# Intelligent Transportation Systems for Improving Traffic Energy Efficiency and Reducing GHG Emissions from Roadways

November 2015

A White Paper from the National Center for Sustainable Transportation

Matthew Barth, Guoyuan Wu, and Kanok Boriboonsomsin Center for Environmental Research and Technology Bourns College of Engineering University of California, Riverside





# **U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Disclaimer**

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the United States Department of Transportation's University Transportation Centers program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

# **Acknowledgments**

This study was funded by a grant from the National Center for Sustainable Transportation (NCST), supported by the U.S. Department of Transportation (U.S. DOT) through the University Transportation Centers program. The author would like to thank the NCST for their support of university-based research in transportation, and especially for the funding provided in support of this project. The authors would also like to acknowledge other sources for various materials, both in research support and funding, including the California Department of Transportation, and the U.S. DOT's Applications for the Environment: Real-time Information Synthesis (AERIS) connected vehicle research program. Lastly, the authors would also like to acknowledge Xuewei Qi of the University of California, Riverside for his assistance in gathering information used in this white paper.

# **About the National Center for Sustainable Transportation**

The National Center for Sustainable Transportation is a consortium of leading universities committed to advancing an environmentally sustainable transportation system through cutting-edge research, direct policy engagement, and education of our future leaders. Consortium members include: University of California, Davis; University of California, Riverside; University of Southern California; California State University, Long Beach; Georgia Institute of Technology; and University of Vermont. More information can be found at: ncst.ucdavis.edu.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction	3
ITS and Their Impact on Traffic Energy and Emissions	3
Vehicle Systems	3
Traffic Management Systems	4
Travel Information Systems	5
Impact of ITS on Traffic Energy and Emissions	5
Recent Environmental ITS Research Programs in the United States	6
Eco-Traffic Signal Operations	7
Eco-Lanes Operations	9
Recent Environmental ITS Research Programs in the European Union	10
Vehicle Systems	10
Traffic Management Systems	11
Travel Information Systems	11
Research Needs	12
Environment-Mobility-Safety Nexus	12
Induced Travel Demand	12
Conclusions	12
References	1/1

# Intelligent Transportation Systems for Improving Traffic Energy Efficiency and Reducing GHG Emissions from Roadways

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The field of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) has witnessed significantly increased activity in recent years, with the application of modern control, communications, and information technologies to vehicles and roadway infrastructure. In general, ITS can be categorized into three major target areas: Vehicle Systems, Traffic Management Systems, and Travel Information Systems.

The primary objectives of ITS have been focused primarily on improving safety and increasing mobility and associated transportation efficiency. In addition, it is now well known that ITS technology can be used to reduce transportation-related environmental impacts. These environmental impacts include pollutant emissions that lead to poor air quality, as well as energy consumption and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Over the years, we have seen many ITS programs focusing on safety and mobility also having significant environmental benefits. These benefits range widely due to a number of variables such as type of application, technology used, and pre-existing condition before deployment.

In recent years, a number of ITS programs have emerged that are specifically designed to minimize the environmental impacts of transportation. Many of these environmental-ITS programs take advantage of the "connected vehicle" technology that enables vehicles to communicate with each other as well as with roadway infrastructure, and vice versa. These environmental-ITS programs typically show energy and emissions reductions on the order of 5% to 15%.

# **Key Findings**

Many ITS programs focusing on safety and mobility also provide significant environmental benefits. These benefits range widely due to a number of variables such as type of application, technology used, and pre-existing condition before deployment.

Recently emerged environmental-ITS programs that are specifically designed to minimize the environmental impacts of transportation have been shown to reduce energy and emissions on the order of 5% to 15%.

Current environmental-ITS research programs in the United States and the European Union are focused on developing and testing applications that take advantage of "connected vehicle" technology, which enables vehicles to communicate with each other as well as with roadway infrastructure, and vice versa.

Research is needed to improve our understanding of the connection between environment, mobility, and safety performance of any ITS programs. Research is also needed to quantify the potential induced demand effects of ITS program deployment.



Most of the environmental-ITS programs are additive, therefore greater benefits may be achieved when a multitude of these programs are put into place. On the other hand, the mobility and safety impacts of environmental-ITS programs are not currently well understood. As more of these programs are deployed, comprehensive impact assessments of their environmental, mobility, and safety performances are needed.

