



# Advanced Public Transportation Systems Deployment in the United States

Year 2000 Update


Final Report May 2002





# **NOTICE**

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.



PROTECTED UNDER INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHT ALL RIGHTS RESERVED NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED May, 2002 Final Report July 2000 - September 2001 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS Advanced Public Transportation Systems Deployment in the United States - Year 2000 Update HW52/H2257

6. AUTHOR(S)

Robert F. Casey

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Special Programs Administration John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center Cambridge, MA 02142-1093

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

DOT-VNTSC-FTA-02-06

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration, TRI-10 Office of Mobility Innovation 400 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20590

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

FTA-MA-26-7007-02.1

#### 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

#### 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

#### 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

This report documents work performed under the Federal Transit Administration's Advanced Public Transportation Systems (APTS) Program, a program structured to undertake research and development of innovative applications of advanced navigation, information, and communication technologies that most benefit public transportation.

This report is a compilation of existing and planned deployments of APTS technologies and services. The information was collected during the Summer and Fall of 2000 and was obtained through contacts with persons at each transit agency. A total of 576 agencies were surveyed for this study. Only those agencies with existing or planned APTS systems are included in this report.

14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), Advanced Public Transportation Systems (APTS), Advanced Technology Transit 68 Applications, Transit Information Systems, Transit Communications Systems, Transit Control Systems, Automated 16. PRICE CODE Vehicle Location, Automated Passenger Counters, Automated Fare Payment, Transit Vehicle Probes, Vehicle Component Monitoring, Traffic Signal Priority, ITS Integration, Transportation Management Centers 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT OF THIS PAGE OF ABSTRACT Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified

# Advanced Public Transportation Systems Deployment in the United States

# Year 2000 Update

May 2002

### Prepared by:

Service and Operations Assessment Division
Office of System and Economic Assessment
John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center
Research and Special Programs Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation

#### Prepared for:

Advanced Public Transportation Systems Division
Office of Mobility Innovation
Federal Transit Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation

and

Intelligent Transportation Systems Joint Program Office
Operations Core Business Unit
Federal Highway Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation

# **METRIC/ENGLISH CONVERSION FACTORS**

**ENGLISH TO METRIC** 


METRIC TO ENGLISH

#### LENGTH (APPROXIMATE) **LENGTH (APPROXIMATE)** 1 millimeter (mm) = 0.04 inch (in) 1 inch (in) = 2.5 centimeters (cm) 1 foot (ft) = 30 centimeters (cm) 1 centimeter (cm) = 0.4 inch (in) 1 yard (yd) = 0.9 meter (m)1 meter (m) = 3.3 feet (ft)1 mile (mi) = 1.6 kilometers (km) 1 meter (m) = 1.1 yards (yd)1 kilometer (km) = 0.6 mile (mi) AREA (APPROXIMATE) **AREA** (APPROXIMATE) 1 square centimeter (cm<sup>2</sup>) = 0.16 square inch (sq in, in<sup>2</sup>) 1 square inch (sq in, in<sup>2</sup>) = 6.5 square centimeters (cm²) 1 square meter (m<sup>2</sup>) = 1.2 square yards (sq yd, 1 square foot (sq ft, ft<sup>2</sup>) = 0.09 square meter (m<sup>2</sup>) yd²) 1 square yard (sq yd, yd²) = 0.8 square meter (m²) 1 square kilometer (km<sup>2</sup>) = 0.4 square mile (sq mi, mi<sup>2</sup>) 1 square mile (sq mi, mi<sup>2</sup>) = 2.6 square kilometers 10,000 square meters $(m^2)$ = 1 hectare (ha) = 2.5 acres (km²) 1 acre = 0.4 hectare (he) = 4,000 square meters (m<sup>2</sup>) MASS - WEIGHT (APPROXIMATE) MASS - WEIGHT (APPROXIMATE) 1 ounce (oz) = 28 grams (gm) 1 gram (gm) = 0.036 ounce (oz)1 pound (lb) = 0.45 kilogram (kg) 1 kilogram (kg) = 2.2 pounds (lb) 1 short ton = 2.000 = 0.9 tonne (t) 1 tonne (t) = 1,000 kilograms (kg)pounds (lb) = 1.1 short tons **VOLUME (APPROXIMATE) VOLUME (APPROXIMATE)** 1 milliliter (ml) = 0.03 fluid ounce (fl oz) 1 teaspoon (tsp) = 5 milliliters (ml) 1 tablespoon (tbsp) = 15 milliliters (ml) 1 liter (I) = 2.1 pints (pt)1 fluid ounce (fl oz) = 30 milliliters (ml) 1 liter (I) = 1.06 quarts (qt) 1 cup (c) = 0.24 liter (l)1 liter (I) = 0.26 gallon (gal) 1 pint (pt) = 0.47 liter (l) 1 quart (qt) = 0.96 liter (l) 1 gallon (gal) = 3.8 liters (l) 1 cubic foot (cu ft, ft<sup>3</sup>) = 0.03 cubic meter (m<sup>3</sup>) 1 cubic meter (m<sup>3</sup>) = 36 cubic feet (cu ft, ft<sup>3</sup>) 1 cubic yard (cu yd, yd³) = 0.76 cubic meter (m³) 1 cubic meter (m³) = 1.3 cubic yards (cu yd, yd³) TEMPERATURE (EXACT) **TEMPERATURE** (EXACT) [(x-32)(5/9)] °F = y °C $[(9/5) y + 32] ^{\circ}C = x ^{\circ}F$

# QUICK INCH - CENTIMETER LENGTH CONVERSION



#### QUICK FAHRENHEIT - CELSIUS TEMPERATURE CONVERSION



#### **PREFACE**

This research was conducted by the Office of System and Economic Assessment at the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation under the sponsorship of the Office of Mobility Innovation, Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation and funded by the Intelligent Transportation Systems Joint Program Office, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. This report is the third of a series of biennial reports tracking the existing and planned deployments of Advanced Public Transportation Systems (APTS) technologies and services in the United States.

The information contained in this report was collected by personnel at the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe Center) and the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and SAIC during the Fall of 2000. The data contained in the report tables are only as accurate as the information provided, either verbally or written, by the agency contacts and have not been verified by the Volpe Center.

The objective was to reach as many transit agencies as could be identified. A total of 572 agencies provided information for this study. Responding agencies with no existing or planned APTS systems are not listed in the report tables.

Appreciation goes to Melissa Laube and Lawrence Labell of the Volpe Center and Ed Newhall, Jim Lannon, John Mermin, and Anna Kravitz of EG&G Services who collected the Volpe information; to Stephen Gordon of Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Juan Noltenius and Andrew Dixson of SAIC for supplying the data for the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas; and to Sara Secunda of the Volpe Center who compiled the data and produced the tables. Finally, appreciation goes to all the agencies which supplied information for this report.

		•	

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section	<u>on</u>	Page
1. SI	JMMARY OF APTS DEPLOYMENTS	1-1
	PTS DEPLOYMENT BY TRANSIT AGENCY IN THE UNITED STATE ARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS	
	PTS DEPLOYMENT BY TRANSIT AGENCY OUTSIDE OF THE 78 ARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS IN THE UNITED STATES	3-1
APPE	ENDIX A - DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED	A-1
APPE	ENDIX B - 1995-2000 DEPLOYMENT DATA	B-1
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figur	<u>e</u>	<u>Page</u>
1-1. 1-2. 1-3. 1-4. 1-5.	Advanced Communications Systems  Automatic Vehicle Location Systems  Automatic Passenger Counters  Vehicle Component Monitoring  Automated Operations Software	1-4 1-6 1-7

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1-1.	Advanced Communications	1-2
1-2.	Percent Change in Advanced Communications	1-3
1-3.	Automatic Vehicle Location	1-4
1-4.	Percent Change in Automatic Vehicle Location	1-4
<b>1-</b> 5.	Vehicle Probes	
1-6.	Automatic Passenger Counters	
1-7.	Percent Change in Automatic Passenger Counters	1-6
1-8.	Vehicle Component Monitoring	
1-9.	Percent Change in Vehicle Component Monitoring	1-7
1-10.	Automated Operations Software	1-8
1-11.	Automated Operations Software	1-9
1-12.	Automated Transit Information	1-9
1-13.	Percent Change in Automated Transit Information	1-10
1-14.	Multimodal Traveler Information	1-11
	Automated Fare Payment	
1-16.	Percent Change in Automated Fare Payment	1-12
	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	
	Mobility Manager	
1-19.	Transportation Management Center	1-13
	Traffic Signal Priority	
1-21.	Percent Change in Traffic Signal Priority	1-14
1-22.	ITS Integration	1-15
1-23.	Surveillance Cameras	1-15
	Silent Alarms	
1-25.	Covert Microphones	1-16
2-1.	APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest	
		2-2
3-1.	APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78	
	Largest Metropolitan Areas	3-2

# **LEGEND**

Service Type		
FR	Fixed Route	
DR	Demand Response	
LR	Light Rail	
HR	Heavy Rail	
CR	Commuter Rail	
FB	Ferry Boat	

Advanced C	Communications (1997)
DIG	Digital Radio
TR	Trunked Radio

Automated Vehicle Location		
GPS	Global Positioning System	
DK	Dead Reckoning	
LC	Loran C	
SO	Signpost/Odometer	

Vehic	le Probes
F	On Freeways
Α	On Arterials

Automated Transit Information				
P	Pre-Trip			
W	Terminal/Wayside			
Ī	In-Vehicle			

Automated	Fare Payment
MS	Magnetic Stripe
SC	Smart Card

G	eneral:
U or OTR	Unspecified Technology

	Status
Any Letter(s)	Operational
[Any Letter(s)]	Planned

#### **SECTION 1. SUMMARY OF APTS DEPLOYMENTS**

Summaries of 17 Advanced Public Transportation System (APTS) element deployments are shown in the tables in this Section. (See Appendix A for definitions of these elements.) The summary tables show the number of responding transit agencies with present and planned (i.e., expected to be operational by the year 2005) deployments of APTS elements. The number of service types these agencies operate using APTS systems or technologies are also listed where these data were collected. Table 1-3, for example, reveals that 230 transit agencies operate or are planning to operate 316 service types employing Automatic Vehicle Location. Where applicable (and available), the tables also summarize the deployments by the specific technologies installed. Figures 1-1 through 1-8 show graphically the number of APTS systems deployed or planned to be deployed as revealed in the 1995, 1998, and 2000 surveys. (See Appendix B for the actual number in each of the years.) Other Section 1 tables show the percentage increases between survey periods where these same data were obtained. Only eight APTS elements have data from all three years.

The Section 1 table statistics showing the number of deployments are presented in three columns. The first column contains the Oak Ridge National Laboratory/SAIC (Oak Ridge) collected data on the existing or planned APTS deployments in jurisdictions containing 50,000 persons or more within the 78 largest metropolitan areas of the United States. The second column contains the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe) collected data on the existing or planned APTS deployments in the remainder of the United States. The third column contains the sum of the Oak Ridge and Volpe data.

Although 17 APTS elements are covered in this report, totals for the entire U.S. can be presented only for Advanced Communications, Automatic Vehicle Location, Vehicle Probes, Automatic Passenger Counters, Vehicle Component Monitoring, Automated Operations Software, Automated Transit Information, Automated Fare Payment, and Traffic Signal Priority. This is due to the fact that the same data was not ultimately collected by both organizations. Since the initial survey form mailed out by Oak Ridge National Laboratory/SAIC received a low return rate, a second, shorter survey form was sent to the non-responding agencies. While this second effort resulted in virtually a 100 percent response, several questions that would have obtained information on the same APTS elements as in the Volpe survey were not asked. These elements included Multi-Modal Traveler Information, Multi-Carrier Fare Integration, Mobility Manager, Transportation Management Centers, and ITS Integration. The Volpe survey also added Surveillance Camera, Silent Alarm, and Covert Microphone questions after the Oak Ridge survey was finalized. Consequently, deployments of several APTS elements are reported only for areas outside the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas.

The operational and planned status numbers in Section 1 tables will sum to the agency total in cases where both operational and planned status information was collected. However, the breakdowns by service type and technology or location usually will not sum to the transit agency total because of the number of agencies with multiple technologies installed (e.g., magnetic stripe and smart card fare payment, etc.) or with a technology installed on more than one mode. If an agency is operating an APTS technology but is upgrading to a more advanced technology in the same category (e.g., from signpost to Global Positioning System technology), it is counted as operational only. If an agency is operating a technology in more than one mode, it is counted as one agency, but with multiple service types.

Of the 572 agencies surveyed in 2000, the *most widely deployed* APTS elements for which data were collected for the entire U.S. are Automated Transit Information (291 agencies), Advanced Communications (229 agencies), and Automated Operations Software (177 agencies). The *least widely deployed* APTS elements are Vehicle Component Monitoring (46 agencies), Automatic Passenger Counters (33 agencies), Traffic Signal Priority for transit vehicles (30 agencies), and Vehicle Probes (8 agencies). Automated Fare Payment and Automatic Vehicle Location have been deployed by 98 and 88 agencies respectively. The APTS element with *the greatest number of planned deployments* by 2005 is Automatic Vehicle Location (142 agencies). Summaries by APTS element are as follows.

#### Advanced Communications

Advanced Communications encompasses digital and trunked radio systems. Table 1-1 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-1. Advanced Communications			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational Systems	99	130	229
Planned Systems	54	40	94
Agency Totals	153	170	323
Service Types			
FR		152	
DR		129	
LR		1	
HR		1	
CR		0	
FB		4	
Service Type Totals		287	
Technology			
Trunked Only	38	36	74
Digital Only	41	72	113
Trunked and Digital	66	62	128
Other/Unspecified	8	0	8

Figure 1-1 and Table 1-2 show the survey to survey period changes in deployments.




Table 1-2. Percent Change in Advanced Communications				
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000	
Operational	141%	64%	295%	
Planned	268%	16%	327%	
Total	176%	46%	304%	

Advanced Communications ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with available data for the entire U.S. in total number of agencies with operational systems (229) in 2000 and 2<sup>nd</sup> in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (323) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. Due to the substantial number of Advanced Communications systems already deployed, the percent increase in agencies with operational systems (64%) and operational plus planned systems (46%) ranked only 5<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup>, respectively, from 1998 to 2000.

#### Automatic Vehicle Location

The most common form of Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) in use by transit agencies is Global Positioning System (GPS) technology, often with differential correction (DGPS). Although there are still a few older systems with signpost, dead reckoning, or Loran-C location technology, most agencies that had installed these technologies have replaced them with GPS technology. Table 1-3 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Figure 1-2 and Table 1-4 show the survey to survey period changes in deployments.

Table 1-3. Automatic Vehicle Location			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational Systems	63	25	88
Planned Systems	80	62	142
Agency Totals	143	87	230
Service Types			
FR	122	74	196
DR	70	16	86
LR	12	0	12
HR	8	0	8
CR	8	1	9
FB	2	3	5
Service Type Totals	222	94	316
Technology			
GPS		86	
Sign Post/Odometer		1	
Dead Reckoning		1	
Loran-C		1	
Other/Unknown		5	




Table 1-4. P	ercent Change in	ı Automatic Vehic	cle Location
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000
Operational	177%	44%	300%
Planned	56%	42%	122%
Total	87%	43%	167%

AVL ranked 5<sup>th</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with full U.S. data in total number of agencies with operational systems (88) in 2000 and 4<sup>th</sup> in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (230) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. The percent increase in agencies with operational systems (44%) and operational plus planned systems (43%) ranked 6<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>, respectively, from 1998 to 2000.

#### **Vehicle Probes**

A Vehicle Probe is an AVL-equipped vehicle that is used to provide information for the calculation of travel times and speeds on highway facilities. Table 1-5 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-5. Vehicle Probes			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational	4	4	8
Planned	2	2	4
Agency Totals	6	6	12
Service Types			
FR	6	5	11
DR	1	1	2
Service Type Totals	7	6	13
Location			
Freeway	1	0	1
Arterial	2	0	2
Freeway and Arterial	3	6	9

Very few Vehicle Probe systems have been deployed or are planned. Vehicle Probes ranked last of the 9 APTS elements with available data for the entire U.S. in total number of agencies with operational systems (8) in 2000 and last in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (12) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. Vehicle Probe data was not collected for the entire U.S. in prior surveys so no comparison is possible.

