			Technical	<b>Report Documentation Page</b>
1. Report No. FHWA/TX-16/0-6806-TTI-BS2	2. Government Accession	n No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.
4. Title and Subtitle THE BENEFITS OF TRANSPORT TEXAS	TATION INVEST	MENT IN	5. Report Date February 2017 6. Performing Organiz	ation Code
7. Author(s) David Ellis and Bill Stockton			8. Performing Organiz Report 0-6806-	ation Report No. TTI-BS2
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas A&M Transportation Institut	e		10. Work Unit No. (TR	AIS)
College Station, Texas 77845-5155			Project 0-6806-	TTI
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation Research and Technology Implement	n ntation Office		13. Type of Report and Technical Repo September 2013	Period Covered ort: 3–August 2017
Austin, Texas 78701-2483			14. Sponsoring Agency	Code
<ul> <li><sup>15. Supplementary Notes</sup></li> <li>Project performed in cooperation w Administration.</li> <li>Project Title: TxDOT Administration</li> <li>URL: http://tti.tamu.edu/documents</li> </ul>	ith the Texas Depar on Research /0-6806-TTI-BS2.1	rtment of Transpor odf	tation and the Fee	deral Highway
16. Abstract				
This report highlights the benefits a the next decade, Texans will invest an estimated \$373 billion.	nd return on invest \$131 billion in stat	ment of transportat ewide infrastructur	tion funding in Te re with a total eco	exas. In total, over nomic benefit of
<sup>17. Key Words</sup> Infrastructure, Financing, Mobility Fund, Investment, Highway Construction, Maintenance		18. Distribution Statemer No restrictions. T public through N National Technic Alexandria, Virg	t This document is a TIS: cal Information Se inia	available to the ervice
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of the	nis page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of complet	ted page authorized		10	

#### THE BENEFITS OF TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT IN TEXAS

by

David Ellis Senior Research Scientist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

and

Bill Stockton Senior Research Fellow Texas A&M Transportation Institute

#### Report 0-6806-TTI-BS2 Project 0-6806-TTI Project Title: The Benefits of Transportation Investment in Texas

Performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration

February 2017

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE College Station, Texas 77843-3135

## DISCLAIMER

This research was performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of the FHWA or TxDOT. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was conducted in cooperation with TxDOT and FHWA. The authors thank Kevin Pete, Project Director and members of the Project Monitoring Committee, state and federal sponsors.

# THE BENEFITS OF TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT IN TEXAS

- Since 1921, Texans have invested \$151 billion in roadway construction and maintenance on the state system, over \$514 billion in 2015 dollars.<sup>1,2,3</sup>
- It is estimated the \$514 billion investment in roadway construction and maintenance has returned approximately \$3.2 trillion in benefit to the State.<sup>4</sup> It should be noted, however, that this calculation implies that absent the investment that has been made, commerce in the 21<sup>st</sup> century could be somehow conducted with what, in effect, would be an early 20<sup>th</sup> century roadway network. In fact, it could not. In reality, the Texas economy would be markedly smaller with far fewer jobs, income, and opportunity without the transportation investment that has occurred.
- The chart below shows historical and projected investment and return on highway construction and maintenance in Texas when expenditures are adjusted for both construction inflation and population growth. The chart indicates the rapid increase in per capita expenditures resulting from the construction of the interstate system in the 1960s and the impact of the state motor fuels tax increases in the late 1980s and early 1990s. The spike in planned expenditures in 2016 and beyond is shown as is the gradual decline in per capita expenditures due to continued population growth and construction inflation.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nominal investment was converted to constant dollar investment using construction cost indices developed by the Federal Highway Administration for the years 1921 through 1997. For the period 1998 through 2015, the Texas Highway Cost Index was used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In nominal dollars. Source: Highway Statistics, Federal Highway Administration and Texas Department of Transportation Cash Flow Forecasts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Includes construction, maintenance, engineering, and right-of-way costs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Calculated using methodology employed by Nadiri and Mamuneas. *Contribution of Highway Capital to Industry and National Productivity Growth*. Federal Highway Administration, Office of Policy Development, Work Order Number BAT-94-008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For the period 2016 through 2025, a 3 percent annual construction inflation rate was assumed.