When planning the deployment of ITS programs that will improve traffic flow and reduce congestion, it is important to also consider any potential induced demand effects, which may result in an overall increase in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) after the ITS programs have been deployed for a certain period of time. Such increase in the amount of travel is undesirable as it may wash out the mobility and environmental benefits of the ITS programs. It may be that to counteract these effects, the ITS programs may have to be coupled with some specific travel demand management measures such as pricing.



### Introduction

Due to their potential to improve roadway safety, reduce traffic congestion, and enhance the mobility of people and goods, Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) have generated considerable enthusiasm in the transportation community. In addition to enhancing safety and mobility, we are now seeing that ITS can play a major role in reducing criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and energy consumption. It is commonly understood that the transportation sector is responsible for nearly one-third of GHG emissions (see, e.g., (1)). In order to reduce these GHG contributions, much of the focus has been put on: 1) improving overall vehicle fuel economy (e.g., using more efficient innovative drivetrains, reducing weight while maintaining safety); 2) using less carbon-intensive fuels (e.g., ethanol, electricity); and 3) managing travel demand (e.g., roadway tolling) and shifting travel to non-motorized modes. In addition, we are now seeing that ITS can be applied as a fourth key component, namely to improve the efficiencies of transportation system operations, thereby reducing overall GHG emissions.

# **ITS and Their Impact on Traffic Energy and Emissions**

ITS consists of a wide variety of technologies and applications, as described in the U.S. National Intelligent Transportation System Architecture (2). In general, ITS can be categorized into three major areas: *Vehicle Systems, Traffic Management Systems, and Travel Information Systems.* These areas and their potential for reducing GHG emissions are briefly introduced below.

### **Vehicle Systems**

Vehicles are now taking advantage of modern control systems, faster on-board processors, and wireless communications to provide features that greatly improve their performance. Examples of emerging vehicle systems include the following:

Longitudinal Assistance Systems are typically used to prevent front-end and rear-end collisions. On-board radar, LiDAR, and computer vision technology monitor headways between vehicles, and by providing feedback to the vehicle's braking system, can help reduce collisions. These sensors are also being used for Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC) systems that not only allow a driver to select a desired speed, but also allow setting the following distance. With the addition of wireless communication capabilities, ACC can evolve into Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control (CACC) where vehicles communicate with each other to "cooperatively" manage following distance, braking, accelerating, and more. CACC is now emerging as a popular area of research.

Lateral Assistance Systems are designed to improve the performance of vehicles during lane changes, merges, or any kind of turning movement. Computer vision technology and other sensors coupled with wireless communications are being deployed to provide lane departure warnings and to warn drivers of pending lateral collisions.



Both longitudinal and lateral control systems are now leading us down the path towards partial and full automation, where vehicles will automatically drive themselves with little input from the drivers.

Wireless Communications Systems are now being deployed in vehicles in a number of different ways. Cellular communication technology is already playing a large role in fleet management applications and vehicle monitoring. In addition, Dedicated Short Range Communication (DSRC) radios will likely be deployed to enable vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) and infrastructure-to-vehicle (I2V) applications that are primarily focused on improving safety. However, mobility and environmental applications will also likely emerge that take advantage of this "connected vehicle" technology.

### **Traffic Management Systems**

Over the last several decades, the total amount of driving, as measured in VMT, has grown significantly, contributing to severe roadway congestion in many urban areas. Building additional infrastructure to handle the increase in travel demand is not always possible. However, a number of ITS-based Traffic Management System solutions can help mitigate congestion, including the following:

*Traffic Monitoring Systems* are improving with better sensor technology, more reliable communication channels, and more advanced information processing capability. In addition to providing transportation managers with better real-time information, new data processing techniques are being developed to estimate traffic flow, density, and speed, as well as other microscopic traffic parameters. This real-time traffic information can be used for better traffic system management and for individual drivers choosing alternative routes, resulting in reduced congestion.

**Traffic Incident Management** techniques are important tools for early detection and rapid removal of incidents (i.e., accidents, disabled vehicles, etc.), so that normal traffic operations recover as quickly as possible.

**Integrated Corridor Management** techniques, such as innovative ramp metering for freeway access ramps, and advanced signal timing algorithms on arterial networks, work together to help keep traffic flowing as smoothly as possible through the corridor, greatly reducing the amount of idling.