# Automatic Passenger Counters

Automatic Passenger Counters (APC) are devices that count passengers as they enter and exit the transit vehicle or system. The most prevalent counting mechanism is infrared beams, but a few agencies use treadle mats. Table 1-6 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Figure 1-3 and Table 1-7 show the survey to survey period changes in deployments.

Table 1-6. Automatic Passenger Counters			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational	23	10	33
Planned	62	12	74
Agency Totals	85	22	107
Service Types			
FR	82	21	103
DR	9	7	16
LR	11	0	11
HR	0	1	1
CR	3	0	3
FB	1	0	1
Service Type Totals	106	29	135




Table 1-7. Percent Change in Automatic Passenger Counters				
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000	
Operational	118%	38%	200%	
Planned	43%	147%	252%	
Total	69%	98%	234%	

APCs ranked 7<sup>th</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with entire U.S. data in total number of agencies with operational systems (33) in 2000 and 7<sup>th</sup> in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (107) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. The percent increase in agencies with operational systems (38%) and operational plus planned systems (98%) ranked 8<sup>th</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>, respectively, from 1998 to 2000.

# **Vehicle Component Monitoring**

Vehicle Component Monitoring is the remote collection, in real time, of vehicle conditions such as engine temperature, oil pressure, tire pressure, etc. Table 1-8 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-8. Vehicle Component Monitoring			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational Systems	28	18	46
Planned Systems	50	18	68
Agency Totals	78	36	114
Service Types			
FR	66	34	100
DR	37	12	49
LR	2	0	2
HR	4	0	4
CR	5	0	5
FB	2	1	3
Service Type Totals	116	47	163

Figure 1-4 and Table 1-9 show the survey to survey period changes in deployments.




Table 1-9. Percent Change in Vehicle Component Monitoring				
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000	
Operational	160%	254%	820%	
Planned	29%	119%	183%	
Total	52%	159%	293%	

Vehicle Component Monitoring ranked 6<sup>th</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with entire U.S. data in the total number of agencies with operational systems (46) in 2000 and in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (114) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. The percent increase in agencies with operational systems (254%) and operational plus planned systems (159%) ranked 1<sup>st</sup> in both status categories between 1998 to 2000.

#### **Automated Operations Software**

Automated Operations Software encompasses computer programs that collect, process, and/or analyze operational data in ways that will assist transit agencies in providing improved or more efficient service or in reducing service cost. This includes computer assisted scheduling and dispatching of demand responsive service which was reported separately in previous deployment reports. Table 1-10 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-10. Automated Operations Software			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational Systems	75	102	177
Planned Systems	64	68	132
Agency Totals	139	170	309
Service Types			
FR	107	95	202
DR	85	130	215
LR	11	0 .	11
HR	7	0	7
CR	4	1	5
FB	2	2	4
Service Type Totals	216	228	444

Figure 1-5 and Table 1-11 show the survey to survey deployment changes.




Table 1-11. Percent Change in Automated Operations Software			
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000
Operational	35%	43%	92%
Planned	6%	83%	94%
Total	23%	58%	93%

The number of transit agencies with operational Automated Operations Software (177) ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with entire U.S. data in 2000 and 3<sup>rd</sup> in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (309) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. The percent increase in agencies with operational systems (43%) and operational plus planned systems (58%) ranked 7<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup>, respectively, from 1998 to 2000.

#### Automated Transit Information

The Year 2000 surveys collected information on Automated Transit Information by 14 distribution methods or media. These have been collapsed into pre-trip, wayside, and in-vehicle systems for presentation purposes. Table 1-12 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-12. Automated Transit Information			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational Systems	173	118	291
Planned Systems	16	32	48
Agency Totals	189	150	339
Service Types FR		. 128	
DR		80	
HR		1	
CR		1	
FB		5	
Service Type Totals		215	
Location			
Pre-Trip	187	147	334
Wayside	117	50	167
In-Vehicle	96	28	124

Figure 1-6 and Table 1-13 show the survey to survey period changes in deployments.




Table 1-13. P	ercent Change in Au	itomated Transit I	nformation
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000
Operational	85%	227%	506%
Planned	67%	-36%	7%
Total	76%	107%	265%

Automated Transit Information ranks 1<sup>st</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with entire U.S. data in 2000 in terms of the number of agencies with operational systems (291) and in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (339) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. The percent increase in agencies with operational systems (227%) and operational plus planned systems (107%) ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> in both status categories from 1998 to 2000. The number of agencies planning to deploy Automated Transit Information systems is the lowest (48), except for Vehicle Probes, of any APTS element, presumably because so many agencies already provide it. It is anticipated that further analysis of the survey responses will show that World Wide Web pages account for a large portion of the automated information available.

#### Multimodal Traveler Information

Multimodal Traveler Information is transit information presented to the public via a distribution medium which also includes information concerning other transit agencies' services or other transportation modes. Table 1-14 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-14. Multimodal Traveler Information				
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total	
Transit Agency Status				
Operational Systems		16		
Planned Systems		25		
Agency Totals		41		

Only 16 operational and 41 operational plus planned Multimodal Traveler Information systems were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

# Automated Fare Payment

Automated Fare Payment is any system other than a registering farebox that automatically accepts a magnetic stripe card or a smart card for payment of the transit fare. Magnetic stripe cards include credit and debit cards. Table 1-15 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-15. Automated Fare Payment				
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total	
Transit Agency Status				
Operational Systems	69	29	98	
Planned Systems	59	18	77	
Agency Totals	128	47	175	
Service Types				
FR	117	46	163	
DR	41	3	44	
LR	10	0	10	
HR	10	1	11	
CR	5	0	5	
FB	4	0	4	
Service Type Totals	187	50	237	
Technology				
Magnetic Stripe	57	31	88	
Smart Card	29	10	39	
Mag Stripe & Smart Card	42	6	48	

Figure 1-7 and Table 1-16 show the survey to survey period changes in deployments.




Table 1-16 . Percent Change in Automated Fare Payment				
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000	
Operational	91%	133%	345%	
Planned	58%	13%	79%	
Total	69%	59%	169%	

Automated Fare Payment ranked 4<sup>th</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with entire U.S. data in total number of agencies with operational systems (98) in 2000 and 5<sup>th</sup> in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (175) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. The percent increase in agencies with operational systems (133%) and operational plus planned systems (59%) ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>, respectively, from 1998 to 2000. Magnetic stripe systems outnumber smart card systems by about three to two.

# Multi-Carrier Fare Integration

Multi-Carrier Fare Integration consists of two or more transit agencies on which the same electronic payment media can be used to pay fares. Table 1-17 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-17. Multi-Carrier Fare Integration				
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total	
Transit Agency Status				
Operational Systems		21		
Planned Systems		2		
Agency Totals		23		

Only 21 operational and 23 operational plus planned Multi-Carrier Fare Integration systems were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

### **Mobility Manager**

Transit agencies that handle the travel requests or the dispatching of vehicles for multiple agencies (e.g., social service agencies, Health and Human Service agencies, transit agencies, etc.) are considered Mobility Managers. Table 1-18 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-18. Mobility Manager			
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational Systems		75	
Planned Systems		13	
Agency Totals		88	
Service Types			
FR		8	
DR		80	
Service Type Totals		88	

Only 75 operational and 88 operational plus planned Mobility Manager systems were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

### Transportation Management Centers

A Transportation Management Center is a facility that houses personnel that control both transit vehicles and highway vehicles or equipment (e.g., transit vehicles, incident management vehicles, traffic signals, variable message signs, etc.). Table 1-19 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-19. Transportation Management Center				
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total	
Transit Agency Status				
Operational Systems		8		
Planned Systems		3		
Agency Totals		11		
Service Types				
FR		9		
DR		1		
HR		1		
Service Type Totals		11		

Only 8 operational and 11 operational plus planned Transportation Management Centers were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

# Traffic Signal Priority

Traffic Signal Priority systems are those that provide an advanced or extended green signal phase for approaching transit vehicles. Table 1-20 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-20. Traffic Signal Priority				
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total	
Transit Agency Status				
Operational Systems	18	12	30	
Planned Systems	40	18	58	
Agency Totals	58	30	88	
Service Types				
FR	52	30	82	
DR	4	0	4	
LR	8	0	8	
FB	1	0	1	
Service Type Totals	65	30	95	

Figure 1-8 and Table 1-21 show the survey to survey period changes in deployments.




Table 1-2	.1. Percent Change in	n Traffic Signal P	riority
	1995-1998	1998-2000	1995-2000
Operational	78%	88%	233%
Planned	122%	45%	222%
Total	107%	57%	226%

Traffic Signal Priority ranked 8<sup>th</sup> of the 9 APTS elements with entire U.S. data in total number of agencies with operational systems (30) in 2000 and 8<sup>th</sup> in the total number of agencies with operational plus planned systems (88) according to responses to the Year 2000 survey. The percent increase in agencies with operational systems (88%) and operational plus planned systems (57%) ranked 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup>, respectively, from 1998 to 2000.

#### ITS Integration

ITS Integration is a situation in which agencies share infrastructure (e.g., computer systems, communication lines), coordinate operations (e.g., common control strategy), or share information in real time via electronic means. Table 1-22 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-22. ITS Integration				
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total	
Transit Agency Status				
Operational Systems		59		
Planned Systems		25		
Agency Totals		84		

Fifty-nine operational and 84 operational plus planned deployments of Integrated ITS systems were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

#### Surveillance Cameras

Surveillance Cameras have been placed on transit vehicles for the recording or real-time observation of on-board activities. Table 1-23 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-23, Surveillance Cameras				
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total	
Transit Agency Status				
Operational Systems		47		
Planned Systems		19		
Agency Totals		66		
Service Types				
FR		45		
DR		22		
Service Type Totals		67		

Forty-seven operational and 66 operational plus planned deployments of Surveillance Cameras on transit vehicles were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

#### Silent Alarms

A Silent Alarm is a concealed button near the vehicle operator's position that can be pressed to alert the dispatch center that an on-board emergency situation exists which prevents the operator from using the radio. Table 1-24 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

Table 1-24. Silent Alarms												
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total									
Transit Agency Status												
Operational Systems		41										
Planned Systems		11										
Agency Totals		52										
Service Types												
FR		39										
DR		25										
Service Type Totals		64										

Forty-one operational and 52 operational plus planned deployments of Silent Alarms were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

# **Covert Microphones**

Covert Microphones are microphones that are hidden from public view that allow dispatchers to listen to what is happening on-board a transit vehicle after the vehicle operator has pressed the Silent Alarm. Table 1-25 shows the Year 2000 deployment survey results.

<b></b>	Table 1-25. Covert Mic	rophones	
	78 Largest Metropolitan Areas	Remainder of the United States	United States Total
Transit Agency Status			
Operational Systems		8	
Planned Systems		9	
Agency Totals		17	
Service Types			<u> </u>
FR		8	
DR		12	
Service Type Totals		20	

Eight operational and 17 operational plus planned deployments of Covert Microphones were reported in the areas outside of the 78 largest U.S. metropolitan areas in the 2000 survey.

	,	

# SECTION 2. APTS DEPLOYMENT BY TRANSIT AGENCY IN THE UNITED STATES' 78 LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS

Table 2 presents the information collected by Oak Ridge National Laboratory/SAIC for transit agencies residing in jurisdictions of 50,000 persons or more within the 78 largest metropolitan areas in the U.S. A total of 221 transit agencies were surveyed. All of these agencies which have installed, or are planning to install, any of the APTS elements are listed in the Table. As indicated in the Legend, entries enclosed by brackets signify elements either in the implementation or planning stage and are expected to be operational by the year 2005. All other entries indicate operational elements.

The agencies are arranged alphabetically, first by state and then by agency name. Table 2 also lists the number of vehicles operated by each agency (directly or by contract) in each service type. However, the APTS element is not necessarily installed on every vehicle in the service type for which it is operational or planned.

As mentioned in the Introduction, after the long survey form failed to achieve an adequate response, a shorter form was used. This short form eliminated several pieces of information obtained via the long form. Since partial information would not convey the correct level of deployment for all transit agencies in the 78 largest metropolitan areas, the APTS categories for which information was not obtained in *both* the long and short survey forms are not included in Table 2. Further, the short form did not solicit the service type for the APTS elements of Advanced Communications or Advanced Transit Information. In these instances, the APTS deployment status is listed for the agency as a whole and not by service types. Table 2 also covers fewer APTS elements than Table 3 for reasons discussed in the Introduction.

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	CIV	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Birmingham-Jefferson County Transit Authority	Birmingham	AL	FR	68	TR,DIG	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]		[MS],[SC]	
	J	ļ	DR	18	,			[X]	[X]	[X]		[MS],[SC]	<u> </u>
Central Arkansas Transit Authority	North Little	AR	FR	69	TR	[X]		[X]		[X]	P,W,[I]	MS,[SC]	
	Rock		DR	15								[SC]	
Glendale Urban Shuttle	Glendale	AZ	FR	3	TR,DIG			[X]		[X]	P.W,[I]	MS,[SC]	
Glendale Dial-A-Ride	Glendale	AZ	DR	15	TR,DIG	[X]	ļ		Х	X	P.W,[I]	,	<u> </u>
Mesa City	Mesa City	AZ	FR	33	TR	X	<u> </u>	[X]		X	P,W	MS,[SC]	
Peoria Transit	Peoria	AZ	DR	9		Х	<u> </u>		[X]	[X]	P,I		
Phoenix Transit System	Phoenix	AZ	FR DR	500 140	[TR]	X		[X]	[X]	X	P,W,[I]	MS,[SC]	[X]
Regional Public Transportation Authority	Phoenix	AZ	FR DR	74	[DIG]	X					P,W	MS	
Scottsdale City	Scottsdale	AZ	FR DR	36 9	TR	[X]			[X] X	[X] X	P,W	MS,[SC]	
Sun Cities Area Transit System	Sun City	ΑZ	DR	14	TR,DIG		1						
Sun Tran	Tucson	AZ	FR	199	DIG	×		X	Х	X	P,W	MS	
Surprise Dial-A-Ride	Surprise	AZ	DR	3	TR,DIG							-	
VanTran	Tucson	AZ	DR	64		×			Х	X	P,[W]		
AC Transit	0-111	۵,	FR	708	5)/7	[X]		Х	[X]	[X]		[MS],[SC]	[X]
AC Transit	Oakland	CA	DR	35	[X]	[X]			[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	[MS],[SC]	
Access Services Incorporated	Los Angeles	CA	DR	326	DIG	[X]				X			1
Antelope Valley Transit Authority	Lancaster	CA	FR	35							P,[W]		
Arcadia Transit	Arcadia	CA	DR	18	TR,DIG	X				X	Р	[SC]	
Bay Area Rapid Transit District	San Francisco	CA	HR	669	DIG	Х	<u> </u>			X	P,W,I	MS,[SC]	
CalTrain	San Carlos	CA	CR	107	TR,[DIG]	[X]		[X]		[X]	P,W,I	[MS],[SC]	
Central Contra Costa Transit Authority	Concord	CA	FR DR	112 44	TR,DIG	Х	F,A				P,[I]	[SC]	
			FR	9								<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>
Commerce City Municipal Bus Lines	Commerce	CA	DR	3			<del> </del>			<del>                                     </del>	P,W	<del></del>	<del></del>

