

Evaluation Results for Intelligent Transport Systems

R. Brown, J. Miles and A. Stevens



Outline

- Criteria for evaluating ITS projects
 - European projects and CONVERGE VQ
 - The case for UK Traffic Control Centres
- Examples of evaluation results for:
 - Traveller information systems
 - Urban traffic management systems
 - Highway traffic management
- Conclusions



Categories of Assessment: CONVERGE-VQ

- Technical assessment
- User acceptance assessment
- Impact assessment
- Socio-economic evaluation
- Financial assessment

And

Market assessment

The CONVERGE-VQ approach



Stakeholders in TCC information service



Types of information from TCC



The economic case for UK Traffic Control Centres

- Traditional cost-benefit approach to assessment
- 'Defensible and conservative' estimate of benefits that could be readily quantified
- Based on extrapolating evidence from a variety of sources
- Operational risk to be shared between public and private sectors
- Contract for service delivery will require evaluation of impacts for performance measurement

Traveller information systems

- Midlands Driver Information System
 - payback period 27 months
- National Driver Information System in Scotland
 - 9 incidents saved £150,000 in 1994
- London bus passenger information
 - 1% increase in patronage



National Driver Information System, Scotland

Urban traffic management systems

SCOOT Urban Traffic Control

- Journey times reduced by around 30%
- Access Control Edinburgh
 - 3% less traffic
 - Speed reduced 2-3 mph
 - 34% less accidents
- Public Transport Priority London
 - Bus delays down 22% 33%
 - Journey times down 7% 8%



Bus Priority with SCOOT

Highway traffic management systems

Speed Control (M25)

- 5% increase in peak flow
- 25% 28% less accidents

Ramp metering

- Birmingham: 44% first year rate of return
- Glasgow and Birmingham: 3-4% increase in traffic throughput on main line



Ramp metering

Conclusions

- Systematic evaluation is important in investment decisions – use 6 categories of assessment
- Evaluation of UK ITS schemes:
 - positive local benefits
 - qualified by disbenefits elsewhere
 - focus on scheme objectives, not disbenefits
- Complementary applications increase benefits
- Need more research on user responses
- Evaluation methods must include intangible costs

How to obtain your copy of the paper

If you would like a copy of the written version of the paper, please email John Miles, at Ankerbold International Ltd:

jcm@ankerbold.co.uk