**Travel Demand Management** is another critical element of traffic management. By reducing the number of vehicles on a congested roadway or spreading out the peak of traffic volume through pricing and other techniques, traffic flow will improve, resulting in lower GHG emissions.



The overarching goal of traffic management is to take full advantage of capacities of existing roadway infrastructure, thus keeping traffic flowing smoothly at moderate speeds. As such, this will have a large impact in reducing energy consumption and GHG emissions. Traffic management system strategies go even further by reducing the number of vehicles in the transportation system, thereby reducing the total contributions of GHG emissions.

### **Travel Information Systems**

To make things more convenient to drivers, a wide variety of information systems for travelers have recently evolved. Examples of this technology include the following:

**Route Guidance Systems** have greatly improved in recent years. They include on-board, off-board, and smartphone-based systems. These navigation systems now use geographic and real-time traffic information and can select optimal routes in a roadway network from specific origins to specific destinations. These systems attempt to minimize some criteria, such as travel time, travel distance, or even GHG emissions.

*Geo-Location Systems* are typically coupled with route guidance systems to allow users to find specific locations, cutting down on excessive driving (e.g., searching for a gasoline filling station, open parking space, etc.).

**Electronic Payment Systems** are becoming increasingly prevalent. They enable payment of tolls and fees without stopping the vehicle to complete the financial transaction.

All of these systems add convenience for the traveler while reducing GHG emissions. As described above, a route guidance system will cut back on unnecessary travel that may occur when a driver gets lost or chooses a long, out-of-the-way path. En-route driver information can result in reduced GHG emissions associated with driving around, searching for these specific goals. Electronic payment systems eliminate the need for a driver to decelerate the vehicle, idle while a manual transaction takes place, then accelerate the vehicle back to a desired speed. If this payment can occur without slowing down, GHG emissions are reduced.

### Impact of ITS on Traffic Energy and Emissions

All of the ITS areas described above have the potential for indirectly reducing GHG emissions through improvements in safety, mobility, and driver convenience. The actual GHG emission reductions will vary significantly, depending on many factors, including vehicle fleet mix, traffic volume and dynamics, and the type of roadway network and infrastructure. A variety of these ITS applications have been designed and modeled, and several have been piloted and implemented in different locations. In order to determine the overall effectiveness of these applications, different performance measures are estimated – usually mobility measures such as improvements in average travel time or reductions in network delay. In some cases, environmental factors are also estimated. One straightforward method is to monitor the changes in average travel times (and therefore average speeds), normalized by the total amount of traffic. In general, if overall traffic conditions move from a congested regime (e.g.,



average speeds below 30 mph) to a less congested regime (speeds greater than 30 mph), then emission reductions per vehicle can be estimated using speed-emission factor curves, such as Figure 3 of (3). As an example, traffic smoothing techniques have been shown in (4) to result in a 10% to 20% CO<sub>2</sub> reduction.

In addition to the ITS applications described above, a number of ITS research programs have emerged that have been specifically designed to minimize transportation GHG emissions. The next section of this white paper describes several of these recent ITS programs that are targeting the energy and environmental impacts of transportation.

# **Recent Environmental ITS Research Programs in the United States**

In the last decade, the U.S. Department of Transportation (U.S. DOT) has initiated a variety of environmentally focused ITS research programs. Many of these are part of the Federal Highway Administration Exploratory Advanced Research program (FHWA EAR, see (5)). As an example, in the ITS vehicle systems area, researchers at the University of California, Berkeley have investigated both ACC and CACC and their impacts on mobility and the environment (6). Furthermore, as an example in the area of traffic management systems, there is an ongoing EAR project on Advanced Traffic Signal Control Algorithms, where several algorithms have been developed specifically for reducing energy use and emissions (see, e.g., (7)). Results from these studies show GHG emission reductions in the range of 5% to 10%.

In addition to the EAR program, the U.S. DOT has a major University Transportation Centers program (UTC, see (8)), where university faculty, staff and students across the U.S. work on a number of advanced transportation research projects. A number of UTCs focus on sustainability, and several of those are investigating the environmental impacts of ITS (see, e.g., (9) and (10)). Some example projects from these centers include examining eco-driving techniques and freight signal priority for heavy-duty vehicles (typically 5% to 8% reductions, see e.g., (11)), and how variable speed limits can be used to reduce transportation energy consumption and improve vehicle mobility (12).