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	Clty	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicië Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Corona City Dial-A-Ride	Corona	CA	DR	11				[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	[MS]	
Culver City Municipal Bus Lines	Culver City	CA	FR	42	TR			[X]	[X]				
Fairfield City, Fairfield Transit System	Fairfield City	CA	FR	26	[DIG]	[X]			[X]	[X]	[P],[W],[I]	[X]	
	,		DR	13		[X]			[X]	[X]			
Fresno Area Express	Fresno	CA	FR	104	TR,DIG	X		X	X	X	P,W,!		
			DR	23		X	ļ	ļ	X	Х		[DAO]	
Gardena Municipal Bus Line	Gardena	CA	FR DR	48 10	DIG	^					P,W,[I]	[MS]	
Golden Empire Transit District	Bakersfield	CA	FR DR	72 9	TR,[DIG]	[X]		[X]		[X]	[1]	_	
La Mirada City Transit	La Mirada	CA	DR	13							Р		
Laguna Beach Municipal Transit Lines	Laguna Beach	CA	FR	10	DIG						P,W		
Livermore/Amador Valley Transit Authority	Livermore	CA	FR DR	67 18	TR	[X] [X]		[X]	[X]	[X] X	P,[W],[I]	[SC]	[X]
Long Beach Public Transportation Company	Long Beach	CA	FR DR	220 26	[TR]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X] X	[P],W,[I]	[MS],[SC]	
Los Angeles Department of Transportation	Los Angeles	CA	FR	307	TR,[DIG]	[X]		[X]		[X]	P,[W]		[X]
		<u> </u>	DR	100					<u> </u>	X			
Montebello Bus Lines	Montebello	CA	FR DR	65 5	[DIG]	[X]	<u> </u>			[X]	[P],[W],[I]	MS,SC	[X]
Monterey-Salinas Transit	Monterey	CA	FR DR	78 25	[DIG]	[X]				[X]	P,[W]		
Napa County Transit	Napa	CA	FR DR	19		Х				X	Р	[SC]	Х
North San Diego County Transit Development Board	Oceanside	CA	FR DR	154	[DIG]	[X]				X	P	MS	
Horar San Diego County Hansit Development Board	Ceanside		HR	26	[UIG]					[X]	[	_	
		<del>                                     </del>	FR	27		[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]		MS,[SC]	[X]
Norwalk Transit System	Norwalk	CA	DR	4	[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,W,I	MS,[SC]	

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Orange County Transportation Authority	Orange	CA	FR	486	TR,DIG	Х		[X]		Х	[P],[W],[I]	[MS],[SC]	L
3 . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<u> </u>	DR	238	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						1 1 1 1 1 1		
Sacramento Regional Transit District	Sacramento	CA	FR	209	TR	[X]				[X]	P,W		[X]
	-	ļ	LR	36		[X]		5/4	D/I	[X]		(MC) (CC)	FV1
San Diego Transit Corporation	San Diego	CA	FR DR	316 16	TR,DIG	[X]	<b></b>	[X]	[X]		P,[W],[I]	[MS],[SC]	[X]
			FR	509		[X]	ļ	l		X	-	[MS],[SC]	[X]
San Diego Trolley Incorporated	San Diego	CA	LR	123	TR,[DIG]	[X]	<del> </del>			[X]	P,[W]	[MS],[SC]	[7]
San Francisco Municipal Railway		<u> </u>	FR	350		X		[X]	Х	1/4	P,I	[MS]	X
	San Francisco	CA	LR	176		X	1	.,	X			MS	X
Co- Make County Transit Birdin	San Carlos		FR	362	[TR],[DIG]	[X]	ļ	[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W]	[SC]	
San Mateo County Transit District		CA	DR	60		[X]			[X]	[X]			
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	San Jose	CA	FR	525	(TD)	[X]		[X]		[X]	P,W,I	[SC]	
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	Sall Jose		LR	50	[TR]	[X]		[X]		[X]	F,VV,I	[SC]	Х
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit	Santa Cruz	CA	FR	79	DIG						Р	MS	
- Caria Oraz Monopolitari Transit	Garilla Gruz		DR	51	5.0								
Santa Monica Municipal Bus Lines	Santa Monica	CA	FR	167		X		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,W,I	MS,[SC]	[X]
Santa Rosa City Bus	Santa Rosa	CA	FR	21	[DIG]							[SC]	[X]
		ļ	DR	10			ļ			<u> </u>			[X]
Simi Valley Transit	Simi Valley	CA	FR	9			<u> </u>	[X]		<b>.</b>	P,I	[SC]	├─
		ļ	DR	4			ļ	ļ		X			<del> </del>
Sonoma County Transit	Santa Rosa	CA	FR DR	54 10		×	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	P,W	<u> </u>	X
			FR	42			<del> </del>	X				sc	├─
South Coast Area Transit	Oxnard	CA	DR	5	1		1	<del>  ^</del>		<del> </del>	P,I	<del></del>	
Southern California Regional Rail Authority	Los Angeles	CA	CR	152	DIG,[X]	[X]	<b>†</b>		[X]	<del>                                     </del>	P,W		$\vdash$
		<b>†</b>	FR	46		[X]		×	1 1	[X]		[SC]	
Torrance City Transit System	Torrance	CA	DR	6	DIG	[X]		<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	<del>                                     </del>	P,[W],[I]		

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
			FR	54								[MS]	
Vallejo Transit & San Francisco Ferry	Vallejo	CA	DR	10							Р	ļ	
		ļ	FB	3					ļ			[MS]	[X]
  Victor Valley Transit Authority	Victor Valley	CA	FR	21	1			ļ			Р	[MS],[SC]	
	ļ <u>.</u>		DR	26						Х			<b>  </b>
Visalia City Coach	Fresno	CA	FR	25	[DIG]	[X]	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		P,[W],I	MS	<del>  </del>
		├	DR	6	ļ	[X]	├—					(0.0)	D0
Western Contra Costa Transit Authority	Pinole	CA	FR	33	DIG	[X]		ļ		5.0	P	[SC]	[X]
	<del> </del> -	-	DR FR	12		[X]		<b></b> -	-	[X]		[SC]	<del>  </del>
City of Greeley	Greeley	СО	DR	13	[TR],[DIG]				<b></b>		Р		$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
	Denver	-	FR	1076		×	-	[X]	-	X			$\vdash$
  Regional Transportation District		co	DR	183	-	[X]	<del> </del>	[/]		<u>^</u>	P,W,I	<del></del>	<del>  </del>
Transportation District	2011/01		LR	31	1	X		[X]	<del>                                     </del>		','''		$\vdash$
			FR	432		<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>			MS	
Connecticut Department of Transportation	Newington	СТ	CR	255	[X]			_	<u> </u>		P,W		
Connecticut Transit	Hartford	СТ	FR	233								MS	
Connecticut Transit-New Haven	New Haven	СТ	FR	113	TR							MS,SC	
Connecticut Transit-Stamford	Stamford	СТ	FR	46	TR							MS	
Greater Hartford Transit District	Hartford	СТ	DR	133						Х	Р		
Greater New Haven Transit District	New Haven	СТ	DR	42		X				Х	P,[W],[I]	[SC]	
Middletown Transit District	Middletown	СТ	FR	9		[X]					P,I	[MS]	
Tariot District	Middletown	<u> </u>	DR	20						Х	,,,		
Norwalk Transit District/Westport Transit Lines	Norwalk	СТ	FR	33	TR,DIG						P,I	[SC]	
		<u> </u>	DR	27	111,510	[X]	<u> </u>			X	<u> ' ''</u>		
Stamford Dial-A-Ride	Stamford	СТ	DR	9	TR		L	L	<u></u>				
			FR	1413	4	[X]	ļ	[X]	[X]	[X]		[MS],[SC]	[X]
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority	Washington	DC	DR	108	[X]	[X]	<u> </u>				P,[W],[I]	[MS],[SC]	
		<u> </u>	HR	788		X	<u> </u>	L	l	X		MS,SC	

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Broward County Mass Transit	Pompano	FL	FR	232	[X]	Х		[X]	Х	Х	P		
	Beach		DR	480						- V		140	
Hillsborough Area Regional Transit Authority	Tampa	FL	FR DR	210 25	DIG	X				X	P,W,I	MS	
			FR	179		<del>  ^</del>	F,A	X		-^-		MS	
Jacksonville Transportation Authority	Jacksonville	FL	DR	46	TR,[DIG]		1,7		[X]	[X]	P,W,I	IVIO	
			FR	227		[X]		X	[/1]	[74]		MS,[SC]	
Central Florida Regional Transit Authority	Orlando	FL	DR	193	[TR],[DIG]	- 6 3					P,W	, 1	
Miami-Dade Transit Authority			FR	585	TR,[DIG]	X	F,A	Х	X	X	P,[W],I	MS	[X]
	Miami	FL	LR	29		X		-		Х		MS	
			HR	136		Х			Х	Х			
Pasco County Public Transportation	Port Richey	FL	FR	8	TR,DIG						P,W	[MS]	
- assoc county i asio i ranoportation	T OIT WOILEY		DR	45						[X]		[MS]	
Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority	Clearwater	FL	FR	148	TR	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	MS	
			DR	150							7,7,7,7,1		
Sarasota County Transportation Agency	Sarasota	FL	FR	39	TR,DIG	[X]			[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	[MS],[SC]	[X]
	<u> </u>		DR									[MS],[SC]	
Tri-County Commuter Rail Authority			CR	20		X					P,W		
Douglas County Rideshare	Atlanta	GA	DR	23				X	<del></del>	-	P,W	MC (CC)	X
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority	Atlanta	GA	FR HR	703 238	[TR],[DIG]	X		<del>-^-</del>	X	X	P,W,I	MS,[SC] MS,[SC]	-
	<del></del>	<b></b> -	FR	525		[X]	-	[X]		[X[		IVIO,[OC]	[X]
Oahu Transit Services	Honolulu	н	DR	114	[DIG]	X		1/1		X	Р		[5,4]
		<u> </u>	FR	1872		X		×	[X]	[X]		MS,SC	×
Chicago Transit Authority	Chicago	IL 	HR	1100	[TR],[DIG]	[X]			X	X	, P,I	MS,SC	-
Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter RR Corporation	Chicago	IL	CR	942		X					P,W,I	MS	
Cook-DuPage Transportation Company, Inc.	Chicago	IL	DR	140	DIG	Х				Х			
PACE Suburban Bus	Arlington	IL	FR	650	TR,[DIG]	[X]		Х		[X]	P,[W],I	MS,SC	Х
PACE Suburban Bus	Heights		DR	340	וייין						, ',[**],'	[MS],[SC]	

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Companent Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
East Chicago Transit	East Chicago	IN	FR DR	5	[TR],[DIG]	[X]					W,I		
		<b>-</b>	FR	12		[X]			[X]	[X]	5.84/2		Х
Hammond Transit System	Hammond	IN	DR	32					[X]	[X]	P,[W]		
Indianapolis Public Transportation	Indianapolis	IN	FR	149	TR,[DIG]	[X]		Х	Х		Р	MS	
indianapolis i ubile manapolitation	Indianapolis		DR	49	111,[DIO]	_		X	[X]		<u>'</u>		
LCEOC, Inc.	Lake County	IN	DR	46	[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	[P],[W]	[MS],[SC]	
Northern Indiana Commuter	Chesterton	IN	CR	56		[X]					Р		
Opportunity Enterprise Inc.	Valparaiso	IN	DR	22	DIG								
Wichita Metropolitan Transit Authority	Wichita	KS	FR	53	TR,[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[I]		[X]
			DR	17	,[5.0]	[X]			[X]	[X]	, ,[,]		
River City Transit Authority	Louisville	ΙκΥ	FR	301	DIG	Х		Х		L	Р		Х
			DR	78						X	·		
  Capital Transportation Corporation	Baton Rouge	LA	FR	52				[X]			P,W,I		[X]
			DR	6				[X]					[X]
Louisiana Department of Transportation	Metairie	LA	FB	5	TR								
Louisiana Transit Company, Incorporated	Harahan	LA_	FR	28	DIG	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[I]	[MS]	
			FR	371		[X]				[X]			
Regional Transit Authority	New Orleans	LA	DR	24	TR,DIG						P		
			LR	42									
St. Bernard Parish Government	Chalmette	LA	FR	5		[X]	L			<u> </u>	P,[W],[I]		
		<u> </u>	DR	1		[X]		ļ			. 1[]1[1]		
Westside Transit Lines	New Orleans	LA	FR	28	TR,DIG	[X]							
Greater Attleboro-Taunton Regional Transit Authority	Attleboro	MA	FR	24	[DIG]	[X]				[X]	Р	[MS],[SC]	
			DR	55	L i	[X]				X		[MS],[SC]	

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
			FR	1033		[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]		MS	[X]
	1		DR	409	]								
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority	Boston	МА	LR	207	[TR],[DIG]	Х				Х	P,[W],I	MS	
Massachuseks bay Transportation Authority	Boston		HR	408	[114],[510]	Х				Х	. ,[**1],.	MS	<u> </u>
			CR	363									
			FB	12			ļ						<u> </u>
   Merrimack Valley Regional Transit	Haverhill	MA	FR	45	[DIG]	[X]				[X]	P,I	[SC]	ļ
			DR	35		[X]				[X]		140 5001	<u> </u>
Pioneer Valley Transit Authority	Springfield	MA	FR DR	200	[DIG]				-	ļ <u>-</u>	P,[W],[I]	MS,[SC]	
	-{		FR	11					_	rv1			
Frederick County Transit	Frederick	MD	DR	22	TR					[X]	[P]		
	-	<del> </del>	FR	12			<u> </u>			[A]			$\vdash$
Harford County Transportation	Baltimore	MD	DR	16	-						Р		$\vdash$
Howard Area Transit Service	Baltimore	MD	FR		TR,DIG					ļ	P		<b> </b>
		1	FR	880		X		X		Х			$\Box$
Administration	D-11:		DR	20	<u> </u>					X	<b>5</b> ,,,,		
Mass Transit Administration	Baltimore	MD	LR	53	TR						P,W		Х
			HR	100		X					<u> </u>		
Montgomery County Transit	Rockville	MD	FR	276		Х		[X]		Х	P,W	[SC]	[X]
Ann Arbor Transportation Authority	Ann Arbor	мі	FR	84		X		Х	Х	Х	P,W,I	[SC]	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		DR	9		X				X	',**,'	[SC]	
Grand Rapids Area Transit Authority	Grand Rapids	м	FR	81	TR		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		P,W		X
		<u> </u>	DR	56						<u> </u>			
Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation	Detroit	мі	FR	300	TR	X	[A]	[X]	X	X	[P],[I]	MS,[SC]	<u> </u>
		<del>                                     </del>	DR	100		X	[A]		X	X	ļ	[SC]	
Metro Transit	Minneapolis	MN	FR	938	[TR],[DIG]	X	├	X	X	X	P,[W]	MS	[X]
		<u> </u>	LLK	L		[X]	<u></u>	[X]		[X]	<u> </u>	[X]	

