

### DOT/FAA/AR-96/97

Office of Aviation Research Washington, D.C. 20591

Ł

## Stress-Intensity Factors Along Three-Dimensional Elliptical Crack Fronts

May 1998

•

**Final Report** 

This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161.



U.S. Department of Transportation **Federal Aviation Administration** 

REPRODUCED BY: MTS U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

#### NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the objective of this report.

Э

#### **Technical Report Documentation Page**

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession	No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.		
				.'	
DOT/FAA/AR-96/97					
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date		
STRESS-INTENSITY FACTORS ALONG THREE-DIMENSIONAL		May 1998			
ELLIPTICAL CRACK FRONTS			6. Performing Organization C	Code	
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization F	чероп но.	
M. Gos <b>z</b> * and B. Moran**					
9. Performing Organization Name and Address			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)		
*Department of Mechanical Engineer	nσ				
University of New Hampshire					
Durham, NH 03824					
**Department of Civil Engineering an	d		11. Contract or Grant No.		
Center for Quality Engineering and	Failure Prevention				
Northwestern University					
Evanston, IL 60208-3020					
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Report and Perio	od Covered	
U.S. Demostration of Transmosteria			Einel Den ent		
U.S. Department of Transportation			Final Report		
Office of Aviation Research			14 Sponsoring Agency Code	0	
Washington DC 20501			14. Sponsoning Agency Code	5	
washington, DC 20391			AAR-433		
15. Supplementary Notes					
FAA William J. Hughes Technical C	Center COTR: John Bakı	ıckas			
16. Abstract					
The objective of the present invest	igation is to determine	the mode I stress-inten	sity factors along two	symmetric surface	
cracks emanating from a centrally l	cated hole in a rectang	lar plate (the so-called	Round Robin Problen	n) using the domain	
integral method. In order to valida	te the present three-dim	ensional domain integra	al implementation, two	comparisons were	
made with benchmark solutions. W	e first considered the pro-	oblem of an elliptical cr	ack embedded in a rec	ctangular plate. For	
plate dimensions much greater than	the largest characteristic	dimension of the ellipt	ical crack, we compare	ed the present finite	
element results with the solution of	Irwin (1962) for an elli	ptical crack embedded	in an infinitely extend	ed solid. Next, we	
considered the problem of a quarter-elliptical corner crack in a rectangular plate and compared the results with those of					
Newman and Raju (1983). Excellent agreement was obtained for both benchmark comparisons.					
17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement			
Domain integral method, Fi	nite element method.	This document is av	vailable to the public th	rough the National	
Surface cracks,	liptical crack,	Technical Informat	ion Service (NTIS), Sp	oringfield, Virginia	
Quarter-elliptical crack, St	ress-intensity factors	22161.			
	• 				
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of thi	s page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price	
Unclassified	Unclassified		14		

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Comparison Problems—Embedded Elliptical Crack (a) and Quarter-Elliptical Corner Crack (b)	3
2	Definition of Parametric and Physical Angles	3
3	Distribution of Normalized Stress-Intensity Factors Along Crack Front for an Embedded Elliptical Crack ( $a/c = 0.4$ , $a/t = 0.2$ , $c/W = 0.1$ , $H/W = 1.0$ )	4
4	Distribution of Normalized Stress-Intensity Factors Along Crack Front for a Quarter-Elliptical Corner Crack ( $a/c = 0.4$ , $a/t = 0.2$ , $c/W = 0.1$ , $H/W = 1.0$ )	4
5	The Round Robin Problem—Quarter-Elliptic Surface Crack at the Center of a Hole in a Plate Subjected to Tension ( $R/t = 2.0$ , $a/t = 0.2$ , $a/c = 0.8$ , $R/W = 0.2$ , $H/W = 2.0$ , $t = 1.0$ , $S = 1.0$ , $E = 1.0$ , $v = 0.3$ )	5
6	A Typical Finite Element Mesh Employed in the Analysis (16743 Degrees of Freedom) (a). The Finite Element Domains Along the Elliptical Crack Front Were Constructed by Sweeping a Two-Dimensional Crack Tip Mesh Through an Elliptical Curve (b).	6
7	Stress-Intensity Factors Versus Physical Angle for the Round Robin Problem	7