As another major effort, the U.S. DOT has a long-term research program in connected vehicles. One of the foundational elements of the connected vehicle research effort in the environment area is the Applications for the Environment: Real-time Information Synthesis (AERIS) program (13). Using vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) and infrastructure-to-vehicle (I2V) communications, the goal of the AERIS program is to design ITS applications that aim to reduce energy consumption and emissions. Several AERIS operational concepts have been developed, including: 1) Eco-Signal Operations; 2) Eco-Lanes; 3) Dynamic Low Emissions Zones; 4) Support for Alternative Fuel Vehicle (AFV) Operations; 5) Eco-Traveler Information and 6) Eco-Integrated Corridor Management (ICM).



Early in the AERIS program, several exploratory research projects conducted by a number of U.S. researchers investigated new concepts across the different areas of ITS, including the following:

### **Vehicle Systems**

- Developing and Evaluating Intelligent Eco-Drive Applications, carried out by researchers at Virginia Tech (14). This project focused on the development of an eco-ACC system and evaluated the network-wide impacts of such systems for different levels of market penetration and network configurations. Results showed GHG emission reductions up to 49%
- Assessment, Fusion, and Modeling of Commercial Vehicle Engine Control Unit Data, carried out by Calmar Telematics (with UC Riverside) (15). This project investigated the use of real-time, onboard data to calculate environmental performance measures based partly upon the team's Comprehensive Modal Emissions Model (CMEM).

### **Traffic Management Systems**

- Eco-Speed Control Using V2I communications also carried out by Virginia Tech (14). In this project, innovative eco-adaptive signal control algorithms were developed and tested. These systems were modeled using traffic simulation software and tested for different roadway configurations.
- Eco-Friendly Intelligent Transportation Systems (ECO-ITS), carried out by UC Riverside (16). This project built on previous ECO-ITS research in predicting second-by-second fuel consumption and tailpipe emissions for different environmental-ITS applications and strategies, such as advanced traffic signalization.

### **Travel Information Systems**

 An Evaluation of Likely Environmental Benefits of Lowest Fuel Consumption Route Guidance in the Buffalo-Niagara Metropolitan Area, carried out by the University at Buffalo (17). This study assessed the likely environmental benefits of a new application for an environmentally optimized route guidance system for medium-sized metropolitan areas. Results showed GHG emission reductions in the range of 5% to 10%.

After these initial exploratory projects, the AERIS operational concepts were further developed and defined. The main AERIS applications that were evaluated in detail are the Eco-Traffic Signal Operational concept, including the applications of Eco-Approach and Departure at Signalized Intersections, Eco-Traffic Signal Timing, and Eco-Traffic Signal Priority, as well as the Eco-Lanes Operational concept, which includes the applications of Eco-Speed Harmonization and Eco-Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control. These applications are described in further detail below.

### **Eco-Traffic Signal Operations**

There has been a good deal of research in eco-traffic signal operations around the world. These operations use ITS technologies to decrease fuel consumption and GHG emissions on arterial roadways by reducing idling, the number of stops, and unnecessary accelerations and



decelerations at signalized intersections. Prior to the emergence of connected vehicles, ecotraffic signal operations were primarily focused on synchronizing traffic signals to improve traffic flow, thereby reducing GHG emissions. By taking advantage of V2I/I2V communications, a number of other signalization strategies emerge, three of which are described below.

Eco-Approach and Departure at Signalized Intersections — This application uses wireless data communications sent from the traffic signal controller to connected vehicles to encourage "green" approaches to signalized intersections. This includes broadcasting Signal Phase and Timing (SPaT) data and a Geographic Information Description (GID) or MAP data. Vehicle status messages, sent from nearby vehicles using V2V communications, are also considered by the application. Upon receiving this information, an on-board processor can then calculate an optimal speed trajectory to approach, pass through, and depart from a signalized intersection. This speed trajectory can be provided as advice to the driver through a human-machine interface, or directly to the vehicle's longitudinal controller (such as the ACC system). The general strategy is to have the vehicle change its speed to pass the upcoming traffic signal on green or to decelerate to a stop in the most eco-friendly manner. This application also considers a vehicle's acceleration as it departs from a signalized intersection and engine start-stop technology as a vehicle is stopped at a traffic signal. In terms of results, the AERIS program has shown that there is a 2% to 7% energy savings for all vehicles and that the application is less effective when the corridor is congested (see, e.g., (18), (19)).