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	Сіту	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
			FR	588				Х		Х		MS,[SC]	
Bi-State Development Agency	St. Louis	МО	DR	61						ļ	P,[W]		
			LR	41				[X]				[MS],[SC]	
Kansas City Area Transit Authority	Kansas City	МО	FR	229	[X]	X		[X]	Х	X	P,W	MS	
Capital Area Transit	Raleigh	NC	FR	53							P,W	MS	
			DR	10						ļ		MS	
Chapel Hill Transit	Chapel Hill	NC	FR	56	[TR],[DIG]	[X]	ļ,	ļ			Р	MS	
			DR	7	- 11	[X]				[X]			
Charlotte Area Transit System	Charlotte	NC	FR	200	TR,DIG	[X]	·	[X]	[X]	[X]	P,W,I	MS	
			DR	70		[X]				X			
Durham Area Transit	Durham	NC	FR	40	DIG	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]		[MS],[SC]	[X]
Greensboro Transit Authority	Greensboro	NC	FR	23	[DIG]		ļ		ļ		Р	MS,SC	
			DR	18						X			
  High Point Transit	High Point	NC	FR	16	TR,DIG	[X]	ļ 	[X]	[X]	[X]	[P],[W],[I]		[X]
			DR	6			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ				
Triangle Transit Authority	Research	NC	FR	27	TR	X			ļ	X	P,W,[I]	[MS]	
	Triangle Park		DR	5		X	<u> </u>			X	<u></u>	[MS]	
Winston-Salem Transit Authority	Winston-Salem	NC	FR	58	TR	[X]	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	X	P,W,I	[SC]	[X]
		<u> </u>	DR	22		[X]	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	X		[SC]	L
Omaha Transit Authority	Omaha	NE	FR	131	DIG	[X]	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	[X]	[X]	P		
		<u> </u>	DR	17		[X]	ļ		<u> </u>	[X]			
Academy Lines Incorporated	Newark	NJ	FR	215	[TR],[DIG]	Х	ļ	[X]	X	[X]	P,[W],[i]	[MS]	
Hudson Transit Lines	Mahwah	NJ							ļ		Р		
	<b>\</b>		FR	2100	-	X	<u> </u>	[X]	[X]	×		-	
New Jersey Transit Corporation	Newark	NJ	DR	85	TR,[DIG]	<u> </u>	ļ	-	ļ	<u> </u>	P,[W],[I]		
			LR	40				[X]	5.7	[X]			
		<b> </b>	CR	745	FTD1 :5:5:		ļ	[X]	[X]	X	<u> </u>	110 :	
Port Authority Transit Corporation	Lindenwold	NJ	HR	121	[TR],[DIG]	X	<u> </u>	<b> </b>		<u> </u>	Р	MS,[SC]	
Suburban Transit Corporation	Dunellen	NJ	FR	245	TR,DIG	[X]	L	L	L	<u> </u>	P		L

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Sun Tran	Albuquerque	NM	FR	141	TR,[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	Р	[SC]	
			DR	40		X		F) 47	X	X		[SC]	1
Regional Transportation Commission/Citizens Area Transit	Las Vegas	NV	FR	297	[TR],[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	[P],[W]		[X]
Phys Bird Count Live Alliana County Burding	No. Tourney	10/	DR	120		[X]			[X]	[X]			+
Blue Bird Coach Lines/Niagara Scenic Bus Lines	No. Tonawanda	NY	FR	1 225		FV1		EV/I	rya.	rva		MC	1 50
Capital District Transit Authority	Albany	NY	FR	235 25	[X]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	MS MS	[X]
		<u> </u>	FR	182		[X]		[V]	[X]	[X]		MS	
Central New York Regional Transit Authority	Syracuse	NY	DR	22		[X] [X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W]	MS	
Clarkstown Mini-Trans	Clarkstown	NY	FR	10	TR	[^]			[A]	[/]	P,W	IVIO	
GTJC	Jamaica	NY	FR	724	TR,DIG	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P	MS	+
	Huntington		FR	12	111,510	[X]		[7]	[1/1]	[/]		1410	1
Huntington Area Rapid Transit	Station	NY	DR	7		15.4				[X]	Р		+1
Liberty Lines Express, Incorporated	Yonkers	NY	FR	86	TR,DIG	[X]				113	P,[I]	MS	<del>  </del>
			FR	12									
Long Beach City	Long Beach City	INY	DR	2	1						[P]		$\Box$
Long Island Bus	Garden City	NY	FR	324	TR,DIG	[X]		[X]	Х	Х	P,[W],I	MS	
Long Island bus	Garden City	IN 1	DR	60	TK,DIG	Х				X	r-,[vv],ı		
Metro-North Railroad MTA	New York	NY	CR	900		[X]			Х	Х	P,W,[I]	[MS]	
Monsey New Square Trails Corporation	Spring Valley	NY	FR	42	TR						P.		
New York Bus Service	Bronx	NY	FR	137	TR,DIG						[P],[I]	MS	
New York City DOT	New York	NY	FR FB	1288 7	TR,DIG	[X]				X	Р	MS	[X]
			FR	4172		[X]			<del>                                     </del>	[X]		MS	+
New York City Transit Authority	New York	NY	DR	175	TR	6.3		<b> </b>	<b></b>	1	P,W		+
			HR	5774	1				<u> </u>			MS	1
			FR	322		Х			X	Х			+
Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority	Buffalo	NY	DR	18	TR	Х				Х	Р		
			LR	27	<u> </u>								

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Putnam County Transit	Carmel	NY	FR	8							Р		
Queens Surface Corporation	Flushing	NY	DR FR	337	TR						P	MS	
Regional Transit Service Incorporated & Lift Line	riusriiig		FR	244		[X]			[X]	[X]		MS	[X]
Incorporated	Rochester	NY	DR	36	[X]	[X]			[X]	[X]	Р		1.4
Rockland Coaches Incorporated	Pomona	NY	FR	135	TR						Р		
Coffella County Department of Bublic Media	V	NIX.	FR	143	(DICI	[X]			[X]		Р	[MS]	
Suffolk County Department of Public Works	Yaphank	NY	DR	28	[DIG]	[X]			[X]	[X]	Р	[MS]	
Village of Spring Valley Bus	Spring Valley	NY	FR	4				[X]		[X]	P,W,[I]	[MS]	
Westchester County Department of Transportation	White Plains	NY	FR	354	[TR],[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,W,I		
Transportation			DR	56	[],[]	[X]		[X]	[X]		. ,,.		
Campus Bus Service	Kent	ОН	FR	16			<u> </u>				P,W,I		
			DR	6									
Central Ohio Transit Authority	Columbus	ОН	FR	348 45	TR	X	<u> </u>	X	[X]	X	P,[W],[I]	MS,[SC]	[X]
	<del></del>	-	DR FR	768		[X]	ļ	IV1	[X] X			[SC]	rvi
			DR	107		[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]		MS,[SC] [MS],[SC]	[X]
Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority	Cleveland	ОН	LR	48	[TR]	[X]	<b></b> -	ļ <u>.</u>	[X]	[X]	P,W,[I]	MS,[SC]	[X]
			HR	60		[X]		ļ	[X]	[X]		MS,[SC]	1/1
			FR	30		[X]	<b></b> -		1.4	[X]			
Laketran	Grand River	ОН	DR	65		[X]	ļ	<del></del>	[X]	[X]	Р		
Loroin County Transit	Lordin		FR	17							DW	[MS],[SC]	
Lorain County Transit	Lorain	ОН	DR	16							P,W	[MS],[SC]	
Metro Regional Transit Authority	Akron	ОН	FR	152		[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	MS	
- Togorial Handriand	,	J	DR	145		Х		[X]	[X]	Х	. ,[**],[i]	MS	
  Miami Valley Regional Transit Authority	Dayton	ОН	FR	238		X		[X]	Х	X	P,[W]	MS	
,	,		DR	60		X		<u> </u>	X	X			<b> </b>
Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority	Cincinnati	он	FR	433	TR,[DIG]	X	F		X	X	P,[W],[I]	MS	<b>  </b>
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	DR	51	L	Х	<u> </u>	<u></u>	X	Х		<u> </u>	

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	OIIV	Siaie	Service Type	Venicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Toledo Area Regional Transit Authority	Toledo	он	FR	167	DIG						P,[W],[I]		
		<u> </u>	DR FR	17 44		X	<u> </u>			X			<b> </b>
Western Reserve Transit Authority	Youngstown	ОН	DR	5	[TR],[DIG]	$\frac{x}{x}$	<del>                                     </del>			X			
		<b> </b>	FR	90		[X]	<del> </del>	<u></u>		[X]		MS	
Central Oklahoma Transit	Oklahoma City	ок	DR	16	[TR]					X	P,[W],[I]	[MS]	
Metropoliton Tulos Transit Authority	Tulsa	ок	FR	100	TR,DIG						Р		
Metropolitan Tulsa Transit Authority	Tuisa	OK.	DR	30	I IR,DIG						P		
			FR	681		Х		Х		Х			Х
Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon	Portland	OR	DR	176	TR	Х				X	P,W,[I]	MS	
			LR	72		Х		[X]		X			X
Access Transportation Systems Incorporated	Pittsburgh	PA	DR	467		X	<u> </u>			X	[P]		
Beaver County Transit Authority	Rochester	РА	FR	14 25		X		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	MS,[SC]	
	Harrisburg,	├	FR	69		[X]	-						<b> </b>
Cumberland-Dauphin-Harrisburg	Lebanon,	PA	DR	5						<u> </u>	P,W,[I]		
	Carlisle	<del>                                     </del>	FR	4						[X]			
G G & C Bus Company Incorporated	Washington	PA	DR	19	1				<b>-</b>	[X]	[P],[I]		$\vdash$
Lockeyana County Transit Custom	Ct	PA	FR	29		Х				X	5.14		
Lackawanna County Transit System	Scranton	PA	DR	4	1 1						P,W		
Lehigh and Northampton	Allentown	PA	FR	75		[X]					P,I	MS	
Longit and Hordiampton	Augutown	<u> </u>	DR	98		[X]					F,1	[MS]	
			FR	940						[X]			[X]
Port Authority of Allegheny County	Pittsburgh	PA	DR	170						ļ	P,W,I		
		<u> </u>	LR	59					<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<b> </b>
			FR	1250		[X]	<u> </u>	[X]	X	X		MS	
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	Philadelphia	PA	LR	224	[TR]					ļ	P,W,I	MS	X
·			HR	379			<u> </u>					MS	
		<u> </u>	CR	349	<u> </u>		L		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	COLUMN CO	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority	San Juan	PR	FR	30	DIG	X			-	[X]	[P],[W],[I]	[MS]	
		<b></b>	HR			0.0			[X]	5)/3		[MS]	
Dhada Jaland Bublia Transit Authority	Dravidanaa	DI.	FR	236	TED (DIC)	[X]		X	[X]	[X]	D DWA UI	[SC]	[X]
Rhode Island Public Transit Authority	Providence	RI	DR FB	104	[TR],[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	[SC]	
		-	FR	1 59		[X]	ļ <u> </u>	[X]	[X]	[X]			
Charleston Transit Administration	Charleston	sc	DR	17	TR,[DIG]				X		P,W,I	MS,[SC] [MS],[SC]	
Croonville Transit Authority	Greenville	sc	FR	11	[DIG]						P,W,[I]	[IVIO],[OC]	
Greenville Transit Authority Spartanburg Area Regional Transit Agency	Spartanburg	SC	FR	9	DIG						P		
Spartanburg Area Negional Transit Agency	Spartariburg	<b>—</b>	FR	88	DIG			[X]	[X]	[X]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MS,[SC]	
Knoxville Transportation Authority	Knoxville	TN	DR	12	TR,DIG			1/1	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	MS	
		<del> </del>	FR	234		[X]		[X]	[X]	[ · · ]		[SC]	
Memphis Area Transit Authority	Memphis	TN	DR	60	1	[X]		[X]	[X]		Р		
			LR	20	1			::-					Х
			FR	141			1		l	Х		[MS]	
Metropolitan Transit Authority	Nashville	TN	DR	35	[DIG]							[MS]	
Conitol Matropoliton Transportation Authority	Austin	TX	FR	389	ITDI IDICI	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,I	[MS]	
Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority	Austin		DR		[TR],[DIG]						1 7,1	[MS]	
			FR	862		Х		[X]		[X]		[SC]	
Dallas Area Rapid Transit	Dallas	TX	DR	190	TR	X				Х	P,I		
Daniel France	Danas		LR	54	] ''`	X		[X]		Х	','	[SC]	
			CR	27								[SC]	
Denton City Manager	Denton	TX	FR	4	TR,[DIG]						Р		
		ļ.,	DR	5	,[]				<b> </b>		ļ <u>.</u>		
			FR	80	<b> </b>	[X]	<b> </b>	[X]	[X]	[X]		[SC]	[X]
Fort Worth Transportation Authority	Fort Worth	TX	DR	100	[TR],[DIG]	[X]	<u> </u>	[X]	[X]	[X]	P,[W],[I]	[SC]	[X]
			CR	14		[X]	<u> </u>	[X]	[X]	[X]	<u> </u>	[SC]	ļ
Grand Prairie City	Grand Prairie	TX	DR		TR,DIG		ļ		<u> </u>				
Lewisville Dial-A-Ride	Lewisville	TX	DR	5	TR,DIG	L				Х	<u> </u>	L	

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agennoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Metro Transit Authority	Houston	TX	FR	1336	TR,DIG	[X]		[X]	Х	X	Р	MS	[X]
		ļ <u></u>	DR FR	118 159		X		L\1	rv1			[SC]	-
Sun Metro	El Paso	TX	DR	54	TR,[DIG]	[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]	[P],[W],[I]	[SC]	
		<u> </u>	FR	529		X		[X]	[X]	[X]		[SC]	
VIA Metropolitan Transit	San Antonio	TX	DR	231	TR,[DIG]	X		15.41	[X]	[X]	P,W,I	ردانان	
			FR	530		[X]		Х	.,	X			X
Utah Transit Authority	Salt Lake City	UT	DR	90	[X]	[X]				Х	P,W,[I]	·	
			LR	23		[X]		[X]		Х			Х
Fairfax Connector Bus System	Fairfax	VA	FR	153	TR						P.W	[SC]	[X]
alliax confiector bus System	I alliax	٧٨	DR	13	111					Х	1 , • •		
Greater Richmond Transit Company	Richmond	VA	FR	181							[P],[W]	MS	
			DR	72							[-],[]		
		<u> </u>	FR	378		[X]		[X]	[X]	[X]		MS	<u> </u>
Hampton Roads Transit	Norfolk	VA	DR	96	[DIG]	[X]	ļ			[X]	P,[W],[I]		<b></b>
N. H. VII. I. T. VII. O. V. I.	A 11 A	1.74	FB	3			ļ				D #13		_
Northern Virginia Transportation Commission	Arlington	VA	CR FR	80 9		X	<u> </u>		X		P,[I]	[SC]	<del>                                     </del>
Petersburg Area Transit	Petersburg	VA	DR	2	[DIG]		<u> </u>		-			[SC]	$\vdash$
Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission	Woodbridge	VA	FR	73	TR,DIG	X				[X]	Р	[SC]	<del>                                     </del>
			FR	109	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[X]	ļ	[X]	[X]	[X]		[OO]	[X]
Clark County Public Transportation Benefit Area Authority	Vancouver	WA	DR	53	TR,DIG	[X]	<b></b>	[73]	17.1	[X]	Р		17.9
	l	1.0/.	FR	41	75					<u> </u>	D.16: 577	[MS],[SC]	$\square$
Everett Transit	Everett	WA	DR	15	TR					Х	P,W,[I]		$\Box$
King County Metro	Seattle	WA	FR	1213	[TR]	Х		Х	[X]	Х	P,W	MS,[SC]	Х
			FR	95		Х						[SC]	Х
Kitsap Transit	Bremerton	WA	DR	46	TR,[DIG]					Х	P,[W],[I]		
		ļ	FB	3								[SC]	
Pierce County Ferry Operations	Tacoma	WA	FB	2			l		<u> </u>		Р		

Table 2. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency In the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenncy	AIO	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Automated Fare Payment	Traffic Signal Priority
Pierce Transit	Tacoma	WA	FR DR	230 106	[DIG]	[X]		[X]		X	P,[W],[I]	[SC]	[X]
Seattle Monorail Transit	Seattle	WA	CR	8	[DIG]						P		
Snohomish County Public Transportation	Everett	WA	FR DR	291 51	DIG	[X] [X]				[X]	P,W,[I]	MS,[SC]	[X]
Snohomish County Senior Services	Mukilteo	WA	DR	52	TR	[X]				X	P,W		X
Washington State Ferries	Seattle	WA	FB	29		X			Х	Х	Р	[SC]	
Belle Urban System	Racine	WI	FR DR	42 17	DIG	[X]				[X]	Р		
Kenosha Transit	Kenosha	WI	FR DR	51 3		[X]				[X]	Р		
Milwaukee County Transit System	Milwaukee	WI	FR DR	555 476	TR	X [X]	[A]	Х		Х	P,[W],[I]		[X]
Waukesha City Metro Transit	Waukesha	WI	FR DR	23 3	[TR]	[X]				[X]	P,[W]	MS [MS]	

# SECTION 3. APTS DEPLOYMENT BY TRANSIT AGENCY OUTSIDE OF THE 78 LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS IN THE UNITED STATES

Table 3 presents the information collected by the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center for all known transit agencies not covered by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory/SAIC survey effort. A total of 351 transit agencies were surveyed by the Volpe Center. All of these agencies which have installed, or are planning to install, any of the APTS elements are listed in the Table. As indicated in the Legend, entries enclosed by brackets signify elements either in the implementation or planning stage and are expected to be operational by the year 2005. All other entries indicate operational elements.

