

THE ADMINISTRATOR

DEC 2 2 1976

Honorable William D. Schaefer Mayor City of Baltimore Baltimore, Maryland

Dear Mayor Schaefer:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects, and members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see first-hand the merits of each proposal. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

However, while we cannot fund the Baltimore proposal within the limits of the DPM competition, we found your plan to be justified and highly supportive of your redevelopment and rapid transit programs. We would like, therefore, to offer an alternative funding approach.

At the present time, UMTA has committed to \$572 million for rapid transit construction in Baltimore. A saving of less than five percent in the total cost of constructing that transit line would permit the implementation of the Downtown People Mover you proposed. Further, you have available Interstate transfer possibilities which could be used for this purpose.

Accordingly, UMTA would be willing to fund the engineering and construction of the Downtown People Mover from any economies which could be achieved in the design and construction of the rapid transit system or from Interstate transfer sources, subject to a review of specific proposals.

If you wish to consider any of these possibilities, I hope you will be in touch with me. We have been impressed with the great progress which you and your city have made, and we would like to continue to be of assistance.



DEC 2 0 1976.

Honorable Hans G. Tanzler, Jr. Mayor of Jacksonville 220 East Bay Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202

Dear Mayor Tanzler:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects, and members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see first-hand the merits of each proposal. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we may be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.



THE ADMINISTRATOR

December 22, 1976

Honorable William H. Hudnut, III Mayor City of Indianapolis Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Dear Mayor Hudnut:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects to compare the opportunities offered and the needs which were fulfilled. In addition, members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see and hear first hand the merits of each of the proposed projects. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as soon as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we will be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.

It is my understanding that during the recent site visit to Indianapolis, my staff was informed by several city and business community leaders of their interest in undertaking a feasibility study to explore the potentials of a DPM and that depending on a favorable outcome of such a study they would then be in a position to fully support the city's application.

Should you wish to pursue this avenue further, UMTA will approve an application for a technical study grant request to perform such a feasibility study.

Finally, I want to say on a personal note that I particularly regret not being able to move forward with your application given the great leadership which Senator Bayh has provided in helping to sponsor the DPM project and in all the legislation having to do with mass transit. UMTA hopes to continue to benefit from his farsighted leadership in the conduct of our program.

Ment E - Patricele



3 3 2 1976

Honorable Fred Hofheinz Mayor City of Houston Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mayor Hofheinz:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. I am pleased to inform you that the City of Houston has been selected as one of four sites for a demonstration of the feasibility of a fully automated people mover system in your downtown.

We were especially impressed in the case of Houston with the city building and shaping nature of your people mover proposal, with your well developed transit and auto intercept plan, and with your desire to stimulate redevelopment in the northern portion of the Central Business District. Your proposal for capital financing, involving in part a \$10 million UMTA loan secured by a pledge of the City and by lease revenues from the system, was a further major factor in our consideration and this approval action assumes implementation of that combined loan and grant approach.

You are now requested to submit a two-phase capital grant application for engineering and construction funding in accordance with the guidelines contained in our DPM Program Plan. I must stress, however, that until all legal and environmental requirements have been met, we cannot provide funding beyond the preliminary engineering and environmental analysis stages. Nonetheless, this action does reflect an UMTA commitment in principle to move to construction financing subject to resolution of the above issues and subject to the availability of funds.

We are looking forward to working with you in carrying out this innovative and nationally important project. Should you have any questions concerning your next steps, please do not hesitate to call Mr. Steven A. Barsony, Director, Office of AGT Applications, at (202) 426-2896.

Since pely,

Robert E. Patricelli

Copy to: Barry M. Goodman City of Houston



THE ADMINISTRATOR

DE 22 15

Mr. Julian F. Hirst City Manager 1101 City Hall Norfolk, Virginia 23501

Dear Mr. Hirst:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects, and members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see first-hand the merits of each proposal. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we may be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.

18hul a. / alice



THE ADMINISTRATOR

DEC. 2 2 1976

Honorable John H. Poelker Mayor of St. Louis 3869 Park Avenue St. Louis, Missouri 63110

Dear Mayor Poelker:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects, and members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see first-hand the merits of each proposal. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we may be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.



THE ADMINISTRATOR

DE. 3 4 MT.

Mr. Julian F. Hirst City Manager 1101 City Hall Norfolk, Virginia 23501

Dear Mr. Hirst:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects, and members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see first-hand the merits of each proposal. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we may be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.



DER d > 1000

Honorable Ralph J. Perk Mayor City of Cleveland Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dear Mayor Perk:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. I am pleased to inform you that the City of Cleveland has been selected as one of four sites for a demonstration of the feasibility of a fully automated people mover system in your downtown.

We were especially impressed in the case of Cleveland with the need for providing improved downtown circulation links for the region's rapid transit system as a means of increasing usage of that system, and by the likely benefits in terms of increased retail sales and project rentals which such improved circulation could produce. During the course of engineering, we will be interested in progress made by the City, in conjunction with other public and private interests, in making immediate improvements in existing downtown circulation through the use of transportation systems management techniques, and in moving ahead with proposed development and redevelopment proposals.

You are now requested to submit a two-phase capital grant application for engineering and construction funding in accordance with the guidelines contained in our DPM Program Plan. I must stress, however, that until all legal and environmental requirements have been met, we cannot provide funding beyond the preliminary engineering and environmental analysis stages. Nonetheless, this action does reflect an UMTA commitment in principle to move to construction financing subject to resolution of the above issues and subject to the availability of funds,

We are looking forward to working with you in carrying out this innovative and nationally important project. Should you have any questions concerning your next steps, please do not hesitate to call Mr. Steven A Barsony, Director, Office of AGT Applications, at (202) 426-2896.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Patricelli

Copy to: Dale R. Finley

President, Board of Trustees Regional Transit Authority



3 3 2 1976

Honorable Fred Hofheinz Mayor City of Houston Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mayor Hofheinz:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. I am pleased to inform you that the City of Houston has been selected as one of four sites for a demonstration of the feasibility of a fully automated people mover system in your downtown.