٠

-

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page	
1	Stress-Intensity Factors Versus Physical Angle for Round Robin Problem	7	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	v
INTRODUCTION	1
COMPARISONS	2
ROUND ROBIN PROBLEM	5
BIBLIOGRAPHY	8

.

.

٠

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of the present investigation is to determine the mode I stress-intensity factors along two symmetric surface cracks emanating from a centrally located hole in a rectangular plate (the so-called Round Robin Problem) using the domain integral method. In order to validate the present three-dimensional domain integral implementation, two comparisons were made with benchmark solutions. We first considered the problem of an elliptical crack embedded in a rectangular plate. For plate dimensions much greater than the largest characteristic dimension of the elliptical crack, we compared the present finite element results with the solution of Irwin (1962) for an elliptical crack embedded in an infinitely extended solid. Next, we considered the problem of a quarter-elliptical corner crack in a rectangular plate and compared the results with those of Newman and Raju (1983). Excellent agreement was obtained for both benchmark comparisons.

\*

#### INTRODUCTION

A particularly useful method for evaluating fracture parameters is the domain integral method in which the crack tip integral is recast as an integral over a finite domain surrounding the crack tip. The calculation of the crack tip parameters of interest can then be carried out in a straightforward post processing step in the finite element method. The domain integral method has been employed by Shih et al. (1986) to evaluate the energy release rate along a three-dimensional crack front in a thermally stressed body and has been used by Nikishkov and Atluri (1987) to evaluate the mixed-mode stress-intensity factors along an arbitrary three-dimensional crack. Although the implementation of the domain integral method with the finite element method is straightforward, the computation of fracture parameters along arbitrary three-dimensional crack fronts is expensive in terms of the time required to generate a mesh, in-core storage requirements for large three-dimensional calculations, and solution time. Mesh generation is particularly time consuming due to the difficulties associated with constructing a mesh which accurately captures the singular nature of the stress field in the vicinity of the crack front and near stress concentrations. Nevertheless, fracture parameters can be obtained very accurately with the method for arbitrary three-dimensional geometries.

The objective of the present investigation is to determine the mode I stress-intensity factors along two symmetric surface cracks emanating from a centrally located hole in a rectangular plate (a round robin problem) using the domain integral method. In order to validate the present threedimensional domain integral implementation, two comparisons were carried out. We first considered the problem of an elliptical crack embedded in a rectangular plate. For plate dimensions much greater than the largest characteristic dimension of the elliptical crack, we compared the present finite element results with the solution of Irwin (1962) for an elliptical crack embedded in an infinitely extended solid. Next, we considered the problem of a quarter-elliptical corner crack in a rectangular plate and compared the results with those of Newman and Raju (1983). Excellent agreement was obtained for both comparisons.

Results were then obtained for the round robin problem. Several meshes were constructed having between 12,000 to 20,000 degrees of freedom. The finite element calculations were performed on a Silicon Graphics R4000 workstation equipped with 192 megabytes of random access memory (RAM). The in-core storage requirement for the most refined mesh used was approximately 112 megabytes. Successive mesh refinement was carried out until the results converged.

In all of the numerical calculations, the pointwise energy release rates G(s) along the crack front were obtained directly by the domain integral method, and the mode I stress-intensity factors  $K_{I}(s)$  at each point along the crack front were obtained using the plane strain relation

$$K_{I}(s) = \left\{ \frac{EG(s)}{1 - \nu^{2}} \right\}^{1/2}$$
(1)

where E is Young's modulus and v is Poisson's ratio. Although we recognize that the asymptotic field has a lower order singularity than  $1/\sqrt{r}$  near intersections of the crack front

and free surfaces, the extent of the boundary layer is known to be small and thus equation 1 was used throughout for the computation of  $K_1$ .