The agencies are arranged alphabetically, first by state and then by agency name. Table 3 also lists the number of vehicles operated by each agency (directly or by contract) in each service type. However, the APTS element is not necessarily installed on every vehicle in the service type for which it is operational or planned.

Table 3 includes APTS elements that are not covered in Table 2 for reasons previously mentioned.

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Anchorage Public Transportation	Anchorage	AK	FR	54		[GPS]			Х	[X]	P,W						[X]	Х			
		ļ	DR	50					<u></u>		P	ļ		<u> </u>		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ
MACS and VANTRAN	Fairbanks	AK	FR	10							Р	ļ		ļ				[X]		igwdown	<u> </u>
		<u> </u>	DR	9	TR,DIG					Х	P				[X]					$\vdash$	<del></del>
Capital Area Transit	Montgomery	AL	FR DR	18	TR,DIG			-		X		ļ		1						$\vdash\vdash$	
			FR	11	TR,DIG			-		<u> </u>	P	ļ		<del> </del>	-	<b></b>					<sup> </sup>
City of Huntsville Department of Transportation	Huntsville	AL	DR	12	TR,DIG					х	P						<del> </del>				·
		İ	FR	3	TR,DIG							ļ		<u> </u>				<del> </del>			
Gadsden Transportation Services	Gadsden	AL	DR	9	TR,DIG					Х											х
Metro Transit	Mobile	AL	FR	31	TR,DIG						P,I										
INIGIO TTATISIL	Woolle	AL .	DR	4	TR,DIG					Х	Р										
Northwest Alabama Council of Local Governments	Muscle Shoals	AL	DR	58							Р										
Tuscaloosa County Parking and Transit	Tuscaloosa	AL	FR DR	15 7	TR,DIG TR,DIG		-			[X]					[X]						
Wiregrass Transit Authority	Dothan	AL	DR	20						[X]					-						
	Fast Carith	4.0	FR	5		OTR				Х											х
Fort Smith Public Transit	Fort Smith	AR	DR	5						Х											
Intra City Transit	Hot Springs	AR	FR	11														Х			Х
and the state of t			DR	6				<u> </u>		X				ļ						<u> </u>	ļ
Pine Bluff Transit	Pine Bluff	AR	FR	13	TR,DIG	ļ				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ		[X]	<b> </b>	
			DR	2	TR,DIG		<u> </u>					<u> </u>		1					-	$\vdash \vdash$	<u> </u>
Maricopa County Human Services Department	Phoenix	AZ	DR	70	TR,DIG					[X]	[P]			-	ļ	ļ		ļ		<b></b>	<del> </del>
Benicia Transit	Martinez	CA	FR DR	7					-	Х				<del>                                     </del>						<del></del>	
		-	FR	2	TR,DIG	GPS		X		X	P	Х	sc	X	<b></b>	X		×			
Camarillo Area Transit	Camarillo	CA	DR	2	TR,DIG			X		Х	P				[X]						
Ohios Anna Transit Contagn	China	C.	FR	15															[X]	$\Box$	
Chico Area Transit System	Chico	CA	DR	8	7											I	[				

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agennoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
City of Glendale	Glendaie	CA	FR	35		GPS				Х			[MS],[SC]	Х			[X]	Х			
			DR	4						X											<b>—</b>
City of Riverside Special Transportation	Riverside	CA	DR	22	TR,[DIG]	GPS				[X]											
City of Roseville Transit	Roseville	CA	FR	12	TR,DIG			<u> </u>	ļ	-						ļ			ļ	<b></b>	$\vdash \vdash$
		<u> </u>	DR	10	TR,DIG			<u> </u>					ļ	ļ							<b></b>
Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority	Antioch	CA	FR	46	DIG	[GPS]			ļ	[X]	Р	[X]		ļ	ļ <u> </u>	[X]			X	Х	
Emony Co Bound	Oakland	CA	DR FR	16 6	DIG	GPS		<u> </u>		X				<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<del> </del>	$\vdash$
Emery-Go-Round	Oakland	CA	FR	306	[TR],[DIG]	[GPS]		<u> </u>		[X]	P,W,I	Х	MS		<del></del>					<del></del>	$\vdash$
Foothill Transit	West Covina	CA	DR	9	[TR],[DIG]	[GF3]				[/]	P		IVIO						ļ		$\vdash$
Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation		<b></b>	FR	280	DIG	GPS				[X]	P			X					x		$\vdash$
District	San Francisco	CA	FB	5	DIG			-	<del>                                     </del>	1					-						
I a state was No. wising I Transit	I I a a l d a la como		FR	1																	
Healdsburg Municipal Transit	Healdsburg	CA	DR	1											Х						
Intelitran	Oakland	CA	DR	170	DIG					[X]					Х			Х			
Laidlaw Transit Services	El Monte	CA	FR	130															Х		
Lompoc Transit	Lompoc	CA	FR	7														Х			Ш
			DR	1																	
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp. Auth.	Los Angeles	CA	FR	2400		GPS	A,F	[X]	X	X		[X]	[MS],[SC]		[X]		X	[X]		X	
Mendocino Transit Authority	Ukiah	CA	FR	92					X	ļ	P					ļ		X	[X]	Х	$\vdash$
		ļ	DR FR	17 40		[GPS]	 			[X]	P,W,I			<u> </u>	X			χ_	Х	<del>  </del>	$\vdash$
Modesto Area Express	Modesto	CA	DR	10		[GF3]			<del> </del>	[^]	P			ļ	×	-		<del>-^-</del>	<del>  ^-</del>	$\vdash$	$\vdash$
		<del>                                     </del>	FR	141	[DIG]	[GPS]		×		[X]	P	X		<del>                                     </del>	<del>  ^</del>				X		$\vdash \vdash$
Omnitrans	San Bernardino	CA	DR	72	[DIG]	[0. 0]		<del>-                                    </del>		X	P		<u></u>	<b></b>					<del>  ^</del>		
Outreach and Escort Inc.	San Jose	CA	DR	250	<u> </u>	GPS	A,F		[X]	Х	[P]	[X]			<del>                                     </del>	<b> </b>		[X]	<b> </b>	-	
Petaluma Transit	Petaluma	CA	DR	5			l				Р							Х			
Podding Area Pue Authority		CA	FR	18	TR,DIG						P,W										
Redding Area Bus Authority	Redding	CA	DR	20	TR,DIG					Х											
Riverside Transit Agency	Riverside	CA	FR	120	TR					Х	P,W	Х		Х				Х		Х	
			DR	80	TR		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Х	Р		<u> </u>		<u></u>	l	L		<u></u>		

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agentoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	Stockton	CA	FR	98	DIG	GPS		Х	Х	Х	P,I		MS							Х	X
			DR	41	DIG				Х	Х	Р				Х		<u> </u>		L	X	X
San Luis Obispo Regional Transit Authority	San Luis	CA	FR	20						ļ								Х		X	
	Obispo		DR	9						Х				<u> </u>					ļ	L	
Santa Barbara Metropolitan Transit District	Santa Barbara	CA	FR	82	TR					Х	P,W,I		MS				[X]				
The Bus-Merced Country Transit	Merced	CA	FR	18	TR	[GPS]				[X]	[P],[W]	[X]		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			X			
			DR	28	TR					[X]	[P]				X			ļ	[X]	<u> </u>	
Thousand Oaks Transit	Thousand Oaks	CA	FR	5	TR,DIG	GPS		Х	[X]	Х	Р	Х	SC	X	ļ	Х		X			
	Oaks		DR	3	TR,DIG			Х			Р	.,		-	[X]					L	<b> </b>
Unitrans	Davis	CA	FR DR	41 3							P P	X		├				X		<b> </b>	
			FR	15	TR,DIG	GPS	<u> </u>	Х		х	P.W	X	SC	X		Х		X	X		<b> </b>
Ventura Intercity Service Transit	Ventura	CA	DR	9	TR,DIG	GFS		^ X		[X]	P,VV	-	30	<del>  ^</del>	[X]	<del>  ^</del>	<del> </del>	<del>  ^</del>	<del>  ^</del>	<del>  </del>	<b></b> -
			FR	22	TR	[GPS]				[X]	P,W	[X]	MS	X	[^]		[X]	-	-	<b></b>	<b></b> -
Yolo County Transportation District	Woodland	CA	DR	10	TR	[Gi G]				[X]	P	[/]	IVIO	<del>  ^</del>	[X]		[/]		[X]		
			FR	13	TR					15/1	•	x		<u> </u>	[7]	<del> </del>	<del> </del>		[/]		
Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority	Marysville	CA	DR	14	TR							<u> </u>		-		<del> </del>	<b></b>	<del> </del>	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	
Avon/Beaver Creek Transit	Avon	СО	FR	52	[TR],[DIG]		· · · · · · ·							<del>                                     </del>	x			<b></b>	X		
	<del> </del>		FR	28	[TR]	······································	<b></b>													-	
Eagle County Regional Transportation Authority	Avon	СО	DR	2	[TR]																
Mesa County	Grand Junction	00	FR	27	TR,DIG	[GPS]				[X]	[P]		[MS]								
Invesa County	Grand Junction	CO	DR	4	TR,DIG					[X]											
Pueblo Transit	Pueblo	со	FR	16																	
TODIO FIGURA			DR	6						Х											
Springs Transit	Colorado Springs	со	FR	50	TR,DIG	[GPS]	[A],[F]		[X]	[X]	Р										
Transfort	Fort Collins	co	FR	24	TR,DIG	[GPS]	<b></b>			[X]	P,W,I	[X]		ļ			[X]	ļ			
			DR	16	TR,DIG					Х	ļ			ļ							ļ
Connecticut Limousine	Milford	СТ	DR	150	[TR],[DIG]	[GPS]				[X]	Р									L	<b></b>
Cross Sound Ferry Services Inc.	New London	СТ	FB	8	TR,DIG	GPS			Х	X	Р	L									<u> </u>

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Dattco, Inc.	New Britain	СТ	FR	6														_			
			DR_ FR	40 52										<u> </u>	X	ļ					$\vdash$
Greater Bridgeport Transit District	Bridgeport	СТ	DR	22						Х						<b></b>				X	$\vdash$
	ļ	ļ —	FR	8	DIG					<u> </u>	P			<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>		X			$\vdash$
Milford Transit District	Milford	СТ	DR	16	DIG					[X]	P										$\vdash$
			FR	41									MS	X				X		Х	$\Box$
Northeast Transportation Company	Waterbury	СТ	DR	6																	
South East Area Transit District	Norwich	СТ	FR	25	TR,DIG	[GPS]				[X]			MS	Х						Х	
	NOTWICH	C1	DR	6	TR,DIG																
The New Britain Transportation Company	Berlin	СТ	FR	15									MS	Х						Х	
Valley Transit District	Derby	СТ	DR	18		[GPS]				[X]			·		Х			_			
DART First State, Delaware Transit Core	Dover	DE	FR	210	TR,DIG	[GPS]			[X]	[X]						[X]		Х		[X]	[X]
·		<u> </u>	DR	145	TR,DIG	[GPS]			[X]	X					<u> </u>					[X]	[X]
Bay County Council	Panama City	FL	FR	5							P,W			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			X			
		-	DR FR	40 56	TR.DIG			<u> </u>			P.W.I				X				rv1		$\vdash\vdash\vdash$
City of Tallahassee TALTRAN	Tallahassee	FŁ	DR	17	TR,DIG					X	P,VV,I				X		_		[X]		
Council on Aging of Martin County	Stuart	FL	DR	28	DIG	ļ				[X]	<u> Р</u>				<del>  ^</del>						$\vdash$
			FR	32	2.0					17.1	P					-					$\vdash$
Escambia County Area Transit	Pensacola	FL	DR	8							Р										
Ostanovilla Danisa al Transa de Ostano	0-1	F.	FR	68	[DIG]	[GPS]		[X]		[X]	P,W										$\Box$
Gainesville Regional Transit System	Gainesville	FL	DR	20						Х											
Indian River County Council on Aging	Vero Beach	FL	FR	8	TR,DIG						P,W	[X]						Х			
	VOIO DOGO!		DR	23	TR,DIG					Х					Х	L					
Manatee County Transit	Bradenton	FL	FR	16	TR,DIG										<b> </b>	<u> </u>		Х			
<u></u>		ļ	DR	19	TR,DIG			<u> </u>		X	Р				ļ	<u> </u>					
Miami Beach Transport Management Association	Miami Beach	FL	FR	11					ļ		P,W	ļ	sc	<b> -</b>	ļ	<del>                                     </del>					$\perp$
Okaloosa County Coordinated Transportation Inc.	Ft. Walton Beach	FL	DR	44						х											

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agennoy	City second reserved	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Ride Solution, Inc.	Palatka	FL	FR	18		GPS			[X]	Х			MS					Х		[X]	
			DR	13				<u> </u>		Х			MS	ļ	X			X		لــــا	ļ
Space Coast Area Transit	Cocoa	FL	FR	40	[DIG]	[GPS]		<u> </u>	ļ	[X]	P,I							X			
		<u> </u>	DR	114				<u> </u>		[X]	Р								[X]	<b>  </b>	
St. Lucie County Council on Aging	Port St. Lucie	FL.	DR	43	DIG	[OTR]			ļ	Х	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<del>                                     </del>
Volusia Transportation Authority	South Daytona	FL	FR DR	65	TR,[DIG]			<u> </u>		V	P,I P	<u> </u>						X	Х	X	
			FR	41 16	TR,[DIG] DIG			<b></b>		X	P									X	
Albany Transit System	Albany	GA	DR	6	DIG		-	├—			P									<del></del>	
	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	FR	21	TR,DIG	<u> </u>					P,W	X								$\longrightarrow$	-
Athens Transit System	Athens	GA	DR	4	TR,DIG			_			P P	<del>-^-</del>							<b></b>		-
		t	FR	36				<del>                                     </del>			,		[SC]	х							
Augusta Public Transit	Augusta	GA	DR	4						Х			1 1		X						
		-	FR	61	TR			T			P,W,I			-				[X]			
Chatham Area Transit Authority	Savannah	GA	DR	16							Р										
City of Rome Transit Department	Rome	GA	FR	6																	
City of Nome Transit Department	Troine	OA.	DR	2															Х		
Cobb County Transit	Marietta	GA	FR	53	TR	[OTR]				[X]											
		ļ	DR	15	TR	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		Х					[X]						$\square$
  Department of Transportation	Columbus	GA	FR	27	TR,[DIG]			<u> </u>													
		ļ	DR	7	TR,[DIG]															$\vdash$	-
University of Georgia Campus Transit System	Athens	GA	FR	41	TR			X							X					<b>  </b>	$\vdash$
D. W. et al. of Transit Outland	D. H d. of	ļ.,	DR	3	TR	<u> </u>						<u> </u>					X		Х		
Bettendorf Transit System	Bettendorf Davenport	IA IA	FR FR	7 19	<u> </u>	[U]		<u> </u>		[X]		<del>                                     </del>						[X]			$\vdash\vdash$
City of Davenport	Davenport	11/	FR	17	[TR],[DIG]	[0]		[X]		[^]		<u> </u>	[SC]	х	-			[X]			
City of Dubuque-Keyline Transit	Dubuque	IA	DR	6	[TR],[DIG]			[X]		[X]			[30]	<u> </u>	[X]			[-7]			
Coralville Transit	Coralville	IA	FR	9	DIG			-,,		6.1		<u> </u>									
			FR	98	TR					Х	Р	<u> </u>	[MS],[SC]			[X]	[X]	[X]			-
Des Moines Metropolitan Transit Authority	Des Moines	IA	DR	26	TR	GPS				Х					Х						