Sources: Federal Highway Administration Highway Statistics publications, Texas Department of Transportation Cash Flow Forecast, Texas A&M Transportation Institute.

- ➢ For the period 2010 to 2015, Texans invested \$40 billion in state roadway infrastructure. As a result of the investment made, the total economic benefit is estimated to be \$115 billion for the period 2010 to 2015.<sup>6</sup>
- Benefits that occur as a result of infrastructure investment manifest themselves in many ways. The following table provides an estimate of benefits by type as a result of infrastructure investment from 2010 to 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Benefits were estimated using TREDIS model methodologies on a sample of 137 project benefit/cost analysis performed for TxDOT over the past year. These sample projects were segregated into rural and urban projects and then multiplier values were calculated for sample.

Total Investment	\$40.4	billion
BENEFITS	Am	ount
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	\$1.4	billion
Business Time and Reliability Savings	\$2.9	billion
Personal Time and Reliability Savings	\$3.0	billion
Safety Benefits	\$4.9	billion
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$0.8	billion
Environmental Benefits	\$0.0	billion
Business Output	\$70.2	billion
Positive Economic Effect of Wage Income	\$31.8	billion
Total Benefits	\$115.0	billion

- In total, over the next decade, Texans will invest \$131 billion in statewide infrastructure with a total economic benefit of an estimated \$373 billion.<sup>7</sup>
- The following table provides an estimate of benefits by type due to infrastructure investment that will occur from 2016 to 2025 as a result of the additional revenue provided by the legislature in 2015.

Total Investment	\$131.0	billion
BENEFITS	Amo	unt
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	\$4.5	billion
Business Time and Reliability Savings	\$9.4	billion
Personal Time and Reliability Savings	\$9.6	billion
Safety Benefits	\$15.8	billion
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$2.7	billion
Environmental Benefits	\$0.1	billion
Business Output	\$227.9	billion
Positive Economic Effect of Wage Income	\$103.2	billion
Total Benefits	\$373.1	billion

It is estimated that Texans will invest over \$39 billion for the period 2016 through 2025 from revenue and recapture measures passed during the 2015 Legislative session.<sup>8</sup> The estimated economic impact of the increased investment is \$111 billion. (Note: The \$39 billion in incremental investment is included in the \$131 billion investment in the preceding table.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Investment amount includes traditional spending from Fund 006, bond proceeds, Mobility Fund bond proceeds, new revenues, and recapture savings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Includes estimated revenue from Proposition 1 and Proposition 7 plus revenue recapture savings. Data provided by TxDOT.



Texas A&M Transportation Institute 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135

David Ellis, Ph.D. Brianne Glover, J.D. 979-845-6165; 979-458-0919 <u>d-ellis@tamu.edu</u> <u>b-glover@tamu.edu</u>

#### Benefit Cost Analysis of Early Letting with Debt Finance

This document provides a response to the question posed by Commissioner Austin comparing the benefits and costs of constructing roadway projects early with debt financing as opposed to delaying construction to the programmed letting date. The Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) began by selecting a representative sample of projects from around the state. Projects that add additional capacity to the major metropolitan areas of Dallas- Fort Worth, Houston, San Antonio, and El Paso were selected as well as additional capacity projects from the urban areas of Laredo, Abilene, and Sherman.

A benefit- cost analysis was conducted for each project by assuming a shovel-ready start date of 2016 along with current traffic and congestion levels. Each project assumed a four year construction period and a 30 year life cycle. The benefits captured in the analysis were those that would accumulate between the early start of operations and the programmed start of operations (see Area A below).



The benefits calculated include vehicle operating cost savings, both business and personal time savings, safety, logistics cost savings, environmental benefits, increased business efficiencies, business profits, aggregate economic activity economy wide, and the construction cost inflation saved. These benefits were offset by the cost of the funds produced by financing the project. The cost of funds estimated include the estimated bond issuance cost and interest accumulated on a 20 year bond.