#### **COMPARISONS**

In order to validate the present three-dimensional domain integral implementation, two comparisons were made. We first considered the problem of an embedded elliptical crack in a rectangular plate subjected to tensile loading as shown in figure 1(a). As shown in the figure, the dimensions of the elliptical crack are given by the parameters a and c and the thickness, height, and width of the plate are given by t, H, and W. The dimensionless ratios for the plate and elliptical crack were taken to be as follows:

$$H/W = 1.0$$
  
a/c = 0.4  
a/t = 0.2  
c/W = 0.1

and Poisson's ratio was taken to be v=0.3. We note that the results are independent of Young's modulus, and the remote applied stress was taken to be unity. Defining the parametric angle  $\phi$  as shown in figure 2, the calculated values for the mode I stress-intensity factors along the crack front are plotted versus parametric angle as shown in figure 3. The stress-intensity factors are normalized with respect to the crack depth a and the parameter Q which is the square of the complete elliptical integral of the second kind and was approximated by the formula

$$Q = 1 + 1.464 \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^{1.65} \qquad \frac{a}{c} \le 1$$
 (2)

Because the dimensions of the elliptical crack were taken to be small in comparison with the plate dimensions, the results were compared to the solution by Irwin (1962) for an elliptical crack embedded in an infinite solid. As shown in the figure, excellent agreement between the two results was obtained. The finite element model for this problem consisted of approximately 4500 eight-node brick elements, and we note that no singular crack tip elements were employed.

For the second comparison, the problem of a quarter-elliptical corner crack in a rectangular plate subjected to tensile loading was considered as shown in figure 1(b). The dimensionless ratios for the plate and elliptical crack were taken as

$$H/W = 1.0$$
  
a/c = 0.4  
a/t = 0.2  
c/W = 0.1



FIGURE 1. COMPARISON PROBLEMS—EMBEDDED ELLIPTICAL CRACK (a) AND QUARTER-ELLIPTICAL CORNER CRACK (b)



FIGURE 2. DEFINITION OF PARAMETRIC AND PHYSICAL ANGLES



FIGURE 3. DISTRIBUTION OF NORMALIZED STRESS-INTENSITY FACTORS ALONG CRACK FRONT FOR AN EMBEDDED ELLIPTICAL CRACK (a/c = 0.4, a/t = 0.2, c/W = 0.1, H/W = 1.0)

the remote applied stress was taken to be unity, and Poisson's ratio was taken to be v = 0.3. The present finite element results for the quarter-elliptical corner crack were compared with the finite element results of Newman and Raju (1983) as shown in figure 4. The maximum difference between the two results is less than two percent. The finite element mesh for the corner crack was identical to the mesh used for the embedded crack—the solution to the corner crack problem was obtained through a modification of the boundary conditions.



FIGURE 4. DISTRIBUTION OF NORMALIZED STRESS-INTENSITY FACTORS ALONG CRACK FRONT FOR A QUARTER-ELLIPTICAL CORNER CRACK (a/c = 0.4, a/t = 0.2, c/W = 0.1, H/W = 1.0)

#### ROUND ROBIN PROBLEM

The objective of the round robin problem was to determine the mode I stress-intensity factors along two symmetric elliptical cracks emanating from a centrally located hole in a rectangular plate subjected to uniform tensile loading. The geometry for the problem is depicted in figure 5. The dimensionless ratios for the crack and plate geometry were specified as

R/t = 2.0a/t = 0.2a/c = 0.8R/W = 0.2H/W = 2.0



FIGURE 5. THE ROUND ROBIN PROBLEM—QUARTER-ELLIPTIC SURFACE CRACK AT THE CENTER OF A HOLE IN A PLATE SUBJECTED TO TENSION (R/t = 2.0, a/t = 0.2, a/c = 0.8, R/W = 0.2, H/W = 2.0, t = 1.0, S = 1.0, E = 1.0, v = 0.3)

In addition, the remote applied stress was prescribed to be unity S = 1, the thickness of the plate was given as t = 1, and Poisson's ratio v = 0.3.