Eco-Traffic Signal Timing — This application is similar to current adaptive traffic signal systems; however, the application's objective is to optimize traffic signals for the environment. The application collects data from vehicles, such as vehicle location, speed, GHG and other emissions using connected vehicle technologies. It then processes these data to develop signal timing strategies that are focused on reducing fuel consumption and overall emissions at an intersection, along a corridor, or for a region. The application evaluates traffic and environmental parameters at each intersection in real time and adapts so that the traffic network is optimized using available green time to serve the actual traffic demands while minimizing the environmental impact. In terms of results, the AERIS program has shown that there is a 1% to 5.5% energy savings and that the application is effective in most conditions other than full saturation (20).

**Eco-Traffic Signal Priority** — This application allows either transit or freight vehicles approaching a signalized intersection to request signal priorities. This application considers the vehicle's location, speed, vehicle type (e.g., Alternative Fuel Vehicles) and associated GHG and other emissions to determine whether priority should be granted. Information collected from vehicles approaching the intersection, such as a transit vehicle's adherence to its schedule, or the number of passengers on the transit vehicle may also be considered in granting priority. If priority is granted, the traffic signal would attempt to hold the green or terminate the red on the approach to facilitate the transit/freight vehicle passing through the intersection without stopping. This application does not consider signal preemption, which is reserved for



emergency response vehicles. In terms of results, the AERIS program has shown that there is a 1% to 4% energy savings for all freight vehicles and a 1% to 2% savings for transit vehicles (20).

### **Eco-Lanes Operations**

This concept uses connected vehicle technologies to decrease vehicle fuel consumption and emissions by reducing congestion and unnecessary accelerations/decelerations, improving traffic flow, and encouraging greener driving behavior. It is envisioned that this could occur either on a single lane or across multiple lanes on a freeway. This Eco-Lane concept can consist of a number of different applications that are specifically set up for a freeway scenario:

**Eco-Lanes Management** — This application supports the operation of dynamic eco-lanes, including establishing qualifications for entering the lanes, defining activation periods or geofencing the eco-lanes' boundaries.

**Eco-Speed Harmonization** — The Eco-Speed Harmonization application assists in smoothing traffic flow, reducing unnecessary stops and starts, and maintaining consistent speeds, thus reducing fuel consumption, GHGs, and other emissions on the roadway. This can be accomplished typically through variable speed limits.

**Eco-Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control** — The Eco-Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control application allows individual drivers to opt in to applications that take advantage of adaptive cruise control capabilities along with V2V communications designed to minimize vehicle accelerations and decelerations for the benefit of reducing fuel consumption and vehicle emissions.

**Eco-Ramp Metering** — The Eco-Ramp Metering application determines the most environmentally efficient operation of traffic signals at freeway on-ramps to manage the rate of vehicles entering a freeway.

**Connected Eco-Driving** — The Connected Eco-Driving application provides customized real-time driving advice to drivers so that they can adjust their driving behavior (e.g., speed of travel, acceleration and deceleration rates) to save fuel and reduce emissions.

**Multi-Modal Traveler Information** — The Multi-Modal Traveler Information application provides pre-trip and en-route multimodal traveler information to encourage environmentally friendly transportation choices.

In the United States, the AERIS program's Eco-Lanes concept modeling was focused only on the eco-speed harmonization and eco-cooperative adaptive cruise control application (21). Using a sophisticated set of simulation modeling tools, it was shown that under the assumption of 100% penetration rate, eco-speed harmonization could result in up to 12% energy savings, but with an 8% reduction in mobility (i.e., longer travel times). However, when tuned so that mobility was unaffected, then the environmental benefits were around 5%. On the other hand,



eco-cooperative adaptive cruise control was shown to provide upwards of 30% energy savings for a generic freeway segment. When applied to a regular freeway with on- and off-ramps, the savings were around 15%.

# **Recent Environmental-ITS Research Programs in the European Union**

In 2011, the European Union (EU) set up Working Group for Clean and Efficient Mobility (WG4CEM) as part of the iMobility Forum with the aim to identify most promising ITS solutions for clean and efficient mobility. One of the group's tasks is to provide a prioritized list of recommended ITS environmental projects and a roadmap illustrating the pathways of research. This European working group consists of members from road authorities/road operators, road users and automotive and information and communications technology (ICT) industry stakeholders interested in clean and efficient mobility. Connected vehicles and infrastructure were a subset of the proposed solutions. The results of this effort can be found in (22).