We were especially impressed in the case of Houston with the city building and shaping nature of your people mover proposal, with your well developed transit and auto intercept plan, and with your desire to stimulate redevelopment in the northern portion of the Central Business District. Your proposal for capital financing, involving in part a, \$10 million UMTA loan secured by a pledge of the City and by lease revenues from the system, was a further major factor in our consideration and this approval action assumes implementation of that combined loan and grant approach.

You are now requested to submit a two-phase capital grant application for engineering and construction funding in accordance with the guidelines contained in our DPM Program Plan. I must stress, however, that until all legal and environmental requirements have been met, we cannot provide funding beyond the preliminary engineering and environmental analysis stages. Nonetheless, this action does reflect an UMTA commitment in principle to move to construction financing subject to resolution of the above issues and subject to the availability of funds.

We are looking forward to working with you in carrying out this innovative and nationally important project. Should you have any questions concerning your next steps, please do not hesitate to call Mr. Steven A. Barsony, Director, Office of AGT Applications, at (202) 426-2896.

Since yely,

Robert E. Patricelli

Copy to: Barry M. Goodman City of Houston



THE ADMINISTRATOR

December 22, 1976

Honorable William H. Hudnut, III Mayor City of Indianapolis Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Dear Mayor Hudnut:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects to compare the opportunities offered and the needs which were fulfilled. In addition, members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see and hear first hand the merits of each of the proposed projects. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as soon as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we will be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.

It is my understanding that during the recent site visit to Indianapolis, my staff was informed by several city and business community leaders of their interest in undertaking a feasibility study to explore the potentials of a DPM and that depending on a favorable outcome of such a study they would then be in a position to fully support the city's application.

Should you wish to pursue this avenue further, UMTA will approve an application for a technical study grant request to perform such a feasibility study.

Finally, I want to say on a personal note that I particularly regret not being able to move forward with your application given the great leadership which Senator Bayh has provided in helping to sponsor the DPM project and in all the legislation having to do with mass transit. UMTA hopes to continue to benefit from his farsighted leadership in the conduct of our program.

Ment E - latricel



. 933

Honorable George Latimer Mayor City Hall St. Paul, Minnesota 55102

Dear Mayor Latimer:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. I am pleased to inform you that the City of St. Paul has been selected as one of four sites for a demonstration of the feasibility of a fully automated people mover system in your downtown.

We were especially impressed in the case of St. Paul with the prospect of major new development commitments which could be triggered by the people mover, by the prospect of important value capture revenues for the system, and by the technical and implementation capacity of the Metropolitan Transit Commission. Given the fact that new development stimulus was a major factor in our decision, we ask that you furnish evidence within 90 days that private investment commitments outlined in correspondence to us have in fact been made.

With respect to your specific proposal, we do question the desirability of extending the people mover past the Associated Hospitals complex into the Historic Hills District. The cost of that segment, combined with its relatively low ridership and the presence of alternative bus service, means that UMTA will wish to consider with you, during the course of engineering, whether that additional segment should be built. Finally, we want to insure that the people mover proposal is tied to an aggressive, comprehensive parking and transportation systems management program, in order to stimulate use of the people mover system. We ask that such a coordinated plan be developed during the preliminary engineering stage.

You are now requested to submit a two-phase capital grant application for engineering and construction funding in accordance with the guidelines contained in our DPM Program Plan. I must stress, however, that until all legal and environmental requirements have been met, we cannot provide funding beyond the preliminary engineering and environmental analysis stages. Nonetheless, this action does reflect an UMTA commitment in principle to move to construction financing subject to resolution of the above issues and subject to the availability of funds.

We are looking forward to working with you in carrying out this innovative and nationally important project. Should you have any questions concerning your next steps, please do not hesitate to call Mr. Steven A. Barsony, Director, Office of AGT Applications, at (2021 426-2896.

Sincerely, Strail!

Robert E. Patricelli

Copies to: Wendell R. Anderson Governor

State of Minnesota

Doug Kelm

Metropolitan Transit Commission



THE ADMINISTRATOR

DEC. 2 2 1976.

Honorable John H. Poelker Mayor of St. Louis 3869 Park Avenue St. Louis, Missouri 63110

Dear Mayor Poelker:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects, and members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see first-hand the merits of each proposal. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we may be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.



DE1 2 0 1976

Honorable Hans G. Tanzler, Jr. Mayor of Jacksonville 220 East Bay Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202

Dear Mayor Tanzler:

We have completed our evaluation of the eleven finalist Downtown People Mover (DPM) proposals. In this evaluation process we performed a comprehensive analysis of each of the proposed projects, and members of my senior staff have visited each of the sites to see first-hand the merits of each proposal. This stage of the evaluation process was extremely difficult, since all eleven of the finalists presented projects which had a great deal of merit. I must regretfully inform you that your proposal was not successful, in the intense competition with the other applicants, in surviving this final round of the evaluation process.

Let me hasten to add that our decision reflects no judgment on whether a people mover in your city might be a sound investment; our conclusion is limited to a finding that other applications were judged to be superior in certain respects. We regret that the very nature of this demonstration program prevents us from supporting all promising applicants at this time. However, as we receive encouraging results from these DPM demonstration projects or other urban deployments of automated systems, we may be in a position to entertain capital assistance grant applications for further deployments of DPMs.



.