Several finite element meshes were constructed having between 12,000 and 20,000 degrees of freedom. A typical finite element mesh employed in the analysis is shown in figure 6(a). The finite element domains along the elliptical crack front needed for the evaluation of the pointwise energy release rates were constructed by sweeping a two-dimensional crack tip mesh through an elliptical curve as shown in figure 6(b). The two-dimensional crack tip mesh employed for the round robin problem is identical to those used in the benchmark comparisons.



FIGURE 6. A TYPICAL FINITE ELEMENT MESH EMPLOYED IN THE ANALYSIS (16743 DEGREES OF FREEDOM) (a). THE FINITE ELEMENT DOMAINS ALONG THE ELLIPTICAL CRACK FRONT WERE CONSTRUCTED BY SWEEPING A TWO-DIMENSIONAL CRACK TIP MESH THROUGH AN ELLIPTICAL CURVE (b).

The present finite element results for the round robin problem for three different meshes are plotted versus physical angle  $\theta$  in figure 7. The physical angle  $\theta$  around the elliptical crack front is defined in figure 2. Keeping the discretization for the two-dimensional crack tip mesh constant, the mesh was refined until the results no longer changed significantly. The final mesh had 19,179 degrees of freedom, and the tabulated values for the stress-intensity factors  $K_I$  versus physical angle  $\theta$  for this case are given in table 1.



٤

# FIGURE 7. STRESS-INTENSITY FACTORS VERSUS PHYSICAL ANGLE FOR THE ROUND ROBIN PROBLEM

TABLE 1. STRESS-INTENSITY FACTORS VERSUS PHYSICAL ANGLE FOR ROUNDROBIN PROBLEM

θ	КI
1.39	1.448
3.05	1.448
5.05	1.436
7.47	1.422
10.38	1.410
13.92	1.403
18.24	1.403
23.57	1.414
30.22	1.440
38.66	1.483
48.89	1.539
57.67	1.600
65.07	1.658
71.20	1.712
76.21	1.760
80.28	1.801
83.56	1.834
86.19	1.857
88.31	1.857

We state as a final cautionary remark that the finite element results for this problem depend heavily on mesh design as well as the number of degrees of freedom in the model. It is extremely important to design a mesh which accurately captures the stress field along the crack front as well as the stress concentration around the hole. An inappropriate mesh along the crack front and/or a mesh which is not adequately focused around the hole can yield considerably lower values for  $K_I$ .

#### BIBILOGRAPHY

Irwin, G. R., 1962, "The Crack Extension Force for a Part Through Crack in a Plate," *Journal of Applied Mechanics, Transactions of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 651-654.

Newman, J. C., Jr. and Raju, I. S., 1983, "Stress Intensity Factor Equations for Cracks in Three-Dimensional Finite Bodies," *Fracture Mechanics: Fourteenth Symposium—Volume I: Theory and Analysis, ASTM STP 791*, J. C. Lewis and G. Sines, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, pp. I-238 - I-265.

Nikishkov, G. P. and Atluri, S. N., 1987, "Calculation of Fracture Mechanics Parameters for an Arbitrary Three-Dimensional Crack by the 'Equivalent Domain Integral Method," *International Journal of Numerical Methods in Engineering*, Vol. 24, pp. 1801-1821.

Shih, C. F., Moran, B., and Nakamura, T., 1986, "Energy Release Rate Along a Three-Dimensional Crack Front in a Thermally Stressed Body," *International Journal of Fracture*, Vol. 30, pp. 79-102.