The following pages analyze the two alternatives for 10 selected projects. Also included is a graph that shows the cost of funds compared to the cost of construction utilizing the current estimated borrowing cost and construction inflation costs.



I-35 from I-410 N to the Guadalup	e/ Bexar County Line
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$618
Analysis Start Year	2016
Scheduled Start Year	2020
Benefits and Costs P	resent Value (2016 \$ mil)
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$12
Interest (4%)	\$297
Total Cost of Funds	\$309
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	\$8
Business Time and Reliability Cost Savings	\$5
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Savings	\$4
Safety Benefits	\$22
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$2
Environmental Benefits	Minimal
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$742
Business Profit/ Income	\$48
Aggregate Economic Activity Economy Wid	e \$113
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$85
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$1,029
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$720
Benefit/Cost Ratio	3.3

#### San Antonio District

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

- 7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.
- 8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

I-820 from I-20 to Me	adowbrook Dr.
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$416
Analysis Start Year	2016
Scheduled Start Year	2023
Benefits and Costs	Present Value (2016 \$ mil)
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$8
Interest (4%)	\$200
Total Cost of Funds	\$208
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	\$5
Business Time and Reliability Cost Sav	vings \$4
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Sav	vings \$4
Safety Benefits	\$20
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$1
Environmental Benefits	Minimal
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$1,198
Business Profit/ Income	\$78
Aggregate Economic Activity Economy	Wide \$182
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$103
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$1,595
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$1,387
Benefit/Cost Ratio	7.7

## **Fort Worth District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

- 7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.
- 8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

I-610 from I-69	to I-10 W
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$310
Analysis Start Year	2016
Scheduled Start Year	2021
Benefits and Costs	Present Value (2016 \$ mil)
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$6
Interest (4%)	\$149
Total Cost of Funds	\$155
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	\$22
Business Time and Reliability Cost Sav	vings \$13
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Sav	vings \$15
Safety Benefits	\$19
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$3
Environmental Benefits	Minimal
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$521
Business Profit/ Income	\$34
Aggregate Economic Activity Economy	y Wide \$79
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$55
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$761
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$606
Benefit/Cost Ratio	4.9

#### **Houston District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

- 7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.
- 8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

I-69 from I-45 to	SH 288
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$174
Analysis Start Year	2016
Scheduled Start Year	2021
Benefits and Costs P	resent Value (2016 \$ mil)
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$3
Interest (4%)	\$83
Total Cost of Funds	\$86
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	\$1
Business Time and Reliability Cost Saving	ngs \$1
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Savin	ngs \$1
Safety Benefits	\$4
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	Minimal
Environmental Benefits	Minimal
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$292
Business Profit/ Income	\$19
Aggregate Economic Activity Economy	Wide \$44
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$31
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$393
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$307
Benefit/Cost Ratio	4.6

#### **Houston District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

- 7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.
- 8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

I-35 from US 183 to Riverside Dr.	
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$576
Analysis Start Year	2016
Scheduled Start Year	2021
Benefits and Costs Present Value	(2016 \$ mil)
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$12
Interest (4%)	\$277
Total Cost of Funds	\$289
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	\$15
Business Time and Reliability Cost Savings	\$10
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Savings	\$10
Safety Benefits	\$29
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$3
Environmental Benefits	Minimal
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$968
Business Profit/ Income	\$63
Aggregate Economic Activity Economy Wide	\$147
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$102
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$1,347
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$1,058
Benefit/Cost Ratio	4.7

## **Austin District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.

8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

FM 664 from US 287 in Waxahachie	to Westmoreland Dr.	
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$237	
Analysis Start Year	2016	
Scheduled Start Year	2024	
Benefits and Costs	Present Value (2016 \$ mil)	
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$5	
Interest (4%)	\$114	
Total Cost of Funds	\$119	
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	Minimal	
Business Time and Reliability Cost Savings	\$1	
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Savings	\$1	
Safety Benefits	\$3	
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	Minimal	
Environmental Benefits	Minimal	
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$853	
Business Profit/ Income	\$55	
Aggregate Economic Activity Economy Wie	de \$130	
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$66	
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$1,109	
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$990	
Benefit/Cost Ratio	9.3	