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Metropolitan Transit Authority of Black Hawk County Metropolitan Transit Authority of Black Hawk County Metropolitan Transit System  Sioux City Transit Syst	Agency	The second secon	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Matericopilan Transit Authority of Black Hawk County   Waterloo   Part	Iowa City Transit	lowa City	IA			TR	GPS					P,W										
Part   Per				_																		
Sioux City   Transit System   Sioux City   A   File   Fi	Metropolitan Transit Authority of Black Hawk County	Waterloo	IA			·	[GPS]														X	
Sicux City Transit System   Sicux City   A   DR   14   TR,DIG   DR   14   TR,DIG   DR   DR   DR   DR   DR   DR   DR   D																						
Sioux land Regional Transit System   Sioux City   IA   DR   63   [TR],[DIG]	Sioux City Transit System	Sioux City	IΑ				GPS				[X]	P,W	X					[X]	[X]			
University of lowa, CAMBUS    Dowa City   IA   FR   22   TR, [DIG]						<u> </u>		<u> </u>														
Description	Siouxland Regional Transit System	Sioux City	IA								X				ļ	<u> </u>				[X]		
DR 6 TR,  DG   FR 38 TR	University of Iowa, CAMBUS	lowa City	IΑ										X						X			
Boise   ID   DR   8   TR											[X]				ļ	<u> </u>						
Pocatello Regional Transit   Pocatello	Boise Urban Stages	Boise	ID									Р										
Pocate  Poca									_							<u> </u>					X	
Bloomington Normal Public Transit System   Bloomington   IL   FR   20   DIG	Pocatello Regional Transit	Pocatello	ID	$\overline{}$								Р.										$\vdash$
Bloomington Normal Public Transit System   Bloomington   IL   DR   5   DIG   DR			ļ								[X]											
Pekin Municipal Bus Service	Bloomington Normal Public Transit System	Bloomington	lı.																			
Pekin Municipal Bus Service   Pekin   IL   DR   1   DIG   DR   DIG   DIG   DR   DR   DR   DR   DR   DR   DR   D			ļ		_				_						<u> </u>							
DR   1   DIG	Pekin Municipal Bus Service	Pekin	IL.				<u> </u>			<u> </u>					<del> </del>	<b> </b>						
Company   Comp			ļ												<u> </u>	ļ						
Rock Island County Mass Transit   Rock Island   IL	River Valley Metro Mass Transit District	Kankakee	IL	<b></b>						<u> </u>					<u> </u>	ļ						$\blacksquare$
Rock Island County Mass Transit   Rock Island   IL   DR   11   DIG     [X]   [P]     X     [X]			<u> </u>												ļ	ļ						<b></b>
Rockford Mass Transit District   Rockford   IL   FR   37	Rock Island County Mass Transit	Rock Island	IL			<del> </del>	[GPS]	[A],[F]	[X]				[X]		<del> </del>		ļ		_X_			
DR   24				<del></del>		DIG		<u> </u>			[X]				ļ	X				[X]	[X]	[X]
DR   24	Rockford Mass Transit District	Rockford	IL			ļ	ļ			ļ. <b></b>	<del> </del>	P,W		[MS]	<b></b>							
Springfield Mass Transit and District         Springfield         IL         DR         15         IL         IL         DR         15         IL         IX         IX <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><b> </b></td><td><del></del></td><td></td><td>ļ</td><td>ļ</td><td>ļ</td><td></td><td></td><td>  X</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ļ</td><td>ļ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			<b> </b>	<del></del>		ļ	ļ	ļ			X				ļ	ļ						
Urbana Champaign Mass Transit District         Urbana         IL         FR         74         [GPS]         [X]         [X]         [X]         P,W,I         III         III         FR         74         III	Springfield Mass Transit and District	Springfield	IL			ļ	ļ	ļ	<u></u>		ļ				ļ	<u> </u>		L	X			
Urbana Champaign Mass Transit District         Urbana         IL         DR         4         [X]         [X]         [X]         P         [X]			<del> </del>			ļ	1000:	ļ	F)/3	D.0	-	D 1411	ļ		ļ					X		$\vdash$
ACE Cab   Elkhart   IN   DR   49   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X	Urbana Champaign Mass Transit District	Urbana	IL			<del> </del>	[GPS]	ļ							<u> </u>	<del> </del>	-		_	DV1	D/7	- N
	105.0-1	FU.L . d		—			<del>                                     </del>		[X]	[X]	-	P	<b> </b>		<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>			[7]		
	ACE Cab	Liknaπ	IIN			TD DIC	<del>                                     </del>		-	<del>                                     </del>	<u>^</u>				<u> </u>			LV1				$\vdash$
Bloomington Public Transportation Corporation Bloomington IN FR 34 TR,DIG IN DR 6 TR,DIG	Bloomington Public Transportation Corporation	Bloomington	IN	FR	34	TR,DIG				ļ					<b> </b>			[X]				$\vdash$
	City of Kokomo	Kokomo	INI			וע,און	-					[[]]			<u> </u>		-		[X]			H

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Fort Wayne Public Transportation Corporation	Fort Wayne	IN	FR	40	[DIG]	[GPS]		ļ		[X]	Р	ļ	MS					Х		<u> </u>	
			DR	10	[DIG]			ļ		X	Р									<u> </u>	
Gary Public Transportation Corporation	Gary	IN	FR	39	DIG	[GPS]		ļ	Х	[X]	P,W,I	[X]					[X]	Х		X	
			DR	5	DIG		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	[X]	P						<u> </u>			<u></u> '	<b>  </b>
Greater Lafayette Public Transportation	Lafayette	IN	FR DR	56 8	TR,DIG TR,DIG	[GPS]	<u> </u>	<b> </b>		[X] X	P,W,I P						X	Х		<u> </u>	
		-	FR	4	TR,DIG			ļ		<del>  ^-</del>	P						<del> </del>				
Heart City / Goshen Transit	South Bend	IN	DR	31			<u> </u>			X	P				<del>-</del>					$\vdash$	
			FR	24	DIG	[GPS]		<b> </b>	[X]	[X]	P,W,I								х		
Metropolitan Evansville Transit	Evansville	IN	DR	14	DIG				<u> </u>	<del>  `                                   </del>	Р										
Mineria Indiana Transit Contana		IN	FR	30		[GPS]				[X]	P,W		MS								
Muncie Indiana Transit System	Muncie	IIN	DR	17						Х	Р									[X]	
South Bend Public Transportation	South Bend	IN	FR	56	[DIG]			<u> </u>			[P]		[MS],[SC]					[X]		Х	
Codar Bend 1 dene Transportation	Godin Bend	<u> </u>	DR	8	[DIG]						[P]								Х	ļ	ļl
Terre Haute Transit Utility	Terre Haute	iN	FR	10		ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ <u>.</u>					ļ					L	ļl
	<u> </u>	ļ	DR	1				<u> </u>							X					<u> </u>	igwdown
Tradewinds Rehabilitation Center	Gary	IN	FR	8	DIG			-		ļ	<u> </u>			-		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	$\vdash$
City of Olathe	Olathe	KS	DR	8				-	<u>,                                   </u>		<u> </u>				ļ. ——	X		X			<del>  </del>
Topeka Transit	Topeka	KS	FR DR	29 15				-	X	x				-	Х	-		-			
			FR	4					<del>  ^</del>	<del>  ^</del>	P	<del>                                     </del>			<del>  ^</del>	<del></del> -	-				
City of Ashland Bus System	Ashland	KY	DR	1				<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	l'			l	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>					$\Box$
	<b>.</b>	1	FR	6	DIG					<b> </b>											$\Box$
HART-Henderson Area Rapid Transit	Henderson	KY	DR	3	DIG										Х						
LEXTRAN	Lexington	KY	FR	48	TR,DIG	[GPS]				[X]	Р										
LEATIVAL	Lexington	12,	DR	14	TR,DIG													L			
Owensboro Transit System	Owensboro	KY	FR	8							Р	<u> </u>					ļ			<u> </u>	
	1		DR	3			ļ			<u> </u>			ļ						L	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky	Fort Wright	ΚY	FR	117	[TR]		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	P	[X]	MS	×				[X]	[X]	[X]	[X]
			DR	12			L		L	L	Р			<u> </u>	<u></u>			L	L	L	<u> </u>

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	APIO COLA	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
City of Lafayette Transit	Lafayette	LA	FR	16		[GPS]				[X]	1		MS						[X]		[X]
		ļ	DR	5				<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L		ļ <u>.</u>	<u> </u>	[X]		[X]
City of Monroe Transit Service	Monroe	LA	FR	18	TR,[DIG]			Ļ				<u> </u>				ļ	ļ		ļ		<b> </b>
			DR	2	TR,[DIG]			<u> </u>				<u> </u>								<u> </u>	<b> </b>
Lake Charles Transit System	Lake Charles	LA	FR DR	2	TR TR	<b></b>		<del> </del>	-		ļ- <del></del> -	<del> </del>					-		X		<b>├</b> -
			FR	46	IR				<del> </del>			<del> </del>				-	<b></b>		ļ	<del></del>	<del> </del>
Shreveport Area Transit System	Shreveport	LA	DR	13									<u> </u>			<u> </u>	ļ		х		┼──┤
Terrebonne Parish Good Earth Transit System	Houma	LA	DR	2	TR,[DIG]			-		-						ļ	-	X	X		-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<b> </b>	FR	16	[DIG]							<del>                                     </del>			_						
Berkshire Regional Transit Authority	Pittsfield	MA	DR	10	[DIG]																
Danishan Ara Tanait Authority	Decelded	1.40	FR	44	[TR],[DIG]	[GPS]				[X]			MS							Х	
Brockton Area Transit Authority	Brockton	MA	DR	40	[TR],[DIG]					Х					Х						
Cape Ann Transportation Authority	Gloucester	MA	FR	20																	
Cape Ann Transportation Authority	Gloucester	IVIA	DR	13											Х						
Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority	Dennis	MA	FR	18		GPS	A,F		Х	X	P		[MS], [SC]	[X]			[X]	X			
		ļ	DR	65				L	X	Х	Р		[MS], [SC]	[X]	X	ļ			ļ		<u> </u>
Cape Island Express Lines Inc.	New Bedford	MA	FB	1	DIG	GPS				Х	P					<b></b>	ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>                                     </u>
Lowell Regional Transit Authority	Lowell	МА	FR	37	DIG	<u> </u>			ļ	ļ	P,W	<u> </u>			ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	<b> </b>
		<u> </u>	DR	30	DIG			ļ	<u> </u>		P							<del>                                     </del>	<b> </b>	ļ	┼
Montachuset Regional Transit Authority	Fitchburg	MA	FR	22 77	[DIG]	! 				IV1		-		<u> </u>			<del> </del>	-	<u> </u>		<del> </del>
	ļ	├	DR	40	TD (DIC)	[GPS]			<del>                                     </del>	[X]	P	<del>                                     </del>				<b> </b>	<del> </del>		X	├─	┼
Pioneer Valley Transit Authority	Springfield	MA	FR DR	81	TR,[DIG]	[GPS]			X	[X]	P	-			X			<del>  ^</del> -	<del>  ^</del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
		-	FR	65	DIG					X		<del> </del>			<u>  ^</u> -				×		┼
Southeast Regional Transit Authority	New Bedford	МА	DR	25	DIG	-				X					<del> </del>		$\vdash$		<del>  ^</del>		<del> </del>
Transit Express	Springfield	MA	FR	145	TR,[DIG]	[GPS]		<del>                                     </del>	×	[X]	P	$\vdash$	SC	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	×	X		
			FR	54	DIG	1		<del>                                     </del>	<del>  ^</del>	24		†					<del> </del>	<del>-</del>		Х	<b>†</b>
Worcester Regional Transit Authority	Worcester	MA	DR	41	DIG					<b>†</b>		<u> </u>			<del> </del>				<b></b>		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	FR	9					"-	[X]							l		<u> </u>		<b>T</b>
Allegany County Transit	Cumberland	MD	DR	10	<u> </u>				<b></b>							<b> </b>	T				

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenncy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Annapolis Department of Transportation	Annapolis	MD	FR	15	DIG																
A mapono Dopartment of Transportation	/ ппаропо		DR	3	DIG																
Washington County Transportation Commission	Hagerstown	MD	FR	13	DIG			<u> </u>												L'	
		ļ	DR	3	DIG	ļ		<u> </u>				ļ		ļ						L	
Biddeford-Saco-OOB Transit	Biddeford	ME	FR	11	[DIG]	ļ		<u> </u>						<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Casco Bay Island Transit District	Portland	ME	FB	5				L			[P],[W]	[X]						[X]		<u>                                     </u>	
Chebeague Transportation Co.	Chebeague Island	ME	FR FB	3 2		LC				ļ		ļ					ļ				
City of Bangor/ Ther Bus	Bangor	ME	FR	10				_				[X]		-	_		<del> </del>	<del></del>			
			FR	19	DIG						Р	1		ļ						х	
CYR Bus Line	Old Town	ME	DR	47	DIG						P				X						
Downeast Transportation, Inc.	Ellsworth	ME	FR	27	[DIG]			[X]		[X]	[P],[I]										
Isle Au Haut Stonington	Stonington	ME	FB	2							Р										
Kennebec Valley Community Action Program	Waterville	ME	FR	4	DIG																
		1.00	DR	22	DIG	<b>.</b>				X	<u> </u>	ļ		<del> </del>	X		<u></u>			<b> </b>	<b> </b> -
The Regional Transportation Program	Portland	ME	DR FR	29 1	DIG	ļ		<u> </u>		X				-	X			ļ		<b> </b> -	<del>  </del>
Waldo Co. Comm. for Social Action	Belfast	ME	DR	12	DIG			<b> </b>		X					X					<del>                                     </del>	├
	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	FR	8	DIG		<u> </u>	<del> </del>		<del>  ^-</del>		<del> </del>		-	<del>  ^-</del>	<del> </del>		<del> </del>	<del>  </del>	$\vdash$	
Western Maine Transportation Services	Auburn	ME	DR	28	DIG			<u> </u>		Х				<del> </del>	X			<u> </u>			
		1	FR	1						Х		<del> </del>		ļ · • • • •				Х			
York County Community Action Corp.	Sanford	ME	DR	18											Х						
Battle Creek Transit	Battle Creek	МІ	FR	20		[GPS]			[X]	[X]										[X]	
Dattie Creek Harisit	Dattle Creek	IVII	DR	10					[X]	[X]										[X]	
Bay Metro Transportation Authority	Bay City	мі	FR	46	TR,DIG													[X]	Х		
Day more transportation, turnous		ļ <u></u>	DR	10	TR,DIG					X				ļ		ļ				<u> </u>	ļ
Capital Area Transportation Authority	Lansing	мі	FR	77	TR	GPS	A,F			X	[P],[W],[I]	<u> </u>		ļ		ļ	[X]	X		ļ!	<u> </u>
		<u> </u>	DR	75	TR			ļ.,		X	[P]			<u> </u>		<b>_</b>				ļ	<b> </b>
City of Detroit Department of Transportation	Detroit	М	FR	540	DIG	[GPS]		[X]	[X]	[X]	P,W		MS	X	X	<u> </u>	ļ	<del> </del>		<del></del> '	
City of Holland Dial-a-Ride	Holland	МІ	DR	10				l		X	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>	L	L	X	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>	X	لــــا		لــــــا