In this section, only some of the major ITS projects aimed at reducing GHG emissions, and in particular those involving connected vehicles, are highlighted. Many of these projects were funded by various sources, including the European Commission (EC), the European Commission Directorate General for Communications Networks, Content & Technology (DG-CONNECT), the European Union's Seventh Framework Program for Research (FP7), and the EU Competitiveness and Innovation Framework Program and its Policy Support Program (CIP-PSP). These programs are categorized into the three major ITS areas.

### **Vehicle Systems**

The EU carried out a major program in connected vehicles called "eCoMove" which was focused on "cooperative mobility systems and services for energy efficiency." This program examined the latest V2I/I2V and V2V communication technologies, and created an integrated solution comprising eco-driving support and eco-traffic management to tackle the main sources of energy waste by passenger and commercial vehicles. The eCoMove project targeted three main causes of avoidable road transport energy use in order to minimize fuel waste: 1) inefficient route choice; 2) inefficient driving performance; and 3) inefficient traffic management and control. Overall, the eCoMove project showed fuel savings of around 10% to 20% for eco-driving and approximately 10% savings for traffic signal operations (23).

Another important project was the ECOSTAND project, a joint EU - Japan - U.S. task force to develop a standard methodology for determining the impacts of ITS on energy efficiency and carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) emissions. The overall goal was to provide support for an agreement between the three regions on a framework for a common assessment methodology for quantifying the impacts of ITS on energy efficiency and  $CO_2$  emissions (24).

Currently, Compass4D is an ongoing multi-city project in Europe that is focused on deploying advanced vehicle cooperative systems to improve road safety and energy efficiency and to reduce congestion. This three-year EU co-funded project (started in January 2013) is deploying



three services in seven cities (Bordeaux, Copenhagen, Helmond, Newcastle, Thessaloniki, Verona and Vigo), in order to prove the concrete benefits of cooperative systems for citizens, city administrations and companies. Compass4D is piloting three main services: a red light violation warning, a road hazard warning, and energy-efficient intersections. These services are in place for one year on 334 vehicles, including buses, taxis, emergency vehicles, and private cars, reaching more than 550 users (25). Results on environmental impacts are pending.

### **Traffic Management Systems**

The Cooperative Systems for Sustainable Mobility and Energy Efficiency or COSMO project adopted a system-wide approach to the assessment of energy efficiency, measuring the effect of a range of innovative traffic management systems not only on fuel consumption and emissions of vehicles, but also on the energy used to operate roadside equipment. The aim was to provide a practical demonstration of the effectiveness of the systems and to assess their impact under realistic conditions. The principal result was a set of validated specifications covering their operational, technical, business and organizational characteristics. The COSMO test sites were in Salerno, Vienna and Gothenburg and ran from 2010 to 2013 (26). In general, CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions were shown on the order of 5% to 15%.

The Cooperative Networked Concept for Emission Responsive Traffic Operations or ConCERTO project was carried out from 2010 through 2013 and used highly sophisticated environmental tools to develop next-generation technologies to reduce motor vehicle emissions based on real-time emission measurements (27).

The CARBOTRAF project is currently underway and is focusing on developing "A Decision Support System for Reducing CO<sub>2</sub> and Black Carbon Emissions by Adaptive Traffic Management". The overall goal is to develop tools for adaptively influencing traffic in real time to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> and black carbon emissions caused by road transport in urban and inter-urban areas (28).

### **Travel Information Systems**

In 2012, the Assessment Methodologies for ITS in Multimodal Transport from User Behavior to CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (AMITRAN) program began and ran until 2014. This program developed a framework for the evaluation of the effects of ITS measures in traffic and transport on energy efficiency and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (29).

The EcoGem or Cooperative Advanced Driver Assistance System for Green Cars project was carried out from 2010 to 2013 and aimed to provide efficient connected vehicle solutions to support electric vehicles (EVs). The goal was to design and develop an EV-oriented, highly innovative Advanced Driver Assistance System (ADAS) equipped with suitable monitoring, learning, reasoning and management capabilities, that would help increase the EV's autonomy and energy efficiency (30).