#### **Dallas District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.

8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

US 62 from West of Global Read	h to West of Loop 375
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$193
Analysis Start Year	2016
Scheduled Start Year	2019
Benefits and Costs	Present Value (2016 \$ mil)
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$4
Interest (4%)	\$93
Total Cost of Funds	\$97
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	Minimal
Business Time and Reliability Cost Saving	ngs \$1
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Savings	
Safety Benefits	\$2
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	Minimal
Environmental Benefits	Minimal
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$154
Business Profit/ Income	\$10
Aggregate Economic Activity Economy	Wide \$23
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$20
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$211
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$114
Benefit/Cost Ratio	2.2

#### **El Paso District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.

8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

I-35 from South of Uniroy	al to North of US 83
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$84
Analysis Start Year	2016
Scheduled Start Year	2021
Benefits and Costs	Present Value (2016 \$ mil)
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$2
Interest (4%)	\$40
Total Cost of Funds	\$42
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	Minimal
Business Time and Reliability Cost Sa	vings \$1
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Sa	vings \$1
Safety Benefits	\$5
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$1
Environmental Benefits	Minimal
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$141
Business Profit/ Income	\$9
Aggregate Economic Activity Econom	y Wide \$21
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$15
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$194
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$152
Benefit/Cost Ratio	4.6

#### **Laredo District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.

8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

US 75 from South of Center St. in Sherman to Travis St.		
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$75	
Analysis Start Year	2016	
Scheduled Start Year	2029	
Benefits and Costs	Present Value (2016 \$ mil)	
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$2	
Interest (4%)	\$36	
Total Cost of Funds	\$38	
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	Minimal	
Business Time and Reliability Cost Sa	vings \$1	
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Sa	vings \$1	
Safety Benefits	\$4	
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	Minimal	
Environmental Benefits	Minimal	
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$630	
Business Profit/ Income	\$41	
Aggregate Economic Activity Econom	y Wide \$96	
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$33	
Total Benefits of Early Letting	\$806	
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$768	
Benefit/Cost Ratio	21.2	

#### **Paris District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.

8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

I-20 from Abilene West City Limits to Catclaw Creek		
Project Cost (2016 \$ mil)	\$35	
Analysis Start Year	2016	
Scheduled Start Year	2030	
Benefits and Costs	Present Value (2016 \$ mil)	
Issuance Cost (2%)	\$1	
Interest (4%)	\$17	
Total Cost of Funds	\$18	
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings	Minimal	
Business Time and Reliability Cost Savings\$2		
Personal Time and Reliability Cost Savings \$1		
Safety Benefits	\$8	
Logistics/Freight Cost Savings	\$2	
Environmental Benefits	Minimal	
Increased Business Efficiencies	\$336	
Business Profit/ Income	\$22	
Aggregate Economic Activity Econ	omy Wide \$51	
Construction Cost Inflation Saved	\$17	
Total Benefits of Early Letting\$440		
Total Benefits Less Cost of Funds	\$422	
Benefit/Cost Ratio	24.8	

## **Abilene District**

Notes<sup>1</sup>:

1. Vehicle operating costs include, but are not limited to: fuel, purchase payments, insurance premiums, tires, and repairs.

2. Business time and reliability cost savings are the business cost of labor for professional drivers and paid crew as well as the cost of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

3. Personal time and reliability cost savings are the user valuation of the average passenger's time and the value of lost scheduling time due to unreliable travel conditions.

4. Logistics/freight savings represent the time and shipping cost savings to industries that produce or consume the freight goods on the trucks accounted for in the project.

5. Environmental factors include the cost savings of air pollution and greenhouse gasses per VMT.

6. Increased business efficiencies assumes an 8% rate of return.

7. Median business profit/income is assumed to be 6.5%.

8. Aggregate economic activity economy wide assumes a 5.7% savings rate.

9. A minimum 1.0 mph speed improvement is assumed for all speed improvements less than 1.0 mph.

10. Accident rates (fatalities, personal injury, and property damage) per 100m VMT were reduced by 10 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TREDIS<sup>®</sup> Data Sources and Default Values, Version 4.0