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
City of Jackson Transportation Authority	Jackson	мі	FR	11		[GPS]				[X]	[P],[W]	[X]	[MS],[SC]	[X]					Х		<u> </u>
			DR	40 42	·	[GPS]				[X]	[P]		[MS],[SC]	[X]							<b> </b>
Kalamazoo Metro Transit System	Kalamazoo	MI	FR DR	5												-			Х		$\vdash$
	+		FR	221	TR			-										[X]			$\vdash$
Mass Transportation Authority	Flint	МІ	DR	95	TR					х					Х			[24]	Х		
	North		FR	11	DIG			Х		Х											
Muskegon Area Transit System	Muskegon	MI	DR	3	DIG																
Niles Dial-A-Ride	Niles	MI	DR	8											Х			Х			
Saginaw Transit System Authority	Saginaw	МІ	FR	15	TR							ļ				L			X		
	-		DR	15	TR			<u> </u>								ļ			ļ		
Twin Cities Area Transportation Authority	Benton Harbor	МІ	FR	2	[DIG]	[GPS]	-	<del> </del>		[X]					ļ <u>.</u>	<u> </u>		·	- 50	50	
	<u> </u>		DR	17 33	[DIG]			<del> </del>		[X]	[P]	[X]	<del></del>		X		X	X	[X]	[X]	[X]
City of Rochester	Rochester	MN	FR_DR	4				<del>                                     </del>		X	[P]	[ [^]			<del>  ^</del>				_^		
Duluth Transit Authority	Duluth	MN	FR	97	[TR],[DIG]	[SO]		<del> </del>	[X]	[X]	[P],[W]			-	ļ	<del> </del>			X		$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
	<del></del>		FR	12	TR	[00]		<del>                                     </del>	1	[X]	P,W		MS	X		<b></b>	[X]	[X]			
Mankato Heartland Express	Mankato	MN	DR	3	TR						Р										
St. Cloud Metropolitan Transit Commission	St. Cloud	MN	FR	28	DIG	GPS				Х			MS				[X]	Х		Х	
St. Cloud Metropolitan Plansit Commission	St. Glodd	10111	DR	17	DIG					Х										X	
City Utilities of Springfield	Springfield	мо	FR	23	[TR]			<u> </u>	X				[SC]			ļ					
		ļ	DR	5	[TR]			<u> </u>	X	X						ļ					
Columbia Transit System	Columbia	мо	FR	19			ļ	<b>├</b> ─		X			<b> </b>		-	<del> </del>		<del> </del>			
	<del></del>		DR FR	9			ļ	<u> </u>		-^-			<del> </del>		<u> </u>						
Jefferson City Transit	Jefferson City	МО	DR	18			<u> </u>	├		×					<b></b>				×		$\vdash$
Southwest Missouri State University	Springfield	MO	FR	12			<del></del>	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>	<del>  ^</del>					<u> </u>	$\vdash$	Х	X	<del>  ^`</del>		$\vdash$
		<del> </del>	FR	3	TR,DIG	[GPS]		$\vdash$	[X]	[X]	[P],[W]			_	X					_	
St. Joseph Transit	St. Joseph	МО	DR	21	TR,DIG	-		<b></b>	<del>                                     </del>	X											М
Lookeen Dublic Transportation Company	lackes	Me	FR	36	DIG																
Jackson Public Transportation Company	Jackson	MS	DR	27	DIG					Х											

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Ageincy	City	Sale	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Mississippi Coast Transportation Authority	Gulfport	мѕ	FR	23																	
			DR	21				<u> </u>		[X]				<u> </u>							
Billings Metropolitan Transit	Billings	мт	FR	23	TR						Р	ļ		ļ							L
Great Falls Transit District	Con at Falls	MT	DR FR	15	TR					Х	P			ļ	├	<u> </u>		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
·	Great Falls	MI	FR	17 22	DIG			ļ		<u> </u>	[P] P			<del> </del>						X	<del> </del> -
MountainLine Missoula Urban Transportation District	Missoula	MT	DR	4	DIG			<b></b>			P P			<del> </del>		-	-			<del>  ^-</del>	<del> </del> -
Asheville Transit Authority	Asheville	NC	FR	16	DIG			<del>                                     </del>			I .				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	×	<del></del>	<b></b> -
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	FR	22	TR,DIG	[GPS]		_		[X]			[MS]	<b>†</b>		<u> </u>		Х			
Fayettesville Area System of Transit	Fayettesville	NC	DR	19	TR,DIG					[X]											
Greenville Area Transit	Greenville	NC	FR	7							P,I										
	- Continue		DR	2				L						<u> </u>			<b></b>				<u> </u>
Piedmont Wagon	Hickory	NC	FR	5	DIG									ļ <u> </u>			X	[X]			<u> </u>
		ļ	DR	2	DIG			<u> </u>						ļ	X					<u> </u>	
Rocky Mount Transit	Rocky Mount	NC	FR DR	6 30	TR,DIG			├—	X		P P	-		ļ	<u> </u>	ļ			ļ	X	<b> </b> -
	<del> </del>		FR	16	TR,DIG			-			P	-			Х						<del>                                     </del>
Wilmington Transit Authority	Wilmington	NC	DR	4									<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>					X		<del></del>
Bis-man Transit Board	Bismarck	ND	DR	26				<b></b>		Х	[P]	[X]		+	X		<b></b>	x			
	†		FR	15	DIG	[DK]				[X]	P,W	[X]		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		Х				
Grand Forks City Bus	Grand Forks	ND	DR	15	DIG																
StarTran	Lincoln	NE	FR	80				Х													
	20011		DR	8								ļ				ļ					
Community Transportation Service	Claremont	NH	FR	6							<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		<b> </b>	DR	3	10:0:	<u> </u>		├—				-	ļ	<del> </del>	×	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Concord Area Transit	Concord	NH	FR DR	4	[DIG]					[X]		┼			X	-			ļ	-	<del> </del>
Greater Laconia Transit Agency	Gilford	NH	DR	10	[DIG]			<del> </del>		[^]	P	+		+	^	<del> </del>		<b></b> -		<del>                                     </del>	<b></b>
			FR	3				<del>                                     </del>		[X]	P			<del> </del>	<u> </u>		<b></b>		<b>-</b>	<del>                                     </del>	
HCS Community Care Incorporated	Keene	NH	DR	2				<del>                                     </del>			-						<u> </u>	<b></b>	<b></b>		

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Manchester Transit Authority	Manchester	NH	FR	14							[P]										
		<u> </u>	DR	3		ļ					[P]			ļ				<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Nashua Transit System	Nashua	NH	FR	7	TR,DIG	[GPS]			[X]	[X]	P,W	X						X			<u> </u>
·			DR	10	TR,DIG			L													<u> </u>
Coach USA Hudson Transit Lines, Inc.	Mahwah	NJ	FR	140		ļ		<u> </u>			Р			<u> </u>			ļ			ļ	<u> </u>
Community Transit, Inc.	Newark	NJ	FR	54		ļ. —		ļ	Х					ļ					Х	ļ	ـــــ
Cumberland County Office on Aging	Bridgeton	NJ	DR	28			ļ	<u> </u>		X				<del> </del>				[X]			ــــ
DeCamp Bus Lines	Newark	NJ	FR	78								<u> </u>		ļ							<u> </u>
Lafeyette-Greenville IBOA	Newark	NJ	FR	29		[GPS]	<b></b>	<u> </u>		[X]				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b></b>			[X]	<u> </u>
Lakeland Bus Lines, Inc.	Newark	NJ	FR	9							P,W							ļ	X		<u> </u>
Leisure Line	Newark	NJ	FR	54	·	ļ			Х					ļ					Х		<u> </u>
Olympia Trails Bus Co., Inc.,	Newark	NJ	FR	54					Х					<u> </u>					Х		<u> </u>
Orange-Newark-Elizabeth, Inc.,	Newark	NJ	FR	54		<u> </u>			Х									ļ	Х	ļ	<u> </u>
PATH	Jersey City	NJ	HR	345	TR,DIG			X			P,W	[X]	MS	X		Х		X			<u> </u>
South Orange Avenue IBOA	Newark	NJ	FR	54					Х					<del> </del>					Х		<u> </u>
Trans-Bridge Lines, Inc.	Newark	NJ	FR	38							P,W			ļ		ļ					ــــــ
Trans-Hudson Express	Newark	NJ	FR	54			ļ	L	Х					<u> </u>		<u> </u>			X		<u> </u>
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe	NM	FR	31	TR		<u> </u>			[X]	Р			ļ				L			<u> </u>
•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	DR	6	TR		ļ	<u> </u>		[X]				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Road Runner	Las Cruces	NM	FR	16	TR						Р	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		[X]	ļ	[X]	<u> </u>	<del> </del>
			DR	16	TR		ļ			Х	P			ऻ	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ <u>-</u>	ļ		<u> </u>	<del> </del>
ATC/VanCom	No. Las Vegas	N∨	FR	294		ļ		<u> </u>		ļ				<del> </del>		<u> </u>	ļ	<u></u>		<u> </u>	<b>↓</b>
	1		DR	124				ļ <u></u>						<del> </del>	<u> </u>	<b></b>	<u> </u>	L		X	X
Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe County	Reno	NV	FR	65		[GPS]		[X]		[X]	[1]	<b> </b>	MS	ـ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ <u>.</u>		X	121
	Ctoton Jalon 1	NV	DR	39 350		ļ				X						<del> </del>	ļ	<b> </b> -		[X]	[X]
Atlantic Paratrans, Inc.	Staten Island	NY	DR	<u> </u>		<del> </del>					P	<del> </del>	MS	-	<del> </del>		<del> </del>			<del> </del>	<del> </del>
Broome County Department of Public Transportation	Vestal	NY	FR	43 18				<b> </b>		Х	<del></del>	<del>                                     </del>	IVIO	+	-	<del> </del>		<u> </u>		<del> </del>	<del> </del>
	<del> </del>	-	FR	25		<del> </del>				<u> </u>				+-			-	<del></del> -	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
Chemung County Transit System	Elmira	NY	DR	9			<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<b>—</b> —			<del> </del>		+	x	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>			-	$\vdash$

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
City of Rome, VIP Transportation	Rome	NY	FR	5	DIG																
Only of Northe, VIII Transportation	Kome	<u> </u>	DR	2	DIG															l	Х
Dutchess County Division of Mass Transport	Poughkeepsie	NY	FR	32	DIG																
Dataness County Division of Mass Plansport	roughkeepsie	<u> </u>	DR	20	DIG			<u> </u>		Х					<u> </u>				[X]		L]
Newburgh Beacon Bus Corp	Newburgh	NY	FR	2	DIG	<u> </u>		<u> </u>						<u> </u>							
New York Bus Tours, Inc.	Bronx	NY	FR	136																	Х
Orange County ADA Paratransit Svc.	Goshen	NY	DR	3										<u> </u>	Х					l	
Progressive Transportation	Nichols	NY	FR	8											<u> </u>	<u> </u>				L	
1 Togressive Transportation	Michola	<u> </u>	DR	5											Х					l	
Tompkins Consolidated Area Transit	Ithaca	NY	FR	60		[GPS]				[X]	[P],[W]	[X]	[MS]					[X]	Х		
Tompkins Consolidated Alea Transit	Itilaca		DR	16		[GPS]				[X]	[P]				Х					ļ	
Utica Transit Authority	Utica	NY	FR	38			ļ			Х	<u> </u>			l							
Olica Fransit Authority	O II GO	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DR	7											[X]					L	
Chillicothe Transit System	Chillicothe	ОН	FR	8			<u> </u>				Р			<u> </u>						L	
Chilicothe Harsit System	Crimicotrie	011	DR	3							Р									l	
City of Newark Transit Operations	Newark	ОН	FR	3																	
City of Newark Transit Operations	Newark	011	DR	3											Х						
Middletown Transit System	Middletown	ОН	FR	6							Р										
Industry Transit Cystelli	Madictown	<u> </u>	DR	1											Х					Х	
Portage Area Regional Transportation Authority	Kent	ОН	FR	5	DIG																
Politage Alea (Negional Transportation Authority	Rent		DR	19	DIG									<u> </u>	X						
Richland County Transit	Mansfield	он	FR	9	DIG																
Richard County Transit	Mansheld	J	DR	4											L					L	L
Stark Area Regional Transit Authority	Canton	он	FR	56																	
Stark Area Regional Transit Authority	Canton		DR	25											Х						
Lane Transit District	Fugeno	OR	FR	112	[DIG]	[GPS]		[X]	[X]	[X]	[P],[W],[I]	[X]					[X]	[X]	[X]	Х	
Lane Transit District	Eugene	UK.	DR	24							[P]				[X]						
Rogue Valley Transit District	Medford	OR	FR	12				[X]									[X]	[X]		Х	
rogue valley Hallsk District	Medioid	UK.	DR	34											[X]						
Salem Area Mass Transit District	Salem	OR	FR	69						[X]	P,W,I	X					Х	X			

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	CO	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Area Transportation Authority of North Central	Johnsonburg	PA	FR	23	TR,[DIG]	[U]			[X]	[X]								Х			
Pennsylvania	Comicondary	<u> </u>	DR	58	TR,[DIG]	[U]		<u> </u>	[X]	[X]				<u> </u>			ļ. <u></u>		ļ		
Centre Area Transportation Authority	State College	PA	FR	55							Р		[MS]	ļ			[X]				
,		ļ	DR	8					<u> </u>			ļ		<u> </u>							
Mid Mon Valley Transit Authority	Charleroi	PA	FR	23	[DIG]	ļ			ļ					ļ			ļ	 			<b></b>
Dad Dan Tanak Authorit	1	-	DR	2 46								ļ		ļ		ļ	<u> </u>	\			$\vdash$
Red Rose Transit Authority	Lancaster	PA	FR	46								<del> </del>		<del> </del>	X			X	<del> </del>		$\vdash$
Shenango Valley Shuttle Service	Hermitage	PA	DR	24		<b>-</b>		├		X		-			X	-		X	<del> </del>		$\vdash$
		<del>                                     </del>	FR	32	[DIG]	[GPS]			[X]	[X]		-	[MS]		-				-		$\vdash\vdash\vdash$
York County Transportation Authority	York	PA	DR	32	[DIG]	[0:0]		<del> </del>	[X]	[X]		<del> </del>	[IVIO]	-		-					$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
City of San Juan	San Juan	PR	FR	18	[DIG]			<del>                                     </del>	124	[74]		+-	<del></del>		-	X		X		<b></b>	$\vdash$
	1		FR	188	[DIG]	[GPS]		[X]	[X]	[X]				<del>                                       </del>		X	[X]	[X]			
Metropolitan Bus Authority	San Juan	PR	DR	23	[DIG]			[X]	[X]	[X]		1		<u> </u>					[X]	[X]	[X]
Ailan County Toronit Contains	Aites	sc	FR	4																	
Aiken County Transit System	Aiken	SC	DR	15			1			Х											
Pee Dee Regional Transportation Authority	Florence	sc	FR	20	[TR],DIG	[GPS]				[X]								[X]			
Pee Dee Negional Transportation Authority	riorence	30	DR	190	[TR],DIG					[X]					X						
Santee Wateree Regional Transportation Authority	Sumter	sc	FR	20		[GPS]				[X]				<u> </u>		ļ					ļ
			DR	75						[X]				ļ			<u> </u>			ļ	
Rapid Transit System	Rapid City	SD	FR	7	TR,DIG			<u> </u>			[P]	[X]					<u> </u>	<del>-</del>			
		ļ	DR	11	TR,DIG			<b>├</b>		X	[P]			ļ	X	ļ		-		ļ	$\vdash$
Sioux Falls Transit	Sioux Falls	SD	FR	25 20						X	P	[X]		<del>                                     </del>		ļ	ļ	-		ļ	$\vdash$
	<u> </u>		DR FR	4	[DIG]					X	P	-		ļ		-	-				$\vdash$
Bristol Tennessee Transit System	Bristol	TN	DR	4	[DIG]			├─			P	-		<b> </b>	X	$\vdash$				<del> </del>	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
Chattanooga Area Regional Transportation		<del> </del>	FR	74	[5/0]			-	-		<del>'</del>	$\vdash$			<del> -^-</del>		-	-		<u> </u>	$\vdash$
Authority	Chattanooga	TN	DR	14				$\vdash$				+			X	<del> </del>			-	<del> </del>	$\vdash$
			FR	3	DIG			$\vdash$		[X]	P				<u> </u>				X		$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
City of Kingsport	Kingsport	TN	DR	4	<u> </u>					[X]					X						一一