### **Research Needs**

Environmental-ITS programs have only emerged recently. Research programs in the United States, the European Union, and other regions have made significant progress in developing and testing these systems. However, there are still many research gaps that need to be addressed, some examples of which are discussed below.

### **Environment-Mobility-Safety Nexus**

Over the years, we have seen some ITS programs focusing on safety and mobility also having significant environmental co-benefits. These benefits range widely due to a number of variables such as type of application, technology used, and pre-existing condition before deployment. Recently, a number of ITS programs have emerged that are specifically designed to minimize the environmental impacts of transportation, some of which are briefly described earlier in this white paper. The mobility and safety impacts, both at the individual vehicle level and at the traffic network level, of these environmental-ITS programs are not well understood. While there has been some research on this topic (e.g., (31)), more is needed to improve the understanding of the connection between environment, mobility, and safety performance of any ITS programs.

### **Induced Travel Demand**

One concern that has emerged with any type of ITS implementation is the potential for induced travel demand. Just as it has been shown that increased roadway capacity typically leads to increased VMT (e.g., see (32)), some hypothesize that if overall mobility improves due to ITS, then potentially VMT will increase. To date, no definitive studies have shown this effect. However, current research is examining how automated vehicles may affect travel demand (see e.g., (33)). Preliminary results show that with a modest introduction of automated vehicles, 5% increase in VMT may occur. Nevertheless, the general effects of ITS on travel demand needs to be studied in greater detail.

### **Conclusions**

In this white paper, state-of-the-practice ITS programs that are environmentally beneficial are highlighted, spanning the three main areas of ITS, including Vehicle Systems, Traffic Management Systems, and Traveler Information Systems. For the last several decades, many of these ITS programs have been targeted at improving safety and reducing congestion, with the secondary goals of reducing fuel consumption and lowering criteria pollutant and GHG emissions. It is clear that specific environmental benefits can be maximized when these different ITS applications are "tuned" so that emissions and fuel consumption are reduced.

Furthermore, it is important to point out that there is not a single ITS technology solution that has demonstrated a significant reduction in GHG emissions. Each of the environmental-ITS applications described in this white paper typically can reduce GHG emissions in the range of



5% to 15%. However, most of these applications are additive, therefore greater benefits may be achieved when a combination of environmentally friendly ITS programs are put into place. Also, the mobility and safety impacts of environmental-ITS programs are not currently well understood. It is clear that as more of these ITS programs are deployed, comprehensive impact assessments of their environmental, mobility, and safety performance are needed.

Even with these ITS operational improvements that result in reduced emissions, we must also be concerned about any potential induced demand effects. Again, this potential needs to be modeled and measured as new ITS applications are deployed. To counteract these effects, the ITS programs may have to be coupled with some specific travel demand management measures, such as pricing.



### References

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report: 1990-2013, see http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/usinventoryreport.html, 2015.
- 2. U.S. Department of Transportation, Intelligent Transportation Systems Joint Program Office, National ITS Architecture, see http://www.iteris.com/itsarch/, accessed May 2015.
- 3. M. Barth, K. Boriboonsomsin, "Real-World Carbon Dioxide Impacts of Traffic Congestion", Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, V. 2058, pp. 163-171.
- 4. M. Barth and K. Boriboonsomsin, "Energy and Emissions Impacts of a Freeway-Based Dynamic Eco-Driving System," August, Transportation Research Part D: Environment, Vol. 14, Elsevier Press, pp. 400-410, 2009.
- 5. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration Exploratory Advanced Research Program, see http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/advancedresearch, 2015.
- 6. V. Milanes, S. E. Shladover, J. Spring, C. Nowakowski, H. Kawazoe, M. Nakamura, "Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control in Real Traffic Situations", IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 296-305.
- 7. P. Hao, G. Wu, K. Boriboonsomsin, M. Barth, "Developing a Framework of Eco-Approach and Departure Application for Actuated Signal Control", IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium, Seoul, Korea, June 28 July 1, 2015.
- 8. U.S. Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program, see http://www.rita.dot.gov/utc/home, 2015.
- National Center for Sustainable Transportation, see http://ncst.ucdavis.edu/, 2015.
- 10. Mid-Atlantic Transportation Sustainability Center, see http://www.matsutc.org/, 2015.
- 11. D. Kari, G. Wu, and M. Barth, "Eco-Friendly Freight Signal Priority Using Connected Vehicle Technology: A Multi-Agent Systems Approach", *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Symposium on Intelligent Vehicles*, Dearborn, Michigan, June, 2014.
- 12. K. Ahn, H. Rakha, "Ecolane Applications", *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, V. 2427, No. 1, pp.41-53.



