties of poly(vinyl alcohol). Journal of Vinyl and Additive Technology, 2014. 20(3): p. 177-184.
- Han, Y., et al., Flame Retardant, Heat Insulating Cellulose Aerogels from Waste Cotton Fabrics by in Situ Formation of Magnesium Hydroxide Nanoparticles in Cellulose Gel Nanostructures. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2015. 3(8): p. 1853-1859.
- 10. Xiong, R., et al., Comparing microcrystalline with spherical nanocrystalline cellulose from waste cotton fabrics. Cellulose, 2012. 19(4): p. 1189-1198.
- 11. Huang, F., et al., Coaxial Electrospun Cellulose-Core Fluoropolymer-Shell Fibrous Membrane from Recycled Cigarette Filter as Separator for High Performance Lithium-Ion Battery. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2015. 3(5): p. 932-940.
- 12. Lam, E., et al., Green Strategy Guided by Raman Spectroscopy for the Synthesis of Ammonium Carboxylated Nanocrystalline Cellulose and the Recovery of Byproducts. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2013. 1(2): p. 278-283.
- 13. Salam, A., L.A. Lucia, and H. Jameel, A Novel Cellulose Nanocrystals-Based Approach To Improve the Mechanical Properties of Recycled Paper. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2013. 1(12): p. 1584-1592.
- 14. Xiao, L., et al., Bio-based green composites with high performance from poly(lactic acid) and surface-modified microcrystalline cellulose. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2012. 22(31): p. 15732-15739.
- 15. Chuayjuljit, S., et al., Preparation of Microcrystalline Cellulose from Waste-Cotton Fabric for Biodegradability Enhancement of Natural Rubber Sheets. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites, 2009. 28(10): p. 1245-1254.
- 16. Peng, B.L., et al., Chemistry and applications of nanocrystalline cellulose and its derivatives: A nanotechnology perspective. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2011. 89(5): p. 1191-1206.
- 17. Brinchi, L., et al., Production of nanocrystalline cellulose from lignocellulosic biomass: Technology and applications. Carbohydrate Polymers, 2013. 94(1): p. 154-169.
- 18. Peters, S., et al., Nanocellulose and Microcellulose Fibers for Concrete.

Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2010. 2142: p. 25-28.

19. Zhang, Z., et al., 20th European Conference on FractureNew Concepts for Next Generation of High Performance Concretes. Procedia Materials Science, 2014. 3: p. 1760-1766.