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Clarksville Transit System	Clarksville	TN	FR	11	[TR]														Х		
,			DR	10	[TR]									<u> </u>	L	ļ					
Jackson Transit Authority	Jackson	TN	FR	17							[P]			<u> </u>	<u> </u>						<b></b>
		ļ <u>.</u>	DR	5	510						[P]			ļ		ļ		ļ			$\vdash$
Johnson City Transit System	Johnson City	TN	FR DR	14 6	DIG					rv1	Р				X			ļ			
			FR	17	DIG					[X]	P		MS			X	<del> </del>		Х		$\vdash$
Amarillo Transit System	Amarillo	TX	DR	5							-		IVIO	-	X	<del>  ^</del>			^		$\vdash$
Arlington Handitran	Arlington	TX	DR	17							Р				<u> </u>						
December 1 Marie   Transit Contact	D	TV	FR	16																	
Beaumont Municipal Transit System	Beaumont	TX	DR	5															Х		
Brazos Transit District	Bryan	TX	FR	8	DIG	[GPS]				Х	[P]										
Diazos Transk District	Diyan	ļ'^	DR	4	DIG	[GPS]				Х	[P]										
Citi Bus	Lubbock	TX	FR	30	DIG	[GPS]				[X]			MS							Х	
		ļ	DR	21	DIG									ļ	X		ļ	ļ		Х	<b>  </b>
CityLink	Abilene	тх	FR	18	DIG					[X]				ļ				ļ		Х	
	<u> </u>	-	DR FR	11 17	TR			<u> </u>		[X]				<del> </del>	Х			ļ		<b></b>	<del>  </del>
City of Brownsville Urban System	Brownsville	TX	DR	12	TR				,	[X]				<del> </del>			-			X	<del>  </del>
City of Longview	Killgore	TX	DR	5	TR	[GPS]		<u> </u>		[X]				<del> </del>	X			×	<b></b>	<u> </u>	
			FR	7	TR	1				1,3	P,W	Х		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>						
City of San Angelo	San Angelo	TX	DR	7	TR					Х	Р			1							$\Box$
City of Temple	Temple	тх	DR	8	TR,DIG					Х									[X]		
Corpus Christi Regional Transportation Authority	Corpus Christi	тх	FR	78	TR.[DIG]	[GPS]		Х		Х	[P],[W]						Х				
		<u> </u> '^_	DR	39	TR,[DIG]			Х		Х	[P]			<u> </u>						[X]	igsquare
First Transit	Houston	TX	FR	240									MS	X	ļ				<u> </u>		<b>  </b>
			FR	17	TR,DIG									<del> </del>	<u> </u>		ļ		<u> </u>		<b>  </b>
Island Transit	Galveston	TX	DR	14	TR,DIG			<u> </u>		ļ		ļ		<del> </del>		<del> </del>	-		ļ		$\vdash$
	<u> </u>	L	LR	4	TR,DIG		<u> </u>	L		l		<u>L</u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	L	L	

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenoy	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Laredo Municipal Transit System	Laredo	TX	FR	44		[GPS]				[X]	Р										
			DR	20		[GPS]			ļ	[X]	Р										<u> </u>
McKinney Avenue Transit Authority	Dallas	TX	FR	4							Р						X				<del></del>
Port Arthur Transit	Port Arthur	TX	FR	10 6											Х					X [X]	
Texoma Council of Governments	Sherman	TX	DR	13						-				-	X	ļ				X	
The Gulf Coast Center	Galveston	TX	DR	45	TR	[GPS]				X	[P]				X						
			FR	14	TR,DIG	[GPS]				[X]	P									Х	
Waco Transit System	Waco	TX	DR	8	TR,DIG	[GPS]				[X]	Р				Х						
Logan Transit District	Logan	UT	FR	15							Р								Х		
	Logan	0,	DR	5																	
Blacksburg Transit	Blacksburg	VA	FR	31	DIG	[GPS]				[X]	[P],[W],[I]										<b></b>
			DR	11						X											<b></b>
Bristol Virginia Transit	Bristol	VA	FR	5							P					ļ					
			DR	1						L						ļ					
Charlottesville Transit Service	Charlottesville	VA	FR	22	[TR]	[GPS]				[X]	P				ļ	-	Х				
City of Alexandria	Alexandria	VA	FR DR	42 15				<del> </del>			P,I P										
		-	FR	7	TR																<del>  </del>
City of Danville Mass Transit System	Danville	VA	DR	1	TR			<del> </del>						ļ	-	<del> </del>					$\overline{}$
City of Fairfax CUEBus	Fairfax	VA	FR	12	[TR]	GPS		-		X	P,I		[SC]	X							<del>                                     </del>
		<b></b>	FR	25	į į į į															Х	
Greater Lynchburg Transit Company	Lynchburg	VA	DR	4																	
Greater Roanoke Transit Company	Roanoke	VA	FR	38	TR															Х	
JAUNT	Charlottesville	VA	FR	3		[GPS]				[X]	[P]										
	Chanottesvine	<u>ν</u>	DR	62						Х	[P]				<u> </u>						
Loudoun County Commuter Bus Service	Leesburg	VA	FR	11	DIG						Р				ļ						
Virginia Railway Express	Alexandria	VA	CR	57		GPS				X	P,W,I			X							
Addison County Transit Resources, Inc.	Middlebury	VT	FR	5	[DIG]			<u> </u>		X	Р	Х		<u> </u>	ļ	<u></u>		[X]			$\sqcup$
Advance Transit	Wilder	VT	FR	18					<u> </u>		P			ļ		ļ					<u> </u>
Chittenden County Transportation Authority	Burlington	VT	FR	38		l		<u> </u>	l		[P]	[X]	[MS]	X	X	<u> </u>		[X]		L	لـــــا

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agenty	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Green Mountain Express	Bennington	VT	FR	3			ļ														
			DR	11	(5)(6)		ļ	<b> </b>	<u> </u>						X			ļ			<b></b>
Lake Champlain Transport Co.	Burlington	VT	FB	9	[DIG]		ļ	<del> </del>		<b>_</b>	Р	[X]		ļ	ļ		ļ	<del></del> -			
Rural Comm. Transport	St. Johnsbury	VΤ	FR DR	5 17	<u> </u>			<del> </del>	ļ	-	<b></b>	<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>	X			X			<del>  </del>
Shorewell Ferries, Inc.	Shoreham	VT	FB	1			-	<b></b>			P				<del>  ^</del> -						<del> </del>
	Griorenam	<del>                                     </del>	FR	1	DIG		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			P	[X]		<del> </del>				Х			<del>  </del>
The Brattleboro Bee Line	Brattleboro	VT	DR	1	DIG		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>			P	[24]		<del> </del>				<u> </u>		-	
		<u> </u>	FR	9	DIG			<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>		P	[X]				<u> </u>					
Town and Village Transportation Services	Westminster	VT	DR	6	DIG						Р										
Ben Franklin Transit	Richland	WA	FR	61							P,W						Х				
Den Franklin Transit	Richand	VVA	DR	56						Х	Р				Х					Х	
Community Urban Bus Service	Longview	WA	FR	4	TR																
Community Orban Bus dervice	Longview	<u> </u>	DR	6	TR		ļ	ļ							X						
Intercity Transit	Olympia	WA	FR	42	TR,[DIG]						P,W,I	X	[SC]	X				[X]			
	ļ	ļ	DR	25	TR,[DIG]		ļ	┞—	<u> </u>					<u> </u>							$\vdash$
Pullman Transit	Pullman	WA	FR	4	[TR]	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						<b>├</b> ──		ļ		<u> </u>			<del></del>
		<u> </u>	DR FR	129	[TR]		<del> </del>		0/1	Х	P		MS	<del> </del>		ļ				X	<del></del>
Spokane Transit Agency	Spokane	WA	DR	88		GPS	-	<del> </del>	[X]	X	P -	-	IVIS							X	$\vdash$
		<del> </del>	FR	18		GFS	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	[^]	[X]	<b> </b>					<del> </del> -		X			$\vdash$
Valley Transit	Walla Walla	WA	DR	6	[DIG]		<del> </del>	_		1/1				<u> </u>	X	<u> </u>					-
			FR	21	[DIG]		<del> </del>				P,W			<u> </u>		_					
Yakima Transit	Yakima	WA	DR	17			<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>								<del>                                     </del>					
Chippewa Falls Shared Ride Taxi System	Chippewa Falls	WI	DR	7	TR,[DIG]																$\Box$
City of Beloit Transit System	Beloit	WI	FR	10							P										
Community Transportation Systems	La Crosse	wı	FR	4	TR																
Community Transportation Cystems	Lu 010336	1	DR	6	TR					Х											
Green Bay Metro	Green Bay	wı	FR	43	TR,DIG			<u> </u>			[P]					ļ			Х		$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
	L	<u> </u>	DR	27		<u></u>		<u> </u>	l	X	L,			<u> </u>		<u> </u>					

Table 3. APTS Deployment by Transit Agency Outside the United States' 78 Largest Metropolitan Areas

Agency	City	State	Service Type	Vehicles (2000)	Advanced Communications	Automatic Vehicle Location	Vehicle Probes	Automatic Passenger Counters	Vehicle Component Monitoring	Automated Operations Software	Automated Transit Information	Multi-Modal Traveler Information	Automated Fare Payment	Multi-Carrier Fare Integration	Mobility Manager	Transportation Management Cente	Traffic Signal Priority	ITS Integration	Surveillance Cameras	Silent Alarms	Covert Microphones
Janesville Transit System	Janesville	wı	FR DR	23							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ļ					[X]		
LaCrosse Municipal Transit Utility	LaCrosse	WI	FR	22	TR										ļ ———				Х		
Madian Mata Tanait	Madiac	140	FR	200	[TR],[DIG]	GPS		[X]	[X]	Х	[P],[W],[i]									Х	$\Box$
Madison Metro Transit	Madison	WI	DR	20	[TR],[DIG]					Х	[P]			l						[X]	[X]
Onalaska Shared Ride Taxi	Onalaska	WI	DR	3						Х	-			" " "							
Oakhaak Taasak Custasa	Oakkaak	wı	FR	17	[DIG]									1							
Oshkosh Transit System	Oshkosh	IVVI	DR	27						Х								-			П
Chahairean Transit Custom	Chahayaan	wı	FR	29	[TR]	[GPS]				[X]									Х	Х	
Sheboygan Transit System	Sheboygan	VVI	DR	4						Х					ļ						
Valley Transit	Annioten	wı	FR	30																	
Valley Transit	Appleton	VVI	DR	14						[X]											
Wausau Area Transit System	Wausau	wı	FR	24															Х	Х	
vvausau Area Transit System	vvausau	VVI	DR	11																	
Kanawha Valley Regional Transit Authority	Charleston	wv	FR	55	TR,DIG	[GPS]				[X]	Р										Х
Transit Authority	Orianeston	1	DR	13	TR,DIG										Х						
Mid-Ohio Valley Transit Authority	Parkersburg	wv	FR	12									MS								
TVIId-OTIIO Valley Transit/tutilority	ancisburg		DR	2				1											Х		
Ohio Valley Regional Transit Authority	Wheeling	lw/	FR	22	[DIG]										<u></u>						
Only Valley Regional Transit Nationly	VVIICEIIII	1	DR	4																	
Tri-State Transit	Huntington	wv	FR	31	DIG					Х	Р										
THOUGH HARBIE	Tantington		DR	10																	
Weirton Transit Core	Steubenville	w	FR	2							[P]										
Tonton Handi Goro	0.00001171110	<u> </u>	DR	1							[P]				X						
Cheyenne Transit	Cheyenne	wy	FR	10																	
- Toron	3.10,01110	ļ	DR	12						Х					ļ						
City of Casper	Casper	WY	DR	9	TR		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Х	Р						<u> </u>				

#### **APPENDIX A - DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED**

- Advanced Communications digital radio (sound converted into binary information and transmitted across airwaves) and/or trunked radio (a computer selection of an available frequency, as opposed to manual selection or use of pre-set frequency).
- Automated Fare Payment payment schemes by which riders pay for individual trips by non-paper media (e.g., magnetic stripe card or smart card) purchased in advance or pay for their trips by credit or debit cards.
- Automated Operations Software software that displays automatic vehicle location-equipped vehicle positions, vehicle data, operator data, and communications information on dispatcher monitors; automated control software for light, heavy, or commuter rail systems; automated scheduling software for demand response service. (This category does not include basic run-cutting and scheduling packages for fixed route services which, off-line, develop set schedules for buses and drivers.)
- **Automated Transit Information** systems that either provide route, schedule, stop, transfer, fare, trip planning, and/or real-time schedule adherence or arrival information to the public directly, without human intervention.
- **Automatic Passenger Counter** an automated means of counting boarding and alighting passengers (e.g., treadle mats or infrared beams placed by the door).
- Automatic Vehicle Location position determination via an automatic technology or combination of technologies, such as Global Positioning System (triangulation of satellite signals), Signposts (beacons at known locations transmit signals picked up by vehicle), Ground-Based Radio (triangulation of radio tower signals), or Dead-Reckoning (vehicle's odometer and compass used to measure new position from previous known position), and typically includes real-time reporting of that location to a dispatcher.
- **Covert Microphone** a hidden microphone on the vehicle that can be opened by the dispatcher to listen to what is happening on the vehicle during emergency situations.
- ITS Integration the sharing of information on traffic and incidents, the sharing of infrastructure (buildings, computer systems, communications), or coordinated operations with another agency (TMCs, joint development of common control strategy).

- Mobility Manager coordination of travel requests and vehicle dispatching for multiple agencies (e.g., social service agencies, HHS, transit agencies, etc.) Riders or agencies are billed by the Mobility Manager.
- **Multi-Modal Traveler Information** information made available to the public from a single source covering multiple modes (i.e., transit and traffic or different transit modes operated by several transit providers).
- Multi-Carrier Fare Integration any fare structure or payment mechanism which covers more than one provider. This includes cards, tokens, transfers, or other payment media (other than cash) that is accepted by at least two providers (including toll agencies).
- **Silent Alarm** an emergency signal activated by the vehicle operator pushing a concealed button that alerts the dispatch center that an emergency situation exists on-board the vehicle.
- **Surveillance Camera** video camera located inside the vehicle to record actions taking place on the vehicle.
- **Traffic Signal Priority** a means of giving transit vehicles priority at traffic signals by advancing the green signal phase or extending the green phase in order to minimize the delay. The priority may be actuated manually (e.g., by the driver pressing a switch on the vehicle) or automatically (e.g., linked to an AVL system).
- **Transportation Management Center** a facility housing the operations management centers for at least two transportation modes. This might include highway congestion mitigation (e.g., assist in incident management) and transit dispatching.
- **Vehicle Component Monitoring** continuous automatic remote measurement of vehicle component status (i.e., engine oil pressure, engine temperature, electrical system, tire pressure, etc.).
- **Vehicle Probe** AVL equipped transit bus data provided to highway agencies for calculation of roadway travel times, travel speeds, and flow conditions.

## **APPENDIX B - 1995-2000 DEPLOYMENT DATA**

#### **Advanced Communications**

Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	58	140	229
Planned	22	81	94
Total	80	221	323

#### **Automatic Vehicle Location**

Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	22	61	88
Planned	64	100	142
Total	86	161	230

**Automatic Passenger Counters** 

Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	11	24	33
Planned	21	30	74
Total	32	54	107

**Vehicle Component Monitoring** 

Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	5	13	46
Planned	24	31	68
Total	29	44	114

**Automated Operations Software** 

Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	92	124	177
Planned	68	72	132
Total	160	196	309

### **Automated Transit Information**

Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	48	89	291
Planned	45	75	48
Total	93	164	339

**Automated Fare Payment** 

	,		
Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	22	42	98
Planned	43	68	77
Total	65	110	175

**Traffic Signal Priority** 

Survey Year	1995	1998	2000
Operational	9	16	30
Planned	18	40	58
Total	27	56	88