- 13. U.S. Department of Transportation, Applications for the Environment: Real-Time Information Synthesis (AERIS) Research Program, see http://www.its.dot.gov/aeris/, 2015.
- 14. Virginia Tech Transportation Institute, see http://www.its.dot.gov/aeris/pdf/EcoDriving.pdf, 2011.
- 15. Calmar Telematics, see http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/46000/46100/46187/FINAL\_PKG\_FHWA-JPO-12-051.pdf, 2012.
- 16. University of California, Riverside, see http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/45000/45600/45636/FINAL\_PKG\_FHWA-JPO-12-042\_V3.pdf, 2011.
- 17. University of Buffalo, see http://www.civil.buffalo.edu/research/research-areas/area:transportation-systems-engineering/section:projects/project:an-evaluation-of-likely-environmental-benefits-of-lowest-fuel-consumption-route-guidance-in-the-buffalo-niagara-metropolitan-area/, 2014.
- 18. M. Barth, S. Mandava, K. Boriboonsomsin, H. Xia, "Dynamic ECO-driving for Arterial Corridors", *IEEE Forum on Integrated and Sustainable Transportation System (FISTS)*, June, pp.182-188.
- 19. H. Xia, K. Boriboonsomsin, M. Barth, "Dynamic Eco-Driving for Signalized Arterial Corridors and Its Indirect Network-Wide Energy/Emissions Benefits", *Journal of Intelligent Transportation System: Technology, Planning, and Operations*, Vol 17, Issue 1.
- 20. U.S. Department of Transportation, AERIS-Applications for the Environment: Real-Time Information Synthesis: Eco-Signal Operations Modeling Report, publication number FHWA-JPO-14-185, see http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/54000/54900/54930/Eco-Signal\_Operations\_Modeling\_Report\_-\_Final\_508\_-\_012615.pdf, 2014.
- 21. U.S. Department of Transportation, AERIS-Applications for the Environment: Real-Time Information Synthesis: Eco-Lanes Operational Scenario Modeling Report, publication number FHWA-JPO-14-186, see http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/54000/54900/54929/Eco-Lanes\_Modeling\_Report\_-\_Final\_508\_-\_012615.pdf, 2014.
- 22. EU Working Group for Clean and Efficient Mobility, see http://www.imobilitysupport.eu/library/imobility-forum/working-groups/active/ict-for-clean-and-efficient-mobility/reports-4/2332-wg4cem-final-report-131308.
- 23. Cooperative mobility systems and services for energy efficiency, see http://www.ecomove-project.eu/, 2015.



- 24. ECOSTAND: Joint EU Japan US task force on the development of a standard methodology for determining the impacts of ITS on energy efficiency and CO₂ emissions, see http://www.ecostand-project.eu/, 2015.
- 25. EU Compass4D research project, see http://www.compass4d.eu/, 2015.
- 26. Co-operative Systems for Sustainable Mobility and Energy Efficiency, see http://www.cosmo-project.eu/, 2015.
- 27. Co-operative Networked Concept for Emission Responsive Traffic Operations, see http://www.traffictechnologytoday.com/news.php?NewsID=27116, 2014.
- 28. A Decision Support System for Reducing CO<sub>2</sub> and Black Carbon Emissions by Adaptive Traffic Management, see http://carbotraf.eu/, 2014.
- 29. Assessment Methodologies for ICT in multimodal transport from User Behaviour to CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, see http://www.amitran.eu/, 2015.
- 30. Cooperative Advanced Driver Assistance System for Green Cars, see http://www.transport-research.info/web/projects/project details.cfm?id=44395, 2015.
- 31. Boriboonsomsin, K., Dean, J., and Barth, M. (2014). "Examination of attributes and value of ecologically friendly route choices." Transportation Research Record, 2427, 13-25.
- 32. Noland R. Relationships between highway capacity and induced vehicle travel. Transp Res A Policy Pract. 2001;34:47–72.
- 33. Michael Gucwa, "Mobility and Energy Impacts of Automated Cars", Proceedings of the Automated Vehicles Symposium, San Francisco, July 2014.

